

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
6 March 2003 (06.03.2003)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 03/019408 A2

(51) International Patent Classification⁷: **G06F 17/00**

MX, MZ, NO, NZ, OM, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(21) International Application Number: PCT/US02/26351

(22) International Filing Date: 19 August 2002 (19.08.2002)

(84) Designated States (regional): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, SK, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:
09/933,576 21 August 2001 (21.08.2001) US

Declaration under Rule 4.17:

— of inventorship (Rule 4.17(iv)) for US only

(71) Applicant and

(72) Inventor: MARTENS, Mark [US/US]; 3258 Peace Valley Lane, Falls Church, VA 22044 (US).

Published:

— without international search report and to be republished upon receipt of that report

(81) Designated States (national): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW,

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.



WO 03/019408 A2

(54) Title: INTERNATIONAL PATENT APPLICATION FOR THE GRAPHICAL WORKOUT FEEDBACK SYSTEM

(57) Abstract: This invention relates to the field of visual display systems on exercise machines. In other words, computer automation, monitors, and other visual display systems allowing user input and providing feedback to users of fitness bikes, treadmills, stepmachines and other exercise machines

INTERNATIONAL PATENT APPLICATION FOR
THE GRAPHICAL WORKOUT FEEDBACK SYSTEM

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

1. TECHNICAL FIELD

This invention relates to the field of visual display systems on exercise machines. In other words, computer automation, monitors, and other visual display systems providing feedback to users of fitness bikes, treadmills, stepmachines and other exercise machines.

2. BACKGROUND ART

Much exercise equipment in gyms today currently have combination visual display/controller devices. A company called E-Zone attaches a media system to many different types of exercise equipment providing CD and tape playing as well as small television screens with television programming and some movie promotions. Although E-Zone physically attaches their media system to the exercise machines, there is no electronic connection between their system and the exercise machine or activity. It just lets you watch TV and play music while you work out.

Lifefitness has monitors attached to their equipment to vary and monitor parameters of the workout such as resistance, target heart rate, time elapsed, distance covered, current pulse rate, caloric burn 'rate', and total calories consumed during the workout. The monitors typically display numeric variables in pre-formatted areas, and grids of dots that are either lit, to produce bars of various heights. Once a user finishes the workout, summary information is briefly displayed in numeric format, and then disappears.

Also in recent years Netpulse Media Networks attached equipment to exercise machines to provide users with internet connectivity while utilizing the equipment. This particular system also provides for individual user identification, recording of total or cumulative miles of 'exercise' achieved for each identified user, and user viewing of his or her own summary historical totals while in the system, *in a numerical/spreadsheet format*.

There have also been a products/services in the current art where the computer projected a paced competitor that proceeded at a specific pre-selected speed, and another system that allowed users to compete against one another. However, although these systems may harness the competitive spirit, or alleviate exercise boredom for some, not one of these systems allows me to credibly address the following:

- Can I cycle/run faster, further, or easier than I could yesterday, last week?
- How 'hard' did I workout today? How about compared to yesterday?
- Am I improving my fitness? If so, how much, and in what way?
- Am I fitter today than I was yesterday/last week/last month? How?
- If not, how much harder do I have to work to get fitter?
- How much harder should I work (right now!) to improve my performance?
- Is my average performance getting better?
- How tired was I at this point in my last workout?
- Am I capable of beating my fastest/best time ever?
- Is there any measurable progress towards my goal of improving my fitness ??

The problem is that regular rigorous exercise is hard to maintain. Many people start exercise programs with great enthusiasm, but quickly lose motivation after a few weeks. According to research 60% of all new members joining gyms to start an exercise program give up after 3 months. Every January consumers spend thousands on exercise machines, and by March the machines are gathering dust in their basement. For many, it is difficult enough to get motivated to start exercising in the first place, but even more so to maintain a high exercise intensity for a full 20-30 minute workout. Although many people are highly motivated to exercise for self-improvement, for most, aerobic activities, particularly using exercise machines, are hard work, tedious, repetitive, uncomfortable, and boring.

Visual systems on or around exercise equipment attempt to address this problem.

The problem with the current art is that although some systems can alleviate the tedium felt during repetitive motion exercise, more often they are distracting to the workout itself. Current art systems may make the user **less bored during exercise**, but they do not make the user **less bored by exercise**. Watching a great basketball game on TV, or shopping for a new pair of shoes do not help you get a better workout, quite the reverse. These systems can entertain you at the cost of further disconnecting you from the exercise activity. They impair ones connection to the exercise activity and ones ability to engage in intense workouts. Research (and personal experience) support the notion that activities like television or surfing the net, may make one more likely to come to the gym, but because they are actually distracting you from the workout, they reduce the

intensity of your exercise. Yet for fitness improvements it is critical to push our own limits, and for this we need to increase workout intensity. In other words, it is not enough to be 'less bored' during exercise activity. We need to feel more interested in the activity itself. Exercise frequency is certainly important, but without workout intensity improvements will be very limited. For intense workouts, motivation and concentration are critical. Because systems based on the current art actually make it more difficult to concentrate and workout hard, many people have limited fitness improvements even after using such exercise machines for long periods. As a result they often get both bored and disappointed and discontinue the activity.

3. DISCLOSURE OF THE INVENTION

The essence of this invention as a proposed solution to the problem of workout motivation is to create a real-time visual feedback environment in which the user excercises in a 'virtual competition', with his or her own previous workouts as 'shadow competitors', while continuously receiving updated graphical presentations of relevant performance and physiological parameters, also in relation to those of previous workouts. The invention comprises computer automation attached to an exercise machine, a full screen visual display mechanism, processes, software, drivers, graphical animation methods, and a remote server(s) and database. This invention provides methods and systems for measuring, recording, and providing graphical/visual feedback to users of the relevant parameters of their current workouts juxtaposed with those of previous workouts, on exercise machines such as exercise bikes, treadmills, rowers, Nordic Track,

and stepmachines. The system works in an equivalent fashion, with minor adjustments, for many different cardiovascular exercise machines.

4. BEST MODE FOR CARRYING OUT THE INVENTION

In the optimal configuration, for example, the invention is attached to an exercise bike. In this case the local system visual display mechanism (monitor attached to the exercise bike) presents a small cyclist figure to representing the current users workout. The figure moves along a 'virtual track' on the display screen, varying in relation to the rate at which the user is pedaling. The invention also produces several other 'shadow competitor' cyclist figures. Other shadow competitors represent actual and theoretical workouts previously recorded. Each of the shadow competitors moves along the virtual track at a rate in accordance with the speed at which the user pedaled, *during that workout*. In addition to reproducing into the current virtual environment, actual workouts previously recorded, the invention also generates mathematical or even theoretical shadow competitors to represent, say, the weekly or monthly average, or for such things as the personal best time.

In addition to creating the 'virtual competition', this invention will provide a continuous record of all workout variables from the beginning of the workout "to now" in graphical format. For example, the user will not only see what his current pulse rate is (as is the norm in the current art), but he will see a line graph of exactly what it was at each point in the workout and how his pulse rate has been changing throughout the workout. To allow for comparisons with previous workouts the invention also provides the user with

(touch screen sensitive) functionality to bring up the same graphical representations for each and any of the 'shadow competitors'. These graphs will be juxtaposed on the current graph for that variable to provide the user with immediate up to date visual comparisons. This allows the user to see in an instant, for example, how his current pulse rate has evolved compared to his pulse rate on a previous workout, *up to this same point in the workout*.

The visual juxtaposition of workout shadows allows the user to easily and immediately see whether he is ahead or behind a particular shadow(s), and how much. The graphical juxtaposition of workout variables such as pulse rate also allow the user to see immediately, if the relative intensity compared to specific previous workouts, at any and each point throughout the workout. This keeps the user involved in the workout and provides the incentive to work harder.

Previous systems (such as the Lifefitness systems) periodically display limited variables such as the users current heart rate (inaccurately), *in numerical format*. This invention will allow the user to view graphs showing the continuously changing variables (such as pulse rate) from the initiation of the workout up till right now. This allows the user to continuously monitor and gage relative effort, intensity, and duration, as well as progress and self-improvement.

The invention thus provides visual representations in real-time, of 'up-to-date' workout intensity and progress or change over time, *as the user is achieving it*. This invention is

designed to make the workout more personal, more interesting, more compelling, and provide the most motivation, right now. Visually, the system will mimic, watching oneself compete on television (live). Although previously exercise may have been a solitary and boring activity, the visual feedback on relative workout performance, in real time, not only provides for an interesting competition, but also provides immediate visual feedback on many aspects of the workout as well as improvement over time. What this invention does that no other system does is to combine physical and bio-feedback relative to previous workouts, that provides psychological reinforcement for increasing intensity and self-improvement.

Why is the GFWS an improvement over the current art? Because each person competes against themselves. This is important for psychological reasons. Unlike competing against others, this is a competition that all of us can win most of the time, providing more encouragement and therefore incentive to try harder. In fact, on any given day, each and every person has a decent chance to 'win' their own race. However, each time they do, it raises the performance bar for next time. The more often you beat your shadows, the better performance it takes to beat them next time, but also the more you push your body to improve it's capabilities. Conversely, if you have a couple of slow weeks, you may be temporarily discouraged, but the performance bar is being lowered, which gives you a better chance of doing well the next time period. It is this finely tuned 'automatic adjusting of the performance bar' that the GWFS provides which constructs exactly the right schedule of positive psychological reinforcement and therefore

encouragement. To maximize motivation, the GWFS makes each workout challenging, but not discouragingly so, for each and every person.

For most people competing against others is discouraging anyway. For every 'winner' there maybe 100 'losers', and coming 32nd, or 49th just isn't that encouraging. After all, the reason I'm in the gym is not to get fitter than some other guy. It's to get fitter than me. What the GFWS does is to show measurable progress towards that goal in, in a way that also incentivizes and rewards that process. And it does so at the precise moment when my motivation is most vulnerable. Right now! Besides, it evades the reason you are in the gym in the first place; Not to improve on the next guy, but to improve on yourself.

What this invention also does is *it makes a computer game out of workouts*. Millions of fanatics play computer games long and often, even obsessively. Although this may sound like unproductive or frivolous behavior on a computer game, it is exactly the behavior we want to encourage for exercise activities. Therefore, this invention is designed to take advantage of the same psychological forces by creating the same environment. Only in this game the 'joystick' is an exercise machine, the 'skill' is workout effort, and the only way to win the game is to workout harder. Normally obsessive gaming leads to sore thumbs, but by attaching a different game console and joystick, this invention actually harnesses such obsessiveness to get fitness improvements.

The Graphical Workout Feedback System (GWFS) consists of functionality and components including:

- a) A remote system comprising:
 - a. a remotely located server(s) accessible by a large number of local systems,
 - b. a database,
 - c. transmission and communication protocols.
- b) A local system comprising:
 - a. a computer and monitor connected to an exercise machine,
 - b. a set of sensors and drivers for measuring user workout activities/motions on the machine and transmitting them to the system in electronic form,
 - c. transmission and communication protocols.
 - d. interface/query programs for retrieving workout data from remote database.
 - e. graphics/animation functionality comprising:
 - i. visual representations of current and previous actual or mathematically constructed workouts in the same time/space reference (e.g. figures representing the current exercise activity 'competing against ones own previous workout/time'),
 - ii. graphical presentations of different parameters of current and previous workouts, such as distance covered, resistance, and pulse rate, in real time, throughout the duration of the workout.
- c) Connectivity (internet) between local and remote systems.

It is quickly evident to a sophisticated reader that in some sense the GWFS invention is a really new combination of components, methods and processes that are probably individually known to persons of normal skill their respective prior art. Certainly, a small group of normally skilled electrical and mechanical engineers, systems analysts, and computer game programmers between them should recognize these components, processes and techniques. In fact, it is very likely that such a group of persons armed only with the functional description provided above in the brief summary of the invention, could essentially produce this invention. It is the combination of many known components, methods, processes, and techniques, in the particular configuration, in the manner described, and in the particular context, that is new. The invention is, in essence, a new functional application of previously known functional components. In any case, the description below is considered to be an optimal implementation for the invention.

The GWFS is designed primarily, but not exclusively, for exercise bikes, treadmills and exercise machines of various types.

First, the system provides for individual user identification and confirmation. User input is accomplished by the local part of the system (attached to the exercise machine) prompting the user to enter information identifying the user and intended workout parameters. The visual display provides for this functionality through a touch-sensitive monitor screen keyboard that is displayed in response to the user initiating the system. The touch-sensitive screen keyboard is the optimal, but not only, method for the local

system interface, primarily to eliminate the need for a physical keyboard. The system is initiated when a user touches the application icon, or when a user commences to use the equipment in the normal manner. If the user requests GWFS functionality the local system sends a query to the remote database using information input by the user. As soon as the local part of the system has sent a message to query the remote database, it creates a 'virtual competition' environment on a selected area of the visual display (monitor). The system generates different virtual competition environments depending on the particular exercise machine it is attached to. For illustrative purposes the current description assumes it is attached to an exercise bike. In this case, the virtual competition environment consists of a track (circular, linear, or other shaped course) in which cycling figures can be depicted. The GWFS depicts the current workout as a (small) figure on a bike moving along the track at a speed commensurate with the rate at which the user pedals on the exercise machine. The system moves the 'cyclist' around/along the virtual track much in the same way a video game does. But the GWFS in this configuration responds to pedal motion not to input from a joystick or game console. This functionality is accomplished using various graphical animation methods that are well known in the current art to video and computer game programmers.

When the remote server receives the identification request from the local system, it verifies the individual's identification and returns a package of data to the local site. This package of data is typically a standardized profile of the individual's previous workouts. The initial standard data package depends on the recency and availability of previous workout data. The local system temporarily stores this data on the local hard drive, and

then uses this data to generate a variety of 'shadow competitors' and adds them to the visual presentation of the virtual competition. One shadow competitor is generated for each previous workout retrieved. If the individual has already been working out for a minute by the time the local system receives the data package, then the GFWS presents each shadow competitor at the logical location on the virtual track that was reached, one minute after the start of each respective workout. Each shadow competitor is color coded for easy visual identification and with a color intensity in reverse proportion to the recency of that workout. For example, if a shadow represents a workout from a month ago, the shadow would have a very low color intensity. The local system also generates shadow competitors for theoretical workouts such as 'the previous weeks average', the 'previous months average', 'weekly average to-date', 'personal best', and others. The optimal number of shadows, depending on several conditions, is 5-10. The standard competition includes the previous five workouts, plus a shadow for the average (of those five), plus a shadow for the individual's personal best time for that workout distance. In any case, it is likely that the virtual competition will function best based on a total of less than 10 total shadow competitors. However, the GFWS also generates more shadow competitors in response to subsequent user requests.

The GFWS recreates the exact movement over time of those previous workouts, but depicts them as shadow competitors moving along the same virtual track as the current workout. Each shadow is depicted either behind or ahead of the current workout figure, and each other, at all times in exact proportion to their relative performance from the initiation of the workout. In other words, the GFWS takes all these workouts that

occurred in reality at different times, and recreates them, in the same track, as if they were happening simultaneously. Those skilled in the art of computer game programming will immediately realize that in spite of the unique functionality or usage of this invention, the programming to achieve this in a 2 dimensional presentation is a relatively straight forward task, even if additional functionality is provided such as zooming or scanning. This is particularly true of the current exercise bike application where the user can only cause movement in one dimension; forward. Programmers skilled in the art will also realize that the three dimensional equivalent can also be easily accomplished with more sophisticated computer game programming, as long as the additional resource requirements of 3D graphics are addressed. The net result is that the GFWS creates the visual effect a real-time computer game using a virtual competition with oneself.

The graphics necessary for the basic visual presentation functionality are retained on, and generated by, the local system. Because all the required graphics images are small, very basic, and are known prior to run time, this is not a problem. It will immediately become evident to those of ordinary skill that many different competition environments, or 'tracks' could be easily provided as options to the user. The GFWS is configured so that communications between remote and local systems is in the form of known data types only. Those of ordinary skill in the art of object oriented programming (OOP) will recognize immediately that by transmitting only data, bandwidth requirements can be kept to a minimum for this functionality. Such techniques are well known in the current art of OOP.

The current art has provided methods for measuring, recording, and presenting summary information on exercise machine workouts. Many current Lifefitness exercise bikes, for example, display (for a few seconds at the finish of the workout); the total number of miles cycled, total number of calories, burned, and total time duration. However, even if systems retained summary information such as that the current user covered 4.86 miles in the previous 15 minute workout, this would provide sub-optimal estimates for creating a virtual competition, and inadequate records for graphical presentations and real time feedback. To remedy this problem the local system of the current invention measures and records several aspects of each workout, in small increments, **throughout the duration of the exercise activity**. For some workout variables, such as the pedaling rate and resistance, the GFWS measures and records one or more times per second, others such as pulse rate are recorded at larger intervals, such as once per minute. The GFWS uses straight line extrapolation to smoothly bridge from one measurement point to the other for those workout variables which are recorded at larger time intervals. At this point it will be obvious to those of normal skill that tradeoffs and compromises will have to be made between the number of variables measured, the measurement interval, the number and size of shadow figures, number of dimensions, graphical views and other variables that demand system processing or memory resources. There are many permutations that work perfectly well, and the specific combination is not critical to the functioning of the invention, although at extremes it may affect the degree of realism perceived by users.

On current equipment the variable known as 'level' is actually a parameter that varies resistance to the pedaling activity. In the real world this is equivalent to a gear on a bike. A higher gear is a higher resistance level, but covers more distance, per revolution. However, in the current art no accommodation is made of how the resistance variable impacts distance covered. In fact, on Lifefitness exercise bikes, pedaling for half an hour causes the display to read the same 10.8 miles covered each time, regardless of the resistance level or even revolutions per minute (RPM) of pedaling. Although varying the level and RPM parameters causes these machines to report different results for 'calories burned', it is quite clear that measures generated by the current art are gross, unrealistic, and unreliable. To more realistically reflect distance covered in a manner similar to an actual bike ride in the real world, the GFWS calculates the distance covered using the RPM directly and by multiplying this by an increasingly large factor as the level is increased. Thus the distance covered after ten seconds of pedaling at 100 RPM at resistance level six will be 1.x times as much as the same time and RPM at resistance level five. One of ordinary skill in the current art understands that the specific multiplier for each resistance level is subject to some tweaking, and may even have to vary (ultimately) according to the specific machine brand and model. Nevertheless, the GFWS is designed to consistently and credibly calculate such variables to minimize user disconnectedness from the workout activity, in sharp contrast to methods used in the current art.

Although the current art provides sensory devices on handles attached to the equipment for measuring pulse rates, these methods are not considered sufficiently accurate or

reliable. In the optimum configuration the GFWS will utilize a different device that receives sensory information from a source closer to the heart. The device is a sensory device worn like a strap over the shoulder, resting directly over the chest and receiving sensory input through the chest rather than the hands. Such devices are currently available commercially as stand-alone pulse rate measurement devices. This GFWS invention will utilize such devices but will integrate them into the system by directly wiring the sensory device to the GFWS. Those of ordinary skill in the art will recognize that, wireless technology will perform this function equally as well as a physical wiring. The methods to integrate data from this device are also relatively straightforward and well known in the current art. In this configuration the GFWS records the pulse rate continuously using the sensory device, but then instead of replacing previous measurements with new ones as in the current art, the GFWS retains and stores the recorded pulse rate every 60-120 seconds on the local system. As with new data on all parameters, the GFWS then immediately updates graphical presentations. Those of ordinary skill in the art will recognize that it may also make sense to also measure such variables as blood oxygen level and oxygen intake. These variables vary significantly during intense aerobic activity, and the means to measure, record, and display them are known to the current art, although they are usually only utilized in sports medicine or hospital situations.

During the workout activities, all information relating to the workout is recorded and stored on the local system hard drive. As the workout proceeds, and as designated memory is allocated, the local system can periodically copy 'a partial chunk' of the

current workout data and attempt to transmit it to the remote system to be stored in the database. This allows that storage to be freed up, if the local system threatens to run out. The optimal size or periodicity of these transmissions is between 1-5 minutes of (completed) workout data, depending on the connectivity, usage, and other factors. At the conclusion of the workout, during periods of 'down time', and based on availability of connectivity, the local system communicates with the remote system to insure that all data related to complete workouts have been received by the remote system and stored on the remote database. After confirmation of receipt from the remote location, the local system deletes the local copies of workout data on the hard drive, and releases the storage, whether it is needed or not.

The GFWS segments the visual display into three parts. It allocates the ongoing virtual competition to one area of the visual display, graphs of workout data to a second area, and user input icons to a third area. Optimally, the far right part of the visual display screen (a column approximately 20-25% of screen width) be allocated to user input icons, and the remaining portion of the visual display is segmented by a horizontal line approximately 1/3 of the way down from the top. In the optimal configuration the virtual competition is presented in the larger 2/3 portion at the bottom of the screen.

INDUSTRIAL APPLICATIONS

This invention is designed to be used by individuals in a home or gym setting as described in the previous paragraphs.

CLAIMS

This patent application claims as follows;

1. A system comprising;

means for mimicking a computer game environment by creating a simultaneous virtual competition between graphical depiction of current workout performed on exercise machines with graphical depictions of previous workouts; and

means for continuously measuring and recording all workout parameters on such exercise machine workouts in sufficiently small time increments to allow smooth movement of such graphical depictions; and

means for viewing parameters of current workouts real-time, in graphical format, and means for viewing the same parameters of other workouts juxtaposed in the same graphical representation for comparative viewing;

2. A system (in accordance with 1), wherein

during the workout, an entire configuration of current workout parameters is continuously measured and recorded at regular time increments as small as one or more times per second, and stored locally. After the workout is completed, a permanent record is then created in a remote database, that stores not just a summary, but the continuously measured data in its entirety.

3. A system (in accordance with 1), wherein

at the initiation of each workout, a standard profile of data related to previous workouts is downloaded from remote to local storage and immediately used to recreate graphical depictions of actual and theoretical workouts in the current visual environment.

4. A system (in accordance with 1), wherein
a segment of the visual display continuously displays visual depictions of current and previous workout activity as movement through the same time/space reference using graphics and game animation techniques (i.e. graphical figures representing the current exercise activity racing against ones own previous time/workout).
5. A system (in accordance with 1), wherein
a segment of the visual display presents continuously displays graphics and animation of visual depictions of actual or mathematically constructed workout entities such as average time, or personal best time, juxtaposed real-time, in the same time/space reference as graphical depictions of current workout.
6. A system (in accordance with 1), wherein
a segment of visual display continuously presents graphs and charts of current workout parameters, to which can be juxtaposed in the same graphical reference, the same parameters of other workouts.

7. A system (in accordance with 1), wherein
pulse rate during workouts is measured using a sensory device worn by the user and is continuously recorded (every minute) and retained permanently as part of the workout record.

8. A system (in accordance with 1), wherein
oxygen intake capacity and blood oxygen level are measured by a device(s) worn by the exerciser and continuously recorded and retained permanently as part of workout record.

9. A system in accordance with 1, wherein
means for calculating distance covered is based on multiplying RPM times a variable factor for increasingly higher resistance levels.