



US010653556B2

(12) **United States Patent**
Canovas Vidal et al.

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 10,653,556 B2**

(45) **Date of Patent:** **May 19, 2020**

(54) **LENSES, SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR PROVIDING BINOCULAR CUSTOMIZED TREATMENTS TO CORRECT PRESBYOPIA**

(58) **Field of Classification Search**

None

See application file for complete search history.

(71) Applicant: **AMO GRONINGEN B.V.**, Groningen (NL)

(56) **References Cited**

(72) Inventors: **Carmen Canovas Vidal**, Groningen (NL); **Marrie H. Van Der Mooren**, Englebert (NL); **Luuk Franssen**, Groningen (NL); **Hendrik A. Weeber**, Groningen (NL)

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

2,077,092 A 4/1937 Broder
3,305,294 A 2/1967 Alvarez
3,367,734 A 2/1968 Karl et al.

(Continued)

(73) Assignee: **AMO Groningen B.V.**, Groningen (NL)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 186 days.

CA 2722274 A1 10/2009
CN 1035363 A 9/1989

(Continued)

(21) Appl. No.: **15/665,109**

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

(22) Filed: **Jul. 31, 2017**

International Search Report and Written Opinion for Application No. PCT/IB2013/003173, dated Sep. 2, 2014, 15 pages.

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2017/0326002 A1 Nov. 16, 2017

(Continued)

Related U.S. Application Data

(62) Division of application No. 14/096,544, filed on Dec. 4, 2013, now Pat. No. 9,717,628.

(60) Provisional application No. 61/733,292, filed on Dec. 4, 2012.

Primary Examiner — David H Willse

Assistant Examiner — Javier G Blanco

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Johnson & Johnson Surgical Vision, Inc.

(51) **Int. Cl.**

A61F 9/008 (2006.01)

A61F 2/16 (2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**

CPC **A61F 9/00804** (2013.01); **A61F 2/1621** (2013.01); **A61F 2/1637** (2013.01); **A61F 9/00802** (2013.01); **A61F 9/00825** (2013.01); **A61F 9/00829** (2013.01); **A61F 9/00834** (2013.01); **A61F 9/00838** (2013.01); **A61F 2009/0087** (2013.01);


(Continued)

(57)

ABSTRACT

An apparatus, such as lenses, a system and a method for providing custom ocular aberrations that provide higher visual acuity. The apparatus, system and method include inducing rotationally symmetric aberrations along with an add power in one eye and inducing non-rotationally symmetric aberrations along with an add power in the other eye to provide improved visual acuity at an intermediate distance.

6 Claims, 5 Drawing Sheets



(52) U.S. Cl.

CPC A61F 2009/00872 (2013.01); A61F
2009/00887 (2013.01); A61F 2009/00895
(2013.01)

(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

3,735,685	A	5/1973	Plummer	5,078,742	A	1/1992	Dahan
4,010,496	A	3/1977	Neefe	5,089,023	A	2/1992	Swanson
4,077,071	A	3/1978	Freeman	5,096,285	A	3/1992	Silberman
4,093,361	A	6/1978	Erickson et al.	5,114,220	A	5/1992	Baude et al.
4,134,160	A	1/1979	Bayers	5,117,306	A	5/1992	Cohen
4,162,122	A	7/1979	Cohen	5,120,120	A	6/1992	Cohen
4,174,543	A	11/1979	Kelman	5,121,979	A	6/1992	Cohen
4,210,391	A	7/1980	Cohen et al.	5,121,980	A	6/1992	Cohen
4,249,272	A	2/1981	Poler	5,133,749	A	7/1992	Nordan
4,254,509	A	3/1981	Tennant	5,144,483	A	9/1992	Cohen
4,254,510	A	3/1981	Tennant	5,147,395	A	9/1992	Willis
4,316,293	A	2/1982	Bayers	5,147,397	A	9/1992	Christ et al.
4,319,564	A	3/1982	Karickhoff	5,184,405	A	2/1993	Cress
4,338,005	A	7/1982	Cohen	5,197,981	A	3/1993	Southard
4,340,283	A	7/1982	Cohen et al.	5,201,763	A	4/1993	Brady et al.
4,370,760	A	2/1983	Kelman	5,203,790	A	4/1993	McDonald
4,377,873	A	3/1983	Reichert	5,217,491	A	6/1993	Vanderbilt
4,402,579	A	9/1983	Poler	5,225,858	A	7/1993	Portney
4,403,353	A	9/1983	Tennant	5,225,997	A	7/1993	Lederer et al.
4,404,694	A	9/1983	Kelman	5,229,797	A	7/1993	Futhey et al.
4,409,691	A	10/1983	Levy	5,258,025	A	11/1993	Fedorov et al.
4,424,597	A	1/1984	Schlegel	5,278,592	A	1/1994	Marie et al.
4,446,581	A	5/1984	Blake	5,408,281	A	4/1995	Zhang
4,480,340	A	11/1984	Shepard	5,433,745	A	7/1995	Graham et al.
4,500,382	A	2/1985	Foster	5,476,513	A	12/1995	Brady et al.
4,504,982	A	3/1985	Burk	5,479,220	A	12/1995	Komatsu et al.
4,551,864	A	11/1985	Akhavi	5,567,365	A	10/1996	Weinschenk, III et al.
4,556,998	A	12/1985	Siepsner	5,571,177	A	11/1996	Deacon et al.
4,560,383	A	12/1985	Leiske	5,620,720	A	4/1997	Glick et al.
4,593,981	A	6/1986	Scilipoti	5,628,796	A	5/1997	Suzuki
4,605,409	A	8/1986	Kelman	5,652,638	A	7/1997	Roffman et al.
4,605,411	A	8/1986	Fedorov et al.	5,691,800	A	11/1997	Iki et al.
4,629,460	A	12/1986	Dyer	5,699,142	A	12/1997	Lee et al.
4,629,462	A	12/1986	Feaster	5,715,031	A	2/1998	Roffman et al.
4,636,049	A	1/1987	Blaker	5,716,403	A	2/1998	Tran et al.
4,637,697	A	1/1987	Freeman	5,748,282	A	5/1998	Freeman
4,642,112	A	2/1987	Freeman	5,760,871	A	6/1998	Kosoburd et al.
4,655,565	A	4/1987	Freeman	5,796,462	A	8/1998	Roffman et al.
4,673,406	A	6/1987	Schlegel	5,801,807	A	9/1998	Satake et al.
4,676,791	A	6/1987	LeMaster et al.	5,928,282	A	7/1999	Nigam
4,676,792	A	6/1987	Praeger	5,968,094	A	10/1999	Werblin et al.
4,681,102	A	7/1987	Bartell	6,015,435	A	1/2000	Valunin et al.
4,687,484	A	8/1987	Kaplan	6,051,024	A	4/2000	Cumming
4,687,485	A	8/1987	Lim et al.	6,055,111	A	4/2000	Nomura et al.
RE32,525	E	10/1987	Pannu	6,126,283	A	10/2000	Wen et al.
4,725,277	A	2/1988	Bissonette	6,126,286	A	10/2000	Portney
4,734,095	A	3/1988	Siepsner	6,129,759	A	10/2000	Chambers
4,778,462	A	10/1988	Grendahl	6,142,625	A	11/2000	Sawano et al.
4,781,717	A	11/1988	Grendahl	6,179,870	B1	1/2001	Sourdille et al.
4,787,903	A	11/1988	Grendahl	6,210,005	B1	4/2001	Portney
4,787,904	A	11/1988	Severin et al.	6,235,055	B1	5/2001	Chu
4,795,462	A	1/1989	Grendahl	6,261,321	B1	7/2001	Kellan
4,798,608	A	1/1989	Grendahl	6,319,282	B1	11/2001	Nishi
4,798,609	A	1/1989	Grendahl	6,338,559	B1	1/2002	Williams et al.
4,828,558	A	5/1989	Kelman	6,419,697	B1	7/2002	Kelman
4,834,748	A	5/1989	McDonald	6,457,826	B1	10/2002	Lett
4,863,539	A	9/1989	Lee et al.	6,464,355	B1	10/2002	Gil
4,898,461	A	2/1990	Portney	6,474,814	B1	11/2002	Griffin
4,932,970	A	6/1990	Portney	6,488,708	B2	12/2002	Sarfarazi
4,995,714	A	2/1991	Cohen	6,491,721	B2	12/2002	Freeman et al.
4,995,715	A	2/1991	Cohen	6,527,389	B2	3/2003	Portney
4,997,442	A	3/1991	Barrett	6,533,416	B1	3/2003	Fermigier et al.
5,016,977	A	5/1991	Baude et al.	6,536,899	B1	3/2003	Fiala
5,019,097	A	5/1991	Knight et al.	6,537,317	B1 *	3/2003	Steinert A61F 2/145 623/6.24
5,047,052	A	9/1991	Dubroff	6,547,822	B1	4/2003	Lang
5,054,905	A	10/1991	Cohen	6,554,859	B1	4/2003	Lang et al.
5,056,908	A	10/1991	Cohen	6,557,992	B1	5/2003	Dwyer et al.
5,066,301	A	11/1991	Wiley	6,598,606	B2	7/2003	Terwee et al.
5,071,432	A	12/1991	Baikoff	6,609,793	B2	8/2003	Norby et al.
				6,705,729	B2	3/2004	Piers et al.
				6,709,102	B2	3/2004	Duppstadt
				6,802,605	B2	10/2004	Cox et al.
				6,808,262	B2	10/2004	Chapoy et al.
				6,830,332	B2	12/2004	Piers et al.
				6,846,326	B2	1/2005	Zadno-Azizi et al.
				6,851,803	B2	2/2005	Wooley et al.
				6,899,425	B2	5/2005	Roffman et al.
				6,923,539	B2	8/2005	Simpson et al.

(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

6,923,540 B2 8/2005 Ye et al.
 6,986,578 B2 1/2006 Jones
 7,036,931 B2 5/2006 Lindacher et al.
 7,048,760 B2 5/2006 Cumming
 7,061,693 B2 6/2006 Zalevsky
 7,073,906 B1 7/2006 Portney
 7,137,702 B2 11/2006 Piers et al.
 7,156,516 B2 1/2007 Morris et al.
 7,188,949 B2 3/2007 Bandhauer et al.
 7,287,852 B2 10/2007 Fiala
 7,293,873 B2 11/2007 Dai et al.
 7,365,917 B2 4/2008 Zalevsky
 7,377,640 B2 5/2008 Piers et al.
 7,441,894 B2 10/2008 Zhang et al.
 7,455,404 B2 11/2008 Bandhauer et al.
 7,455,407 B2 11/2008 Neal et al.
 7,475,986 B2 1/2009 Dai et al.
 7,615,073 B2 11/2009 Deacon et al.
 7,616,330 B2 11/2009 Neal et al.
 7,713,299 B2 5/2010 Brady et al.
 7,794,497 B2 9/2010 Brady et al.
 7,857,451 B2 12/2010 Thibos et al.
 7,871,162 B2 1/2011 Weeber
 7,993,398 B2 8/2011 Deacon et al.
 8,002,827 B2 8/2011 Deacon et al.
 8,018,164 B2 9/2011 Shannon et al.
 8,231,219 B2 7/2012 Weeber
 8,241,354 B2 8/2012 Hong et al.
 8,382,281 B2 2/2013 Weeber
 8,430,508 B2 4/2013 Weeber
 8,480,228 B2 7/2013 Weeber
 8,573,775 B2 11/2013 Weeber
 8,747,466 B2 6/2014 Weeber et al.
 8,770,745 B2 7/2014 Lindacher et al.
 8,862,447 B2 10/2014 Weeber
 9,335,563 B2 5/2016 Weeber
 2001/0035935 A1 11/2001 Bhalakia et al.
 2001/0051825 A1 12/2001 Peterson
 2002/0118337 A1 8/2002 Perrott et al.
 2002/0173846 A1 11/2002 Blake et al.
 2002/0196408 A1 12/2002 Bhalakia et al.
 2002/0196412 A1 12/2002 Abitbol
 2003/0076478 A1 4/2003 Cox
 2003/0171808 A1 9/2003 Phillips
 2004/0054358 A1 3/2004 Cox
 2004/0068317 A1 4/2004 Knight
 2004/0085515 A1 5/2004 Roffman et al.
 2004/0106992 A1 6/2004 Lang et al.
 2004/0111153 A1 6/2004 Woods et al.
 2004/0150790 A1 8/2004 Roffman et al.
 2004/0156014 A1 8/2004 Piers et al.
 2004/0167622 A1 8/2004 Sunalp et al.
 2005/0096226 A1 5/2005 Stock et al.
 2005/0125056 A1 6/2005 Deacon et al.
 2005/0128432 A1 6/2005 Altmann
 2005/0203619 A1 9/2005 Altmann
 2005/0251254 A1 11/2005 Brady et al.
 2005/0267575 A1 12/2005 Nguyen et al.
 2006/0009816 A1 1/2006 Fang et al.
 2006/0030938 A1 2/2006 Altmann
 2006/0066808 A1 3/2006 Blum et al.
 2006/0068453 A1 3/2006 Altieri
 2006/0109421 A1 5/2006 Ye et al.
 2006/0116763 A1 6/2006 Simpson
 2006/0116764 A1 6/2006 Simpson
 2006/0116765 A1 6/2006 Blake et al.
 2006/0238702 A1 10/2006 Glick et al.
 2006/0244904 A1 11/2006 Hong et al.
 2006/0244916 A1 11/2006 Guillon
 2006/0279700 A1 12/2006 Liang
 2007/0052920 A1 3/2007 Stewart et al.
 2007/0129803 A1 6/2007 Cumming et al.
 2007/0171362 A1 7/2007 Simpson et al.
 2007/0182924 A1 8/2007 Hong et al.
 2007/0268453 A1 11/2007 Hong et al.

2007/0279585 A1 12/2007 Bartoli
 2008/0018910 A1 1/2008 Neal et al.
 2008/0030677 A1 2/2008 Simpson
 2008/0161914 A1 7/2008 Brady et al.
 2008/0231809 A1 9/2008 Haigis
 2008/0273169 A1 11/2008 Blum et al.
 2008/0291393 A1 11/2008 Menezes
 2009/0012609 A1 1/2009 Geraghty et al.
 2009/0036980 A1 2/2009 Norrby et al.
 2009/0051876 A1 2/2009 Seiler et al.
 2009/0062911 A1 3/2009 Bogaert
 2009/0088840 A1 4/2009 Simpson et al.
 2009/0164008 A1 6/2009 Hong et al.
 2009/0210054 A1* 8/2009 Weeber A61F 2/1613
 623/6.11
 2009/0234448 A1 9/2009 Weeber et al.
 2009/0279048 A1 11/2009 Hong et al.
 2009/0323020 A1 12/2009 Zhao et al.
 2010/0016965 A1 1/2010 Hong et al.
 2010/0082017 A1 4/2010 Zickler et al.
 2010/0100178 A1 4/2010 Weeber et al.
 2010/0161048 A1 6/2010 Schaper, Jr.
 2010/0274234 A1 10/2010 Liang
 2012/0078239 A1 3/2012 Reinstein et al.
 2012/0123534 A1 5/2012 Yoon et al.
 2012/0140166 A1 6/2012 Zhao
 2012/0143326 A1 6/2012 Canovas Vidal et al.
 2012/0320334 A1 12/2012 Ho et al.
 2013/0335701 A1 12/2013 Canovas Vidal et al.

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

CN 1039487 A 2/1990
 CN 1406120 A 3/2003
 CN 1833192 A 9/2006
 DE 8107675 U1 7/1981
 EP 226400 A2 6/1987
 EP 227357 A2 7/1987
 EP 0343067 A1 11/1989
 EP 0457553 A2 11/1991
 EP 681198 A1 11/1995
 EP 0926531 A1 6/1999
 EP 949529 A2 10/1999
 EP 957331 A2 11/1999
 EP 1424049 A1 6/2004
 EP 1310267 B1 1/2008
 EP 1424049 B1 6/2009
 EP 2182891 B1 4/2014
 FR 2745711 A1 9/1997
 JP H0255314 A 2/1990
 WO 8603961 A1 7/1986
 WO 9222264 A1 12/1992
 WO 9303409 A1 2/1993
 WO 9507487 A1 3/1995
 WO 9856315 A1 12/1998
 WO 0019906 A1 4/2000
 WO 0111418 A1 2/2001
 WO 0135868 A1 5/2001
 WO 0154569 A1 8/2001
 WO 0163344 A1 8/2001
 WO 0182839 A1 11/2001
 WO 0189424 A1 11/2001
 WO 0221194 A2 3/2002
 WO 03009053 A1 1/2003
 WO 2004034129 A1 4/2004
 WO 2004090611 A2 10/2004
 WO 2004096014 A2 11/2004
 WO 05019906 A1 3/2005
 WO 06025726 A1 3/2006
 WO 2006032263 A2 3/2006
 WO 2006047698 A1 5/2006
 WO 06060477 A2 6/2006
 WO 2006060480 A2 6/2006
 WO 2007067872 A2 6/2007
 WO 2007092948 A1 8/2007
 WO 2007133384 A2 11/2007
 WO 2008045847 A2 4/2008
 WO 2008083283 A2 7/2008
 WO 2009020963 A1 2/2009

(56)

References Cited

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

WO	2009029515	A1	3/2009
WO	2009058755	A1	5/2009
WO	2009076670	A1	6/2009
WO	2009137491	A1	11/2009
WO	2010009254	A1	1/2010
WO	2010009257	A1	1/2010
WO	2011028659	A1	3/2011
WO	2013080053	A1	6/2013

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Zheleznyak L., et al., "Modified Monovision to Improve Binocular Through-Focus Visual Performance," ARVO Meeting Abstracts Apr. 22, 2011, Apr. 4, 2011, [retrieved on May 8, 2013], Retrieved from the Internet.

Alfonso J.F., et al., "Prospective Study of the Acri.LISA Bifocal Intraocular Lens," *Journal of Cataract Refractive Surgery*, Nov. 2007, vol. 33 (11), pp. 1930-1935.

Alio J.L., et al., "Phakic Anterior Chamber Lenses for the Correction of Myopia: A 7-Year Cumulative Analysis of Complications in 263 Cases," *Ophthalmology*, Mar. 1999, vol. 106 (3), pp. 458-466.

Apple D.J., et al., "Anterior Chamber Lenses Part 1: Complications and Pathology and a Review of Designs," *Journal of Cataract Refractive Surgery*, Mar. 1987, vol. 13 (2), pp. 157-174.

Apple D.J., et al., Eds., "Intraocular Lenses: Evolution, Designs, Complications and Pathology," in: *New Concepts in Intraocular Lens Implantation*, Williams & Wilkins publisher, Jan. 1989, vol. 22 (36), pp. 205-221.

Apple D.J., et al., Eds., "Intraocular Lenses: Evolution, Designs, Complications and Pathology," in: *New Concepts in Intraocular Lens Implantation*, Williams & Wilkins publisher, Jan. 1989, vol. 36 (1), pp. 21-36.

Baikoff G., et al., "Angle-fixed Anterior Chamber Phakic Intraocular Lens for Myopia 7 to -19 Diopters," *Journal of Refractive Surgery*, May-Jun. 1998, vol. 14 (3), pp. 282-292.

Canovas C., et al., "Hybrid Adaptive-Optics Visual Simulator," *Optical Letters*, Jan. 15, 2010, vol. 35 (2), pp. 196-198.

Cheng X., et al., "Predicting Subjective Judgment of Best Focus with Objective Image Quality Metrics," *Journal of Vision*, Apr. 2004, vol. 4 (4), pp. 310-321.

Cilco Advertisement Brochure, Oct. 1982, 3 pages.

Cohen A.L., "Practical Design of a Bifocal Hologram Contact Lens or Intraocular Lens," *Applied Optics*, Jul. 1, 1992, vol. 31 (19), pp. 3750-3754.

De Almeida M.S., et al., "Different Schematic Eyes and their Accuracy to the in Vivo Eye: A Quantitative Comparison Study," *Brazilian Journal of Physics*, Jun. 2007, vol. 37 (2A), 10 pages.

Diffraction Lenses for Extended Depth of Focus and Presbyopic Correction, Presentation from Wavefront Congress held on Feb. 15, 2008, Rochester, New York.

Doskolovich L.L., et al., "Special Diffractive Lenses," *Lens and Optical Systems Design*, Apr. 1992, vol. 1780, pp. 393-402.

Kim J.H., et al., "The Analysis of Predicted Capsular Bag Diameter using Modified Model of Capsule Measuring Ring in Asians," *Clinical and Experimental Ophthalmology*, Apr. 2008, vol. 36 (3), pp. 238-244.

Liou H.L., et al., "Anatomically Accurate, Finite Model Eye for Optical Modeling," *Journal of Optical Society of America*, Aug. 1997, vol. 14 (8), pp. 1684-1695.

Liou H.L., et al., "The Prediction of Spherical Aberration with Schematic Eyes," *Ophthalmic and Physiological Optics*, Jan. 1996, vol. 16 (4), pp. 348-354.

Marinho A., "Results are Encouraging for Phakic IOLs, but More Work is needed," *Refractive Surgery*, Feb. 2000, p. 12, 15.

Marsack J.D., et al., "Metrics of Optical Quality Derived from Wave Aberrations Predict Visual Performance," *Journal of Vision*, Apr. 2004, vol. 4 (4), pp. 322-328.

Menapace R., "The Capsular Tension Rings," *Journal of Cataract & Refractive Surgery*, Dec. 10, 2008, Chap. 3, pp. 27-44.

Monsoriu J.A., et al., "Devil's Lenses," *Optics Express*, Oct. 17, 2007, vol. 15 (21), pp. 13858-13864.

Navarro R., et al., "Accommodation-Dependent Model of the Human Eye with Aspherics," *Journal of the Optical Society of America*, Aug. 1985, vol. 2 (8), pp. 1273-1281.

Nio Y.K., et al., "Effect of Intraocular Lens Implantation on Visual Acuity, Contrast Sensitivity, and Depth of Focus," *Journal of Cataract and Refractive Surgery*, Nov. 2003, vol. 29 (11), pp. 2073-2081.

Norrbj S., et al., "Model Eyes for Evaluation of Intraocular Lenses," *Applied Optics*, Sep. 7, 2007, vol. 46 (26), pp. 6595-6605.

Olsen T., "Simple Method to Calculate the Surgically Induced Refractive Change," *Journal of Cataract & Refractive Surgery*, Mar. 1993, vol. 19 (2), pp. 319-320.

Piers P.A., et al., "Eye Models for the Prediction of Contrast Vision in Patients with New Intraocular Lens Designs," *Optics Letters*, Apr. 1, 2004, vol. 29 (7), pp. 733-735.

Piers P.A., et al., "Theoretical Comparison of Aberration-Correcting Customized and Aspheric Intraocular Lenses," *Journal of Refractive Surgery*, Apr. 2007, vol. 23 (4), pp. 374-384.

Praeger D.L., "Praeger Technique for the Insertion of the Copeland Radial IOL Posterior Chamber Placement," *Copeland Lens*, 1982, 7 pages.

Siedlecki D., et al., "Radial Gradient index Intraocular Lens: a Theoretical Model," *Journal of Modern Optics*, Feb. 20-Mar. 10, 2008, vol. 55 (4-5), pp. 639-647.

Strenn K., et al., "Capsular bag Shrinkage after Implantation of an Open-Loop Silicone Lens and a Poly(methyl methacrylate) Capsule Tension Ring," *Journal of Cataract and Refractive Surgery*, Dec. 1997, vol. 23 (10), pp. 1543-1547.

Tehrani M., et al., "Capsule Measuring Ring to Predict Capsular Bag Diameter and Follow its Course after Foldable Intraocular Lens Implantation," *Journal of Cataract Refractive Surgery*, Nov. 2003, vol. 29 (11), pp. 2127-2134.

Terwee T., et al., "Visualization of the Retinal Image in an Eye Model With Spherical and Aspheric, Diffractive, and Refractive Multifocal Intraocular Lenses," *Journal of Refractive Surgery*, Mar. 2008, vol. 24 (3), pp. 223-232.

Van Den Berg T.J., "Analysis of Intraocular Straylight, Especially in Relation to Age," *Optometry and Vision Science*, Feb. 1995, vol. 72 (2), pp. 52-59.

Van Meeteren A., "Calculations on the Optical Modulation Transfer Function of the Human Eye for White Light," *Optica Acta*, May 1974, vol. 21 (5), pp. 395-412.

Vass C., et al., "Prediction of Pseudophakic Capsular bag Diameter based on Biometric Variables," *Journal of Cataract and Refractive Surgery*, Oct. 1999, vol. 25 (10), pp. 1376-1381.

Venter, J.A., et al., "Visual Outcomes and Patient Satisfaction with a Rotational Asymmetric Refractive Intraocular Lens for Emmetropic Presbyopia," *Cataract & Refractive Surgery*, Mar. 2015, vol. 41 (3), pp. 585-593.

Villegas E.A., et al., "Correlation between Optical and Psychophysics Parameters as a Function of Defocus," *Optometry and Vision Science*, Jan. 1, 2002, vol. 79 (1), pp. 60-67.

* cited by examiner




Figure 1




Figure 2 A




FIGURE 2 B




Figure 3




Figure 4A1




Figure 4A2




Figure 4A3




Figure 4A4




Figure 4A5

1

LENSES, SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR PROVIDING BINOCULAR CUSTOMIZED TREATMENTS TO CORRECT PRESBYOPIA

CROSS-REFERENCES TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application claims priority to and is a divisional of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 14/096,544, filed on Dec. 4, 2013, which claims priority to U.S. provisional application No. 61/733,292 filed on Dec. 4, 2012, the entire contents of which are incorporated herein by reference.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Field of the Invention

This invention relates generally to correction of eye defects, and more specifically, to a system, method and apparatus for providing binocular customized treatments for remedying presbyopia.

Description of the Related Art

Surgery on the human eye has become commonplace in recent years. Many patients pursue eye surgery as an elective procedure to treat an adverse eye condition, such as to avoid the use of contact lenses or glasses. One eye condition that can be treated surgically is presbyopia. A patient suffering from presbyopia lacks the capability of the eye lens to accommodate or bend and thus to see at far distance and at near distance. Presbyopia can be induced by age and/or pseudophakia (a condition in which a natural lens has been replaced with an intraocular lens).

Several treatment options are available for presbyopia. For example, multifocal intraocular lenses, extended depth of focus lenses, corneal inlays or other accommodating intraocular lenses can be surgically implanted in the eye of a patient suffering from presbyopia to allow the patient to focus and refocus between near and far objects. Another treatment option available to patients suffering from presbyopia is based on monovision. In this option, generally the dominant eye is targeted for distant vision and the non-dominant eye is targeted for near vision. This can be achieved by implanting the dominant eye with an intraocular lens (IOL) having a power that achieves plano refraction such that the dominant eye has no refractive error and by implanting the non-dominant eye with an IOL that has an add power between 1.0-2.0 Diopter over the dominant eye.

However, such an approach can result in sub-optimal intermediate vision if the non-dominant eye is targeted for near vision or sub-optimal near vision if the non-dominant eye is targeted for intermediate vision. Additionally, some patients may not tolerate the refractive differences in the dominant and non-dominant eye.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

Multifocal intraocular lenses (IOLs) providing two or more optical powers, for example, one for near vision and one for distant vision, can be implanted in the eye of a patient suffering from presbyopia to overcome some of the disadvantages of monovision. Although multifocal IOLs can lead to improved quality of vision for many patients, some patients can experience undesirable visual effects (dysphotopsia), e.g. glare or halos. For example, if light from a distant point source is imaged onto the retina by the distant

2

focus of a multifocal IOL, the near focus of the multifocal IOL will simultaneously superimpose a defocused image on top of the image formed by the distant focus. This defocused image may manifest itself in the form of a ring of light surrounding the in-focus image, and is referred to as a halo. Rotationally symmetric multifocal designs present symmetric halo shapes, while non-rotationally symmetric multifocal lenses present asymmetric halos. Additionally, intermediate vision may be compromised in multifocal IOLs that are configured to provide near and distant vision.

Thus, there exists a need for an ophthalmic solution that provides improved vision at all of near, far and intermediate distances while reducing dysphotopsia. One approach to provide improved vision at all of near, far and intermediate distances while reducing dysphotopsia can be a lens with an extended depth of focus. Without subscribing to any particular, a lens with an extended depth of focus can image objects with a certain distance of its focal point with acceptable sharpness on the retina. Thus, an extended depth of focus lens can produce images with acceptable sharpness for objects located at intermediate to far distances or for objects located at near to intermediate distances. Various techniques for extending the depth of focus of a lens have been proposed. For example, some approaches are based on intraocular lenses with refractive or diffractive zones with different powers.

The embodiments disclosed herein include various ophthalmic lens solutions (such as, for example, contact lenses, IOLs, phakic IOLs, corneal inlays, as well as corneal reshaping procedures such as, laser treatments, or combinations of thereof etc.) for treating ophthalmic conditions in both eyes to enhance visual acuity at near, intermediate and far distances, and therefore provide a full range of vision. In various embodiments disclosed herein, binocular extension of depth of focus is achieved by inducing rotationally symmetric aberrations (e.g. fourth and/or higher order spherical aberrations) in one eye and by inducing non-rotationally symmetric aberrations (e.g. astigmatism, coma and/or trefoil) in the other eye to enhance visual acuity at an intermediate distance. In another aspect, binocular extension of depth of focus is achieved by a rotationally symmetric diffractive lens solution in one eye and a rotationally asymmetric diffractive lens solution in the other eye. In another aspect, binocular extension of depth of focus is achieved by a rotationally symmetric refractive lens solution in one eye and a rotationally asymmetric refractive lens solution in the other eye. In another aspect, visual acuity at an intermediate distance can be enhanced by applying scaled version of natural aberrations that are present in the patient's eye, as referred in U.S. patent application Ser. No. 13/690,505 filed on Nov. 30, 2012 and entitled Lenses, Systems and Methods For Providing Custom Aberration Treatments And Monovision To Correct Presbyopia which is incorporated herein by reference, in addition to inducing rotationally symmetric and asymmetric aberrations. In an alternative embodiment, the aberration patterns (e.g. fourth and/or higher order spherical aberrations and astigmatism, coma and/or trefoil) may also be imposed on the top of monovision, achieved with either refractive or diffractive techniques or on the top of a mix and match of multifocal or extended depth of focus lenses, which may also combine symmetric and asymmetric concepts binocularly.

In various embodiments, a binocular vision simulator can be used to determine the amount and type of aberrations to be induced in each eye. The combination that provides the best through focus performance as well as the patient's comfort is chosen. An example method of implementing the

concepts discussed herein includes: (i) determining with a binocular vision simulator a first amount of rotationally symmetric or non-rotationally symmetric aberration which provides visual acuity for intermediate and distant vision in a first eye; (ii) determining with the binocular vision simulator a second amount of rotationally symmetric or non-rotationally symmetric aberration which provides visual acuity for intermediate and near vision in a second eye; (iii) applying the first amount of rotationally symmetric or non-rotationally symmetric aberration in the first eye; and (iv) applying the second amount of non-rotationally symmetric or rotationally symmetric aberration in the second eye, wherein a rotationally symmetric aberration pattern is applied to the second eye if a non-rotationally symmetric aberration pattern is applied to the first eye and vice-versa. Binocular visual acuity or just visual perception at different defocus position may be tested in those conditions. The test may also be performed in the presence of rotationally symmetric and asymmetric diffractive profiles once applied using the binocular visual simulator, with a goal of determining the combination of aberrations and diffractive ophthalmic solutions which provide a continuous range of vision.

Various embodiments disclosed herein include lenses, methods and systems that can correct presbyopia by extending the depth of focus for lenses that are configured to provide distant or near vision such that improved intermediate vision is provided by binocular summation.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Understanding of the present invention will be facilitated by consideration of the following detailed description of the preferred embodiments of the present invention taken in conjunction with the accompanying drawings, in which like numerals refer to like parts, and in which:

FIG. 1 is a diagram illustrating the relevant structures and distances of the human eye.

FIGS. 2A and 2B are flowcharts of an implementation of a method used to determine the combination of aberrations that can be induced to provide vision acuity at near, far and intermediate distances.

FIG. 3 is a diagram illustrating aspects of a system that can be used to implement the method described in FIG. 2.

FIG. 4A1-4A5 shows various embodiments of lenses having rotationally asymmetric diffractive features.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

It is to be understood that the figures and descriptions of the present invention have been simplified to illustrate elements that are relevant for a clear understanding of the present invention, while eliminating, for the purpose of clarity, many other elements found in typical lenses, lens systems and lens design methods. Those of ordinary skill in the arts can recognize that other elements and/or steps are desirable and may be used in implementing the embodiments described herein.

The embodiments described herein are directed to an ophthalmic lens, such as an intraocular lens (IOL), or a corneal implant, and other vision correction methodologies, such as laser treatments, and a system and method relating to same, for providing rotationally symmetric aberration patterns in one eye and non-rotationally symmetric aberration

patterns in the other eye in order to induce a binocular customized treatment that achieves visual acuity at a range of distances.

The terms “power” or “optical power” are used herein to indicate the ability of a lens, an optic, an optical surface, or at least a portion of an optical surface, to redirect incident light for the purpose of forming a real or virtual focal point. Optical power may result from reflection, refraction, diffraction, or some combination thereof and is generally expressed in units of Diopters. One of ordinary skill in the art will appreciate that the optical power of a surface, lens, or optic is generally equal to the reciprocal of the focal length of the surface, lens, or optic, when the focal length is expressed in units of meters.

FIG. 1 is a schematic drawing of a human eye 200. Light enters the eye from the left of FIG. 1, and passes through the cornea 210, the anterior chamber 220, a pupil defined by the iris 230, and enters lens 240. After passing through the lens 240, light passes through the vitreous chamber 250, and strikes the retina 260, which detects the light and converts it to a signal transmitted through the optic nerve to the brain (not shown). Cornea 210 has corneal thickness (CT), which is the distance between the anterior and posterior surfaces of the center of the cornea 210. Anterior chamber 220 has an anterior chamber depth (ACD), which is the distance between the posterior surface of the cornea 210 and the anterior surface of the lens 240. Lens 240 has lens thickness (LT) which is the distance between the anterior and posterior surfaces of the lens 240. The eye has an axial length (AXL) which is the distance between the center of the anterior surface of the cornea 210 and the fovea of the retina 260, where the image is focused.

The anterior chamber 220 is filled with aqueous humor, and optically communicates through the lens 240 with the vitreous chamber 250. The vitreous chamber 250 is filled with vitreous humor and occupies the largest volume in the eye. The average adult eye has an ACD of about 3.15 mm, although the ACD typically shallows by about 0.01 mm per year. Further, the ACD is dependent on the accommodative state of the lens, i.e., whether the lens 240 is focusing on an object that is near or far.

The quality of the image that reaches the retina is related to the amount and type of optical aberrations that each patient's eye presents. The ocular surfaces that generally contribute to ocular aberrations are the anterior cornea and the lens. Although, all optical aberrations will affect the quality (e.g. blur) of the image produced on the eye, some aberrations do not necessarily affect the sharpness and the clarity of the object as seen by the patient due to neural compensation. Various embodiments described herein take into account the neural compensation that allows a patient to perceive objects sharply and clearly even in the presence of optical aberrations.

The term “near vision,” as used herein, refers to vision provided by at least a portion of the natural lens in a phakic eye or an intraocular lens in a pseudophakic eye, wherein objects relatively close to a patient are substantially in focus on the retina of the patient's eye. The term “near vision” generally corresponds to the vision provided when objects are at a distance from the patient's eye of between about 25 cm to about 50 cm. The term “distant vision” or “far vision,” as used herein, refers to vision provided by at least a portion of the natural lens in a phakic eye or an intraocular lens in a pseudophakic eye, wherein objects relatively far from the patient are substantially in focus on the retina of the patient's eye. The term “distant vision” generally corresponds to the vision provided when objects are at a distance of at least

about 2 m or greater. As used herein, the “dominant eye” is defined as the eye of the patient that predominates for distant vision, as defined above. The term “intermediate vision,” as used herein, refers to vision provided by at least a portion of the natural lens in a phakic eye or an intraocular lens in a pseudophakic eye, wherein objects at an intermediate distance from the patient are substantially in focus on the retina of the patient’s eye. Intermediate vision generally corresponds to vision provided when objects are at a distance of about 2 m to about 50 cm from the patient’s eye.

As used herein, an IOL refers to an optical component that is implanted into the eye of a patient. The IOL comprises an optic, or clear portion, for focusing light, and may also include one or more haptics that are attached to the optic and serve to center the optic in the eye between the pupil and the retina along an optical axis. In various implementations, the haptic can couple the optic to zonular fibers of the eye. The optic has an anterior surface and a posterior surface, each having a particular shape that contributes to the refractive properties of the lens.

In the embodiments disclosed herein aberrations and/or additional optical power are provided in a patient’s dominant and non-dominant eye to increase depth of focus and to provide improved distant, near and intermediate vision. In some embodiments, rotationally and/or non-rotationally symmetric aberrations can be induced in the patient’s dominant and/or non-dominant eye, such that the patient is able to have visual acuity for a wide range of distances. In some embodiments, an optical add power between about +0.5 Diopters and +2.0 Diopters can be provided in addition to inducing rotationally and/or non-rotationally symmetric aberrations. In various embodiments, the optical power and the aberration pattern provided in each eye is selected such that one eye (e.g. the dominant eye) has an extended depth of focus to provide visual acuity at far and intermediate distances and the other eye (e.g. the non-dominant eye) has an extended depth of focus to provide visual acuity at near and intermediate distances. Improved visual acuity at an intermediate distance is obtained due to binocular summation, which is a process by which the brain combines the information it receives from the dominant and the non-dominant eye.

In one aspect, extended depth of focus can be obtained by implanting a first IOL in the first eye and a second IOL in the second eye. The first IOL is selected to have a first optical power and a first optical aberration pattern (e.g. rotationally symmetric or non-rotationally symmetric) to provide visual acuity above a certain threshold for objects located at far to intermediate distances. The second IOL is selected to have a second optical power and a second optical aberration pattern (e.g. rotationally symmetric or non-rotationally symmetric) to provide visual acuity above a certain threshold for objects located at near to intermediate distances. The IOLs can be monofocal or multifocal. In various embodiments, the IOLs can include diffractive features, which may also be rotationally symmetric or asymmetric. The IOLs may also include refractive features, which may also be rotationally symmetric or asymmetric.

In another aspect, extended depth of focus can be obtained by shaping the cornea and/or the lens of the first eye to have a first optical power and a first optical aberration pattern (e.g. rotationally symmetric or non-rotationally symmetric) to provide visual acuity above a certain threshold for objects located at far to intermediate distances; and shaping the cornea and/or the lens of the second eye to have a second optical power and a second optical aberration pattern (e.g. rotationally symmetric or non-rotationally symmetric) to

provide visual acuity above a certain threshold for objects located at near to intermediate distances. The shaping of the cornea or the natural lens can be performed by known methods, such as, for example using picosecond or femtosecond laser. Laser ablation procedures can remove a targeted amount stroma of a cornea to change a cornea’s contour and adjust for aberrations. In known systems, a laser beam often comprises a series of discrete pulses of laser light energy, with a total shape and amount of tissue removed being determined by a shape, size, location, and/or number of laser energy pulses impinging on a cornea. In an alternative embodiment, the treatment may combine laser and cataract surgery. While during cataract surgery, IOLs implanted may be generating the desired configuration of added powers, with either refractive or diffractive concepts, the combination of rotationally symmetric and non-rotationally symmetric aberrations may be created in a posterior laser treatment that may be applied either in the corneal or in these implanted IOLs. In some embodiments, extended depth of focus can be provided by designing a first lens for use in a first eye, and a second lens for use in a second eye. The first and the second lens can be corneal implants, contact lenses or lenses for use in spectacles. The first and second lenses can be monofocal or multifocal. The first lens has an optical power and an optical aberration pattern that provides distant to intermediate vision. The second lens has an optical power and an optical aberration pattern that provides near to intermediate vision.

In various embodiments described herein rotationally symmetric aberrations (e.g. fourth and higher order spherical aberration terms) are induced in one eye while non-rotationally symmetric aberrations (e.g. astigmatism, coma and trefoil or combination thereof) are induced in the other eye to provide extended depth of focus. In various embodiments, the rotationally symmetric and non-rotationally symmetric aberrations can be superimposed over the naturally occurring aberrations in the eye. In some embodiments, the naturally occurring aberrations in the patient’s eye may be corrected or scaled, as detailed in U.S. patent application Ser. No. 13/690,505 referenced above, in addition to inducing the rotationally symmetric or non-rotationally symmetric aberrations.

Rotationally symmetric aberrations, such as, for example including higher order spherical aberration terms can generate a uniform blur. In some instances, this uniform blur can translate into rotationally symmetric halos. On the other hand, non-rotationally symmetric aberrations can generate asymmetric halos which may be more tolerable as compared to rotationally symmetric halos. Providing rotationally symmetric aberrations in one eye and non-rotationally symmetric aberrations in the other eye can advantageously improve intermediate vision and extend depth of focus while reducing the impairments caused by rotationally symmetric halos. In some instances, combining spherical and/or non-rotationally symmetric with monovision can also improve stereopsis, which is related to depth perception.

The amount and type of rotationally symmetric and non-rotationally symmetric aberrations to be induced in each eye can be tested. A visual simulator was used to study whether the amount of aberrations that can be induced to produce a loss of one line in visual acuity is constant through the population. In order to do that, the monocular visual acuity of 5 subjects was measured under cycloplegic conditions when natural aberrations were corrected and those corresponding with an average pseudophakic eye were induced (0.15 μ m RMS for a 5 mm pupil). Then, the amount of either spherical aberration or positive vertical coma that

produced a loss of visual acuity of 0.1 Log MAR was determined when a physical pupil of 4 mm was imposed. The threshold value for negative SA was the smallest ($-0.16 \pm 0.04 \mu\text{m}$ at 5 mm pupil induction), followed by positive SA ($0.25 \pm 0.05 \mu\text{m}$). The custom threshold for VC was consistently the highest ($0.78 \pm 0.12 \mu\text{m}$), ranging from 0.70 to 0.98 μm s. Therefore, it is safe to consider a threshold of vertical coma and spherical aberration of about 0.8 μm and 0.2 μm , respectively. Those are the values that may be imposed as rotationally symmetric (spherical aberration) and asymmetric (vertical coma), while possibly correcting natural aberrations and inducing those corresponding to the average pseudophakic eye. This application is particularly advantageous for these eyes with a dense cataract which may increase the difficulty of determining potential customized thresholds.

In another embodiment, the vision simulator can be used to individually determine these monocular thresholds to both rotationally and non rotationally symmetric aberrations. As described previously, the visual acuity can be measured at best focus for a certain pupil size (e.g. 3 mm pupil size, 4 mm pupil size, or 5 mm pupil size). Then, the letter size may be increased until the target visual acuity is reached (ie. that corresponding to the measured VA plus $n \times 0.1 \log \text{MAR}$, being n is a number between 0 and 3). Different values of rotationally symmetric aberrations (e.g. higher order spherical aberrations) or non-rotationally symmetric aberrations (e.g. astigmatism, coma or trefoil) are applied to the eye and the threshold value of rotationally symmetric aberrations and non-rotationally symmetric aberrations is determined as the maximum amount of aberration that allows for resolving that letter size, and therefore, provides with measured VA plus $n \times 0.1 \log \text{MAR}$. Once the monocular thresholds are determined for each eye, the corresponding values are presented binocularly to the subject. In one implementation, the binocular threshold values are the value of the rotationally/non-rotationally symmetric aberration that provides the best through focus as well as comfort for the patient. In some instances, an optical add power (e.g. between about +0.5 Diopters and +3.0 Diopters) can be provided to the non-dominant and/or the dominant eye. In an alternative embodiment, rotationally symmetric and asymmetric diffractive designs can also be induced, for the patient to compare between different options.

Another example method **2000** for determining the amount and type of rotationally symmetric and non-rotationally symmetric aberrations to be induced in each eye is illustrated in FIG. 2A. The method includes: (i) determining with a binocular vision simulator a first maximum amount of rotationally symmetric or non-rotationally symmetric aberration which provides distant vision in a first eye, as shown in block **2005**; (ii) determining with the binocular vision simulator a second maximum amount of rotationally symmetric or non-rotationally symmetric aberration which provides near vision in a second eye, as shown in block **2010**; (iii) applying the first maximum amount of rotationally symmetric or non-rotationally symmetric aberration in the first eye, as shown in block **2015**; and (iv) applying the second maximum amount of non-rotationally symmetric or rotationally symmetric aberration in the second eye, as shown in block **2020**. In various embodiments, a rotationally symmetric aberration pattern is applied to the second eye if a non-rotationally symmetric aberration pattern is applied in the first eye and vice-versa.

In one implementation of the method **2000** described above, the maximum amount of rotationally symmetric or non-rotationally symmetric aberration is that value selected

from all possible values of rotationally symmetric or non-rotationally symmetric aberration which provides the highest extended depth of focus monocularly and the highest visual acuity at an intermediate distance binocularly. As previously discussed, the method **2000** can be implemented with IOLs, corneal implants, contact lenses, lenses for use in spectacles, etc. Alternately, the cornea or the lens of the patient can be shaped in accordance with the method **2000** such that rotationally/non-rotationally symmetric aberrations and an add power are induced in one eye to achieve distant vision with an extended depth of focus; and rotationally/non-rotationally symmetric aberrations and an add power are induced in the other eye to achieve near vision with an extended depth of focus.

An example of the procedure is shown at FIG. 2B, in which spherical aberration represents rotationally symmetric aberration term and vertical coma the non-rotationally symmetric aberration term. In order to select the treatment, the thresholds may be customized, while using a visual simulator as previously described or can be taken from those measured in the average population. Once the thresholds have been determined monocularly, the binocular test starts. Different options are shown in FIG. 2B. For a determined letter size, corresponding to a VA between 0 and 0.1 Log MAR, different viewing distances (OD of defocus, corresponding to far, -1.5 D of defocus corresponding to intermediate and -2.5 D of defocus corresponding to far) may be shown to a subject for him/her to subjectively judge the option that is more suitable or comfortable. This test can be performed before cataract surgery, in order to make a customized selection of the lens to implant for the patient and only if the degree of cataract allows for visual simulation. The test can be also performed after the surgery, when the resulting aberration patterns or added powers may be induced as a laser treatment either in the cornea or the IOL itself. FIG. 2B lists potential binocular combinations with the first combinations utilizing a purely refractive (mixed monovision) platform. Symmetric diffractive platforms in combination with asymmetric diffractive platforms as seen, for example, in FIGS. 4A1-4A5 may also be used. Symmetric refractive platforms along with asymmetric refractive platforms, such as seen in U.S. patent application Ser. No. 13/309,314, entitled filed on Dec. 1, 2011, and incorporated herein by reference in its entirety, may also be used.

The IOLs or other ophthalmic devices discussed for use herein may be constructed of any commonly employed material or materials used for rigid optics, such as polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA), or of any commonly used materials for resiliently deformable or foldable optics, such as silicone polymeric materials, acrylic polymeric materials, hydrogel-forming polymeric materials, such as polyhydroxyethylmethacrylate, polyphosphazenes, polyurethanes, and mixtures thereof and the like. The material used preferably forms an optically clear optic and exhibits biocompatibility in the environment of the eye. Additionally, foldable/deformable materials are particularly advantageous for formation of implantable ones of ophthalmic lenses for use in the present invention, in part because lenses made from such deformable materials may be rolled, folded or otherwise deformed and inserted into the eye through a small incision.

In addition to providing visual acuity at near, intermediate and far distances, the ophthalmic solutions (e.g. IOLs, contact lenses, corneal implants, etc.) used herein can correct for other conditions of the eye. For example, the ophthalmic solution can be a toric lens for correcting astigmatism and include rotationally/non-rotationally symmetric

aberrations to provide enhanced visual acuity. As another example, the ophthalmic solution can be an aspheric lens including rotationally/non-rotationally symmetric aberrations to provide enhanced visual acuity. As yet another example, the ophthalmic solution can be a combination of refractive and diffractive features that are rotationally/non-rotationally symmetric to provide enhanced visual acuity.

The methods described herein can be performed by using instruments that are known to a person having ordinary skill in the art. An instrument to implement the methods described herein can comprise a set of apparatuses, including a set of apparatuses from different manufacturers that are configured to perform the necessary measurements and calculations. Any instrument comprising all needed measurements (ocular and corneal wavefront aberration measurements) as well as the needed calculations to implement the methods described herein, including but not limited to the method **2000** can be considered as an inventive embodiment. FIG. **3** is a block diagram illustrating an embodiment of a clinical system **3000** that can be used to implement the methods described herein, including but not limited to the method **2000**. The system **3000** includes one or more apparatuses capable of performing the calculations, assessments and comparisons set forth in determining the rotationally/non-rotationally symmetric aberration patterns and the add power that provide enhanced visual acuity at near, intermediate and far distances. The system **3000** may include a biometric reader **3001** (e.g. a binocular vision simulator), a processor **3002**, and a computer readable memory or medium **3004** coupled to the processor **3002**. The computer readable memory **3004** includes therein an array of ordered values **3008** and sequences of instructions **3010** which, when executed by the processor **3002**, cause the processor **3002** to select the rotationally/non-rotationally symmetric aberration pattern that provides distant vision with an extended depth of focus in one eye and near vision with an extended depth of focus in the other eye such that an enhanced visual acuity at an intermediate distance is obtained by binocular summation.

The array of ordered values **3008** can include one or more desired refractive outcomes, data obtained from measurements of the patient's eye, data related to one or more types of available ophthalmic solutions, a set of all possible rotationally/non-rotationally symmetric aberration patterns, parameters of refractive and diffractive features, etc. In some embodiments, the sequence of instructions **3010** can include algorithms to perform calculations, customization, simulation, comparison, etc.

The processor **3002** may be embodied in a general purpose desktop, laptop, tablet or mobile computer, and/or may comprise hardware and/or software associated with inputs **3001**. In certain embodiments, the system **3000** may be configured to be electronically coupled to another device, such as one or more instruments for obtaining measurements of an eye or a plurality of eyes. Alternatively, the system **3000** may be adapted to be electronically and/or wirelessly coupled to one or more other devices.

The system illustrated in FIG. **3** can be used for selecting the rotationally/non-rotationally symmetric optical aberration patterns in blocks **2005** and **2010** of the method **2000**. For example, the clinical measurements provided by the reader **3001**, can be used to determine which rotationally/non-rotationally symmetric optical treatments stored in the processor **3002** provides distant vision with an extended depth of focus in one eye and near vision with an extended

depth of focus in the other eye such that an enhanced visual acuity at an intermediate distance is obtained by binocular summation.

As discussed above, the methods described herein can be implemented in lenses (e.g. IOLs, contact lenses, lenses for use with spectacles, etc.). For example, in some embodiments, the lenses can be monofocal lenses that provide distant or near vision that include rotationally/non-rotationally symmetric aberration pattern for providing visual acuity for object located at intermediate distance. As another example, in some embodiments, the lenses can be multifocal lenses providing distant and near vision and further including rotationally/non-rotationally symmetric aberration pattern for providing visual acuity for object located at intermediate distance. As yet another example, in some embodiments, the lenses can have refractive or diffractive features that are symmetric or asymmetric such that improved visual acuity at near, intermediate and far distances is obtained. Some examples of lenses including rotationally asymmetric features that provide improved visual acuity at near, intermediate and far distances are discussed below with reference to FIGS. **4A1-4A5**. As discussed above, rotationally symmetric aberrations can include higher order (e.g. 4th and 6th order) spherical aberrations. As discussed above, non-rotationally symmetric aberrations can include astigmatism, coma or trefoil.

By way of example, FIGS. **4A1-4A5** shows various embodiments of lenses including rotationally asymmetric diffractive features. The lenses illustrated in FIGS. **4A1-4A5** include a plurality of partially annular structures. The partially annular structures can be distributed around a central optical zone disposed about an optical axis. In some embodiments, the optical zone between two consecutive partially annular structures can have an optical power that is equal to the optical power of the central zone. In some other embodiments, the optical zone between two consecutive partially annular structures can have an optical power that is different from the optical power of the central zone. Each of the partially annular structure can include microstructures that are diffractive or refractive. Each of the partially annular structure can have a vertical and horizontal profile that determines the overall optical power of the lens and the distribution of light between the various optical zones.

In FIG. **4A1**, region **A1** includes a plurality of semi-annular structures that cover about 50% of the surface area of the ophthalmic lens. The upper part of the lens has 50% light distribution between 1 D and 2 D add power, while the rest has an asymmetric light distribution between the same add powers. In various embodiments, the portion of the ophthalmic lens below the region **A1** can be devoid of microstructures or include microstructures similar to the microstructures in the region **A1**, such that the ophthalmic lens illustrated in FIG. **4A1** is rotationally symmetric. In an alternative embodiment, the lower part may be composed by a different diffractive designs which provides different add powers and light distributions.

FIG. **4A2** illustrates an ophthalmic lens including a first region **A2** having partially annular structures with an add power of 1 D, being essentially monofocal, and a second region **B2** having partially annular structures with an add power of 2 D, also monofocal. The regions **A2** and **B2** can each occupy about 25% of the surface area of the ophthalmic lens, while the remaining approximately 50% of the lens has a 0D add power and its basically refractive monofocal.

FIG. **4A3** illustrates an ophthalmic lens including a first region **A3** having partially annular structures with an add power of 2 D, being essentially monofocal, a second region

11

B3 having partially annular structures with an add power of 3 D, being essentially monofocal, and a third region C3 having partially annular structures with an add power of 1 D, being essentially monofocal. The regions A3 and B3 can each occupy about 25% of the surface area of the ophthalmic lens and the region C3 can occupy about 50% of the surface area of the ophthalmic lens. The area occupied by every different sector can be modified as shown in FIG. 4A4, where each region subtends the same area. In an alternative embodiment, at FIG. 4A5, the area occupied by the region with an add power of 1D is greater than for the rest.

Although the invention has been described and pictured in an exemplary form with a certain degree of particularity, it should be understood that the present disclosure of the exemplary form has been made by way of example, and that numerous changes in the details of construction and combination and arrangement of parts and steps may be made without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention as set forth in the claims hereinafter.

What is claimed is:

1. A pair of intraocular lenses comprising:

a first intraocular lens sized and configured for implantation in a first eye of a patient, the first intraocular lens including rotationally symmetric aberrations configured to induce a predetermined amount of one or more fourth or higher order spherical aberrations in said first eye of the patient; and

a second intraocular lens sized and configured for implantation in a second eye of a patient, the second intraocular lens including non-rotationally symmetric aberrations configured to induce a predetermined amount of one or more second or higher order asymmetrical aberrations in said second eye of the patient;

12

wherein the predetermined amount of one or more fourth or higher order spherical aberrations is induced only in the first eye, and the predetermined amount of one or more second or higher order asymmetrical aberrations is induced only in the second eye; and

wherein the predetermined amount of one or more fourth or higher order spherical aberrations and the predetermined amount of one or more second or higher order asymmetrical aberrations collectively achieve binocular depth of focus.

2. The pair of lenses of claim 1, wherein the first lens includes a symmetrical multifocal intraocular lens and the second lens includes an asymmetrical multifocal lens.

3. The pair of lenses of claim 1, wherein the first intraocular lens is configured to provide visual acuity above a predetermined threshold for objects located at far and intermediate distances or far and near distances and the second intraocular lens is configured to provide visual acuity above a predetermined threshold for objects located at far distances.

4. The pair of lenses of claim 3, wherein the non-rotationally symmetric aberrations are selected from the group consisting of: astigmatism, coma and trefoil.

5. The pair of lenses of claim 4, wherein the first intraocular lens is configured to provide visual acuity above a predetermined threshold for objects located at far and intermediate distances or far and near distances and the second intraocular lens is configured to provide visual acuity above a predetermined threshold for objects located at far distances.

6. The pair of lenses of claim 1, wherein the first lens includes an asymmetrical multifocal intraocular lens and the second lens includes a symmetrical monofocal lens.

* * * * *