



- (51) **International Patent Classification:**  
*H04L 29/06* (2006.01) *H04L 9/32* (2006.01)
- (21) **International Application Number:**  
PCT/US2016/036225
- (22) **International Filing Date:**  
7 June 2016 (07.06.2016)
- (25) **Filing Language:** English
- (26) **Publication Language:** English
- (30) **Priority Data:**  
14/788,152 30 June 2015 (30.06.2015) US
- (71) **Applicant (for all designated States except US):** **UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH FLORIDA** [US/US]; 3802 Spectrum Blvd., Suite 100, Tampa, FL 33612 (US).
- (72) **Inventors; and**
- (71) **Applicants (for US only):** **LIGATTI, Jarred, Adam** [US/US]; 11917 Riverhills Drive, Tampa, FL 33617 (US). **GOLDGOF, Dmitry** [US/US]; 5004 Carribean Ct., Lutz, FL 33558 (US). **CETIN, Cagri** [TR/US]; 2837 Lakeside

Commons Drive, #101B, Tampa, FL 33613 (US). **SUBILS, Jean-Baptiste** [FR/US]; 5045 Sunridge Palms Drive, Box 601, Tampa, FL 33617 (US).

(74) **Agent:** SAUTER, Molly, L.; Smith & Hopen, P.A., 180 Pine Ave. North, Oldsmar, FL 34677 (US).

(81) **Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available):** AE, AG, AL, AM, AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BN, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IR, IS, JP, KE, KG, KN, KP, KR, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PA, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, QA, RO, RS, RU, RW, SA, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) **Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available):** ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, RW, SD, SL, ST, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, RU, TJ, TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE,

[Continued on next page]

(54) **Title:** SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR ANONYMOUS AUTHENTICATION USING MULTIPLE DEVICES




Fig. 1

(57) **Abstract:** A system and method of anonymous authentication is described, in operation, the authenticator receives a request to access a resource from one of the user devices of an associated set of user devices, wherein each of the user devices is registered to at least one user requesting access to the resource registered to at least two users. The authenticator generates and transmits an authentication challenge in response to the request to a subset of the user devices. A user device subsequently generates and transmits a response to the authentication challenge to the authenticator. The authenticator determines whether the responses received from the one or more user devices constitutes a valid response and grants any one or more of the user devices of the associated set of user devices access to the resource if the responses received from the user devices constitutes a valid response to the authentication challenge.

WO 2017/003651 A1

DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, **Published:**  
LU, LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, RS, SE, — *with international search report (Art. 21(3))*  
SI, SK, SM, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA,  
GN, GQ, GW, KM, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

# 5            **SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR ANONYMOUS AUTHENTICATION USING MULTIPLE DEVICES**

## **BACKGROUND**

A traditional method of authentication can involve prompting a user for a username and password. However, such a method of authentication can be prone to error and can require  
10        the user to recall hard-to-remember passwords.

Another traditional method of authentication can involve receiving a unique property of the user, such as a fingerprint, to identify a user. However, such a method of authentication can be vulnerable to discovery of the user property. For example, an attacker who obtains a fingerprint of a user (or a facsimile thereof) can then falsely log in as the actual user.

15        Another traditional method of authentication can involve presentation of a token (e.g., smart card) issued to the authorized user. However, such a method of authentication can be attacked by stealing the token.

Additionally, the traditional methods of authentication do not provide anonymity for a user requesting authentication.

20        Accordingly, what is needed in the art is a system and method for anonymous user authentication which overcomes the deficiencies of the authentication systems and methods currently known in the art.

## **SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION**

The present invention provides a system and method for authentication of an associated  
25        set of users which overcomes the deficiencies of the prior art authentication systems by requiring that at least two registered user devices be utilized in the authentication process, wherein access is granted to the associated set of user devices. Requiring more than one device for user authentication and granting access to an associated set of user devices instead of an individual user device of the set of user devices, provides increased security and anonymity by eliminating the possibility of unauthorized access through the use of a  
30        single registered user device and by preventing the authentication system from knowing which of the users has been granted access to the system.

In one embodiment, a method of authentication using at least two devices is provided which includes, receiving, at an authenticator computing device, a request to access a resource  
35        from one user device of an associated set of user devices, each of the user devices registered to at least one user and the one device requesting access to the resource registered to more than one user. The method continues by generating an authentication challenge at the authenticator computing device in response to the request and transmitting the authentication challenge to a subset of user devices of the associated set of user  
40        devices, wherein the subset of user devices comprises at least one device other than the

5 one user device requesting access to the resource. The authentication challenge may be transmitted to the subset of user devices directly from the authenticator computing device, or alternatively, the authentication challenge may be transmitted from the authenticator computing device to the user device requesting access to the resource and the user device requesting access to the resource may subsequently transmit the authentication challenge to one or more of the other user devices in the subset. Upon receipt of the authentication challenge, the method continues by generating at least one response to the authentication challenge at one or more user devices of the subset of user devices and transmitting the at least one response to the authenticator computing device. After the responses to the authentication challenge have been generated and transmitted to the authenticator computing device, the method continues by determining, at the authenticator computing device, if the at least one response constitutes a valid response to the authentication challenge and granting any one or more of the user devices of the associated set of user devices access to the resource if the at least one response received at the authenticator computing device constitutes a valid response to the authentication challenge.

10  
15  
20 In a particular embodiment, a forwarding policy for the authenticator computing device and a forwarding policy for each of the user devices of the associated set of user devices can be used to determine the subset of user devices that will receive the authentication challenge. Additionally, each of the user devices of the associated set of user devices may utilize a response policy to determine whether or not the user device is required to generate a response to a received authentication challenge and the authenticator computing device may utilize a validation policy to determine which responses are required to be valid to grant access to the associated set of user devices.

25  
30 In an additional embodiment, one or more non-transitory computer-readable media having computer-executable instructions for performing a method of running a software program on a computing device, the method including issuing instructions from the software program, are provided. The instructions may include, receiving, at an authenticator computing device, a request to access a resource from one user device of an associated set of user devices, each of the user devices registered to at least one user and the one device requesting access to the resource registered to more than one user. The instructions may further include, generating an authentication challenge at the authenticator computing device in response to the request and transmitting the authentication challenge to a subset of user devices of the associated set of user devices, wherein the subset of user devices comprises at least one device other than the one user device requesting access to the resource. The authentication challenge may be transmitted to the subset of user devices directly from the authenticator computing device, or alternatively, the authentication challenge may be transmitted from the authenticator computing device to the user device requesting access to the resource and the user device requesting access to the resource may subsequently transmit the authentication challenge to one or more of the other user devices in the subset. The instructions may additionally include, generating

5 at least one response to the authentication challenge at one or more user devices of the subset of user devices and transmitting the at least one response to the authenticator computing device. The instruction may also include, determining, at the authenticator computing device, if the at least one response constitutes a valid response to the authentication challenge and granting any one or more of the user devices of the associated  
10 set of user devices access to the resource if the at least one response received at the authenticator computing device constitutes a valid response to the authentication challenge.

In another embodiment, an authentication system may be provided including, an authenticator computing device configured to receive a request to access a resource from  
15 one user device of an associated set of user devices, each of the user devices registered to at least one user and the device requesting access to the resource registered to more than one user, to generate an authentication challenge in response to the request and to transmit the authentication challenge to a subset of user devices of the associated set of user devices, wherein the subset of user devices comprises at least one device other than  
20 the one user device requesting access to the resource. The authentication challenge may be transmitted to the subset of user devices directly from the authenticator computing device, or alternatively, the authentication challenge may be transmitted from the authenticator computing device to the user device requesting access to the resource and the user device requesting access to the resource may subsequently transmit the authentication challenge to one or more of the other user devices in the subset. The authenticator computing device of the authentication system is further configured to receive  
25 at least one response to the authentication challenge from one or more user devices of the subset of user devices, to determine if the at least one response constitutes a valid response to the authentication challenge and to grant any one or more of the user devices  
30 of the associated set of user devices access to the resource if the at least one response received constitutes a valid response to the authentication challenge.

Accordingly, the present invention provides a system and method for anonymous authentication of a user device requesting access to a resource by authenticating an associated set of user devices, utilizing two or more registered user devices of an  
35 associated set of users devices, wherein the user device requesting access to the resource is registered to more than one user, wherein authentication decisions for the associated set of user devices are based on receipt of at least one valid response from a user device in response to at least one authentication challenge sent to a subset of user devices that includes at least one user device other than the requesting user device. The present  
40 invention thus overcomes the deficiencies of the authentication systems and methods currently known in the art.

5        **BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS**

Many aspects of the present disclosure can be better understood with reference to the following drawings. The components in the drawings are not necessarily to scale, emphasis instead being placed upon clearly illustrating the principles of the present disclosure. Moreover, in the drawings, like reference numerals designate corresponding parts throughout the several views.

10        Fig. 1 is a block diagram illustrating a design overview of various embodiments of an authentication system implemented in a networked environment, in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention.

15        Fig. 2 is a block diagram illustrating a design overview of various embodiments of an authentication system implemented in a networked environment, in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention.

Fig. 3 is a flow diagram illustrating an example functionality implemented as a portion of the authentication system, in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention.

20        Fig. 4 is a flow diagram illustrating an example functionality implemented as a portion of the authentication system, in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention.

Fig. 5 is a flow diagram illustrating an example functionality implemented as a portion of the authentication system, in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention.

25        Fig. 6 is a diagram illustrating an example of authentication protocols implemented as a portion of the authentication system, in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention.

Fig. 7 is a diagram illustrating an example of authentication protocols implemented as a portion of the authentication system, in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention.

30        Fig. 8 is a diagram illustrating an example of authentication protocols implemented as a portion of the authentication system, in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention.

Fig. 9 is a diagram illustrating an example of authentication protocols implemented as a portion of the authentication system, in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention.

35        Fig. 10 is a diagram illustrating an example of authentication protocols implemented as a portion of the authentication system, in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention.

40        Fig. 11 is a schematic block diagram illustrating an exemplary device employed in the networked environment of the authentication system, in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention.

5       **DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION**

10       The present disclosure describes systems and methods for authenticating “users” (which can be human users, devices, processes, clients, etc.). Authentication decisions can be based on the existence of cryptographic signatures from some set of devices (sometimes referred to as “tokens”) associated with the user. During registration, the authenticator (e.g., an “authentication server”) can associate devices with users and/or each other. This description therefore uses the term “registered” to mean “associated”; the devices “registered” with a user are “associated” with that user and/or with each other. Each device can have one or more associated cryptographic keys (which, in some embodiments, can be shared with the authenticator and/or other devices). Although the authenticator can associate a plurality of devices with each user, the associated devices need not store any data indicating or identifying the existence of other devices associated with the same user. Instead, the authenticator can associate multiple devices with each user and can thereafter require a valid cryptographic signature (or MACs, message authentication codes, or other valid responses) from some subset of a user’s associated devices before authenticating that user.

20       According to some embodiments, the association of multiple devices with each user can prevent theft of devices. In particular, because some subset of devices associated with a user must participate in the authentication of the user, a theft-based attack can require the attacker to steal all the devices in the subset. For example, if a smart phone and smart watch are associated with a particular user and the verifier requires signatures from both of these devices before an access can be granted, then a theft-based attack would require stealing both the smart phone and smart watch.

25       Accordingly, the present disclosure describes systems and methods for verifying (i.e., authenticating) a device (i.e., the device’s user) using at least one other device associated with the user. In particular, the present disclosure describes various embodiments of an authentication system that can comprise a verifier and at least two user devices, where the verifier and the user devices can communicate. In one embodiment, the authentication of the requesting device is performed using another device of the user that is in close proximity to the requesting device. The authentication system described herein can comprise a multitude of different user devices that are available in a networked environment and can be configured to be authenticated.

30       According to various embodiments, the authentication system can transmit an authentication challenge to a first device associated with a user. An authentication challenge can be a task, involving a second device, required to be completed in order to authenticate the first device. Similarly, the authentication challenge can comprise randomly generated data (i.e., a nonce) that the user must transmit to at least one other device, in order to be authenticated. A prover can refer to an entity who is executing the authentication challenge to prove the identity or validity of the first device. In other words,

5 the prover can be the at least one other user device used to authenticate the requesting device.

According to various embodiments, a system for authenticating devices can comprise a verifier configured to receive a registration for multiple computing devices associated with a user and to determine whether to authenticate the requesting device. The authenticator  
10 can be, for example, a secure server comprising a data store configured to store the registration of multiple devices associated with multiple respective users. The verifier can be used to verify the identity of a user. In some embodiments, a user can register a first device by entering a user credential, such as an email address of the user, and a name for the first device. The user can also register a second device by entering the same user  
15 credential for the user and a name for the second device. To this end, the user can register multiple devices to be associated with the user credential. Each device registered with the user can be stored in the data store of the verifier. In one embodiment, the system can require the user to register the second device within a predetermined time period to prevent security attacks during the registration phase.

20 According to some embodiments, the authentication system can receive a request from the first device to access one or more resources, where a "resource" can, for example, be an application, file, process, port, service (such as opening a physical lock or connecting to a web service), network bandwidth, device, memory, and/or processor time. The system can determine if there are at least two devices registered with the user to perform the multiple  
25 device authentication. In response to determining that there are at least two devices associated with the user, the verifier can send an authentication challenge, possibly encrypted, to the first device desiring to access the resource. For example, the authentication challenge can be dynamically generated random data (e.g., a cryptographic nonce) that the second device can receive to authenticate the first device. The first device  
30 can send, possibly by broadcasting, the authentication challenge to the second device registered with the user.

In some embodiments, the second device can receive the authentication challenge from the first device. For example, the second device can take a picture of the authentication challenge that is displayed on the screen of the first device (e.g., as a barcode, such as a  
35 QR code). The second device, having received the authentication challenge, can transmit a response to the verifier. The verifier can then determine whether the second device is registered with the user. For example, the verifier can determine that the second device is registered under the same email address as the first device. After determining that the second device is registered with the user, the verifier can determine whether the response  
40 received from the second device is valid with respect to the authentication challenge transmitted to the first device. Upon determining that the response received from the second device is valid with respect to the authentication challenge transmitted to the first device, the authentication system can authorize access to the resource to the first device.



5 In one embodiment, the authentication challenge transmitted to the first device is a nonce encrypted using the first device's cryptographic public key, and a valid response from the second device is a version of said nonce signed with the second device's cryptographic private key. In such an embodiment, a valid response from the second device requires participation of both the first and second devices' private keys (the first device's private key being necessary to decrypt the nonce, so that the nonce can be transmitted to, and then encrypted and/or signed by, the second device). By requiring participation of both devices' private keys, a theft-based attack on the authentication system requires theft of both devices. In one embodiment, each device can store only its own private key. Other embodiments may incorporate additional devices, nonces, encryptions, decryptions, and signatures, as should be appreciated, to provide secure communications while assuring that a plurality of devices participate in the authentication of a first device.

10 Additionally, multiple devices can be associated with a user and the user may be required to use the devices to be authenticated and gain access to a resource. However, the user does not necessarily need to utilize all of the associated devices to gain access to a resource. While  $n$  devices can be associated with the user, a subset of  $m$  devices can be required to participate in responding to an authentication challenge (participation may include forwarding, decrypting, signing, and/or transmitting a challenge and/or response). In general, it is required that  $2 \leq m \leq n$ , wherein both  $n$  and  $m$  need to be greater than or equal to 2 and  $m$  needs to be less than or equal to  $n$ . As such, if an attacker is successful in acquiring  $m-1$  devices, they will be unable to gain access to the resource or system, yet the user will still be able to gain access using only  $m$  devices. For example, assuming  $m=2$  and  $n=3$ , a user having a smart phone, a smart ring, and a smart watch can forget the smart phone at the office, yet still be able to gain access to their house by authenticating to the house's smart lock using the smart ring and smart watch. At the same time, an attacker who steals the user's smart phone will not have the required  $m=2$  devices needed to open the house's smart lock. Thus, a level of robustness of usability, resulting from the redundancy of devices, is provided, and by choosing  $m$  and  $n$  appropriately, users can obtain both usability and security.

15 While more than two devices can be associated with a user, instead of using all associated devices, the user can use a specific set of devices during the authentication process. Therefore, the authentication system can request responses from different subsets of associated devices at each authentication attempt.

20 Device association can also be shared among users, and a device can be associated with multiple users. For example, a first user can be associated with a first smart phone and a first smart watch and a second user can be associated with the first smart phone and a second smart watch. Both the first user and the second user can access the authentication system by using the shared first smart phone and their respective associated devices. As

5 such, even if an attacker has access to the first smart phone, they will still be unable to authenticate as the first user and as the second user.

In a specific embodiment of the invention, a system and method are provided for anonymously authenticating a user requesting access to a resource. In this embodiment, during registration, the authenticator (e.g., an "authentication server") can establish an associated set of user devices and can associate each of the user devices of the associated  
10 associated set of user devices with more than one user. The authenticator can then grant access to a requested resource to any user associated with the associated set of user devices using at least two user devices of the associated set of user devices. In this way, anonymous authentication is provided to a user requesting access to a resource by granting access to  
15 all of the users within the associated set of user devices. Once authenticated, a device has the same access level as allowed for any device in the associated set. This method provides anonymity and plausible deniability to the user because the authenticator does not know exactly which user has been authenticated, only that multiple of the devices in one associated set of devices successfully responded to the authentication challenges. In  
20 other words, instead of associating multiple user devices with one user, multiple devices could be associated with multiple users. In a multi-user environment, any one of the users can access the system by using these devices. Hence, the system doesn't know which specific user requested or was granted access, so the authentication becomes anonymized, and the authenticated user device has plausible deniability.

25 Anonymous authentication requiring two user devices of an associated set of user devices can be useful in various scenarios, such as for the purpose of verifying that a user is a member of a neighborhood crime reporting group, without specifically identifying the neighbor, to allow for the anonymous reporting of crime tips. Anonymous authentication has additional practical application in similar scenarios involving company or government  
30 whistleblower or anonymous sources for news, undercover agent reporting without specific identification of the agent and anonymous feedback from students, employees, etc.

Additional practical applications include providing services to authenticated members of organizations, such as companies or universities providing free shuttle or bus services to their employees or students, without tracking or ever determining the specific individuals  
35 using those services.

The systems and methods disclosed herein can avoid the cost of general security tokens by using devices already possessed by the user. Therefore, the systems and methods disclosed herein can be easily deployable. All devices registered with a user can be granted a private key, or can create their own private key, during the registration phase. In  
40 this regard, if one device registered with a user is stolen, the attacker still needs the private key of at least one other device registered by the user to authenticate the stolen device.

With reference to Fig. 1, shown is a block diagram illustrating design overviews of various embodiments of an authentication system 100 implemented in a networked environment.

5 The authentication system **100** can comprise an authenticator computing device **103**, a requesting client device **105A**, a verifier client device **105B**, network **109**, and/or other components. The requesting client device **105A** and the verifier client device **105B**, also referred to hereinafter as devices **105**, can each belong to a user. That is to say, the devices **105** can be trusted devices registered with the authentication system **100**.

10 The authenticator computing device **103** can comprise a validator **115**, an authentication challenge generator **118**, and/or other elements, such as other hardware and software, for implementing processes or algorithms. The validator **115** can be configured to perform the actual validation test. That is to say, the validator **115** can be configured to determine whether an authentication challenge sent to the requesting client device **105A** matches a response received from the verifying client device **105B**. Based on the result of that determination, the validator **115** can determine whether or not to authenticate the requesting client device **105A**. In addition, the authentication challenge generator **118** can be configured to generate the authentication challenge sent to the requesting client device **105A**. Either the authentication challenge generator **118** and/or the validator **115** can be configured to store the authentication challenge **127** sent and received in the data store **112** along with a corresponding verified device identifier **130**.

The authenticator computing device **103** can also comprise a data store **112** configured to store user credentials **124**, authentication challenges **127**, verified device identifiers **130**, and/or other data values. The user credentials **124** can comprise data regarding the devices **105** associated with each user. For example, user credentials **124** can comprise a username, password, email address, security questions and answers, and/or any other data related to a user with a registered client device **105**. The authentication challenges **127** can comprise the authentication challenges sent to the requesting client device **105A** and received from the verifying client device **105B**. In one embodiment, the authentication challenges **127** can comprise encrypted and/or plaintext data that have been sent to the requesting client device **105A** and/or received from one or more verifying client devices **105B**. The verified device identifiers **130** can comprise data regarding devices **105** that a user has registered with the authenticator computing device **103**. For example, when a user registers a device **105**, the user can be prompted to enter a device name. The device name can be stored as a verified device identifier **130** in the data store **112**.

The components of the authenticator computing device **103** can be distributed among several devices, which combine to perform the actions of the authenticator computing device **103**. Similarly, the components of a requesting client device **105A** can be distributed among several devices, which combine to act as a requesting device **105A** and the components of a verifying client device **105B** can be distributed among several devices, which combine to act as a verifying client device **105B**.

In some embodiments, the requesting client device **105A** can be the device requesting authentication to access an application. The verifying client devices **105B** can be the

5 device(s) that the requesting client device **105A** must communicate with to authenticate the requesting client device **105A**. In one embodiment, the client devices **105** must be in proximity to one another to complete authentication successfully. Alternatively, the client devices **105** must only be able to communicate with one another to successfully complete authentication.

10 In a specific embodiment, the requesting client device **105A** and the verifying client device **105B** may belong to an associated set of client devices **160**. In this embodiment, anonymous authentication of a user of a client device of the associated set of client devices **160** is provided by associating the client devices with multiple users and by using two or more of the client devices in the associated set of client devices **160** to anonymously  
15 authenticate the user.

With reference to Fig. 2, shown is another block diagram illustrating a design overview and communication pathway of various embodiments of an authentication system **100** implemented in a networked environment. The requesting client device **105A** and the verifying client device **105B** can perform a task, otherwise referred to herein as a  
20 authentication challenge, which includes a particular interaction between the requesting client device **105A** and the verifying client device **105B** to prove the identity of the user and/or the requesting client device **105A**. The authenticating computing device **103** can be a secure system which the user of requesting client device **105A** and the verifying client device **105B** can access. In some embodiments, the requesting client device **105A**, the  
25 verifying client device **105B**, and the authenticator computing device **103** can communicate over a network in the networked environment, as shown in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2. Alternatively, the requesting client device **105A**, the verifying client device **105B**, and the authenticator computing device **103** can be configured to communicate without a network. As shown in Fig. 2, the requesting client device **105A** and the verifying client device **105B** can together  
30 be embodied as the prover **260** of the authentication system **100**.

In particular, each of the devices **105** can comprise a processor-based system, such as a computer system, a server computer, or any other system providing computing capability. The devices **105** and the authenticator computing device **103** can be employed or arranged, for example, in one or more server banks, computer banks, or other arrangements. The  
35 devices **105** can comprise various components configured to be executed on the device **105**. For example, the components executed on the device **105** can include applications, services, processes, systems, engines, or other functionality. Each device **105** can include a display, such as, liquid crystal display (LCD) screens, gas plasma-based flat panel displays, organic light emitting diode (OLED) displays, electronic ink displays, or other types  
40 of display devices, etc.

In some embodiments, the devices **105** can be embodied in the form of a laptop computer, personal digital assistants, cellular telephones, smart phones, music players, web pads, tablet computer systems, game devices, electronic book readers, or other devices **105** with

5       like capability. The devices **105** can also be embodied as any mechanical structure comprising a processor-based system. In one embodiment, the devices **105** can be configured to connect to a network. For example, the device **105** can be a vehicle, such as a car, that can connect to a network to access the authentication system **100**. As another illustrative example, the device **105** can be a lock on a door of a car, room, or house that  
10       comprises a processor-based system that can connect to a network. As another illustrative example, the device **105** can be a garage door or a road gate that comprises a processor-based system that can connect to a network. As another illustrative example, the device **105** can be a smart wearable device, such as a smart watch, a smart ring, smart glasses, or any smart apparel that a user can wear as an item of clothing or accessory that  
15       comprises a processor-based system and can connect to a network. For example, the device **105** can be jewelry/apparel, including rings, necklaces, glasses, watches, earrings, shirts, pants, coats, handkerchiefs, hats, bracelets, scarves, hairclips, shoes, or belts.

      According to some embodiments, when a user wants to access a resource from the requesting client device **105A**, the authentication system **100** can require the user to  
20       perform an authentication challenge. This authentication challenge can be, for example, to transmit a particular random number from the requesting client device **105A** to the verifying client device **105B**. This pseudo random number can be generated by the challenge generator **118**, and then transmitted from the authenticator computing device **103** to the requesting client device **105A**. The pseudo random number can then be transmitted to the  
25       verifying client device **105B** and back to validator **115** of the authenticator computing device **103**. The validator **115** can determine whether the number received from the verifying client device **105B** matches the number transmitted to the requesting client device **105A**. This random number can be dynamically generated, such as a nonce or a one-time password (OTP). In the embodiment shown in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2, the set of devices **105** which can be  
30       used to perform the authentication per user has been reduced to two devices **105** for simplicity.

      In some embodiments, the resource that the user of the requesting client device **105A** is requesting access to can require a pre-determined number of verifying client devices **105B** to be used in authenticating the requesting client device **105A**. For example, if the user of  
35       the requesting client device **105A** is requesting access to a mobile application that displays confidential information related to a bank account of a user, the application can require that the user of the requesting client device **105A** have three or more registered verifying client devices **105B** capable of communicating with the requesting client device **105A** that the user wants to access with the application. To this end, a user can register any number of  
40       devices **105** with the system. In one embodiment, the prover can include an  $n$  number of trusted devices **105** associated with a user (where  $n$  is at least two). Therefore, an application can also require any number of verifying client devices **105B** to be used in response to the authentication challenge presented for authentication.

5 Moreover, the authentication system **100** can be an efficient method of authenticating a user for applications that require frequent re-authentication. For example, suppose a user opens a loan repayment application on a mobile device of the user. The application can require the user to frequently re-authenticate the mobile device due to the sensitive nature of the information displayed by the application. In this way, the user can easily re-  
10 re-authenticate the mobile device using another registered verifying client device **105B**. In the same way, multiple device authentications via the authentication system **100** is relatively more secure than traditional methods of re-authentication, also known as continuous authentication, because it requires multiple devices **105** registered to a user to be able to communicate with each other during the entire session. In one embodiment, the  
15 authentication system **100** can require multiple devices **105** registered with a user to be in proximity to each other during the entire session.

In some embodiments, the authenticator computing device **103** can lock out and force the use of a recovery path (to re-register device(s)) after a pre-defined threshold number of consecutive bad attempts. The pre-defined threshold number of consecutive bad attempts  
20 can depend on the reason an attempt was bad, e.g., "took too long to reply" or "didn't reply" or "returned invalid response to authentication challenge". In one embodiment, the pre-defined threshold number of consecutive bad attempts can be higher for "taking too long to reply" or "didn't reply." In another embodiment, the pre-defined threshold number of consecutive bad attempts can be smaller for "returned invalid response to authentication  
25 challenge."

Next, a general description of the operation of the various components of the networked environment comprising the devices **105** is provided. In an embodiment where a user registers at least two devices, a user can register the at least two devices **105** belonging to the user with the authentication system **100**. For example, the user can register a pair of  
30 smart glasses by first entering an email address of the user and a device name of the smart glasses via a user interface of the smart glasses. In one embodiment, once the user registers the smart glasses with the authentication system **100**, the user interface of the smart glasses will prompt the user to register at least one verifying client device **105B** belonging to the user within a defined time limit, for example, of about five minutes. In this  
35 way, the user has five minutes to register another device **105**. The user can, for example, register a smart watch belonging to the user by entering the email address of the user and a device name for the smart watch via a user interface of the smart watch within the defined time limit. Once the user has registered these two devices **105**, the authentication system **100** can store the device names of the smart glasses and the smart watch in association  
40 with the email address of the user in a data store, for example, in the authenticator computing device **103**.

Suppose that at a later time, the user wishes to access an application on the smart watch of the user where the application requires the user to login. The application can execute

5 the login via the authentication system **100**. In one embodiment, the authenticator computing device **103** can automatically send an authentication challenge to the smart watch. Alternatively, the user can request an authentication challenge from the authenticator computing device **103** via a user interface on the smart watch. In either case, the application can prompt the user to login by broadcasting the authentication challenge data (e.g., a nonce) to the paired device (smart glasses) via the display of the smart watch.  
10 The authentication challenge data can be displayed as a QR code on the screen of the smart watch, for example.

The user can take a picture of the QR code displayed on the smart watch using a camera of the smart glasses. It should be appreciated that the smart glasses can receive the broadcasted in any manner of communication enabled between the smart watch and the smart glasses. The smart glasses can then automatically transmit the picture of the authentication challenge data to the authenticator computing device **103** to verify the identity of the user of the smart watch. If the authenticator computing device **103** determines that the response received from the smart glasses matches an expected response for the authentication challenge sent to the smart watch, then the user can be granted access to the application. In this way, the user will have successfully logged into the application.  
15  
20

In this regard, the smart glasses can comprise an application to facilitate the authentication process. For example, the user can initiate an application configured to automatically transmit a received authentication challenge, as a response, to the authenticator computing device **103**. Alternatively, the user can manually transmit a received authentication challenge, as a response, to the authenticator computing device **103**.  
25

As another illustrative example, suppose the user owns a smart ring that comprises a near field communication ("NFC") antenna, NFC transmitter, NFC chip, and/or any other component such that the smart ring is capable of NFC. Suppose the user also owns a mobile telephone also comprising an NFC antenna, NFC transmitter, NFC chip, and/or any other component such that the mobile telephone is capable of NFC. Therefore, the smart ring and the mobile telephone can communicate with each other via NFC. The user can register both the smart ring and the mobile telephone in accordance with the process described herein.  
30  
35

The mobile telephone of the user can comprise an application that is capable of authenticating the user via the authentication system **100**. The user of the mobile telephone can open the application which requires the user to login. The mobile telephone can comprise a user interface displaying a randomly generated authentication challenge received from the authenticator computing device **103** that can be broadcasted to the smart ring via NFC. If the user is wearing the smart ring while the user is trying to log in to the application on the mobile telephone, the user can simply open up the authentication application on the smart ring, if necessary, and receive the broadcasted authentication  
40

5 challenge data on the smart ring via NFC. The authentication application on the smart ring can automatically transmit the authentication challenge data received in the smart ring to the authenticator computing device **103**. Alternatively, the smart ring can transmit a signed version of the authentication challenge data to the smart phone, which then forwards the signed authentication challenge data to the authenticator computing device **103**. The authenticator computing device **103** can compare the authentication challenge sent to the mobile telephone to the response received from the smart ring and/or smart phone. If the response is valid for the authentication challenge (e.g., the response is determined to be an appropriately signed version of the authentication challenge data), then the user will be logged into the application on the mobile telephone and granted access to the requested resource. If the response is not valid for the authentication challenge (e.g., the response is determined not to be an appropriately signed version of the authentication challenge data), then the user can be notified of an authentication failure on the user interface of the mobile telephone.

As another illustrative example, a user can have access to all registered devices **105** on his or her person (e.g., a phone, ring, watch, etc.). When the user gets into close proximity with a lock (e.g., hotel room, car door, office door, garage door, etc.), the data communication can happen automatically. In this example, the lock can be embodied as the requesting client device **105A**, and at least one of the registered devices **105** on his or her person can be embodied as the verifying client device **105B**. For example, one of the registered devices **105** on the user can automatically initiate communication with the lock once the devices **105** come within a threshold proximity to the lock. Once the communication is initiated, the authentication protocol can automatically be executed with or without any user involvement. The user wearing the verifying client device **105B** can simply hold the verifying client device **105B** and/or stand within the threshold proximity of the lock as the authentication challenge data is transmitted from the authenticator computing device **103**, to the lock, to the verifying client device **105B**, and back to the authenticator computing device **103**.

Alternatively, the lock can act as the authenticator **103**, in a system in which the user is in possession of a plurality of devices (e.g., a smart ring and a smart military necklace, a smart "dog tag") on his or her person. When the user comes within a threshold proximity to the lock, the smart ring may initiate the authentication protocol with the lock by requesting access (i.e., requesting that the lock be opened). In response, the lock sends an authentication challenge to the smart ring. The smart ring then sends the authentication challenge to the smart necklace, which may then respond directly to the lock (or indirectly via the smart ring). In this embodiment, the protocol may execute automatically, without user involvement, based on proximity to the lock. Also, in this embodiment, the smart ring acts as the requesting client device **105A**, and the smart necklace acts as the verifying client device **105B**. A theft-based attack in this embodiment would require stealing both the ring and necklace.



5 Similarly, the authentication system and methods described herein can be used between two or more drones that are in proximity to one another. For example, suppose one drone, embodied as the requesting client device **105A**, is requesting access to a resource that requires authentication using another verifying client device **105B**. Therefore, if another registered device, for example another drone, is within a threshold proximity of the drone  
10 requesting access to the application, then the drones may automatically perform the authentication challenge to authenticate the requesting drone.

Additionally, a set of users/entities (e.g., drones, robots, soldiers, etc.) can access a resource as one group, wherein each entity is itself a device. The group can be authenticated through response from a subset of these entities and the authentication can  
15 proceed absent human intervention.

Yet another embodiment can relate to theft security of devices **105** and/or accessing data from devices **105**. For example, suppose a user owns a car that can be a smart car paired with a smart phone. The car can contain an in-car navigation or in-car entertainment system, for example, that requires the smart phone for access. The in-car navigation or in-  
20 car entertainment system can comprise sensitive information regarding the user. According to some embodiments, the in-car navigation or in-car entertainment system can be set up such that it has to be authenticated with another device **105** of the user, such as the smart phone, prior to operation. Therefore, a thief will not be able to authenticate the in-car navigation or in-car entertainment system unless the thief also stole the user's smart  
25 phone. In situations where the in-car navigation or in-car entertainment stores private data of the user, such as a home and work address, times of day when the victim is not home, etc., implementing multiple device **105** authentications can protect such sensitive information.

In one embodiment, the user can be required to first register at least two different devices  
30 **105** in a defined time interval to prevent attacks during the registration process. In particular, the time between registering each of the devices **105** must be less than the defined time interval. In order to authenticate a particular user, the system can require the user to enter a minimum amount of information, such as a user credential and a device name. In one embodiment, the user credential can be an email address that identifies a particular user in the database. The number of devices **105** a user registers can be a  
35 choice based on the requirements of the authenticator, which may base its requirements on the particular resources that may be accessed. In one embodiment, registering a device **105** can only be performed one time, and a user cannot unregister a device **105**. For example, when a user registers two devices **105**, none of them can be deleted from the  
40 system.

In another embodiment, the registered devices can be removed and new devices can be associated (registered) with a user. To remove or add a registered device, in-band or out-of-band communications could be used. With the in-band communication channel, a user

5 can login to the system and then unregister or add devices. With the out-of-band communication channel, a user can unregister or add devices similarly to the registration phase, i.e., through other channels, such as calling or visiting a registration specialist in-person.

10 Additionally, the authentication system can be utilized to implement parental controls into a device. For example, gaining access to a television can be subject to an authentication to allow only authorized users. As such, access to some television channels can also require an authentication, such as the presence of a parent's smart ring. Similar concepts apply to various other parental-control systems.

15 According to various embodiments, when the authenticating application **150** launches in the requesting client device **105A**, if the requesting device **105A** has not been associated with any verifying devices **105B**, then a device registration process may be initiated. The device registration process can occur by prompting the user via a user interface to enter an email address (the validity of this email is checked by a regular-expression pattern) and a device name (or identifier). In one embodiment, the email address can be stored in the user credentials **124** of the data store **112**, and the device name can be stored in the verified device identifiers **130** of the data store **112**. Emails are unique and can represent the users in the system and the device names are used to identify user's devices. A possible attack could occur between the registration of the requesting client device **105A** and the verifying client device **105B**. Therefore, the authentication system **100** can require the use of the defined time interval, which forces the user to register a verifying client device **105B** within a certain amount of time, according to one embodiment. If the user does not register the verifying client device **105B** within the defined time interval, the email will be invalid and will be unusable.

20 In one embodiment, once the user registers the requesting client device **105A**, the verifying client device **105B** needs to be registered with the same email and a different device name which can allow the authenticator computing device **103** to identify the user's different devices **105**. If the device name is the same as the previous one, the device **105** cannot be registered. The server then creates a randomly generated nonce or One-Time Password (OTP) and sends it to the email address provided by the user. The user can be prompted to enter this password via the user interface in order to register the verifying client device **105B**. In one embodiment, by virtue of receiving the OTP confirmation, the authentication system **100** assumes that the two devices **105** paired belong to the same user because the user got the OTP by accessing the email of the user corresponding to the email address. In this way, the user already has access to the email account given, and the two devices **105** paired.

35 40 In one embodiment, the authenticator computing device **103** can check whether the email address is already registered. In particular, the authenticator computing device **103** can search through the data store within the authenticator computing device **103**, for example,

5 to determine whether the email address received from the device **105** requesting access to the application is stored. Second, the authenticator computing device **103** can determine whether the devices **105** have been registered in association with that email address. Third, the authenticator computing device **103** can determine whether the signatures and/or or names of the devices **105** stored in association with that email address corresponds to  
10 the name of the device **105** received from the device **105** requesting access to the application.

The authenticator computing device **103** can retrieve the user credentials **124** and verified device identifiers **130** associated with each device **105** that the user is registering. For each registered device **105**, a pair of private/public keys can be generated by that device.  
15 The authentication system **100** can use the public key of each of the devices **105** in order to identify which device **105** is accessing the system and also to encrypt the data sent to, and decrypt the data received from, these devices **105**.

In some embodiments, the user need not previously register any devices with the authentication system **100**. In one embodiment, the authentication system **100** can be  
20 configured to automatically determine that a user associated with the requesting client device **105A** is the same user that is associated with the verifying client devices **105B**. For example, the authenticator computing device **103** can be configured to automatically retrieve user data from the requesting client device **105A** and the verifying client devices **105B**. Thereafter, the authenticator computing device **103** can compare the data to  
25 determine whether the user associated with the requesting client device **105A** is the same user that is associated with the verifying client device **105B**.

Alternatively, the registration and the authentication phases of the authentication system **100** can happen simultaneously. For example, when a user has not previously registered any devices with the authentication system **100**, a requesting client device **105A** may be  
30 prompted to enter user credentials and a device name upon requesting access to a resource. Thereafter, the verifying client device **105B**, upon sending a response back to the authenticator computing device **103**, can also be prompted to enter user credentials and a device name. In this situation, the authenticator computing device **103** can be configured to first determine whether the user credentials match before determining  
35 whether the authentication challenge sent and the response(s) received match. In yet another embodiment, the authentication system **100** can be configured such that any device can be used as the verifying client device **105B**, regardless of whether the verifying client device **105B** belongs to the requesting user, as long as that device **105B** can be associated (i.e., registered) with the requesting user.

40 Once the devices **105** of the user are registered, a user can be authenticated via the authentication system **100**. To identify the user, the server can generate a random value (nonce) and compute a QR code of this value. This QR code can be sent to the user requiring access. Then, the user can take a picture of this QR code by using the verifying

5 client device **105B** which will send back the value, the name of the device **105**, and the user's email address to the authenticator computing device **103**. If everything sent matches with the data on the authenticator computing device **103**, the user is granted access to the system.

10 In an additional embodiment for providing anonymous authentication, the requesting client device **105A** and the verifying client device **105B** may be members of an associated set of client devices **160** and each of the client devices in the associated set of client devices is associated with more than one user. In this embodiment, the requesting client device **105A** sends a request to access to an authenticator computing device **103**. The authenticator computing device **103** does not know which user has requested access to the resource because more than one user is associated with the requesting client device **105A**. The authenticator **103** responds to the request by sending an authentication challenge to the requesting client device **105A**. The requesting client device **105A** then forwards the challenge to the verifying client device **105B** and the verifying client device **105B** sends the response to the authenticator **103**. If the authenticator **103** determines that the response from the verifying client device **105B** is a valid response, then the authenticator authenticates the associated set of client device **160**. With this method, anonymity of the requesting client device **105A** is maintained.

25 With reference to Fig. 3, shown is a flow chart that provides one example of functionality that may be implemented in the authenticator computing device **103**, according to an embodiment of the present disclosure. Alternatively, the flow chart of Fig. 3 can be viewed as depicting steps of an example of a method implemented in the authenticator computing device **103** to authenticate the requesting client device **105A** using the verifying client device **105B**. In particular, the flow chart depicted in Fig. 3 shows how the authenticator computing device **103** determines whether to grant the requesting client device **105A** access to resources.

30 With reference to Fig. 3, the method of the present invention may include receiving a request to access a resource from a user device of a plurality of user devices at an authenticator computing device **300**. The method may then continue by determining whether the request from the user device is valid **305**. If the request is not valid, the user device may be denied access to the resource **345**. If the request is valid, the authenticator computing device may generate an authentication challenge in response to the request **310**. The authentication challenge may then be transmitted to a subset of user devices of the plurality of devices associated with the requesting device and/or its user **315**, and a timer may be started **320**. The subset of user devices comprises at least one user device that is not the user device requesting access to the resource. As the timer is running, the authenticator computing device may determine whether a response to the authentication challenge has been received **330** from any of the user devices in the subset of user devices. If a response has not been received, then the authenticator computing device determines

5 whether the timer has elapsed **325** and if the time has elapsed, the user device requesting access, or another user device of the plurality of users devices, may be denied access to the resource **345**. Alternatively, if a response to the authentication challenge has been received from one of the user devices in the subset of user devices, then the authenticator computing device will determine whether the response is a valid response to the authentication challenge **335**. If the response is not a valid response to the authentication challenge, then the user device requesting access, or another user device, may be denied access to the resource **345**. Alternatively, if the response to the authentication challenge is determined to be valid, thereby constituting a valid response, then the authenticator computing device may determine whether all required responses have been received and validated **340**. If all the responses have not been received and validated, then the authenticator computing system will continue to wait until all the required responses have been received and validated or until the maximum time for the responses has expired. Alternatively, if all the responses have been received and validated, then the user device requesting access, or another user device, may be granted access to the resource **350**.

20 In a particular embodiment, a forwarding policy for the authenticator computing device and a forwarding policy for each of the plurality of user devices can be used to determine the subset of user devices that will receive the authentication challenge. As such, the forwarding policy of the authenticator computing device may cause the authenticator computing device to forward the authentication challenge to each of the user devices in the subset of user devices, or alternatively, the forwarding policy may cause the authenticator computing device to forward the authentication challenge to only some of the user devices in the subset. Additionally, the forwarding policy of each of the user devices may cause the user device to forward a received authentication challenge to other user devices.

30 Additionally, each of the plurality of user devices may utilize a response policy to determine whether or not the user device is required to generate a response to a received authentication challenge. As such, a response policy of the user device may determine whether or not the user device is required to generate a response to the authentication challenge. In addition, the authenticator computing device may utilize a validation policy to determine which responses are required to be valid to grant access to the user devices. As such, the validation policy of the authenticator computing device may determine which responses from the subset of user devices are required to be valid to constitute a valid response to the authentication challenge. Accordingly, the validation policy may cause the authenticator computing device to ignore the responses from some of the user devices and to require valid responses from other user devices.

40 It is within the scope of the present invention to make changes to the previously described policies in order to implement specific desired functionality into the authentication system.

In a specific embodiment providing anonymous authentication, the requesting client device **105A** is associated with more than one user and the requesting device is a member of an

5 associated set of user devices. In this embodiment, access to the resource is granted or denied to the associated set of user devices if the responses are determined to be valid responses.

With reference to Fig. 4, shown is a flow chart that provides one example of functionality implemented in the requesting client device **105A**. Alternatively, the flow chart of FIG. 4  
10 can be viewed as depicting steps of an example of a method implemented in the requesting client device **105A** to authenticate the requesting client device **105A** using at least one verifying client **105B**. Specifically, the requesting application **155** of the first device (client device **105A**) generates a request to access a resource and sends the authenticator computing device **103** the request to access a resource **400**. In response to the request  
15 from the requesting client device **105A**, the authenticator computing device **103** generates an authentication challenge and transmits the authentication challenge to either the requesting client device **105A** or the verifying client device **105B**. If it is determined that the authentication challenge is received at the requesting client device **405**, then the requesting client device may proceed by transmitting the authentication challenge to at  
20 least one verifying client device **410**. Alternatively, if the authentication challenge is not received at the requesting client device, then the requesting client device would not be required to forward the authentication challenge to other devices and the requesting client device **105A** may wait to receive notification of access granted or denied **445** based upon the authentication challenge responses from the other verifying client devices **105B**.  
25 Additionally, the authentication challenge may instead be transmitted directly from the authenticator computing device **103** to the verifying client device **105B**. After at least one verifying client device **105B** has received the authentication challenge from either the authenticator computing device **103** or the requesting client device **105**, the method proceeds at step **410**, where it is determined whether the requesting client device **105A** or  
30 the verifying client device **105B** needs to forward the authentication challenge to another device before responding to the authentication challenge. In this regard, the requesting client device **105A** and/or the verifying client device **105B** can be required to transmit the authentication challenge to multiple devices at varying times to receive access to the resources. If it is determined that the requesting client device **105A** or the verifying client  
35 device **105B** needs to send the authentication challenge to another device, the requesting client device **105A** or the verifying client device **105B** forwards the authentication challenge to another device **415**. If it is determined that the requesting device **105A** or the verifying client device **105B** does not need to send the authentication challenge to any other devices, then it is determined whether the devices receiving the authentication challenge need to  
40 respond to the authentication challenge **420**. If so, the devices receiving the authentication challenge generate a response to the authentication challenge **425** and transmit the response to the authenticator computing device **430**. The method then determines whether it is necessary to forward the authentication challenge to any other devices **435**, and if it is necessary to forward the authentication challenge to another device, then the

5 authentication challenge is forwarded to the other devices **440**. The process continues until all of the appropriate devices have received the authentication challenge and all of the devices have had the opportunity to respond. The authenticator computing device **103** then determines whether or not to grant access to the requesting client device **105A** and notifies the requesting client device **105A** that access has been denied or granted **445**.

10 In a specific embodiment providing anonymous authentication, the requesting client device **105A** is associated with more than one user and the requesting device is a member of an associated set of user devices. In this embodiment, access to the resource is granted or denied **445** to all of the users of the associated set of user devices if the responses are determined to be valid responses.

15 With reference to Fig. 5, shown is a flow chart that provides one example of functionality implemented in the verifying client device **105B**. Alternatively, the flow chart of Fig. 5 can be viewed as depicting steps of an example of a method implemented in the verifying client device **105B** to authenticate the requesting client device **105A**. In particular, the verifying client device can receive the authentication challenge **500**, wherein the authentication challenge may be received from the authenticator computing device **103** or from the  
20 requesting client device **105A**. After receiving the authentication challenge at the verifying client device, the method continues by determining whether the authentication challenge should be forwarded to any other devices from the verifying client device **505**. If it is determined that the authentication challenge should not be forwarded to any other devices,  
25 it is then determined whether the verifying client device **105B** needs to respond to the authentication challenge **515**. If not, then the method continues by determining whether any other devices should receive the authentication challenge **530**. Alternatively, if it is determined that that the verifying client device **105B** needs to respond to the authentication challenge, then a response is generated **520** and then transmitted to the authenticator computing device **525**. The method then continues by determining whether any additional  
30 devices should receive the authentication challenge **530** and sending the authentication challenge to additional devices, if appropriate **535**. The process continues until all of the appropriate devices have received the authentication challenge. The process then ends and the authenticator computing device notifies the requesting client device **105A** whether  
35 or not access has been granted based at least in part on the method performed within the verifying client device **105B**. In some embodiments, multiple verifying client devices **105B** can be required to receive and/or send the authentication challenge at various times to authenticate the requesting client device **105A**.

40 In a specific embodiment providing anonymous authentication, the requesting client device **105A** is associated with more than one user and the requesting device is a member of an associated set of user devices. In this embodiment, the process ends and the authenticator computing device notifies the associated set of user devices **160** whether or not access to

5 the resource is granted or denied to all of the users of the associated set of user devices if the responses are determined to be valid responses.

With reference to Fig. 6, shown is a diagram illustrating an example of an authentication protocol implemented as a portion of the embodiment of the authentication system. In one embodiment, the requesting client device **105A** and verifying client device **105B** shown in  
10 Fig. 6 are associated and/or registered to the same user. In an additional embodiment providing anonymous authentication, at least one of the requesting client device **105A** and the verifying client device **105B** are registered to more than one user and the requesting client device **105A** and the verifying client device **105B** are members of an associated set of user devices. As shown in Fig. 6, a requesting application in the requesting client device  
15 **105A** sends an access request **615** to the authenticator computing device **103** to access a resource. The authenticator **103** sends an authentication challenge **620** to the requesting client device **105A** after which the requesting client device **105A** sends the authentication challenge **620** to a verifying client device **105B**. The verifying client device **105B** sends a response **630** back to the authenticator computing device **103**. If the authenticator  
20 computing device **103** determines that the response **630** is valid for the issued authentication challenge **620**, the authenticator computing device **103** grants the requesting client device **105A** access **635** to the resource. In the case of anonymous authentication, if the authenticator computing device **103** determines that the response **630** is valid for the issued authentication challenge **620**, the authenticator computing device **103** grants any  
25 one or more of the user devices of the associated set of user devices **160** access to the resource.

With reference to Fig. 7, shown is a diagram illustrating another example of an authentication protocol implemented as a portion of the embodiment of the authentication system. In one embodiment, the devices **105A** and **105B** shown in Fig. 7 are associated  
30 and/or registered to the same user. In an additional embodiment providing anonymous authentication, at least one of the requesting client device **105A** and the verifying client device **105B** are registered to more than one user and the requesting client device **105A** and the verifying client device **105B** are members of an associated set of user devices. In the embodiment shown in Fig. 7, after the requesting client device **105A** requests access  
35 to a resource **715**, the authenticator computing device **103** sends the authentication challenge **720** to the verifying client device **105B**. The verifying client device **105B** sends the authentication challenge **720** to the requesting client device **105A**, after which the requesting client device **105A** sends a response **730** back to the authenticator computing device **103**. If the authenticator computing device **103** determines that the response **730**  
40 is valid for the issued authentication challenge **720**, the authenticator computing device **103** grants the requesting client device **105A** access **735** to the resource. In the case of anonymous authentication, if the authenticator computing device **103** determines that the response **730** is valid for the issued authentication challenge **720**, the authenticator



5 computing device **103** grants any one or more of the user devices of the associated set of user devices **160** access to the resource.

With reference to Fig. 8, shown is a diagram illustrating another example of an authentication protocol implemented as a portion of the embodiment of the authentication system. In one embodiment, the requesting client device **105A**, first verifying device **105B**  
10 and second verifying client device **105B**, shown in Fig. 8, are associated and/or registered to the same user. In an additional embodiment providing anonymous authentication, at least one of the requesting client device **105A** the first verifying client device **105B** and the second verifying client device **105B** are registered to more than one user and the requesting client device **105A** the first verifying client device **105B** and the second verifying  
15 client device **105B** are members of an associated set of user devices. As shown in Fig. 8, the requesting client device **105A** sends an access request **820** to the authenticator computing device **103**. The requesting client device **105A** that requests access to a resource receives the authentication challenge **825** from the authenticator computing device **103**. A first verifying client device **105B** receives the authentication challenge **825**  
20 from the requesting client device **105A**. Thereafter, the first verifying client device **105B** sends a response **840** back to the authenticator computing device **103**. Thereafter, the first verifying client device **105B** sends the authentication challenge **825** to a second verifying client device **105B**. The second verifying client device **105B** then sends a response **845** back to the authenticator computing device **103**. If the authenticator computing device **103**  
25 determines that the responses **840**, **845** received from the first and second verifying client devices **105B** are valid for the issued authentication challenge **825**, the authenticator computing device **103** sends an access grant **850** to the requesting client device **105A** thereby granting the requesting client device **105A** access to the resource. In the case of anonymous authentication, if the authenticator computing device **103** determines that the responses **840**, **845** are valid for the issued authentication challenge **825**, the authenticator  
30 computing device **103** grants any one or more of the user devices of the associated set of user devices **160** access to the resource.

With reference to Fig. 9, shown is a diagram illustrating another example of an authentication protocol implemented as a portion of the embodiment of the authentication system. In one embodiment, the requesting client device **105A**, first verifying device **105B**  
35 and second verifying client device **105B**, shown in Fig. 9, are associated and/or registered to the same user. In an additional embodiment providing anonymous authentication, at least one of the requesting client device **105A** the first verifying client device **105B** and the second verifying client device **105B** are registered to more than one user and the requesting client device **105A** the first verifying client device **105B** and the second verifying  
40 client device **105B** are members of an associated set of user devices. As shown in Fig. 9, the requesting client device **105A** sends an access request **920** to the authenticator computing device **103**. The requesting client device **105A** sends the authentication challenge **925** to the first verifying client device **105B**, after which the first verifying client

5 device **105B** sends a response **935** to the authenticator computing device **103**. The requesting client device **105A** then sends the authentication challenge **925** to the second verifying client device **105B**. The second verifying client device **105B** then sends a response **945** back to the authenticator computing device **103**. If the authenticator computing device **103** determines that the responses **935**, **945** received from the first and  
10 second verifying client devices **105B** are valid for the issued authentication challenge **925**, the authenticator computing device **103** send an access grant **950** to the requesting client device **105A**, thereby granting access to the resource. In the case of anonymous authentication, if the authenticator computing device **103** determines that the responses **935**, **945** are valid for the issued authentication challenge **925**, the authenticator computing device **103** grants any one or more of the user devices of the associated set of user devices  
15 **160** access to the resource.

With reference to Fig. 10, shown is a diagram illustrating another example of an authentication protocol implemented as a portion of the embodiment of the authentication system **100**. In one embodiment, the requesting client device **105A**, first verifying device  
20 **105B** and second verifying client device **105B**, shown in Fig. 10, are associated and/or registered to the same user. In an additional embodiment providing anonymous authentication, at least one of the requesting client device **105A** the first verifying client device **105B** and the second verifying client device **105B** are registered to more than one user and the requesting client device **105A** the first verifying client device **105B** and the  
25 second verifying client device **105B** are members of an associated set of user devices. As shown in Fig. 10, the requesting client device **105A** first sends an access request **1020** to the authenticator computing device **103**. The requesting computing device **105A** then receives an authentication challenge **1025** and sends the authentication challenge **1025** to the first verifying client device **105B**, then to the second verifying client device **105B**, after  
30 which both the first and the second verifying client devices **105B** send a response **1040**, **1045** to the authenticator computing device **103**. If the authenticator computing device **103** determines that the responses **1040**, **1045** received from the first and second verifying client devices **105B** are valid for the issued authentication challenge **1025**, the authenticator computing device **103** sends an access grant **1050** to the requesting client device **105A** thereby granting the requesting client device **105A** access to the resource. In  
35 the case of anonymous authentication, if the authenticator computing device **103** determines that the responses **1040**, **1045** are valid for the issued authentication challenge **1025**, the authenticator computing device **103** grants any one or more of the user devices of the associated set of user devices **160** access to the resource.

40 In one embodiment, when the authentication process starts, a nonce and/or a QR-code version of this nonce can be generated by the server. Once the nonce is generated, the QR code can be generated. Finally, the server can send the created QR code to the device **105** requesting access to the application. In one embodiment, to forestall attacks during the authentication process, the authentication challenge can only be valid for about **15**

5 seconds. It should be appreciated that the authentication challenge can be limited to being valid for any pre-determined amount of time.

All the communications between the authenticator computing device **103** and the devices **105** can be signed, encrypted, and/or contain a timestamp. There can be a time window (determined from the timestamp) within which the receiver of a message will accept a message. The timestamp and the digital signature can facilitate ensuring the authenticity, integrity, and non-repudiation of the message. The encryption can facilitate ensuring the confidentiality of the message. Also, an email address or other identifier can be used to identify the user and the signature to differentiate the two devices **105**.

The interaction between the requesting client device **105A** and the verifying client device **105B** can be modified to suit different purposes. For example, the authentication challenge data could be sent as a sound wave instead of a QR code. In this regard, different forms of authentication challenges can be transmitted to the device **105A** requesting access to the application and received from the devices **105B** interacting with the requesting device **105A** to authenticate the requesting device **105A**. For example, the authentication challenge can be a nonce and may be communicated in the form of a sound wave, NFC, images, infrared rays, vibration, Bluetooth, the state of a memory device such as a USB drive or magnetic tape, or any form of communication between devices **105** that can be received via the devices **105**.

The time limit set to perform the authentication challenge, and a timestamp that may optionally be included in all the communications, can improve the security of the present invention. If the communication of the authentication challenge is delayed by a third party (perhaps because the attacker is in possession of the requesting client device **105A**, while a verifying client device **105B** is in possession of a legitimate user, and the attacker uses social engineering or spear phishing to send the authentication challenge data to said verifying client device **105B**), timestamps can reveal that delay.

In an additional embodiment, continuous authentication can be provided, wherein, after a requesting client device **105A** gains access to the resource, the requesting client device **105A** is stolen, which would allow an attacker to gain access to the resource. To solve this problem, the authenticator computing device **103** can send a challenge to the requesting device **105A** in a fixed or random time interval. The user of the requesting device **105A** is then required to respond to the authentication challenges in order to continue being authenticated. The authentication system can require the challenge to be performed in a relatively small time interval, requiring the user to continuously respond to the authentication challenge in order to stay authenticated. Furthermore, the authentication system can generate different challenges, and/or it can request responses from a different subset of the devices associated with the user.

5           Additionally, while the detailed embodiments of the invention describe authenticating only the requesting client device **105A**, granting the verifying client devices **105B** access to the resource is also within the scope of the present invention.

          In some embodiments, if one of the client devices **105** is unable to communicate directly with the authenticator computing device **103**, it is possible to use one of the other client  
10           devices **105**, that can communicate with the authenticator computing device **103**, to act as a relay for the communication between the authenticator computing device **103** and the client device **105** unable to communicate directly with the authenticator computing device **103**.

          With reference to FIG. 11, shown is a schematic block diagram of an authenticator computing device **1103** according to an embodiment of the present disclosure. The authenticator computing device **1103** includes at least one processor circuit, for example,  
15           having a processor **1105** and a memory **1120**, both of which are coupled to a local interface **1110**. To this end, the authenticator computing device **1103** can comprise, for example, at least one server computer. The local interface **1110** can comprise, for example, a data bus with an accompanying address/control bus or other bus structure as can be appreciated.  
20

          Stored in the memory **1120** are both data and several components that are executable by the processor **1105**. In particular, stored in the memory **1120** and executable by the processor **1105** are the authentication challenge generator **1118**, validator **1115**, and potentially other applications. Also stored in the memory **1120** can be a data store **1112**  
25           and other data. In addition, an operating system can be stored in the memory **1120** and executable by the processor **1105**.

          It is understood that there can be other applications that are stored in the memory **1120** and are executable by the processors **1105** as can be appreciated. Where any component discussed herein is implemented in the form of software, any one of a number of  
30           programming languages can be employed such as, for example, C, C++, C#, Objective C, ML, Erlang, F#, x86, ARM, Java, JavaScript, Perl, PHP, Visual Basic, Python, Ruby, Delphi, Matlab, or other programming languages.

          A number of software components are stored in the memory **1120** and are executable by the processor **1105**. In this respect, the term "executable" means a program file that is in  
35           a form that can ultimately be run by the processor **1105**. Examples of executable programs can be, for example, a compiled program that can be translated into machine code in a format that can be loaded into a random access portion of the memory **1120** and run by the processor **1105**, source code that can be expressed in proper format such as object code that is capable of being loaded into a random access portion of the memory **1120** and executed by the processor **1105**, or source code that can be interpreted by another executable program to generate instructions in a random access portion of the memory **1120** to be executed by the processor **1105**, etc. An executable program can be stored in  
40           any portion or component of the memory **1120** including, for example, random access

5 memory (RAM), read-only memory (ROM), hard drive, solid-state drive, USB flash drive, memory card, optical disc such as compact disc (CD) or digital versatile disc (DVD), floppy disk, magnetic tape, or other memory components.

The memory **1120** is defined herein as including both volatile and nonvolatile memory and data storage components. Volatile components are those that do not retain data values upon loss of power. Nonvolatile components are those that retain data upon a loss of power. Thus, the memory **1120** can comprise, for example, random access memory (RAM), read-only memory (ROM), hard disk drives, solid-state drives, USB flash drives, memory cards accessed via a memory card reader, floppy disks accessed via an associated floppy disk drive, optical discs accessed via an optical disc drive, magnetic tapes accessed via an appropriate tape drive, and/or other memory components, or a combination of any two or more of these memory components. In addition, the RAM can comprise, for example, static random access memory (SRAM), dynamic random access memory (DRAM), or magnetic random access memory (MRAM) and other such devices. The ROM can comprise, for example, a programmable read-only memory (PROM), an erasable programmable read-only memory (EPROM), an electrically erasable programmable read-only memory (EEPROM), or other like memory device.

Also, the processor **1105** can represent multiple processors **1105** and the memory **1120** can represent multiple memories **1120** that operate in parallel processing circuits, respectively. In such a case, the local interface **1110** can be an appropriate network that facilitates communication between any two of the multiple processors **1105**, between any processor **1105** and any of the memories **1120**, or between any two of the memories **1120**, etc. The local interface **1110** can comprise additional systems designed to coordinate this communication, including, for example, performing load balancing. The processor **1105** can be of electrical or of some other available construction.

Although authentication challenge generator **1118**, validator **1115**, and other various systems described herein can be embodied in software or code executed by general purpose hardware as discussed above, as an alternative the same can also be embodied in dedicated hardware or a combination of software/general purpose hardware and dedicated hardware. If embodied in dedicated hardware, each can be implemented as a circuit or state machine that employs any one of or a combination of a number of technologies. These technologies can include, but are not limited to, discrete logic circuits having logic gates for implementing various logic functions upon an application of one or more data signals, application specific integrated circuits having appropriate logic gates, or other components, etc. Such technologies are generally well known by those skilled in the art and, consequently, are not described in detail herein.

The flow charts of Figs. 3-5 show the functionality and operation of an implementation of portions of an embodiment for the multiple device authentication system. If embodied in software, each block can represent a module, segment, or portion of code that comprises

5 program instructions to implement the specified logical function(s). The program instructions can be embodied in the form of source code that comprises human-readable statements written in a programming language or machine code that comprises numerical instructions recognizable by a suitable execution system such as a processor 1105 in a computer system or other system. The machine code can be converted from the source code, etc. If embodied in hardware, each block can represent a circuit or a number of interconnected circuits to implement the specified logical function(s).  
10

Although the flow charts of Figs. 3-5 show a specific order of execution, it is understood that the order of execution can differ from that which is depicted. For example, the order of execution of two or more blocks can be scrambled relative to the order shown. Also, two or more blocks shown in succession in Figs. 1 and 2 can be executed concurrently or with partial concurrence. Further, in some embodiments, one or more of the blocks shown in Figs. 1 and 2 can be skipped or omitted. In addition, any number of counters, state variables, warning semaphores, or messages might be added to the logical flow described herein, for purposes of enhanced utility, accounting, performance measurement, or providing troubleshooting aids, etc. It is understood that all such variations are within the scope of the present disclosure.  
15  
20

It should be emphasized that the above-described embodiments of the present disclosure are merely possible examples of implementations set forth for a clear understanding of the principles of the disclosure. Many variations and modifications can be made to the above-described embodiment(s) without departing substantially from the spirit and principles of the disclosure. All such modifications and variations are intended to be included herein within the scope of this disclosure and protected by the following claims.  
25

5 What is claimed is:

1. A method of authentication using at least two user devices of an associated set of user devices, the method comprising:

10 registering each user device of an associated set of user devices with an authenticator computing device, wherein each of the user devices of the associated set of user devices are registered to at least one user;

receiving, at the authenticator computing device, a request to access a resource from one user device of the associated set of user devices, wherein the one user device requesting access to the resource is registered to more than one user;

15 generating an authentication challenge at the authenticator computing device in response to the request;

transmitting the authentication challenge to one user device of a subset of user devices of the associated set of user devices registered with the authenticator computing device, wherein the subset of user devices comprises at least one user device other than the one user device requesting access to the resource;

20 transmitting the authentication challenge from the one user device of the subset of user devices to one or more other user devices of the subset of user devices;

generating at least one response to the authentication challenge at one or more user devices of the subset of user devices;

transmitting the at least one response to the authenticator computing device;

25 determining, at the authenticator computing device, if the at least one response constitutes a valid response to the authentication challenge; and

30 granting any one or more of the user devices of the associated set of user devices registered with the authenticator computing device access to the resource if the at least one response received at the authenticator computing device constitutes a valid response to the authentication challenge.

2. The method of claim 1, wherein registering each of the user devices of the associated set of user devices with an authenticator computing device, wherein each of the user

- 5 devices of the associated set of user devices are registered to at least one user, further comprises storing at least one user credential and storing at least one verified device identifier from each of the user devices of the associated set of user devices at the authenticator computing device.
- 10 3. The method of claim 1, wherein transmitting the authentication challenge to a subset of user devices of the associated set of user devices further comprises, transmitting the authentication challenge from the authenticator computing device to the subset of user devices according to a forwarding policy of the authenticator computing device.
- 15 4. The method of claim 1, wherein transmitting the authentication challenge to a subset of user devices of the associated set of user devices further comprises, transmitting the authentication challenge from the authenticator computing device to the user device requesting access to the resource and the user device requesting access to the resource subsequently transmitting the authentication challenge to the other user devices of the subset of user devices according to a forwarding policy of the user device requesting access to the resource.
- 20 5. The method of claim 1, wherein generating at least one response to the authentication challenge at one or more user devices of the subset of user devices further comprises, generating a response to the authentication challenge at each of the user devices of the subset of user devices according to a response policy of each of the user devices of the subset of user devices.
- 25 6. The method of claim 5 further comprising, transmitting the response to the authentication challenge generated at each of the user devices of the subset of user devices to the authenticator computing device.
- 30 7. The method of claim 6, wherein determining, at the authenticator computing device, if the at least one response constitutes a valid response to the authentication challenge further comprises, determining if each response to the authentication challenge generated at each of the users devices of the subset of user devices constitutes a valid response.



- 5           8. The method of claim 6, wherein determining, at the authenticator computing device, if the at least one response constitutes a valid response to the authentication challenge further comprises, determining if each response to the authentication challenge generated at each of user devices of the subset of user devices constitutes a valid response according to a validation policy of the authenticator computing device.
- 10          9. The method of claim 1, wherein the authentication challenge is an encrypted or cryptographically signed authentication challenge.
10. The method of claim 1, wherein the authentication challenge comprises a Message Authentication Code (MAC).
11. The method of claim 1, wherein the authentication challenge comprises a timestamp.
- 15          12. The method of claim 1, wherein a transmission technique for the authentication challenge is selected from the group consisting of a QR code, a sound wave, a light wave, an infrared signal, an NFC, a Bluetooth signal, a radio signal, an image, a state of a memory device and a vibration.
13. The method of claim 1, wherein the resource is selected from the group consisting of
- 20           an application, a file, a process, a port, a service, a network bandwidth, a device, a memory and a processor time.
14. One or more non-transitory computer-readable media having computer-executable instructions for performing a method of running a software program on a computing device, the method including issuing instructions from the software program, the
- 25           instructions comprising:
- registering each user device of an associated set of user devices with an authenticator computing device, wherein each of the user devices of the associated set of user devices are registered to at least one user;
- receiving, at the authenticator computing device, a request to access a resource from
- 30           one user device of the associated set of user devices, wherein the one user device requesting access to the resource is registered to more than one user;

- 5           generating an authentication challenge at the authenticator computing device in response to the request;
- transmitting the authentication challenge to one user device of a subset of user devices of the associated set of user devices registered with the authenticator computing device, wherein the subset of user devices comprises at least one user device other
- 10           than the one user device requesting access to the resource;
- transmitting the authentication challenge from the one user device of the subset of user devices to one or more other user devices of the subset of user devices;
- generating at least one response to the authentication challenge at one or more user devices of the subset of user devices;
- 15           transmitting the at least one response to the authenticator computing device;
- determining, at the authenticator computing device, if the at least one response constitutes a valid response to the authentication challenge; and
- granting any one or more of the user devices of the associated set of user devices registered with the authenticator computing device access to the resource if the at least
- 20           one response received at the authenticator computing device constitutes a valid response to the authentication challenge.
15. The media of claim 14, further comprising instructions for registering each of the user devices of the associated set of user devices to a user with an authenticator computing device, wherein each of the user devices of the associated set of user devices are
- 25           registered to at least one user, by storing at least one user credential and storing at least one verified device identifier from each of the user devices of the associated set of user devices at the authenticator computing device.
16. The media of claim 14, further comprising instructions for transmitting the authentication challenge from the authenticator computing device to the subset of user
- 30           devices according to a forwarding policy of the authenticator computing device.
17. The media of claim 14, further comprising instructions for transmitting the authentication challenge from the authenticator computing device to the user device

- 5            requesting access to the resource and subsequently transmitting the authentication challenge from the user device requesting access to the resource to the other user devices of the subset of user devices according to a forwarding policy of the user device requesting access to the resource.
- 10           18. The media of claim 14, further comprising instructions for generating a response to the authentication challenge at each of the user devices of the subset of user devices according to a response policy of each of the user devices of the subset of user devices.
19. The media of claim 18, further comprising instructions for transmitting the response to the authentication challenge generated at each of the user devices of the subset of user devices to the authenticator computing device.
- 15           20. The media of claim 19, further comprising instructions for determining if each response to the authentication challenge generated at each of the users devices of the subset of user devices constitutes a valid response.
21. The media of claim 19, further comprising instructions for determining if each response to the authentication challenge generated at each of user devices of the subset of user devices constitutes a valid response according to a validation policy of the authenticator computing device.
- 20           22. The media of claim 14, wherein the authentication challenge is an encrypted or cryptographically signed authentication challenge.
23. The media of claim 14, wherein the authentication challenge comprises a Message Authentication Code (MAC).
- 25           24. The media of claim 14, wherein the authentication challenge comprises a timestamp.
25. The media of claim 14, wherein a transmission technique for the authentication challenge is selected from the group consisting of a QR code, a sound wave, a light wave, an infrared signal, an NFC, a Bluetooth signal, a radio signal, an image, a state of a memory device and a vibration.
- 30

- 5           26. The media of claim 14, wherein the resource is selected from the group consisting of  
                  an application, a file, a process, a port, a service, a network bandwidth, a device, a  
                  memory and a processor time.
27. An authentication system comprising:  
  
                  an authenticator computing device configured to register each user device of an  
10               associated set of user devices with the authenticator computing device, wherein each  
                  of the user devices of the associated set of user devices are registered to at least one  
                  user, the authenticator computing device further configured to receive a request to  
                  access a resource from one user device of a the associated set of user devices,  
                  wherein the one user device requesting access to the resource is registered to at least  
15               two users and with the authenticator computing device, to generate an authentication  
                  challenge in response to the request, to transmit the authentication challenge to one  
                  user device of a subset of user devices of the associated set of user devices registered  
                  with the authenticator computing device and to transmit the authentication challenge  
20               from the one user device of the subset of user devices to one or more other devices of  
                  the subset of user devices, wherein the subset of user devices comprises at least one  
                  device other than the one user device requesting access to the resource or to transmit  
                  the authentication challenge to the user device requesting access to a resource for  
                  subsequent transmission of the authentication challenge from the user device  
                  requesting access to a resource to the other user device(s) of the subset, to receive at  
25               least one response to the authentication challenge from one or more user devices of  
                  the subset of user devices, to determine if the at least one response constitutes a valid  
                  response to the authentication challenge and to grant any one or more of the user  
                  devices of the associated set of user devices registered with the authenticator  
                  computing device access to the resource if the at least one response received  
30               constitutes a valid response to the authentication challenge.
28. The authentication system of claim 27, wherein the authenticator computing device is  
                  further configured to register each of the associated set of user devices with the  
                  authenticator computing device by storing at least one user credential and storing at

5           least one verified device identifier from each of the user devices of the associated set of user devices at the authenticator computing device.

29. The authentication system of claim 27, wherein the associated set of user devices are selected from the group consisting of a laptop computer, a personal digital assistant, a cellular telephone, a smart phone, a smart watch, a smart ring, a smart wearable  
10           device, a smart lock, a music player, a web pads, a tablet computer system, a game device, an electronic book reader, or other device with like capability.




Fig. 1




Fig. 2




Fig. 3






Fig. 4




Fig. 5




Fig. 6




Fig. 7




Fig. 8




Fig. 9




Fig. 10




Fig. 11



<b>INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT</b>		International application No. PCT/US16/36225
<b>A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER</b> IPC: <b>H04L 29/06( 2006.01);H04L 9/32( 2006.01)</b>  USPC: 713/156 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC		
<b>B. FIELDS SEARCHED</b>		
Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) U.S. : 713/156; 726/1; IPC.: H04L 63/0853, 0823, 0227, 0254, 20; H04L 9/3271; G06F 21/10		
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched		
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) Please See Continuation Sheet		
<b>C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT</b>		
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US 2003/0120920 A1 (SVENSSON) 26 June 2003 (26.06.2003), entire document.	1-29
A	US 2006/0212701 A1 (WARWICK) 21 September 2006 (21.09.2006), entire document.	1-29
A	US 2013/0160083 A1 (SCHRIX et al.) 20 June 2013 (20.06.2013), entire document.	1-29
A	US 2014/0143546 A1 (MCMURTRY et al.) 22 May 2014 (22.05.2014), entire document.	1-29
<input type="checkbox"/> Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. <input type="checkbox"/> See patent family annex.		
* Special categories of cited documents:		
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  "E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date  "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)  "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means  "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention  "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone  "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art  "&" document member of the same patent family	
Date of the actual completion of the international search 26 August 2016 (26.08.2016)	Date of mailing of the international search report <b>30 AUG 2016</b>	
Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201	Authorized officer  Chris Grant  Telephone No. (571) 272-4300	

**INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT**

International application No.  
PCT/US16/36225

Continuation of B. FIELDS SEARCHED Item 3:

EAST: US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM\_TDB

Search Terms: multi-factor; authentication, SMS, MAC, timestamp, request\$5, forward\$5, request, authenticat\$5, register\$5, subset