



(51) International Patent Classification:
G01N 29/07 (2006.01) G01N 29/44 (2006.01)
G01N 29/14 (2006.01)

(21) International Application Number:
PCT/EP2009/002776

(22) International Filing Date:
9 April 2009 (09.04.2009)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:
MI2008A 000759 24 April 2008 (24.04.2008) IT

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): ENI S.P.A. [IT/IT]; Piazzale E. Mattei, 1, I-00144 Roma (IT).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): DE LORENZO, Gianpietro [IT/IT]; Via Giorgio Amendola 17/c, I-20090 Segrate (IT). GIUNTA, Giuseppe [IT/IT]; Via Fermi 2C, I-20097 San Donato Milanese (IT). MONTINI, Alfredo [IT/IT]; Via Crestei 55/C, I-20090 Segrate (IT).

(74) Agent: DE GREGORI, Antonella; Barzano' & Zanardo Milano S.p.A., Via Borgonuovo 10, I-20121 Milan (IT).

(81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM, AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KM, KN, KP, KR, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RS, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, NA, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

- with international search report (Art. 21(3))
- before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of receipt of amendments (Rule 48.2(h))

(54) Title: METHOD FOR THE REMOTE DETECTION, LOCALIZATION AND MONITORING OF CRITICAL FAULTS IN PIPELINES




Fig. 2 Calculation scheme of the distance of a fault, L(k) from the sensor n*k.

(57) Abstract: With a certain pipeline, either underground or deposited on a sea/lake bottom, the formation of critical faults is determined and localized, which arise in the walls of the pipeline, or the growth of an already localized fault is monitored, through a method which comprises detecting, by means of acoustic sensors regularly distributed along the pipeline, the ultrasounds diffused along the walls of the pipeline itself upon the formation of a critical fault, or when a controlled fault increases, and processing, by means of a remote processor, the digital signals associated with the sound waves in order to identify the position or evaluate the development of a critical fault.

WO 2009/129959 A1

METHOD FOR THE REMOTE DETECTION, LOCALIZATION AND
MONITORING OF CRITICAL FAULTS IN PIPELINES

The present invention relates to a method for the remote detection, localization and monitoring of critical
5 faults in pipelines.

More specifically, the present invention relates to a method for the remote detection, localization and monitoring of critical faults in pipelines either underground or sealines suitable for transporting gas or
10 hydrocarbon liquids, such as natural gas (methane), petroleum or hydrocarbon derivatives of petroleum or water, fresh or salt water, particularly well production water.

Even more specifically, the present invention
15 relates to a method for the remote monitoring of the structural integrity of gas ducts/oil ducts (pipelines) by the detection of acoustic emissions due to the formation of faults in the pipeline, the linear localization of the fault on the same and monitoring with
20 time to control the development of the faults thus identified.

As is known, the transportation of fluids of a fossil nature, such as natural gas or petroleum, by means of pipelines is the simplest and most economical mode of
25 transportation as it guarantees a continuous flow of the

fluid, without substantial interruptions, from the production sites to the consumption/work areas or shipment areas for oversea transportation.

As mentioned above, the transportation of these products through pipelines is only substantially continuous because, for maintenance purposes, the pipelines must be periodically controlled. In other words, as the pipelines are subject to mechanical stress, the flow of fluid is periodically interrupted, or substantially reduced, to allow the operators to introduce suitable devices inside the pipeline for detecting the possible formation of critical faults (for example cracks or corrosion points) and monitoring the development of those previously detected.

These operations for controlling and monitoring of critical faults are fundamental for correctly managing the pipeline as they enable to carry out the interventions and repairs on the fault before it causes greater damage to the pipeline.

The risk of the crack formation in the material of a pipeline, generally carbon steel, is due to reasons associated with the fluid transported and also to external causes. In the former case, the risk factor is linked to the pressure jumps of the fluid transported which cause radial expansions and contractions of the

pipe which, with time, can cause the formation of faults due to fatigue stress. In the latter case, as the pipeline is underground or resting on sea/lake bottoms, these are subject to movement of the earth or sea currents which tend to deform them.

The control and monitoring system of pipelines currently in use has at least one evident drawback, in addition to that previously mentioned with respect to the necessity of interrupting or reducing the flow of fluid transported to allow the introduction and subsequent recovery of control devices. If, in fact, the presence of a fault in the material of the pipeline being examined is discovered, by means of these control devices, this can and will have to be monitored discontinuously, periodically, with the relative stoppages/reductions in the flow of fluid transported inside the pipeline.

An objective of the present invention is to provide a method for the detection, localization and monitoring of critical faults in underground and underwater pipelines, suitable for transporting gas or hydrocarbon liquids, such as for example, natural gas (methane), petroleum or hydrocarbon derivatives of petroleum, well-production water, etc., which does not have the drawbacks mentioned above and allows the formation of a critical fault to be identified at the moment of its formation,

with the continuous monitoring of the possible development of the fault, without intervening on the flow-rate of the fluid transported. In this way, an operator can repair the section of pipeline involved when
5 the fault has become such as to endanger the integrity of the pipeline itself. In particular, the method developed takes into consideration some important factors such as the characteristics of the pipeline and the materials used, indicating, in the calculation for the localization
10 of the fault, two or more propagation rate ranges which vary with a variation in the relative distance between the fault-source and the acoustic sensors installed on the pipeline.

An object of the present invention therefore relates
15 to a method for the remote detection, localization and monitoring of critical faults in underground or underwater pipelines, which comprises:

- a. arranging, on the outer surface of the pipeline, a first plurality of passive acoustic sensors
20 (passive acoustic transducers) capable of detecting the emission of sound waves within the range of ultrasounds;
- b. detecting, by means of said passive acoustic sensors, the sound waves (ultrasounds)
25 distributed along the walls of the pipeline with

the formation of a critical fault or at the moment of a development of a fault already under control;

- 5 c. transforming the signals received into electric signals;
- d. transmitting the electric signals coming from the at least two passive sensors closest to the critical fault, positioned near said fault, to a data collection centre;
- 10 e. transforming each electric signal received into a digital signal sent to a remote processor system, equipped with software, which identifies the reception time of the signal emitted from the fault, relating to said at least two passive
- 15 sensors close to the fault, by a measurement of the sound intensity of the emission;
- f. identifying and/or monitoring, by means of software, the relative position of the fault that has arisen or that is developing, with respect to
- 20 said at least two passive sensors which have revealed the sound emission and its distance $L(k)$ from said at least two passive sensors, starting from that furthest away from the fault, by the development of the equation:

25
$$L(k) = V_k(s, \tau) \times t$$

wherein $V_k(s,\tau)$ is the propagation velocity of the sound waves relating to the sensor k of said at least two passive sensors, depending on the space (s) that the sound waves must cross through the physical means of the pipeline, the
5 propagation time (t) and the time (τ) depending on the service state of the sensor k .

The term "service state of the sensor" as used in the present description and in the claims refers to a measurement of the receptive function of the passive
10 sensors which is in relation to the service time and work conditions.

One or more active acoustic sensors (active acoustic transducers), distributed along the outer surface of the
15 pipeline, can be used for the purpose, which are capable of emitting, on command, ultrasounds that are comparable with those emitted from a real fault which is formed "ab initio" in the pipeline or which are emitted from a fault already present in the pipeline and which evolves with
20 time. In this way, the periodical activation of said active sensors not only allows the service state of the passive sensors to be controlled, but also allows the periodical calibration of the whole monitoring system, object of the present invention.

25 According to the present invention, the

identification of the formation of a critical fault or the monitoring of a pre-existing fault can be effected on any type of pipeline even if it is preferable to apply the method, object of the present invention, on
5 underground pipelines or pipelines deposited on sea/lake bottoms, as, once functioning, they are only accessible with programmed recovery and maintenance interventions. Examples of pipes are those made of carbon steel with diameters of up to 150 cm, for example from 10 to 130 cm,
10 possibly coated with protective materials of a plastic nature fixed to the metal by thermowelding or by hot-melt adhesives.

The acoustic sensors can be arranged over the whole length of the pipeline at predefined intervals. For
15 economical reasons, however, it is preferable to arrange them in correspondence with potentially critical sections such as weldings, curves, sections subject to stress due to the ground movement, etc. Once the potentially critical sections have been identified, the sensors are
20 generally arranged over lengths of 800-1,500 m of pipeline, at distances from each other of 10 to 50 m, preferably from 20 to 40 m, generally at a distance of 30 m.

The sensors can be arranged in a straight line on
25 the section of pipeline of interest, along a generating

linea or around a pipeline according to a substantially helicoidal line. Alternatively, the sensors can be arranged around each of a plurality of fixed positions spaced linearly from each other.

5 The distance between each sensor is preferably always the same, then the software takes into account the distances between the sensors for processing the signals.

Any acoustic sensor capable of detecting the
10 diffusion of sound waves (ultrasounds) on steel pipes, possibly coated with protective material of a plastic nature, can be used in the method, object of the present invention, even if acoustic sensors of the piezoelectric type are preferred as they are selective for that range
15 of sound frequencies (30-600 kHz).

Alternative acoustic sensors can be electromagnetic transducers or "magnetostrictive" transducers.

The propagation rate of the sound wave resulting from the formation of a critical fault on the pipeline,
20 or from the development of a fault under control, depends on the position in which it is formed, whether superficially, outside the pipeline or inside the pipeline, or in the thickness of the pipe. In any case, the propagation velocity is influenced by the materials,
25 generally by the protection material of the pipeline (in

the case of a fault on the outer surface) or by the fluid transported (in the case of a fault on the inner surface). The signals received at least from a first closer sensor and from at least a second more distant
5 sensor, transformed into electric signals in situ, are transmitted to a data collection centre, transformed into digital signals and remotely sent where a process unit processes them to identify their origin, particularly if on the right or left of said at least a first closer
10 sensor and the distance from said at least second more distant sensor.

Once the positions of the sensors along the pipeline and its geometrical characteristics are known, the software effects the following operations:

- 15 1. calculation of the position of the fault;
2. historical analysis of the dependence of the emission of a fault on forcing actions, such as operative cycles of temperature, pressure, flow, corrosion, etc. and on the time in general; and
- 20 3. calibration of the detection system.

In particular, with each detection cycle, the software allows the determination of the position of the fault and the definition of the statistic relations for its monitoring:

- 25 - between the intensity of the acoustic emission and

- the emission frequency per fault;
- between the acoustic emission times and the number of emission hits per fault;
 - between the values of acoustic emission hits per fault and the values of the local parameters of temperature, pressure, flow, precipitation of salts, etc.
 - between the acoustic emission frequencies per fault and the values of the local parameters of temperature, pressure, flow, precipitation of salts, etc.
 - between the parameters which define the form of the acoustic signal detected, also called in technical terminology: rise time, peak time, duration time, etc. and the local parameters of temperature, pressure, flow, precipitation of salts, etc.
 - between the parameters which define the form of the acoustic signal detected, as described above, and the local parameters of temperature, pressure, flow, precipitation of salts, etc.
 - between the parameters which define the form of the acoustic signal detected, as described above, and the frequencies and times of the emission events, per fault.
- The calculation of the position of a fault is the

main action of the software. This depends on the definition, in an acoustic sense, of the materials which form the pipeline or which flow through it.

A material is generally characterized by two
5 parameters: the acoustic velocity and the acoustic attenuation. The former is the propagation velocity of the elastic waves and is calculated from the measured propagation time, also called time of flight, or it is the time necessary for the sound to go through a certain
10 dimension of the material. The second is a measurement of the loss of energy of the sound wave.

The acoustic velocity v , and the attenuation coefficient, α , are determined by measuring the time between two successive echoes (time of flight) and their
15 relative amplitudes according to the formulae:

$$v=h/t \quad [\text{m/s}]$$

$$\alpha = (h)^{-1} * 20 \log_{10} (A1/A0) \quad [\text{dB/metre}]$$

wherein h is the distance between the fault and the active sensors or passive sensors, $A0$ and $A1$ are the
20 amplitudes of the two echoes and t is the flight time calculated as the difference $t= t1-t0$.

In the analysis of an acoustic beam, it is possible to distinguish two areas, called near field and far field. The near field is the region of space where the
25 transmission velocity resulting from the advancing of the

sound wave is characterized by a maximum transmission value, V_1 . The acoustic pressure of the emission is dampened as the emission point moves away from the acoustic sensor. The far field is the area in which the acoustic pressure decreases up to a bottom plateau value characterized by a velocity V_2 . Figure 1 is a general representation of the transmission phenomenon.

Figure 1 indicates, in the ordinate, the resulting values of the acoustic velocities calculated by means of passive sensors following the emissions, one at a time, of active sensors, during a calibration period. In the abscissa, the relative distance is indicated between the sensors in the calibration process. Curves are traced on the graph, which indicate the calculation functions of the velocity of the acoustic signal in the domain of the relative distances between the sensors.

A descriptive type of the velocity function of the acoustic signal in Figure 1 requires a minimum of two average velocity transmission values, V_1 and V_2 , valid in the distance fields between the emission point and the receiving sensor, for $x \leq L_1$ and for $x > L_2$, respectively.

An iterative calculation process scheme of the position of a fault, by means of the velocity distribution of Figure 1, is indicated in Figure 2. The scheme considers the case of an emission hit detected by

two passive sensors, $k=1,2$, wherein $x_1 \leq x_2$ (x_1 and x_2 represent the positions of two sensors along the pipeline and are the relative distances between the two sensors), with the sensor $k=1$ which detect the signal before $k=2$.

5 In the process $dt(k)$ is the time of flight of the acoustic signal detected by the sensor k and $d(k)$ the position of the fault, relating to the sensor k , calculated with $dt(k)$ and the velocity ($V(k)$ in Figure 1, for $k=1, \dots, n$.

10 The scheme in Figure 2 represents a research process of the velocity of the transmission phenomenon based on the definition range of the velocity function described in Figure 1. The scheme considers the following steps:

1. first calculation of the distance, $d(k)$, between
15 the fault and sensor k , the time of flight $dt(k)$ at the sensor k being known, assuming the maximum transmission velocity v_1 : $d(k)=V_1*dt(k)$;
2. verification of the applicability of the rate V_1 :
if $d(k) \leq L_1$ then the distance $L(k)$ of the fault from
20 the sensor k coincides with the distance $d(k)$ calculated;
3. if the verification under point 2 is negative, a second calculation of the distance d , between fault and sensor k , is calculated, the flight time dt at
25 the sensor k being known, assuming the minimum

transmission velocity v_2 : $d(k)=V_2*dt(k)$;

4. verification of the applicability of the rate V_2 :
 if $d(k) \geq L_2$ then the distance $L(k)$ of the fault
 from the sensor k coincides with the distance $d(k)$
 5 calculated;

5. if the verification under point 4 is negative, a
 second calculation of the velocity $V_3(k)$ is
 effected, which characterizes for $d(k)$ the
 intermediate transmission velocities between V_1 and
 10 V_2 , in correspondence with L_1 and L_2 :

$$V_3(k) = V_1 + \frac{(V_1 - V_2)}{L_1 - L_2} * d(k)$$

A new calculation of the distance d_3 is then
 effected, between the fault and sensor k , the time
 15 of flight dt at the sensor k being known, using the
 transmission velocity $V_3(k)$: $d_3=V_3(k)*dt(k)$;

6. a new calculation of the velocity $V(k)$ is provided,
 which characterizes for d_3 the intermediate
 transmission velocities between V_1 and V_2 :

20

$$V(k) = V_1 + \frac{(V_1 - V_2)}{L_1 - L_2} * d_3$$

The distance, $d(k)$, between the fault and sensor k
 is then recalculated, the time of flight dt at the
 25 sensor k being known, using the transmission

velocity $V(k)$: $d(k)=V(k)*dt(k)$;

7. verification of the convergence of the calculation and applicability of the velocity $V(k)$: if $(d_3-d(k))^2 \leq \epsilon$, ϵ being a small positive number as
5 desired, then the distance $L(k)$ of the fault from the sensor k coincides with the distance $d(k)$ calculated;
8. if the verification under point 7 is negative, $d_3=d(k)$ e $V_3=V(k)$ is established, returning to point
10 6 to effect the calculation of the new velocity $V(k)$ for the last distance $d(k)$. The calculation cycle 6, 7 and 8 continues until the verification of point 7 or until a maximum number of recalculation cycles, N_c is reached, defined a priori, over which the
15 average value of the last two distances calculated in the iterative process has been assumed.

The position of a fault detected by various sensors is obtained following a triangulation approach between
"n" distances of the fault from the fixed positions of
20 the "n" sensors which have revealed its emission event.

As illustrated above, various sensors are necessary for the present invention. Some of these, called active sensors, are used as sound wave sources for the calibration phase of the measurement process through
25 passive sensors (receivers). The detections of the

acoustic phenomenon induced in the calibration is therefore a complex function of material parameters through which the sound waves pass and, implicitly, of the specific set-up of the single sensor.

5 If m is the number of acoustic sensors with an active functionality and n the number of acoustic sensors with a passive functionality, the calibration process defines the transmission phenomenon between different positions along the pipeline. The application of the
10 analysis process of the signal emitted from the j -th active sensor, for $j=1, \dots, m$, and detected by " n " passive sensors, with $m \leq n$, allows the transmission velocity of the acoustic wave to be determined and the attenuation of the acoustic signal in relation to
15 cylindrical or Cartesian space coordinates on the surface of the pipeline.

 If the " n " distribution functions of the velocities are $V_k(x_j)$, for $k=1, \dots, n$, relating to the positions x_j , for $j=1, \dots, m$, of the calibration emission point, it is
20 therefore possible to position the emission point or fault on the material of the pipeline during the acoustic monitoring process of the pipeline by means of an interpolation process.

 The sensors can be applied on the pipeline according
25 to any known method. It is preferable however to apply

them on the pipeline through a specific method which comprises:

- i. arranging a substantially rectangular steel supporting plate, having a pass-through opening, on
5 the pipeline;
- ii. supporting the acoustic sensor on the plate in correspondence with the pass-through opening, and the associated electronics;
- iii. welding one of the two edges of the plate,
10 orthogonal to the axis of the pipeline, onto the pipeline itself and holding the parallel sides, to the axis of the pipeline, of the supporting plate between two overturned L flanges welded onto the pipeline in correspondence with the other orthogonal
15 edge;
- iv. covering the acoustic sensor and the associated electronics with a protection box which adapts itself to the plate;
- v. positioning a blocking spring between the acoustic
20 sensor and the internal top of the box;
- vi. fixing the covering box to the supporting plate.

The supporting plate can be rectangular or square and can have a pass-through opening wherein the acoustic sensor is inserted so that it can rest in contact with
25 the metal or the coating of the pipeline. A spring is

positioned above the sensor, in order to prevent the sensor from moving during the operation and, therefore, no longer having an adequate contact with the pipeline, so that when the box is inserted and is fixed to the supporting plate, the spring exerts, through the top of the box, a pressure force which acts on the acoustic sensor keeping it blocked in its original position. The covering box is fixed to the supporting plate by means of screws which are engaged in threaded holes present on the plate itself.

The top of the box can be integral, and not separable from the walls of the box or it can be extracted and fixed to the walls of the box by suitable fixing means, for example screws.

The plate is fixed to the pipeline, partially by welding and partially through the overturned L-shaped flanges shaped. The latter are situated (welded only on the outer surface of the pipeline) so as to keep the plate pressed against the pipe. This arrangement does not allow upward movements of the plate, but only longitudinal sliding movements which second the radial elastic deformations of the pipeline, in particular the radial elastic deformations due to the pressure jumps of the fluid transported.

According to an alternative method, step (iii),

which constrains the supporting plate to the pipeline, can be different, with no welding of the edge and containment in correspondence with the other of the L-shaped flanges. In particular, the edges of the plates
5 orthogonal to the axis of the pipeline can be shaped to respectively receive two belts which are tightened around the pipeline.

In order to avoid an extremely rigid fixing system, at least two spring elements are respectively positioned
10 between the belt and each shaped part of the two edges of the plate, which allow the plate to follow the radial movements of the pipeline when subjected, for example, to the above radial deformations.

For safety reasons, in order to avoid damage to the
15 acoustic sensor and/or the associated electronics, due to possible escape currents or electric discharges from atmospheric events, it is preferable for the belts not to be metallic but made of electrically non-conductive materials. Belts made of thermoplastic polymers,
20 polyethylene or polypropylene, reinforced with glass and/or Kevlar[®] fibres, can be used for the purpose.

The present invention will be illustrated, for illustrative and non-limiting purposes, by the following practical example.

25 **Example**

A carbon-steel pipe, covered by a 5mm-thick coating of polyethylene, having a length of 250 m and a diameter of 120 cm, was buried at a depth of about 3m, after modifying the external surface by a plurality of notches
5 whose function was to simulate the presence of faults which are naturally formed in a real pipeline.

Before laying the pipeline, 20 sensors of the piezoelectric type were distributed on its external surface, at a distance of 10 m from each other. Electric
10 wires extended from the sensors for the transportation of signals to a data collection centre situated close to the pipeline. The data collection centre transformed said electric signals into digital signals, which were analyzed and transmitted, through a GSM modem, to a
15 remote data processor, at a distance of about 500 km from the pipeline.

Once it had been buried, the pipeline was filled with water at about 100 bar of pressure and then subjected to approximately 6,000 continuous pressure cycles in order
20 to monitor the degenerative development of the notches. The test was carried out for about 24 months, until the degeneration of the notches caused the explosion of the pipeline.

In this respect, the result of a linear triangulation
25 is shown, i.e. in one dimension, which uses the velocity

distribution in Fig. 1.

Figure 3a shows in the ordinate, the linear determination of the position of a fault on the pipeline over a period of time. Figure 3b represents in the
5 ordinate, the cumulated emission, over a period of time, coming from the fault (ordinate of Figure 3a). The case refers to a known fault on the matrix of the pipeline.

The characteristic of a fault which grows with time is represented by an emission having small variations in
10 the position on the pipeline, for ever-increasing emission values in the module during the monitoring time.

CLAIMS

1. A method for the remote detection, localization and monitoring of critical faults in underground or underwater pipelines, comprising:
- 5 a. arranging, on the outer surface of the pipeline, a first plurality of passive acoustic sensors capable of detecting the emission of acoustic waves within the range of ultrasounds;
- b. detecting, by means of said passive acoustic sensors,
10 the acoustic waves (ultrasounds) distributed along the walls of the pipeline with the formation of a critical fault or at the moment of a development of a fault already under control;
- c. transforming the signals received into electric
15 signals;
- d. transmitting the electric signals coming at least from the two passive sensors closest to the critical fault, positioned near said fault, to a data collection centre;
- 20 e. transforming each electric signal received into a digital signal sent to a remote processor system, equipped with software, which identifies the reception time of the signal emitted from the fault, relating to said at least two passive sensors close
25 to the fault, by a measurement of the acoustic

intensity of the emission hit;

- f. identifying and/or monitoring, by means of software, the relative position of the fault that has arisen or that is developing, with respect to said at least two passive sensors which have revealed the sound emission and its distance $L(k)$ from said at least two passive sensors, starting from that furthest away from the fault, by the development of the equation:

$$L(k) = V_k(s, \tau) \times t$$

- wherein $V_k(s, \tau)$ is the propagation rate of the acoustic waves relating to the sensor k of said at least two passive sensors, depending on the space (s) that the sound waves must cross through the physical means of the pipeline, the propagation time (t) and the time (τ) depending on the service state of the sensor k .

2. The method according to claim 1, wherein the passive sensors are positioned in correspondence with potentially critical piping tracts.

3. The method according to claim 1 or 2 wherein the passive sensors are arranged over a length of 800-1500 m of pipeline, at distances of 10 to 50 m from each other.

4. The method according to claim 1, 2 or 3, wherein the passive sensors are of the piezoelectric type.

5. The method according to any of the previous claims,

wherein one or more active acoustic sensors are positioned on the outer surface of the pipeline, which are capable of emitting, on command, acoustic waves (ultrasounds) comparable with those emitted by a real
5 fault.




Fig. 1 Velocity distribution of the transmission phenomenon of acoustic waves with the distance from the emission point.




Fig. 2 Calculation scheme of the distance of a fault, L(k) from the sensor n°k.




Fig. 3a Acoustic emission graph with localization of the fault on the pipeline




Fig. 3b Acoustic emission graph of the fault with accumulation with time

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No

PCT/EP2009/002776

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

INV. G01N29/07 G01N29/14 G01N29/44

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

G01N

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, WPI Data

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 4 641 526 A (IZUMI SHIGERU [JP] ET AL) 10 February 1987 (1987-02-10) column 3, line 44 - column 6, line 18 column 9, line 32 - line 60; figures 6-10	1-5
X	WO 98/57166 A1 (PURE TECHNOLOGIES INC [CA]; PAULSON PETER O [CA]) 17 December 1998 (1998-12-17) page 4, line 11 - page 5, line 15 page 7, line 1 - page 9, line 28; figure 1	1-5
X	US 5 115 681 A (BOUHERAOUA AHMED [FR] ET AL) 26 May 1992 (1992-05-26) column 2, line 18 - line 60 column 3, line 57 - column 4, line 40; figure 1	1-5
	----- -/--	

 Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

E earlier document but published on or after the international filing date

L document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

O document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

P document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

T later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

X document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

Y document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

& document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

24 August 2009

Date of mailing of the international search report

02/09/2009

Name and mailing address of the ISA/

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040,
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Savage, John

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No

PCT/EP2009/002776

C(Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US 4 352 167 A (HASHIMOTO YUUJI; KOBAYASHI IZUMI; SUZUKI MITSUO; YAMADA KIMIO; IZUMI S) 28 September 1982 (1982-09-28) column 2, line 35 - column 3, line 23; figures -----	1-5

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No

PCT/EP2009/002776

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 4641526	A	10-02-1987	DE 3480121 D1	16-11-1989
			EP 0128507 A2	19-12-1984
			JP 59225374 A	18-12-1984
WO 9857166	A1	17-12-1998	AT 232979 T	15-03-2003
			AU 8005998 A	30-12-1998
			CN 1260044 A	12-07-2000
			DE 69811494 D1	27-03-2003
			EP 0988539 A1	29-03-2000
			JP 2001508547 T	26-06-2001
US 5115681	A	26-05-1992	EP 0395475 A1	31-10-1990
			FR 2646239 A1	26-10-1990
			JP 2297059 A	07-12-1990
US 4352167	A	28-09-1982	JP 1430030 C	09-03-1988
			JP 56042114 A	20-04-1981
			JP 62036165 B	05-08-1987