(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization
International Bureau

(43) International Publication Date
6 April 2006 (06.04.2006)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 2006/036348 A1

(51) International Patent Classification:
C08F 10/02 (2006.01) *C08F 8/06* (2006.01)
C08L 23/06 (2006.01)

(21) International Application Number:
PCT/US2005/029169

(22) International Filing Date: 17 August 2005 (17.08.2005)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:
10/930,295 31 August 2004 (31.08.2004) US

(71) Applicant *(for all designated States except US)*: **EQUISTAR CHEMICALS, LP** [US/US]; One Houston Center, 1221 Mckinney Street, Houston, TX 77010 (US).

(72) Inventor: **SCHEIE, Andrew, J.**; 11999 Elmgrove Circle, Cincinnati, OH 45240 (US).

(74) Agents: **GUO, Shao-Hua** et al.; Lyondell Chemical Company, 3801 West Chester Pike, Newtown Square, PA 19073 (US).

(81) Designated States *(unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available)*: AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KM, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States *(unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available)*: ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, NA, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, NL, PL, PT, RO, SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:
— *with international search report*
— *before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of receipt of amendments*

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

WO 2006/036348 A1

(54) Title: SOLID STATE MODIFICATION OF MULTIMODAL POLYETHYLENE

(57) Abstract: A method for modifying multimodal polyethylene is disclosed. The method comprises reacting a multimodal polyethylene in its solid state with a free radical initiator. The modified polyethylene has significantly increased melt strength, and it is suitable for many applications including blow molding, sheet, pipe, profile, extrusion coating, and foaming applications.

SOLID STATE MODIFICATION OF MULTIMODAL POLYETHYLENE

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The invention relates to polyethylene modification. More particularly, the invention relates to solid state modification of multimodal polyethylene.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Multimodal polyethylenes are known. Multimodal polyethylenes are those which comprise two or more polyethylene components. Each component has a different molecular weight. Thus, multimodal polyethylenes usually have a broad molecular weight distribution. They often show two or more peak molecular weights on gel permeation chromatography (GPC) curves. Multimodal polyethylenes are commonly made with Ziegler catalysts by multistage or multi-reactor processes. They are widely used in film applications because of their excellent processability. See U.S. Pat. No. 5,962,598.

However, multimodal polyethylenes made with Ziegler catalysts have limited uses in blow molding applications because they have high die swell and lack sufficient melt strength. This lack of melt strength also limits their use in sheet, pipe, profile, extrusion coating, and foaming applications. Extrusion oxidation or peroxidation can reduce die swell and increase melt strength of multimodal polyethylene. However, extrusion oxidation or peroxidation is difficult to control and often causes gel formation.

New methods for modifying multimodal polyethylene are needed. Ideally, the modification would be performed without using extrusion and produce modified polymer essentially gel free.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The invention is a method for modifying multimodal polyethylenes. The method comprises reacting a free radical initiator with a multimodal polyethylene in its solid state. By "solid state," I mean that the reaction is performed at a

temperature below the melting point of the polyethylene. The modified polyethylene has reduced die swell and increased melt strength. They are suitable for blow molding, sheet, pipe, profile, film, extrusion coating, and foaming applications. Unlike the extrusion oxidation known in the art, the method of the invention provides a modified polyethylene without gel formation.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

The invention is a method of modifying a multimodal polyethylene. By "multimodal," I mean any polyethylene which comprises two or more polyethylene components that vary in molecular weight. Preferably, the polyethylene has more than one molecular weight peaks on GPC (gel permeation chromatography) curve.

Suitable multimodal polyethylene includes high density polyethylene (HDPE), medium density polyethylene (MDPE), low density polyethylene (LDPE), and linear low density polyethylene (LLDPE). HDPE has a density of 0.941 g/cm^3 or greater; MDPE has density from 0.926 to 0.940 g/cm^3; and LDPE or LLDPE has a density from 0.910 to 0.925 g/cm^3. See ASTM D4976-98: Standard Specification for Polyethylene Plastic Molding and Extrusion Materials. Preferably, the multimodal polyethylene is an HDPE. Density is measured according to ASTM D1505.

Preferably, the multimodal polyethylene is a bimodal polyethylene. By "bimodal," I mean that the polyethylene which comprises two components. Preferably, the lower molecular weight component has a melt index (MI_2) within the range of about 10 dg/min to about 750 dg/min, more preferably from about 50 dg/min to about 500 dg/min, and most preferably from about 50 dg/min to about 250 dg/min. Preferably, the higher molecular weight component has an MI_2 within the range of about 0.0005 dg/min to about 0.25 dg/min, more preferably from about 0.001 dg/min to about 0.25 dg/min, and most preferably from about 0.001 dg/min to about 0.15 dg/min. MI_2 is measured according to ASTM D-1238.

Preferably, the lower molecular weight component of the bimodal polyethylene has a higher density than the higher molecular weight component. Preferably, the lower molecular weight component has a density within the range of about 0.925 g/cm^3 to about 0.970 g/cm^3, more preferably from about 0.938 g/cm^3 to

about 0.965 g/cm^3, and most preferably from about 0.940 g/cm^3 to about 0.965 g/cm^3. Preferably, the higher molecular weight component has a density within the range of about 0.865 g/cm^3 to about 0.945 g/cm^3, more preferably from about 0.915 g/cm^3 to about 0.945 g/cm^3, and most preferably from about 0.915 g/cm^3 to about 0.945 g/cm^3.

Preferably, the bimodal polyethylene has a lower molecular weight component/higher molecular weight component weight ratio within the range of about 10/90 to about 90/10, more preferably from 20/80 to 80/20, and most preferably from about 35/65 to about 65/35.

Multimodal polyethylene preferably has a weight average molecular weight (Mw) within the range of about 50,000 to about 1,000,000. More preferably, the Mw is within the range of about 100,000 to about 500,000. Most preferably, the Mw is within the range of about 150,000 to about 350,000. Preferably, the multimodal polyethylene has a number average molecular weight (Mn) within the range of about 5,000 to about 100,000, more preferably from about 10,000 to about 50,000. Preferably, the multimodal polyethylene has a molecular weight distribution (Mw/Mn) greater than 8, more preferably greater than 10, and most preferably greater than 15.

Multimodal polyethylene can be made by blending a higher molecular weight polyethylene with a lower molecular weight polyethylene. Alternatively, multimodal polyethylene can be made by a multiple reactor process. The multiple reactor process can use either sequential multiple reactors or parallel multiple reactors, or a combination of both. For instance, a bimodal polyethylene can be made by a sequential two-reactor process which comprises making a lower molecular weight component in a first reactor, transferring the lower molecular weight component to a second reactor, and making a higher molecular weight component in the second reactor. The two components are blended in-situ in the second reactor.

Alternatively, a bimodal polyethylene can be made by a parallel two-reactor process which comprises making a lower molecular weight component in a first reactor and making a higher molecular weight component in a second reactor, and

blending the components in a mixer. The mixer can be a third reactor, a mixing tank, or an extruder.

Ziegler, single-site, and multiple catalyst systems can be used to make multimodal polyethylene. For instance, U.S. Pat. No. 6,127,484 teaches a multiple catalyst process. A single-site catalyst is used in a first stage or reactor, and a Ziegler catalyst is used in a later stage or a second reactor. The single-site catalyst produces a polyethylene having a lower molecular weight, and the Ziegler catalyst produces a polyethylene having a higher molecular weight. Therefore, the multiple catalyst system can produce bimodal or multimodal polymers. Preferably, the multimodal polyethylene is made with Ziegler catalysts.

Preferably, the multimodal polyethylene is in powder form with an average particle size less than 250 microns. More preferably, the particle size is within the range of about 50 microns to about 150 microns. Most preferably, the particle size is within the range of about 80 microns to about 100 microns.

Suitable free radical initiators include those known in the polymer industry. They include peroxides, hydroperoxides, peresters, and azo compounds. Peroxides are preferred. Examples of suitable free radical initiators are dicumyl peroxide, di-t-butyl peroxide, t-butylperoxybenzoate, 2,5-dimethyl-2,5-di(t-butylperoxy)hexane, t-butyl peroxyneodecanoate, 2,5-dimethyl-2,5-di(t-butylperoxy)hexyne, t-amyl peroxypivalate, 1,3-bis(t-butylperoxyisopropyl)benzene, the like, and mixtures thereof. Preferably, the initiator has a decomposition temperature below the melting point of the multimodal polyethylene.

Preferably, the free radical initiator is used in an amount within the range of about 1 ppm to about 4,500 ppm of the multimodal polyethylene. More preferably, the amount of initiator is within the range of about 2 ppm to about 500 ppm of the multimodal polyethylene. Most preferably, the amount of initiator is within the range of about 2 ppm to about 200 ppm of the multimodal polyethylene.

The free radical initiator is mixed with the multimodal polyethylene. Mixing is preferably performed at a temperature which is below the decomposition temperature of the initiator. Mixing can be performed with any suitable methods.

The reaction time varies depending on many factors such as temperature, initiator type and amount, and particle size of the multimodal polyethylene. Typically, the reaction time is several times of the initiator half-life.

The reaction temperature is below the melting point of the polyethylene so that the reaction occurs in the solid state of the polyethylene. Preferably, the reaction is performed at a temperature within the range of about 50°C to about 120°C. More preferably, the reaction is performed at a temperature within the range of about 60°C to about 100°C.

Preferably, the reaction is performed within the polyethylene manufacture process. For instance, in a slurry polyethylene production line, polyethylene slurry from the reactor is sent to a flash drum wherein the solvent and unreacted monomers are removed and a polyethylene powder is obtained. The powder is then dried through one or more driers and then sent to an extruder to pelletize. Preferably, the free radical initiator and the polyethylene can be mixed and reacted between the points of the flash drum and the pelletizer. For instance, the free radical initiator can be mixed with the polyethylene powder in the flash drum and the reaction can be performed in the driers. By doing so, there will be minimum production time and cost added.

The invention includes the modified multimodal polyethylene. The modified multimodal polyethylene has reduced die swell and increased melt strength. Additionally, the modified multimodal polyethylene is essentially gel free. The modified multimodal polyethylene can be used in any applications where high melt strength is desirable, including films, sheets, pipes, profile, extrusion coating, foaming, and blow molding. The modified multimodal polyethylene is particularly useful for blow molding applications for its reduced die swell.

The increased melt strength of the modified polyethylene is evidenced by a noticeable upturn at low frequencies in their dynamic rheological data. By upturn, I mean that the dynamic complex viscosity (η^*) increases with decreasing frequencies at frequencies of less than about 1.0 rad/sec. In contrast, the ethylene polymer base resins generally exhibit a limiting constant value at frequencies of about <0.1 rad/sec. The relative increase in complex viscosity as compared to the base resin is

expressed by the ratio of complex viscosity of the modified polyethylene to the base resin at a frequency of 0.0251 radians/second.

As will be recognized by those skilled in the art, specific complex viscosity ratios referred to herein are provided only to demonstrate the viscosity upturn, i.e., melt strength increase, obtained for the polyethylene of the invention and are not intended to be limiting since they are generated under a specific set of conditions. Rheological data generated using different conditions, e.g., temperature, percent strain, plate configuration, etc., could result in complex viscosity ratio values which are higher or lower than those recited in the specification and claims which follow.

The following laboratory examples merely illustrate the invention. Those skilled in the art will recognize many variations that are within the spirit of the invention and scope of the claims.

EXAMPLE 1

Solid State Modification

Reactor powder of commercial bimodal, high density polyethylene (L5440, product of Equistar Chemical, LP, density: 0.954 g/cm^3, melt index (MI_2): 0.35 dg/min, melting point: 131°C) is mixed with 100 ppm of 2,5-dimethyl-2,5-di(t-butylperoxy)hexane at 25°C. The mixture is placed in an oven at 105°C for 6 hours. The modified polyethylene exhibits a substantial increase in melt strength over the L5440 base resin. The η^* ratio at 0.0251 radians/second is 1.36. The modified polymer has a 256% of die swell at 1025/sec shear rate, 190°C.

Rheological properties are determined using a Rheometrics ARES rheometer. Rheological data are generated by measuring dynamic rheology in the frequency sweep mode to obtain complex viscosities (η^*), storage modulus (G') and loss modulus (G") for frequencies ranging from 0.0251 to 398 rad/sec for each composition. The rheometer is operated at 190°C in the parallel plate mode (plate diameter 25 mm) in a nitrogen environment (in order to minimize sample oxidation/degradation). The gap in the parallel plate geometry is 1.2-1.4 mm and the strain amplitude is 20%. Rheological properties are determined using standard test procedure ASTM D 4440-84. Die swell is a measure of the diameter extrudate relative to the diameter of the orifice from which it is extruded. Value reported is

obtained using an Instron 3211 capillary rheometer fitted with a capillary of diameter 0.0301 inches and length 1.00 inches.

EXAMPLE 2

Solid State Modification

Reactor powder of L5440 is modified with 5 ppm of 2,5-dimethyl-2,5-di(t-butylperoxy)hexane under the same conditions as above. The η^* ratio at 0.0251 radians/second is 1.47.

COMPARATIVE EXAMPLE 3

Non-modified Control

Reactor powder of L5440 is tested for die swell under the same condition as described in Example 1. The die swell value is 282%. This non-modified resin may not be suitable for certain blow molding applications because its die swell value is too high.

COMPARATIVE EXAMPLE 4

Conventional Extrusion Oxidation

The polyethylene/initiator mixture of Example 1 is oxidized in an extruder. The oxidized resin is tested for melt strength under the same condition as described in Example 1. Its viscosity ratio is 1.14, which indicates that the solid state modification of the invention is much more efficient in increasing melt strength than the conventional extrusion modification.

COMPARATIVE EXAMPLE 5

Chromium Blow Molding Polyethylene

A commercial blow molding polyethylene made by chromium catalyst (LR7320, product of Equistar) is tested for die swell under the same condition as described in Example 1. Its die swell value is 271%, which shows that the solid

state modification of the invention may provide even lower die swell than the commercial chromium resin.

EXAMPLE 6

Bottle Properties

Bottles are made by a blow molding process from the modified resin of Example 1, the conventionally modified resin of Comparative Example 4, and the chromium resin of Comparative 5; the average bottle weights for the same bottle size are 52.4 g, 60.7 g, and 60 g, respectively. These results indicate the modified polyethylene of Example 1 provides thinner bottles than the conventional extrusion oxidized resin of Comparative Example 4 and the chromium resin of Comparative Example 5.

I claim:

1. A method comprising reacting a multimodal polyethylene with a free radical initiator at a temperature below the melting point of the polyethylene.

2. The method of claim 1 wherein the polyethylene is a powder having an average particle size less than 250 microns.

3. The method of claim 2 wherein the average particle size is within the range of 50 microns to 150 microns.

4. The method of claim 2 wherein the average particle size is within the range of 80 microns to 100 microns.

5. The method of claim 1 wherein the free radical initiator is a peroxide.

6. The method of claim 1 wherein the free radical initiator is used in an amount within the range of 2 ppm to 200 ppm of the multimodal polyethylene.

7. The method of claim 1 wherein the multimodal polyethylene is produced by a Ziegler catalyst.

8. The method of claim 1 wherein the multimodal polyethylene comprises a lower molecular weight component having a melt index (MI_2) within the range of 10 dg/min to 750 dg/min and a higher molecular weight component having an MI_2 within the range of 0.0005 dg/min to 0.25 dg/min.

9. The method of claim 8 wherein the multimodal polyethylene has a lower molecular weight component/higher molecular weight component weight ratio within the range of 10/90 to 90/10.

10. The method of claim 8 wherein the lower molecular weight component has a density within the range of 0.925 g/cm^3 to 0.970 g/cm^3 and the higher molecular weight component has a density within the range of 0.865 g/cm^3 to 0.945 g/cm^3.

11. The method of claim 8 wherein the multimodal polyethylene is made by a process which comprises making a lower molecular weight component in a first reactor, transferring the lower molecular weight component to a second reactor and making a higher molecular weight component therein.

12. The method of claim 1 wherein the temperature is within the range of 50°C to 120°C.

13. The method of claim 1 wherein the temperature is within the range of 60°C to 100°C.

14. The method of claim 1 wherein the resultant polyethylene has an increased melt strength.

15. A multimodal polyethylene modified by the method of claim 1.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Int[illegible]nal Application No
PCT/US2005/029169

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
C08F10/02 C08L23/06 C08F8/06

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)
C08F C08L

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)
EPO-Internal, PAJ, WPI Data

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 011, no. 315 (C-451), 14 October 1987 (1987-10-14) & JP 62 101615 A (JAPAN SYNTHETIC RUBBER CO LTD), 12 May 1987 (1987-05-12) abstract	1-15
X	US 4 477 376 A (GOLD ET AL) 16 October 1984 (1984-10-16) example 3	1-15
X	WO 99/35652 A (BOREALIS POLYMERS OY; MAARTENSSON, HANS; POIKELA, MERJA; NYMARK, ANDER) 15 July 1999 (1999-07-15) examples 1-7	1-15

-/--

[X] Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

[X] Patent family members are listed in annex.

° Special categories of cited documents :

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international search report
20 January 2006	22. 02. 2006

Name and mailing address of the ISA	Authorized officer
European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Van Golde, L

3

Form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) (January 2004)

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Int nal Application No
PCT/US2005/029169

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 6 103 807 A (CARTER ET AL) 15 August 2000 (2000-08-15) column 4, line 40 - column 5, line 21 -----	1-9,14, 15
X	WO 02/063345 A (BOREALIS TECHNOLOGY OY; MARTINSSON, HANS-BERTIL; DAMMERT, RUTH) 15 August 2002 (2002-08-15) example 2 -----	1,14,15

3

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

Inte nal Application No
PCT/US2005/029169

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
JP 62101615 A	12-05-1987	NONE	
US 4477376 A	16-10-1984	NONE	
WO 9935652 A	15-07-1999	AT 271255 T	15-07-2004
		AU 2081399 A	26-07-1999
		BR 9812991 A	17-10-2000
		CN 1284200 A	14-02-2001
		DE 69825083 D1	19-08-2004
		DE 69825083 T2	21-07-2005
		EP 1044455 A1	18-10-2000
		SE 520000 C2	06-05-2003
		SE 9800001 A	03-07-1999
		US 6369129 B1	09-04-2002
US 6103807 A	15-08-2000	AT 254644 T	15-12-2003
		BR 9901171 A	21-12-1999
		DE 69912871 D1	24-12-2003
		DE 69912871 T2	15-04-2004
		DK 943650 T3	29-03-2004
		EP 0943650 A1	22-09-1999
		ES 2212455 T3	16-07-2004
		JP 11323039 A	26-11-1999
		PT 943650 T	27-02-2004
WO 02063345 A	15-08-2002	EP 1231238 A1	14-08-2002