



- (51) **International Patent Classification:**
H04L 25/02 (2006.01) *H04B 1/10* (2006.01)
- (21) **International Application Number:**
PCT/IL2015/050762
- (22) **International Filing Date:**
23 July 2015 (23.07.2015)
- (25) **Filing Language:** English
- (26) **Publication Language:** English
- (30) **Priority Data:**
62/030,108 29 July 2014 (29.07.2014) US
- (71) **Applicant:** RAMOT AT TEL-AVIV UNIVERSITY LTD. [IL/IL]; P.O. Box 39296, 6139201 Tel Aviv (IL).
- (72) **Inventors:** SHAYEVITZ, Ofer; 5 Kashani Street, 6949909 Tel Aviv (IL). BEN-YISHAI, Assaf; 12 Hachay-al Street, 4730412 Ramat Hasharon (IL).
- (74) **Agent:** RECHES, Oren; 1 Arie Shenkar Street, POB 12537, 4672501 Herzliya (IL).
- (81) **Designated States** (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM,

AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BN, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IR, IS, JP, KE, KG, KN, KP, KR, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PA, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, QA, RO, RS, RU, RW, SA, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.

- (84) **Designated States** (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, RW, SD, SL, ST, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, RU, TJ, TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, RS, SE, SI, SK, SM, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, KM, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

— with international search report (Art. 21(3))

- (54) **Title:** COMMUNICATION TERMINALS AND A METHOD FOR EXCHANGING INFORMATION BETWEEN COMMUNICATION TERMINALS IN A NOISY ENVIRONMENT




FIG. 2

- (57) **Abstract:** A method, system and computer readable medium for transmitting data and feedback over a noisy feedforward channel and a noisy feedback channel.

1 COMMUNICATION TERMINALS AND A METHOD FOR EXCHANGING INFORMATION
2 BETWEEN COMMUNICATION TERMINALS IN A NOISY ENVIRONMENT

3
4 RELATED APPLICATIONS

5 This application claims priority from US provisional patent serial number 62/030,108
6 filing date July 29 2014 which is incorporated herein by reference.

7 BACKGROUND

8 The following documents are referred to in this document:

9 [1] C. E. Shannon, "The zero-error capacity of a noisy channel," *IEEE Trans. Inf.*
10 *Theory*, vol. IT-2, pp. 8–19, Sep 1956.

11 [2] J. P. M. Schalkwijk and T. Kailath, "A coding scheme for additive noise channels
12 with feedback part I: No bandwidth constraint," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. IT-12, pp.
13 172–182, Apr 1966.

14 [3] J. P. M. Schalkwijk, "A coding scheme for additive noise channels with feedback
15 part II: Band-limited signals," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. IT-12, pp. 183–189, Apr 1966.

16 [4] M. Horstein, "Sequential transmission using noiseless feedback," *IEEE Trans.*
17 *Info. Theory*, vol. IT-9, pp. 136–143, Jul 1963.

18 [5] O. Shayevitz and M. Feder, "Optimal feedback communication via posterior
19 matching," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 57, no. 3, pp. 1186–1222, Mar 2011.

20 [6] Y.-H. Kim, A. Lapidoth, and T. Weissman, "On the reliability of Gaussian
21 channels with noisy feedback," in *Proc. 41st Allerton Conf. Communication, Control*
22 *Computing*, Sep. 2006, pp. 364–371.

23 [7] Z. Chance and D. J. Love, "Concatenated coding for the AWGN channel with
24 noisy feedback," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 57, pp. 6633–6649, Oct. 2011.

25 [8] A. Sato and H. Yamamoto, "Error exponents of discrete memoryless channels and
26 awgn channels with noisy feedback," in *ISITA*, 2010, pp. 452–457.

27 [9] M. V. Burnashev and H. Yamamoto, "On reliability function of BSC with noisy
28 feedback," *Problems of Information Transmission*, vol. 46, pp. 2–23, 2010.

29 [10] M. V. Burnashev and H. Yamamoto, "Noisy feedback improves the gaussian
30 channel reliability function," in *ISIT*, 2014, pp. 2554–2558.

[11] M. Tomlinson, "New automatic equalizer employing modulo arithmetic,"

Electronics Letters, vol. 7, no. 5, pp. 138–139, 1971.

[12] H. Harashima and H. Miyakawa, "Matched-transmission technique for channels with intersymbol interference," *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, vol. 20, no. 4, pp. 774–780, 1972.

[13] Y. Kochman and R. Zamir, "Joint Wyner-Ziv/Dirty-Paper Coding by Analog Modulo-Lattice Modulation," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 55, pp. 4878–4889, 2009.

Feedback cannot improve the capacity of point-to-point memoryless channels [1]. Nevertheless, noiseless feedback can significantly simplify the transmission schemes and improve the error probability performance, see e.g. [2]–[5]. These elegant schemes fail however in the presence of arbitrarily small feedback noise, rendering them grossly impractical. This fact has been initially observed in [3] for the Additive White Gaussian Noise (AWGN) channel, and further strengthened in [6]. A handful of works have tackled the problem of noisy feedback as means for improving error performance, see e.g. [7]–[10]. However, these works attain their superior error performance at the cost of a significant increase in complexity w.r.t. their noiseless feedback counterparts. There appears to be no simple scheme (in the spirit of [3]–[5]) that is robust to feedback noise known hitherto.

SUMMARY

There are provided systems, methods and non-transitory computer readable media for decoding one or more component codes, as illustrated in the claims.

According to an embodiment of the invention there may be provided a method that may include receiving, by a second terminal, a first received signal; wherein the first received signal represents a first transmitted signal that was transmitted from a first terminal and propagated over a noisy feedforward channel; wherein the first transmitted signal represents a portion of a message; calculating, by the second terminal, a first estimate of the portion of the message; calculating, by the second terminal, a representation of the first estimate; wherein the calculating may include applying a second modulo function; transmitting, by the second terminal, over a feedback channel, the representation of the first estimate; repeating, for each iteration out of multiple iterations, the steps of: receiving, by a second terminal, a current received signal;

1 wherein the current received signal represents an estimated error; wherein the estimated error
2 was calculated by the first terminal in response to an outcome of a pervious iteration and may
3 include applying a first modulo function; calculating, by the second terminal, and in response to
4 the current received signal, a current estimate of the message; calculating, by the second
5 terminal, a representation of the current estimate; wherein the calculating may include applying
6 the second modulo function; and transmitting, by the second terminal and over the feedback
7 channel, a representation of the current estimate; and estimating the portion of the message, by
8 the second terminal, and after a completion of the multiple iterations, wherein the estimating of
9 the message is responsive to at least one outcome of at least one iteration.

10 According to an embodiment of the invention there may be provided a method that may
11 include receiving, by a second terminal, a first received signal; wherein the first received signal
12 represents a first transmitted signal that was transmitted from a first terminal and propagated
13 over a noisy feedforward channel; wherein the first transmitted signal is a dummy signal;
14 calculating, by the second terminal, a first estimate of the dummy signal; calculating, by the
15 second terminal, a representation of the first estimate; of the dummy signal wherein the
16 calculating may include applying a second modulo function; transmitting, by the second
17 terminal, over a feedback channel, the representation of the first estimate; repeating, for each
18 iteration out of multiple iterations, the steps of: receiving, by a second terminal, a current
19 received signal; wherein the current received signal represents an estimated error; wherein the
20 estimated error was calculated by the first terminal in response to an outcome of a pervious
21 iteration and in response to a portion of a message and may include applying a first modulo
22 function; calculating, by the second terminal, and in response to the current received signal, a
23 current estimate of the message; calculating, by the second terminal, a representation of the
24 current estimate; wherein the calculating may include applying the second modulo function; and
25 transmitting, by the second terminal and over the feedback channel, a representation of the
26 current estimate; and estimating the portion of the message, by the second terminal, and after a
27 completion of the multiple iterations, wherein the estimating of the message is responsive to at
28 least one outcome of at least one iteration.

29 According to an embodiment of the invention there may be provided a method that may
30 include transmitting a transmitted signal, by a first terminal and over a noisy feedforward
31 channel; wherein the first transmitted signal represents a portion of a message; receiving, by a

1 second terminal, a first received signal; wherein the first received signal represents the first
2 transmitted signal; calculating, by the second terminal, a first estimate of the portion of the
3 message; calculating, by the second terminal, a representation of the first estimate; wherein the
4 calculating may include applying a second modulo function; transmitting, by the second
5 terminal, over a feedback channel, the representation of the first estimate; repeating, for each
6 iteration out of multiple iterations, the steps of: calculating, by the first terminal, an estimated
7 error in response to an outcome of a previous iteration; wherein the calculating may include
8 applying a first modulo function; receiving, by a second terminal, a current received signal;
9 wherein the current received signal represents the estimated error; calculating, by the second
10 terminal, and in response to the current received signal, a current estimate of the portion of the
11 message; calculating, by the second terminal, a representation of the current estimate; wherein
12 the calculating may include applying the second modulo function; and transmitting, by the
13 second terminal and over the feedback channel, a representation of the current estimate; and
14 estimating the portion of the message, by the second terminal, and after a completion of the
15 multiple iterations, wherein the estimating of the message is responsive to at least one outcome
16 of at least one iteration.

17 According to an embodiment of the invention there may be provided a method that may
18 include transmitting a transmitted signal, by a first terminal and over a noisy feedforward
19 channel; wherein the first transmitted signal is a dummy signal; receiving, by a second terminal,
20 a first received signal; wherein the first received signal represents the first transmitted signal;
21 calculating, by the second terminal, a first estimate of the dummy signal; calculating, by the
22 second terminal, a representation of the first estimate; wherein the calculating may include
23 applying a second modulo function; transmitting, by the second terminal, over a feedback
24 channel, the representation of the first estimate; repeating, for each iteration out of multiple
25 iterations, the steps of: calculating, by the first terminal, an estimated error in response to an
26 outcome of a previous iteration and in response to a content of a portion of a message; wherein
27 the calculating may include applying a first modulo function; receiving, by a second terminal, a
28 current received signal; wherein the current received signal represents the estimated error;
29 calculating, by the second terminal, and in response to the current received signal, a current
30 estimate of the portion of the message; calculating, by the second terminal, a representation of
31 the current estimate; wherein the calculating may include applying the second modulo function;

1 and transmitting, by the second terminal and over the feedback channel, a representation of the
2 current estimate; and estimating the portion of the message, by the second terminal, and after a
3 completion of the multiple iterations, wherein the estimating of the message is responsive to at
4 least one outcome of at least one iteration.

5 According to an embodiment of the invention there may be provided a method that may
6 include transmitting, by a first terminal and over a noisy feedforward channel, a first transmitted
7 signal, thereby allowing the second terminal to receive a first received signal; wherein the first
8 transmitted signal represents a portion of a message; receiving, by the first terminal, a second
9 received signal, wherein the second received signal results from a propagation, over a noisy
10 feedback channel, of a representation of a first estimate of at the least portion of the message;
11 wherein the representation of the first estimate is calculated by the second terminal, wherein the
12 calculation of the representation of the first estimate may include applying a second modulo
13 function; repeating, for each iteration out of multiple iterations, the steps of: calculating, by the
14 first terminal, an estimated error, wherein the calculating is responsive to an outcome of a
15 pervious iteration and may include applying a first modulo function; transmitting the estimate
16 error to the second terminal over the noisy feedforward channel; and receiving, by the first
17 terminal and over the feedback channel, a representation of the current estimate.

18 According to an embodiment of the invention there may be provided a non-transitory
19 computer readable medium that stores instructions that once executed by a second terminal,
20 causes the second terminal to perform the steps of: receiving, by a second terminal, a first
21 received signal; wherein the first received signal represents a first transmitted signal that was
22 transmitted from a first terminal and propagated over a noisy feedforward channel; wherein the
23 first transmitted signal represents a portion of a message; calculating, by the second terminal, a
24 first estimate of the portion of the message; calculating, by the second terminal, a representation
25 of the first estimate; wherein the calculating may include applying a second modulo function;
26 transmitting, by the second terminal, over a feedback channel, the representation of the first
27 estimate; repeating, for each iteration out of multiple iterations, the steps of: receiving, by a
28 second terminal, a current received signal; wherein the current received signal represents an
29 estimated error; wherein the estimated error was calculated by the first terminal in response to an
30 outcome of a pervious iteration and may include applying a first modulo function; calculating,
31 by the second terminal, and in response to the current received signal, a current estimate of the

1 message; calculating, by the second terminal, a representation of the current estimate; wherein
2 the calculating may include applying the second modulo function; and transmitting, by the
3 second terminal and over the feedback channel, a representation of the current estimate; and
4 estimating the portion of the message, by the second terminal, and after a completion of the
5 multiple iterations, wherein the estimating of the message is responsive to at least one outcome
6 of at least one iteration.

7 According to an embodiment of the invention there may be provided a non-transitory
8 computer readable medium that stores instructions that once executed by a second terminal,
9 causes the second terminal to perform the steps of: receiving, by a second terminal, a first
10 received signal; wherein the first received signal represents a first transmitted signal that was
11 transmitted from a first terminal and propagated over a noisy feedforward channel; wherein the
12 first transmitted signal is a dummy signal; calculating, by the second terminal, a first estimate of
13 the dummy signal; calculating, by the second terminal, a representation of the first estimate; of
14 the dummy signal wherein the calculating may include applying a second modulo function;
15 transmitting, by the second terminal, over a feedback channel, the representation of the first
16 estimate; repeating, for each iteration out of multiple iterations, the steps of: receiving, by a
17 second terminal, a current received signal; wherein the current received signal represents an
18 estimated error; wherein the estimated error was calculated by the first terminal in response to an
19 outcome of a pervious iteration and in response to a portion of a message and may include
20 applying a first modulo function; calculating, by the second terminal, and in response to the
21 current received signal, a current estimate of the message; calculating, by the second terminal, a
22 representation of the current estimate; wherein the calculating may include applying the second
23 modulo function; and transmitting, by the second terminal and over the feedback channel, a
24 representation of the current estimate; and estimating the portion of the message, by the second
25 terminal, and after a completion of the multiple iterations, wherein the estimating of the message
26 is responsive to at least one outcome of at least one iteration.

27 According to an embodiment of the invention there may be provided a non-transitory
28 computer readable medium that stores instructions that once executed by a first terminal and a
29 second terminal, causes the first terminal and the second terminal to perform the steps of:
30 transmitting a transmitted signal, by a first terminal and over a noisy feedforward channel;
31 wherein the first transmitted signal represents a portion of a message; receiving, by a second

1 terminal, a first received signal; wherein the first received signal represents the first transmitted
2 signal; calculating, by the second terminal, a first estimate of the portion of the message;
3 calculating, by the second terminal, a representation of the first estimate; wherein the calculating
4 may include applying a second modulo function; transmitting, by the second terminal, over a
5 feedback channel, the representation of the first estimate; repeating, for each iteration out of
6 multiple iterations, the steps of: calculating, by the first terminal, an estimated error in response
7 to an outcome of a previous iteration; wherein the calculating may include applying a first
8 modulo function; receiving, by a second terminal, a current received signal; wherein the current
9 received signal represents the estimated error; calculating, by the second terminal, and in
10 response to the current received signal, a current estimate of the portion of the message;
11 calculating, by the second terminal, a representation of the current estimate; wherein the
12 calculating may include applying the second modulo function; and transmitting, by the second
13 terminal and over the feedback channel, a representation of the current estimate; and estimating
14 the portion of the message, by the second terminal, and after a completion of the multiple
15 iterations, wherein the estimating of the message is responsive to at least one outcome of at least
16 one iteration.

17 According to an embodiment of the invention there may be provided a non-transitory
18 computer readable medium that stores instructions that once executed by a first terminal and a
19 second terminal, causes the first terminal and the second terminal to perform the steps of:
20 transmitting a transmitted signal, by a first terminal and over a noisy feedforward channel;
21 wherein the first transmitted signal is a dummy signal; receiving, by a second terminal, a first
22 received signal; wherein the first received signal represents the first transmitted signal;
23 calculating, by the second terminal, a first estimate of the dummy signal; calculating, by the
24 second terminal, a representation of the first estimate; wherein the calculating may include
25 applying a second modulo function; transmitting, by the second terminal, over a feedback
26 channel, the representation of the first estimate; repeating, for each iteration out of multiple
27 iterations, the steps of: calculating, by the first terminal, an estimated error in response to an
28 outcome of a previous iteration and in response to a content of a portion of a message; wherein
29 the calculating may include applying a first modulo function; receiving, by a second terminal, a
30 current received signal; wherein the current received signal represents the estimated error;
31 calculating, by the second terminal, and in response to the current received signal, a current

1 estimate of the portion of the message; calculating, by the second terminal, a representation of
2 the current estimate; wherein the calculating may include applying the second modulo function;
3 and transmitting, by the second terminal and over the feedback channel, a representation of the
4 current estimate; and estimating the portion of the message, by the second terminal, and after a
5 completion of the multiple iterations, wherein the estimating of the message is responsive to at
6 least one outcome of at least one iteration.

7 According to an embodiment of the invention there may be provided a non-transitory
8 computer readable medium that stores instructions that once executed by a first terminal, causes
9 the first terminal to perform the steps of: transmitting, by a first terminal and over a noisy
10 feedforward channel, a first transmitted signal, thereby allowing the second terminal to receive a
11 first received signal; wherein the first transmitted signal represents a portion of a message;
12 receiving, by the first terminal, a second received signal, wherein the second received signal
13 results from a propagation, over a noisy feedback channel, of a representation of a first estimate
14 of at the least portion of the message; wherein the representation of the first estimate is
15 calculated by the second terminal, wherein the calculation of the representation of the first
16 estimate may include applying a second modulo function; repeating, for each iteration out of
17 multiple iterations, the steps of: calculating, by the first terminal, an estimated error, wherein the
18 calculating is responsive to an outcome of a pervious iteration and may include applying a first
19 modulo function; transmitting the estimate error to the second terminal over the noisy
20 feedforward channel; and receiving, by the first terminal and over the feedback channel, a
21 representation of the current estimate.

22 According to an embodiment of the invention there may be provided a second terminal
23 that may include a processor, a receiver and a transmitter, wherein the receiver may be
24 configured to receive a first received signal; wherein the first received signal represents a first
25 transmitted signal that was transmitted from a first terminal and propagated over a noisy
26 feedforward channel; wherein the first transmitted signal represents a portion of a message;
27 wherein the processor may be configured to calculate a first estimate of the portion of the
28 message; calculate a representation of the first estimate; wherein the calculating may include
29 applying a second modulo function; wherein the transmitter may be configured to transmit over
30 a feedback channel, the representation of the first estimate; wherein the second terminal may be
31 configured to repeat, for each iteration out of multiple iterations, the steps of: receiving, by the

1 receiver, a current received signal; wherein the current received signal represents an estimated
2 error; wherein the estimated error was calculated by the first terminal in response to an outcome
3 of a pervious iteration and may include applying a first modulo function; calculating, by the
4 processor, and in response to the current received signal, a current estimate of the message;
5 calculating, by the processor, a representation of the current estimate; wherein the calculating
6 may include applying the second modulo function; and transmitting, by the transmitter and over
7 the feedback channel, a representation of the current estimate; wherein the processor is further
8 configured to estimate the portion of the message after a completion of the multiple iterations,
9 wherein the estimating of the message is responsive to at least one outcome of at least one
10 iteration.

11 According to an embodiment of the invention there may be provided a second terminal
12 that may include a processor, a receiver and a transmitter, wherein the receiver may be
13 configured to receive a first received signal; wherein the first received signal represents a first
14 transmitted signal that was transmitted from a first terminal and propagated over a noisy
15 feedforward channel; wherein the first transmitted signal is a dummy signal; wherein the
16 processor may be configured to calculate a first estimate of the dummy signal, and calculate a
17 representation of the first estimate; of the dummy signal wherein the calculating may include
18 applying a second modulo function; wherein the transmitter may be configured to transmit, over
19 a feedback channel, the representation of the first estimate; wherein the second terminal may be
20 configured to repeat, for each iteration out of multiple iterations, the steps of: receiving, by a
21 second terminal, a current received signal; wherein the current received signal represents an
22 estimated error; wherein the estimated error was calculated by the first terminal in response to an
23 outcome of a pervious iteration and in response to a portion of a message and may include
24 applying a first modulo function; calculating, by the second terminal, and in response to the
25 current received signal, a current estimate of the message; calculating, by the second terminal, a
26 representation of the current estimate; wherein the calculating may include applying the second
27 modulo function; and transmitting, by the second terminal and over the feedback channel, a
28 representation of the current estimate; and wherein the processor may be configured to estimate
29 the portion of the message after a completion of the multiple iterations, wherein the estimating of
30 the message is responsive to at least one outcome of at least one iteration.

1 According to an embodiment of the invention there may be provided a system may
2 include a first terminal and a second terminal, wherein the first terminal may include a first
3 processor, a first receiver and a first transmitter; wherein the second terminal may include a
4 second processor, a second receiver and a second transmitter; wherein the first transmitter may
5 be configured to transmit a transmitted signal over a noisy feedforward channel; wherein the
6 first transmitted signal represents a portion of a message; wherein the second receiver may be
7 configured to receive a first received signal; wherein the first received signal represents the first
8 transmitted signal; wherein the second processor may be configured to calculate a first estimate
9 of the portion of the message and to calculate a representation of the first estimate; wherein the
10 calculating may include applying a second modulo function; wherein the second transmitter may
11 be configured to transmit, over a feedback channel, the representation of the first estimate;
12 wherein the first and second terminals are configured to repeat, for each iteration out of multiple
13 iterations, the steps of: calculating, by the first processor, an estimated error in response to an
14 outcome of a previous iteration; wherein the calculating may include applying a first modulo
15 function; receiving, by a second receiver, a current received signal; wherein the current received
16 signal represents the estimated error; calculating, by the second processor, and in response to the
17 current received signal, a current estimate of the portion of the message; calculating, by the
18 second processor, a representation of the current estimate; wherein the calculating may include
19 applying the second modulo function; and transmitting, by the second transmitter and over the
20 feedback channel, a representation of the current estimate; wherein the second processor may be
21 configured to estimate the portion of the message, after a completion of the multiple iterations,
22 wherein the estimating of the message is responsive to at least one outcome of at least one
23 iteration. Blocks 21-24 of figure 2 are non-limiting examples of operations executed by a first
24 (hardware) processor of a first terminal. Blocks 31-35 of figure 2 are non-limiting examples of
25 operations executed by a (second) hardware processor of a second terminal. The first terminal is
26 coupled to the feedforward channel via a (first) transmitter and to the feedback channel via a
27 (first) receiver. The second terminal is coupled to the feedforward channel via a (second)
28 receiver and to the feedback channel via a (second) transmitter.

29 According to an embodiment of the invention there may be provided a system may
30 include a first terminal and a second terminal, wherein the first terminal may include a first
31 processor, a first receiver and a first transmitter; wherein the second terminal may include a

1 second processor, a second receiver and a second transmitter; wherein the first transmitter may
2 be configured to transmit a transmitted signal over a noisy feedforward channel; wherein the
3 first transmitted signal is a dummy signal; wherein the second receiver may be configured to
4 receive a first received signal; wherein the first received signal represents the first transmitted
5 signal; wherein the second processor may be configured to calculate a first estimate of the
6 dummy signal and to calculate a representation of the first estimate; wherein the calculating may
7 include applying a second modulo function; wherein the second transmitter may be configured
8 to transmit, over a feedback channel, the representation of the first estimate; wherein the first and
9 second terminals are configured to repeat, for each iteration out of multiple iterations, the steps
10 of: calculating, by the first processor, an estimated error in response to an outcome of a previous
11 iteration and in response to a content of a portion of a message; wherein the calculating may
12 include applying a first modulo function; receiving, by a second receiver, a current received
13 signal; wherein the current received signal represents the estimated error; calculating, by the
14 second processor, and in response to the current received signal, a current estimate of the portion
15 of the message; calculating, by the second processor, a representation of the current estimate;
16 wherein the calculating may include applying the second modulo function; and transmitting, by
17 the second transmitter and over the feedback channel, a representation of the current estimate;
18 wherein the second processor may be configured to estimate the portion of the message, after a
19 completion of the multiple iterations, wherein the estimating of the message is responsive to at
20 least one outcome of at least one iteration.

21 According to an embodiment of the invention there may be provided a first terminal that
22 may include a first processor, a first receiver and a first transmitter; wherein the transmitter may
23 be configured to transmit over a noisy feedforward channel, a first transmitted signal, thereby
24 allowing the second terminal to receive a first received signal; wherein the first transmitted
25 signal represents a portion of a message; wherein the receiver may be configured to receive a
26 second received signal, wherein the second received signal results from a propagation, over a
27 noisy feedback channel, of a representation of a first estimate of at the least portion of the
28 message; wherein the representation of the first estimate is calculated by the second terminal,
29 wherein the calculation of the representation of the first estimate may include applying a second
30 modulo function; wherein the first terminal is configured to repeat, for each iteration out of
31 multiple iterations, the steps of: calculating, by the first processor, an estimated error, wherein

1 the calculating is responsive to an outcome of a pervious iteration and may include applying a
2 first modulo function; transmitting by the transmitter the estimate error to the second terminal
3 over the noisy feedforward channel; and receiving, by the receiver and over the feedback
4 channel, a representation of the current estimate.

7 BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

8 The subject matter regarded as the invention is particularly pointed out and distinctly
9 claimed in the concluding portion of the specification. The invention, however, both as to
10 organization and method of operation, together with objects, features, and advantages thereof,
11 may best be understood by reference to the following detailed description when read with the
12 accompanying drawings in which:

13 FIG. 1 illustrates prior art first and second terminals;

14 FIG. 2 illustrates first and second terminals according to an embodiment of the invention;

15 FIG. 3 illustrates a capacity gap in various scenarios that differ from each other by a ratio
16 between the signal to noise ratio (SNR) of the feedback channel and the SNR of the feedforward
17 channel, according to an embodiment of the invention;

18 FIG. 4 illustrates a capacity gap in various scenarios that differ from each other by a ratio
19 between the signal to noise ratio (SNR) of the feedback channel and the SNR of the feedforward
20 channel, according to an embodiment of the invention;

21 FIG. 5 illustrates first and second terminals according to an embodiment of the invention;

22 FIG. 6 illustrates a method according to an embodiment of the invention;

23 FIG. 7 illustrates a method according to an embodiment of the invention;

24 FIG. 8 illustrates a method according to an embodiment of the invention;

25 FIG. 9 illustrates a method according to an embodiment of the invention; and

26 FIG. 10 illustrates a method according to an embodiment of the invention.

27 DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

28 In the following detailed description, numerous specific details are set forth in order to
29 provide a thorough understanding of the invention. However, it will be understood by those
30 skilled in the art that the present invention may be practiced without these specific details. In

1 other instances, well-known methods, procedures, and components have not been described in
2 detail so as not to obscure the present invention.

3 The subject matter regarded as the invention is particularly pointed out and distinctly
4 claimed in the concluding portion of the specification. The invention, however, both as to
5 organization and method of operation, together with objects, features, and advantages thereof,
6 may best be understood by reference to the following detailed description when read with the
7 accompanying drawings.

8 It will be appreciated that for simplicity and clarity of illustration, elements shown in the
9 figures have not necessarily been drawn to scale. For example, the dimensions of some of the
10 elements may be exaggerated relative to other elements for clarity. Further, where considered
11 appropriate, reference numerals may be repeated among the figures to indicate corresponding or
12 analogous elements.

13 Because the illustrated embodiments of the present invention may for the most part, be
14 implemented using electronic components and circuits known to those skilled in the art, details
15 will not be explained in any greater extent than that considered necessary as illustrated above,
16 for the understanding and appreciation of the underlying concepts of the present invention and
17 in order not to obfuscate or distract from the teachings of the present invention.

18 Any reference in the specification to a method should be applied mutatis mutandis to a
19 system capable of executing the method and should be applied mutatis mutandis to a non-
20 transitory computer readable medium that stores instructions that once executed by a computer
21 result in the execution of the method.

22 Any reference in the specification to a system should be applied mutatis mutandis to a
23 method that may be executed by the system and should be applied mutatis mutandis to a non-
24 transitory computer readable medium that stores instructions that may be executed by the
25 system.

26 Any reference in the specification to a non-transitory computer readable medium should
27 be applied mutatis mutandis to a system capable of executing the instructions stored in the non-
28 transitory computer readable medium and should be applied mutatis mutandis to method that
29 may be executed by a computer that reads the instructions stored in the non-transitory computer
30 readable medium.

According to various embodiments of the invention there are provided systems and method for communicating between terminals. Appendix A and figures 1, 2, 3 and 4 illustrate two terminals according to an embodiment of the invention, a method for transmitting information according to various embodiments of the invention and a mathematical analysis of the suggested methods.

Figure 1 Illustrates first and second terminals 11 and 12 that are coupled via a noisy feedforward channel 13 and a noisy feedback channel 14.

Figure 1 illustrates prior art pair of terminals Terminal A 11 and Terminal B 12 and their environment.

The feedforward channel 13 and feedback channel 14 connecting Terminal A to Terminal B and vice versa respectively, are AWGN channels (represented by adders in each one of the channels).

Figure 2 illustrates first and second terminals 20 and 30 according to an embodiment of the invention.

Especially, figure 2 illustrates signals exchanged during various iterations.

In figure 2 the feedforward channel is denoted 13 and the feedback channel is denoted 14.

In figure 2 the first terminal 20 may receive a signal Θ , scale (21) it by $-\gamma_n$ and sum (22) the scaled signal, dither $-V_n$ and a second receive signal or a representation of the current estimate to provide a sum that undergoes a modulo (24) operation with a fixed interval and is further scaled (25) by α to provide the first transmitted signal X_n .

It is noted that at least some of the operations (such as the double scaling and the addition of dither is optional).

For simplicity of explanation figure 2 only shows some of the signals. Thus, some of the signals transmitted during the iterations are not shown.

In figure 2 the second terminal 30 may receive a received signal Y_n , scale (31) it by $-\beta_n$ and sum (22) the received signal with a previous (delayed by delay unit 33) outputted signal ($\hat{\Theta}_n$). The sum is scaled by γ_n to provide a scaled signal that is added (35) to dither V_n and to provide a second sum that undergoes a second modulo operation (36) to provide the representation of the current estimate $\tilde{X}_n$.

Graphs 40 and 50 of figures 3 and 4 illustrate capacity gaps in various scenarios that differ from each other by a ratio between the signal to noise ratio (SNR) of the feedback channel and the SNR of the feedforward channel, according to an embodiment of the invention.

Physical-layer security

Our invention admits an inherent physical layer security feature that makes it stochastically impossible for a non-intended user to listen and decode the communicated data. This can be thought of as an interactive encryption process at the analog signal level. Loosely speaking, it is based on the observation that our communication protocol constitutes a stochastic dynamical system that can be stabilized (for the duration of the interaction) by the intended users; anyone else who attempts to accurately track the trajectory of this system (which corresponds to the transmitted data) is doomed to fail since his emulation of the system will become unstable and quickly diverge from the correct trajectory (much like the “butterfly effect” in chaotic systems).

Suppose an eavesdropper/wiretapper (denoted here by Terminal E) listens to signals transmitted to Terminal A and Terminal B, through two noisy channels. Namely

$$Y_n^E = X_n + Z_n^E$$

$$\tilde{Y}_n^E = \tilde{X}_n + \tilde{Z}_n^E$$

where Z_n^E and $\tilde{Z}_n^E$ are noises that are different from (but otherwise arbitrarily correlated to) the noises experienced by Terminal A and Terminal B (namely Z_n and $\tilde{Z}_n$).

The closed loop nature of our transmission scheme implies that in order to decode the message reliably, one must have access to a very clean (virtually noiseless) version of the input signal to Terminal B (i.e., Y_n). More precisely, even if Terminal E is able to reconstruct a noisy version of Y_n , this estimation noise (however small) will accumulate in the course of the interactive process. This will result in very large error in the estimation of the message point at Terminal E, which in turn can be shown to imply that most of the message bits (the least significant bits of the message point) reconstructed at Terminal E will be virtually statistically independent of the original transmitted bits. Since the most significant bits can still be decoded by Terminal E, they can be set so to *dummy bits*, i.e. so that they carry no information (random, pseudo random etc.). The number of dummy bits should be determined by the quality of eavesdropper’s channels observed at Terminal E. This induces a natural trade-off between

communication rate and security. Namely, the better the quality of the eavesdropper's channels, the lower the possible secure communication rate.

There are a couple of different ways (other than dummy bits insertion) of achieving the same goal, as described in the sequel.

The following points are in order:

1. In non-interactive communication (which is the common practice) Terminal E can decode the transmitted message as long as Z_n^E is statistically weaker than Z_n (i.e. with lower or equal variance). In stark contrast, to achieve the same in our interactive system, Terminal E has to be able to learn then sequence Y_n itself, precisely, which is a much harder constraint and typically infeasible.

2. Following the above, it should be emphasized that we can achieve perfect security even when the eavesdropper's channels are much better than the communication channels.

3. Common practice in data security is to employ encryption algorithms that typically work on the digital signal. These algorithms are almost always based on the hardness of some mathematical problem (e.g. prime factorization). Thus, if one has sufficient computational resources, or if one is able to come up with a more efficient algorithm, these encryptions can be broken. In contrast, our system is *stochastically secure*, in the sense that the data gathered by the eavesdropper is *statistically insufficient* for reliable decoding, regardless of computational power or algorithmic innovation.

4. It is important to note that in the original invention we had to restrict ourselves to asymmetrical setting, where the feedback channel has a large amount of excess SNR over the feedforward channel. This restriction was imposed in order to make the system more efficient (in terms of power, rate, delay, complexity) relative to common practice, which was the original motivation of the invention. In cases where the goal is secure transmission (and not efficient transmission) our system can operate in any SNR regime, symmetric or asymmetric. The quality of the feedback channel will in general determine the cost in rate required to achieve security. It should be emphasized that in the original asymmetrical setting, there is no such loss in rate (apart from the dummy bits).

Dummy bits assignment

1 The number of dummy bits is essentially determined by the variance σ^2 of the MMSE
 2 estimator of Y_n from the pair $Y_n^E, \tilde{Y}_n^E$, which can be computed. The larger σ^2 , the smaller the
 3 number of dummy bits required, and the smaller the rate penalty incurred. When decoding,
 4 Terminal E will be able to reconstruct the message point within some large variance that does not
 5 decay exponentially (just like in noisy feedback systems that do not use our modulo method).
 6 The larger σ^2 the larger this variance, hence the less resolution obtainable by Terminal E. When
 7 increasing the number of iterations N , Terminal B can decode more bits (as its reconstruction
 8 resolution decays exponentially), but Terminal E gets “stuck”, hence the rate penalty diminishes.
 9 Of course, N cannot grow very large due to modulo errors. But for secure transmission, we
 10 would like to set it to be as large as possible without jeopardizing reliability at Terminal B. Once
 11 the number of dummy bits is determined, they are randomly selected and constitute the MSB’s of
 12 the message point. Note that the labeling of the constellation should be chosen such that large
 13 deviations would correspond to MSB’s.

14 According to an embodiment of the invention the transmission scheme include reduce the
 15 power of the constellation such that in the first transmissions the first terminal transmits dummy
 16 bits such as information that is below the noise level of the noisy feedthrough channel. The
 17 power reduction again corresponds to the estimation variance σ^2 . In this case, Terminal A
 18 effectively transmits just modulo-filtered noise during the first few iterations, and only then starts
 19 to incorporate the actual message. In these first few iterations, Terminal A and B remain
 20 synchronized, yet Terminal E becomes “decorrelated” from them and can no longer reliably
 21 follow the conversation. These first few iterations can be thought of as our interactive analogue
 22 of a cryptographic key exchange. As before, the rate penalty incurred for secrecy is inversely
 23 related to both σ^2 (which determines the number of “key exchange” rounds) and the number of
 24 iterations N . Note that other variations on this method are possible, playing with the transmission
 25 power.

26 According to another embodiment of the invention the first terminal applies a masking
 27 function (known to the first and second terminals) on the message in the and the second terminal
 28 applies an known unmasking function on the decoded information.

29 A non-limiting example of a masking function is a sum with a known message. A
 30 message that is generated in a known manner. W' is the known message and W is the data. W' is
 31 known to both Terminal A and B (say pseudo-randomly generated) and W is the actual message.

1 Map both to (say) PAM constellation points Θ' , Θ of suitable rates, and use our interactive
2 communication system to transmit $\Theta' + \Theta$ (or some other suitable linear combination). The
3 number of bits for W' and the associated power allocated to Θ' are determined by the
4 aforementioned estimation variance σ^2 at Terminal A. Terminal E will be able to decode only Θ'
5 that does not contain any information, while Terminal B will be able to remove Θ' and reliably
6 decode Θ as desired. Again, increasing the number of iterations N (up to a limit dictated by the
7 modulo-aliasing errors) will increase the part played by the actual message W , and will render
8 the rate loss smaller. This method may be favored over Method 1 when there is system constraint
9 requiring transmission at a constant power at all times.

10 Figures 5 and 6 illustrate a method that is executed by both the first terminal and the
11 second terminal. Figures 7 and 8 illustrate the steps executed by the second terminal. Figure 9
12 and 10 illustrate the steps executed by the first terminal.

13 Figures 5, 7 and 9 refer to a scenario in which the first transmitted signal represents a
14 portion of the message. Figures 6, 8 and 10 refer to a scenario in which the first transmitted
15 signal is a dummy signal.

16 Figure 5 illustrates method 300 according to an embodiment of the invention.

17 Method 300 includes an initialization sequence of steps 310, 320, 330, 340 and 350. This
18 initialization sequence of steps is followed by multiple iterations (predetermined number of
19 iterations, monitored by step 360) of steps 370, 375, 380, 390, 400, and 410. Method 300 ends by
20 step 420.

21 Step 310 may include transmitting a transmitted signal, by a first terminal and over a
22 noisy feedforward channel; wherein the first transmitted signal represents a portion of a message.

23 Step 320 may include receiving, by a second terminal, a first received signal; wherein
24 the first received signal represents the first transmitted signal.

25 Step 330 may include calculating, by the second terminal, a first estimate of the portion
26 of the message.

27 Step 340 may include calculating, by the second terminal, a representation of the first
28 estimate; wherein the calculating may include applying a second modulo function.

29 Step 350 may include transmitting, by the second terminal, over a feedback channel, the
30 representation of the first estimate.

1 Step 370 may include calculating, by the first terminal, an estimated error in response to
2 an outcome of a previous iteration; wherein the calculating may include applying a first modulo
3 function.

4 Step 375 may include transmitting the estimate error to the second terminal over the
5 noisy feedforward channel.

6 Step 380 may include receiving, by a second terminal, a current received signal;
7 wherein the current received signal represents the estimated error.

8 Step 390 may include calculating, by the second terminal, and in response to the current
9 received signal, a current estimate of the portion of the message.

10 Step 400 may include calculating, by the second terminal, a representation of the current
11 estimate; wherein the calculating may include applying the second modulo function.

12 Step 410 may include Transmitting, by the second terminal and over the feedback
13 channel, a representation of the current estimate.

14 Step 340 may include estimating the portion of the message, by the second terminal, and
15 after a completion of the multiple iterations, wherein the estimating of the message is responsive
16 to at least one outcome of at least one iteration of the multiple iterations.

17 The first transmitted signal may be modulated by any modulation scheme, such as but
18 not limited to pulse amplitude modulation, quadrature amplitude modulation, pulse position
19 modulation, phase shift keying modulation or continuous phase modulation.

20 The calculating of each representation of the current estimate may include calculating a
21 scaled version of the current estimate modulo a fixed interval.

22 The calculating of a scaled version of the current estimate modulo the fixed interval may
23 be responsive to power constraints. For example- a maximal power consumption, an allowed
24 power change, and the like.

25 The calculating of each representation of the current estimate may include calculating a
26 sum of a dither signal and the current estimate and applying the second modulo operation on the
27 sum.

28 The estimating of the portion of the message may include applying an unmasking
29 function, the unmasking function reverses a masking function applied by the first terminal on
30 the portion of the message.

31 The applying of the unmasking function may include subtracting a known content.

1 The applying of the unmasking function may include subtracting a content that is
2 generated by a predefined process known to the first and second terminals.

3 The calculating of each estimate error, by the first terminal, may include calculating a
4 sum of a dither signal and the outcome of the pervious iteration and applying the first modulo
5 operation on the sum.

6 Figure 6 illustrates method 500 according to an embodiment of the invention.

7 Method 500 includes an initialization sequence of steps 510, 320, 530, 340 and 350. This
8 initialization sequence of steps is followed by multiple iterations (predetermined number of
9 iterations, monitored by step 360) of steps 570, 375, 380, 390, 400, and 410. Method 300 ends by
10 step 420.

11 As indicated above, method 500 differs from method 300 by replacing steps 310, 330 and
12 370 by steps 510, 550 and 570, respectively.

13 Step 510 may include transmitting a transmitted signal, by a first terminal and over a
14 noisy feedforward channel; wherein the first transmitted signal is a dummy signal.

15 The dummy signal may have a content of the portion of the message and an intensity
16 that does not exceed a noise level of the feedforward channel.

17 The dummy signal may have a content that is set regardless of a content of the portion of
18 the message.

19 Step 550 may include calculating, by the second terminal, a first estimate of the dummy
20 signal.

21 Step 570 may include calculating, by the first terminal, an estimated error in response to
22 an outcome of a previous iteration and in response to a content of a portion of a message;
23 wherein the calculating may include applying a first modulo function. Because the first terminal
24 calculates the error estimate, at least partially based on the portion of the message (that was not
25 properly transmitted to the second terminal), the second terminal eventually is able to reconstruct
26 the portion of the message. During the first iteration the first terminal may calculate an error
27 between the first estimate of the dummy signal and during further iterations the first terminal
28 may calculate the error between the outcome of the previous iteration and the portion of the
29 message.

30 Figure 7 illustrates method 100 according to an embodiment of the invention.

1 Method 100 includes an initialization sequence of steps 110, 120, 130 and 140. This
2 initialization sequence of steps is followed by multiple iterations (predetermined number of
3 iterations, monitored by step 150) of steps 160, 170, 180 and 190. Method 100 ends by step 194.

4 Step 110 may include receiving, by a second terminal, a first received signal; wherein
5 the first received signal represents a first transmitted signal that was transmitted from a first
6 terminal and propagated over a noisy feedforward channel; wherein the first transmitted signal
7 represents a portion of a message.

8 Step 120 may include calculating, by the second terminal, a first estimate of the portion
9 of the message.

10 Step 130 may include calculating, by the second terminal, a representation of the first
11 estimate; wherein the calculating may include applying a second modulo function.

12 Step 140 may include Transmitting, by the second terminal, over a feedback channel, the
13 representation of the first estimate.

14 Step 160 may include receiving, by a second terminal, a current received signal; wherein
15 the current received signal represents an estimated error; wherein the estimated error was
16 calculated by the first terminal in response to an outcome of a pervious iteration and may include
17 applying a first modulo function.

18 Step 170 may include Calculating, by the second terminal, and in response to the current
19 received signal, a current estimate of the message.

20 Step 180 may include calculating, by the second terminal, a representation of the current
21 estimate; wherein the calculating may include applying the second modulo function.

22 Step 190 may include transmitting, by the second terminal and over the feedback channel,
23 a representation of the current estimate.

24 Step 194 may include estimating the portion of the message, by the second terminal, and
25 after a completion of the multiple iterations, wherein the estimating of the message is responsive
26 to at least one outcome of at least one iteration.

27 Figure 8 illustrates method 200 according to an embodiment of the invention.

28 Method 200 includes an initialization sequence of steps 210, 220, 130 and 140. This
29 initialization sequence of steps is followed by multiple iterations (predetermined number of
30 iterations, monitored by step 150) of steps 260, 170, 180 and 190. Method 200 ends by step 194.

1 As indicated above, method 200 differs from method 100 by replacing steps 110, 120 and
2 260 by steps 210, 220 and 260, respectively.

3 Step 210 may include receiving, by a second terminal, a first received signal; wherein the
4 first received signal represents a first transmitted signal that was transmitted from a first terminal
5 and propagated over a noisy feedforward channel; wherein the first transmitted signal is a
6 dummy signal.

7 Step 220 may include calculating, by the second terminal, a first estimate of the dummy
8 signal.

9 Step 260 may include receiving, by a second terminal, a current received signal; wherein
10 the current received signal represents an estimated error; wherein the estimated error was
11 calculated by the first terminal in response to an outcome of a pervious iteration and in response
12 to a portion of a message and may include applying a first modulo function.

13 Figure 9 illustrates method 600 according to an embodiment of the invention.

14 Method 600 includes an initialization sequence of steps 610 and 620. This initialization
15 sequence of steps is followed by multiple iterations (predetermined number of iterations,
16 monitored by step 630) of steps 640, 650 and 660.

17 Step 610 may include transmitting, by a first terminal and over a noisy feedforward
18 channel, a first transmitted signal, thereby allowing the second terminal to receive a first received
19 signal; wherein the first transmitted signal represents a portion of a message.

20 Step 620 may include receiving, by the first terminal, a second received signal, wherein
21 the second received signal results from a propagation, over a noisy feedback channel, of a
22 representation of a first estimate of at the least portion of the message; wherein the representation
23 of the first estimate is calculated by the second terminal, wherein the calculation of the
24 representation of the first estimate may include applying a second modulo function.

25 Step 640 may include calculating, by the first terminal, an estimated error, wherein the
26 calculating is responsive to an outcome of a pervious iteration and may include applying a first
27 modulo function.

28 Step 650 may include transmitting the estimate error to the second terminal over the
29 noisy feedforward channel.

30 Step 660 may include receiving, by the first terminal and over the feedback channel, a
31 representation of the current estimate.

1 Figure 10 illustrates method 700 according to an embodiment of the invention.

2 Method 700 includes an initialization sequence of steps 710 and 720. This initialization
3 sequence of steps is followed by multiple iterations (predetermined number of iterations,
4 monitored by step 630) of steps 740, 650 and 660.

5 Method 700 differs from method 600 by replacing steps 610, 620 and 640 by steps 710,
6 720 and 740.

7 Step 710 may include transmitting, by a first terminal and over a noisy feedforward
8 channel, a first transmitted signal, thereby allowing the second terminal to receive a first
9 received signal. The first transmitted signal is a dummy signal.

10 Step 720 may include receiving, by the first terminal, a second received signal, wherein
11 the second received signal results from a propagation, over a noisy feedback channel, of a
12 representation of a first estimate of the dummy signal. The representation of the first estimate is
13 calculated by the second terminal, wherein the calculation of the representation of the first
14 estimate may include applying a second modulo function.

15 Step 740 may include calculating, by the first terminal, an estimated error, wherein the
16 calculating is responsive to an outcome of a pervious iteration and to a portion of the message
17 may include applying a first modulo function.

18 Any combination of any steps of any methods can be provided.

19 There is provided a first terminal that is configured to execute any combination of any
20 method stages that were mentioned above as executed by the first terminal. See, for example
21 figures 9 and 10, steps 310, 370, 375 and 360 of figure 5 and steps 360, 375, 510 and 570 of
22 figure 6.

23 There is provided a second terminal that is configured to execute any combination of any
24 method stages that were mentioned above as executed by the second terminal. See, for example
25 figures 7 and 8, steps 320, 330, 340, 350, 380, 390, 400 and 410 of figure 5 and steps 320, 530,
26 340, 350, 380, 390, 400 and 410 of figure 6.

27 The invention may also be implemented in a computer program for running on a
28 computer system, at least including code portions for performing steps of a method according to
29 the invention when run on a programmable apparatus, such as a computer system or enabling a
30 programmable apparatus to perform functions of a device or system according to the invention.

1 The computer program may cause the storage system to allocate disk drives to disk drive
2 groups.

3 A computer program is a list of instructions such as a particular application program
4 and/or an operating system. The computer program may for instance include one or more of: a
5 subroutine, a function, a procedure, an object method, an object implementation, an executable
6 application, an applet, a servlet, a source code, an object code, a shared library/dynamic load
7 library and/or other sequence of instructions designed for execution on a computer system.

8 The computer program may be stored internally on a non-transitory computer readable
9 medium. All or some of the computer program may be provided on computer readable media
10 permanently, removably or remotely coupled to an information processing system. The
11 computer readable media may include, for example and without limitation, any number of the
12 following: magnetic storage media including disk and tape storage media; optical storage media
13 such as compact disk media (e.g., CD-ROM, CD-R, etc.) and digital video disk storage media;
14 nonvolatile memory storage media including semiconductor-based memory units such as
15 FLASH memory, EEPROM, EPROM, ROM; ferromagnetic digital memories; MRAM; volatile
16 storage media including registers, buffers or caches, main memory, RAM, etc.

17 A computer process typically includes an executing (running) program or portion of a
18 program, current program values and state information, and the resources used by the operating
19 system to manage the execution of the process. An operating system (OS) is the software that
20 manages the sharing of the resources of a computer and provides programmers with an interface
21 used to access those resources. An operating system processes system data and user input, and
22 responds by allocating and managing tasks and internal system resources as a service to users
23 and programs of the system.

24 The computer system may for instance include at least one processing unit, associated
25 memory and a number of input/output (I/O) devices. When executing the computer program, the
26 computer system processes information according to the computer program and produces
27 resultant output information via I/O devices.

28 In the foregoing specification, the invention has been described with reference to
29 specific examples of embodiments of the invention. It will, however, be evident that various
30 modifications and changes may be made therein without departing from the broader spirit and
31 scope of the invention as set forth in the appended claims.

1 Moreover, the terms “front,” “back,” “top,” “bottom,” “over,” “under” and the like in the
2 description and in the claims, if any, are used for descriptive purposes and not necessarily for
3 describing permanent relative positions. It is understood that the terms so used are
4 interchangeable under appropriate circumstances such that the embodiments of the invention
5 described herein are, for example, capable of operation in other orientations than those
6 illustrated or otherwise described herein.

7 Those skilled in the art will recognize that the boundaries between logic blocks are
8 merely illustrative and that alternative embodiments may merge logic blocks or circuit elements
9 or impose an alternate decomposition of functionality upon various logic blocks or circuit
10 elements. Thus, it is to be understood that the architectures depicted herein are merely
11 exemplary, and that in fact many other architectures may be implemented which achieve the
12 same functionality.

13 Any arrangement of components to achieve the same functionality is effectively
14 "associated" such that the desired functionality is achieved. Hence, any two components herein
15 combined to achieve a particular functionality may be seen as "associated with" each other such
16 that the desired functionality is achieved, irrespective of architectures or intermedial
17 components. Likewise, any two components so associated can also be viewed as being
18 "operably connected," or "operably coupled," to each other to achieve the desired functionality.

19 Furthermore, those skilled in the art will recognize that boundaries between the above
20 described operations merely illustrative. The multiple operations may be combined into a single
21 operation, a single operation may be distributed in additional operations and operations may be
22 executed at least partially overlapping in time. Moreover, alternative embodiments may include
23 multiple instances of a particular operation, and the order of operations may be altered in
24 various other embodiments.

25 Also for example, in one embodiment, the illustrated examples may be implemented as
26 circuitry located on a single integrated circuit or within a same device. Alternatively, the
27 examples may be implemented as any number of separate integrated circuits or separate devices
28 interconnected with each other in a suitable manner.

29 Also for example, the examples, or portions thereof, may implemented as soft or code
30 representations of physical circuitry or of logical representations convertible into physical
31 circuitry, such as in a hardware description language of any appropriate type.

1 Also, the invention is not limited to physical devices or units implemented in non-
2 programmable hardware but can also be applied in programmable devices or units able to
3 perform the desired device functions by operating in accordance with suitable program code,
4 such as mainframes, minicomputers, servers, workstations, personal computers, notepads,
5 personal digital assistants, electronic games, automotive and other embedded systems, cell
6 phones and various other wireless devices, commonly denoted in this application as 'computer
7 systems'.

8 However, other modifications, variations and alternatives are also possible. The
9 specifications and drawings are, accordingly, to be regarded in an illustrative rather than in a
10 restrictive sense.

11 In the claims, any reference signs placed between parentheses shall not be construed as
12 limiting the claim. The word 'comprising' does not exclude the presence of other elements or
13 steps than those listed in a claim. Furthermore, the terms "a" or "an," as used herein, are defined
14 as one or more than one. Also, the use of introductory phrases such as "at least one" and "one or
15 more" in the claims should not be construed to imply that the introduction of another claim
16 element by the indefinite articles "a" or "an" limits any particular claim containing such
17 introduced claim element to inventions containing only one such element, even when the same
18 claim includes the introductory phrases "one or more" or "at least one" and indefinite articles
19 such as "a" or "an." The same holds true for the use of definite articles. Unless stated otherwise,
20 terms such as "first" and "second" are used to arbitrarily distinguish between the elements such
21 terms describe. Thus, these terms are not necessarily intended to indicate temporal or other
22 prioritization of such elements. The mere fact that certain measures are recited in mutually
23 different claims does not indicate that a combination of these measures cannot be used to
24 advantage.

25 While certain features of the invention have been illustrated and described herein, many
26 modifications, substitutions, changes, and equivalents will now occur to those of ordinary skill
27 in the art. It is, therefore, to be understood that the appended claims are intended to cover all
28 such modifications and changes as fall within the true spirit of the invention.

Appendix A

1 Introduction

Feedback cannot improve the capacity of point-to-point memoryless channels [1]. Nevertheless, noiseless feedback can significantly simplify the transmission schemes and improve the error probability performance, see e.g. [2–5]. These elegant schemes fail however in the presence of arbitrarily small feedback noise, rendering them grossly impractical. This fact has been initially observed in [3] for the *Additive White Gaussian Noise* (AWGN) channel, and further strengthened in [6]. A handful of works have tackled the problem of noisy feedback as means for improving error performance, see e.g. [7–10]. However, these works attain their superior error performance at the cost of a significant increase in complexity w.r.t. their noiseless feedback counterparts. There appears to be no *simple* scheme (in the spirit of [3–5]) that is robust to feedback noise known hitherto.

Our work is therefore motivated by the following question: Does the simplicity of the infeasible noiseless feedback schemes extend itself to the more realistic noisy feedback setup, while still offering near-optimal performance? While the answer to this question appears to be negative if one insists on approaching capacity in the usual sense (vanishing error probability in the limit of large delay), we answer it here in the affirmative under a fixed (but small) error probability criterion. Specifically, we consider the following setup: Two Terminals A and B are connected by pair of independent AWGN channels, and are limited by individual power constraints. The channel from Terminal

1 A (resp. B) to Terminal B (resp. A) is referred to as the feedforward (resp.
 2 feedback) channel. Terminal A wishes to send bits to Terminal B, within a
 3 given bit error probability. The figure-of-merit we look at is the *capacity gap*,
 4 which is the amount of excess SNR required by our scheme over the minimal
 5 possible SNR for an optimal Shannon scheme (of unbounded complexity),
 6 achieving the same bit rate and bit error probability. For this setup, we
 7 introduce and analyze a simple interactive scheme, that can operate near ca-
 8 pacity. Our construction is based on the Schalkwijk-Kailath (S-K) noiseless
 9 feedback scheme [3] with active feedback, endowed with modulo arithmetic.
 10 Loosely speaking, our scheme is founded on the following observations:

11 (1) The capacity gap (in dB) attained by the S-K scheme (for noiseless feed-
 12 back) is inversely proportional to the number of iterations, and hence
 13 capacity is approached in a small number of rounds.

14 (2) The S-K scheme can be described as follows. Terminal A encodes and
 15 sends its message via *Pulse Amplitude Modulation* (PAM), and in subse-
 16 quent rounds, sends a scaled version of the estimation error of Terminal
 17 B (which is computable due to noiseless feedback), thereby exponentially
 18 decreasing the variance of the total estimation error. This scheme can
 19 operate using only *passive* feedback. Alternatively, Terminal B could
 20 clearly employ *active* feedback by transmitting its current estimate of
 21 the message, rather than its observations. This simple tweak is mean-
 22 ingless in the noiseless feedback case, yet turns out to be essential when
 23 feedback is noisy.

24 (3) Suppose the S-K scheme is used when noise is present in the feedback

1 channel. In each round, Terminal B knows the sum of the estimation er-
 2 ror and the PAM message, whereas Terminal A knows the PAM message
 3 only. Describing the estimation error to Terminal A over the feedback
 4 channel is therefore a joint source-channel coding problem with side infor-
 5 mation at the receiver. Exploiting the side information could potentially
 6 yield a markedly better description of the estimation error. One simple
 7 way to reap this gain is by employing modulo arithmetic in the spirit of
 8 Tomlinson-Harashmia precoding [11, 12].

9 (4) Following the above joint source-channel coding procedure, the estima-
 10 tion error of Terminal B becomes known at Terminal A, up to some
 11 excess additive noise induced by the noisy feedback. Due to the modulo-
 12 linearity of the operations, this excess noise can be effectively pushed
 13 into the forward channel.

14 In a nutshell, our scheme operates as follows. Terminal A encodes and
 15 sends its message using PAM. In subsequent rounds, Terminal B computes
 16 its best linear estimate of the message, and feeds back a scaled version of that
 17 estimate, modulo a fixed interval. In turn, Terminal A employs a suitable
 18 modulo computation and obtains the estimation error, corrupted by excess
 19 additive noise. This quantity is then properly scaled and sent over the feed-
 20 forward channel to Terminal B. After a fixed number of rounds, Terminal B
 21 decodes the message via a simple minimum distance rule. Loosely speaking,
 22 the scheme's error probability is dictated by the events of a modulo aliasing
 23 in one of the rounds, and the event where the remaining estimation noise
 24 in the last round exceeds half the minimum distance of the PAM constella-
 25 tion. The maximal number of rounds is limited by the need to control the

1 modulo-aliasing errors.

2 The resulting capacity gap (Theorem 1) consists of four terms: 1) An
 3 “S-K term” that is inversely proportional to the number of rounds; 2) A
 4 “concatenated channel” term, that corresponds to the decrease in SNR in-
 5 curred by trivially concatenating the forward and feedback channels, and
 6 is (roughly) inversely proportional to the excess SNR of the feedback chan-
 7 nel over the feedforward channel; 3) a “modulo-aliasing” term that stems
 8 from the error floor imposed by employing the modulo operation, and is
 9 (roughly) inversely proportional to the SNR of the feedback channel; and 4)
 10 An auxiliary term that is (roughly) inversely proportional to the SNR of the
 11 feedforward channel.

12 As an example, for a bit error probability of 10^{-6} , if the SNR of the
 13 feedback channel exceeds the SNR of the feedforward channel by 20dB (resp.
 14 10dB), our scheme operates at a capacity gap of 0.8dB (resp. 3.5dB), with
 15 only 19 (resp. 11) rounds of interaction. This should be juxtaposed against
 16 two reference systems: On the one hand, state-of-the-art FEC codes attaining
 17 the same capacity gap and error probability, require roughly a two orders-
 18 of-magnitude increase in delay and complexity. On the other hand, the
 19 capacity gap attained by a minimal delay uncoded system with the same
 20 error probability, is at least 9dB.

21 The rest of the paper is organized as follows. The problem setup is
 22 introduced in Section 2. Necessary background including the capacity gap
 23 of uncoded PAM and an active feedback representation of the S-K scheme
 24 are given in Section 3. Our new scheme is described in Section 4, and its
 25 performance is discussed in Section 5. A detailed analysis of the scheme

1 is provided in Section 6. Some numerical results and figures are given in
 2 Section 7. Implementation issues and the applicability of our scheme to real
 3 world scenarios are treated In Section 8. A discussion of the results and their
 4 context appears in Section 9.

5 2 Setup

6 In the sequel, we use the following notations. For any number $x > 0$, we
 7 write $x_{\text{dB}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 10 \log_{10}(x)$ to denote the value of x in decibels. The Gaussian
 8 Q-function is $Q(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_x^\infty \exp(-u^2/2) du$, and $Q^{-1}(\cdot)$ is its functional
 9 inverse. We use the vector notation $x^n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (x_1, \dots, x_n)$. We write $f(x) =$
 10 $O(g(x))$ for $\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} |f(x)/g(x)| < \infty$.

Our problem setup is depicted in Fig. 1. The feedforward and feedback
 channels connecting Terminal A to Terminal B and vice versa respectively,
 are AWGN channels given by

$$\begin{aligned} Y_n &= X_n + Z_n, \\ \tilde{Y}_n &= \tilde{X}_n + \tilde{Z}_n. \end{aligned}$$

11 Where X_n, Y_n (resp. $\tilde{X}_n, \tilde{Y}_n$) are the input and output of the feedforward
 12 (resp. feedback) channel at time n respectively. The feedforward (resp.
 13 feedback) channel noise $Z_n \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ (resp. $\tilde{Z}_n \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \tilde{\sigma}^2)$) is indepen-
 14 dent of the input X_n (resp. $\tilde{X}_n$), and constitutes an i.i.d. sequence. The
 15 feedforward and feedback noise processes are mutually independent.

Terminal A is in possession of a message $W \sim \text{Uniform}([M])$, to be
 described to Terminal B over N rounds of communication. To that end, the
 terminals can employ an interactive scheme defined by a pair of functions

$(\varphi, \tilde{\varphi})$ as follows: At time n , Terminal A sends a function of its message W and possibly of past feedback channel outputs over the feedforward channel, i.e.,

$$X_n = \varphi(W, \tilde{Y}^{n-1}).$$

Similarly, Terminal B sends function of its past observations to Terminal A over the feedback channel, i.e.,

$$\tilde{X}_n = \tilde{\varphi}(Y^n).$$

Remark 1 *The dependence of φ and $\tilde{\varphi}$ on n is suppressed. In general, we allow these functions to further depend on common randomness shared by the terminals. A general interactive scheme can therefore be very complex; however, in what follows we will present and discuss a scheme that is very simple. We note in passing that our definition of the feedback transmission scheme is sometimes referred to as active feedback; the term passive feedback is usually reserved to the special case where $\tilde{\varphi}(Y^n) = Y_n$.*

We assume that Terminal A (resp. Terminal B) is subject to a power constraint P (resp. $\tilde{P}$), namely

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \mathbb{E}(X_n^2) \leq N \cdot P, \quad \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbb{E}(\tilde{X}_n^2) \leq N \cdot \tilde{P}.$$

We denote the feedforward (resp. feedback) SNR by $\text{SNR} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{P}{\sigma^2}$ (resp. $\tilde{\text{SNR}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\tilde{P}}{\sigma^2}$). The ratio between the feedback SNR and the feedforward SNR is denoted by $\Delta\text{SNR} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\tilde{\text{SNR}}}{\text{SNR}}$. Throughout this work, we assume that the feedback channel has excess SNR over the feedforward channel, i.e. $\Delta\text{SNR} > 1$.

1 An interactive scheme $(\varphi, \tilde{\varphi})$ is associated with a rate $R \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\log M}{N}$ and an
 2 error probability p_e , which is the probability that Terminal B errs in decoding
 3 the message W at time N , under the optimal decision rule.

4 The *capacity gap* Γ attained by the scheme is defined as follows. Recall
 5 that the Shannon capacity of the AWGN implies that the maximal rate
 6 achievable by any scheme (of unbounded complexity/delay, with or without
 7 feedback) under vanishing error probability, is given by

$$C = \frac{1}{2} \log(1 + \text{SNR}). \quad (1)$$

Conversely, the minimal SNR required to attain a rate R is $2^{2R} - 1$. The
 capacity gap is the excess SNR required by the scheme, i.e.,

$$\Gamma(\varphi, \tilde{\varphi}) = \Gamma \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\text{SNR}}{2^{2R} - 1}. \quad (2)$$

8 Note that if a nonzero bit/symbol error probability is allowed, then one can
 9 achieves rates exceeding the Shannon capacity (1), and this effect should
 10 in principle be accounted for, to make the definition of the capacity gap
 11 fair. However, for small error probabilities the associated correction factor
 12 (given by the inverse of the corresponding rate distortion function) becomes
 13 negligible, and we therefore ignore it in the sequel.

14 3 Preliminaries

15 In this section, we describe the three building blocks underlying our interac-
 16 tive scheme. First, we discuss the performance of uncoded PAM transmission,
 17 and the associated capacity gap. We then describe the S-K scheme with ac-
 18 tive (noiseless) feedback, and derive the associated decay of the capacity gap

1 as a function of the number of interaction rounds. Lastly, we briefly discuss
 2 the notations and properties of modulo arithmetic to be used in our scheme.

3 3.1 Uncoded PAM

PAM is a simple and commonly used modulation scheme, where 2^R symbols are mapped (one-to-one) to the set

$$\{\pm 1\eta, \pm 3\eta, \dots, \pm(2^R - 1)\eta\}.$$

4 Canonically, the normalization factor η is set so that the overall mean square
 5 of the constellation (assuming equiprobable symbols) is unity. A straight-
 6 forward calculation yields $\eta = \sqrt{3/(2^{2R} - 1)}$. In the general case where the
 7 mean square of the constellation is constrained to be P , η is replaced with
 8 $\eta\sqrt{P}$.

9 It is easy to show that for an AWGN channel with zero mean noise of
 10 variance σ^2 and average input power constraint P , the probability of error
 11 incurred by the optimal detector is bounded by the probability that the noise
 12 exceed half the minimal distance of the PAM constellation, i.e.,

$$p_e < 2Q\left(\frac{\sqrt{P}\eta}{\sigma}\right) = 2Q\left(\sqrt{\frac{3\text{SNR}}{2^{2R} - 1}}\right). \quad (3)$$

13 Fixing the error probability p_e and solving the inequality (3) for R yields:

$$R > \frac{1}{2} \log\left(1 + \frac{\text{SNR}}{\Gamma}\right), \quad (4)$$

where

$$\Gamma_0(p_e) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{3} \left[Q^{-1}\left(\frac{p_e}{2}\right) \right]^2. \quad (5)$$

1 Comparing (4) and (1), we see that PAM signaling with error probability
 2 p_e admits a capacity gap of $\Gamma_0(p_e)$. For a typical value of $p_e = 10^{-6}$, the
 3 capacity gap of uncoded PAM is $\Gamma_{0,\text{dB}} = 9\text{dB}$.

Finally, we assume as usual that bits are mapped to PAM constellation
 symbols via Gray labeling. The associated bit error probability can thus be
 bounded by

$$p_b < \frac{2}{R} Q\left(\frac{\sqrt{P}\eta}{\sigma}\right) + 2Q\left(3\frac{\sqrt{P}\eta}{\sigma}\right) \approx \frac{p_e}{R}. \quad (6)$$

4 where the approximation is becomes tight for small p_e due to the strong
 5 decay of the Q-function.

6 3.2 The S-K Scheme with Active Feedback

7 Consider the setting of communication over the AWGN with noiseless feed-
 8 back, i.e., where $\tilde{\sigma}^2 = 0$. The S-K scheme with active feedback is described
 9 as follows. First, Terminal A maps the message W to a PAM constellation
 10 point Θ . In the first round, it sends a scaled version of Θ satisfying the power
 11 constraint P . In subsequent rounds, Terminal B maintains an estimate $\hat{\Theta}_n$ of
 12 Θ given all the observation it has, and feeds it back to Terminal A. Terminal
 13 A then computes the estimation error $\varepsilon_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \hat{\Theta}_n - \Theta$, and sends a properly
 14 scaled version of it to Terminal B. Formally:

15 (A) Initialization:

16 **Terminal A:** Map the message W to a PAM point Θ .

17 **Terminal A $\Rightarrow$ Terminal B:**

18 • Send $X_1 = \sqrt{P}\Theta$

1 • Receive $Y_1 = X_1 + Z_1$

2 **Terminal B:** Initialize the Θ estimate¹ to $\hat{\Theta}_1 = \frac{Y_1}{\sqrt{P}}$.

3 (B) Iteration:

4 **Terminal B $\Rightarrow$ Terminal A:**

5 • Send the current Θ estimate: $\tilde{X}_n = \hat{\Theta}_n$

6 • Receive $\tilde{Y}_n = \tilde{X}_n$

7 **Terminal A:** Compute the estimation error $\varepsilon_n = \tilde{Y}_n - \Theta$.

8 **Terminal A $\Rightarrow$ Terminal B:**

9 • Send the scaled estimation error $X_{n+1} = \alpha_n \varepsilon_n$, where $\alpha_n = \frac{\sqrt{P}}{\sigma_n}$ so
10 that the input power constraint holds, and where $\sigma_n^2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{E}\varepsilon_n^2$.

11 • Receive $Y_n = X_n + Z_n$

Terminal B: Update the Θ estimate¹ $\hat{\Theta}_{n+1} = \hat{\Theta}_n - \hat{\varepsilon}_n$, where

$$\hat{\varepsilon}_n = \beta_{n+1} Y_{n+1}$$

12 is the *Minimum Mean Square Error* (MMSE) estimate of ε_n , thus

$$\beta_{n+1} = \frac{\sqrt{P\sigma_n^2}}{P + \sigma^2} = \frac{\sigma_n}{\sigma} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{\text{SNR}}}{1 + \text{SNR}}.$$

13 (C) Decoding:

14 At time N the receiver decodes the message using a minimum distance
15 decoder for $\hat{\Theta}_N$ w.r.t. the PAM constellation.

¹Note that this is the minimum variance unbiased estimate of Θ .

1 To calculate the error probability and rate attained by the S-K scheme,
 2 we note that $\varepsilon_{n+1} = \varepsilon_n - \widehat{\varepsilon}_n$. Computing the corresponding variance by
 3 plugging in the optimal values of α_n, β_n, Y_n yields

$$\sigma_{n+1}^2 = \frac{\sigma_n^2}{1 + \text{SNR}} = \frac{1}{\text{SNR}(1 + \text{SNR})^n}.$$

4 Since the power of Θ is normalized to unity, this is equivalent to signaling
 5 over an AWGN channel with $\text{SNR}_N = \sigma_N^{-2}$, i.e.

$$\text{SNR}_N = \text{SNR} \cdot (1 + \text{SNR})^{N-1}. \quad (7)$$

6 Plugging SNR_N into (3) and bounding the Q-function by $Q(x) < \frac{1}{2} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}x^2)$
 7 gives:

$$p_e < \frac{1}{2} \exp \left(-\frac{3 \text{SNR} \cdot (1 + \text{SNR})^{N-1}}{2^{2NR} - 1} \right).$$

8 Plugging in the AWGN capacity (1) and removing the “−1” term, we obtain:

$$p_e < \frac{1}{2} \exp \left(-\frac{3}{2} \frac{\text{SNR}}{1 + \text{SNR}} \cdot 2^{2N(C-R)} \right),$$

9 which is the well-known doubly exponential decay of the error probability of
 10 the S-K scheme.

11 Let us now provide an alternative interpretation of the S-K scheme perfor-
 12 mance, in terms of the capacity gap attained after a finite number of rounds.
 13 Plugging SNR_N in (4) yields:

$$R > \frac{1}{2N} \log \left(1 + \frac{\text{SNR} \cdot (1 + \text{SNR})^{N-1}}{\Gamma} \right). \quad (8)$$

Plugging the resulting R in the definition of the capacity gap (2) and assum-
 ing $\text{SNR} \gg 1$ yields the following approximation for high SNR:

$$\Gamma_{\text{dB}}^{\text{S-K}}(p_e, N) \approx \frac{\Gamma_{0,\text{dB}}(p_e)}{N}.$$

14 This behavior is depicted by the dashed curve in Fig. 3.

3.3 Modulo Arithmetic

We briefly overview basic notations and properties of modulo arithmetic. For a given $d > 0$, define the modulo function

$$\mathbb{M}_d[x] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} x - d \cdot \text{round}\left(\frac{x}{d}\right)$$

where the $\text{round}(\cdot)$ operator returns nearest integer to its argument². The following properties are easily verified:

(i) $\mathbb{M}_d[x] \in [-\frac{d}{2}, \frac{d}{2})$

(ii) if $d_1 + d_2 \in [-\frac{d}{2}, \frac{d}{2})$, then

$$\mathbb{M}_d[\mathbb{M}_d[x + d_1] + d_2 - x] = d_1 + d_2. \quad (9)$$

otherwise, a *modulo-aliasing error* term of $kd \neq 0$ is added to the right-hand-side (9), for some integer k .

(iii) Let $V \sim \text{Uniform}([-\frac{d}{2}, \frac{d}{2}))$. Then $\mathbb{M}_d[x + V]$ is uniformly distributed over $[-\frac{d}{2}, \frac{d}{2})$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

(iv) Therefore, $\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{M}_d[x + V])^2 = \frac{d^2}{12}$.

4 The Proposed Scheme

In what follows we assume that the terminals share a common random i.i.d sequence $\{V_n\}_{n=1}^N$ where $V_n \sim \text{Uniform}([-\frac{d}{2}, \frac{d}{2}))$. Furthermore, we set $d = \sqrt{12\tilde{P}}$ which guarantees that $\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{M}_d[x + V_n])^2 = \tilde{P}$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Recall

²We arbitrarily define $\text{round}(k + \frac{1}{2}) = k + 1$ for every integer k

1 that the estimation of the PAM point at Terminal B and time instance n is
 2 denoted by $\hat{\Theta}_n$, and the associated estimation error by $\varepsilon_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \hat{\Theta}_n - \Theta$.

3 Our scheme is described below.

4 (A) Initialization:

5 **Terminal A:** Map the message W to a PAM point Θ .

6 **Terminal A $\Rightarrow$ Terminal B:**

7 • Send $X_1 = \sqrt{P}\Theta$

8 • Receive $Y_1 = X_1 + Z_1$

9 **Terminal B:** Initialize the Θ estimate³ to $\hat{\Theta}_1 = \frac{Y_1}{\sqrt{P}}$.

10 (B) Iteration:

11 **Terminal B $\Rightarrow$ Terminal A:**

• Given the Θ estimate $\hat{\Theta}_n$, compute and send

$$\tilde{X}_n = \mathbb{M}_d[\gamma_n \hat{\Theta}_n + V_n]$$

12 • Receive $\tilde{Y}_n = \tilde{X}_n + \tilde{Z}_n$

Terminal A: Extract a noisy scaled version of estimation error ε_n :

$$\tilde{\varepsilon}_n = \mathbb{M}_d[\tilde{Y}_n - \gamma_n \Theta - V_n] \quad (10)$$

13 Note that $\tilde{\varepsilon}_n = \gamma_n \varepsilon_n + \tilde{Z}_n$, unless a *modulo-aliasing error* occurs.

14 **Terminal A $\Rightarrow$ Terminal B:**

³Note that this is the minimum variance unbiased estimate of Θ .

- 1 • Send a scaled version of $\tilde{\varepsilon}_n$: $X_{n+1} = \alpha \tilde{\varepsilon}_n$, where α is set so that to
- 2 meet the input power constraint P (computed later).
- 3 • Receive $Y_n = X_n + Z_n$

Terminal B: Update the Θ estimate³ $\hat{\Theta}_{n+1} = \hat{\Theta}_n - \hat{\varepsilon}_n$, where

$$\hat{\varepsilon}_n = \beta_{n+1} Y_{n+1}$$

- 4 is the MMSE estimate of ε_n . The optimal selection of β_n is described
- 5 in the sequel.

6 (C) Decoding:

- 7 At time N the receiver decodes the message using a minimum distance
- 8 decoder for $\hat{\Theta}_N$ w.r.t. the PAM constellation.

9 5 Main Result

Recall the capacity gap function $\Gamma_0(\cdot)$ of uncoded PAM given in (5). Fix some target error probability p_e . Define:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 3 \left[Q^{-1} \left(\frac{p_e}{4N} \right) \right]^{-2} \\ \Psi_1 &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 1 + (\lambda \cdot \Delta \text{SNR})^{-1} \\ \Psi_2 &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{1 - (\lambda \cdot \widetilde{\text{SNR}})^{-1}} \\ \Psi_3 &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{10 / \ln 10}{\text{SNR} \cdot \Psi_1^{-\frac{N-1}{N}} \Psi_2^{-\frac{N-1}{N}} \Gamma_0^{-\frac{1}{N}} \left(\frac{p_e}{2} \right) - 1} \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Theorem 1 *For a proper choice of parameters, the interactive communication scheme described in Section 4 achieves in N rounds an error probability*

p_e and a capacity gap Γ_{dB}^* satisfying:

$$\Gamma_{\text{dB}}^*(p_e, N) < \frac{1}{N} \Gamma_{0,\text{dB}}\left(\frac{p_e}{2}\right) + \frac{N-1}{N} (\Psi_{1,\text{dB}} + \Psi_{2,\text{dB}}) + \Psi_3$$

¹ We prove this theorem in Section 6.

² **Remark 2** λ is a factor that encapsulates the cost of controlling the modulo-
³ aliasing error, as seen below. It decreases with a decreasing p_e .

Remark 3 Ψ_1 is a penalty term roughly corresponding to the decrease in SNR incurred by trivially concatenating the forward and feedback channels. To see this, consider the concatenated channel from X_n to $\tilde{Y}_n$ where Terminal B performs simple linear scaling to meet the power constraint $\tilde{P}$, i.e. $\tilde{X}_n = \sqrt{\frac{\tilde{P}}{P+\sigma_2}} Y_n$. The SNR of this channel is

$$\text{SNR}_{\text{concatenated}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\text{SNR} \cdot \tilde{\text{SNR}}}{\text{SNR} + \tilde{\text{SNR}} + 1},$$

hence, the associated SNR loss w.r.t. the feedforward channel is

$$\frac{\text{SNR}}{\text{SNR}_{\text{concatenated}}} = 1 + \frac{1}{\Delta \text{SNR}} + \frac{1}{\tilde{\text{SNR}}} \approx 1 + \frac{1}{\Delta \text{SNR}}.$$

⁴ This latter expression is very similar to Ψ_1 , with the exception of the addi-
⁵ tional λ factor. Hence, loosely speaking, Ψ_1 encapsulates the inherent loss
⁶ due to essentially employing a feedback scheme over the concatenated chan-
⁷ nel, together with a feedback power reduction by the amount of λ used to avoid
⁸ modulo-aliasing errors. This loss vanishes for a fixed p_e as ΔSNR increases.
⁹ However, if ΔSNR is fixed, this term does not vanish in the limit of high
¹⁰ SNR.

Remark 4 Ψ_2 can be interpreted as a penalty term stemming from the modulo-aliasing error endemic to the system, due to the presence of feedback noise in the modulo operations at Terminal A. For a fixed $\tilde{\text{SNR}}$, the minimal value of λ supported by our scheme is given by $\tilde{\text{SNR}}^{-1}$, which in turn dictates the minimal error probability that can be attained. Due to this error floor, our scheme cannot achieve any rate in the usual sense. The loss of SNR incurred by Ψ_2 vanishes for any fixed error probability p_e as $\tilde{\text{SNR}}$ increases.

Remark 5 Ψ_3 is an additional penalty term (already in logarithmic scale), that result from the fact that we consider the capacity gap in terms of SNR ratios, whereas the explicit term arising from the capacity formula is related to $\log(1 + \text{SNR})$ rather than $\log(\text{SNR})$. Note that $\Psi_3 = O(\text{SNR}^{-1})$.

Corollary 1 (High SNR behavior) Let ΔSNR and p_e be fixed. The capacity gap attained by our scheme for SNR large enough, can be approximated by

$$\Gamma_{\text{dB}}^*(p_e, N) \approx \frac{1}{N} \Gamma_{0,\text{dB}}\left(\frac{p_e}{2}\right) + \frac{N-1}{N} \left[1 + \frac{1}{\lambda \Delta\text{SNR}} \right]_{\text{dB}}. \quad (12)$$

The first term is roughly the capacity gap of the S-K scheme with noiseless feedback. The second term pertains to the SNR loss w.r.t. a concatenated channel as well as modulo-aliasing errors, as discussed in Remark 3.

Remark 6 Note that there is a “low SNR” regime (related also to the target error probability or to ΔSNR), where the loss terms $\Psi_{1,\text{dB}} + \Psi_{2,\text{dB}}$ are larger than say $\Gamma_{0,\text{dB}}(p_e)$. In that case, setting $N = 1$, namely using an uncoded system with no interaction, is the optimal choice of parameters for our

1 *scheme*⁴. As we shall see however, for many practical values of SNR, $\tilde{\text{SNR}}$
 2 *and p_e , interaction results in significant gains.*

3 6 Proof of Main Result

4 In Subsection 3.2 we analyzed the error probability of S-K with noiseless
 5 feedback, relying on the fact that all the noises are jointly Gaussian, including
 6 the noise ε_N experienced by the PAM decoder. To that end, we were able
 7 to directly use the error probability analysis of simple PAM over AWGN
 8 discussed in Subsection 3.1.

9 In the noisy feedback case however, the non-linearity induced by modulo
 10 operations at both terminals induce a non-Gaussian distribution of ε_N . An
 11 analysis of the decoding error based on the actual distribution of ε_N is very
 12 involved. Yet, an upper bound can be derived via a simple coupling argument
 13 described below.

14 Recall that Terminal A computes $\tilde{\varepsilon}_n$, a noisy scaled version of the es-
 15 timation error of Terminal B, via a modulo operation (10). For any $n \in$
 16 $\{1, \dots, N-1\}$ we define E_n as the event where this computation results in
 17 a modulo-aliasing error, i.e.,

$$E_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\gamma_n \varepsilon_n + \tilde{Z}_n \notin [-\frac{d}{2}, \frac{d}{2})\}. \quad (13)$$

18 Furthermore, we define E_N as the PAM decoding error event:

$$E_N = \{\varepsilon_N \notin [-\frac{d_{\min}}{2}, \frac{d_{\min}}{2})\},$$

⁴The reason we get the looser $\Gamma_{0,\text{dB}}(\frac{E_s}{2})$ term in (12) is for brevity of exposition; a more accurate trade-off is given in the next section.

where d_{min} is the PAM constellation minimal distance. As mentioned above, the distribution of ε_N is not Gaussian due to the nonlinearity introduced by the modulo operations. To circumvent this, we consider the following upper bound for the error probability:

$$p_e < \Pr \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^N E_n \right). \quad (14)$$

1 The inequality stems from the fact that a modulo-aliasing error does not
2 necessarily cause a PAM decoding error.

3 To proceed, we define the *coupled system* as a system that is fed by the
4 same message and experiences the (sample-path) exact same noises, with the
5 only difference being that no modulo operations are implemented at neither
6 of the terminals. Clearly, the coupled system violates the power constraint at
7 Terminal B. However, given the message W , all the random variables in the
8 coupled system are jointly Gaussian, and in particular, the estimation errors
9 ε_n in that system are Gaussian for $n = 1, \dots, N$. Moreover, it is easy to
10 see that the estimation errors are *sample-path identical* between the original
11 system and the coupled system until the first modulo-aliasing error occurs.
12 To be precise:

13 **Lemma 1** Let $\widetilde{\Pr}$ denote the probability operator in for the coupled process.
14 Then for any $N > 1$:

$$\Pr \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^N E_n \right) = \widetilde{\Pr} \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^N E_n \right).$$

15 *Proof:*

$$\Pr \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^N E_n \right) = \Pr(E_1) + \sum_{n=2}^N \Pr \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n-1} (E_i^C) \cap E_n \right).$$

Moreover, for any $i \in \{2, \dots, N\}$

$$\Pr \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n-1} (E_i^C) \cap E_n \right) = \widetilde{\Pr} \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n-1} (E_i^C) \cap E_n \right)$$

and trivially $\Pr(E_1) = \widetilde{\Pr}(E_1)$, which concludes the proof.

Combining the above with (14) and applying the union bound in the coupled system, we obtain

$$p_e \leq \sum_{n=1}^N \widetilde{\Pr}(E_n). \quad (15)$$

Calculating the above probabilities now involves only scalar Gaussian densities, which significantly simplifies the analysis.

6.1 Calculation of the Parameters

We set γ_n such that $\widetilde{\Pr}(E_1) = \dots = \widetilde{\Pr}(E_{n-1}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p_m$, for some p_m small enough to be set later. Specifically, recalling the definition (13) and that $d = \sqrt{12\tilde{P}}$, and since $\tilde{\varepsilon}_n = \gamma_n \varepsilon_n + \tilde{Z}_n$ in the coupled system is Gaussian, we obtain the following equation for γ_n :

$$p_m = 2Q \left(\sqrt{\frac{3\tilde{P}}{\mathbb{E}\tilde{\varepsilon}_n^2}} \right), \quad (16)$$

and hence

$$\gamma_n = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda \tilde{P} - \tilde{\sigma}^2}{\sigma_n^2}}, \quad (17)$$

where λ is defined

$$\lambda \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 3 \left[Q^{-1} \left(\frac{p_m}{2} \right) \right]^{-2}$$

1 **Remark 7** λ defined in (11) is a special case of the above with $p_m = \frac{p_e}{2N}$.
 2 Note again that $\lambda > \text{SNR}^{-1}$ must hold, which lower bounds the attainable
 3 error probability, see Remark 4.

4 α is set so that the input power constraint at Terminal A is met, namely
 5 $P \geq \tilde{\mathbb{E}}X_n^2 = \alpha^2 \tilde{\mathbb{E}}\tilde{\varepsilon}_n^2$. From (16) it stems that:

$$\alpha = \sqrt{\frac{P}{\lambda \tilde{P}}}.$$

6 **Remark 8** It should be emphasized that this calculation is accurate for the
 7 coupled system only. In the original system, a modulo-aliasing error may
 8 cause the power constraint to be violated. However, since $\tilde{\varepsilon}_n^2 \leq 3\tilde{P}$ and since
 9 the probabilities of modulo-aliasing errors are set to be very low (lower than
 10 the target error probability) the power constraint violation is negligible, and
 11 can be practically ignored; e.g., for $p_e = 10^{-6}$ and $N = 20$, the increase
 12 in average power due to this effect is lower than 10^{-4} dB. We also note in
 13 passing that the power constraint in Terminal B is always satisfied (regardless
 14 of parameter choice), due to dithering.

15 The parameter β_n determines the evolution of the estimation error. The
 16 linear estimate of ε_n : $\hat{\varepsilon}_n = \beta_{n+1}Y_{n+1}$, is the optimal estimate in the coupled
 17 system, in which ε_n and Y_{n+1} are jointly Gaussian. We would thus like to
 18 minimize $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}(\varepsilon_n - \hat{\varepsilon}_n)^2$. Plugging in $Y_{n+1} = \alpha(\gamma_n\varepsilon_n + \tilde{Z}_n) + Z_{n+1}$ and solving
 19 the optimization problem yields:

$$\beta_{n+1} = \frac{\sigma_n}{\sigma} \frac{\sqrt{\text{SNR} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{\lambda \text{SNR}}\right)}}{1 + \text{SNR}},$$

20 where σ_n^2 is the variance of ε_n in the coupled system. Recalling that $\varepsilon_{n+1} =$
 21 $\varepsilon_n - \hat{\varepsilon}_n$ and computing the MMSE for the optimal choice of β_{n+1} above, we

1 obtain a recursive formula for σ_n^2 , which boils down to the following expression
 2 for the SNR after N iterations:

$$\text{SNR}_N \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{\sigma_N^2} = \text{SNR} \cdot \left(1 + \text{SNR} \cdot \frac{1 - \frac{1}{\lambda \text{SNR}}}{1 + \frac{1}{\lambda \Delta \text{SNR}}} \right)^{N-1}, \quad (18)$$

3 and using (15), the error probability is bounded by

$$p_e < (N-1)p_m + 2Q \left(\sqrt{\frac{3\text{SNR}_N}{2^{2NR} - 1}} \right). \quad (19)$$

4 Juxtaposing (18) and (7) shows that in the noisy feedback case, the expo-
 5 nential growth of the SNR with the number rounds is dampened by a factor
 6 that is inversely related to SNR and ΔSNR , and also related to the term
 7 λ that is in turn determined by the modulo-aliasing error probability. This
 8 factor corresponds to Ψ_1, Ψ_2 in Theorem 1, where Ψ_3 is a remainder term
 9 obtained by pedestrian manipulations and the inequality $-\ln(1-x) \leq \frac{x}{x-1}$
 10 for $x < 1$. The result in Theorem 1 was obtained for the specific choice
 11 $p_m = \frac{p_e}{2N}$ of the modulo-aliasing error. In general, reducing p_m decreases λ
 12 which in turn decreases SNR_N , and hence increases the second addend on
 13 the right-hand-side of (19), resulting in a trade-off that could potentially be
 14 further optimized.

15 7 Numerical Results

16 The behavior of the capacity gap for our scheme as a function of the number
 17 of interaction rounds and ΔSNR is depicted in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4, for “high
 18 SNR” and “low SNR” setups. In both figures we plotted the capacity gap,
 19 for a target rate R and a target error probability $p_e = 10^{-6}$, where the SNR

1 corresponding to R was found by numeric search on (8), and the capacity
 2 gap calculated by definition (2). We can see that the higher ΔSNR , the
 3 smaller the capacity gap, where $\Delta\text{SNR} = 30\text{dB}$ is close to noiseless feedback.
 4 The points marked n_{opt} are those for which the capacity gap is less than
 5 0.2dB above the minimal value attained. In Fig. 3, $R = 1$, and can see
 6 that $\Delta\text{SNR} = 10\text{dB}$ reduces the capacity gap to 4.2dB in 12 iterations, and
 7 $\Delta\text{SNR} = 20\text{dB}$ reduces the capacity gap to 1.1dB in 22 iterations. In Fig. 4
 8 $R = 4$ and for $\Delta\text{SNR} = 10\text{dB}$ the capacity gap to 3.5dB in 11 iterations, and
 9 $\Delta\text{SNR} = 20\text{dB}$ reduces the capacity gap to 0.8dB in 19 iterations. Observing
 10 (12) we can see that for high SNR the result is only a function of ΔSNR ,
 11 thus does not depend on the target rate or the base SNR.

12 8 Notes on Implementation

13 The scheme described in this paper is simple and practical, as opposed to its
 14 noiseless feedback counterparts. This provides impetus for further discussing
 15 implementation related aspects. The following conditions should be met for
 16 our results to carry merit: 1) *Information asymmetry*: Terminal A has sub-
 17 stantially more information to convey than Terminal B; 2) *SNR asymmetry*:
 18 The SNR of the feedforward channel is lower than the SNR of the feedback
 19 channel. This can happen due to differences in power constraints and/or
 20 path losses; 3) *Complexity/delay constraints*: There are severe complexity or
 21 delay constraint at Terminal A; 4) *Two-way signaling*: Our scheme assumes
 22 sample-wise feedback. The communication system should therefore be full
 23 duplex where both terminals have virtually the same signaling rate; hence,

1 the terminals split the bandwidth between them even though only Terminal
 2 A is transmitting information. This situation can sometimes be inherent to
 3 the system, but should otherwise be tested against the (non-interactive) so-
 4 lution where the entire bandwidth is allocated to Terminal A. This choice
 5 of forward vs. feedback bandwidth allocation yields a system trade-off that
 6 is SNR dependent: Terminal A can use our scheme and achieve a rate of
 7 $C(\text{SNR}_{dB} - \Gamma_{dB}^*)$, or alternatively employ FEC over the full forward-feedback
 8 bandwidth, thereby doubling the forward signaling rate but also incurring a
 9 3dB loss in SNR and a potentially larger capacity gap Γ_{dB}^{FEC} , resulting in a
 10 rate of $2C(\text{SNR}_{dB} - 3\text{dB} - \Gamma_{dB}^{\text{FEC}})$. It can therefore be seen that our solution
 11 is generally better for low enough SNR. For instance, for $p_e = 10^{-6}$ and
 12 $\Delta\text{SNR} > 30\text{dB}$ our scheme outperforms (with comparable complexity and
 13 delay) full bandwidth uncoded PAM for any $\text{SNR} < 23\text{dB}$, and outperforms
 14 (with significantly smaller complexity and delay) full bandwidth FEC with
 15 $\Gamma_{dB}^{\text{FEC}} = 3\text{dB}$ for any $\text{SNR} < 9\text{dB}$.

16 The use of very large PAM constellations, whose size is exponential in
 17 the product of rate and interaction rounds, seemingly requires extremely low
 18 noise and distortion at the digital and analog circuits in Terminal A, which
 19 may appear to impose a major implementation obstacle. Fortunately, this
 20 is not the case. The full resolution implied by the constellation size is by
 21 construction confined *only* to the original message Θ and the final estimate
 22 $\hat{\Theta}_N$; the transmitted and received signals in the course of interaction can be
 23 safely quantized at a resolution determined only by the channel noise (and
 24 *not* the final estimation noise), as in commonplace communication systems.
 25 Figuratively speaking, the source bits are *revealed* along the interaction pro-

cess, where the number of bits revealed in every round is determined by the channel SNR. This desirable property has also been confirmed in simulations.

Another important implementation issue is sensitivity to model assumptions. We have successfully verified the robustness of the proposed scheme in several reasonable scenarios including correlative noise, excess quantization noise, and multiplicative channel estimation noise. The universality of the scheme and its performance for a wider range of models remains to be further investigated.

9 Discussion

Note that so far we have limited our discussion to the PAM symbol error rate p_e . The bit-error rate is in fact lower, since an error in PAM decoding affects only a single bit with high probability (6), assuming Gray labeling. However, note that the modulo-aliasing error will typically result in many erroneous bits, and hence optimizing the bit error rate does not yield a major improvement over its upper bound p_e . Further fine-tuning of the scheme can be obtained by non-uniform power allocation over interaction rounds in both Terminal A and B; in particular, we note that Terminal B is silent in the last round, which can be trivially leveraged. We also note in passing that our scheme can be used in conjunction with FEC as an outer code, to achieve other power/delay/complexity/error probability tradeoffs.

We note again that for any choice of SNR and ΔSNR , the error probability attained by our scheme cannot be made to vanish with the number interaction rounds while maintaining a non-zero rate, as in the noiseless feed-

1 back S-K scheme case. The reason is that (17) implies a minimal attainable
 2 error probability dictated by the modulo-aliasing incurred by feedback noise.
 3 Equivalently, one cannot get arbitrarily close to capacity for a given target
 4 error probability; the reason is that while increasing the number of iter-
 5 ations would increase SNR_N and reduce the PAM decoding error term in
 6 (19), it would also increase the modulo-aliasing error term in (19). Hence,
 7 our scheme is not *capacity achieving* in the usual sense. However, it can get
 8 close to capacity in the sense of reducing the capacity gap using a very short
 9 block length, typically $N \approx 20$ in the examples presented. To the best of
 10 our knowledge, FEC schemes require a block length typically larger by two
 11 order of magnitudes to reach the same gap at the same error probability.
 12 Consequently, the encoding delay of our scheme is also markedly lower than
 13 that of competing FEC schemes. Alternatively, compared to a minimal delay
 14 uncoded system under the same error probability, our scheme operates at a
 15 much lower capacity gap for a wide regime of settings, and hence can be
 16 significantly more power efficient.

17 Another important issue is that of encoding and decoding complexity.
 18 Our proposed scheme applies only a two multiplications and one modulo
 19 operation at each terminal in each interaction round. This is markedly lower
 20 than the encoding/decoding complexity of FEC, even if non-optimal methods
 21 such as iterative decoding are employed.

1 We claim

- 2 1. A method for receiving and decoding a message, the method comprises:
- 3 • receiving, by a second terminal, a first received signal; wherein the first
- 4 received signal represents a first transmitted signal that was transmitted from a
- 5 first terminal and propagated over a noisy feedforward channel; wherein the
- 6 first transmitted signal represents a portion of a message ;
- 7 • calculating, by the second terminal, a first estimate of the portion of the
- 8 message;
- 9 • calculating, by the second terminal, a representation of the first estimate;
- 10 wherein the calculating comprises applying a second modulo function;
- 11 • transmitting, by the second terminal, over a feedback channel, the
- 12 representation of the first estimate;
- 13 • repeating, for each iteration out of multiple iterations, the steps of:
- 14 receiving, by a second terminal, a current received signal; wherein the
- 15 current received signal represents an estimated error; wherein the estimated
- 16 error was calculated by the first terminal in response to an outcome of a
- 17 previous iteration and comprises applying a first modulo function;
- 18 calculating, by the second terminal, and in response to the current
- 19 received signal, a current estimate of the message;
- 20 calculating, by the second terminal, a representation of the current
- 21 estimate; wherein the calculating comprises applying the second modulo
- 22 function; and
- 23 transmitting, by the second terminal and over the feedback channel, a
- 24 representation of the current estimate; and
- 25 • estimating the portion of the message, by the second terminal, and after a
- 26 completion of the multiple iterations, wherein the estimating of the message is
- 27 responsive to at least one outcome of at least one iteration.
- 28 2. The method according to claim 1 wherein the calculating of each
- 29 representation of the current estimate comprises calculating a scaled version of the
- 30 current estimate modulo a fixed interval.
- 31 3. The method according to claim 1 wherein the calculating the scaled version of
- 32 the current estimate modulo the fixed interval is responsive to power constraints.

- 1 4. The method according to claim 1 wherein the calculating of each
2 representation of the current estimate comprises calculating a sum of a dither signal
3 and the current estimate and applying the second modulo operation on the sum.
- 4 5. The method according to claim 1 wherein the first transmitted signal is pulse
5 amplitude modulated.
- 6 6. The method according to claim 1 wherein the first transmitted signal is
7 quadrature amplitude modulated.
- 8 7. The method according to claim 1 wherein the first transmitted signal is pulse
9 position modulated.
- 10 8. The method according to claim 1 wherein the first transmitted signal is phase
11 shift keying modulated.
- 12 9. The method according to claim 1 wherein the first transmitted signal is
13 continuous phase modulated
- 14 10. The method according to claim 1 wherein the estimating of the portion of the
15 message comprises applying an unmasking function, the unmasking function reverses
16 a masking function applied by the first terminal on the portion of the message.
- 17 11. The method according to claim 10 wherein the applying of the unmasking
18 function comprises subtracting a known content.
- 19 12. The method according to claim 10 wherein the applying of the unmasking
20 function comprises subtracting a content that is generated by a predefined process
21 known to the first and second terminals.
- 22 13. A method for receiving and decoding a message, the method comprises:
23 • receiving, by a second terminal, a first received signal; wherein the first
24 received signal represents a first transmitted signal that was transmitted from a
25 first terminal and propagated over a noisy feedforward channel; wherein the
26 first transmitted signal is a dummy signal;
27 • calculating, by the second terminal, a first estimate of the dummy signal;
28 • calculating, by the second terminal, a representation of the first estimate; of
29 the dummy signal wherein the calculating comprises applying a second
30 modulo function;
31 • transmitting, by the second terminal, over a feedback channel, the
32 representation of the first estimate;
33 • repeating, for each iteration out of multiple iterations, the steps of:

- 1 receiving, by a second terminal, a current received signal;
2 wherein the current received signal represents an estimated error;
3 wherein the estimated error was calculated by the first terminal in
4 response to an outcome of a pervious iteration and in response to a
5 portion of a message and comprises applying a first modulo function;
6 calculating, by the second terminal, and in response to the
7 current received signal, a current estimate of the message;
8 calculating, by the second terminal, a representation of the
9 current estimate; wherein the calculating comprises applying the
10 second modulo function; and
11 transmitting, by the second terminal and over the feedback
12 channel, a representation of the current estimate; and
13 • estimating the portion of the message, by the second terminal, and after a
14 completion of the multiple iterations, wherein the estimating of the message is
15 responsive to at least one outcome of at least one iteration.
- 16 14. The method according to claim 13 wherein the dummy signal has a content of
17 the portion of the message and an intensity that does not exceed a noise level of the
18 feedforward channel.
- 19 15. The method according to claim 13 wherein the dummy signal has a content
20 that is set regardless of a content of the portion of the message.
- 21 16. The method according to claim 13 wherein the first transmitted signal is
22 quadrature amplitude modulated.
- 23 17. The method according to claim 13 wherein the first transmitted signal is pulse
24 position modulated.
- 25 18. The method according to claim 13 wherein the first transmitted signal is phase
26 shift keying modulated.
- 27 19. The method according to claim 13 wherein the first transmitted signal is
28 continuous phase modulated
- 29 20. The method according to claim 13 wherein the first transmitted signal is pulse
30 amplitude modulated.
- 31 21. A method for exchanging a message and decoding a message, the method
32 comprises:

- 1 • transmitting a transmitted signal, by a first terminal and over a noisy
- 2 feedforward channel; wherein the first transmitted signal represents a portion
- 3 of a message;
- 4 • receiving, by a second terminal, a first received signal; wherein the first
- 5 received signal represents the first transmitted signal;
- 6 • calculating, by the second terminal, a first estimate of the portion of the
- 7 message;
- 8 • calculating, by the second terminal, a representation of the first estimate;
- 9 wherein the calculating comprises applying a second modulo function;
- 10 • transmitting, by the second terminal, over a feedback channel, the
- 11 representation of the first estimate;
- 12 • repeating, for each iteration out of multiple iterations, the steps of:
- 13 calculating, by the first terminal, an estimated error in response to an
- 14 outcome of a previous iteration; wherein the calculating comprises applying a
- 15 first modulo function;
- 16 receiving, by a second terminal, a current received signal; wherein the
- 17 current received signal represents the estimated error;
- 18 calculating, by the second terminal, and in response to the current
- 19 received signal, a current estimate of the portion of the message;
- 20 calculating, by the second terminal, a representation of the current
- 21 estimate; wherein the calculating comprises applying the second modulo
- 22 function; and
- 23 transmitting, by the second terminal and over the feedback channel, a
- 24 representation of the current estimate; and
- 25 • estimating the portion of the message, by the second terminal, and after a
- 26 completion of the multiple iterations, wherein the estimating of the
- 27 message is responsive to at least one outcome of at least one iteration.
- 28 22. The method according to claim 21 wherein the first transmitted signal is
- 29 quadrature amplitude modulated.
- 30 23. The method according to claim 21 wherein the first transmitted signal is pulse
- 31 position modulated.
- 32 24. The method according to claim 21 wherein the first transmitted signal is phase
- 33 shift keying modulated.

- 1 25. The method according to claim 21 wherein the first transmitted signal is
2 continuous phase modulated.
- 3 26. The method according to claim 21 wherein the first transmitted signal is pulse
4 amplitude modulated.
- 5 27. The method according to claim 21 wherein the calculating of each
6 representation of the current estimate comprises calculating a scaled version of the
7 current estimate modulo a fixed interval.
- 8 28. The method according to claim 21 wherein the calculating the scaled version
9 of the current estimate modulo the fixed interval is responsive to power constraints.
- 10 29. The method according to claim 21 wherein the calculating of each
11 representation of the current estimate comprises calculating a sum of a dither signal
12 and the current estimate and applying the second modulo operation on the sum.
- 13 30. The method according to claim 21 comprising:
14 generating the first transmitted signal by the first terminal, wherein the
15 generating comprises applying a masking function by the first terminal on the portion
16 of the message; and
17 wherein the estimating the portion of the message, by the second terminal,
18 comprises applying an unmasking function.
- 19 31. The method according to claim 30 wherein the applying of the unmasking
20 function comprises subtracting a known content.
- 21 32. The method according to claim 30 wherein the applying of the unmasking
22 function comprises subtracting a content that is generated by a predefined process
23 known to the first and second terminals.
- 24 33. A method for exchanging a message and decoding a message, the method
25 comprises:
26 • transmitting a transmitted signal, by a first terminal and over a noisy
27 feedforward channel; wherein the first transmitted signal is a dummy signal;
28 • receiving, by a second terminal, a first received signal; wherein the first
29 received signal represents the first transmitted signal;
30 • calculating, by the second terminal, a first estimate of the dummy signal;
31 • calculating, by the second terminal, a representation of the first estimate;
32 wherein the calculating comprises applying a second modulo function;

- 1 • transmitting, by the second terminal, over a feedback channel, the
2 representation of the first estimate;
- 3 • repeating, for each iteration out of multiple iterations, the steps of:
4 calculating, by the first terminal, an estimated error in response to an
5 outcome of a previous iteration and in response to a content of a portion of a
6 message; wherein the calculating comprises applying a first modulo function;
7 receiving, by a second terminal, a current received signal; wherein the
8 current received signal represents the estimated error;
9 calculating, by the second terminal, and in response to the current
10 received signal, a current estimate of the portion of the message;
11 calculating, by the second terminal, a representation of the current
12 estimate; wherein the calculating comprises applying the second modulo
13 function; and
14 transmitting, by the second terminal and over the feedback channel, a
15 representation of the current estimate; and
- 16 • estimating the portion of the message, by the second terminal, and after a
17 completion of the multiple iterations, wherein the estimating of the
18 message is responsive to at least one outcome of at least one iteration.
- 19 34. The method according to claim 33 wherein the first transmitted signal is
20 quadrature amplitude modulated.
- 21 35. The method according to claim 33 wherein the first transmitted signal is pulse
22 position modulated.
- 23 36. The method according to claim 33 wherein the first transmitted signal is phase
24 shift keying modulated.
- 25 37. The method according to claim 33 wherein the first transmitted signal is
26 continuous phase modulated
- 27 38. The method according to claim 33 wherein the first transmitted signal is pulse
28 amplitude modulated.
- 29 39. A method for transmitting a message, the method comprises:
30 • transmitting, by a first terminal and over a noisy feedforward channel, a first
31 transmitted signal, thereby allowing the second terminal to receive a first
32 received signal; wherein the first transmitted signal represents a portion of a
33 message ;

- 1 • receiving, by the first terminal, a second received signal, wherein the second
2 received signal results from a propagation, over a noisy feedback channel, of a
3 representation of a first estimate of at the least portion of the message; wherein
4 the representation of the first estimate is calculated by the second terminal,
5 wherein the calculation of the representation of the first estimate comprises
6 applying a second modulo function;
- 7 • repeating, for each iteration out of multiple iterations, the steps of:
8 calculating, by the first terminal, an estimated error, wherein the
9 calculating is responsive to an outcome of a pervious iteration and comprises
10 applying a first modulo function;
11 transmitting the estimate error to the second terminal over the noisy
12 feedforward channel; and
13 receiving, by the first terminal and over the feedback channel, a
14 representation of the current estimate.
- 15 40. The method according to claim 39, wherein the calculating of the estimated
16 error comprises calculating a scaled version of the current estimate modulo a fixed
17 interval.
- 18 41. The method according to claim 39 wherein the calculating the scaled version
19 of the current estimate modulo the fixed interval is responsive to power constraints.
- 20 42. The method according to claim 39 wherein the calculating of each estimate
21 error comprises calculating a sum of a dither signal and the outcome of the pervious
22 iteration and applying the first modulo operation on the sum.
- 23 43. The method according to claim 39 wherein the first transmitted signal is
24 quadrature amplitude modulated.
- 25 44. The method according to claim 39 wherein the first transmitted signal is pulse
26 position modulated.
- 27 45. The method according to claim 39 wherein the first transmitted signal is phase
28 shift keying modulated.
- 29 46. The method according to claim 39 wherein the first transmitted signal is
30 continuous phase modulated
- 31 47. The method according to claim 39 wherein the first transmitted signal is pulse
32 amplitude modulated.

1 48. The method according to claim 39 comprising generating the first transmitted
2 signal by applying a masking function on the portion of the message.

3 49. The method according to claim 48 wherein the applying of the masking
4 function comprises adding a known content.

5 50. The method according to claim 48 wherein the applying of the masking
6 function comprises adding a content that is generated by a predefined process known
7 to the first and second terminals.

8 51. A non-transitory computer readable medium that stores instructions that once
9 executed by a second terminal, causes the second terminal to perform the steps of:
10 receiving, by a second terminal, a first received signal; wherein the first received
11 signal represents a first transmitted signal that was transmitted from a first terminal
12 and propagated over a noisy feedforward channel; wherein the first transmitted signal
13 represents a portion of a message; calculating, by the second terminal, a first estimate
14 of the portion of the message; calculating, by the second terminal, a representation of
15 the first estimate; wherein the calculating comprises applying a second modulo
16 function; transmitting, by the second terminal, over a feedback channel, the
17 representation of the first estimate; repeating, for each iteration out of multiple
18 iterations, the steps of: receiving, by a second terminal, a current received signal;
19 wherein the current received signal represents an estimated error; wherein the
20 estimated error was calculated by the first terminal in response to an outcome of a
21 pervious iteration and comprises applying a first modulo function; calculating, by the
22 second terminal, and in response to the current received signal, a current estimate of
23 the message; calculating, by the second terminal, a representation of the current
24 estimate; wherein the calculating comprises applying the second modulo function; and
25 transmitting, by the second terminal and over the feedback channel, a representation
26 of the current estimate; and estimating the portion of the message, by the second
27 terminal, and after a completion of the multiple iterations, wherein the estimating of
28 the message is responsive to at least one outcome of at least one iteration.

29 52. A non-transitory computer readable medium that stores instructions that once
30 executed by a second terminal, causes the second terminal to perform the steps of:
31 receiving, by a second terminal, a first received signal; wherein the first received
32 signal represents a first transmitted signal that was transmitted from a first terminal
33 and propagated over a noisy feedforward channel; wherein the first transmitted signal
34 is a dummy signal; calculating, by the second terminal, a first estimate of the dummy

1 signal; calculating, by the second terminal, a representation of the first estimate; of the
2 dummy signal wherein the calculating comprises applying a second modulo function;
3 transmitting, by the second terminal, over a feedback channel, the representation of
4 the first estimate; repeating, for each iteration out of multiple iterations, the steps of:
5 receiving, by a second terminal, a current received signal; wherein the current
6 received signal represents an estimated error; wherein the estimated error was
7 calculated by the first terminal in response to an outcome of a pervious iteration and
8 in response to a portion of a message and comprises applying a first modulo function;
9 calculating, by the second terminal, and in response to the current received signal, a
10 current estimate of the message; calculating, by the second terminal, a representation
11 of the current estimate; wherein the calculating comprises applying the second
12 modulo function; and transmitting, by the second terminal and over the feedback
13 channel, a representation of the current estimate; and estimating the portion of the
14 message, by the second terminal, and after a completion of the multiple iterations,
15 wherein the estimating of the message is responsive to at least one outcome of at least
16 one iteration.

17 53. A non-transitory computer readable medium that stores instructions that once
18 executed by a first terminal and a second terminal, causes the first terminal and the
19 second terminal to perform the steps of: transmitting a transmitted signal, by a first
20 terminal and over a noisy feedforward channel; wherein the first transmitted signal
21 represents a portion of a message; receiving, by a second terminal, a first received
22 signal; wherein the first received signal represents the first transmitted signal;
23 calculating, by the second terminal, a first estimate of the portion of the message;
24 calculating, by the second terminal, a representation of the first estimate; wherein the
25 calculating comprises applying a second modulo function; transmitting, by the
26 second terminal, over a feedback channel, the representation of the first estimate;
27 repeating, for each iteration out of multiple iterations, the steps of: calculating, by the
28 first terminal, an estimated error in response to an outcome of a previous iteration;
29 wherein the calculating comprises applying a first modulo function; receiving, by a
30 second terminal, a current received signal; wherein the current received signal
31 represents the estimated error; calculating, by the second terminal, and in response to
32 the current received signal, a current estimate of the portion of the message;
33 calculating, by the second terminal, a representation of the current estimate; wherein
34 the calculating comprises applying the second modulo function; and transmitting, by

1 the second terminal and over the feedback channel, a representation of the current
2 estimate; and estimating the portion of the message, by the second terminal, and after
3 a completion of the multiple iterations, wherein the estimating of the message is
4 responsive to at least one outcome of at least one iteration.

5 54. A non-transitory computer readable medium that stores instructions that once
6 executed by a first terminal and a second terminal, causes the first terminal and the
7 second terminal to perform the steps of: transmitting a transmitted signal, by a first
8 terminal and over a noisy feedforward channel; wherein the first transmitted signal is
9 a dummy signal; receiving, by a second terminal, a first received signal; wherein the
10 first received signal represents the first transmitted signal; calculating, by the second
11 terminal, a first estimate of the dummy signal; calculating, by the second terminal, a
12 representation of the first estimate; wherein the calculating comprises applying a
13 second modulo function; transmitting, by the second terminal, over a feedback
14 channel, the representation of the first estimate; repeating, for each iteration out of
15 multiple iterations, the steps of: calculating, by the first terminal, an estimated error in
16 response to an outcome of a previous iteration and in response to a content of a
17 portion of a message; wherein the calculating comprises applying a first modulo
18 function; receiving, by a second terminal, a current received signal; wherein the
19 current received signal represents the estimated error; calculating, by the second
20 terminal, and in response to the current received signal, a current estimate of the
21 portion of the message; calculating, by the second terminal, a representation of the
22 current estimate; wherein the calculating comprises applying the second modulo
23 function; and transmitting, by the second terminal and over the feedback channel, a
24 representation of the current estimate; and estimating the portion of the message, by
25 the second terminal, and after a completion of the multiple iterations, wherein the
26 estimating of the message is responsive to at least one outcome of at least one
27 iteration.

28 55. A non-transitory computer readable medium that stores instructions that once
29 executed by a first terminal, causes the first terminal to perform the steps of:
30 transmitting, by a first terminal and over a noisy feedforward channel, a first
31 transmitted signal, thereby allowing the second terminal to receive a first received
32 signal; wherein the first transmitted signal represents a portion of a message;
33 receiving, by the first terminal, a second received signal, wherein the second received
34 signal results from a propagation, over a noisy feedback channel, of a representation

1 of a first estimate of at the least portion of the message; wherein the representation of
2 the first estimate is calculated by the second terminal, wherein the calculation of the
3 representation of the first estimate comprises applying a second modulo function;
4 repeating, for each iteration out of multiple iterations, the steps of: calculating, by the
5 first terminal, an estimated error, wherein the calculating is responsive to an outcome
6 of a pervious iteration and comprises applying a first modulo function; transmitting
7 the estimate error to the second terminal over the noisy feedforward channel; and
8 receiving, by the first terminal and over the feedback channel, a representation of the
9 current estimate.

10 56. A second terminal that comprises a processor , a receiver and a transmitter,
11 wherein the receiver is configured to receive a first received signal; wherein the first
12 received signal represents a first transmitted signal that was transmitted from a first
13 terminal and propagated over a noisy feedforward channel; wherein the first
14 transmitted signal represents a portion of a message; wherein the processor is
15 configured to calculate a first estimate of the portion of the message; calculate a repr-
16 esentation of the first estimate; wherein the calculating comprises applying a second
17 modulo function; wherein the transmitter is configured to transmit over a feedback
18 channel, the representation of the first estimate; wherein the second terminal is
19 configured to repeat, for each iteration out of multiple iterations, the steps of:
20 receiving, by the receiver, a current received signal; wherein the current received
21 signal represents an estimated error; wherein the estimated error was calculated by the
22 first terminal in response to an outcome of a pervious iteration and comprises
23 applying a first modulo function; calculating, by the processor, and in response to the
24 current received signal, a current estimate of the message; calculating, by the
25 processor, a representation of the current estimate; wherein the calculating comprises
26 applying the second modulo function; and transmitting, by the transmitter and over
27 the feedback channel, a representation of the current estimate; wherein the processor
28 is further configured to estimate the portion of the message after a completion of the
29 multiple iterations, wherein the estimating of the message is responsive to at least one
30 outcome of at least one iteration.

31 57. A second terminal that comprises a processor , a receiver and a transmitter,
32 wherein the receiver is configured to receive a first received signal; wherein the first
33 received signal represents a first transmitted signal that was transmitted from a first
34 terminal and propagated over a noisy feedforward channel; wherein the first

1 transmitted signal is a dummy signal; wherein the processor is configured to calculate
2 a first estimate of the dummy signal, and calculate a representation of the first
3 estimate; of the dummy signal wherein the calculating comprises applying a second
4 modulo function; wherein the transmitter is configured to transmit, over a feedback
5 channel, the representation of the first estimate; wherein the second terminal is
6 configured to repeat, for each iteration out of multiple iterations, the steps of:
7 receiving, by a second terminal, a current received signal; wherein the current
8 received signal represents an estimated error; wherein the estimated error was
9 calculated by the first terminal in response to an outcome of a pervious iteration and
10 in response to a portion of a message and comprises applying a first modulo function;
11 calculating, by the second terminal, and in response to the current received signal, a
12 current estimate of the message; calculating, by the second terminal, a representation
13 of the current estimate; wherein the calculating comprises applying the second
14 modulo function; and transmitting, by the second terminal and over the feedback
15 channel, a representation of the current estimate; and wherein the processor is
16 configured to estimate the portion of the message after a completion of the multiple
17 iterations, wherein the estimating of the message is responsive to at least one outcome
18 of at least one iteration.

19 58. A system comprising a first terminal and a second terminal, wherein the first
20 terminal comprises a first processor, a first receiver and a first transmitter; wherein
21 the second terminal comprises a second processor, a second receiver and a second
22 transmitter; wherein the first transmitter is configured to transmit a transmitted signal
23 over a noisy feedforward channel; wherein the first transmitted signal represents a
24 portion of a message; wherein the second receiver is configured to receive a first
25 received signal; wherein the first received signal represents the first transmitted
26 signal; wherein the second processor is configured to calculate a first estimate of the
27 portion of the message and to calculate a representation of the first estimate; wherein
28 the calculating comprises applying a second modulo function; wherein the second
29 transmitter is configured to transmit, over a feedback channel, the representation of
30 the first estimate; wherein the first and second terminals are configured to repeat, for
31 each iteration out of multiple iterations, the steps of: calculating, by the first
32 processor, an estimated error in response to an outcome of a previous iteration;
33 wherein the calculating comprises applying a first modulo function; receiving, by a
34 second receiver, a current received signal; wherein the current received signal

1 represents the estimated error; calculating, by the second processor, and in response
2 to the current received signal, a current estimate of the portion of the message;
3 calculating, by the second processor, a representation of the current estimate; wherein
4 the calculating comprises applying the second modulo function; and transmitting, by
5 the second transmitter and over the feedback channel, a representation of the current
6 estimate; wherein the second processor is configured to estimate the portion of the
7 message, after a completion of the multiple iterations, wherein the estimating of the
8 message is responsive to at least one outcome of at least one iteration.

9 59. A system comprising a first terminal and a second terminal, wherein the first
10 terminal comprises a first processor, a first receiver and a first transmitter; wherein
11 the second terminal comprises a second processor, a second receiver and a second
12 transmitter; wherein the first transmitter is configured to transmit a transmitted signal
13 over a noisy feedforward channel; wherein the first transmitted signal is a dummy
14 signal; wherein the second receiver is configured to receive a first received signal;
15 wherein the first received signal represents the first transmitted signal; wherein the
16 second processor is configured to calculate a first estimate of the dummy signal and to
17 calculate a representation of the first estimate; wherein the calculating comprises
18 applying a second modulo function; wherein the second transmitter is configured to
19 transmit, over a feedback channel, the representation of the first estimate; wherein the
20 first and second terminals are configured to repeat, for each iteration out of multiple
21 iterations, the steps of: calculating, by the first processor, an estimated error in
22 response to an outcome of a previous iteration and in response to a content of a
23 portion of a message; wherein the calculating comprises applying a first modulo
24 function; receiving, by a second receiver, a current received signal; wherein the
25 current received signal represents the estimated error; calculating, by the second
26 processor, and in response to the current received signal, a current estimate of the
27 portion of the message; calculating, by the second processor, a representation of the
28 current estimate; wherein the calculating comprises applying the second modulo
29 function; and transmitting, by the second transmitter and over the feedback channel, a
30 representation of the current estimate; wherein the second processor is configured to
31 estimate the portion of the message, after a completion of the multiple iterations,
32 wherein the estimating of the message is responsive to at least one outcome of at least
33 one iteration.

1 60. A first terminal that comprises a first processor, a first receiver and a first
2 transmitter; wherein the transmitter is configured to transmit over a noisy
3 feedforward channel, a first transmitted signal, thereby allowing the second terminal
4 to receive a first received signal; wherein the first transmitted signal represents a
5 portion of a message; wherein the receiver is configured to receive a second received
6 signal, wherein the second received signal results from a propagation, over a noisy
7 feedback channel, of a representation of a first estimate of at the least portion of the
8 message; wherein the representation of the first estimate is calculated by the second
9 terminal, wherein the calculation of the representation of the first estimate comprises
10 applying a second modulo function; wherein the first terminal is configured to repeat,
11 for each iteration out of multiple iterations, the steps of: calculating, by the first
12 processor, an estimated error, wherein the calculating is responsive to an outcome of a
13 previous iteration and comprises applying a first modulo function; transmitting by the
14 transmitter the estimate error to the second terminal over the noisy feedforward
15 channel; and receiving, by the receiver and over the feedback channel, a
16 representation of the current estimate.
17




FIG. 1




FIG. 2

3/10




FIG. 3

4/10




FIG. 4




FIG. 5




FIG. 6


100

FIG. 7

8/10


200

FIG. 8

9/10


600

FIG. 9

10/10


700

FIG. 10

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/IL2015/050762

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC (2015.01) H04L 25/02, H04B 1/10 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC		
B. FIELDS SEARCHED Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) IPC (2015.01) H04L 25/02, H04B 1/10 Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) Databases consulted: Google Scholar, PatBase		
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US 20050052991 A1 10 Mar 2005 (2005/03/10) par. 0034, 0033, 0036, fig. 1	1-60
A	US 20060233265 A1 19 Oct 2006 (2006/10/19) abstract, the whole doc.	1-60
☐ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. ☒ See patent family annex.		
* Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art "&" document member of the same patent family		
Date of the actual completion of the international search 29 Oct 2015		Date of mailing of the international search report 10 Nov 2015
Name and mailing address of the ISA: Israel Patent Office Technology Park, Bldg.5, Malcha, Jerusalem, 9695101, Israel Facsimile No. 972-2-5651616		Authorized officer RUSS Eran Telephone No. 972-2-5651701

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT
Information on patent family members

International application No.
PCT/IL2015/050762

Patent document cited search report			Publication date	Patent family member(s)			Publication Date
US	20050052991	A1	10 Mar 2005	US	2005052991	A1	10 Mar 2005
				US	8908496	B2	09 Dec 2014
				AR	045622	A1	02 Nov 2005
				AR	047069	A1	04 Jan 2006
				AT	463894	T	15 Apr 2010
				AT	480061	T	15 Sep 2010
				AU	2004303128	A1	17 Mar 2005
				AU	2004303128	B2	28 Jan 2010
				AU	2004303128	C1	02 Sep 2010
				BR	PI0414188	A	31 Oct 2006
				CA	2538057	A1	17 Mar 2005
				CA	2538057	C	08 Jul 2014
				CN	101142774	A	12 Mar 2008
				CN	101142774	B	21 Nov 2012
				CN	101917257	A	15 Dec 2010
				CN	101917257	B	24 Apr 2013
				CN	101917262	A	15 Dec 2010
				CN	101917262	B	23 Jan 2013
				DE	602004026491	D1	20 May 2010
				DE	602004028947	D1	14 Oct 2010
				EP	1665602	A2	07 Jun 2006
				EP	1665602	A4	26 Mar 2008
				EP	1665602	B1	01 Sep 2010
				EP	1959600	A1	20 Aug 2008
				EP	1959600	B1	07 Apr 2010
				EP	2146455	A2	20 Jan 2010
				EP	2146455	A3	08 Aug 2012
				EP	2146456	A2	20 Jan 2010
				EP	2146456	A3	01 Aug 2012
				ES	2342444	T3	06 Jul 2010
				ES	2349742	T3	11 Jan 2011

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT
Information on patent family members

International application No.
PCT/IL2015/050762

Patent document cited search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication Date
		HK 1112339 A1	23 Aug 2013
		HK 1125756 A1	03 Dec 2010
		IL 174142 D0	01 Aug 2006
		JP 2007509511 A	12 Apr 2007
		JP 4741495 B2	03 Aug 2011
		JP 2010252365 A	04 Nov 2010
		JP 5204152 B2	05 Jun 2013
		JP 2010252366 A	04 Nov 2010
		JP 5280404 B2	04 Sep 2013
		KR 20100082385 A	16 Jul 2010
		KR 101280734 B1	01 Jul 2013
		KR 20060121867 A	29 Nov 2006
		KR 101285901 B1	12 Jul 2013
		KR 20100090793 A	17 Aug 2010
		MX PA06002662 A	05 Jun 2006
		RU 2006111513 A	27 Oct 2007
		RU 2369021 C2	27 Sep 2009
		RU 2009120027 A	10 Dec 2010
		RU 2502197 C2	20 Dec 2013
		TW 200522566 A	01 Jul 2005
		TW I353129 B	21 Nov 2011
		TW 201042936 A	01 Dec 2010
		TW I426724 B	11 Feb 2014
		TW 201042935 A	01 Dec 2010
		TW I427947 B	21 Feb 2014
		WO 2005025117 A2	17 Mar 2005
		WO 2005025117 A3	29 Mar 2007
US 20060233265 A1	19 Oct 2006	US 2006233265 A1	19 Oct 2006
		CN 101185276 A	21 May 2008
		EP 1869813 A2	26 Dec 2007

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No.

PCT/IL2015/050762

Patent document cited search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication Date
		WO 2006109123 A2	19 Oct 2006
		WO 2006109123 A3	07 Dec 2006