

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization  
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date  
22 June 2006 (22.06.2006)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number  
**WO 2006/065929 A1**

(51) International Patent Classification:  
**G01R 33/44** (2006.01)

(21) International Application Number:  
PCT/US2005/045290

(22) International Filing Date:  
12 December 2005 (12.12.2005)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:  
60/635,527 13 December 2004 (13.12.2004) US

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): **E. I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY** [US/US]; 1007 Market Street, Wilmington, Delaware 19898 (US).

(72) Inventor; and

(75) Inventor/Applicant (for US only): **LAUBACHER, Daniel, B.** [US/US]; 103 Ashley Court, Wilmington, Delaware 19807 (US).

(74) Agent: **LANGWORTHY, John, A.**; E. I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY, LEGAL PATENT RECORDS CENTER, 4417 Lancaster Pike, Wilmington, Delaware 19805 (US).

(81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KM, KN, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, LY, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, NA, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, NL, PL, PT, RO, SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

- with international search report
- before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of receipt of amendments

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

(54) Title: METAL SHIELD ALARM IN A NUCLEAR QUADRUPOLE RESONANCE/X-RAY CONTRABAND DETECTION SYSTEM

(57) Abstract: This invention relates to a combined nuclear quadrupole resonance and X-ray contraband detection system with a metal shield alarm that is activated when the area of the metal in the object being scanned as determined by the resonance frequency shifts of the NQR sensors exceeds the area of the metal in the object being scanned as determined by X-rays by an amount sufficient to shield contraband.



WO 2006/065929 A1

TITLEMETAL SHIELD ALARM IN A  
NUCLEAR QUADRUPOLE RESONANCE/X-RAY  
CONTRABAND DETECTION SYSTEM

5

This application claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/635,527, filed December 13, 2004, which is incorporated in its entirety as a part hereof for all purposes.

10

Technical Field

This invention relates to the activation of an alarm to signal the possible presence of metal shielded contraband in a detection system that combines nuclear quadrupole resonance sensors and an X-ray detection system.

15

20

Background

X-rays are currently used to scan luggage in security systems. Typically, a dual-energy X-ray system is used to distinguish organic, inorganic and metal materials. Most explosives, biological agents that can be used for bioterrorism, and drugs (controlled substances) fall within the broad organic materials category. Since the X-ray system does not specifically identify chemical compositions, detection of organic materials can result in false positives and the need for further examination.

25

30

The use of nuclear quadrupole resonance (NQR) as a means of detecting explosives, drugs and other contraband has been recognized for some time; see, e.g., T. Hirshfield et al, *J. Molec. Struct.* 58, 63 (1980); A.N. Garroway et al, *Proc. SPIE* 2092, 318 (1993); and A.N. Garroway et al, *IEEE Trans. on*

35

*Geoscience and Remote Sensing* 39, 1108 (2001). NQR provides some distinct advantages over other detection methods. NQR requires no external magnet such as required by nuclear magnetic resonance, and NQR is sensitive to the compounds of interest, *i.e.* there is a specificity of the NQR frequencies. Since NQR provides this specificity it can identify particular compositions, *e.g.* specific explosives, biological agents that can be used for bioterrorism, and drugs.

10

A NQR detection system can have one or more coils that serve as both excitation and receive coils, or it can have separate coils that only excite and only receive. An excitation, *i.e.* transmit, coil of a NQR detection system provides a radio frequency (RF) magnetic field that excites the quadrupole nuclei in the sample and results in their producing their characteristic resonance signals that the receive coil, *i.e.* sensor, detects.

20

It can be especially advantageous to use a sensor made of a high temperature superconductor (HTS) rather than copper since the HTS self-resonant coil has a quality factor  $Q$  of the order of  $10^3$ - $10^6$ . The NQR signals have low intensity and short duration. In view of the low intensity NQR signal, it is important to have a signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) as large as possible. The signal-to-noise ratio is proportional to the square root of  $Q$  so that the use of a HTS self-resonant coil as a sensor results in an increase in S/N by a factor of 10-100 over that of a copper coil. Therefore, the use of a high temperature superconductor coil with a large  $Q$  as the sensor provides a distinct advantage over the use of an ordinary conductor coil.

35

A combined nuclear quadrupole resonance and X-ray detection system provides the existing detection capabilities of the X-ray system with the specific

compound detection capabilities of the NQR system. Particular contraband can be unequivocally detected by NQR. This eliminates the uncertainty connected with false positives of the X-ray system. The detection of sheet explosives is one of the capabilities of the NQR system.

The metal shielding of contraband such as explosives, biological agents that can be used for bioterrorism, and drugs can present a problem to both X-ray and NQR detection systems. A thick metal shield will be detected by an X-ray system and prompt further examination. However, a thin metal shield will be essentially transparent to X-rays. For a NQR system, a metal shield as thin as a 25 $\mu$  thick aluminum foil will prevent detection by identification of a particular NQR frequency that is characteristic of a particular target substance. Sheet explosive is one type of contraband for which thin metal shielding might be used.

An object of the present invention is to provide a combined nuclear quadrupole resonance and X-ray detection system with a metal shield alarm that will signal the existence of metal not detected by X-ray and of sufficient area to shield contraband, e.g. sheet explosives, from NQR detection.

#### Summary

One embodiment of this invention is a detection system to scan an object that includes (a) at least one pair of sensors to detect nuclear quadrupole resonance in the object, (b) an X-ray system to determine the presence of metal in the object, and (c) an alarm that is activated when the area of metal in the object, as determined by resonance frequency shifts of the sensors, exceeds the area of the metal in the object,

as determined by X-ray, by at least a pre-selected amount.

5 The combined nuclear quadrupole resonance and X-ray contraband detection system is typically comprised of a tunnel through which the object to be scanned passes. Preferably, each sensor is placed along a side of the tunnel with the normal to the plane of the sensor perpendicular to the axis of the tunnel,  
10 each pair of sensors is arranged directly opposite one another with one sensor of a pair placed on one side of the tunnel, and the other sensor of a pair placed on the opposite side of the tunnel, and the normals to the planes of the two sensors of a pair are collinear and  
15 the planes of the sensors are parallel.

Preferably, the sensors are high temperature superconductor self-resonant coils. Most preferably, the sensors are high temperature superconductor self-  
20 resonant planar coils.

Preferably, the sensors only detect NQR signals, and separate transmit coils are provided that only excite nuclear quadrupole nuclei.  
25

Another embodiment of this invention is a method for scanning an object to determine the presence therein of a target substance shielded by metal, by:

- 30 (a) scanning the object with X-rays to determine the area of metal contained in the object;
- (b) scanning the object to determine the area of metal contained in the object as measured by the resonance frequency shifts of at least one  
35 pair of nuclear quadrupole resonance sensors;
- (c) comparing the size of the area of metal determined in step (a) with the size of the area determined in step (b); and

(d) activating an alarm if the area determined in step (b) exceeds the area determined in step (a) by at least a pre-selected amount.

5 Detailed Description

This invention addresses the problem of the detection of contraband shielded by thin metallic coverings in an object being scanned in a combined nuclear quadrupole resonance and X-ray detection  
10 system. The detection system will typically have a tunnel through which the object to be scanned passes.

As used herein, "tunnel" means an opening in a device that performs the activities of scanning a  
15 sample for the detection of the presence of nuclear quadrupole resonance. The sample is passed into, down the length of the longitudinal axis of, and out of the opening that forms the tunnel. The object is scanned for the detection of nuclear quadrupole resonance while  
20 it is in the tunnel. The cross-section of the tunnel, looking down its length, can have various shapes. Typically, the tunnel will have a rectangular cross-section, but the cross-section may be in other shapes such as circular, substantially circular, elliptical or  
25 polygonal. Typically, there will be a conveyor belt or other means to transport the object to be scanned through the tunnel, *i.e.* from one end of the tunnel to the other.

30 A dual-energy X-ray system is used to distinguish organic, inorganic and metal materials. Thicker metallic items do not transmit X-rays, and the shapes of their opaque, two-dimensional images can aid identification. Guns, knives and other weapons can be  
35 identified in this way, even when large portions are made of plastics. Organic materials prove more of a challenge for a dual-energy X-ray detection system, and an object that includes organic material being scanned

with an X-ray system may require additional examination for positive identification. The addition of nuclear quadrupole resonance detection capabilities complements those of the X-rays. NQR can identify particular  
5 compositions, e.g. specific explosives, biological agents that can be used for bioterrorism, and drugs.

Contraband may, however, be shielded with metal sufficiently thin to be essentially transparent to X-  
10 rays but sufficiently thick to prevent detection of the chemical composition by nuclear quadrupole resonance sensors, and this presents a severe detection problem for the combined NQR/X-ray contraband detection system. A shield of 25 $\mu$ -thick aluminum foil will create the  
15 type of problem described above. This invention solves the problem by providing a combined nuclear quadrupole resonance and X-ray contraband detection system with a metal shield alarm that is activated under appropriate circumstances.

20

The combined nuclear quadrupole resonance and X-ray contraband detection system is comprised of at least one pair of sensors. As used herein, "sensors" refer to NQR detectors. Each sensor is placed so that  
25 the normal to the plane of the sensor is perpendicular to the longitudinal axis, *i.e.* the centerline, of the tunnel. The sensor is placed along the side of the tunnel wall.

30 The reference to a side of a tunnel is used here in the sense of distinguishing the placement of one sensor (or excitation coil) in a certain location about the wall or perimeter of the tunnel that is different from the location in which another sensor (or  
35 excitation coil) is placed. A tunnel that has a rectangular-shaped, or even polygonal-shaped, cross-section will have flat surfaces that clearly qualify as "sides" of the tunnel in this sense. In a tunnel with

a rectangular cross-section, for example, "sides" may refer to the top and bottom walls of the tunnel as well as to the two vertical walls. Even a tunnel with a circular, substantially-circular or elliptical cross-section, however, despite not having flat surfaces in the shape of its cross-section, may be thought of as having "sides" in the sense that the location in which one sensor (or excitation coil) is placed may be distinguished from the location in which another sensor (or excitation coil) is placed by reference to other features or attributes that enable distinguishing the location of one "side" from that of another.

A pair of sensors is arranged directly opposite one another on opposite sides of the tunnel with one sensor of a pair placed on one side of the tunnel and the other sensor of a pair placed on the opposite side of the tunnel facing the first sensor. The normals to the planes of the two sensors of a pair are collinear and the planes of the sensors are parallel. More than one pair of sensors may be needed to provide the desired sensitivity to NQR signals. When more than one pair is used, each pair should be arranged as described above, directly opposite one another. Different pairs of sensors can be arranged on different sides of the tunnel.

Preferably, the sensors are used solely to detect the NQR signals and separate excitation coils are used solely to excite the nuclear quadrupole nuclei.

When separate coils are used for excitation and detection, an excitation coil preferably has the same orientation as the sensors, *i.e.* along the side of the tunnel wall with the normal to the plane of the coil perpendicular to the axis of the tunnel. Separate excitation coils are preferably arranged in a configuration similar to that used for the sensors,

*i.e.* in pairs, directly opposite one another on opposite sides of the tunnel with one excitation coil of a pair placed on one side of the tunnel and the other excitation coil of a pair placed on the opposite side of the tunnel facing the first coil. Preferably, the normals to the planes of the two excitation coils of a pair are collinear and the planes of the excitation coils are parallel. Preferably, the sensors and the excitation coils occupy the same sides of the tunnel so that the planes of the pairs of sensors are parallel to the planes of the excitation coils.

In one embodiment, two pairs of excitation coils and at least two pairs of sensors are used. The first pair of excitation coils is arranged directly opposite one another on opposite sides of the tunnel. The second pair of excitation coils is also arranged directly opposite one another on opposite sides of the tunnel. The planes of one or both of the first pair of excitation coils may thus not be parallel with the planes of one or both of the second pair of excitation coils. When those planes are not parallel, they form an angle with each other, and may, for example, be perpendicular to each other.

Each pair of sensors is arranged directly opposite one another on opposite sides of the tunnel with one sensor of a pair placed on one side of the tunnel and the other sensor of a pair placed on the opposite side of the tunnel. The sensors are placed on the same sides being occupied by the excitation coils. At least one pair of sensors is arranged on the sides being occupied by the first pair of excitation coils. At least one pair of sensors is arranged on the sides being occupied by the second pair of excitation coils. The planes of one or both of the first pair of sensors may thus not be parallel with the planes of one or both of the second pair of sensors. When those planes are

not parallel, they form an angle with each other, and may, for example, be perpendicular to each other.

In a preferred embodiment, the result is two  
5 orthogonal pairs of excitation coils and at least two pairs of sensors that are orthogonal. Typically when more than two pairs of sensors are used they would be divided about equally between the two orthogonal positions.

10

The excitation coils used in this invention can be made of copper, silver, aluminum or a high temperature superconductor. A copper, silver or aluminum coil is preferably in the form of a shielded-loop resonator  
15 (SLR) coil. SLR's have been developed to eliminate the detuning effect of the electrical interaction between the coil and the surrounding material. Preferably, one or more SLR copper excitation coils are used to apply the RF signal to the sample. Preferably, one or more  
20 pairs of excitation coils are used and each pair is arranged directly opposite one another on opposite sides of the tunnel.

The sensors are preferably high temperature  
25 superconductor self-resonant coils. The high temperature superconductor self-resonant coil is preferably in the form of a self-resonant planar coil, i.e. a surface coil, with a coil configuration of HTS on one or both sides of a substrate. High temperature  
30 superconductors are those that superconduct above 77K. The high temperature superconductors used to form the HTS self-resonant coil are preferably selected from the group consisting of  $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_7$ ,  $\text{Tl}_2\text{Ba}_2\text{CaCu}_2\text{O}_8$ ,  $\text{TlBa}_2\text{Ca}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_9$ ,  $(\text{TlPb})\text{Sr}_2\text{CaCu}_2\text{O}_7$  and  $(\text{TlPb})\text{Sr}_2\text{Ca}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_9$ .  
35 Most preferably, the high temperature superconductor is  $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_7$  or  $\text{Tl}_2\text{Ba}_2\text{CaCu}_2\text{O}_8$ .

The X-ray portion of the detection system is operated in a conventional manner. A dual-energy X-ray system provides the usual identification of metallic, inorganic and organic materials. In addition, the cross sectional area of the two-dimensional image of a metallic object, as measured by the X-rays, is determined. Preferably, the direction of the impinging X-rays is parallel to the normals to the planes of the sensors.

10

The NQR portion of the detection system is also operated in a conventional manner with the sensors detecting any NQR signals from targeted quadrupole nuclei. In addition, the cross sectional area of a metallic object as measured by shifts in the resonance frequency of the sensors is determined. The resonance frequency of a sensor shifts as a result of the presence of metal, e.g. metal in the object being scanned. The magnitude of the frequency shift is a function of the area of the metal seen by the sensor and the position of the metal with respect to the sensor. The larger the metal area, the larger the frequency shift. The closer the metal to the sensor, the larger the frequency shift. By using the frequency shifts of a pair of sensors on opposite sides of the tunnel as described above, the area of a metal object can be determined. The pair of sensors can be calibrated using known samples of metal at various positions to ensure that the calculation of the area of a metal object using the frequency shifts provides an accurate result. When more than one pair of sensors is used, the array of sensor pairs can likewise be calibrated so that the area of metal can be calculated using the sensor frequency shifts.

35

The area of metal in the object being scanned as determined by the resonance frequency shifts of the sensors, and the area of metal in the object being

scanned as determined by X-rays, can be used to trigger a metal shield alarm. The situation being addressed is the presence of contraband being shielded by metal sufficiently thin to be essentially transparent to X-rays but sufficiently thick to prevent detection of chemical composition by nuclear quadrupole resonance sensors. The area of metal as determined by the NQR sensor frequency shifts is a total of the area of the thicker metal pieces that the X-rays also measure as well as the area of the thin metal pieces that are essentially transparent to X-rays. The difference between the area of metal as determined by the NQR sensor frequency shifts and the area of metal as determined by X-rays is thus the area of the thin metal pieces. This metal could be shielding contraband, such as sheet explosives, from the sensors.

An alarm is provided with the combined nuclear quadrupole resonance and X-ray contraband detection system, and this alarm is activated when the metal area determined by the NQR sensors exceeds the metal area determined by X-rays by at least a pre-selected amount, which is an amount sufficient to shield contraband. The threshold for activating the metal shield alarm, i.e. the area of metal sufficient to shield contraband, will be determined by the area of metal needed to cover a certain quantity of contraband of particular interest, for example an amount of explosives that can produce a certain amount of damage. For example, the area of metal that is needed to shield an amount of sheet explosives such as RDX that would cause unacceptable damage is readily determined. The threshold for activating the metal shield alarm would then be set at a fraction of the determined area so as to provide a margin of safety.

Other arrangements of sensors and coils useful in this invention are described in U.S. Provisional

Application No. 60/635,583, and in the U.S. regular application claiming the benefit thereof (SN \_\_\_\_\_), each of which is incorporated in its entirety as a part hereof for all purposes.

5

CLAIMS

5 What is claimed is:

1. A detection system to scan an object comprising (a) at least one pair of sensors to detect nuclear quadrupole resonance in the object, (b) an X-ray system to determine the presence of metal in the object, and (c) an alarm that is activated when the area of metal in the object, as determined by resonance frequency shifts of the sensors, exceeds the area of the metal in the object, as determined by X-ray, by at least a pre-selected amount.

2. The detection system of Claim 1 further comprising a tunnel, having an axis, through which the object being scanned passes.

3. The detection system of Claim 2 wherein each sensor is a planar sensor, and the normal to the plane of the sensor is perpendicular to the axis of the tunnel.

4. The detection system of Claim 3 wherein, in a pair of first and second sensors, the first sensor is located on one side of the tunnel and the second sensor is located directly opposite and facing the first sensor on another side of the tunnel, and the normals to the planes of the first and second sensors are collinear and the planes of the sensors are parallel.

5. The detection system of Claim 3 further comprising at least one pair of planar excitation coils; wherein each sensor only detects NQR signals, and each excitation coil only excites nuclear quadrupole nuclei.

6. The detection system of Claim 5 wherein the normal to the plane of each excitation coil is perpendicular to the axis of the tunnel.

5

7. The detection system of Claim 5 which comprises at least two excitation coils; wherein a first excitation coil is located on one side of the tunnel and a second excitation coil is located directly opposite and facing the first excitation coil on another side of the tunnel, and the normals to the planes of the first and second excitation coils are collinear and the planes of the excitation coils are parallel.

10

15

8. The detection system of Claim 5 wherein the sensors and the excitation coils occupy the same sides of the tunnel, and the planes of the sensors are parallel to the planes of the excitation coils.

20

9. The detection system of Claim 1 wherein each sensor is a high temperature superconductor self-resonant planar coil.

25

10. The detection system of Claim 5 wherein each excitation coil is a shielded-loop resonator coil.

11. The detection system of Claim 5 wherein each sensor is a  $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_7$  or a  $\text{Tl}_2\text{Ba}_2\text{CaCu}_2\text{O}_8$  high temperature superconductor self-resonant planar coil, and each excitation coil is a copper shielded-loop resonator coil.

30

12. The detection system of Claim 5 wherein an excitation coil applies excitation to an object to be screened for the detection of the presence of explosives, drugs or other contraband.

35

13. A method for scanning an object to determine the presence therein of a target substance shielded by metal, comprising:

5 (a) scanning the object with X-rays to determine the area of metal contained in the object;

(b) scanning the object to determine the area of metal contained in the object as measured by the resonance frequency shifts of at least one  
10 pair of nuclear quadrupole resonance sensors;

(c) comparing the size of the area of metal determined in step (a) with the size of the area determined in step (b); and

(d) activating an alarm if the area  
15 determined in step (b) exceeds the area determined in step (a) by at least a pre-selected amount.

14. The method of Claim 13 wherein the object being scanned is passed through a tunnel having an  
20 axis.

15. The method of Claim 14 wherein each sensor is a planar sensor, and the normal to the plane of the sensor is perpendicular to the axis of the tunnel.  
25

16. The method of Claim 14 wherein, in a pair of first and second sensors, the first sensor is located on one side of the tunnel and the second sensor is located directly opposite and facing the first sensor  
30 on another side of the tunnel, and the normals to the planes of the first and second sensors are collinear and the planes of the sensors are parallel.

17. The method of Claim 14 wherein at least one  
35 pair of planar excitation coils excites nuclear quadrupole nuclei in the object, each sensor only detects NQR signals, and each excitation coil only excites nuclear quadrupole nuclei.

18. The method of Claim 17 wherein the normal to the plane of each excitation coil is perpendicular to the axis of the tunnel.

5

19. The method of Claim 17 wherein, in a pair of excitation coils, a first excitation coil is located on one side of the tunnel and a second excitation coil is located directly opposite and facing the first excitation coil on another side of the tunnel, and the normals to the planes of the first and second excitation coils are collinear and the planes of the excitation coils are parallel.

10

15

20. The method of Claim 17 wherein the sensors and the excitation coils occupy the same sides of the tunnel, and the planes of the sensors are parallel to the planes of the excitation coils.

20

21. The method of Claim 13 wherein each sensor is a high temperature superconductor self-resonant planar coil.

25

22. The method of Claim 17 wherein each excitation coil is a shielded-loop resonator coil.

30

23. The method of Claim 17 wherein each sensor is a  $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_7$  or a  $\text{Tl}_2\text{Ba}_2\text{CaCu}_2\text{O}_8$  high temperature superconductor self-resonant planar coil, and each excitation coil is a copper shielded-loop resonator coil.

35

24. The method of Claim 17 wherein an excitation coil applies excitation to an object to be screened for the detection of the presence of explosives, drugs or other contraband.

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No  
PCT/US2005/045290

|   |  |  |   |  |
|---|--|--|---|--|
| <b>A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER</b><br>INV. G01R33/44  |  |  |   |  |
| According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC   |  |  |   |  |
| <b>B. FIELDS SEARCHED</b>   |  |  |   |  |
| Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)<br>G01R   |  |  |   |  |
| Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched   |  |  |   |  |
| Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)<br>EPO-Internal, PAJ, INSPEC, WPI Data   |  |  |   |  |
| <b>C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT</b>   |  |  |   |  |
| Category*   | Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages   | Relevant to claim No.  |   |  |
| X   | WO 2004/001454 A (QRSCIENCES TECHNOLOGIES PTY LTD; RUDAKOV, TARAS, NIKOLAEVITCH; FLEXMAN) 31 December 2003 (2003-12-31) page 13, paragraph 2-4 - page 14, paragraph 1; figure 9<br>-----   | 1-24   |   |  |
| A   | EP 1 477 823 A (BTG INTERNATIONAL LIMITED) 17 November 2004 (2004-11-17) page 8, paragraphs 73,74<br>-----   | 1-24   |   |  |
| A   | US 6 088 423 A (KRUG ET AL) 11 July 2000 (2000-07-11) column 5, paragraph 3<br>-----   | 1-24   |   |  |
| A   | WO 2004/102596 A (E.I. DUPONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY; CARTER, CHARLES, F., III; LAUBACHE) 25 November 2004 (2004-11-25) page 9, paragraph 2<br>-----   | 1-24   |   |  |
| -----<br>-/--   |  |  |   |  |
| <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; border: none;"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C                 </td> <td style="width: 50%; border: none;"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> See patent family annex.                 </td> </tr> </table>   |  |  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> See patent family annex.   |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> See patent family annex.   |  |   |  |
| * Special categories of cited documents :   |  |  |   |  |
| <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; border: none;">                 *A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance<br/>                 *E* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date<br/>                 *L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)<br/>                 *O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means<br/>                 *P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed             </td> <td style="width: 50%; border: none;">                 *T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention<br/>                 *X* document of particular relevance, the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone<br/>                 *Y* document of particular relevance, the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art<br/>                 *&amp;* document member of the same patent family             </td> </tr> </table> |  |  | *A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance<br>*E* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date<br>*L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)<br>*O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means<br>*P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed | *T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention<br>*X* document of particular relevance, the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone<br>*Y* document of particular relevance, the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art<br>*&* document member of the same patent family |
| *A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance<br>*E* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date<br>*L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)<br>*O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means<br>*P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed   | *T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention<br>*X* document of particular relevance, the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone<br>*Y* document of particular relevance, the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art<br>*&* document member of the same patent family |  |   |  |
| Date of the actual completion of the international search<br><br><p style="text-align: center; font-weight: bold;">9 May 2006</p>   |  | Date of mailing of the international search report<br><br><p style="text-align: center; font-weight: bold;">02/06/2006</p> |   |  |
| Name and mailing address of the ISA/<br>European Patent Office, P B. 5818 Patentlaan 2<br>NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk<br>Tel (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,<br>Fax (+31-70) 340-3016   |  | Authorized officer<br><br><p style="text-align: center; font-weight: bold;">Dragomir, A</p>                                |   |  |

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No  
PCT/US2005/045290

C(Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

| Category* | Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages                              | Relevant to claim No |
|-----------|---|----------------------|
| A         | US 6 218 943 B1 (ELLENBOGEN MICHAEL P)<br>17 April 2001 (2001-04-17)<br>column 3, paragraph 8; claim 1<br>----- | 1-24                 |

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

|   |
|---|
| International application No<br>PCT/US2005/045290 |
|---|

| Patent document cited in search report | Publication date | Publication date | Patent family member(s)           | Publication date         |
|--|------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| WO 2004001454                          | A                | 31-12-2003       | EP 1540379 A1<br>US 2006038563 A1 | 15-06-2005<br>23-02-2006 |
| EP 1477823                             | A                | 17-11-2004       | NONE                              |                          |
| US 6088423                             | A                | 11-07-2000       | NONE                              |                          |
| WO 2004102596                          | A                | 25-11-2004       | AU 2004239683 A1<br>EP 1620746 A2 | 25-11-2004<br>01-02-2006 |
| US 6218943                             | B1               | 17-04-2001       | NONE                              |                          |