



(86) Date de dépôt PCT/PCT Filing Date: 2003/07/21
(87) Date publication PCT/PCT Publication Date: 2004/01/29
(45) Date de délivrance/Issue Date: 2014/06/17
(85) Entrée phase nationale/National Entry: 2005/01/05
(86) N° demande PCT/PCT Application No.: EP 2003/007945
(87) N° publication PCT/PCT Publication No.: 2004/009821
(30) Priorité/Priority: 2002/07/19 (DE102 32 978.8)

(51) Cl.Int./Int.Cl. *C12N 15/82* (2006.01),
A01H 5/00 (2006.01)
(72) Inventeurs/Inventors:
HOFIUS, DANIEL, DE;
BOERNKE, FREDERIK, DE;
SONNEWALD, UWE, DE
(73) Propriétaire/Owner:
LEIBNIZ-INSTITUT FUER PFLANZENGENETIK UND
KULTURPFLANZENFORSCHUNG (IPK), DE
(74) Agent: BORDEN LADNER GERVAIS LLP

(54) Titre : PROCEDE POUR PRODUIRE DES PLANTES TRANSGENIQUES PRESENTANT UNE RESISTANCE
ACCRUE AUX VIRUS PAR EXTINCTION DE PROTEINES VEGETALES DU TYPE D NAJ
(54) Title: METHOD FOR THE PRODUCTION OF TRANSGENIC PLANTS WITH INCREASED VIRUS RESISTANCE BY
SILENCING VEGETABLE DNAJ-LIKE PROTEINS

(57) **Abrégé/Abstract:**

The invention relates to plants and plant cells which have a transient or permanent virus resistance as a result of modulation of the gene expression and/or binding behaviour of vegetable DnaJ-like proteins. The invention also relates to a method for the production of transgenic plants with increased virus resistance, wherein the expression of vegetable DnaJ-like proteins which interact with viral components is substantially prevented by silencing the DnaJ-like proteins. The invention further relates to a method for the production of transgenic plants with increased virus resistance, wherein the interaction of viral components with vegetable DnaJ-like proteins is substantially prevented by expression of dominant-negative mutants of the DnaJ-like proteins, by antibodies against DnaJ-like proteins or by specific inhibitors.



(12) NACH DEM VERTRAG ÜBER DIE INTERNATIONALE ZUSAMMENARBEIT AUF DEM GEBIET DES
PATENTWESENS (PCT) VERÖFFENTLICHTE INTERNATIONALE ANMELDUNG(19) Weltorganisation für geistiges Eigentum
Internationales Büro(43) Internationales Veröffentlichungsdatum
29. Januar 2004 (29.01.2004)

PCT

(10) Internationale Veröffentlichungsnummer
WO 2004/009821 A1(51) Internationale Patentklassifikation⁷: C12N 15/82,
A01H 5/00(74) Anwalt: NEUEFEIND, Regina; Maiwald Patentanwalts
GmbH, Elisenhof, Elisenstrasse 3, 80335 München (DE).

(21) Internationales Aktenzeichen: PCT/EP2003/007945

(22) Internationales Anmeldedatum:
21. Juli 2003 (21.07.2003)

(25) Einreichungssprache: Deutsch

(26) Veröffentlichungssprache: Deutsch

(30) Angaben zur Priorität:
102 32 978.8 19. Juli 2002 (19.07.2002) DE(71) Anmelder (für alle Bestimmungsstaaten mit Ausnahme
von US): **IPK-INSTITUT FÜR PFLANZENGENETIK
UND KULTURPFLANZENFORSCHUNG GMBH**
[DE/DE]; Corrensstrasse 3, 06466 Gatersleben (DE).

(72) Erfinder; und

(75) Erfinder/Anmelder (nur für US): **HOFIUS, Daniel**
[DE/DE]; Stieg 16, 06484 Quedlinburg (DE). **BÖRNKE,**
Frederik [DE/DE]; Lindenstrasse 73, 06484 Quedlinburg
(DE). **SONNEWALD, Uwe** [DE/DE]; Am Hange 6,
06484 Quedlinburg (DE).(81) Bestimmungsstaaten (*national*): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT,
AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR,
CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE,
GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR,
KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK,
MN, MW, MX, MZ, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT,
RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR,
TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.(84) Bestimmungsstaaten (*regional*): ARIPO-Patent (GH,
GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW),
eurasisches Patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ,
TM), europäisches Patent (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE,
DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL,
PT, RO, SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI-Patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG,
CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Veröffentlicht:

— mit internationalem Recherchenbericht

Zur Erklärung der Zweibuchstaben-Codes und der anderen Ab-
kürzungen wird auf die Erklärungen ("Guidance Notes on Co-
des and Abbreviations") am Anfang jeder regulären Ausgabe der
PCT-Gazette verwiesen.(54) Title: METHOD FOR THE PRODUCTION OF TRANSGENIC PLANTS WITH INCREASED VIRUS RESISTANCE BY
SILENCING VEGETABLE DNAJ-LIKE PROTEINS(54) Bezeichnung: VERFAHREN ZUR HERSTELLUNG VON TRANSGENEN PFLANZEN MIT ERHÖHTER VIRUSRESIS-
TENZ DURCH "SILENCING" PFLANZLICHER DNAJ-ÄHNLICHER PROTEINE

(57) Abstract: The invention relates to plants and plant cells which have a transient or permanent virus resistance as a result of modulation of the gene expression and/or binding behaviour of vegetable DnaJ-like proteins. The invention also relates to a method for the production of transgenic plants with increased virus resistance, wherein the expression of vegetable DnaJ-like proteins which interact with viral components is substantially prevented by silencing the DnaJ-like proteins. The invention further relates to a method for the production of transgenic plants with increased virus resistance, wherein the interaction of viral components with vegetable DnaJ-like proteins is substantially prevented by expression of dominant-negative mutants of the DnaJ-like proteins, by antibodies against DnaJ-like proteins or by specific inhibitors.

(57) Zusammenfassung: Gegenstand der Erfindung sind generell Pflanzen und Pflanzenzellen, die aufgrund einer Modulation der Genexpression und/oder des Bindungsverhalten von pflanzlichen DnaJ-ähnlichen Proteinen eine transiente oder permanente Virusresistenz aufweisen. Die vorliegende Erfindung betrifft ebenfalls ein Verfahren zum Herstellen von transgenen Pflanzen mit erhöhter Virusresistenz, wobei die Expression von pflanzlichen DnaJ-ähnlichen Proteinen, die mit viralen Komponenten interagieren, durch Silencing der DnaJ-ähnlichen-Proteine im Wesentlichen unterbunden wird. Darüber hinaus betrifft die Erfindung ein Verfahren zum Herstellen von transgenen Pflanzen mit erhöhter Virusresistenz, wobei die Interaktion von viralen Komponenten mit pflanzlichen DnaJ-ähnlichen Proteinen durch Expression von dominant-negativen Mutanten der DnaJ-ähnlichen Proteine, durch Antikörper gegen DnaJ-ähnliche Proteine oder durch spezifische Inhibitoren im Wesentlichen unterbunden wird.

WO 2004/009821 A1

Method for the production of transgenic plants with increased virus resistance by silencing vegetable DnaJ-like proteins

The subject matter of the invention is generally plants and plant cells, which have a transient or permanent virus resistance due to a modulation of the gene expression and/or the binding characteristics of plant DnaJ-like proteins.

The present invention also relates to methods for the production of this type of plant.

The invention therefore also relates to a method for the production of plants and plant cells with increased virus resistance, which is characterized in that the interaction of viral components with DnaJ-like proteins is essentially suppressed and substantially prevented, respectively, by reducing the expression of plant DnaJ-like proteins. Moreover, the invention relates to a method for the production of plants and plant cells with increased virus resistance, characterized in that the interaction of viral components with plant DnaJ-like proteins is essentially blocked by dominant negative mutants of the DnaJ-like proteins, by antibodies against DnaJ-like proteins or by specific inhibitors.

Moreover, the present invention relates to a method for the production of transgenic plants and plant cells with increased virus resistance, characterized in that the interaction of viral components with plant DnaJ-like protein is essentially suppressed and substantially prevented, respectively, by silencing the plant DnaJ-like proteins. Moreover, the invention relates to a method for the production of transgenic plants and plant cells with increased virus resistance, characterized in that the interaction of viral components with DnaJ-like proteins is essentially blocked by dominant negative mutants of the DnaJ-like proteins, by antibodies against DnaJ-like proteins or by specific inhibitors.

There are numerous plant viruses which infest important agricultural plants and lead to significant crop failures each year. Accordingly, for a long time there has been a requirement for methods which make it possible to produce plants with increased virus resistance.

In order to produce transgenic plants with increased virus resistance, different strategies can be chosen which generally depend upon the virus type and also upon the infection mechanism used by the virus.

The most widely adopted strategy is the so-called "pathogen mediated resistance" strategy (summarized in Baulcombe 1996, *Plant Cell*, 8:1833-1844). With this strategy, nucleic acid sequences, which either correspond to viral sequences or are complementary to the same, are transferred to plant cells. Depending upon whether these transferred nucleic acid sequences are transcribed and translated or just transcribed, one can distinguish between the protein-based or RNA-based pathogen mediated resistance.

With the protein-based pathogen mediated resistance, viral capsid proteins or so-called movement proteins which are responsible for the transport of the virus between and within the plant cells, and therefore for the systemic infection of the plants, are generally expressed in the transgenic plant cells. The observed virus resistance is probably based upon the fact that by the expression of the viral components in the case of viral capsid proteins, the assembly of functional virus particles, and in the case of viral movement proteins, the propagation of the virus within the plant, is outcompeted.

The RNA-based pathogen mediated resistance is based upon the transcription of viral sequences. These viral sequences can encode viral products, but do not have to. The basis for the RNA-based pathogen mediated resistance is to be seen in a process which is called "post-transcriptional gene silencing"(PTGS) and is based upon the appearance of double-stranded RNA molecules in the plant cell. Accordingly, the possibilities for establishing an RNA-based pathogen mediated resistance are numerous. For example, viral sequences can be used which are found in a sense or antisense orientation. When transcribing viral sequences with antisense orientation, double-stranded RNA molecules inevitably occur during viral infections. But also with the transcription of viral sequences in sense orientation which have pronounced complementarity within a transcript, double stranded RNA regions can occur. Moreover, double-stranded RNA molecules inevitably occur with many viral infections because many plant viruses, such as potyviruses, have an RNA genome with (+) polarity and are replicated via a double-stranded RNA intermediate.

The occurrence of double-stranded RNA molecules within a plant cell induces the PTGS system which brings about specific degradation of the corresponding viral sequences. This mechanism, also termed “silencing” and known as “RNA interference” (RNAi) in *C.elegans*, occurs naturally with infection of plants with plant viruses. However, it is often not induced strongly enough to stave off the virus infection. Transgenic plants which are equipped with an RNA with homology e.g. to a viral protein generally transcribe this RNA to a sufficient extent so as to induce the PTGS system, and so also virus resistance. Because of this, the plant PTGS system can also be induced specifically by introducing viral double-stranded RNA molecules. In this way, stable transgenic plants with the required virus resistance can be produced (Waterhouse et al., 2001, Nature, 411, 834-842).

The disadvantage of using pathogen mediated resistance mechanisms in order to produce transgenic plants with increased virus resistance is that, due to the necessary complementarity of the transgene RNA to the viral RNA, the plants are only resistant to a very specific virus. They are only equipped, therefore, with a limited resistance spectrum. In addition, viruses have developed mechanisms by means of which the PTGS system induced by viral sequences can possibly be repressed. With potyviruses e.g. the “helper component proteinase” (Hc-Pro) is involved with this (Kaschau et al., 1998, Cell: 461-470).

Other strategies for the production of plants with increased virus resistance are based upon the fact that proteases, which are required by viruses in the course of their replication cycle, are selectively inhibited. The activity of cysteine proteinases could thus be inhibited by overexpression of a cysteine proteinase inhibitor from rice, and plants with increased resistance to potyviral infections could be produced (Gutierrez-Campos et al., 1999, Nat. Biotechn. 17: 1223-1226).

Other strategies for the production of transgenic plants with increased virus resistance are based upon the transfer of generally antiviral acting systems to plant cells. The expression of proteins which affect the different components of the viral replication cycle, also plays a role. An example of this are transgenic plants which express the so-called “pokeweed antiviral protein” (PAP), a ribosome inactivating protein, and which, for reasons which are not understood, exhibit resistance to a broad spectrum of viruses. However, transgenic

plants which express the PAP protein show significantly reduced growth dependent upon the expression level (Lodge et al., 1993, PNAS, 90: 7089-7093, Turner et al., 1997, PNAS, 94: 3866-3871).

Another example for plants which are equipped with a generally antiviral acting system, are plants into which the interferon-inducible antiviral 2,5-oligoadenylate system is introduced from animal organisms. The plants constitutively express a 2,5-oligoadenylate synthetase and a 2,5-oligoadenylate-dependent ribonuclease L, which can be activated by double-stranded RNA (Mitra et al., 1996, PNAS, 93: 6780-6785). Moreover, there are further attempts to increase the plants' virus resistance which have other mechanistic bases which are often still not understood in detail. One possibility to increase the plants' virus resistance is the induction of unspecific plant defense systems, as achieved by the so-called "systemic acquired resistance", e.g. by the constitutive overexpression of salicylic acid (Verberne et al., 2000, Nat. Biotechnol., 18: 779-783).

In addition, the transfer of specific virus resistance genes to the respective plants is appropriate for the production of plants with increased virus resistance (Bendahmane 1999 et al., Plant Cell, 11: 781-791). The disadvantage of this type of transgenic plant, however, is that it is only resistant to a narrow spectrum of different virus groups or strains.

Because of the great significance of virus-resistant plants for agriculture, there is in general a great need for further methods which allow the production of transgenic plants with increased virus resistance. In particular, there is a need for methods which make it possible to produce transgenic plants with increased virus resistance and which are resistant to a broad spectrum of virus groups and strains.

The objective of the present invention is to provide a method alternative to the methods known in the prior art, which makes it possible to produce plants with increased virus resistance. In particular, it is an objective of the present invention to provide a method which allows the production of plants which exhibit resistance to a broad spectrum of virus groups and strains. Another objective which underlies the invention is to provide a method for the production of plants with increased virus resistance which is generally applicable and easy to put into practice.

The features of the independent claims serve as a solution to this and additional objectives, as specified in the description.

The preferred embodiments of the invention are defined by the features of the sub-claims.

The objective is achieved by the present invention in that a method for the production of transgenic plants with increased virus resistance is provided, characterized in that the expression of plant DnaJ-like proteins which interact with viral components is essentially suppressed. The objective is also achieved by a method for the production of transgenic plants whereby the interaction of viral components with plant DnaJ-like proteins which interact with viral components, is substantially prevented by the expression of dominant negative mutants of DnaJ-like proteins or antibodies to DnaJ-like proteins.

Whereas with the pathogen mediated resistance the function of a viral component is specifically prevented, and with other strategies for the generation of virus-resistant plants, such as the expression of PAP protein or the transfer of the 2.5 oligoadenylate system from animal to plant cells, generally antiviral acting systems are introduced into the plants, with a method according to the present invention, an essentially different strategy is adopted.

It was surprising to find that by the suppression or silencing of plant host genes, of which the protein products, by interacting with virus components, are of great significance to the replication, assembly and spreading of the virus, plants can be produced which have increased virus resistance, without these plants displaying phenotype interference to any significant extent. This finding was particularly unexpected in view of the outstandingly great significance of DnaJ-like proteins for the functional integrity of cellular systems.

The DnaJ protein or DnaJ-like proteins (also called HSP40 proteins or HSP40-like proteins) are important representatives of the chaperone system of cells. Chaperones are proteins or protein complexes which are involved in a variety of cellular processes. These include, amongst others, the active folding of proteins, the prevention of protein aggregation, the break-up of protein aggregates, the correct folding of misfolded proteins, the generation and break-up of cytoskeletal structures and protein targeting into different

cellular compartments (Hartl et al., 2002, Science, 295, 1852-8 and 1996, Nature, 381, 571-9).

DnaJ-like proteins are characterized by the presence of a so-called J domain. They interact with other chaperones such as DnaK-like proteins (also called Hsp70 or Hsc70 proteins), the ATPase activity of which they can stimulate. DnaK proteins are equipped with an ATP- and a substrate-binding domain. In its ATP-bound state DnaK only has a small affinity for its substrates.

DnaJ proteins bind via the J domain to DnaK proteins and stimulate the latter's ATPase activity, the result of which is that the DnaK proteins can bind a greater substrate spectrum because of the activation by the DnaJ proteins (Kelley, 1999, Current Biology, 9: 305-308 and Kelley, 1998, TIBS, 23: 222-227). In this way, DnaJ proteins, amongst other things, act as adaptors for DnaK protein substrates and extend the substrate specificity of the same. Both DnaJ and DnaK proteins are equipped with numerous homologues in various species. There are an increasing number of evidences to show that specific members of the DnaK family only interact with specific members of the DnaJ family (Kelley, 1999, Current Biology, 9: 305-308). The presence of a J domain, by means of which these proteins bind to their DnaK partners, is crucial for the function of the DnaJ proteins.

Viruses, which attack plant or animal cells, also take advantage of the capability of J domains to recruit other chaperones. Some viral proteins bind e.g. directly to Hsp70 proteins. Other viral proteins, such as the "large T antigen" are equipped with J domains. It is thought that these viral proteins use the cellular chaperone system in order, either, to disassemble or assemble viral protein complexes, or to break-up cellular complexes which prevent the spreading of viruses within the cell (Sullivan et al., 2001, Virology, 287: 1-8, Kelley, 1998, TIBS, 23: 222-227).

For plant closteroviruses it has been shown, e.g., that they contain their own Hsp70-like protein which is essential for the assembly and spreading of the viruses (Alzhanova et al., 2001, EMBO J., 20: 6997-7007). Moreover, it was possible to show that the movement protein NSm of the tomato spotted wilt virus (TSWV) interacts with DnaJ-like proteins from *Arabidopsis thaliana* and *Lycopersicon esculentum* (Von Bargaen et al., 2001, Plant

Physiol. Biochem., 39: 1083-1093 and Soellick et al., 2000, PNAS, 97: 2373-2378).

Within the framework of the present invention, it is also shown that the capsid protein CP of the potyviruses potato virus Y (PVY) and tobacco etch virus (TEV) also interacts with a DnaJ-like protein (see examples).

Therefore, it can be assumed that plant viruses make use of the functions of the cellular chaperone system during the infection and also use, amongst other things, DnaJ-like proteins. In this way, the DnaJ-like proteins theoretically provide a point of attack for antiviral strategies. Because of their outstanding significance as chaperones for various cellular functions in the non-infected host, it could, however, not be concluded that the suppression of DnaJ-like proteins would lead to viable plants with a phenotype which has not essentially been altered.

With the present invention, it was possible to show for the first time that suppression of the expression of DnaJ-like proteins by silencing leads to plants which are equipped with increased virus resistance and moreover, where the phenotype is essentially unchanged from wild type plants. With the present invention, it is also possible to show for the first time that the expression of dominant negative mutants of DnaJ-like proteins, which are no longer able to interact with viral factors or their cellular binding partners, allows the production of plants which are equipped with increased virus resistance. The method according to the invention, by means of which plants with increased virus resistance are produced by changing the expression or binding characteristics of DnaJ-like proteins, not only offers a useful alternative to the other methods of the prior art, but it also offers considerable advantages.

Because DnaJ-like proteins and the chaperone system of host cells in plants are involved in the infection process of plant viruses in a variety of ways, the method according to the invention offers the possibility of producing e.g. transgenic plants which are resistant to a broad virus spectrum i.e. resistant to both different virus groups and strains. The method according to the invention can be put into practice very efficiently and is generally applicable, as described below.

Depending upon whether several isoforms of DnaJ-like proteins or just specific DnaJ-like proteins are being silenced, and dependent upon the binding specificity of the viral components for the DnaJ-like proteins, plants can be produced which show resistance to a broad virus spectrum or to specific viruses. For example, the DnaJ-like proteins NtCPIP1, NtCPIP2a and 2b, which have been more closely characterized within the framework of the invention, show specificity for components of the poty viruses PVY and TEV in the yeast two-hybrid system, whereas the DnaJ-like protein NtDnaJ-M541 preferably interacts with components from TSWV.

It is clear to the person skilled in the art that, in some cases, the duration of the resistance can also depend upon the type and number of the silenced DnaJ-like proteins (transient resistance) because e.g. with the suppression of a single DnaJ-like protein, the viral components can possibly still interact with other isoforms of the DnaJ-like protein. In this case, the virus will be able to spread within the plant over a long period of time, despite transient resistance. However, there is also interest in plants which only exhibit transient virus resistance, as described below.

According to the invention, plant DnaJ-like proteins include proteins which are equipped with a J domain and are able to interact with viral components, i.e. viral (glyco-)proteins, viral nucleic acids and/or viral lipids. According to the invention, plant DnaJ-like proteins are also equipped with a J domain if an amino acid region of the plant protein shows significant homology to the consensus sequence of the J domain. Such homology is usually determined by means of different alignment programs such as CLUSTAL. In general, the person skilled in the art can use appropriate algorithms to determine the sequence identity / similarity.

Another program which can very well be used for determining sequence homologies is ClustalW of the MegAlign program of DNASTAR Inc. (Madison, NY).

DnaJ proteins have different structures and are grouped depending upon the combination of three additional and different domains (to the J domain), as originally identified in the

E. coli orthologue: a gly/phe-rich domain, a cys-rich zinc finger domain and a less well conserved C-terminal domain which is possibly involved in substrate binding.

Dependent upon the combination of these domains, DnaJ-like proteins are arranged, e.g. in three groups I to III (Cheetham et al. (1998) Cell Stress Chaperones, 3, 28-36).

A comprehensive analysis of DnaJ-like proteins in *Arabidopsis thaliana* has led in addition to further classification with respect to the similarity of the J domain (Miernyk (2001) Cell Stress Chaperones, 6, 209-218).

The plant DnaJ-like proteins according to the invention, as identified within the framework of the present invention, form their own sub-class characterized by the single presence of a J domain. These plant DnaJ-like proteins are preferred for the production of plants and plant cells according to the invention. Other plant DnaJ-like proteins and their encoding sequences can also be used however. Similarly, non-plant DnaJ-like proteins and their encoding sequences can be used, e.g. when producing virus-resistant plants by means of the expression of dominant negative mutants.

Besides the DnaJ-like proteins and their homologues identified within the framework of the present invention, the proteins preferentially termed plant DnaJ-like proteins are proteins which belong to the phylogenetic strain 5 of the DnaJ-like proteins from *Arabidopsis thaliana* or their homologues (Miernyk et al., vide supra, page 213). Overall, 89 DnaJ-like proteins could be identified in *Arabidopsis thaliana*, of which the phylogenetic strain 5 includes seven members which all exhibit a predicted cytosolic localisation. The members of this class as well as their homologues are also preferably termed plant DnaJ-like proteins within the framework of the present invention.

According to the invention, the preferred group of plant DnaJ-like proteins from tobacco (NtDnaJ-M541), tomato (Le19/8) and *Arabidopsis* (AtA39), recently identified as TSWV MP (NSm)-binding proteins, are lacking other DnaJ-typical domain structures, with the exception of the common J domain (Soellick et al. (2001) vide supra, von Bargen et al. (2001) vide supra). Moreover, a Lys-rich domain (4x K-X-X-X-K-E/K) could be identified which is also partially identifiable in the NtCPIP proteins (amino acids 172 –

177 and 269 – 274 for NtCPIP1 and amino acids 171 – 176 and 168 – 273 for NtCPIP2a and 2b) identified within the framework of the invention (K = lysine, E = arginine, X = any amino acid).

According to the invention, all plant proteins which are equipped with a J domain are thus termed DnaJ-like proteins. According to the invention, especially those proteins which only have one J domain and possibly the aforementioned lys-rich domain, are termed plant DnaJ-like proteins.

According to the invention, plant DnaJ-like proteins are particularly equipped with a J domain if they are equipped with amino acid sequences which are homologous to the three well conserved clusters of J domains which correspond to the secondary structural elements helix I, helix II and helix III. In particular, the HPD tripeptide of helix II as well as characteristic amino acids of helix III should be present (Kelley, 1998, TIBS, 23: 222-227).

An alignment of several plant DnaJ-like proteins according to the invention, which have been proven to interact with viral components, is shown in fig. 1. These are the proteins NtCPIP1, NtCPIP2a and NtCPIP2b from tobacco as well as NtDnaJ-M541 (Soellick et al., vide supra), which have been newly identified within the framework of the present invention. The characteristic sequence elements for the J domain are found at the N-terminus of the aforementioned proteins, i.e. within the first 70 amino acids.

A sequence characteristic for J domains is shown in fig. 1c. This is SEQ ID NO:7. Regions of a protein show a significant homology to the sequence shown in SEQ ID NO:7 if the sequence of this region is at least 40%, preferably at least 50%, especially preferably at least 60%, also especially preferably at least 70% and/or 75%, particularly preferably at least 80%, 82%, 84%, 86% and/or 88% and most preferably at least 90%, 92%, 94%, 96%, 98% and/or 99% identical to the sequence with SEQ ID NO:7 (fig. 1c). This is the DnaJ consensus sequence as found in the Conserved Domain Database of NCBI under Accession No: pfam00226.4.

In fig. 1a the alignment of different J domains of different DnaJ-like proteins is shown as an example for the degrees of identity of different J domains. In fig. 1b the identity (indicated as “percent identity”) and the distance (indicated as “divergence”) are shown. These are the proteins NtCPIP1, NtCPIP2a, NtCPIP2b and NtM541, Le 19-8 (NCBI Accession No: AJ295232), AtA39, (NCBI Accession No: AL021749, St_DnaJ (NCBI No: T07371), Nt_DnaJ (NCBI Accession No: CAC12824.1), At_DnaJ (NCBI Accession No: T49127), R1_DnaJ (NCBI Accession No: Y14649), Sc_DnaJ (NCBI Accession No: NP_014335) and Ca_DnaJ (NCBI Accession No: P30725) characterized within the framework of the invention.

The greatest similarity to the plant DnaJ-like proteins NtCPIP1, 2a and 2e identified within the framework of the present invention is shown by various proteins from *Arabidopsis thaliana*. The gene bank accession numbers for the genes of these DnaJ-like proteins are AAD39315, AAS32885, AAF07844, AAD25656 and T48181. These proteins and their homologues are preferably termed plant DnaJ-like proteins within the framework of the present invention.

In particular with plant DnaJ-like proteins whose suppression by silencing according to the invention can be used for the production of plants with increased virus resistance, one means proteins which have an amino acid sequence of sequence ID Nos. 4-6 or which are homologous to these (also see figs. 2a to 2c). Within the framework of the present invention, homology means that the proteins have an identity of at least 30%, preferably at least 40%, especially preferably at least 50%, also especially preferably at least 60%, particularly preferably at least 70% and/or 75% and most preferably at least 80%, 82%, 84%, 86% and/or 88% or at least 90%, 92%, 94%, 96%, 98% and/or 99% on the amino acid level. For the person skilled in the art it is obvious that with a sequence identity of over 80% we are dealing with homologous proteins.

The subject-matter of the invention is generally plants and plants cells which have a transient or permanent virus resistance due to a modulation of the gene expression and/or the binding characteristics of plant DnaJ-like proteins. Modulating the expression of plant DnaJ-like proteins means that the expression of these proteins in comparison to corresponding wild type control plants is regulated upwards or downwards. With one of

the preferred embodiments according to the invention, suppression of the expression of plant DnaJ-like proteins takes place in order to produce plants with increased virus resistance. In other cases the overexpression of plant DnaJ-like proteins can also be favoured for the production of plants with increased virus resistance, in particular when dealing with dominant negative mutants of the DnaJ-like proteins.

Modulation of the binding characteristics of plant DnaJ-like proteins means that the plant DnaJ-like proteins have mutations which lead to the DnaJ-like proteins not being able, or only being able to a very limited extent, to interact either with viral factors, such as proteins, or with their physiological cellular binding partners. Such plants can e.g. be produced by overexpression of dominant negative mutants of DnaJ-like proteins if dealing with transgenic plants. Alternatively, dominant negative mutations can be introduced in the endogenous DnaJ-like proteins in order to obtain virus resistant plants.

The production of such plants and plant cells by the so-called "TILLING" method is described in greater detail below.

The method according to the invention can also be used to produce transgenic plants with increased virus resistance. Such plants can be resistant to different virus classes, groups and strains. Transgenic plants which are produced by a method according to the invention, are preferably resistant to poty, carla, hordei, potex, bunyai, clostero, cucumo, diantho, tobamo, gemini, lutero, rymo, tobra, furo, como, nepo, bromo and tospo viruses.

Particularly preferred are transgenic plants which are produced by the method according to the invention, and are resistant to potyvirus members, such as turnip mosaic virus (TuMV), tobacco vein mottling virus (TVMV), plum pox virus (PPV), bean common mosaic virus (BCMV), lettuce mosaic virus (LMV), zucchini yellow mosaic virus (ZYMV), potato virus A (PVA), potato virus Y (PVY) and tobacco etch virus (TEV).

Equally preferred are transgenic plants which were produced by the method according to the invention, and are resistant to members of the tospoviruses, such as bromo-, chomo- and caulimoviruses. Particularly preferred are transgenic plants which were produced by the method according to the invention, and are resistant to the cowpea mosaic virus

(CPMV), the tomato spotted wilt virus (TSWV) as well as the cauliflower mosaic virus (CaMV).

The transgenic plants from the present invention are particularly resistant to the poty virus viral strains PVY^N, PVY⁰, PVY^T, and PVY^C, as well as the potato tuber necrotic isolates (PVY^{NTN}).

According to the invention, transgenic plants in which a specific plant DnaJ-like protein was silenced are not necessarily resistant to all mentioned virus classes, groups and strains. These transgenic plants will rather be particularly resistant to viruses, the components of which interact with the plant DnaJ-like protein during the infection cycle. Because the same virus components of different virus groups often interact with the same DnaJ-like protein, transgenic plants which are resistant to a relatively broad virus spectrum can be produced with the method according to the invention.

In this way, transgenic plants which are resistant to the PVY virus and the TEV virus can be produced by the method according to the invention e.g. by the silencing of the DnaJ-like protein which is encoded by SEQ ID NO. 1 (NtCPIP1, see fig. 2a). This is justified by the fact that the capsid proteins of these viruses interact with the DnaJ-like protein of SEQ ID NO. 4 (NtCPIP1, see fig. 2a). The same correspondingly applies to proteins which are encoded by SEQ ID NO:2 (NtCPIP2a, see fig. 2b), SEQ ID NO:3 (NtCPIP2b, see fig. 2c) and SEQ ID NO: 10 (fig. 13), and which have the amino acid sequences of SEQ ID NO:5 (NtCPIP2a, see fig. 2b) and SEQ ID NO:6 (NtCPIP2b, see fig. 2c).

The subject-matter of the invention is therefore also plant DnaJ-like proteins with the amino acid sequences of NtCPIP1, 2a and 2b (SEQ ID Nos. 4, 5 and 6) and nucleic acid sequences which encode these proteins and their homologues as well as their use for the production of transgenic plants.

Because virus components important for the infection cycle are possibly also able to interact with different cellular DnaJ-like proteins (e.g. with different isoforms), it can be necessary in such cases to silence several DnaJ-like proteins (e.g. the different isoforms) in order to achieve lasting virus resistance of the plants. In said cases, the silencing of only

one specific DnaJ-like protein can lead to transient resistance. It will be described below how several DnaJ-like proteins can be silenced.

Even transient silencing or transient resistance can be advantageous because it is a valuable addition to other methods which allow the production of plants with increased virus resistance, but which affect the phenotype. Because plants which are produced by a method according to the invention and which show transient resistance during development of the virus infection do not exhibit any significant change of phenotype, methods according to the invention which give rise to transient resistance can help, along with other methods, to produce plants which are characterized by more enduring and more stable virus resistance, without having any negative effect upon the phenotype restriction caused by the methods.

The method according to the invention can also be put into practice to produce transgenic plant cells which have increased virus resistancees.

Besides the transgenic plant cells, the subject-matter of the invention is also the transgenic plants obtained by regeneration of the plant cells according to the invention. Transgenic plants and the transgenic plant cells can signify any monocotyledonous or dicotyledonous plant or plant cell, preferably agricultural plants or cells from agricultural plants.

Particularly preferred are plants with storage organs, such as potato. Equally preferred are sugar-beet, tomato, banana, carrot, sugar cane, corn, strawberry, pineapple and papaya.

The invention also relates to the crop products and propagation material of transgenic plants, the cells of which have increased virus resistance. Crop products and propagation material involves in particular fruit, seeds, tubers, rootstocks, germs, cuttings etc. They can also be parts of these plants such as plant cells, protoplasts and calli.

The subject-matter of the invention, therefore, is also transgenic plants which are produced by the method according to the invention and which have the nucleic acid molecules described below integrated into the plant genome or contain these as autonomously replicating molecules. Autonomously replicating virus vectors such as PVX (Ruiz et al., 1998, Plant Cell, 10: 937-946) or TRV (Ratcliff et al., 2001, Plant J., 25, 237-245) which

carry specific sequences for plant DnaJ-like proteins can be e.g. used in order to silence respective DnaJ-like proteins by means of the principle of “virus-induced gene silencing” (VIGS).

In principle, these plants can be any plant. It is preferably a monocotyledonous or dicotyledonous agricultural, food or feed plant. Examples of monocotyledonous plants are plants which belong to the genera *Avena* (oat), *Triticum* (wheat), *Secale* (rye), *Hordeum* (barley), *Oryza* (rice), *Panicum*, *Pennisetum*, *Setaria*, *Sorghum* (millet), *Zea* (maize) and the like. Dicotyledonous agricultural plants include, among others, cotton, legumes such as pulses and in particular alfalfa, soya bean, rape, tomato, sugar-beet, potato, ornamental plants and trees. Further agricultural plants can include fruit (in particular apples, pears, cherries, grapes, citrus fruits, pineapples and bananas), oil palms, tea, cocoa and coffee trees, tobacco, sisal, as well as medical plants such as *rauwolfia* and *digitales*. Particularly favoured are the cereals wheat, rye, oat, barley, rice, maize and millet, sugar beet, rape, soya, tomato, potato and tobacco. Other agricultural plants can be taken from US patent US 6,137,030.

The plant cells according to the invention include differentiated and undifferentiated plant cells including protoplasts which were produced by the method according to the invention and which have integrated the nucleic acid molecules described in the following into the plant genome, or have received these as autonomously replicating molecules.

According to the invention, transgenic plants which are equipped with increased virus resistance can be produced by the expression of plant DnaJ-like proteins being essentially suppressed. Within the framework of the present invention “essentially suppressed” and “substantially prevented”, respectively, means that the level of expression of DnaJ-like proteins in transgenic plants is reduced to a level at which the plants are resistant to viral infections and/or show signs of recovery after the initial symptoms of a viral infection. Within the framework of the present invention, “virus resistant transgenic plants” also means plants which only show slight symptoms of a viral infection, but which are, however, so slight that the applicability and usefulness of the affected plants is not questioned. Within the framework of the present invention, “transiently virus resistant transgenic plants” means plants which, for a certain period – typically at the beginning of a

viral infection – show clear symptoms of virus resistance. Plants according to the invention show an essentially unaltered phenotype, i.e. the use as an agricultural, food or feed plant etc. is not questioned.

With the method for the production of transgenic plants with increased virus resistance according to the invention, the suppression of the expression of plant DnaJ-like proteins can be achieved by means of different strategies. The expression of plant DnaJ-like proteins can be essentially suppressed in transgenic plants e.g. by silencing. With silencing, a nucleic acid which encodes plant DnaJ-like proteins or fragments thereof and/or which is complementary to it, is transferred to the plant. In order to ensure that the plants are transgenic for the transferred nucleic acids, the nucleic acid to be transferred is generally transferred to the plant by means of a vector, such as a plasmid, which is able to stably replicate within the plant cell or to integrate the transferred nucleic acid into the plant genome.

The RNAi method can be preferably used for the silencing of plant DnaJ-like proteins. In so doing, a vector which includes the following elements in 5'-3' direction is transferred to the plant cell: a promoter which is functional in plants; operatively linked to this, a DNA sequence which includes the antisense sequence of the sequence encoding the plant DnaJ-like protein or fragments thereof and which has 3'exon sequences at its 3'end recognizable by the spliceosome; an intron; a DNA sequence which includes the sense sequence of the DNA sequence encoding the plant DnaJ-like protein or fragments thereof and which has 5'exon sequences at its 5'end recognizable by the spliceosome; and a termination sequence. A vector of this type is illustrated in fig. 7. The position of the antisense and sense sequences can, of course, be exchanged. It is clear to the person skilled in the art that the respective 5' and 3' splice sites must be correspondingly adapted.

If vectors of this type are stably transferred to plant cells, the transcription of these vectors gives rise, first of all, to a pre-mRNA which consists of a first exon which includes the antisense sequence of the sequence encoding plant DnaJ-like proteins or fragments thereof, an intron and a second exon which includes the sense sequence of the DNA sequence encoding plant DnaJ-like proteins or fragments thereof. Because the intron is removed by the splicing process, a continuous RNA molecule emerges with regions which are

complementary to each other. This type of RNA molecule will develop a double-stranded structure (Smith et al., 2000, *Nature*, 407:319-320).

These double-stranded RNA molecules are able to specifically silence the mRNA of plant DnaJ-like proteins by induction of the PTGS system so that consequently, plant DnaJ-like proteins are no longer expressed. Exactly which plant DnaJ-like proteins are no longer expressed can be determined by the appropriate choice of antisense and sense sequences. Dependent upon whether these antisense and sense sequences only include the sequence encoding the J domain or fragments thereof, or include sequences which are characteristic for the DnaJ protein in question, it is therefore possible to silence either a multitude of DnaJ-like proteins or just very specific DnaJ-like proteins. The sequences characteristic for a protein can be determined by sequence homology programs, as for the amino acid regions characteristic for the J domain. The discovery of sequences characteristic for proteins is part of the standard knowledge of a person skilled in the art. The person skilled in the art knows that a multitude of plant DnaJ-like proteins can also be silenced by the multiple use of respective characteristic sequences.

The person skilled in the art also knows that, besides the vectors mentioned, other vectors can also be used for the RNAi method or PTGS. These vectors can be produced, e.g. so that the respective sense and antisense sequences can be transcribed starting from a U6 promoter, hybridize in the cell and induce the PTGS system (Tuschl, 2002, *Nat. Biotechnol.* 20, 446-448; Miyagishi et al., 2002, *Nat. Biotechnol.*, 20, 497-500; Lee et al., 2002, *Nat. Biotechnol.*, 20, 500-505). With other vectors, the sense and antisense sequences are linked by a loop sequence and are transcribed by a human RNase P RNA H1 promoter. By folding back the loop, the sense and antisense sequences can hybridize, form double-stranded RNA and induce the PTGS system (Tuschl, 2002, *vide supra*; Paul et al., 2002, *Nat. Biotechnol.*, 20, 505-508; Paddison et al., 2002, *Genes Dev.*, 16, in press, Brummelkamp et al., 2002, *Science*, 296, 550-553).

With another embodiment of the invention, the vectors used to transfer the nucleic acids include in 5'-3'- orientation a promoter, a DNA sequence operatively linked to this which includes the antisense sequence of the sequence encoding plant DnaJ-like proteins or fragments thereof, and a termination sequence. With the transcription of these vectors in

plant cells, an RNA molecule emerges, the sequence of which is complementary to the sequence encoding plant DnaJ-like proteins or fragments thereof. By hybridizing the antisense sequence with endogenous mRNA sequences of plant DnaJ-like proteins in vivo, the expression of plant DnaJ-like proteins can thus be suppressed in plant cells.

With another embodiment, the vectors used to transfer the nucleic acids include in 5'-3'-orientation a promoter, an operatively linked DNA sequence which includes the sequence encoding plant DnaJ-like proteins or fragments thereof and contains self-complementary sections, and a termination sequence. With the transcription of these vectors in the plant cell, RNA molecules emerge which are equipped with sequence regions which can hybridize with each other. By means of this, double-stranded RNA molecules can occur in the cell which induce the PTGS system, and this leads to the mRNA of the respective DnaJ-like proteins being specifically degraded. The process also known as co-suppression for the silencing of plant proteins presupposes that the mRNA of the plant DnaJ-like protein which is to be suppressed, is equipped with sections which are complementary to one another. These sections can be identified by the person skilled in the art by simply making a visual inspection of the DNA sequence encoding the protein in question or by appropriate sequence programs, such as DNASTar of DNASTAR Inc., Madison, USA.

With another embodiment of the method according to the invention, the vectors used for the transfer of the nucleic acids to the plant cells include in 5'-3'-orientation promoters which are functional in plants, a DNA sequence operatively linked to this which encodes a ribozyme which specifically recognizes the mRNA of plant DnaJ-like proteins, and a termination sequence. The person skilled in the art is aware how ribozymes which have an endonuclease activity, aimed at a specific mRNA, can be produced. This is described in detail e.g. in Steinecke et al., 1992, EMBO J., 11: 1525. Within the framework of the present invention, the term "ribozymes" also means those RNA sequences which, besides the actual ribozyme, also include leader sequences which are complementary to the mRNA of the DnaJ-like proteins or fragments thereof, and therefore lead the mRNA-specific ribozyme more specifically to the mRNA substrate of the ribozyme.

Another alternative for the production of transgenic plants with increased virus resistance is offered by the transfer of nucleic acids by means of vectors which include in 5'-3'-

orientation promoters which are functional in plants, a DNA sequence operatively linked to this which includes the antisense sequences of the sequences encoding the plant DnaJ-like proteins or fragments thereof, the sequence encoding RNase P, and a termination sequence. With the transcription of such vectors, RNA molecules emerge in the cell, and these are equipped with a leader sequence (the antisense sequence) which leads the RNase P to the mRNA of the DnaJ-like protein, whereby the cleavage of the mRNA is brought about by RNase P (US Patent No. 5,168,053). The leader sequence preferably includes 10 to 15 nucleotides, which are complementary to the DNA sequence of the DnaJ-like protein, and a 3'-NCCA nucleotide sequence, whereby N is preferably a purine. The transcripts of the external leader sequence bind to the target mRNA by forming base pairs, which allows the cleavage of the mRNA by means of the RNAase P at the nucleotide 5' from the paired region. An mRNA cleaved in this way cannot be translated into a functional protein.

Moreover, for the production of transgenic plants with increased virus resistance according to the invention, vectors can also be used which have a DNA sequence with the following constituents in 5'-3'- orientation: a DNA sequence which corresponds to the 5' region of the DNA sequence encoding DnaJ-like proteins, a DNA sequence for resistance genes as well as a DNA sequence which corresponds to the 3'-region of the sequence encoding plant DnaJ-like proteins. These vectors can be used in order to bring about a specific gene knock-out of the plant DnaJ-like proteins of note by means of homologous recombination. With plant cells in which the homologous recombination has taken place, the sequence for the resistance gene is inserted into the DNA which encodes the plant DnaJ-like protein, so that no more functional mRNA of the plant protein similar to DNA can be produced in the cell. By selection against the resistance gene, the plant cells, in which the recombination has taken place, can be identified. The person skilled in the art is aware of how these vectors for gene knock-out by means of homologous recombination are to be constructed, which elements they must contain (promoters, enhancers, flanking sequences) and how the knock-out plant cells are identified. Typically, antibiotic resistance genes are used as resistance genes. Other resistance genes which allow a selection of the cells in which the recombination has taken place can of course also be used.

If nucleic acid sequences which encode plant DnaJ-like proteins or fragments thereof are mentioned within the framework of the present invention, the complete coding DNA sequence of the respective plant DnaJ-like protein in question is meant, as well as the complete mRNA sequence and the respective fragments. Because some of the aforementioned methods for the production of transgenic plants, in which the expression of plant DnaJ-like proteins is significantly reduced, are based upon the fact that a specific hybridization between the endogenous mRNA of the plant DnaJ-like proteins and the sequences which emerge with the transcription of the aforementioned vectors (such as the antisense strategy), the person skilled in the art knows that the transferred nucleic acids do not always have to contain the whole sequence encoding DnaJ-like proteins, no matter whether dealing with the sense or antisense sequence. In fact, for a specific hybridization, relatively small regions of the sequences coding for DnaJ-like proteins can be sufficient for efficient silencing.

With vectors, the transcription of which leads to double-stranded RNA molecules, it is sufficient if the sequences which correspond to the sequence regions of the mRNA of plant DnaJ-like proteins, include around 25 nucleotides. The transferred sequences generally include between 25 and 1000 nucleotides, preferably between 25 and 750 nucleotides, especially preferably around 400 to 800 and 500 to 750 nucleotides. Sequences can also be used, however, which include between 25 and 500 nucleotides, between 25 and 300 nucleotides, between 25 and 150 nucleotides and between 25 and 100 nucleotides.

The person skilled in the art knows that, with the RNAi or PTGS, the sense and antisense RNAs used to form double-stranded RNA molecules can also include around 21 to 23 nucleotides with a characteristic 3' overhang (Tuschl, 2002, Nat. Biotechnol. 20, 446-448).

When nucleic acids are transferred to plant cells, the transcription of which leads to sequences in the cell which are complementary to the mRNA of the plant DnaJ-like proteins (e.g. with the antisense strategy), the sequences do not then have to be one hundred percent complementary to the mRNA. In fact, it is sufficient if these sequences are at least 50% complementary, preferably at least 60%, especially preferably at least 70%, also especially preferably at least 80%, particularly preferably at least 90% and most preferably at least 95%. The deviations here can arise as a result of deletion, addition,

substitution and/or insertion. It is of course clear to the person skilled in the art that with reduced complementarity the probability increases that several DnaJ-like proteins are silenced.

It is generally the case that only those complementary sequences can be used according to the invention which are able to hybridize specifically with the mRNA regions of the plant DnaJ-like proteins. Sequences which hybridize in vivo with RNA regions of proteins other than plant DnaJ-like proteins and cause their silencing, are not suitable for the method according to the invention. Depending upon the sequence chosen, i.e. whether the sequence corresponds to the J domain or to the sequence regions characteristic for the DnaJ-like protein in question, and depending upon the degree of complementarity, a multitude or just a few plant DnaJ-like proteins are silenced. Possibly, only the expression of a very specific DnaJ-like protein is suppressed. The length of complementary sequences is preferably between 20 and 1000 nucleotides, also preferably between 20 and 750 nucleotides, especially preferably between 20 and 500 nucleotides, also especially preferably between 20 and 300 nucleotides, particularly preferably between 20 and 150 nucleotides, also particularly preferably between 20 and 75 nucleotides, and most preferably around 20 and 50 nucleotides. Possibly, the sequences can only include around 20 or 25 nucleotides.

Some of the aforementioned methods can also be carried out with sequences which are not a constituent of the coding part of the mRNA of plant DnaJ-like proteins or are complementary to the same. It can e.g. be sufficient to have sequences from the 5'- or 3'- untranslated region, provided that these regulatory sequences are characteristic for the mRNA of the DnaJ-like protein or the DnaJ-like proteins.

Such sequences can be used in particular if the silencing is induced by double-stranded RNA constructs or the translation of an mRNA is inhibited by antisense constructs. According to the invention, the term mRNA therefore covers not only the coding components of the mRNA of plant DnaJ-like proteins, but also all regulatory sequences which occur in the pre-mRNA or mature mRNA and which are characteristic for the mRNA of DnaJ-like proteins. This also applies to the DNA sequence. This can involve

e.g. 5'- and 3'-untranslated regions, promoter sequences, upstream activating sequences, introns, etc.

If vectors are used, the transcription of which leads to RNA molecules which consist of a leader sequence and RNase P, the leader sequence must be sufficiently complementary so as to specifically recognize plant DnaJ-like proteins. The region of the mRNA of the plant DnaJ-like proteins recognized by the leader sequence can be chosen according to the requirements in question. Preferably, such leader sequences include around 20 nucleotides, but they should not, however, be significantly shorter than 15 nucleotides. With 100% complementarity of the leader sequence, 12 nucleotides should also be sufficient. Of course, the leader sequences can include up to 100 nucleotides or more because in this way their specificity for the mRNA in question is merely increased.

When sense sequences are mentioned within the framework of the present invention, one means those sequences which correspond to the coding strand of the genes for plant DnaJ-like proteins or fragments thereof. These sequences must not, however, be 100% identical to the sequences encoding the plant DnaJ-like proteins which are of interest. It is sufficient if the sequences are sufficiently similar to the sequences encoding DnaJ-like proteins, so that their expression in plant cells leads to an efficient and specific silencing of plant DnaJ-like proteins in the cell e.g. by RNA interference or co-suppression. It should be sufficient if these sequences are at least 50% identical, preferably at least 60%, especially preferably at least 70%, also especially preferably at least 80%, particularly preferably at least 90% and most preferably at least 95%. Such degrees of identity according to the invention are established so that the sequences are homologous to one another or show homology. Deviations to the sequence encoding DnaJ-like proteins or fragments thereof can arise as a result of deletion, addition, substitution and/or insertion. Of course it is clear to the person skilled in the art that as identity decreases the probability increases that several DnaJ-like proteins will be silenced. Sequences, the degree of identity or homology of which is so low that proteins other than plant DnaJ-like proteins are silenced, are not sufficiently specific and not suitable for the method according to the invention.

The person skilled in the art is familiar with the terms complementarity, homology and identity.

According to the invention, sequence homology and homology generally mean that the nucleic acid or the amino acid sequence of a DNA molecule or a protein is at least 40% identical to the nucleic acid or amino acid sequences of a known DNA or RNA molecule or protein, preferably at least 50%, more preferably at least 60%, also more preferably at least 70% and/or 75%, especially preferably at least 80%, 82%, 84%, 86% and/or 88%, particularly preferably at least 90%, 92% and/or 94% and most preferably at least 95%, 96%, 97%, 98% and/or 99%.

The term complementarity describes the ability of a nucleic acid molecule to hybridize with another nucleic acid molecule using hydrogen bonds between complementary bases. The person skilled in the art knows that two nucleic acid molecules do not have to have 100 percent complementarity in order to have to hybridize with one another. A nucleic acid sequence which will hybridize with another nucleic acid sequence is preferably at least 40% complementary, at least 50%, at least 60%, preferably at least 70%, especially preferably at least 80%, also especially preferably at least 90%, particularly preferably at least 95%, and most preferably at least 98 or 100%.

Nucleic acid molecules are identical if they have the same nucleotides in the same 5'-3'-orientation.

Similarly, if antisense sequences are mentioned according to the invention, sequences are meant which correspond to the non-coding DNA strand of the gene of the plant DnaJ-like proteins which are of interest. Of course these sequences do not have to be one hundred percent identical with the sequence of the non-coding DNA strand of the genes of the DnaJ-like proteins which are of interest, but they can show the aforementioned degrees of homology. This circumstance is also expressed by the fact that antisense sequences which, by definition, are complementary to the mRNA of a gene, must not be 100% complementary to this mRNA. They can, e.g. also be at least 50% complementary, preferably at least 60% complementary, especially preferably at least 70% complementary, also especially preferably at least 80% complementary, particularly preferably at least 90% complementary and most preferably at least 95%, 98% and/or 100% complementary. As stated above, it is sufficient if the antisense sequences are able to hybridize specifically

with the mRNA of the plant DnaJ-like proteins which are of interest. The hybridization can either take place *in vivo* under cellular conditions or *in vitro*.

The hybridization of an antisense sequence with an endogenous mRNA sequence typically takes place *in vivo* under cellular conditions or *in vitro*.

According to the invention, hybridization *in vitro* is always carried out under conditions which are sufficiently stringent so as to guarantee a specific hybridization. Such stringent hybridization conditions are known to the person skilled in the art and can be taken from the literature (Sambrook et al., 2001, Molecular cloning: A laboratory manual, 3rd edition, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press).

In general, to “specifically hybridize” means that a molecule preferentially binds under stringent conditions to a specific nucleotide sequence if this sequence is in a complex mixture of (e.g. total) DNA or RNA. The term “stringent conditions” generally stands for conditions under which a nucleic acid sequence will preferentially hybridize to its target sequence and to a considerably lesser extent or not at all to other sequences. Stringent conditions are partly sequence-dependent and will be different under different conditions. Longer sequences hybridize specifically at higher temperatures.

In general, stringent conditions are chosen so that the temperature is approx. 5°C below the thermal melting point (T_m) for the specific sequence with a defined ion strength and a defined pH. The T_m is the temperature (under defined ion strength, pH and nucleic acid concentration) at which 50% of the molecules complementary to the target sequence hybridize to the target sequence in a state of equilibrium. Typically, stringent conditions are those under which the salt concentration is at least approx. 0.01 to 1.0 M sodium ion concentration (or another salt) with a pH of between 7.0 and 8.3, and the temperature is at least approx. 30°C for short molecules (that is e.g. 10 – 50 nucleotides). In addition, stringent conditions, as mentioned above, can be achieved by adding destabilizing agents, such as formamide for example.

Typical hybridization and wash buffers have, e.g. the following composition:

Prehybridization solution:

0.5% SDS
 5x SSC
 50 mM NaPO₄, pH 6.8
 0.1% Na pyrophosphate
 5x Denhardt's solution
 100 µg/ml salmon sperm

Hybridisation solution: Prehybridization solution
 1x10⁶ cpm/ml probe (5-10 min 95°C)

20x SSC: 3 M NaCl
 0,3 M sodium citrate
 ad pH 7 with HCl

50x Denhardt's reagent: 5 g Ficoll
 5 g polyvinyl pyrrolidone
 5g bovine serum albumine
 ad 500 ml A. dest.

A typical method for hybridization is as follows:

Optional: wash blot 30 min in 1 x SSC/ 0.1% SDS at 65°C

Prehybridization: at least 2 hrs at 50-55°C

Hybridisation: over night at 55-60°C

<i>Wash:</i>	05 min	2x SSC/ 0.1% SDS	hybridization temp.
	30 min	2x SSC/ 0.1% SDS	hybridization temp.
	30 min	1x SSC/ 0.1% SDS	hybridization temp.
	45 min	0.2x SSC/ 0.1% SDS	65°C
	5 min	0.1x SSC	room temperature

The person skilled in the art knows that the specified solutions and the protocol shown can or must be modified dependent upon the application.

The person skilled in the art is also acquainted with the terms "sense" and "antisense".

The person skilled in the art entrusted with the silencing of genes in plants also knows from the state of the art how long the nucleic acid molecules to be used for the silencing must be and which homology and complementarity they must have to the sequences which are currently of interest. According to the invention, anti-sequences, which e.g. cannot

hybridize specifically with coding sense sequences of plant DnaJ-like proteins in vivo and/or in vitro, i.e. which hybridize also with the coding sense sequences of other protein classes, cannot be used.

The vectors which are used for the silencing of plant DnaJ-like proteins include regulatory elements in addition to the nucleic acid sequence to be transferred. Exactly which regulatory elements these vectors should contain depends upon the respective method which is to be put into practice with these vectors. The person skilled in the art who is entrusted with the aforementioned different methods for the production of transgenic plants in which the expression of a protein is suppressed, is aware of which regulatory elements and any other elements these vectors must contain.

Typically the regulatory elements which the vectors contain are those which guarantee transcription and, if so desired, translation within the plant cell.

The nucleic acid sequences to be transferred can therefore, for example, be under the control of promoters which are functional in plants. These promoters can be constitutive, induceable, tissue- or development-specific promoters. Moreover, they can also be virus-specific promoters. In this way e.g. transgenic plants can be produced which, under normal circumstances, express the plant DnaJ-like proteins, but if attacked by a virus, silence the genes for DnaJ-like proteins by means of the virus-specific promoter in the cells first affected.

Typically, the constitutive 35S promoter will be used as a promoter for vectors. Moreover, other promoters can, of course, be used, which are obtained from different sources, such as plants or plant viruses, and which are suitable for the expression of genes in plants. The choice of promoter and of other regulatory sequences determines the local and temporal expression pattern and also the silencing of the plant DnaJ-like proteins in transgenic plants.

Besides additional constitutive promoters, such as the actin promoter (McElroy et al., 1990, Plant Cell, 2:163) and the ubiquitin promoter (Binet et al., 1991, Plant Science, 79:87), the tissue-specific promoters of the phosphoenol pyruvate carboxylase from corn

(Hudspeth et al., 1989, Plant Mol. Biol., 12:579) or of the fructose 1,6-bisphosphatase from potato (W0 98/18940), which determine the leaf-specific expression, can also be considered. Wound-, light- or pathogen-induced and other development-dependent promoters or control sequences can also be used (Xu et al., 1993, Plant Mol. Biol. 22:573; Logemann et al., 1989, Plant Cell, 1:151; Stockhaus et al., 1989, Plant Cell, 1:805; Puente et al., 1996, EMBO J., 15:3732; Gough et al., 1995, Mol. Gen. Genet., 247:323). A summary of useable control sequences can be found, e.g. in Zuo et al., 2000, Curr. Opin. Biotech., 11:146.

Appropriate promoters also include promoters which guarantee an expression solely in photosynthetically active tissues, e.g. the ST-LS1 promoter (Stockhaus *et al.* (1987) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 84: 7943-7947; Stockhaus *et al.* (1989) EMBO J. 8:2445-2451). Promoters can also be used which are active during the plant transformation, the plant regeneration or specific stages of these processes, such as cell division-specific promoters such as the Histon H3 promoter (Kaprois *et al.* (1993) In Vitro Cell Dev. Biol. Plant 29:27-32) or the chemically inducible Tet-repressor system (Gatz *et al.* (1991) Mol. Gen. Genet. 227:229-237). Other suitable promoters can be taken from the literature, e.g. Ward (1993, Plant Mol. Biol. 22:361-366). The same applies for inducible and cell- or tissue-specific promoters, such as meristem-specific promoters, which have also been described in the literature and are suitable within the framework of the invention.

Other inducible promoters include virus-inducible promoters such as the ACMV virion sense promoter (Hong et al., 1996, Virology, 220:119-227) which is induced by the gene product AC2. Moreover, all promoters of such proteins which are induced in virus-infested tissues, such as phenylalanine ammonium lyase, chalcone synthase, hydroxyproline-rich glycoprotein, extensin, pathogenesis-related proteins (e.g. PR-1a) and wound-inducible protease inhibitors (US 6,013,864), are suitable.

Moreover, the average person skilled in the art is able to isolate additional suitable promoters by means of routine methods. The person skilled in the art, with the help of established molecular biology methods, e.g. hybridization experiments or DNA-protein-binding studies, can thus identify storage organ-specific regulatory nucleic acid elements. In so doing, e.g. in a first step the whole poly(A)⁺-RNA is isolated from the storage organ

tissue of the required organism from which the regulatory sequences are to be isolated, and a cDNA library is generated. In a second step, and with the help of cDNA clones which are based on poly(A)⁺-RNA molecules from a non-storage organ tissue, those clones, the corresponding poly(A)⁺-RNA molecules of which only accumulate in the tissue of the storage organ, are identified from the first library by means of hybridization. Finally, with the help of these cDNAs identified in this way, promoters are isolated which are equipped with storage organ-specific regulatory elements. Other methods based on PCR are available to the person skilled in the art for the isolation of appropriate storage organ-specific promoters.

Another embodiment uses the promoter of the class I patatin gene B33 from potato. Other favoured promoters are those which are particularly active in fruits. These include, for example, the promoter of a polygalacturonase gene, e.g. from tomato, which mediates expression during the maturation of tomato fruits (Nicholass *et al.*) (1995) Plant Mol. Biol. 28:423-435; this state of the art describes the analysis of promoter/GUS fusion constructs), the promoter of an ACC oxidase, e.g. from apple, which mediates maturity and fruit specificity in transgenic tomatoes (Atkinson *et al.* (1998) Plant Mol. Biol. 38:449-460; this state of the art also discloses promoter/GUS expression analyses), or the 2A11 promoter from tomato (van Haaren *et al.* (1991) Plant Mol. Biol. 17:615-630, also describes promoter/GUS fusions).

Also in the case of fruit-specific promoters, the person skilled in the art can take other suitable promoters from the literature, or as described above for storage organ-specific promoters, isolate them by means of routine methods.

The person skilled in the art knows that the use of induceable promoters allows the production of plants and plant cells which only transiently express, and so only transiently silence the sequences according to the invention. Such a transient expression allows the production of plants which only show transient virus resistance. Such a transient resistance can e.g. be desirable if there is the risk of virus contamination and the plants therefore need to be resistant to the virus only for a particular length of time. The person skilled in the art is aware of other situations in which transient resistance is desirable. The person skilled in the art is also aware that, by the use of vectors which do not stably

replicate in plant cells and which carry the respective sequences for the silencing of plant DnaJ-like proteins, he can achieve transient expression and therefore also transient silencing and transient resistance.

The vectors according to the invention can also include other e.g. enhancer elements as regulatory elements. In addition they can contain resistance genes, replication signals and other DNA regions which allow the propagation of the vectors in bacteria such as *E.coli*. The regulatory elements also include sequences which bring about stabilisation of the vectors in the host cells. In particular, these regulatory elements include sequences which allow stable integration of the vector into the plant's host genome or an autonomous replication of the vector in the plant cells. The person skilled in the art is acquainted with this type of regulatory elements.

With the so-called termination sequences one means sequences which ensure that the transcription or the translation is properly terminated. If the transferred nucleic acids are to be translated, they are typically stopcodons and corresponding regulatory sequences; if the transferred nucleic acids are only to be transcribed, they are generally poly-A sequences.

According to the invention, vectors means plasmids, cosmids, viruses and other current vectors known in the field of gene technology, with which nucleic acid molecules can be transferred to plants or plant cells.

In order to prepare the introduction of foreign genes into higher plants or the cells of the same, a large number of cloning vectors are available which contain a replication signal for *E.coli* and a marker gene for the selection of transformed bacterial cells. Examples of such vectors are pBR322, pUC series, M13mp series, pACYC184, etc. The required sequence can be introduced into the vector at an appropriate restriction site. The plasmid obtained is used for the transformation of *E.coli* cells. Transformed *E.coli* cells are cultivated in an appropriate medium, and finally harvested and lysed. The plasmid is recovered. As an analysis method for characterizing the plasmid DNA obtained, methods such as restriction analyses, gel electrophoreses and other biochemical/molecular biological methods are generally used. Following each manipulation the plasmid DNA can be cleaved and the DNA fragments obtained can be combined with other DNA sequences. Each plasmid

DNA sequence can be cloned into the same or other plasmids. Standard cloning methods can be taken from Sambrook et al., 2001 (Molecular cloning: A laboratory manual, 3rd edition, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press).

For the introduction of DNA into a plant host cell, there are a number of well-known techniques available, whereby the person skilled in the art can determine the appropriate method in each case without any problem. These techniques include the transformation of plant cells with T-DNA by using *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* or *Agrobacterium rhizogenes* as a transformation agent, the fusion of protoplasts, the direct gene transfer of isolated DNA into protoplasts, the electroporation of DNA, the introduction of DNA by means of the biolistic method, as well as other possibilities. In so doing, both stable and transient transformants can be generated.

With the injection and electroporation of DNA into plant-cells there are no special requirements per se for the plasmids used. The same applies for direct gene transfer. Simple plasmids, such as pUC derivatives can be used. If, however, whole plants are to be regenerated from such transformed cells, the presence of a selectable marker gene is necessary. The person skilled in the art is acquainted with the current selection markers, and he will have no problem in selecting an appropriate marker. Standard selection markers are those which mediate resistance to a biocide or an antibiotic such as kanamycin, G418, bleomycin, hygromycin, methotrexat, glyphosat, streptomycin, sulfonyl urea, gentamycin or phosphinotricin and suchlike, to the transformed plant cell.

Dependent upon the method of introduction of the desired gene into the plant cell, other DNA sequences may be required. For example, if the Ti or Ri plasmid is used for the transformation of the plant cell, at least the right flanking region, often however the right and the left flanking region of the T-DNA contained in the Ti or Ri plasmid must be linked as a flanking region with the gene to be introduced.

If agrobacteria are used for the transformations, the DNA to be introduced must be cloned in special plasmids, either in an intermediary or in a binary vector. Based on sequences which are homologous to sequences in the T-DNA, the intermediary vectors can be integrated into the Ti or Ri plasmid of the agrobacteria by homologous recombination.

This plasmid also contains the *vir* region necessary for the transfer of the T-DNA. Intermediary vectors cannot replicate in agrobacteria. By means of a helper plasmid, the intermediary vector can be transferred to *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* (conjugation). Binary vectors can replicate in *E.coli* as well as in agrobacteria. They contain a selection marker gene and a linker or polylinker which are framed by the right and left T-DNA border regions. They can be transformed directly into the agrobacteria (Holsters et al. (1978), Molecular and General Genetics 163, 181-187). The agrobacterium serving as a host cell should contain a plasmid which carries a *vir* region. The *vir* region is necessary for the transfer of the T-DNA into the plant cell. T-DNA can also be present. This type of transformed agrobacterium is used for the transformation of plant cells.

The use of T-DNA for the transformation of plant cells has been intensively investigated and is described sufficiently in EP 120 515.

For the transfer of DNA into the plant cell, plant explants can be cultivated specifically for this purpose with *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* or *Agrobacterium rhizogenes*. From the infected plant material (for example, pieces of leaf, stem segments, roots, but also protoplasts or suspension-cultivated plant cells) whole plants can be regenerated in an appropriate medium which can contain antibiotics or biocides for the selection of transformed cells. The regeneration of the plants takes place according to standard regeneration methods and using the common nutrient solutions. The plants and plant cells obtained in this way can be examined for the presence of the DNA introduced.

The person skilled in the art is acquainted with other possibilities for the introduction of foreign DNA using the biolistic method or by protoplast transformation (see L. Willmitzer (1993) Transgenic Plants in: Biotechnology, A Multi-Volume Comprehensive Treatise (publisher: H.J. Rehm et al.), volume 2, 627-659, VCH Weinheim, Germany).

Whereas the transformation of dicotyledonous plants or their cells by means of Ti plasmid vector systems with the help of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* is well established, new work points to the fact that monocotyledonous plants or their cells are also very accessible to transformation by means of vectors based on agrobacteria (see e.g. Chan et al. (1993), Plant Mol. Biol. 22, 491-506).

Alternative systems for the transformation of monocotyledonous plants or their cells are transformation by means of the biolistic approach (Wan and Lemaux (1994) *Plant Physiol.* 104, 37-48; Vasil et al. (1993) *Bio/Technology* 11, 1553-1558; Ritala et al. (1994) *Plant Mol. Bio.* 24, 317-325; Spencer et al. (1990), *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 79, 625-631), protoplast transformation, electroporation of partially permeabilised cells as well as the introduction of DNA by means of glass tissues.

The transformed cells grow within the plant in the normal way (see also McCormick et al. (1986), *Plant Cell Reports* 5, 81-84). The resulting plants can be raised in the normal way and be crossed with plants which have the same transformed genetic disposition or other genetic dispositions. The resulting hybrid individuals have the respective phenotypical properties.

Two or more generations should be raised in order to ensure that the phenotypical feature remains stable and is inherited. Seeds should be harvested as well so as to ensure that the respective phenotype or other characteristics are maintained.

Similarly, by using the standard methods, transgenic lines can be determined which are homozygous for the new nucleic acid molecules and their phenotypical characteristics with regard to a present or non-present pathogen responsiveness is investigated and compared with that from hemizygous lines.

Of course, plant cells which contain the nucleic acid molecules according to the invention and plant cells (including protoplasts, calli, suspension cultures and suchlike) can further be cultivated.

The vectors described above can be transferred to plant cells in various ways. Whether the vectors are in linear or circular form depends upon the application in question. The person skilled in the art knows whether and when he can use respective linearized vectors or not. For example, the person skilled in the art knows that, for the production of specific knock-outs of genes for DnaJ-like proteins by homologous recombination, it can suffice to linearize the corresponding vectors and inject them into transgenic plants.

According to the invention, the term transgenic plants includes not only the plant in its entirety, but also the plant components in which, according to the invention, the expression of plant DnaJ-like proteins which interact with viral components, is suppressed. These plant components can be plant cells, plant seeds, leaves, blossoms and pollen. According to the invention, "transgenic plant" also means the propagation material from transgenic plants according to the invention, such as seeds, fruits, cuttings, tubers, roots pieces etc., whereby this propagation material, if necessary, contains transgenic plant cells as described above, as well as parts of these plants such as protoplasts, plant cells and calli.

With the production of transgenic plants, there are several different methods and possibilities, as already described above. In general, plants and plant cells can be modified with the help of conventional gene-technological transformation methods in such a way that the new nucleic acid molecules are integrated into the plant genome, i.e. so that stable transformants are produced and the nucleic acid molecules introduced are replicated with the plant genome. Dependent upon the vector system used, it is also possible according to the invention, to produce plants with which the nucleic acids to be transferred are contained in the plant cell or the plant as an independently replicating system. The vectors used for transfer of the plants must then accordingly have DNA sequences which allow the replication of plasmids used to transfer within the cell.

Another method according to the invention for the production of transgenic plants with increased virus resistance implies the expression of dominant negative mutants of plant DnaJ-like proteins in the plant or in the plant cells. By introducing dominant negative mutants into the endogenous plant DnaJ-like proteins, non-transgenic plants and plant cells can be produced according to the invention which are equipped with increased virus resistance.

"Dominant negative mutants" means plant DnaJ-like proteins according to the invention which have mutations and/or deletions which prevent the plant DnaJ-like proteins from interacting either with the viral components and/or with the other components of the chaperone system.

If these dominant negative mutants are expressed or overexpressed in the transgenic cell or plant, they are able to outcompete the interaction of the viral components with the wild type DnaJ-like proteins or the interaction of the wild type DnaJ-like proteins with the other factors of the chaperone system, so that the virus has no possibility of propagation. It is surprising with this method that it allows the production of transgenic plants which are equipped with increased virus resistance, and at the same time have an essentially normal phenotype, even though such dominant negative mutants should have a negative effect upon the endogenous chaperone system of plant cells.

The person skilled in the art is aware that virus resistant transgenic plants and plant cells according to the invention can be produced not only by the expression or overexpression of dominant negative mutants of plant DnaJ-like proteins, but of course also by the expression or overexpression of dominant negative mutants of DnaJ-like proteins from other organisms. This can involve DnaJ proteins from prokaryotes such as *E.coli* or the homologues of the same, and orthologues from eukaryotes such as yeasts (e.g. *S. cerevisiae*), *C.elegans* or higher mammals such as mouse, rat and human. It is a prerequisite that the expression of these dominant negative mutants gives rise to competition of the endogenous plant DnaJ-like proteins with viral components and/or their cellular interaction partners. The DnaJ-like proteins from other organisms can be identified by the database analyses and homology comparisons described above. It is a prerequisite that they are equipped with a J domain.

These transgenic plants which express dominant negative mutants from DnaJ-like proteins, and preferably plant DnaJ-like proteins, can be produced by transferring to plant cells a vector which contains the following DNA sequences in 5'-3'- orientation: a promoter which is functional in plants, a DNA sequence operatively linked to this and which includes the sequence encoding DnaJ-like proteins, preferably plant DnaJ-like proteins or fragments thereof, whereby this sequence has mutations or deletions which have a negative effect upon the interaction of DnaJ-like proteins with viral components or other factors of the chaperone system, as well as a termination signal.

With the promoters and the termination signals, they can be the same promoters and signals as already mentioned above. The same applies, of course, to all additional

regulatory elements and the type of the vectors to be used. The person skilled in the art will choose the corresponding regulatory fragments and the respective vector to correspond to the respective requirements.

The person skilled in the art can identify dominant negative mutations and/or deletions by simple routine experimentation. A series of mutations in the J domain are well known which e.g. inhibit interaction with the DnaK-like proteins and their homologues. To these belong, in particular, mutations within the three regions which encode helix I to III, in particular the HPD tripeptide (Kelley, 1999, Current Biology, 9, 305-308).

Mutations which specifically prevent the interaction of DnaJ-like proteins, and preferably the plant DnaJ-like proteins, with the viral components can be determined in the simplest of ways by producing recombinant DnaJ-like proteins, preferably plant DnaJ-like proteins, which carry the different mutations and/or deletions, and testing these recombinant proteins in binding assays with viral components. In the same way, dominant negative mutants of plant DnaJ-like proteins can be determined which are no longer able to interact with the cellular binding partners.

In the same way, e.g. *in vitro* binding tests can be used to test whether dominant negative mutants of DnaJ-like proteins, and preferably plant DnaJ-like proteins, are able to compete the interaction of the plant wild type DnaJ-like proteins with the viral components and/or the cellular binding partners.

Dominant negative mutations means all types of mutation, i.e. insertions, deletions and point mutations, which are able to prevent the interaction of plant DnaJ-like proteins with viral factors and/or cellular binding partners.

With the method according to the invention for the production of transgenic plants with increased virus resistance by the expression of dominant negative mutants of DnaJ-like proteins, a modulation of the level of DnaJ-like proteins interacting with virus components and no silencing of the host factors takes place, which gives the additional advantage that this mechanism offers no direct point of attack for virus-encoded suppressors, as occurs with RNAi mediated gene silencing.

For the production of transgenic plants with increased resistance to potyviruses, e.g. dominant negative mutants of the DnaJ-like proteins NtCPIP1 and NtCPIP2a, in which the first 65 or 66 amino acids are missing (NtCPIP1 Δ 65N) and NtCPIP2a Δ 66N) can be stably expressed in plant cells. These dominant negative mutants of NtCPIP1 and NtCPIP2a are not equipped with the J domain, but can still interact with the capsid protein (CP) of PVY. These dominant negative mutants compete in their expression with the endogenous WT DnaJ-like proteins for the binding to the PVY-CP and so inhibit the spreading of the virus. The person skilled in the art is acquainted with the expression of recombinant proteins in plant cells and the vectors and plasmids useable for this. Analogically, other DnaJ-like proteins such as NtCPIP2b and NtDnaJ-M541, the N terminus of which is deleted, can be used to produce transgenic plants with increased virus resistance. Similarly, for example, the DnaJ-like proteins from *A. thaliana* already mentioned above can be used as dominant negative mutants in which the J domain has been deleted.

The person skilled in the art knows how point mutations, insertion mutations or deletion mutations can be introduced in the coding nucleic acid sequences for DnaJ-like proteins. PCR techniques can, e.g., be favoured for the introduction of point mutations ("PCR technology: Principle and Applications for DNA Amplification", H. Ehrlich, id., Stockton Press). Examples for the introduction of point mutations or deletion mutations into DNA sequences encoding proteins can be found in the examples.

Transgenic plants which have increased virus resistance can also be produced in such a way, according to the invention, that e.g. a recombinant antibody which specifically blocks or competes the interaction of plant DnaJ-like proteins with the viral components or the factors of the chaperone system, can be expressed in the plants. These antibodies can, in particular, be directed against the J domain. The person skilled in the art is acquainted with how such recombinant antibodies against a specific domain of plant DnaJ-like proteins can be isolated and identified, and this information can be taken from the literature (Harlow et al., 1999, Using antibodies: a laboratory manual, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press).

According to the invention, recombinant antibodies means the different known forms of recombinant antibodies, as described e.g. in Skerra et al. (Curr. Opin. Immunol. (1993) 2, 250-262). The recombinant antibodies according to the invention include here the so-called Fab fragments, Fv fragments, scFv antibodies, scFv homodimers, which are joined together by means of disulfite bonds, and so-called VH chains. The Fab fragments consist of assembled entire light and shortened heavy chains, whereas Fv fragments consist of non-covalently joined VH and VL chains. There is an overview of the fragments and recombinant antibodies specified in Conrad et al. (Plant Mol. Biol. (1998) 38, 101-109). The Fab and Fv fragments specified can associate with one another *in vivo*.

However, because this process possibly does not run very efficiently, the use of scFv antibodies is favoured according to the invention. These consist of the variable region of the light chain and the variable region of the heavy chain which are fused together by means of a flexible linker peptide. The production of such scFv antibodies has been intensively described in the prior art (see e.g. Conrad et al., *vide supra*; Breitling et al. (1999) Recombinant Antibodies, John Wiley & Sons, New York). The scFv antibodies exhibit the same antigen specificity and activity as normal antibodies, but do not have to be assembled *in vivo* from individual chains in the same way as natural or recombinant antibodies. They are therefore particularly suitable for the method according to the invention.

In the references given above, it is shown in detail how nucleic acid sequences which encode the scFv antibodies favoured according to the invention, can be isolated and produced by the person skilled in the art.

One normally starts with existing hybridoma cell lines which produce monoclonal antibodies. After that, cDNAs encoding the light and heavy chains of the antibody are isolated, and the second step is to fuse together the coding regions for the variable region of the light and the heavy chain into one molecule.

Another way of producing recombinant antibodies, with which the person skilled in the art is acquainted, is the screening of libraries of recombinant antibodies (so-called "phage display libraries", see also Hoogenboom et al. (2000) Immunology Today 21, 371-378;

Winter et al. (1994) *Annu. Rev. Immunol.* 12, 433-455; De Wildt et al. (2000) *Nat. Biotechnol.* 18, 989-994). By means of procedures known to the person skilled in the art, this method can be used to enrich, select and isolate recombinant antibodies against a specific antigen.

Moreover, according to the invention transgenic plants with increased virus resistance can be produced by the expression of inhibitors which specifically inhibit the interaction of DnaJ-like proteins with viral components or other factors of the cellular chaperone system. These inhibitors can be e.g. peptides which bind in the respective binding pockets of the DnaJ-like proteins for the interaction with the viral components or other factors of the chaperone system.

Another possibility for the production of transgenic plants with increased virus resistance involves overexpressing just the J domain. In this way, the interaction of viral components with plant DnaJ-like proteins can be outcompeted. Typically, the overexpressed J domain has the SEQ ID NO:7 or is homologous to this. By the overexpression of the J domain, the interaction of the endogenous plant DnaJ-like proteins which interact with viral components is competed with the cellular binding partners of the same, so that propagation of the virus is inhibited.

Examples are given below of how plant DnaJ-like proteins which interact with viral components, can be identified, how the binding specificity of these plant DnaJ-like proteins can be determined, and how transgenic plants with increased virus resistance can be produced by silencing of the plant DnaJ-like proteins. These examples are not exclusive.

Further subject-matter of the present invention involves plant cells and plants in which the endogenous genes of plant DnaJ-like proteins have mutations, i.e. substitutions, insertions and/or deletions, which lead to the fact that the endogenous DnaJ-like proteins expressed are no longer able, or only able under certain circumstances, to interact with viral factors and/or their endogenous cellular binding partners. Plants or plant cells, which contain these endogenous gene copies for DnaJ-like proteins showing mutations, can be distinguished by increased transient or permanent virus resistance to the above specified

virus groups and strains, like the transgenic plants and plant cells described above. Such plants and plant cells which, unlike the plants and plant cells specified above, are not transgenic can be produced by conventional mutagenesis.

According to the invention, these non-transgenic plants or plant cells must, however, exhibit the above-specified types of mutation in the genes encoding plant DnaJ-like proteins, which lead to a modulation of the expression of the plant DnaJ-like proteins and/or the binding characteristics of the plant DnaJ-like proteins. Modulation of the expression of the endogenous plant DnaJ-like proteins can e.g. mean that by means of mutations in regulatory DNA elements of the genes of the plant DnaJ-like proteins, such as promoters, enhancers or generally so-called “upstream activating sequences”, the expression of endogenous plant DnaJ-like proteins is downregulated.

Within the framework of the present invention, the modulation of the binding characteristics of the plant DnaJ-like proteins means that the above-specified types of mutation lead to a change of the binding characteristics of the endogenous plant DnaJ-like proteins to the viral factors and/or the normal cellular binding partners. Preferred is a modulation of the binding characteristics of the DnaJ-like proteins which leads to the fact that these interact no longer, or only under certain circumstances, with viral factors and/or their cellular partners. A combination of the modulation of the expression and the binding characteristics of the plant DnaJ-like proteins is also possible.

For example, plants or plant cells can have mutations in the gene sequences for DnaJ-like proteins which lead to the reduction of the expression of these proteins. Other plants or plant cells have mutations which lead to the dominant negative mutants described above. In both cases, plants with increased virus resistance are obtained.

The person skilled in the art is aware that e.g. plants and plant cells can also be produced by mutagenesis which, because of mutations in enhancer and/or promoter sequences of the genes for plant DnaJ-like proteins, show a reduction of the expression of these proteins, and at the same time show mutations in the coding regions of the genes encoding plant DnaJ-like proteins, which give rise to the fact that the remaining expressed plant DnaJ-like proteins can no longer, or only to a limited extent, interact with the viral and/or other

cellular binding partners. On the other hand, respective mutations in enhancer and/or promoter sequences and in the coding sequences can have the effect that a dominant negative mutant of plant DnaJ-like proteins, as described above, which is no longer, or only to a very limited extent, able to interact with viral and/or normal cellular interaction partners, is overexpressed, and so the competition reaction described above comes about.

These plants are distinguished by increased transient or permanent virus resistance to the virus classes, groups and strains specified above.

Preferably, the non-transgenic plants and plant cells according to the invention, which are distinguished by a modulation of the expression and/or the binding characteristics of the endogenous plant DnaJ-like proteins and have permanent or transient virus resistance, are produced by means of the so-called "TILLING" method (Targeting Induced Local Lesion in Genomes). This method has been described in detail in Colbert et al. (2001, Plant Physiology, 126, 480 – 484), McCallum et al. (2000, Nat. Biotechnol., 18, 455 – 457) and McCallum et al. (2000, Plant Physiology, 123, 439 – 442). The above-specified references are introduced here as explicit disclosure with regard to the "TILLING" method.

The TILLING method is a strategy of the so-called reverse genetics which combines the production of high frequencies of point mutations in mutagenized plant collections, e.g. by means of chemical mutagenesis with ethyl methane sulphonate (EMS), with the fast systematic identification of mutations in target sequences. First of all, the target sequence is amplified via PCR in DNA pools of mutagenized M2 populations. Denaturation and annealing reactions of the heteroallelic PCR products allow the formation of heteroduplexes, wherein one DNA strand originates from the mutated and the other from the "wild-type" PCR product. A so-called mismatch then takes place at the site of the point mutation, which can be identified either by means of denaturing HPLC (DHPLC, McCallum et al., 2000, Plant Physiol., 123, 439 – 442) or with the *CeII* mismatch detection system (Oleykowsky *et al.*, 1998, Nucl. Acids Res. 26, 4597-4602). *CeII* is an endonuclease which recognizes the mismatches in heteroduplex DNA and specifically cleaves the DNA at these sites. The cleavage products can then be separated and detected by means of automated sequencing gel electrophoresis (Colbert et al., 2001, *vide supra*). Following identification of target gene-specific mutations in a pool, individual DNA

samples are analyzed accordingly in order to isolate the plant with the mutation. In this way, the identification of the mutagenized plant cells or plants can be made with the plants and plants cells according to the invention after the production of the mutagenized plant populations by the use of primer sequences targeted at DnaJ-like proteins. The TILLING method is generally applicable for all plants and so the cultivated and agricultural plants specified above are suitable for the method according to the invention.

Example 1: Identification of plant DnaJ-like proteins which interact with viral components.

The following example shows how plant DnaJ-like proteins were identified from *Nicotiana tabacum* by a two-hybrid screen which interact with the capsid protein (CP) from potato virus Y (PVY) and tobacco etch virus (TEV). In order to give a more concise overview, the methods and materials used within the framework of this experiment will first be discussed.

General cloning processes

Cloning processes such as: restriction digest, DNA isolation, agarose gel electrophoresis, purification of DNA fragments, transfer of nucleic acids to nitrocellulose and nylon membranes, linking of DNA fragments, transformation of *E.coli* cells, culture of bacteria, sequence analysis of recombinant DNA, were carried out as described by Sambrook et al. (vide supra.) The transformation of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* was realised according to the method of Höfgen and Willmitzer (Nucl. Acid Res. (1988) 16, 9877). The culture of agrobacteria was performed in YEB medium (Vervliet et al. Gen. Virol. (1975) 26, 33). Work with yeast was carried out according to the protocols in the Yeast Protocols Handbook (1999, Clontech Laboratories, Inc., Palo Alto, CA, USA).

Bacterial / yeast strains and plasmids

E.coli (XL-1 Blue, XL-MRF' and XLOLR) bacteria were obtained by the company Stratagene and Clontech (KC8). The yeast strain Y190 used in work with the yeast two hybrid system was introduced by Harper et al. (1993, Cell 75: 805). The agrobacterium

strain used for the plant transformation (C58C1 with the plasmid pGV 3850kan) was described by Deblaire et al. (1985, Nucl. Acid Res. 13: 4777).

For the cloning, the vectors pGEM-T (Promega), pCR-blunt (Invitrogen), pBinAR (Höfgen and Willmitzer 1990, Plant Sci, 66: 221) and the vector pUC-RNAi described in detail below, were used. For the work with the yeast two hybrid system the vectors pGBT9, pGBKT7 (Clontech) and pAD-GAL4 (Stratagene) were used.

Tobacco transformation

For the transformation of tobacco plants (*Nicotiana tabacum* L. cv. Samsun NN), 10 ml of an overnight culture of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* grown under selection were centrifuged, the supernatant was discarded, and the bacteria were resuspended in the same volume of antibiotic-free medium. In a sterile petri dish leaf discs from sterile plants (diameter approx. 1 cm) were bathed in this bacterial solution. Afterwards, the leaf discs were placed on MS medium in petri dishes (Murashige and Skoog, Physiol. Plant. (1962) 15, 473) with 2% saccharose and 0.8% bacto-agar. Following 2 days incubation in the dark at 25°C, they were transferred to MS medium with 100mg/l kanamycin, 500mg/l claforan, 1mg/l benzyl amino purine (BAP), 0.2mg/l naphthyl acetic acid (NAA), 1.6% glucose and 0.8% bacto-agar, and the cultivation was continued (16 hours light / 8 hours dark). The growing spouts were transferred to hormone-free MS medium with 2% saccharose, 250mg/l claforan and 0.8% bacto-agar.

Isolation of a cDNA encoding potato virus Y (PVY) envelope protein (capsid protein, CP) from virus-infected leaf material

The cloning of a cDNA encoding PVY capsid protein was performed by means of RT-PCR (Reverse Transcription Polymerase Chain Reaction) of RNA from virus-infected leaf material. For this, leaves from *Nicotiana tabacum* L. variety Samsun NN were infected with a PVY (N strain, PVY^N) field isolate (Bundesanstalt für Züchtungsforschung an Kulturpflanzen Aschersleben) according to the method described in Herbers et al. (1996, Plant Cell, 8, 793). Total RNA was isolated from the leaf material according to Logemann et al. (1987, Anal. Biochem. 163: 16). Amplification took place by using the specific PCR

primers D44 (5'-ATGAATTCGCAAATGACACAATTGATGC-3') and D45 (5'ATGTCGACCATGTTCTTGACTCCAAGTAG-3') which were derived from a published PVY^N sequence (gene bank accession D00441) and provided with *Eco*RI- (D44) and *Sal*I (D45) restriction sites (underlined). 5' primer D44 includes the bases 8573-8592 and 3' primer D45 the bases 9353-9573.

The RT-PCR took place in a coupled reverse transcription/cDNA amplification assay according to the protocol of the Tth DNA polymerase (Biomaster). The reaction mixture (20 µl) for the reverse transcription contained 0.1 µg total RNA as template material, 3.2 µl primer D45 (5 µM), 2 µl Tth polymerase 10x reaction buffer, 0.4 µl dNTPs (10 nM dATP, dCTP, dGTP, dTTP), 2 µl MnCl₂ (10 mM) and 5U Tth DNA polymerase. The mixture was incubated for 5 min at 60°C, then for 10 min at 70°C before Chelating 5x reaction buffer, 3.2 µl primer D44 (5 µM), and 3 µl MgCl₂ (50 mM) were added to the reaction mixture (final volume 100 µl) for the cDNA amplification. The PCR amplification was realised in a GeneAmp PCR system (Perkin Elmer) with the following program: 1 cycle 95°C 2 min, 60°C 1 min; 35 cycles 95°C 1 min, 60°C 1 min; 1 cycle 95°C 1 min, 60°C 7 min. The cDNA fragment obtained was cloned into the vector pGEM-T (promega) and the identity of the amplified PVY CP cDNA verified by means of sequence analysis (fig. 3).

Identification of PVY CP interacting proteins

PVY CP interacting proteins were identified by means of a yeast two hybrid approach according to conventional techniques, as described in MacDonald (ed.) et al. (2001, Two Hybrid Systems: Methods and Protocols, Methods in Molecular Biology, Vol. 177, Totowa; N.J., USA). The establishing of a yeast two hybrid cDNA library was performed according to the protocol and by using the materials from the "HybriZAP Two-Hybrid cDNA Gigapack Cloning Kit" (Stratagene) and involved the following steps: Total RNA was isolated from "source" leaves from *Nicotiana tabacum* L variety Samsun NN according to the method of Logemann et al. (1987, vide supra). By using Oligo dT cellulose Poly(A)⁺ RNA was obtained from 3.7 mg leaf RNA, according to the method of Sambrook et al. (vide supra).

5 µg poly(A)⁺ RNA were transcribed, by means of reverse transcriptase, in first strand cDNA which served as the template for the second strand synthesis by means of the DNA polymerase. After filling up overhanging DNA ends, *EcoRI* adapters were added, and these were phosphorylated by means of a T4 polynucleotide kinase. After *XhoI* digestion of the adapters, the cleaved cDNA population was size fractionated by means of a sepharose CL-2b column and ligated into an *EcoRI/XhoI* cut HybriZAP vector. The ligation mixture was packaged in Lambda Phages with the help of the “Gigapack III Gold Packaging Extract” and after a mass *in vivo* excision and amplification step, the primary HybriZAP cDNA library converted into a pAD-GAL4 phagemid cDNA library.

The cDNA encoding PVY CP was cloned as *EcoRI/SAL/I* fragment in an open reading frame with the GAL4 binding domain into the vector pGBT9 (Clontech). This “bait” plasmid construct was first transferred into the yeast reporter strain Y190 (vide supra), and the cDNA library plasmids were transformed therein according to the PEG/LiAc/ssDNA method described in Schiestl and Gietz (1989, Curr. Genet. 156, 339). For the selection of interacting plasmids the yeast cells were plated on minimal medium without the amino acids tryptophan, leucine, histidine (SD Trp⁻/Leu⁻/His⁻) and with 25 mM 3-amino-1,2,4-triazol (3-AT; Gietz et al. 1995, Yeast 11: 355; Bartel and Field 1995, Methods in Enzymology 254: 241).

The transformation efficiency was determined by the plating of aliquots on SD Trp⁻/Leu⁻ plates with 8x10⁷ screened transformants. Following 7 – 10 days incubation at 30°C, 33 yeast colonies with clear growth (at least 2 mm in diameter) were isolated and tested for expression of the *lacZ* reporter gene according to the beta galactosidase filterlift assay method described by Breeden and Naysmyth (1989, Cold Spring Harbor Symposium Quant. Biol. 50: 643). 4 yeast colonies which showed not only growth in the absence of histidine, but also *lacZ* activity, were selected for further characterization. Total DNA was isolated from the yeast cells and selected, following electroporation in the *E.coli* strain KC8 (Clontech), for the presence of the pAD-GAL4-cDNA plasmids.

The specificity of the interaction was verified by means of co-transformation of the activation domain plasmids and the bait plasmids with the unrelated control plasmids pBD-p53, pBD-SNF1 and pAD-SNF1 (Stratagene) (fig. 4). The isolated activation domain

plasmids showed specific interaction with the PVY CP construct and not with the controls pBD-p53 and pBD-SNF1, so that the activation domain-fused cDNAs were sequenced. The four clones (termed Capsid protein interacting protein, *CPIP7*, 13, 19, 29) were identical to one another, whereby *CPIP29* encoded an additional 25 amino acids at the N terminus. Following the screening of a λ ZAP II cDNA library from tobacco leaf material (Herbers et al. 1995, Plant Mol. Bio. 29: 1027), the whole cDNA was isolated with *CPIP7* as a cDNA probe, whereby standard protocols from Sambrook et al. (vide supra) were applied.

The full-length cDNA clone was termed *NtCPIP1* and coded for 306 amino acids which, within the first 70 amino acids, had significant homology to members of the family of DnaJ-like proteins and/or HSP40 heat shock proteins, and included the eponymous J domain (fig. 2a). The highest homologies (determined according to the Clustal method in the DNA Star package, DNASTAR Inc., Madison, Wisc.) were shown by *Arabidopsis thaliana* clones with 60.8% (Gene Bank Accession AC007258), 60.1% (Gene Bank Accession AC005489) and 58.8% (Gene Bank Accession AC010871) identity on the protein level. Moreover, 56.5% identity to a DnaJ-like protein from *Nicotiana tabacum* (NtDnaJ_M541; Gene Bank Accession AAF05720) and 55.6% to its orthologue AtA39 (Gene Bank Accession AL021749) from *Arabidopsis* were detectable, which were identified as plant interaction partners of the TSWV NSm cell to cell transport protein from Soellick et al (2000, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 97, 2373).

With the following screening of a λ ZAP II cDNA library from tobacco leaf material (Herbers et al., 1995, Plant. Mol. Biol., 29: 1027) with *CPIP7* as the cDNA probe and using standard methods according to Sambrook et al. (vide supra), two additional DnaJ-like proteins could be identified, the DNA sequences of which are given in figs. 2b and 2c along with the derived amino acid sequences.

Example 2: Characterisation of the binding specificity of NtCPIP1

In order to characterize the binding specificity of NtCPIP1, NtCPIP2a and NtCPIP2b, additional potyviral capsid proteins were tested for interaction with NtCPIP1, NtCPIP2a and NtCPIP2b in the yeast two hybrid system. The sequences encoding the capsid proteins

of the *Tobacco etch virus* (TEV, Gene Bank Accession M15239, bases 8518-9309), *Tobacco vein mottling virus* (TVMV, Gene Bank Accession X04083, bases 2759-3024), *Turnip mosaic virus* (TuMV, Gene Bank Accession D10601, bases 4027-4890) and *Potato virus A* (PVA strain DAT, Gene Bank Accession AJ296311, bases 8532-9338) were ligated with the GAL4 binding domain in the pGBT9 or pGBKT7 (Clontech) vector in an open reading frame, and the plasmids obtained were co-transformed with pAD-CPIP29 (plasmid deriving from the primary yeast-two-hybrid screen) into the reporter strain Y190.

The yeasts were selected for 3-4 days on SD Trp⁻/Leu⁻ medium and tested for expression of the HIS3 and lacZ reporter genes following transfer to SD Trp⁻/Leu⁻/His⁻ medium. It was shown that NtCPIP1, NtCPIP2a and NtCPIP2b interact specifically with the capsid proteins of PVY and TEV, but do not interact with those of TVMV, TuMV and PVA (table 1 and fig. 5). The specificity of the binding was also confirmed in that there was no detectable binding between NtCPIP1 and the TSWV NSm protein (Soellick et al. 2000, vide supra), in the same way as its interaction partner NtDnaJ-M541 showed no interaction with the PVY and TEV capsid proteins in the yeast two hybrid system (table 1 and fig. 5).

Table 1

DNA binding domain	<i>Activation domain</i>			
	<i>NtCPIP1</i>	<i>NtCPIP2a</i>	<i>NtCPIP2b</i>	<i>NtDnaJ M541*</i>
<i>PVY CP</i>	+	+	+	-
<i>TEV CP</i>	+	+	+	-
<i>PVA CP</i>	-	-	-	-
<i>TVMV CP</i>	-	-	-	-
<i>TuMV CP</i>	-	-	-	-
<i>NSm*</i>	-	-	-	+

*(Soellick et al. 2000, vide supra)

Example 3: Detailed characterization of the interaction of PVY CP with NtCPIP1

In the following experiment it was investigated which region of PVY CP is responsible for the interaction with NtCPIP1. For this, a series of N- or C-terminal deleted fragments of CP and a series of CP point mutants were produced as GAL4 binding domain fusion proteins.

Generation of point mutants and deletion mutants for the two hybrid analysis

Single and double amino acid substitution mutations were introduced in the coding sequence of the PVY CP core region by means of site directed mutagenesis. The “Quick change site-directed mutagenesis” kit (Stratagene) and respective oligonucleotides were

also used according to the manufacturer's instructions. For the production of the single amino acid substitution mutants S125W, R157D and D201R, the pGEM/T-PVY CP plasmid was used as template. Double amino acid substitution mutants of PVY CP were obtained by introducing the S125W or D201R mutation into the plasmid which contained the single mutants R157D, or by introducing a D201R mutation into the plasmid which contained the S125W mutant. After the mutation was verified by sequencing, the mutagenized CP sequences were cut out of the pGEM/T vector and cloned into the pGBT9 "bait" vector.

The $\Delta 29N$ and $\Delta 18C$ deletion mutants were obtained by PCR amplification of a region encoding CP, which was either lacking the amino acids 1 – 29 (nucleotides (nt) 88 – 801 of the PVY CP cDNA sequence) or 249 – 267 (nt 1 – 747). The PCR fragments were subcloned into the pCR blunt (*in vitro* gene) and ligated into the EcoRI/SalI restriction sites of pGBT9.

Binding specificity of NtCPIP1 and NtCPIP2a for point mutants and deletion mutants of PVY CP

In order to determine the exact binding regions in PVY CP and in NtCPIP1 and NtCPIP2a, the aforementioned point and deletion mutants were tested in the yeast "two hybrid" assay, as already described above for the interaction of different viral CPs with different plant DnaJ-like proteins. As one can see in fig. 6, the binding of PVY CP to NtCPIP1 is not affected by the N and C terminal deletions of CP. The expression of the *lacZ* reporter gene was completely prevented by the three point mutants and all double mutants, so that the core region of the CP takes on an essential role in the interaction with NtCPIP1.

Example 4: Production of virus resistant tobacco plants by the silencing of NtCPIP1

In order to silence tobacco plants for NtCPIP1, thus making them resistant e.g. to PVY, a plasmid construct was produced, the transcription of which in the cell leads to a double-stranded RNA molecule with a sequence which includes parts of the sequence encoding

NtCPIP1. This type of double-stranded RNA molecule should then induce the PTGS system and suppress the expression of NtCPIP1.

Generation of the plasmid pBinNtCPIP1-RNAi

The *in vivo* significance of the interaction between PVY CP and NtCPIP1 during the course of infection should be analyzed by suppression of the *NtCPIP1* gene expression in transgenic plants. For this, the strategy of the so-called RNAi “silencing” was followed which is characterized by a high level of suppression frequency and efficiency (Smith et al. 2000, Nature 407:319; Wesley et al. 2001, Plant J 27: 581). In order to produce an RNAi construct for *NtCPIP1*, first of all the first intron of the GA20 oxidase from *Solanum tuberosum* (*StGA20oxIN*, unpublished) was amplified via PCR using the primers GAIN-1 (5'CCT GCA GGC TCG AGA CTA GTA GAT CTG GTA CGG ACC GTA CTA CTC TA-3') and GAIN-2 (5'-CCT GCA GGG TCG ACT CTA GAG GAT CCC CTA TAT AAT TTA AGT GGA AAA-3'), so that the restriction sites PstI/SbfI-XhoI-SpeI-BglII were added at the 5' end, and the restriction sites BamHI-XbaI-SalI-PstI/SbfI at the 3' end. This PCR fragment was subcloned into a pCR blunt vector and, following a StuI digestion “blunt end”, ligated into a pUC18 vector which was previously opened by a EcoRI/HindIII digestion and filled up by pfu polymerase.

The vector obtained was termed pUC RNAi (fig. 7). Then a BamHI/SalI linked *NtCPIP1* fragment (bases 721-1336) was amplified by PCR, using the primers D153 (5'-GGA TCC CAG AAA ATC TCA TTA GTA GAT GC-3') and D154 (5'-GTC GAC ATT CAT TAC CAG TCT ACC ACA GC-3'), and following subcloning into the pCR blunt vector, this was inserted successively in sense (s) and antisense (α) orientation, first of all into the BamHI/SalI cut, and then into the BglII/XhoI cut pUC-RNAi. The whole RNAi cassette consisting of α NtCPIP1-*StGA20oxIN*-sNtCPIP1 was finally ligated into a SbfI cut BinAR via PstI from the pUC RNAi vector, and the plasmid pBinNtCPIP1-RNAi was thus obtained (fig. 8). For the plant transformation, the construct was finally transformed into agrobacteria.

Analysis of transgenic tobacco plants for RNAi “silencing” of NtCPIP1

Tobacco plants (*Nicotiana tabacum* L. variety Samsun NN) were transformed with pBinNtCPIP1-RNAi carrying agrobacteria according to the method described (vide supra). 81 kanamycin-resistant primary transformants were tested for expression of the construct, first of all using Northern analysis, whereby the *NtCPIP1* fragment (BamHI/SalI) used to produce the pBinNtCPIP1-RNAi construct served as a cDNA probe for the RNA hybridization.

Analysis of the transcription using “Northern Blot” analysis

Total RNA was isolated from leaf material, as described (Logemann (1987), Anal. Biochem. 163, 16 – 20). For the “Northern blot” analysis, 30 µg rRNA was separated on a 1.5% (w/v) formaldehyde agarose gel and blotted onto a GeneScreen (NEN, Boston, Ma). The radioactive labeling of the cDNA was carried out with the help of the “High Prime” kit (Boehringer Mannheim, Germany) and [α -³²P]-dCTP. The hybridization was carried out in the established way (Herbers et al., *vide supra*), and signals were detected by exposure of Kodak X-ray films (Sigma) or with the help of an “imaging analyzer” (Fuji Bas 2000, Fuji, Tokyo, Japan).

24 plants showed a clear hybridization signal which, however, showed a characteristic “smear” over the RNA lane.

Analysis of transgenic plants with RT-PCR

For this reason, the “silencing” was analyzed by semi-quantitative RT-PCR. 20 µg total RNA from selected lines (NtCPIP1-RNAi-2, -3, -18, -69, -79) and from two non-transgenic controls (WT) was first of all digested with DNase (Böhringer Mannheim) at 37°C for 45 min, and this was then inhibited for 10 min at 65°C. Following phenol/choroform/isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1) treatment, the RNA was precipitated with sodium acetate, washed with 70% ethanol and dissolved in 100 µl DEPC-treated H₂O. The cDNA first strand synthesis was carried out in a mixture with 12.5 µl DNase-treated RNA, 5 µl 5x reaction buffer, 2 µl dNTPs (2.5 mM), 1 µl oligo dT primer (50 mM, dT_[30] V[G/C/A]) and 2.5 µl DEPC-treated H₂O following incubation for 5 min at 65°C, then for 5 min at 37°C, and finally after adding 1 µl reverse transcriptase (Moloney Murine

Leukemia Virus Reverse Transcriptase, Rnase H minus, M-MLV [H-], Promega) and 1 µl RNase inhibitor at 37°C (60 min). Following heat inactivation for 5 min at 95°C, the cDNA was finally added as template for the following PCR.

NtCPIP1 cDNA was amplified with the 5' primer D172 (5'-GGA TCC TCA CGC AAT GCG AGT GAA GAA G-3') and the 3' primer D154 (5'-GTC GAC ATT CAT TAC CAG TCT ACC ACA GC-3'), and the internal standard actin with the primer pair D202 (5'-ATG GCA GAC GGT GAG GAT ATT CA-3') and D203 (5'-GCC TTT GCA ATC CAC ATC TGT TG-3') (as with AC1 and AC2, Romeis *et al.* 2001, *EMBO J* 20: 5556). The PCR mixtures (total volume 100 µl) were made up as follows: 70 µl H₂O, 5 µl 5' primer (5 µM), 5 µl 3' primer (5 µM), 8 µl dNTPs (2.5 mM), 10 µl 10x reaction buffer, 1 µl cDNA and 5 U *rTaq* DNA polymerase (Takara Shouzo, Japan.) Before the beginning of the amplification cycles, the mixtures were heated for 5 min to 95°C. The polymerisation steps were carried out in an automatic T3 thermocycler (Biometra) according to the following program: denaturation 95°C (1 min), annealing of the primers at 55°C (45 seconds), polymerase reaction at 72°C (2 min). 10 µl of the PCR mixture were applied to a gel after 25, 30 and 45 cycles respectively.

In the non-saturated PCR range (30 cycles) and using the *NtCPIP1*-specific primer, the result only shows the amplification of products in the wild type controls, and not in the 4 transgenic lines (fig. 9c). A clear accumulation in lines 3, 28 and 69 can only be identified in the unsaturated range (45 cycles), whereas with line 2, hardly any DNA fragments can be detected, which points to very efficient "silencing". However, the PCR with the actin-specific primers shows equal DNA bands in the unsaturated range of 25 cycles which proves the application of comparable quantities of the template used (fig. 9c).

Another transgenic line (79) also showed no amplification of the product in the unsaturated range (30 cycles) (fig. 9b).

Four of the lines specified (*NtCPIP1*-RNAi-2, 3, 69 and 79) were also investigated in the Northern blot for expression of *NtCPIP1*. As shown by fig. 9a, the transgenic lines showed no expression of this plant DnaJ-like protein.

All of the transgenic lines examined were not phenotypically distinguishable from non-transformed wild plant types (WT). Neither were they distinguishable from transgenic kanamycin-resistant control plants which expressed the β -glucuronidase gene (GUS) under the control of a “source leaf”-specific promoter (line ME-4, Ebner, M. (1996) doctoral thesis, Freie Universität Berlin, Germany; data not shown).

PVY infection of transgenic tobacco plants

The infection of NtCPIP1-RNAi transgenic lines and non-transformed controls (WT) with PVY^N was performed according to the method described in Herbers et al. 1996 (vide supra). The results are shown in figs. 10a and 10b. It was shown that a reproducible, significantly increased virus resistance was established in the transgenic lines NECPIR-RNAi-2 and -3 in the locally infected leaf four days post infection (fig. 10a). This was a transient resistance because six days after the infection, a reduction of the virus titer was no longer detectable (fig. 10b).

In order to examine the effects of the reduced expression of endogenous NtCPIP1 upon the PVY accumulation and the systemic spreading, the kanamycin-resistant T1 progeny of the selected transgenic plants were infected with PVY^N and compared with control lines (WT, ME-4). In order to exclude positional effects in the greenhouse which could arise because of the large number of plants being used, two independent infection experiments were carried out in parallel. The transgenic lines NtCPIP1-RNAi-2 (n=49) and NtCPIP1-RNAi-3 (n = 50) were compared to SNN wild plant types (n = 47), whereas NtCPIP1-RNAi-69 (n = 25) and NtCPIP1-RNAi-79 (n=25) were analyzed in comparison with the transgenic control ME-4 (n = 23).

Among the different sets of plants, the transgenic lines and the respective controls were mixed up randomly and the PVY CP protein level was tested four days after mechanical infection in local (inoculated) leaves. The analysis was also carried out six days post infection in local and systemic leaves.

The results are shown in figs. 10a and 10b, as well as in 11a and 11b, whereby the values for the WT plants and NtCPIP1-RNAi-2 and 3 lines in figs. 11a and b correspond to those in figs. 10a and b.

As shown by figs. 10a, 10b, 11a and 11b, the transgenic lines NtCPIP1-RNAi-2 and 3 showed a reproducible, significantly increased virus resistance in the locally infected leaf after infection. This was manifested by the fact that, four days after the PVY infection, the virus multiplication was significantly reduced (in comparison with WT plants) by 53.4% and 57.5% in inoculated leaves of NtCPIP1-RNAi-2 and NtCPIP1-RNAi-3. In the local leaves of the transgenic plants NtCPIP1-RNAi-69 and NtCPIP1-RNAi-79, the multiplication of the virus was consistently reduced by 56.0% and 60.1% in comparison with the transgenic control ME-4.

In this case, the resistance was transient because the reduction of the virus titer was no longer as pronounced six days post infection. A comparably strong reduction of the virus level by 59.3% and 65.2% was demonstrated for the transgenic lines NtCPIP1-RNAi-2 (n = 44) and NtCPIP1-RNAi-3 (n = 50) in comparison to the transgenic control ME-4 (n = 50) (data not shown).

The results clearly show that the interaction of the plant DnaJ-like protein NtCPIP1 with the PVY capsid protein plays a role in establishing infection *in planta* and confirm the two-hybrid data.

The results also show that plants with increased virus resistance can be produced by the silencing of plant DnaJ-like proteins.

Production of transgenic tobacco plants with multiply silenced DnaJ-like proteins

In the case described above, the occurrence of transient virus resistance can be explained by the fact that there are two additional isoforms of NtCPIP1 in tobacco. These are those also identified as NtCPIP2a and NtCPIP2b within the framework of the present invention. These proteins are possibly not repressed by the RNAi construct used, and can possibly

compensate for the RNA silencing of NtCPIP1 because they have been proven to be able to interact with PVY CP (see above).

In the following, therefore, constructs were produced, analogously to the procedure described above, which permit the silencing of NtCPIP2a and NtCPIP2b. With these constructs, respective transgenic plants were produced which were also silenced for NtCPIP1. The plants were examined by semi-quantitative RT-PCR with regard to the NtCPIP1, NtCPIP2a and NtCPIP2b expression. All three proteins were significantly silenced. The transgenic plants were then infected with PVY^N and analyzed, as described above. It was shown that the transgenic plants show an effective and lasting virus resistance.

Example 5: Production of virus resistant tobacco plants by means of expression of dominant negative mutants of NtCPIP1

The local and transient reduction of the PVY amounts in NtCPIP1-RNAi transgenic plants can be explained by a number of reasons. The most likely reason is that the suppression of NtCPIP1 can be compensated by isoforms which are also able to interact with PVY CP. As already shown above, both NtCPIP2a and NtCPIP2b interact with PVY CP and TEV CP in the yeast “two hybrid” system.

In order to produce permanently virus-resistant plants, shortened dominant negative mutants of the CP interacting plant DnaJ-like proteins were therefore expressed in transgenic plants.

Production of transgenic plants which express dominant negative mutants of NtCPIP1 and NtCPIP2a

A series of N terminal deletion mutants from NtCPIP1 and NtCPIP2a were obtained by PCR amplification using respective oligonucleotides. Fragments which had the sequences for the EcoRI and SalI restriction sites at their 5'- and 3'- ends and which were lacking the amino acid residues 1 – 65 ($\Delta 65N$, nt 246 – 978), 1 – 90 ($\Delta 90N$, nt 331 – 987), 1 – 115 ($\Delta 115N$, nt 406 – 987) of NtCPIP1 and the amino acid residues 1 – 66 ($\Delta 66N$, nt 199 –

918), 1 – 94 ($\Delta 94\text{N}$, nt 283 - 918) and 1 – 119 ($\Delta 119\text{N}$, nt 357 – 918) of NtCPIP2a, were subcloned into the pCR blunt and finally introduced into the pAD-GAL4 “activation domain” vector (Stratagene)

For the ectopic expression of dominant negative mutants of NtCPIP1 and NtCPIP2a, truncated cDNA fragments which did not encode the amino acid residues 1 – 65 (NtCPIP1 $\Delta 65\text{N}$) and 1 – 66 (NtCPIP2a $\Delta 66\text{N}$) were amplified by PCR. For this the gene-specific primers D249 (5'-GGATCCTATATACGGCGATGAGGCGTTGAAATC-3') and D251 (5'-GTCGACTTAGTCAACAGTCCTGCCCAGCAC-3') for NtCPIP1 $\Delta 65\text{N}$ as well as D250 (5'-GGATCCTGACGTGTACGGTGATGATGCATTG-3') and D210 (5'-GTCGACTTAGTCAGCGCTCCTGCACAGTAC-3') for NtCPIP2a $\Delta 66\text{N}$ were used. Following subcloning into the pCR blunt, the fragments were inserted into the pBinAR between the CaMV 35S promoter and the ocs terminator. In order to improve translation efficiency, the 5' untranslated “overdrive” sequence (Ω) of the TMV virus U1 (Gallie et al. (1987) Nucl. Acids Res., 15, 8693 – 8711) was inserted between the promoter and the NtCPIP1 $\Delta 65\text{N}$ or NtCPIP2a $\Delta 66\text{N}$ coding sequences. In so doing, an ATG start codon in an optimized plant consensus sequence was also generated within an NcoI cloning site.

In order to transform tobacco plants (*Nicotiana tabacum* L. cv. Samsun NN), the binary constructs were introduced into the *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain C58C1:pGV2260. An *Agrobacterium*-mediated gene transfer was then carried out, as described above and in Rosahl et al. (1987, EMBO J., 6, 1155 – 1159).

Analysis of transgenic plants which express dominant negative mutants of NtCPIP1 and 2a

The above-specified N terminal deletion mutants of NtCPIP1 and NtCPIP2a were no longer equipped with the J domain. In the yeast “two hybrid” system, it should therefore first of all be investigated whether the deletion of the main part of the J domain affects the interaction of NtCPIP1 and NtCPIP2a with PVY CP. The N terminal deletion of the amino acids 1 – 65 and 1 – 66 of NtCPIP1 and 2a did not, however, lead to a loss of the *lacZ* reporter gene activity. It can, therefore, be assumed that the J domain is not necessary for the binding to PVY CP. If, however, the N terminal deletions included more than the

first 90 amino acids, a total loss of the binding of the NtCPIP isoforms to PVY CP was observed (see fig. 12a).

Binary constructs were therefore produced (pBinΩ-NtCPIP1 ΔN₁₋₆₅ and pBinΩ-NtCPIP2a ΔN₁₋₆₆, see fig. 12b) which encoded NtCPIP variants, which had no J domains, but are able to interact with PVY CP. Because of the lack of a J domain, these plant DnaJ-like proteins, which normally act as co-chaperones and regulators of the HSP70 proteins (see above), are no longer able to interact with their endogenous cellular interaction partners.

Following the *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation, 26 primary transformants for each construct were cultivated in the greenhouse and examined by means of Northern blotting with regard to the expression of the transgene. For this, the Northern blots were produced as described above. In this way, some plants could be identified which expressed significant quantities of the respective transcripts. Two plants which expressed the construct pBinΩ-NtCPIP1 ΔN₁₋₆₅ (termed NtCPIP1 ΔN-9 and -17) and four transgenic plants which expressed the pBinΩ-NtCPIP2a ΔN₁₋₆₆ (termed NtCPIP1 ΔN-15, -16, -28 and -39) were further analyzed (see fig. 12c).

Plants which were derived from these cell lines were not phenotypically distinguishable from wild type plants and transgenic control plants.

In order to test whether the expression of the N terminal deleted NtCPIP1 and 2a mutants prevents the multiplication and systemic spreading of the PVY virus, kanamycin-resistant T1 progeny from the selected transgenic plants were infected with PVY and compared to corresponding wild type plants or transgenic control plants (WT, ME1-10). 22 – 25 plants which expressed the N terminal deleted fragments of NtCPIP1 and 2a as well as a comparable number of WT plants (n = 22) and transgenic control plants (ME1-10, n = 24) were inoculated mechanically in the seven leaf phase, and the development of a virus infection was determined by visual inspection of the symptoms and immunological determination of the CP protein level.

All inoculated transgenic (ME1-10) and non-transgenic (WT) control plants showed typical symptoms of disease beginning 5 – 6 days after the inoculation. The different

transgenic lines of NtCPIP1 and 2a, however, showed no visible virus-induced symptoms at this time (data not shown). Accordingly, the virus titer in the systemic leaves of the transgenic lines was strongly reduced. Sometimes, total resistance was observed (see fig. 12d). The virus resistance could also be confirmed 13 days following infection in the transgenic NtCPIP1 and 2a lines. All transgenic cell lines showed significantly reduced viral symptoms in comparison to the control cell lines. The viral symptoms were, sometimes, totally undetectable (see fig. 12e).

Key to the figures

Fig. 1:a) Alignment of the proteins NtCPIP1, NtCPIP2a, NtCPIP2b and NtDnaJ_M541 which interacts with the TSWV movement protein (NSm) (Soellick et al., 2000). **b)** Homology of the protein sequences in percent of identical amino acids, determined according to the Clustal method in the DNASTar package, DNASTAR Inc., Madison, Wisc. **c)** Consensus sequence of the DnaJ domain. Nter and Cter stand for N terminus and C terminus and indicate the orientation of the sequence.

Fig. 2a: *NtCPIP1* cDNA (SEQ ID NO:1) and derived amino acid sequence (SEQ ID NO:4) from *Nicotiana tabacum*. The amino acids identified in the yeast 2 hybrid system and interacting with PVY CP are highlighted. The eponymous J domain is underlined. The lys-rich domains have grey background.

Fig. 2b: NtCPIP2a cDNA (SEQ ID NO: 2) with derived amino acid sequence (SEQ ID NO: 5).

Fig. 2c: NtCPIP2b cDNA (SEQ ID NO: 3) with derived amino acid sequence (SEQ ID NO: 6).

Fig. 3: PVY CP cDNA with derived amino acid sequence.

Fig. 4: Specific interaction between the *Potato virus Y* capsid protein (PVY CP) and the DnaJ-like protein NtCPIP1 from *Nicotiana tabacum* in the yeast 2 hybrid system. The PVY CP (pGBT9-PVY CP) fused with the Gal4 binding domain (BD) only shows *lacZ* activity

when co-expressed with NtCPIP1 (pAD-CPIP1) fused with the Gal4 activation domain, whereas in control reactions, NtCPIP1 brings about no activity with the binding domain alone (pGBT9) or with the unrelated protein SNF1 (pBD-SNF1). Accordingly, the co-expression of PVY CP and SNF4 (pAD-SNF4) – the binding partner of SNF1 – shows no activity, whereas SNF1 and SNF4 induce clear *lacZ* activity in the positive control.

Fig. 5: LacZ filterlift assay for the analysis of the protein-protein interactions of NtCPIP1, NtCPIP2a, NtCPIP2b and NtDnaJ-M54 (Soellick et al., 2000, *vide supra*) with potyviral CPs (PVY, TEV, TVMV, TuMV) and the TSWV NSm movement protein (Soellick et al., *vide supra*) in the yeast 2 hybrid system.

Fig. 6: PVY CP deletion mutants and different point mutants were co-transformed respectively with NtCPIP1 in Y190 cells and qualitatively analyzed with regard to β galactosidase activity.

Fig. 7: Schematic representation of the pUC RNAi vector.

Fig. 8: Schematic representation of the pBinNtCPIP1-RNAi vector.

Fig. 9: **a)** Northern blot analysis of NtCPIP1-specific transcripts. Total RNA was isolated either from WT plants or from transgenic plants, and hybridized with NtCPIP1 cDNA. **b)** and **c)** Analysis of the NtCPIP1 suppression by means of RT-PCR. In B) the PCR products for NtCPIP1 and actin from the PCR cycles 30 and 25 are shown.

Fig. 10: **a)** PVY virus titer in locally infected leaves from NtCPIP1-RNAi transgenic lines. PVY capsid protein levels were determined 4 days post infection in the locally infected leaves from the lines NtCPIP1-RNAi-2 and -3 and in control plants, as described in Herbers et al. 1996. The results are shown in % of the wild type (control) level from two independent experiments. The values represent the average value of the indicated number of plants (n) $\pm$ standard error. **b)** PVY virus titer in locally infected and systemic leaves from NtCPIP1-RNAi transgenic lines. PVY capsid protein levels were determined 6 days post infection in the locally and systemically infected leaves from the lines NtCPIP1-RNAi-2 and -3 as well as in control plants, as described in Herbers et al. 1996. The results

are given in % of the wild type (control) level. The values represent the average value of the indicated number of plants (n) $\pm$ standard error.

Fig. 11: Effect of PVY infections in NtCPIP1 silenced transgenic plants. **a)** The virus titer was determined four days post infection in local (inoculated) leaves from the lines NtCPIP1-RNAi-2, -3, -69 and -79 and WT plants and transgenic control plants ME-4. **b)** The virus titer was determined four days post infection in local (inoculated) or systemic leaves (4-5 leaves above the local (inoculated) leaves) from the lines NtCPIP1-RNAi-2, -3, -69 and -79 and WT plants or transgenic control plants ME-4. The plants had developed six leaves prior to infection.

Fig. 12: Expression of dominant negative mutants of NtCPIP1 and 2a in tobacco plants. **a)** Interaction of N terminal deleted fragments of NtCPIP1 and 2a with PVY CP in the yeast “two hybrid” system. **b)** Schematic representation of the binary constructs used for expression. **c)** Northern blot analysis of the NtCPIP1 Δ 65N and NtCPIP2a Δ 66N specific transcripts. Total RNA was isolated from control or transgenic plants and hybridized with NtCPIP1 Δ 65N or NtCPIP2a Δ 66N cDNA. **d)** PDY titer in systemic leaves (5-6 leaves above the inoculated leaves) 6 days post infection. **e)** Development of virus-induced symptoms in control and transgenic plants 13 days post infection.

Fig. 13: Another DNA sequence for a DnaJ-like protein from *Nicotiana tabacum* (SEQ ID NO: 10).

59a

SEQUENCE LISTING

<110> Institut fur Pflanzengenetik und Kulturpflanzenforschung
 <120> Method for the production of transgenic plants with increased
 virus resistance by silencing of vegetable DnaJ-like proteins
 <130> 065580.000038
 <140> PCT/EP03/007945
 <141> 2003-07-21
 <150> DE 102 32 978.8
 <151> 2002-07-19
 <160> 10
 <170> PatentIn Ver. 2.1

 <210> 1
 <211> 1371
 <212> DNA
 <213> Nicotiana tabacum

 <220>
 <221> gene
 <222> (61)..(978)
 <223> NtCPIP1

 <400> 1
 gcaaaagagc gagagagaga gagagagaga gagagagaga gagagagaga gagagagaaa 60
 atgggcggtt attactacaa aatactcaaa gtgtcacgca atgcgagtga agaagatttg 120
 aaaaaatcgt acaagcgatt ggcgatgaaa tggcatccgg ataagaacag tgagaaagaa 180
 gcagaagcga aattcaagca gatatcggag gcctatgatg tgctaagtga tccacagaag 240
 cgtcagatct atgatatata cggcgatgag gcgttgaaat cgggtcaatt cgatccctcg 300
 tcacctatga atggtaatgg gagaggattt aagttcgatt cgcgtgatgc ggaagatatt 360
 tttgcggaat tttttggtgg atcggatggg tatagtagga gtcctactgg tggtagtgta 420
 cggattagga agccggcgcc ggtggagaac aagttgccat gtagcttgga ggaattgtac 480
 aagggttcta agaggaagat gaagatttca aggattgttc ttgatgtcac tggtaagcct 540
 acaacaattg aagaggtctt ggcaatacac attaaacctg gttggaagaa aggcacaaaa 600
 atcacttttc cagagaaagg gaaccatgaa cctggagctg cacctggtga tcttattttt 660
 gtaatcgatg aaaagccaca tgatgtcttc aagagagatg gaaatgatct agtgatcaat 720
 cagaaaatct cattagtaga tgctctctct gggaagatta tcaacttggc tactttggat 780
 ggaagggaac tcacgatacc aatcacagat gttgttaagc caggacatga gcagataatc 840
 gcagatgaag gaatgccaat atcaaaagaa cccgggaaga aaggaaattt gaggatcaag 900
 tttgaggtta agttcccgtc aaggccttagt tcagatcaga aattggatat cagaagagtg 960
 ctgggcagga ctggttgacta atccagtgtc taacttaagg tatcactaac actgactgat 1020
 tgtggaagtg caatggaatg taaatacttg gaaagtttat gctagacagg agaattgtgc 1080
 atagtaggat tattgttttg acgatgcctc tcaaagttta gttttattta gcgccataaa 1140
 aggagcactc tagcagctat gccagctgcc tatgtaattc tcatgttgga tattaacac 1200
 cttaggtagt ttactaagtt ttaatgtttg atttggaggt agatgctata cttcaagtaa 1260
 ttggagtcgg gaatagcttg ctatcttggt ctggttgatga atttcaactg tgggctgttg 1320
 tagactggta atgaataaaa aaaaaaaaaa aaaaaaaaaa aaaaaaaaaa a 1371

 <210> 2
 <211> 918
 <212> DNA
 <213> Nicotiana tabacum

 <220>

59b

<221> gene
 <222> (1)..(918)
 <223> NtCPIP2a

<400> 2
 atgggacttg attactataa cgtactaaaa gtatctcgga atgcaagtga agaagattta 60
 aagagatcgt acaagcgatt agctatgaaa tggcatccag ataagaacag tcagaacaaa 120
 aaagaagcag aagcaaaaatt caagcagatt tctgaagcgt atgatgtgct tagtgatcct 180
 aagaagcgtc agatctatga cgtgtacggg gatgatgcat tgaaatccgg tcaatttgcc 240
 tcggcgtcgc cgactagtgc tggtagtaac gccagagggt ttaggttcaa tacgcgtgat 300
 gcggaggcta tttttgctga gtttttcggg ggatcgggta gtaattccgg tgccggagtc 360
 ggtcgggaagg cggcgccggg ggagaataaa ctgccgtgta gcttggagga gctttacaaa 420
 ggttctagaa gaaaaatgaa gatctcacgg attcttctgg atgactctgg taagcctaca 480
 actggtgaag aggtcttagc gatacacatc aagcctgggt ggaagaaagg cacaaaaatc 540
 actttcccag agaaaggaaa ctatgagcct ggagctactc ctggtgatct tatttttgtg 600
 atagatgaaa agccgcatgc tgtcttcaag agggatggaa atgatctgga gatcaatcag 660
 aaaatttctt tactagatgc tcttactggg aaaactataa gcttgatcac tttggatgga 720
 cgggaactca caataccaat cacagatatt gttaaaccag gacatgagca tataatccca 780
 aatgaaggaa tgccaatatc aaaggaacgt ggcaagaaag gaaatttgaa gatcaagttt 840
 gacattaaat tcccatcaag gctaagtgca gatcagaaat ctgatatcag gaggggtactg 900
 tgcaggagcg ctgactaa 918

<210> 3
 <211> 918
 <212> DNA
 <213> Nicotiana tabacum

<220>
 <221> gene
 <222> (1)..(918)
 <223> NtCPIP2b

<400> 3
 atgggacttg attactatga cgtactgaaa gtatctcgga atgcaagtga agaagattta 60
 aagagatcgt ataagcgatt agcgatgaaa tggcatccag ataagaacag tcagaacaaa 120
 aaggaagctg aagcgaaatt caagcagatt tctgaagcgt atgatgtgct tagtgatcct 180
 cagaagcgtc agatctatga cgtgtacggg gatgatgcat tgaaatccgg tcaatttgct 240
 tcggcgtcgc cgactagtgc tggtagtaac gccagaggat ttaggttcaa tacgcgtgac 300
 gcggaggcta ttttcgctga gtttttcggg ggatcggata gtaattccgc tgccggagta 360
 ggtcgggaagg cggcaccggg ggagaataaa ctgccgtgta gcttggagga gctttacaaa 420
 ggatctagaa ggaaaatgaa gatctcacgg attcttcttg atgactctgg taagcctaca 480
 actggtgaag aggtcctagc gatacacatc aaaccagggt ggaagaaagg cacaaaaatc 540
 actttcccag agaaagggaa ctatgaacct ggagctactc ctggtgatct tatttttgtg 600
 atagatgaaa agccacatgc tgtcttcaag agggatggaa atgatctagt gatcaatcag 660
 aaaatatctt tactagatgc tcttactggg aaaactataa gcttgatcac tttggatgga 720
 cgggaactca caataccaat cacagatgtt gttaaaccag gacatgagca tataatccca 780
 aatgaaggaa tgccaatatc aaaggaacgt ggcaagaaag gaaatttgaa gatcaagttt 840
 gacattaaat tcccatctag gctaagtgca gatcagaaat ctgatatcag gaggggtactg 900
 tgcaggaact ctgactaa 918

<210> 4
 <211> 306
 <212> PRT
 <213> Nicotiana tabacum

<220>
 <221> PEPTIDE
 <222> (1)..(306)
 <223> NtCPIP1

59c

<400> 4

```

Met Gly Val Asp Tyr Tyr Lys Ile Leu Lys Val Ser Arg Asn Ala Ser
 1      5      10      15
Glu Glu Asp Leu Lys Lys Ser Tyr Lys Arg Leu Ala Met Lys Trp His
      20      25      30
Pro Asp Lys Asn Ser Glu Lys Glu Ala Glu Ala Lys Phe Lys Gln Ile
      35      40      45
Ser Glu Ala Tyr Asp Val Leu Ser Asp Pro Gln Lys Arg Gln Ile Tyr
      50      55      60
Asp Ile Tyr Gly Asp Glu Ala Leu Lys Ser Gly Gln Phe Asp Pro Ser
      65      70      75      80
Ser Pro Met Asn Gly Asn Gly Arg Gly Phe Lys Phe Asp Ser Arg Asp
      85      90      95
Ala Glu Asp Ile Phe Ala Glu Phe Phe Gly Gly Ser Asp Gly Tyr Ser
      100      105      110
Arg Ser Pro Thr Gly Gly Thr Val Arg Ile Arg Lys Pro Ala Pro Val
      115      120      125
Glu Asn Lys Leu Pro Cys Ser Leu Glu Glu Leu Tyr Lys Gly Ser Lys
      130      135      140
Arg Lys Met Lys Ile Ser Arg Ile Val Leu Asp Val Thr Gly Lys Pro
      145      150      155      160
Thr Thr Ile Glu Glu Val Leu Ala Ile His Ile Lys Pro Gly Trp Lys
      165      170      175
Lys Gly Thr Lys Ile Thr Phe Pro Glu Lys Gly Asn His Glu Pro Gly
      180      185      190
Ala Ala Pro Gly Asp Leu Ile Phe Val Ile Asp Glu Lys Pro His Asp
      195      200      205
Val Phe Lys Arg Asp Gly Asn Asp Leu Val Ile Asn Gln Lys Ile Ser
      210      215      220
Leu Val Asp Ala Leu Ser Gly Lys Ile Ile Asn Leu Ala Thr Leu Asp
      225      230      235      240
Gly Arg Glu Leu Thr Ile Pro Ile Thr Asp Val Val Lys Pro Gly His
      245      250      255
Glu Gln Ile Ile Ala Asp Glu Gly Met Pro Ile Ser Lys Glu Pro Gly
      260      265      270
Lys Lys Gly Asn Leu Arg Ile Lys Phe Glu Val Lys Phe Pro Ser Arg
      275      280      285
Leu Ser Ser Asp Gln Lys Leu Asp Ile Arg Arg Val Leu Gly Arg Thr
      290      295      300
Val Asp
      305

```

<210> 5

<211> 305

<212> PRT

<213> Nicotiana tabacum

<220>

<221> PEPTIDE

<222> (1)..(305)

<223> NtCPIP2a

<400> 5

```

Met Gly Leu Asp Tyr Tyr Asn Val Leu Lys Val Ser Arg Asn Ala Ser
 1      5      10      15
Glu Glu Asp Leu Lys Arg Ser Tyr Lys Arg Leu Ala Met Lys Trp His
      20      25      30
Pro Asp Lys Asn Ser Gln Asn Lys Lys Glu Ala Glu Ala Lys Phe Lys
      35      40      45
Gln Ile Ser Glu Ala Tyr Asp Val Leu Ser Asp Pro Gln Lys Arg Gln
      50      55      60

```

59d

```

Ile Tyr Asp Val Tyr Gly Asp Asp Ala Leu Lys Ser Gly Gln Phe Ala
 65      70      75      80
Ser Ala Ser Pro Thr Ser Ala Gly Ser Asn Ala Arg Gly Phe Arg Phe
      85      90      95
Asn Thr Arg Asp Ala Glu Ala Ile Phe Ala Glu Phe Phe Gly Gly Ser
      100      105      110
Gly Ser Asn Ser Gly Ala Gly Val Gly Arg Lys Ala Ala Pro Val Glu
      115      120      125
Asn Lys Leu Pro Cys Ser Leu Glu Glu Leu Tyr Lys Gly Ser Arg Arg
      130      135      140
Lys Met Lys Ile Ser Arg Ile Leu Leu Asp Asp Ser Gly Lys Pro Thr
145      150      155      160
Thr Val Glu Glu Val Leu Ala Ile His Ile Lys Pro Gly Trp Lys Lys
      165      170      175
Gly Thr Lys Ile Thr Phe Pro Glu Lys Gly Asn Tyr Glu Pro Gly Ala
      180      185      190
Thr Pro Gly Asp Leu Ile Phe Val Ile Asp Glu Lys Pro His Ala Val
      195      200      205
Phe Lys Arg Asp Gly Asn Asp Leu Glu Ile Asn Gln Lys Ile Ser Leu
      210      215      220
Leu Asp Ala Leu Thr Gly Lys Thr Ile Ser Leu Ile Thr Leu Asp Gly
225      230      235      240
Arg Glu Leu Thr Ile Pro Ile Thr Asp Ile Val Lys Pro Gly His Glu
      245      250      255
His Ile Ile Pro Asn Glu Gly Met Pro Ile Ser Lys Glu Arg Gly Lys
      260      265      270
Lys Gly Asn Leu Lys Ile Lys Phe Asp Ile Lys Phe Pro Ser Arg Leu
      275      280      285
Ser Ala Asp Gln Lys Ser Asp Ile Arg Arg Val Leu Cys Arg Ser Ala
      290      295      300
Asp
305

```

```

<210> 6
<211> 305
<212> PRT
<213> Nicotiana tabacum

```

```

<220>
<221> PEPTIDE
<222> (1)..(305)
<223> NtCPIP2b

```

```

<400> 6
Met Gly Leu Asp Tyr Tyr Asp Val Leu Lys Val Ser Arg Asn Ala Ser
 1      5      10      15
Glu Glu Asp Leu Lys Arg Ser Tyr Lys Arg Leu Ala Met Lys Trp His
      20      25      30
Pro Asp Lys Asn Ser Gln Asn Lys Lys Glu Ala Glu Ala Lys Phe Lys
      35      40      45
Gln Ile Ser Glu Ala Tyr Asp Val Leu Ser Asp Pro Gln Lys Arg Gln
      50      55      60
Ile Tyr Asp Val Tyr Gly Asp Asp Ala Leu Lys Ser Gly Gln Phe Ala
      65      70      75      80
Ser Ala Ser Pro Thr Ser Ala Gly Ser Asn Gly Arg Gly Phe Arg Phe
      85      90      95
Asn Thr Arg Asp Ala Glu Ala Ile Phe Ala Glu Phe Phe Gly Gly Ser
      100      105      110
Asp Ser Asn Ser Ala Ala Gly Val Gly Arg Lys Ala Ala Pro Val Glu
      115      120      125

```

59e

Asn Lys Leu Pro Cys Ser Leu Glu Glu Leu Tyr Lys Gly Ser Arg Arg
 130 135 140
 Lys Met Lys Ile Ser Arg Ile Leu Leu Asp Asp Ser Gly Lys Pro Thr
 145 150 155 160
 Thr Val Glu Glu Val Leu Ala Ile His Ile Lys Pro Gly Trp Lys Lys
 165 170 175
 Gly Thr Lys Ile Thr Phe Pro Glu Lys Gly Asn Tyr Glu Pro Gly Ala
 180 185 190
 Thr Pro Gly Asp Leu Ile Phe Val Ile Asp Glu Lys Pro His Ala Val
 195 200 205
 Phe Lys Arg Asp Gly Asn Asp Leu Val Ile Asn Gln Lys Ile Ser Leu
 210 215 220
 Leu Asp Ala Leu Thr Gly Lys Thr Ile Ser Leu Ile Thr Leu Asp Gly
 225 230 235 240
 Arg Glu Leu Thr Ile Pro Ile Thr Asp Val Val Lys Pro Gly His Glu
 245 250 255
 His Ile Ile Pro Asn Glu Gly Met Pro Ile Ser Lys Glu Arg Gly Lys
 260 265 270
 Lys Gly Asn Leu Lys Ile Lys Phe Asp Ile Lys Phe Pro Ser Arg Leu
 275 280 285
 Ser Ala Asp Gln Lys Ser Asp Ile Arg Arg Val Leu Cys Arg Asn Ser
 290 295 300
 Asp
 305

<210> 7
 <211> 66
 <212> PRT
 <213> Nicotiana tabacum

<220>
 <221> DOMAIN
 <222> (1)..(66)
 <223> consensus sequence of the DnaJ-like domain

<400> 7
 Asp Tyr Tyr Glu Ile Leu Gly Val Pro Arg Asp Ala Ser Asp Glu Glu
 1 5 10 15
 Ile Lys Lys Ala Tyr Arg Lys Leu Ala Leu Lys Tyr His Pro Asp Lys
 20 25 30
 Asn Pro Gly Asp Pro Glu Ala Glu Glu Lys Phe Lys Glu Ile Asn Glu
 35 40 45
 Ala Tyr Glu Val Leu Ser Asp Pro Glu Lys Arg Ala Ile Tyr Asp Gln
 50 55 60
 Tyr Gly
 65

<210> 8
 <211> 801
 <212> DNA
 <213> CP cDNA from potato virus Y (PVY)

<400> 8
 gcaaatgaca caattgatgc aggaggaagc tctaagaaag atgcaaacca agagcaaagt 60
 agcattcaac caaatcccaa caagagaaag gaaaaggacg tgaatggttg aacatctgga 120
 actcacactg tgccacgaat taaagctatc acgtccaaaa tgagaatgcc caagagtaag 180
 ggtgcaactg tactaaattt agaacattta ctcgagtatg ctccacagca aattgacatc 240
 tcaaatactc gagcaactca atcacagttt gatacatggt atgaagcagt acaacttgca 300
 tacgacatag gagaaactga aatgccaaact gtgatgaatg ggcttatggt ttggtgcatt 360
 gaaaatggaa cctcgccaaa tatcaatgga gtttgggtta tgatggatgg agatgaacaa 420

59f

```

atcgaataacc cactaaaacc aatcggttgag aatgcaaaac caacacttag gcaaatacatg 480
gcacatttct cagatgttgc agaagcgtac atagaaatgc gcaacaaaaa ggaaccatac 540
atgccacgat atggtttagt tcgtaatctg cgcgatggaa gtttggctcg ctatgctttt 600
gacttttatg aagtcacatc acgaacacca gtgagggcta gagaggcaca cattcaaagt 660
aaggccgcag ctttaaaatc agctcaatct cgacttttcg gattggatgg tggcatcagt 720
acacaagagg aaaacacaga gaggcacacc accgaggatg tttctccaag tatgcatact 780
ctacttgag tcaagaacat g 801

```

<210> 9
 <211> 267
 <212> PRT
 <213> CP from potato virus Y (PVY)

<400> 9
 Ala Asn Asp Thr Ile Asp Ala Gly Gly Ser Ser Lys Lys Asp Ala Asn
 1 5 10 15
 Gln Glu Gln Ser Ser Ile Gln Pro Asn Pro Asn Lys Arg Lys Glu Lys
 20 25 30
 Asp Val Asn Val Gly Thr Ser Gly Thr His Thr Val Pro Arg Ile Lys
 35 40 45
 Ala Ile Thr Ser Lys Met Arg Met Pro Lys Ser Lys Gly Ala Thr Val
 50 55 60
 Leu Asn Leu Glu His Leu Leu Glu Tyr Ala Pro Gln Gln Ile Asp Ile
 65 70 75 80
 Ser Asn Thr Arg Ala Thr Gln Ser Gln Phe Asp Thr Trp Tyr Glu Ala
 85 90 95
 Val Gln Leu Ala Tyr Asp Ile Gly Glu Thr Glu Met Pro Thr Val Met
 100 105 110
 Asn Gly Leu Met Val Trp Cys Ile Glu Asn Gly Thr Ser Pro Asn Ile
 115 120 125
 Asn Gly Val Trp Val Met Met Asp Gly Asp Glu Gln Ile Glu Tyr Pro
 130 135 140
 Leu Lys Pro Ile Val Glu Asn Ala Lys Pro Thr Leu Arg Gln Ile Met
 145 150 155 160
 Ala His Phe Ser Asp Val Ala Glu Ala Tyr Ile Glu Met Arg Asn Lys
 165 170 175
 Lys Glu Pro Tyr Met Pro Arg Tyr Gly Leu Val Arg Asn Leu Arg Asp
 180 185 190
 Gly Ser Leu Ala Arg Tyr Ala Phe Asp Phe Tyr Glu Val Thr Ser Arg
 195 200 205
 Thr Pro Val Arg Ala Arg Glu Ala His Ile Gln Met Lys Ala Ala Ala
 210 215 220
 Leu Lys Ser Ala Gln Ser Arg Leu Phe Gly Leu Asp Gly Gly Ile Ser
 225 230 235 240
 Thr Gln Glu Glu Asn Thr Glu Arg His Thr Thr Glu Asp Val Ser Pro
 245 250 255
 Ser Met His Thr Leu Leu Gly Val Lys Asn Met
 260 265

<210> 10
 <211> 918
 <212> DNA
 <213> Nicotiana tabacum

<400> 10
 atgggacttg attactataa cgtactaaaa gtatctcgga atgcaagtga agaagattta 60
 aagagatcgt acaagcgatt agctatgaaa tggcatccag ataagaacag tcagaacaaa 120
 aaagaagcag aagcaaaatt caagcagatt tctgaagcgt atgatgtgct tagtgatcct 180
 cagaagcgtc agatctatga cgtgtacggt gatgatgcat tgaaatccgg tcaatttgcc 240
 tcggcgtcgc cgactagtgc tggtagtaac gccagagggt ttaggttcaa tacgcgtgat 300

59g

gcggaggcta	tttttgctga	gttttttcggt	ggatcgggta	gtaattccgg	tgccggagtc	360
ggtcggaagg	cggcgccggt	ggagaataaa	ctgccgtgta	gcttggagga	gctttacaaa	420
ggttctagaa	gaaaaatgaa	gatctcacgg	attcttctgg	atgactctgg	taagcctaca	480
actgttgaag	aggtcttagc	gatacacatc	aagcctgggt	ggaagaaagg	cacaaaaatc	540
actttcccag	agaaaggaaa	ctatgagcct	ggagctactc	ctggtgatct	tatttttgtg	600
atagatgaaa	agccgcatgc	tgtcttcaag	agggatggaa	atgatctgga	gatcaatcag	660
aaaatttctt	tactagatgc	tcttactggg	aaaactataa	gcttgatcac	tttggatgga	720
cgggaactca	caataccaat	cacagatatt	gttaaaccag	gacatgagca	tataatccca	780
aatgaaggaa	tgccaatatc	aaaggaacgt	ggcaagaaag	gaaatttgaa	gatcaagttt	840
gacattaaat	tcccatcaag	gctaagtgca	gatcagaaat	ctgatatcag	gaggggtactg	900
tgcaggagcg	ctgactaa					918

THE EMBODIMENTS OF THE INVENTION IN WHICH AN EXCLUSIVE PROPERTY OR PRIVILEGE IS CLAIMED ARE DEFINED AS FOLLOWS:

1. A method for the production of transgenic plants with increased virus resistance, comprising the step of substantially preventing an expression of plant DnaJ-like proteins which interact with viral components by means of transfer of nucleic acid molecules comprising a sequence which is identical or complementary to the sequences encoding plant DnaJ-like proteins, or fragments thereof, to plant cells, wherein the DnaJ-like protein is at least 92% identical to SEQ ID No.4, SEQ ID No. 5 or SEQ ID No. 6 or wherein the DnaJ-like protein comprises a J-domain which is at least 92% identical to the J-domain according to SEQ ID No. 7.
2. The method according to claim 1,
characterized in that the transferred nucleic acid molecules comprise sequences, which are at least 92% identical to or which are complementary to the sequences encoding the J-domain of plant DnaJ-like proteins or fragments thereof.
3. The method according to either of claims 1 or 2,
characterized in that the transferred nucleic acid molecules comprise sequences, which are at least 92% identical to or which are complementary to the sequences encoding the protein specific regions of plant DnaJ-like proteins, which do not coincide with the J-domain, or fragments thereof.
4. The method according to any one of claims 1 to 3,
characterized in that the fragment of the transferred nucleic acid sequences, which are at least 92% identical to or which are complementary to the sequences encoding plant DnaJ-like proteins or fragments thereof, comprises 20 to 1000 nucleotides.
5. The method according to claim 4, wherein the fragment of the transferred nucleic acid sequences comprises 20 to 750 nucleotides.

6. The method according to claim 4, wherein the fragment of the transferred nucleic acid sequences comprises 20 to 500 nucleotides.
7. The method according to claim 4, wherein the fragment of the transferred nucleic acid sequences comprises 20 to 250 nucleotides.
8. The method according to claim 4, wherein the fragment of the transferred nucleic acid sequences comprises 20 to 150 nucleotides.
9. The method according to claim 4, wherein the fragment of the transferred nucleic acid sequences comprises 20 to 100 nucleotides.
10. The method according to claim 4, wherein the fragment of the transferred nucleic acid sequences comprises 20 to 50 nucleotides.
11. The method according to any one of claims 1 to 10,
characterized in that a fragment of the transferred nucleic acid sequences is at least 92% identical to the sequences encoding plant DnaJ-like proteins or fragments thereof.
12. The method according to claim 11, wherein the fragment of the transferred nucleic acid sequences is at least 95% identical to the sequences encoding plant DnaJ-like proteins or fragments thereof.
13. The method according to any one of claims 1 to 12,
characterized in that a fragment of the transferred nucleic acid sequences is at least 95% complementary to the sequences encoding plant DnaJ-like proteins or fragments thereof.
14. The method according to any one of claims 1 to 13,
characterized in that it comprises the following steps:

a) producing a vector which comprises the following sequence elements in 5'-3' orientation:

- a promoter being functional in plants
- operatively linked a sequence which is at least 92% identical to an anti sense sequence of the sequence encoding the plant DnaJ-like protein or' fragments thereof, wherein the sequence exhibits 3'exon sequences at its 3'end, being recognizable by the spliceosome
- an intron
- a sequence which is at least 92% identical to a sense sequence of the sequence encoding the plant DnaJ-like protein or fragments thereof, wherein the sequence exhibits 5'exon sequences at its 5'end, being recognizable by the spliceosome
- a termination sequence

b) transferring the vector from a) to plant cells.

15. The method according to claim 14, comprising integrating the vector into the plant genome.

16. The method according to any one of claims 1 to 15,
characterized in that it comprises the following steps:

a) producing a vector which comprises the following sequence elements in 5'-3' orientation:

- a promoter being functional in plants
- operatively linked thereto a sequence which is at least 92% identical to the anti sense sequence of the sequence encoding a plant DnaJ-like protein or fragments thereof
- a termination sequence

b) transferring the vector from a) to plant cells

and, optionally, integration into the plant genome.

17. The method according to claim 16, comprising integrating the vector into the plant genome.

18. The method according to any one of claims 1 to 15,

characterized in that it comprises the following steps:

a) producing a vector which comprises the following sequence elements in 5'-3' orientation:

- a promoter being functional in plants
- operatively linked thereto a sequence which is at least 92% identical to the sense sequence of the sequence encoding a plant DnaJ-like protein or fragments thereof, wherein the sequence has self-complementary regions
- a termination sequence

b) transferring the vector from a) to plant cells.

19. The method according to claim 18, comprising integrating the vector into the plant genome.

20. The method according to any one of claims 1 to 15,

characterized in that it comprises the following steps:

a) producing a vector which comprises the following sequence elements in 5'-3' orientation:

- a promoter being functional in plants
- operatively linked thereto a DNA sequence, which is complementary to the sequence encoding the mRNA of the plant DnaJ-like protein or fragments thereof
- a DNA sequence encoding ribonuclease P
- a termination sequence

b) transferring the vector from a) to plant cells.

21. The method according to claim 20, comprising integrating the vector into the plant genome.

22. The method according to any one of claims 1 to 15,

characterized in that it comprises the following steps:

a) producing a vector which comprises the following sequence elements in 5'-3' orientation:

- a promoter being functional in plants
- a DNA sequence which is at least 92% identical to the sequence encoding the 5' end of the plant DnaJ-like protein
- a DNA sequence encoding a resistance gene
- a DNA sequence which is at least 92% identical to the sequence encoding the 3' end of the plant DnaJ-like protein
- a termination sequence

b) transferring the vector from a) to plant cells and integration into the plant genome.

23. The method according to any one of claims 1 to 15, comprising the following steps:

a) producing a vector which comprises the following sequence elements in 5'-3' orientation:

- a promoter being functional in plants
- operatively linked thereto a DNA sequence encoding a ribozyme which specifically recognizes the mRNA of plant DnaJ-like proteins
- a termination sequence

b) transferring the vector from a) to plant cells.

24. The method according to claim 23, comprising integrating the vector into the plant genome.

25. A method for the production of transgenic plants with increased virus resistance,

comprising the following steps

a) producing a vector which comprises the following elements in 5'-3' orientation:

- a promoter being functional in plants-operatively linked thereto a DNA sequence encoding a dominant-negative mutant of a plant DnaJ-like protein which interacts with viral components, wherein the dominant-negative mutant is selected from a group that consists of plant DnaJ-like protein that lack the first 65 amino acids, plant DnaJ-like protein that lack the first 66 amino acids, plant DnaJ-like protein that lack an N-terminus and plant DnaJ-like protein that lack a J domain or the DNA sequence encodes a recombinant antibody, which is specific for plant DnaJ-like proteins, wherein the recombinant antibody is selected from the group consisting of Fab fragments, Fv fragments, scFv, antibodies and scFv homodimers, wherein the DnaJ-like protein is at least 92% identical to SEQ ID No.4, SEQ ID No. 5 or SEQ ID No. 6 or wherein the DnaJ-like protein comprises a J-domain which is at least 92% identical to the J-domain according to SEQ ID No. 7,
- a termination signal

b) transferring the vector from a) to plant cells.

26. The method according to claim 25, comprising integrating the vector into the plant genome.

27. The method according to any one of claims 13 to 26,
characterized in that the vector contains further regulatory and functional sequences in addition to promoters.

28. The method according to claim 27,
characterized in that the regulatory sequences are enhancers, replication signals, selection markers and/or sequences allowing for a propagation of the vectors in bacteria and/or a replication in plant cells.

29. The method according to any one of claims 13 to 28,
characterized in that the vectors are plasmids, cosmids and recombinant viruses.
30. The method according to claim 29,
characterized in that the vectors are pBR322, pUC vectors, M 13mp vectors or vectors derived from the Agrobacterium Ti or Ri plasmid.
31. The method according to any one of claims 13 to 30,
characterized in that the promoters are the constitutive promoters including the 35S promoter, the actin or the ubiquitin promoter, tissue-specific promoters, including the phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase or the fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase promoter, developmental-specific, light-, wound- or pathogen-induced promoters.
32. The method according to claim 31, wherein the pathogen-induced promoters are virus-induced promoters.
33. The method according to any one of claims 13 to 32,
characterized in that the vector is transferred to the plants by means of transformation, transfection, injection, biolistic methods and/or electroporation.
34. The method according to any one of claims 1 to 33,
characterized in that the plant DnaJ-like proteins are encoded by the sequences SEQ ID NO. 1, 2 or 3.
35. The method according to any one of claims 1 to 34,
characterized in that the transgenic plants exhibit increased resistance to Poty, Carla, Hordei, Potex, Bunyai, Clostero, Cucumo, Diantho, Tobamo, Gemini, Lutero, Rymo, Tobra, Furo, Corno, Nepo, Bromo or Tospo viruses.

36. The method according to claim 35,
characterized in that the plants exhibit increased resistance to potato virus Y (PVY), to tobacco etch virus (TEV) and to tomato spotted wilt virus (TSWV).
37. The method according to any one of claims 1 to 36,
characterized in that the transgenic plants are monocotyledonous plants.
38. The method according to claim 37, wherein the monocotyledonous plants are plants belonging to the genera Avena (oat), Triticum (wheat), Secale (rye), Hordeum (barley), Oryza (rice), Panicum, Pennisetum, Setaria, Sorghum (millet), and Zea (maize).
39. The method according to any one of claims 1 to 36,
characterized in that the transgenic plants are dicotyledonous plants.
40. The method according to claim 39, wherein the dicotyledonous plants are cotton, leguminous plants such as legumes and especially alfalfa, soy bean, rape, tomato, sugar beet, potato, ornamental plants, tobacco as well as trees.
41. Transgenic plant cell with increased virus resistance,
characterized in that the expression of plant DnaJ-like proteins, which interact with virus components, is substantially prevented, by means of transfer of nucleic acid molecules comprising a sequence which is identical or complementary to the sequences encoding plant DnaJ-like proteins, or fragments thereof, to transgenic plant cells, wherein the DnaJ-like protein is at least 92% identical to SEQ ID No. 4, SEQ ID No. 5 or SEQ ID No. 6 or , wherein the DnaJ-like protein comprises a J-domain which is at least 92% identical to the J-domain according to SEQ ID No. 7.
42. Transgenic plant cell according to claim 41,
characterized in that the plant DnaJ-like proteins are encoded by SEQ ID NO. 1, 2 or 3.

43. Transgenic plant cell with increased virus resistance,
characterized in that dominant-negative mutants of plant DnaJ-like proteins, which interact with virus components, or antibodies being specific for DnaJ-like proteins are expressed in the plant cells, wherein the DnaJ-like protein is at least 92% identical to SEQ ID No. 4, SEQ ID No. 5 or SEQ ID No. 6 or wherein the DnaJ-like protein comprises a J-domain which is at least 92% identical to the J-domain according to SEQ ID No. 7.
44. Transgenic plant cell with increased virus resistance,
characterized in that dominant-negative mutants of DnaJ-like proteins which interact with virus components, are expressed in the plant cells, wherein the DnaJ-like protein is at least 92% identical to SEQ ID No. 4, SEQ ID No. 5 or SEQ ID No. 6 or wherein the DnaJ-like protein comprises a J-domain which is at least 92% identical to the J-domain according to SEQ ID No. 7.
45. Transgenic plant cell with increased virus resistance of claim 44, wherein the dominant-negative mutants of DnaJ-like proteins are plant DnaJ-like proteins.
46. Transgenic plant cell according to any one of claims 43 to 45,
characterized in that the plant DnaJ-like proteins are encoded by SEQ ID NO. 1, 2 or 3.
47. Transgenic plant cell according to any one of claims 43 to 45,
characterized in that proteins are expressed as dominant-negative mutants of the DnaJ-like proteins in which the J-domain is deleted.
48. Transgenic plant cell according to any one of claims 43 to 47,
characterized in that N-terminal deletion mutants of the plant DnaJ-like proteins, which are encoded by SEQ ID NO. 1, 2 or 3, are expressed as dominant-negative mutants, in which the J-domain is deleted.

49. Transgenic plant cell with increased virus resistance, characterized **in that** the J-domain of the plant DnaJ-like proteins is overexpressed, wherein the DnaJ-like protein is at least 92% identical to SEQ ID No.4, SEQ ID No. 5 or SEQ ID No. 6 or wherein the DnaJ-like protein comprises a J-domain which is at least 92% identical to the J domain according to SEQ ID No. 7.


50. Virus resistant plant cell, characterized **in that** they contain mutations in the coding and regulatory sequences of the genes for plant DnaJ-like proteins, which cause a modulation of the expression of these proteins and their binding behavior to viral proteins and endogenous cellular binding partners, wherein the DnaJ-like protein is at least 92% identical to SEQ ID No.4, SEQ ID No. 5 or SEQ ID No. 6 or wherein the DnaJ-like protein comprises a J-domain which is at least 92% identical to the J-domain according to SEQ ID No. 7.

51. Virus resistant plant cell according to claim 50, characterized **in that** a dominant-negative mutant of plant DnaJ-like proteins is expressed, which is not able to interact with viral components and the cellular interaction partners of plant DnaJ-like proteins.

52. Virus resistant plant cell according to either of claims 50 or 51, characterized **in that** it is produced by the "TILLING" method.

Figure 1

a)



b)

	NtCPIP1	NtCPIP2a	NtCPIP2b	NtDnaJ_M541
NtCPIP1	--	78,4 %	79,7 %	56,5 %
NtCPIP2a		--	97,4 %	59,7 %
NtCPIP2b			--	59,7 %

2/17

c)

Nter-DYYEILGVPRDASDEEIKKAYRKLALKYHPDKNPGDPEAEEKFKEINEAYEVL
SDPEKRAIYDQYG-Cter

3/17

Figure 2a

```

gcaaaagagcgagagagagagagagagagagagagagagagagagagagagagagaaa 60
atgggcggttgattactacaaaataactcaaagtgtcacgcaatgcgagtgaagaagatttg 120
M G V D Y Y K I L K V S R N A S E E D L 20
aaaaaatcgtacaagcgattggcgatgaaatggcatccggataagaacagtgagaaagaa 180
K K S Y K R L A M K W H P D K N S E K E 40
gcagaagcgaaattcaagcagatatcgaggcctatgatgtgctaagtgatccacagaag 240
A E A K F K Q I S E A Y D V L S D P Q K 60
cgtcagatctatgatataacggcgatgaggcggttgaaatcgggtcaattcgatccctcg 300
R Q I Y D I Y G D E A L K S G Q F D P S 80
tcacctatgaatggtaatgggagaggatttaagttcgattcgcgatgatgcggaagatatt 360
S P M N G N G R G F K F D S R D A E D I 100
tttgcggaatttttttggtggatcggtatgggtatagtaggagtcctactggtggtactgta 420
F A E F F G G S D G Y S R S P T G G T V 120
cggattaggaagccggcgccggtggagaacaagttgccatgtagcttgagggaattgtac 480
R I R K P A P V E N K L P C S L E E L Y 140
aagggttctaagaggaagatgaagatttcaaggattgttcttgatgtcactggtaagcct 540
K G S K R K M K I S R I V L D V T G K P 160
acaacaattgaagaggtcttggaatacacattaaacctgggttgaagaaaggcacaaaa 600
T T I E E V L A I H I K P G W K K G T K 180
atcacttttccagagaaagggaaccatgaacctggagctgcacctggtgatcttattttt 660
I T F P E K G N H E P G A A P G D L I F 200
gtaatcgatgaaaagccacatgatgtcttcaagagagatggaaatgatctagtgatcaat 720
V I D E K P H D V F K R D G N D L V I N 220
cagaaaatctcattagtagatgctctctctgggaagattatcaacttggctactttggat 780
Q K I S L V D A L S G K I I N L A T L D 240
ggaagggaactcacgataccaatcacagatggttgtaagccaggacatgagcagataatc 840
G R E L T I P I T D V V K P G H E Q I I 260
gcagatgaaggaatgccaatatcaaaagaacccgggaagaaaggaaatttgaggatcaag 900
A D E G M P I S K E P G K K G N L R I K 280
tttgagggttaagttcccgtcaaggcttagttcagatcagaaattggatatcagaagagtg 960
F E V K F P S R L S S D Q K L D I R R V 300
ctgggcaggactggttgactaatccagtgcttaacttaaggtatcactaacactgactgat 1020
L G R T V D - 305
tgtggaagtgcaatggaatgtaaatacttggaaagtttatgctagacaggagaattgtgc 1080
atagtaggattattgttttgacgatgcctctcaaagtttagttttatttagcgccataaa 1140
aggagcactctagcagctatgccagctgcctatgtaattctcatggttgatattaaacac 1200
cttaggtagtttactaagtttttaatgtttgatttggaggtagatgctatacttcaagtaa 1260
ttggagtcgggaatagcttgctatcttggttctggttgatgaatttcaactgtgggctgtgg 1320
tagactggtaatgaataaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa 1371

```

4/17

Figure 2b

atgggacttgattactataacgtactaaaagtatctcggaatgcaagtgaagaagattta
M G L D Y Y N V L K V S R N A S E E D L 20
aagagatcgtacaagcgattagctatgaaatggcatccagataagaacagtcagaacaaa
K R S Y K R L A M K W H P D K N S Q N K 40
aaagaagcagaagcaaaattcaagcagattttctgaagcgtatgatgtgcttagtgatcct
K E A E A K F K Q I S E A Y D V L S D P 60
aagaagcgtcagatctatgacgtgtacgggtgatgatgcattgaaatccgggtcaatttgcc
Q K R Q I Y D V Y G D D A L K S G Q F A 80
tcggcgtcgccgactagtgtggttagtaacgccagagggttttaggttcaatacgcgtgat
S A S P T S A G S N A R G F R F N T R D 100
gcggaggctatTTTTTgctgagTTTTTcggtggatcgggtagtaattccgggtgccggagtc
A E A I F A E F F G G S G S N S G A G V 120
ggtcgggaaggcggcgccggtggagaataaaactgccgtgtagcttggaggagctttacaaa
G R K A A P V E N K L P C S L E E L Y K 140
ggttctagaagaaaaatgaagatctcacggattcttctggatgactctggtaagcctaca
G S R R K M K I S R I L L D D S G K P T 160
actgttgaagaggtcttagcgatacacatcaagcctgggttgaagaaaggcacaaaaatc
T V E E V L A I H I K P G W K K G T K I 180
actttcccagagaaaggaaactatgagcctggagctactcctggatgactttttttgtg
T F P E K G N Y E P G A T P G D L I F V 200
atagatgaaaagccgcatgctgtcttcaagagggatggaaatgatctggagatcaatcag
I D E K P H A V F K R D G N D L E I N Q 220
aaaatttctttactagatgctcttactgggaaaactataagcttgatcacttttgatgga
K I S L L D A L T G K T I S L I T L D G 240
cggaactcacaataccaatcacagatattgttaaaccaggacatgagcatataatccca
R E L T I P I T D I V K P G H E H I I P 260
aatgaaggaatgccaatatcaaaggaacgtggcaagaaaggaaatttgaagatcaagttt
N E G M P I S K E R G K K G N L K I K F 280
gacattaaattcccatcaaggctaagtgcagatcagaaatctgatatcaggagggtactg
D I K F P S R L S A D Q K S D I R R V L 300
tgcaggagcgctgactaa
C R S A D - 305

5/17

Figure 2c

atgggacttgattactatgacgtactgaaagtatctcggaatgcaagtgaagaagattta
M G L D Y Y D V L K V S R N A S E E D L 20
aagagatcgtataagcgattagcgatgaaatggcatccagataagaacagtcagaacaaa
K R S Y K R L A M K W H P D K N S Q N K 40
aaggaagctgaagcgaattcaagcagattttctgaagcgtatgatgtgcttagtgatcct
K E A E A K F K Q I S E A Y D V L S D P 60
cagaagcgtcagatctatgacgtgtacgggtgatgatgcattgaaatccgggtcaatttgct
Q K R Q I Y D V Y G D D A L K S G Q F A 80
tcggcgctcgccgactagtgctggtagtaacggcagaggatttaggttcaatacgcgtgac
S A S P T S A G S N G R G F R F N T R D 100
gcggaggctattttcgctgagtttttcgggtggatcggatagtaattccgctgccggagta
A E A I F A E F F G G S D S N S A A G V 120
ggtcgggaaggcggcaccgggtggagaataaaactgccgtgtagcttggaggagctttacaaa
G R K A A P V E N K L P C S L E E L Y K 140
ggatctagaaggaaaatgaagatctcacggattcttcttgatgactctggtaagcctaca
G S R R K M K I S R I L L D D S G K P T 160
actgttgaagagggtccttagcgatacacatcaaaccagggttggagaaggcacaataatc
T V E E V L A I H I K P G W K K G T K I 180
actttcccagagaaagggaactatgaacctggagctactcctggtgatcttatttttggtg
T F P E K G N Y E P G A T P G D L I F V 200
atagatgaaaagccacatgctgtcttcaagagggatggaaatgatctagtgatcaatcag
I D E K P H A V F K R D G N D L V I N Q 220
aaaatatctttactagatgctcttactgggaaaactataagcttgatcactttggatgga
K I S L L D A L T G K T I S L I T L D G 240
cgggaactcacaataccaatcacagatgttggttaaaccaggacatgagcatataatccca
R E L T I P I T D V V K P G H E H I I P 260
aatgaaggaatgccaatatcaaaggaacgtggcaagaaaggaaatttgaagatcaagttt
N E G M P I S K E R G K K G N L K I K F 280
gacattaaattcccacttaggctaagtgcagatcagaaatctgatatcaggagggtactg
D I K F P S R L S A D Q K S D I R R V L 300
tgcaggaactctgactaa
C R N S D - 305

6/17

Figure 3**PVY CP cDNA with deduced amino acid sequence**

```

gcaaatgacacaattgatgcaggaggaagctctaagaaagatgcaaaccaagagcaaagt 60
A N D T I D A G G S S K K D A N Q E Q S 20
agcattcaaccaaatcccaacaagagaaaaggacgtgaatggttggaacatctgga 120
S I Q P N P N K R K E K D V N V G T S G 40
actcacactgtgccacgaattaaagctatcacgtccaaaatgagaatgccaagagtaag 180
T H T V P R I K A I T S K M R M P K S K 60
Ggtgcaactgtactaaatttagaacatttactcgagtatgctccacagcaaattgacatc 240
G A T V L N L E H L L E Y A P Q Q I D I 80
tcaaatactcgagcaactcaatcacagtttgatacatgggtatgaagcagtacaacttgca 300
S N T R A T Q S Q F D T W Y E A V Q L A 100
tacgacataggagaaaactgaaatgccaaactgtgatgaatgggcttatggtttggtgcatt 360
Y D I G E T E M P T V M N G L M V W C I 120
gaaaatggaacctcgccaaatatcaatggagtttgggttatgatggatggagatgaacaa 420
E N G T S P N I N G V W V M M D G D E Q 140
atcgaatacccactaaaaccaatcgttgagaatgcaaaaccaacacttaggcaaatacatg 480
I E Y P L K P I V E N A K P T L R Q I M 160
gcacatttctcagatggttgagaagcgtacatagaaatgcgcaacaaaaaggaaccatac 540
A H F S D V A E A Y I E M R N K K E P Y 180
atgccacgatatggtttagttcgtaatctgcgcgatggaagtttggctcgctatgctttt 600
M P R Y G L V R N L R D G S L A R Y A F 200
gacttttatgaagtcacatcacgaacaccagttagggctagagaggcacacattcaaagt 660
D F Y E V T S R T P V R A R E A H I Q M 220
aaggccgcagcttttaaaatcagctcaatctcgacttttcggattggatggtggcatcagt 720
K A A A L K S A Q S R L F G L D G G I S 240
acacaagaggaaaacacagagaggcacaccaccgaggatgtttctccaagtatgcatact 780
T Q E E N T E R H T T E D V S P S M H T 260
ctacttggagtcaagaacatg 801
L L G V K N M 267

```

7/17

Figure 4





Figure 5



9/17

Figure 6






Figure 7



pUC-RNAi


Figure 8



pBinNtCPIP1-RNAi

12/17

Figure 9



PVY virus titer in locally infected leafs of NtCPIP1-RNAi transgenic tobacco lines 4 days post infection (4 dpi) in 2 independent experiments (Set I + II)




Figure 10a

PVY virus titer in locally infected and systemic leaves of NtCPIP1- RNAi transgenic tobacco lines 6 days post infection (6dpi)











Figure 10b

15/17

Figure 11**A****B**

16/17

Figure 12



17/17

Figure 13

atgggacttgattactataacgtactaaaagtatctcggaatgcaagtgaagaagattta
M G L D Y Y N V L K V S R N A S E E D L 20
aagagatcgtacaagcgattagctatgaaatggcatccagataagaacagtcagaacaaa
K R S Y K R L A M K W H P D K N S Q N K 40
aaagaagcagaagcaaaattcaagcagatttctgaagcgtatgatgtgcttagtgatcct
K E A E A K F K Q I S E A Y D V L S D P 60
cagaagcgtcagatctatgacgtgtacgggtgatgatgcattgaaatccgggtcaatttgcc
Q K R Q I Y D V Y G D D A L K S G Q F A 80
tcggcgctcgccgactagtgtggttagtaacgccagagggttttaggttcaatacgcgtgat
S A S P T S A G S N A R G F R F N T R D 100
gcggaggctatTTTTTgctgagTTTTTcggtggatcgggtagtaattccgggtgccggagtc
A E A I F A E F F G G S G S N S G A G V 120
ggtcggaaggcgccggtggagaataaactgccgtgtagcttggaggagctttacaaa
G R K A A P V E N K L P C S L E E L Y K 140
ggttctagaagaaaaatgaagatctcacggattcttctggatgactctggtaagcctaca
G S R R K M K I S R I L L D D S G K P T 160
actgttgaagaggtcttagcgatacacatcaagcctgggttgaagaaaggcacaaaaatc
T V E E V L A I H I K P G W K K G T K I 180
actttcccagagaaaggaaactatgagcctggagctactcctgggtgatcttatttttgtg
T F P E K G N Y E P G A T P G D L I F V 200
atagatgaaaagccgcatgctgtcttcaagagggatggaaatgatctggagatcaatcag
I D E K P H A V F K R D G N D L E I N Q 220
aaaatttctttactagatgctcttactgggaaaactataagcttgatcactttggatgga
K I S L L D A L T G K T I S L I T L D G 240
cgggaactcacaataccaatcacagatattgttaaaccaggacatgagcatataatccca
R E L T I P I T D I V K P G H E H I I P 260
aatgaaggaatgccaatatcaaaggaacgtggcaagaaaggaaatttgaagatcaagttt
N E G M P I S K E R G K K G N L K I K F 280
gacattaaattcccatcaaggctaagtgcagatcagaaatctgatatcaggagggtactg
D I K F P S R L S A D Q K S D I R R V L 300
tgcaggagcgctgactaa
C R S A D - 305