



(51) International Patent Classification:

A61N 1/39 (2006.01) A61N 1/04 (2006.01)
A61B 5/0452 (2006.01) A61B 5/0408 (2006.01)
G06K 9/00 (2006.01)

(21) International Application Number:

PCT/US2012/030433

(22) International Filing Date:

23 March 2012 (23.03.2012)

(25) Filing Language:

English

(26) Publication Language:

English

(30) Priority Data:

61/467,599 25 March 2011 (25.03.2011) US

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): **ZOLL MEDICAL CORPORATION** [US/US]; 269 Mill Road, Chelmsford, MA 01824 (US).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): **VOLPE, Shane, S.** [US/US]; 645 Oak Lane, Saltsburg, PA 15681 (US).

KAIB, Thomas, E. [US/US]; 2810 Ipnar Road, North Huntingdon, PA 15642 (US).

(74) Agent: **MCGINNIS, Thomas, J.**; Lando & Anastasi LLP, Riverfront Office Park, One Main Street, Suite 1100, Cambridge, MA 02142 (US).

(81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM, AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KM, KN, KP, KR, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, QA, RO, RS, RU, RW, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, RW, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU,

[Continued on next page]

(54) Title: SELECTION OF OPTIMAL CHANNEL FOR RATE DETERMINATION




FIG. 4

(57) Abstract: According to at least one example, an ambulatory medical device is provided. The device includes a plurality of electrodes disposed at spaced apart positions about a patient's body and a control unit. The control unit includes a sensor interface, a memory and a processor. The sensor interface is coupled to the plurality of electrodes and configured to receive a first ECG signal from a first pairing of the plurality of electrodes and to receive a second ECG signal from a second pairing of the plurality of electrodes. The memory stores information indicating a preferred pairing, the preferred pairing being either the first pairing or the second pairing. The processor is coupled to the sensor interface and the memory and is configured to resolve conflicts between interpretations of first ECG signal and the second ECG signal in favor of the preferred pairing.



TJ, TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, RS, SE, SI, SK, SM, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

— *with international search report (Art. 21(3))*

SELECTION OF OPTIMAL CHANNEL FOR RATE DETERMINATION

RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application claims priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) to U.S. Provisional
5 Application Serial No. 61/467,599, entitled "SELECTION OF OPTIMAL
CHANNEL FOR RATE DETERMINATION," filed on March 25, 2011, which is
hereby incorporated herein by reference in its entirety.

BACKGROUND

10 **Technical Field**

Examples disclosed herein relate generally to the detection of cardiac function
in a patient, and more particularly to the detection of cardiac function and the
treatment of cardiac conditions in an ambulatory medical device, such as a wearable
defibrillator.

15

Discussion

With a wearable defibrillator worn by an ambulatory patient, the patient's
electrocardiogram (ECG) signal is obtained from body surface electrodes.
Determining the true characteristics of an ambulatory patient's cardiac cycle based on
20 an ECG signal in this manner can be difficult. Electrical noise and electrode fall-off
frequently degrade the quality of the ECG signal. In addition, the characteristics of
ECG signals vary from patient to patient due to factors such as the patient's state of
health, individual physiology, and electrode positions on the body surface.

Known ambulatory wearable defibrillators, such as the LifeVest® Wearable
25 Cardioverter Defibrillator available from Zoll Medical Corporation of Chelmsford,
Massachusetts, use four ECG sensing electrodes in a dual-channel configuration.
That is, an electrical signal provided by one of the four ECG sensing electrodes is
paired with the electrical signal provided by another of the four ECG sensing
electrodes to form a channel. This arrangement of ECG sensing electrodes is usually
30 suitable because in most cases it is rare that noise or electrode movement affects the
entire body circumference. The dual-channel configuration provides redundancy and

allows the system to operate on a single channel if necessary. Because signal quality also varies from patient to patient, having two channels provides the opportunity to have improved signal pickup, since the ECG sensing electrodes are located in different body positions.

5

SUMMARY

Examples disclosed herein are directed to a wearable medical device that monitors ECG signals received on a plurality of channels and interprets the ECG signals according to a set of preferences. This set of preferences indicates which
10 channels and detection methods are more likely to provide accurate results for the patient wearing the wearable medical device. By interpreting ECG signals according to the set of preferences, the wearable medical device decreases the frequency of falsely detected cardiac malfunctions.

According to one example, an ambulatory medical device is provided. The
15 device includes a plurality of electrodes disposed at spaced apart positions about a patient's body and a control unit. The control unit includes a sensor interface coupled to the plurality of electrodes and configured to receive a first ECG signal from a first pairing of the plurality of electrodes and to receive a second ECG signal from a second pairing of the plurality of electrodes, a memory storing information indicating
20 a preferred pairing, the preferred pairing being either the first pairing or the second pairing and a processor coupled to the sensor interface and the memory and configured to resolve conflicts between interpretations of first ECG signal and the second ECG signal in favor of the preferred pairing. In some examples, the first pairing includes electrodes that are distinct from the electrodes included in the second
25 pairing. In other examples, one of the plurality of electrodes is common between the first and second pairings. The device may include a plurality of electrodes that are integrated into a garment that is worn about a patient's body. In addition, the interpretations of the ECG signals may detect heartbeats.

According to another example, a method of monitoring ECG signals is
30 provided. In some examples, the method is executed by an ambulatory medical device as described herein. The method includes acts of determining a first

interpretation of a first ECG signal provided by a first channel of the plurality of channels, determining a second interpretation of a second ECG signal provided by a second channel of the plurality of channels, determining which one of the first channel and the second channel provides a more reliable ECG signal and resolving a conflict between the first interpretation and the second interpretation based upon which of the first channel and the second channel is determined to provide the more reliable ECG signal.

According to at least one example, an ambulatory medical device is provided. The device includes a plurality of electrodes disposed at spaced apart positions about a patient's body and a control unit. The control unit includes a sensor interface, a memory and a processor. The sensor interface is coupled to the plurality of electrodes and configured to receive a first ECG signal from a first pairing of the plurality of electrodes and to receive a second ECG signal from a second pairing of the plurality of electrodes. The memory stores information indicating a preferred pairing, the preferred pairing being either the first pairing or the second pairing. The processor is coupled to the sensor interface and the memory and is configured to resolve conflicts between interpretations of first ECG signal and the second ECG signal in favor of the preferred pairing.

The device may further comprise a garment that is configured to be worn about the patient's body. The plurality of electrodes may be integrated into the garment. The plurality of electrodes may include adhesive electrodes. In some examples, the interpretations of the ECG signals may detect heartbeats.

In the device, the information indicating the preferred pairing may include information indicating a first heart rate detection method preferred for the first pairing and a second heart rate detection method preferred for the second pairing. The processor may be further configured to interpret the first ECG signal using the first heart rate detection method and interpret the second ECG signal using the second heart rate detection method.

In the device, the processor may be further configured to determine a first confidence level for the first pairing, determine a second confidence level for the second pairing, determine the preferred pairing with reference to the first confidence

level and the second confidence level and store the information indicating the preferred pairing in the memory. In addition, the processor may be configured to determine the first confidence level by comparing the first ECG signal to benchmark information. The benchmark information may reflect a particular patient's normal
5 rhythm. In addition, the processor may be configured to compare the first ECG signal to the benchmark information by comparing a morphology of the first ECG signal to a morphology indicated within the benchmark information.

According to another example, a method of monitoring ECG signals using an ambulatory medical device is provided. The method includes acts of receiving, by the
10 ambulatory medical device, a first ECG signal from a first pairing of a plurality of electrodes, receiving a second ECG signal from a second pairing of the plurality of electrodes and resolving conflicts between interpretations of first ECG signal and the second ECG signal in favor of a preferred pairing being either the first pairing or the second pairing.

15 In the method, the act of resolving the conflicts between the interpretations may include resolving conflicts between interpretations that detect heartbeats. The method may further comprise acts of interpreting the first ECG signal using a first heart rate detection method and interpreting the second ECG signal using a second heart rate detection method. The first heart rate detection method may be different
20 from the second heart rate detection method.

The method may further comprise acts of determining a first confidence level for the first pairing, determining a second confidence level for the second pairing and determining the preferred pairing with reference to the first confidence level and the second confidence level. In the method, the act of determining the first confidence
25 level may include an act of comparing the first ECG signal to benchmark information. The act of comparing the first ECG signal to the benchmark information may include comparing the first ECG signal to benchmark information that reflects a particular patient's normal rhythm. In addition, the act of comparing the first ECG signal to the benchmark information may include comparing a morphology of the first ECG signal
30 to a morphology indicated within the benchmark information.

In another example, a non-transitory computer readable medium having stored thereon sequences of instruction for monitoring ECG signals is provided. The instructions instruct at least one processor to receive a first ECG signal from a first pairing of the plurality of electrodes, receive a second ECG signal from a second pairing of the plurality of electrodes and resolve conflicts between interpretations of first ECG signal and the second ECG signal in favor of a preferred pairing being either the first pairing or the second pairing.

Furthermore, the instructions may further instruct the at least one processor to determine a first confidence level for the first pairing, determine a second confidence level for the second pairing and determine the preferred pairing with reference to the first confidence level and the second confidence level. The instructions that instruct the at least one processor to determine the first confidence level may include instructions that instruct the at least one processor to compare the first ECG signal to benchmark information. The instructions that instruct the at least one processor to compare the first ECG signal to the benchmark information may include instructions that instruct the at least one processor to compare a morphology of the first ECG signal to a morphology indicated within the benchmark information.

Still other aspects, examples, and advantages of these exemplary aspects and examples, are discussed in detail below. Moreover, it is to be understood that both the foregoing information and the following detailed description are merely illustrative examples of various aspects, and are intended to provide an overview or framework for understanding the nature and character of the claimed subject matter. References to “an example,” “some examples,” “an alternate example,” “various examples,” “one example,” “at least one example,” “this and other examples” or the like are not necessarily mutually exclusive and are intended to indicate that a particular feature, structure, or characteristic described in connection with the example may be included in that example and other examples. The appearances of such terms herein are not necessarily all referring to the same example.

Furthermore, in the event of inconsistent usages of terms between this document and documents incorporate herein by reference, the term usage in the incorporated references is supplementary to that of this document; for irreconcilable

inconsistencies, the term usage in this document controls. In addition, the accompanying drawings are included to provide illustration and a further understanding of the various aspects and examples, and are incorporated in and constitute a part of this specification. The drawings, together with the remainder of
5 the specification, serve to explain principles and operations of the described and claimed aspects and examples.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF DRAWINGS

The accompanying drawings are not intended to be drawn to scale. In the
10 drawings, components that are identical or nearly identical may be represented by a like numeral. For purposes of clarity, not every component is labeled in every drawing. In the drawings:

FIG. 1 is a functional schematic diagram of an exemplary control unit used to control electrode systems;

15 FIG. 2 is a flow chart of an exemplary process for configuring ECG signal processing preferences;

FIG. 3 is a schematic diagram of an ECG signal produced by a normal cardiac cycle;

FIG. 4 is a flow chart of an exemplary process for detecting cardiac function
20 from a portion of an ECG signal;

FIG. 5 is a flow chart of an exemplary process for interpreting ECG signals according to a set of ECG signal processing preferences; and

FIG. 6 is an exemplary ECG signal produced by an idiosyncratic cardiac cycle.
25

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

Examples disclosed herein manifest an appreciation that some patients regularly produce ECG signals that are highly idiosyncratic. In these instances, the electrical signals generated during these patient's cardiac cycles can cause
30 conventional heart rate detection methods to detect an erroneous number of heartbeats over a given period of time. These errors are particularly problematic to a wearable

medical device, such as a wearable defibrillator, because, within this context, a real-time determination of heart rate can be matter of life and death importance. For this reason, wearable medical devices that monitor heart rate tend to interpret ECG signals in a conservative light and err on the side of reporting potential arrhythmias or other cardiac malfunction to a patient, physician or others. An unfortunate side-effect of this approach is that, in some instances, patients are forced to regularly deal with falsely indicated cardiac malfunction.

The conventional heart rate detection methods mentioned above include derivative-based QRS detectors that detect heartbeats by identifying QRS complexes, which are representative of ventricular depolarization, within an ECG signal. Conventional derivative-based QRS detectors identify QRS complexes by comparing a slope of the ECG signal to established thresholds. For example, a conventional derivative-based QRS detector may compare a magnitude of the slope of the R wave portion of the QRS complex to a threshold, and if the magnitude exceeds the threshold, the QRS detector may indicate the occurrence of a heartbeat. Typically, such derivative-based QRS detectors are configured (via hardware or software) to the morphology of the heart, and the patient.

For instance, to prevent over counting, the QRS detector may be configured to detect an occurrence of a QRS complex only after a minimum amount of time has transpired after a prior QRS complex. In some examples this minimum amount of time, which is referred to herein as the “refractory period” or the “programmed refractory period,” is typically configured to be about 200 milliseconds. Moreover, the QRS detector may also be configured to use an adaptive threshold that is based upon prior samples of the patient’s ECG signal, to account for variations from one patient to another, or to changes in the patient’s medical condition. Upon identifying a QRS complex in this manner, conventional derivative-based QRS detectors indicate the occurrence of a heartbeat.

Given their reliance on slope, conventional derivative-based QRS detectors may double count heartbeats where a patient’s normal rhythm presents an elongated QRS complex or an abnormally sharp T wave. For example, if the QRS complex generated by the patient’s normal rhythm has a duration that exceeds the configured

refractory period of the QRS detector, the QRS detector may detect a heartbeat at the beginning of the QRS complex and, after the refractory period has expired, detect another heartbeat near the end of the QRS complex. In another example, if the amplitude of the ECG signal is small, the adaptive thresholds used by the QRS
5 detector may adjust to low values. In this situation, even a moderate spike in one of the other waves, such as the T wave, that occurs after expiration of the refractory period may result in a slope steep enough to cause the QRS detector to indicate the occurrence of a false heartbeat.

To address these difficulties, some exemplary wearable medical devices
10 disclosed herein process ECG signals from multiple channels according to a set of preference information that is tailored to fit the characteristics of the patient wearing the wearable medical device. The set of preference information may include, among other information, information indicating preferred channels and rate detection methods for the patient. For instance, in at least one example, a wearable medical
15 device processes ECG signals received via two channels, namely a front to back channel and a side to side channel, using one or more QRS rate detectors, which may include, for example, one or more conventional QRS rate detectors. According to this example, the wearable medical device resolves conflicts between these two channels in favor of a previously identified, preferred channel. Further, in some examples, the
20 set of preference information is automatically configured by the wearable medical device and continuously adjusted during its operation. For example, the set of preference information may be adjusted based on the current health of the patient, the activity of the patient, and the present locations of the electrodes relative to the patient's body.

The examples of the processes and apparatuses described herein are not
25 limited in application to the details of construction and the arrangement of components set forth in the following description or illustrated in the accompanying drawings. The methods and apparatuses are capable of implementation in other examples and of being practiced or of being carried out in various ways. Examples of
30 specific implementations are provided herein for illustrative purposes only and are not intended to be limiting. In particular, acts, elements and features discussed in

connection with any one or more examples are not intended to be excluded from a similar role in any other examples.

Also, the phraseology and terminology used herein is for the purpose of description and should not be regarded as limiting. Any references to examples or elements or acts of the systems and methods herein referred to in the singular may also embrace examples including a plurality of these elements, and any references in plural to any example or element or act herein may also embrace examples including only a single element. References in the singular or plural form are not intended to limit the presently disclosed systems or methods, their components, acts, or elements. The use herein of “including,” “comprising,” “having,” “containing,” “involving,” and variations thereof is meant to encompass the items listed thereafter and equivalents thereof as well as additional items. References to “or” may be construed as inclusive so that any terms described using “or” may indicate any of a single, more than one, and all of the described terms.

Electrode System

Co-pending Application Serial No. 13/109,382, entitled WEARABLE AMBULATORY MEDICAL DEVICE WITH MULTIPLE SENSING ELECTRODES, filed May 17, 2011 (hereinafter the “’382 application”), which is incorporated by reference herein in its entirety, describes an apparatus and method for processing ECG signals using one or more channels. With reference to FIGS. 1A and 1B, the ’382 application discloses an electrode system 100 that incorporates a control unit 30. As described with reference to FIG. 4 in the ’382 application, at least one example of the control unit 30 includes a processor 410, data storage 412, a sensor interface 414, a therapy delivery interface 416, a user interface 418 and a battery 420.

Some of the examples disclosed herein for processing ECG signals according to a set of preferences are implemented using the electrode system 100 disclosed in the ’382 application. FIG. 1 illustrates the control unit 30 of one such example. As shown in FIG. 1, the control unit 30 includes two additional components: a cardiac function analyzer 102 and preference information 104.

The cardiac function analyzer 102 is configured to analyze a portion of the ECG signal to configure preference information, such as the preference information 104, and to determine cardiac functions of the patient using the preference information. The cardiac function analyzer 102 may be implemented using hardware or a combination of hardware and software. For instance, in one example, the cardiac function analyzer 102 is implemented as a software component that is stored within the data storage 412 and executed by the processor 410. In this example, the instructions included in the cardiac function analyzer 102 program the processor 410 to configure preference information and determine the heart rate of the patient using the preference information. In other examples, the cardiac function analyzer 102 may be an application-specific integrated circuit (ASIC) that is coupled to the sensor interface 414 and tailored to determine the heart rate of the patient. Thus, examples of the cardiac function analyzer 102 are not limited to a particular hardware or software implementation. In addition, particular examples of the processes that the cardiac function analyzer 102 is configured to perform are discussed further below with reference to FIGS. 2-6.

The preference information 104 includes data that describes parameters used by the cardiac function analyzer 102 during its analysis of ECG signals. More particularly, according to the illustrated example, the preference information 104 identifies one or more channels from which the cardiac function analyzer 102 receives ECG signals. In this example, the preference information 104 also includes information that ranks each channel relative to the other identified channels. As is discussed further below, the cardiac function analyzer 102 uses this ranking information to resolve conflicts generated from the interpretation of two or more ECG signals received via the identified channels.

In some examples, the preference information 104 also includes information indicating one or more preferred rate detectors for each channel. In these examples, the cardiac function analyzer 102 uses the preferred rate detectors of each channel to interpret the ECG signal received from each channel. In other examples, the preference information 104 includes an association that groups channel and rate detector preference information into individual profiles. In these examples, the

preference information 104 also includes data that indicates an active profile that is used by the cardiac function analyzer 102 to analyze ECG signals. These examples provide the added advantage of easily configuring channel and rate detector information preferences simply by changing the indicator of the active profile from
5 the active profile to another profile.

The preference information 104 may be stored in any logical construction capable of storing information on a computer readable medium including, among other structures, flat files, indexed files, hierarchical databases, relational databases or object oriented databases. In addition, various examples organize the preference
10 information 104 into particularized and, in some cases, unique structures to perform the functions disclosed herein. In these examples, the data structures are sized and arranged to store values for particular types of data.

In some examples, the components disclosed herein, such as the cardiac function analyzer 102, may read parameters that affect the functions performed by the
15 components. These parameters may be physically stored in any form of suitable memory including volatile memory, such as RAM, or nonvolatile memory, such as a magnetic hard drive. In addition, the parameters may be logically stored in a propriety data structure, such as a database or file defined by a user mode application, or in a commonly shared data structure, such as an application registry that is defined
20 by an operating system. In addition, some examples provide for both system and user interfaces, as may be implemented using the user interface 418, that allow external entities to modify the parameters and thereby configure the behavior of the components.

25 Preferential ECG Signal Processing

FIG. 2 illustrates a process 200 for configuring the preference information 104 according to one example. As shown, the process 200 includes acts of receiving an ECG signal from each channel, determining a confidence level for each channel and establishing preferences. The process 200 begins at 202.

30 In act 204, an electrode system, such as the electrode system 100 discussed above, receives one or more ECG signals via one or more channels. According to one

example, the electrode system receives ECG signals from a front-to-back channel and from a side-to-side channel. The front-to-back channel includes an electrode positioned on the chest of the patient and another electrode positioned on the back of the patient. The side-to-side channel includes an electrode positioned on the left side of the chest and another electrode positioned on the right side of the patient. Other examples may employ additional, or fewer, electrodes or electrodes located in other positions on the patient's body. In addition, act 204 may include a variety of acts designed to render an accurate interpretation of the ECG signal. For instance, in one example, a control unit, such as the control unit 30 discussed above with reference to FIG. 1, filters or otherwise manipulates the ECG signal to remove or lower the effect of noise generated by sources other than the patient's heart, such as other muscle movement or electrical disturbances near the patient.

In act 206, the filtered ECG signals are analyzed to determine a confidence level for the interpretation of each filtered ECG signal. According to the illustrated example, the filtered ECG signal of each channel is compared to benchmark information to determine a level of confidence that interpretations of the filtered ECG signal are accurate. As discussed further below, this benchmark information may include benchmark ECG signals and benchmark values that characterize attributes of a normal cardiac cycle.

The source of the benchmark information varies from example to example. For instance, according to one example, a standardized representation of a normal sinus rhythm, such as the ECG signal illustrated in FIG. 3, is utilized as a benchmark ECG signal. In other examples, the benchmark ECG signal includes idiosyncratic characteristics of a particular patient's normal rhythm. In these examples, the benchmark ECG signal may be received by the control unit via one or more designated channels. For instance, in one of these examples, the control unit records a benchmark ECG signal when the electrode system is initially prescribed and fitted to a patient. In addition, according to these examples, the benchmark ECG signal may adapt over time. For instance, the cardiac function analyzer 102 may adjust the benchmark ECG signal to match a composite ECG signal made up of filtered ECG signals falling within a moving window of a predefined duration. Alternatively, the

benchmark ECG signal may be replaced by a newly received ECG signal with desirable characteristics such as higher amplitudes, less noise or that more closely match the normal rhythm of the patient.

Like the source of the benchmark ECG signal, the comparison operation used
5 within the act 206 to determine the quality of interpretations of the filtered ECG signals varies between examples. In some examples, the morphology of the filtered ECG signal is compared to the morphology of the benchmark ECG signal. In these examples, the level of confidence associated with the channel that received the filtered ECG signal is directly related to the fit of the filtered ECG signal to the benchmark
10 ECG signal. More particularly, according to one example, a full cardiac cycle that includes P, Q, R, S and T waves is interpreted from the filtered signal. In this example, a deviation from the interpreted benchmark wave sequence of P, Q, R, S and T waves, such as one or more repeated R waves, indicates a potential double counting of a heartbeat and results in a decreased level of confidence associated with the
15 channel. In some examples, this comparison operation is conducted by a user, such as a physician, through visual inspection.

In another example, the heart rate detected by each combination of channel and heart rate detection method is compared to the actual heart rate as determined by a user, such as a physician. In this example, the heart rate detection methods used may
20 include derivative-based QRS detectors, spectrum analyzers or axis analyzers, as described in commonly owned U.S. Patent No. 5,944,669 (hereinafter referred to as the “’669 patent”), which is incorporated herein by reference in its entirety. In this example, the level of confidence associated with the channel receiving the filtered ECG signal is inversely related to any difference between the heart rate detected and
25 the actual heart rate. In addition, a level of confidence for each combination of channel and heart rate detection method may be established using this example.

In other examples, the refractory period is automatically configured to more closely fit the duration of detected QRS complexes. According to these examples, a valid QRS complex is identified by matching the morphology of the benchmark ECG
30 signal to the morphology of the filtered ECG signal. In these examples, the refractory period is adjusted (in most cases lengthened) to encompass the duration of the valid

QRS complex while the morphology of the benchmark ECG signal continues to match the morphology of the filtered ECG signal. In at least one example, an axis analyzer, such as the axis analyzer described in the '669 patent, identifies the valid QRS complex and monitors the filtered ECG signal to ensure that a match between
5 the filtered ECG signal and the benchmark ECG is maintained. Channels with refractory periods adjusted in this manner are associated with a high level of confidence.

In act 208, preferences are established for determining the cardiac function of a given patient. In one example, preference information, such as preference
10 information 104, is stored within the data storage 412. This preference information includes a ranking of channels based on the results of the comparison performed in act 206 with channels having a higher level of confidence being ranked above channels with a lower level of confidence. In another example, the preference information also ranks heart rate detection methods by channel, with heart rate detection methods
15 having a higher level of confidence being ranked above heart rate detection methods having a lower level of confidence. In other examples, the ranking information may include the strength of the fit detected between the filtered ECG signal and the benchmark ECG signal or the output of the axis analyzer. In some of these examples, the strength of the fit reflects any differences in timing between interpreted ECG
20 signal characteristics, such as QRS complexes, and interpreted benchmark ECG signal characteristics. According to a variety of examples, act 208 can be repeated at periodic intervals or as requested by a user, such as a patient or a physician. The process 200 ends at 210.

Processes in accord with the process 200 configure an electrode system, such
25 as the electrode system 100 discussed above, to include a set of preferences for processing ECG signals. According to some examples, the process 200 is conducted by an electrode system, such as the electrode system 100 discussed above. In these examples, the data storage 412 includes the benchmark information and the cardiac function analyzer 102 conducts the acts included in the process 200. In other
30 examples, some aspects of the process 200 are conducted by users. For instance, in one example, a user, such as a physician, performs the act 206 by determining the

confidence level associated with each channel and heart rate detection method and if the user notices that the amplitude or signal to noise ratio of a particular channel is superior, the confidence level associated with that channel is increased. Further, in this example, the user performs the act 208 by storing the preference information in
5 the electrode system, via a user interface, such as the user interface 418.

According to another example, the user, such as a physician, performs the act 208 by causing the preference information to be stored on the control unit of an electrode system via a network interface. In this example, a processor included in the control unit of the electrode system, such as the processor 410 of the control unit 30,
10 is coupled to a network interface such as the network interface 206 described in co-pending Application Serial No. 12/833,096, entitled SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR CONSERVING POWER IN A MEDICAL DEVICE, filed July 9, 2010, which is incorporated by reference herein in its entirety.

FIG. 4 illustrates an exemplary process 400 for interpreting ECG signals using
15 preference information. As shown, the process 400 includes acts of retrieving preferences, acquiring ECG signals from preferred channels and processing the ECG signals according to the retrieved preferences. The process 400 begins at 402.

In act 404, the cardiac function analyzer 102, discussed above, retrieves preference information, such as preference information 104 discussed above, from
20 data storage. According to one example, the preference information includes information ranking the channels through which ECG signals can be received and further indicating a preferred set of ranked channels. In another example, the preference information includes information ranking the heart rate detection methods available for each ranked channel and indicating a preferred set of heart rate detection
25 methods.

In act 406, the cardiac function analyzer 102 acquires ECG signals from each of the preferred set of ranked channels. The electrode system may perform a variety of acts designed to render an accurate interpretation of the ECG signal. For instance, in one example, a control unit, such as the control unit 30 discussed above with
30 reference to FIG. 1, filters or otherwise manipulates the ECG signal to remove or

lower the effect of noise generated by sources other than the patient's heart, such as other muscle movement or electrical disturbances near the patient.

In act 408, the cardiac function analyzer 102 processes the ECG signals to determine a heart rate for the patient. FIG. 5 illustrates an exemplary process 500 in
5 accord with act 408. As shown, the process 500 begins at 502.

In act 504, the cardiac function analyzer 102 processes a portion of the filtered ECG signal received by each preferred channel using one or more of the heart rate detection methods discussed above with reference to the process 200. For instance, the cardiac function analyzer 102 may utilize conventional derivative-based QRS
10 detectors, axis detectors or others. According to a particular example discussed further below, when the cardiac cycle appears to be in a normal sinus rhythm, the cardiac function analyzer 102 determines a heart rate using both a QRS detector and an axis detector. In this example, the axis detector indicates the occurrence of a heartbeat when a peak in the magnitude indicated by the axis detector corresponds to
15 a zero phase crossing. In another example, the axis detector may concurrently compare the quality of the fit of multiple ECG signals coming from multiple channels to a benchmark ECG and may use the heart rate determined in this manner provided that the quality of the fit between the benchmark ECG signal and the multiple ECG signals meets a predetermined value. In still another example, a single channel fit is
20 performed using the axis detector. In these examples that utilize an axis detector, the quality of the fit is determined by summing errors calculated between samples of the benchmark ECG signal and one or more ECG signals over an identified period.

In act 506, if the results of these methods agree (for example, if each method detects a single heartbeat from the portion of the interpreted ECG signal) then the
25 cardiac function analyzer 102 proceeds to act 510. Otherwise, the cardiac function analyzer 102 proceeds to act 508. In act 508, the cardiac function analyzer 102 resolves conflicts between channels and heart rate detection methods. The particular conflict resolution procedure employed varies from example to example and examples are not limited to a particular approach to resolving conflicting results between
30 channels or combinations of channels and heart rate detection methods. For instance, according to one example, the cardiac function analyzer 102 resolves conflicts that

involve multiple channels in favor of the highest ranking preferred channel. In another example, the cardiac function analyzer 102 resolves conflicts that involve multiple channels and heart rate detectors in favor of the result indicated by a majority of the channel and heart rate detector combinations. Continuing with the particular
5 example discussed above involving the QRS and axis detectors, conflicts between QRS detector results on separate channels are resolved in favor of the channel with a result that agrees with the axis detector result.

In act 510, if the all of the interpretations, or the favored interpretations, of the ECG signals indicate that a heartbeat has occurred, the cardiac function analyzer 102
10 records the occurrence of a heartbeat in act 512. Otherwise, the cardiac function analyzer 102 determines if an interruption in monitoring is about to commence, such as an interruption caused by shutdown of the electrode system, in act 514. If so, the cardiac function analyzer ends the process 500 at 516. Otherwise, the cardiac function analyzer 102 returns to act 504 and the process 500 continues.

15 Examples in accord with process 500 enable an electrode system to more accurately track patient heart rate. More accurate heart rate tracking results in several benefits. These benefits include more accurate patient historical information and generation of fewer falsely indicated arrhythmias. Fewer false arrhythmias, in turn, may result in avoidance of unnecessary alarms and delivery of therapy to a patient,
20 thereby increasing the runtime between charges of the electrode system and avoiding unnecessary patient discomfort.

Each of the processes disclosed herein depicts one particular sequence of acts in a particular example. The acts included in each of these processes may be performed by, or using, an electrode system specially configured as discussed herein.
25 Although described herein in association with an electrode system of a wearable defibrillator such as the LIFEVEST Cardioverter defibrillator, embodiments disclosed herein may be used with any electrode system, including conventional stick-on adhesive electrodes, dry capacitive ECG electrodes, radio transparent electrodes, etc. Some acts are optional and, as such, may be omitted in accord with one or more
30 examples. Additionally, the order of acts can be altered, or other acts can be added, without departing from the scope of the systems and methods discussed herein. In

addition, as discussed above, in at least one example, the acts are performed on a particular, specially configured machine, namely an electrode system configured according to the examples disclosed herein.

5 Usage Scenario

FIG. 6 illustrates two exemplary idiosyncratic ECG signals 600 and 602 received by an exemplary electrode system. As shown, the ECG signal 600 was acquired via a side-to-side (SS) channel and includes QRS complex 604. The ECG signal 602 was acquired via a front-to-back (FB) channel and includes QRS complex 606. As illustrated, the ECG signal 600 may be double counted by conventional derivative-based QRS complex detectors because the QRS complexes presented within the ECG signal 600, such as the portion of the ECG signal indicated by reference number 604, are elongated and exceed the default configuration of the refractory period. Thus, conventional derivative-based QRS complex detectors may detect a first QRS complex at the onset of the QRS complex 604 and detect a second QRS complex near the end of the QRS complex 604 after the refractory period has expired.

According to one example, a user, such as a physician, may perform act 206 of process 200 and thereby determine that the SS channel is susceptible to double counting by comparing the morphology of the ECG signal acquired via the SS channel to an ECG signal representative to a normal sinus rhythm. Further, the user may perform act 208 by configuring preference information to rank the FB channel higher than the SS channel. After receiving this preference information and during the execution of process 400, the exemplary electrode system favors the FB channel over the SS channel if the two channels yield differing heart rates. This approach results in a decreased likelihood of heartbeat double counting because the QRS complexes displayed within the FB channel do not extend beyond the programmed refractory period and are, therefore, less likely to be double counted.

Having thus described several aspects of at least one embodiment of this invention, it is to be appreciated various alterations, modifications, and improvements will readily occur to those skilled in the art. Such alterations, modifications, and

improvements are intended to be part of this disclosure, and are intended to be within the scope of the invention. Accordingly, the foregoing description and drawings are by way of example only.

CLAIMS

1. An ambulatory medical device, comprising:
 - a plurality of electrodes disposed at spaced apart positions about a patient's body; and
 - 5 a control unit including:
 - a sensor interface coupled to the plurality of electrodes and configured to receive a first ECG signal from a first pairing of the plurality of electrodes and to receive a second ECG signal from a second pairing of the plurality of electrodes;
 - 10 a memory storing information indicating a preferred pairing, the preferred pairing being either the first pairing or the second pairing; and
 - a processor coupled to the sensor interface and the memory and configured to resolve conflicts between interpretations of first ECG signal and the second ECG signal in favor of the preferred pairing.
- 15 2. The ambulatory medical device of claim 1, further comprising a garment that is configured to be worn about the patient's body, wherein the plurality of electrodes is integrated into the garment.
- 20 3. The ambulatory medical device of claim 1, wherein the plurality of electrodes includes adhesive electrodes.
4. The ambulatory medical device of claim 1, wherein the interpretations of the ECG signals detect heartbeats.
- 25 5. The ambulatory medical device of claim 4, wherein the information indicating the preferred pairing includes information indicating a first heart rate detection method preferred for the first pairing and a second heart rate detection method preferred for the second pairing and the processor is further configured to:
 - 30 interpret the first ECG signal using the first heart rate detection method; and
 - interpret the second ECG signal using the second heart rate detection method.

6. The ambulatory medical device of claim 1, wherein the processor is further configured to:
- determine a first confidence level for the first pairing;
 - 5 determine a second confidence level for the second pairing;
 - determine the preferred pairing with reference to the first confidence level and the second confidence level; and
 - store the information indicating the preferred pairing in the memory.
- 10 7. The ambulatory medical device of claim 6, wherein the processor is configured to determine the first confidence level by comparing the first ECG signal to benchmark information.
8. The ambulatory medical device of claim 7, wherein the benchmark information
- 15 reflects a particular patient's normal rhythm.
9. The ambulatory medical device of claim 7, wherein the processor is configured to compare the first ECG signal to the benchmark information by comparing a morphology of the first ECG signal to a morphology indicated within the benchmark
- 20 information.
10. A method of monitoring ECG signals using an ambulatory medical device, the method comprising:
- receiving, by the ambulatory medical device, a first ECG signal from a first
 - 25 pairing of a plurality of electrodes;
 - receiving a second ECG signal from a second pairing of the plurality of electrodes; and
 - resolving conflicts between interpretations of first ECG signal and the second ECG signal in favor of a preferred pairing being either the first pairing or the second
 - 30 pairing.

11. The method of claim 10, wherein resolving the conflicts between the interpretations includes resolving conflicts between interpretations that detect heartbeats.
- 5 12. The method of claim 11, further comprising:
interpreting the first ECG signal using a first heart rate detection method; and
interpreting the second ECG signal using a second heart rate detection method.
13. The method of claim 10, further comprising:
10 determining a first confidence level for the first pairing;
determining a second confidence level for the second pairing; and
determining the preferred pairing with reference to the first confidence level
and the second confidence level.
- 15 14. The method of claim 13, wherein determining the first confidence level includes
comparing the first ECG signal to benchmark information.
15. The method of claim 14, wherein comparing the first ECG signal to the
benchmark information includes comparing the first ECG signal to benchmark
20 information that reflects a particular patient's normal rhythm.
16. The method of claim 14, wherein comparing the first ECG signal to the
benchmark information includes comparing a morphology of the first ECG signal to a
morphology indicated within the benchmark information.
- 25 17. A non-transitory computer readable medium having stored thereon sequences of
instruction for monitoring ECG signals including instructions that instruct at least one
processor to:
receive a first ECG signal from a first pairing of the plurality of electrodes;
30 receive a second ECG signal from a second pairing of the plurality of
electrodes; and

resolve conflicts between interpretations of first ECG signal and the second ECG signal in favor of a preferred pairing being either the first pairing or the second pairing.

- 5 18. The computer readable medium of claim 17, wherein the instructions further instruct the at least one processor to:
- determine a first confidence level for the first pairing;
 - determine a second confidence level for the second pairing; and
 - determine the preferred pairing with reference to the first confidence level and
- 10 the second confidence level.

19. The computer readable medium of claim 18, wherein the instructions that instruct the at least one processor to determine the first confidence level include instructions that instruct the at least one processor to compare the first ECG signal to benchmark
- 15 information.

20. The computer readable medium of claim 19, wherein the instructions that instruct the at least one processor to compare the first ECG signal to the benchmark information include instructions that instruct the at least one processor to compare a
- 20 morphology of the first ECG signal to a morphology indicated within the benchmark information.




FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3




FIG. 4




FIG. 5





FIG. 6

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No
PCT/US2012/030433

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

INV. A61N1/39 A61B5/0452 G06K9/00
ADD. A61N1/04 A61B5/0408

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

A61N A61B G06K

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

EP0-Internal, WPI Data

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X,P	US 2011/288605 A1 (KAIB THOMAS E [US] ET AL) 24 November 2011 (2011-11-24) cited in the application	1-20
L	paragraphs [0064] - [0106]; figures 1A-6 -----	
X	US 2007/239220 A1 (GREENHUT SAUL E [US] ET AL) 11 October 2007 (2007-10-11) paragraphs [0016], [0019], [0022], [0026], [0039] - [0043], [0051] - [0052]; figures 1-5 -----	1-20
X	US 2010/076513 A1 (WARREN JAY A [US] ET AL) 25 March 2010 (2010-03-25) paragraphs [0028] - [0031], [0044] - [0058]; figures 1A, 7 ----- -/--	1,4-7, 10-14, 17-19



Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.



See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

27 June 2012

Date of mailing of the international search report

05/07/2012

Name and mailing address of the ISA/

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040,
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Fischer, Olivier

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No
PCT/US2012/030433

C(Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US 2010/298899 A1 (DONNELLY EDWARD J [US] ET AL) 25 November 2010 (2010-11-25) paragraphs [0025] - [0032], [0049] - [0051]; figures 1-4 -----	1-20
A	US 5 944 669 A (KAIB THOMAS E [US]) 31 August 1999 (1999-08-31) cited in the application column 3, line 27 - column 6, line 45; figures 1a-2c -----	1-20

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No

PCT/US2012/030433

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 2011288605 A1	24-11-2011	US 2011288605 A1	24-11-2011
		WO 2011146482 A1	24-11-2011
US 2007239220 A1	11-10-2007	EP 2004285 A2	24-12-2008
		US 2007239220 A1	11-10-2007
		WO 2007117826 A2	18-10-2007
US 2010076513 A1	25-03-2010	US 2005192507 A1	01-09-2005
		US 2010076513 A1	25-03-2010
US 2010298899 A1	25-11-2010	US 2010298899 A1	25-11-2010
		WO 2012006524 A1	12-01-2012
US 5944669 A	31-08-1999	CA 2235652 A1	20-05-1999
		EP 0919183 A1	02-06-1999
		US 5944669 A	31-08-1999