




## INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification <sup>5</sup> : G01V 9/04, G08B 13/183	A1	(11) International Publication Number: <b>WO 94/29755</b> (43) International Publication Date: 22 December 1994 (22.12.94)
<p>(21) International Application Number: PCT/GB94/01247</p> <p>(22) International Filing Date: 9 June 1994 (09.06.94)</p> <p>(30) Priority Data: 9311933.7                      9 June 1993 (09.06.93)                      GB</p> <p>(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): INTELSEC SYSTEMS LIMITED [GB/GB]; Unit 2, Meadway Court, Meadway Technology Park, Rutherford Close, Stevenage, Hertfordshire SG1 2EF (GB).</p> <p>(72) Inventor; and (75) Inventor/Applicant (for US only): LATTA, David, William [GB/GB]; 28 Broom Grove, Knebworth, Hertfordshire SG3 6BQ (GB).</p> <p>(74) Agent: BRITTER, Keith, Palmer; Britter and Co., Barn West, Dixies, High Street, Ashwell, Hertfordshire SG7 5NT (GB).</p>	<p>(81) Designated States: AT, AU, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CZ, DE, DK, ES, FI, GB, HU, JP, KP, KR, KZ, LK, LU, LV, MG, MN, MW, NL, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SK, UA, US, UZ, VN, European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).</p> <p><b>Published</b> <i>With international search report. Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.</i></p>	

(54) Title: DETECTOR SYSTEMS



## (57) Abstract

A system for detecting and assessing the nature and position of an object passing through, and thus interrupting, one or more beams (13), which system comprises means (14) arranged to generate a matrix of beams (13) intersecting each other, to form a net-like pattern of beams, and means arranged to generate information relating to any beam(s) (13) interrupted by an intruding object and to supply such information to an analyser arranged to determine therefrom, to a suitable approximation, both the nature and position of the object which has interrupted the beam(s) (13).

**FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY**

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT	Austria	GB	United Kingdom	MR	Mauritania
AU	Australia	GE	Georgia	MW	Malawi
BB	Barbados	GN	Guinea	NE	Niger
BE	Belgium	GR	Greece	NL	Netherlands
BF	Burkina Faso	HU	Hungary	NO	Norway
BG	Bulgaria	IE	Ireland	NZ	New Zealand
BJ	Benin	IT	Italy	PL	Poland
BR	Brazil	JP	Japan	PT	Portugal
BY	Belarus	KE	Kenya	RO	Romania
CA	Canada	KG	Kyrgystan	RU	Russian Federation
CF	Central African Republic	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SD	Sudan
CG	Congo	KR	Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CH	Switzerland	KZ	Kazakhstan	SI	Slovenia
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	LI	Liechtenstein	SK	Slovakia
CM	Cameroon	LK	Sri Lanka	SN	Senegal
CN	China	LU	Luxembourg	TD	Chad
CS	Czechoslovakia	LV	Latvia	TG	Togo
CZ	Czech Republic	MC	Monaco	TJ	Tajikistan
DE	Germany	MD	Republic of Moldova	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
DK	Denmark	MG	Madagascar	UA	Ukraine
ES	Spain	ML	Mali	US	United States of America
FI	Finland	MN	Mongolia	UZ	Uzbekistan
FR	France			VN	Viet Nam
GA	Gabon				

DETECTOR SYSTEMSDESCRIPTION

5           This invention relates to detector systems and concerns, in particular but not exclusively, such systems which may be useful in detecting the presence of an intruder gaining or attempting to gain access to a secure area.

10

          For many reasons, it may be that an area - a building, perhaps, or some land - needs to be kept secure in the sense either that no unauthorised persons can enter it or that, if they do, their presence is detected so that some appropriate action can be taken. Such an area may typically form a compound, a fence of some sort defining the area within which there are articles and buildings to which only certain persons are permitted access.

20

          It is evident that keeping an area secure will, for the most part, involve detecting the presence of an intruder within that area, and especially of determining an intruder's passage into the area, so that action can be taken before the intruder implements his purpose. Much effort has been expended in devising detector systems which will note and warn about an intruder crossing the perimeter or boundary of a secure area. Such systems range from the simplest, for example, trip wires just above the ground surface, through to the more complex, for example, a multiplicity of pressure pads just below the ground, and on to the extremely sophisticated, such as, a vertical array of horizontal parallel laser beams extending between spaced posts to form a "wall" of laser radiation, with each system having its

35

- 2 -

advantages and disadvantages. Indeed, even the most complex of present-day laser barriers can be triggered by something as unintruder-like as an animal or a wind-borne plastic bag, without the system operator  
5 being able to determine by what, or even where, the perimeter of the secure area has been breached.

The present invention seeks to solve this problem by providing a "light"-beam-based, preferably an  
10 infra-red (IR) laser-based, detection system which enables the nature, such as, the shape and position of an "intruder" to be assessed more positively and with greater accuracy. It proposes that there be used a matrix of beams crossing each other, to form a  
15 net-like pattern of beams, and that information about which beams are broken when blocked by an intruding body be supplied to an analyser which determines therefrom, to a suitable approximation both the general shape and the position of the body which has  
20 interrupted the beam pattern.

In one aspect, therefore, the invention provides a detection system for detecting and assessing the nature and position of an object passing through, and  
25 thus interrupting, one or more beams, which system comprises means arranged to generate a matrix of beams intersecting each other, to form a net-like pattern of beams, and means arranged to generate information relating to any beam(s) interrupted by an intruding  
30 object and to supply such information to an analyser arranged to determine therefrom, to a suitable approximation, both the nature and position of the object which has interrupted the beam(s).

35 The detection system of the invention is preferably an electromagnetic, such as, a light,

beam-based detection system, that is to say, it is a system which uses beams of electromagnetic radiation extending from one or more transmitter thereof to one or more receiver thereof. The beam may, in principle, be of any suitable electromagnetic radiation but, in practice, it is most preferably light of a frequency which is invisible to the human eye, more specifically infra-red (IR). Moreover, while the source of radiation might be any transmitting device capable of providing a sufficiently narrow and focused beam, most conveniently it is a laser beam which is not necessarily coherent, although that might have certain advantages.

Other beams, such as, ultrasonic beams, may also be used as an alternative to beams of electromagnetic radiation.

In the system of the invention there is used a matrix of beams actually crossing each other, to form a net-like pattern of beams. The fineness or coarseness of the net pattern may be whatever is appropriate to the size of object most likely to intersect the beams and be detected. If only large objects, such as vehicles, are to be detected, then the apertures in the net pattern may be quite large, say, several metres long and a metre or so high, but if relatively small objects, such as cats and dogs, must be seen and detected then the net apertures should be quite small, say, around 0.25 m long and 0.1 m high. For a human-sized object, a suitable aperture size is about 0.5 m long and 0.25 m high.

A matrix of beams can be constructed in a number of ways but one preferred way is to provide a vertically-spaced array of alternate transmitters and

- 4 -

receivers, such as, a multiplicity of laser transmitters and receivers alternately spaced up a vertical post. Typically, six alternately located transmitters and receivers spaced roughly equally up  
5 a 2 m high post located, perhaps by 100 m or so, from a corresponding array of transmitters and receivers with each transmitter arranged to direct its beam at several, possibly each, of the receivers, either all at the same time or one after another. In this way,  
10 beams from a top transmitter of the post might extend horizontally to a top receiver of an adjacent post, the second receiver down, the next receiver down and so on, to form a fan-like set of beams, while beams from each of the other transmitters also form a  
15 similar fan as they are directed to the respective sets of receivers. Thus, all these sets of beams intersect each other, to form the desired net-like pattern in which the apertures formed thereby are roughly diamond-shaped.

20  
When the system of the invention is in use, information about which beams are interrupted when blocked by an intruding object, is supplied to an analyser which uses that information to determine the  
25 general nature and shape of what has interrupted the beams and where. Basically, the information required is little more than which beam is interrupted, and this will be quite easy if, for every beam, there is a single transmitter and receiver pair used for that  
30 beam only. However, it will normally be convenient to arrange for one or more transmitters to output several beams each and/or perhaps for one or more receivers to receive several beams. To obtain the required  
35 information about which beams are interrupted, it may be necessary to code carefully each beam, so that when it is not received by the relevant receiver, it is

- 5 -

possible to determine exactly which transmitter/receiver pair it extended between. Thus, it might be possible to code each beam by modulating it, which is comparatively easy to do with laser beams, or, and if some form of time-based scanning arrangement is used, by knowing for which time period each beam was transmitted.

The information about which beams are interrupted is supplied to an analyser which determines therefrom, to a suitable approximation, the general nature, such as, the shape and the position of the beam-interrupted object. While it could be a purpose-built hardware device, in general this analyser is in effect a software system, being a suitable program running on a computer, typically one of those modern desk-top devices known as a micro-computer.

The analyser uses the data about which beams are interrupted, coupled with the fact that on this scale light travels in straight lines, to construct an area of space bounded by the uninterrupted beams within which the intruder object must lie. If the net is fine enough, then this area of space will approximate quite well to a vertical section through the object itself. Moreover, if the analysis can be carried out in real time, that is, fast in comparison to the time taken for the object to pass through the beam matrix, then there will be constructed a set of side-by-side sections which will, when combined together, define the general volumetric shape of the object.

In use, the analyser hardware associated with each pair of transmitter/receiver arrays is desirably at some central point, to which all information outputted by the pair is sent for action. Preferably,

- 6 -

however, each post has at least the "interrupted beam identification" hardware located at the post, possibly as part thereof, and it is the data outputted therefrom, which is transmitted to a central control point for further action.

Although the algorithm employed by the analyser to determine each vertical section is in practice quite complex, the basic principle underlying it is simple, and is roughly as follows:-

1) From stored data indicating the lines making up the detector "wall" along which the beams are directed to establish the matrix, there is determined the region in the matrix in which there are the most beam interruptions.

2) Working outwardly from the centre of this region, there is identified the first uninterrupted beam and the line it represents is traversed until it intersects that of another uninterrupted beam.

3) The line of the latter is similarly traversed to yet another uninterrupted beam line and so on until the first uninterrupted beam line intersection is re-encountered, whereupon the system has found all the uninterrupted beam lines immediately surrounding the object and the resulting shape "defines" the object's section and position.

4) All interrupted beam lines are tested to ascertain if they pass through the determined shape and if some do not, indicating a second object, then for these beams the analysis is



- 7 -

repeated.

5) As the object passes through the matrix, so that its ascertained sectional shape changes with time, the several side-by-side shapes are combined, with appropriate spatial separation, to provide a volumetric definition of the object.

6) Both after Step 4 and Step 5 the derived shapes can be tested against a library of shapes in an attempt to determine with greater certainty what the object might be.

The detection system of the invention provides a greatly enhanced security system relatively free of false/nuisance alarms and capable of providing reliable information as to the general nature and position of an intruder. It is of particular value when used as part of a long range security system, such as that found at a country's border or at the perimeter of a large installation, such as, an industrial plant or a military base.

Embodiments of the invention will now be described by way of illustration only and with reference to the accompanying drawings in which:

Figure 1 is a perspective view of a perimeter fence constituted by a laser beam matrix of a detector system according to the invention;

Figure 2 is an elevation of a fence similar to that of Figure 1 but simpler;

Figures 3A to 3E show a sequence of displays representing the various stages in the analysis of the

output of a slightly simplified detector system as shown in Figure 2;

Figure 4 is a view of a possible output of the system of Figures 1 and 2 in real time;

Figure 5 is a diagrammatic representation of a typical arrangement of security fence posts of a detector system;

10

Figures 6A and 6B are respective side and front elevations, on an enlarged scale, and partially cut away, of one post of the posts shown in Figure 5;

Figure 7 illustrates how simple pulse-width-coded amplitude and/or frequency modulated, transmitted beams combine at a receiver of the system;

Figures 8A and 8B are respective block diagrams of circuits for transmitter and receiver circuits operating with amplitude modulated beam pulses; and

Figures 9A and 9B represent a flow chart diagram of a corresponding software algorithm for processing the signals only from the beam receivers of the system.

A detector system, as shown in Figure 1, comprises a fence consisting of a multiplicity of posts 11 (only two are shown) which surround an area to be kept secure. Each post 11 carries on its side adjacent one of its neighbouring posts a spaced linear array of a multiplicity of alternate laser transmitters 12 and receivers 14. Each transmitter 12 directs a laser beam 13 on to the receivers 14 on the adjacent posts 11. In Figure 1 each transmitter 12 is

35

shown emitting a laser beam 13 on to each of the receivers, whereas in Figures 2 and 3 the laser means 13 are directed on to only some of the receivers 14. The laser transmitters/receivers 12, 14 are closer together in the region of ground level than nearer the tops of the posts 11, in order to optimise detection of low-level intruding objects, such as, a crawling person or a person offering the smallest profile to the plane of the fence, namely, by a rolling action.

10

It will be seen that the criss-crossing beams 13 provide a matrix or network, having comparatively small apertures therein. It would be difficult, if not impossible, for any object of the same general size as that of the apertures to pass through the matrix without breaking one or more of the beams, even if positioned very carefully.

15

The laser transmitters 12 and receivers 14 are supplied by a power arrangement (not shown) and beam coding means (also not shown) and the receivers pass their output to a beam analyser (also not shown).

20

Figure 2 shows a simpler arrangement of posts 11, transmitters 12 and receivers 14 but, otherwise, much the same as that of Figure 1.

25

The sequence of Figures 3A to 3E represents the various stages in the analysis of the output of a slightly more simplified detector system light beam arrangement. There is shown in each case two posts 31, 32, one carrying a spaced linear array of six transmitters 12 numbered 0 to 5 and the other carrying a corresponding array of detectors 14, these making a matrix of criss-crossing, intersecting beams 13. For clarity all these are only referenced

30

35

- 10 -

in Figure 3A. In this sequence transmitter 0 directs beams on to receivers 0, 2 and 4, while transmitters 1 to 5 direct beams respectively on to receivers 1, 3 and 5; 0, 2 and 4; 1, 3 and 5; 0, 2 and 4; and 1, 3 and 5.

Figure 3A shows the full complement of uninterrupted beams 13. Figure 3B, however, shows an opaque object depicted by the line 33, placed in the matrix so as to interrupt some of the beams, the interrupted beams 34 being shown as dashed lines. There are, however, a number of uninterrupted beams, and those 35 closest to the area around the object 33 have been emboldened in Figure 3C and in Figure 3D, there being shown only those parts of those lines 35 which directly surround the object 33.

It will be clear that the space in Figure 3D defined by the closest beams 35 must "define" the furthest possible boundaries of the sectional shape of the object 33. Moreover, by applying pattern matching techniques it can be guessed that the most likely actual sectional shape for the object 33 is in fact the smaller area 36 shown hatched in Figure 3E.

Imagining that the object 33 is in fact something which is actually passing through the beam matrix, either into or out of the plane of the paper, and taking a number of similar "readings" from the receiver output as this passage occurs, there can be constructed a view of the object as a whole. Such a possible view is shown in Figure 4, which reveals that the object was a camel and that the view of Figure 3E corresponds to the section on the line E-E in Figure 4.

- 11 -

The analysis of the interrupted beam receiver information is effected as follows:-

- 5           1) The matrix of intersecting beams is scanned to discover the region containing the greatest number of intersecting beam interruptions. This region is known as the Primary Intruder Outline (PIO).
  
- 10           2) The "centre" of this region is then calculated. One of the interrupted beams is then scanned from this "centre" outwardly until an intersection with an uninterrupted beam is encountered. This first uninterrupted beam is  
15           then scanned until it crosses a second uninterrupted beam. This intersection is stored as the first point of the PIO.
  
- 20           3) The second uninterrupted beam is then scanned until it encounters a third uninterrupted beam and this intersection is stored as the second point of the PIO. For the purposes of calculation, the laser posts themselves are considered to be uninterrupted beams. Also two  
25           uninterrupted horizontal beams are assumed to exist, one above the topmost actual beam and one below the lowest actual beam.
  
- 30           4) This process is continued until the first point is encountered again. The complete outline of the shape within which the intruder exists can then be plotted on a screen. The direction of scan of an uninterrupted beam is determined by the equation of the beam and the co-ordinates of  
35           the "centre".

- 12 -

5) Examination of all uninterrupted beams is then performed to ascertain whether any interrupted beams exist which do not pass through the PIO. If any are found, the above procedure is repeated to determine the Secondary Intruder Outline.

6) Once the PIO has been determined, further examination of intersections of the interrupted beams within this outline are made to calculate the most probable Primary Intruder Position and Shape. The Secondary, Tertiary, etc, Intruder Outlines are similarly processed if applicable.

7) Analysis of the sequence of Intruder Outlines enhances position calculations and provides low resolution front and side profiles of any intruder.

Referring now to Figure 5 of the drawings, there is shown a diagrammatic representation of a typical arrangement of security fence posts for the inventive detector system, which covers, say, a fence sector of ten kilometres in length. In this particular embodiment, only three fence posts 11 are shown.

Each post 11 includes control electronics, power converter and battery back-up and a communications link (not shown) for the associated laser transmitters and receivers. Local or distributed power is provided for those electronic components and circuitry via supply lines 51.

Respective control processors for the laser fence configuration of Figure 5 provide an interface

to secondary systems, together with a redundant link  
53 for each sector, which allows transferal back-up  
and remote reporting. A portable test instrument is  
shown at 54 for periodic testing of the electronic  
5 components and circuitry of each fence post 11.

In respective Figures 6A and 6B, partial front  
and side views of a portion of each fence post 11 are  
shown.

10

Each post 11 includes in the interior thereof, an  
environmental housing 61 with an access panel 62  
secured thereto by captive fasteners 63. An  
environmental seal 64 is also provided for the cover  
15 62.

Also accommodated in the environmental housing 61  
is the control unit and associated circuitry at 65,  
together with an associated wiring loom 66 and  
20 associated cable glands 67, 68. A power supply  
controller 69 is connected to the electronic control  
unit 65 via suitable cabling 70. Respective AC and DC  
key switch isolators 71 and 72 are also provided, with  
associated cabling and cable glands. The power supply  
25 controller 69 has a series of power supply status  
lamps 73 which can be viewed externally through a  
clear panel 74 in the removable access cover 62.

A pair of vertically spaced laser transmitter and  
30 receiver 81, 82 are located above and below a low  
maintenance battery arrangement 83. Power/data cables  
84 connect the battery assembly 83 and the transmitter  
81 and receiver 82 to the control unit 65 via the DC  
isolator 72, the power supply controller 69 and the  
35 interconnecting cable 70.

- 14 -

Figure 7 shows how simple pulse width coded amplitude and/or frequency modulated, transmitted laser signals combine at a receiver as a pulse frame. It is the repetition rate of these frames which is chosen to detect the fastest moving anticipated intruder by the presence or absence of one or more coded laser pulses. The signal from each transmitter 12 (Figures 1 and 2), 81 (Figures 6A and 6B) may be a sequence of smaller duration pulses whose time relationship describe a data value similar to the way in which data is transmitted serially between associated computers of the system. Hence, the lasers may be used for data communication purposes by using the system as a multiplexed data channel, the absence of individual timed data elements providing the beam interruption information.

Figures 8A and 8B show respective laser transmitter and receiver block circuit diagrams for amplitude modulated pulses, such as those shown in Figure 7. Provision is included in the transmitter circuit of Figure 8A for the control of the output power in order that the system can compensate for varying environmental conditions of operation. In this particular embodiment, the receiver circuit includes provision for gain control in order that the system can compensate for varying environmental conditions and to maximise the signal-to-noise ratio. The combination of gain and power controls with signal level comparators forms a successive approximation, analogue-to-digital converter for signal level detection and correction. In this simplified arrangement, a comparator with hysteresis is used to provide clean signal edges for pulse width measurement. Additional provision for the control of power supplies an opto-isolated interfaces between



- 15 -

system modules is designed such that the relevant safety requirements can be met.

5 Generally, beam interruption information is communicated to a controlling micro computer for the system which processes received information from adjacent posts 11, enunciates, if necessary, the alarm and, after implementing the software algorithm shown in flow diagram form in Figures 9A and 9B, displays  
10 the results in graphical form to assist the operator in determining the nature and/or size of the intruder, as described previously above.

15

20

25

30

35

CLAIMS

1. A system for detecting and assessing the nature and position of an object passing through, and thus interrupting, one or more beams, which system comprises means arranged to generate a matrix of beams intersecting each other, to form a net-like pattern of beams, and means arranged to generate information relating to any beam(s) interrupted by an intruding object and to supply such information to an analyser arranged to determine therefrom, to a suitable approximation, both the nature and position of the object which has interrupted the beam(s).
2. A system according to claim 1, which is an electromagnetic beam-based detection system.
3. A system according to claim 1 or 2, wherein the or each beam is a light beam of a frequency invisible to the human eye.
4. A system according to claim 1, 2 or 3, wherein the or each beam is a laser beam.
5. A system according to claim 1, wherein the or each beam is an ultrasonic beam.
6. A system according to any preceding claim, wherein the or each beam extends from a transmitter to a receiver thereof.
7. A system according to any preceding claim, wherein the net-like pattern generated by the or each beam is of a fineness or coarseness appropriate to the size of the object most likely to intersect the beam(s) and be detected.

8. A system according to any preceding claim, wherein the matrix of beams is generated by a vertically-spaced array of alternate transmitters and receivers.

5

9. A system according to claim 8, wherein the transmitters and receivers are spaced alternately up a post located from a corresponding array of transmitters and receivers, with each transmitter arranged to direct its beam at several of the receivers of the corresponding array either at the same time or one after another.

10. A system according to claim 9, wherein each transmitter is arranged to direct its beam at each of the receivers of the corresponding array, to form a fan-like set of beams, such that all sets of beams intersect each other to form the net-like pattern in which the apertures formed thereby are roughly diamond-shaped.

11. A system according to any preceding claim, wherein one or more transmitters is or are arranged to output several beams and/or one or more receivers are arranged to receive several beams.

12. A system according to any preceding claim, wherein each beam is coded, so that when a beam is not received by the relevant receiver, it is possible to determine exactly between which transmitter/receiver pair it extended.

13. A system according to claim 12, wherein each beam is coded by modulating it.

35

14. A system according to any preceding claim,

wherein information about which beams are interrupted, is supplied to the analyser which determines therefrom, to a suitable approximation, the general nature of the beam-interrupted object.

5

15. A system according to any preceding claim, wherein the analyser comprises a software system.

10

16. A system according to any preceding claim, wherein the analyser is arranged to use the information relating to which beams are interrupted, to construct an area of space bounded by the uninterrupted beams within which an intruding object lies.

15

17. A system according to claim 16, wherein the net-like pattern is sufficiently fine to provide an area of space which approximates to a vertical section through the intruding object.

20

18. A system according to claim 16 or 17, wherein the analyser is arranged to carry out its analysis of the generated information relating to any interrupted beam(s) in real time, to construct a set of side-by-side sections which, when combined together, define a general volumetric shape of the intruding object.

25

19. A system according to any preceding claim, wherein the analyser comprises hardware which is associated with each of a plurality of pairs of beam transmitter/receiver arrays and is located at a central point to which all information outputted by the pair is sent for processing.

30

20. A system according to any of claims 1 to 18, wherein the analyser comprises hardware which is

35

associated with each of a plurality of pairs of beam transmitter/receiver arrays and wherein at least the hardware for identifying interrupted beams is located remote from a central control point, the information  
5 outputted from the interrupted beam identification hardware being transmissible to the central control point.

21. A system according to any preceding claim,  
10 wherein the analyser is arranged to determine vertical sections of interrupted beams of the net-like pattern of beams using an algorithm.

15

20

25

30

35




Fig 1








Fig 2










Fig. 5




Fig. 6A

6/11




Fig. 6B




Fig. 7




Fig. 8A





Fig. 8B

CONNECT AT CHASSIS  
AT RX MODULE

10/11



Preliminary calculations, the result of which are stored for later use

Note: The fence posts are considered to be equivalent to vertical beams. The ground and sky are considered to be horizontal unbroken beams.

Beambreak information from adjacent pairs of laser fence posts

CONTINUED FROM FIG 9A




FIG 9B



**INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT**

International application No.  
P /GB 94/01247

<b>A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER</b> IPC 5 G01V9/04 G08B13/183		
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC		
<b>B. FIELDS SEARCHED</b> Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) IPC 5 G01V G08B		
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched		
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)		
<b>C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT</b>		
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	EP,A,0 522 478 (INNOVATION INDUSTRIES) 13 January 1993 see column 1, line 1 - line 9 see column 3, line 38 - column 4, line 8; figures 1-3 ---	1-21
X	US,A,4 794 248 (J. GRAY) 27 December 1988 see column 2, line 40 - column 3, line 12; figures 1-2 ---	1-21
X	FR,A,2 512 213 (KOCKUMATION AB) 4 March 1983 see page 7, line 35 - page 12, line 25; figures 1-2 -----	1-21
<input type="checkbox"/> Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C. <span style="margin-left: 200px;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Patent family members are listed in annex.</span>		
* Special categories of cited documents :		
*A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance *E* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date *L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) *O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means *P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	*T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention *X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone *Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. *&* document member of the same patent family	
Date of the actual completion of the international search  <p align="center">3 October 1994</p>		Date of mailing of the international search report  <p align="center">13. 10. 94</p>
Name and mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+ 31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax (+ 31-70) 340-3016		Authorized officer  <p align="center">Sgura, S</p>

1

**INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT**

Information on patent family members

International application No.  
PCT/GB 94/01247

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
EP-A-0522478	13-01-93	US-A- 5149921	22-09-92
US-A-4794248	27-12-88	US-E- RE33668	20-08-91
FR-A-2512213	04-03-83	SE-B- 425126	30-08-82
		CA-A- 1207864	15-07-86
		DE-A- 3231830	10-03-83
		US-A- 4555633	26-11-85