

(19) **DANMARK**

(10) **DK/EP 0971690 T4**



Patent- og
Varemærkestyrelsen

(12) **Oversættelse af ændret
europæisk patentskrift**

-
- (51) Int.Cl.: **A 61 K 9/00 (2006.01)**
- (45) Oversættelsen bekendtgjort den: **2015-07-13**
- (80) Dato for Den Europæiske Patentmyndigheds bekendtgørelse om opretholdelse af patentet i ændret form: **2015-04-01**
- (86) Europæisk ansøgning nr.: **97949079.4**
- (86) Europæisk indleveringsdag: **1997-12-17**
- (87) Den europæiske ansøgnings publiceringsdag: **2000-01-19**
- (86) International ansøgning nr.: **IE1997000085**
- (87) Internationalt publikationsnr.: **WO1998026759**
- (30) Prioritet: **1996-12-18 IE 960896**
- (84) Designerede stater: **AT BE CH DE DK ES FI FR GB GR IE IT LI LU MC NL PT SE**
- (73) Patenthaver: **BIMEDA RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT LIMITED, Broomhill Road, Tallaght, Dublin 24, Irland**
- (72) Opfinder: **MCNALLY, Vincent, 21 Ferncarrig Rise, Sandyford, Dublin 18, Irland**
MORGAN, James, Patrick, Bellinter, Navan, County Meath, Irland
- (74) Fuldmægtig i Danmark: **Chas. Hude A/S, H.C. Andersens Boulevard 33, 1780 København V, Danmark**
- (54) Benævnelse: **Antiinfektionsmiddelfri intramammal veterinærsammensætning.**
- (56) Fremdragne publikationer:
GB-A- 2 273 441

DESCRIPTION

Introduction

[0001] The invention relates to the use of a seal formulation in the preparation of a medicament for forming a physical barrier in a teat canal, particularly for the prophylactic treatment of mastitis in cows.

[0002] Bacterial infection via the teats of a cow is the most common cause of mastitis.

[0003] It is known to treat teats of a cow with a long acting antibiotic in a slow release form with effective cover only being provided whilst minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) levels of the antibiotic are maintained. This period of cover can vary from 4 to 10 weeks.

[0004] It is also known to infuse a cloxacillin-based antibiotic into the udder following the last lactation and before the cow is dried off, immediately followed by a seal formation to seal the teat canal.

[0005] GB-A-2273 441 describes a veterinary composition for intramammary use in non-human animals comprising an antibiotic formulation and a seal formulation.

[0006] The invention is directed towards the use of a seal formulation in the preparation of a medicament for forming a physical barrier in a teat canal, particularly for the prophylactic treatment of mastitis in dry cows.

Statements of Invention

[0007] We have found that if a physical barrier is provided within the teat canal and/or the lower teat sinus during the dry period without the use of antibiotics, the incidence of mammary disorders is substantially reduced. This is very surprising as all conventional treatments involve the use of antibiotics. Because no antibiotics are required very substantial advantages result, without any significant reduction in effectiveness.

[0008] This non-antibiotic approach to preventing new dry period infection in dairy cows has major potential for the dairy industry as it results in the reduction of the incidence of antibiotic contamination in early season milk production. Thus the invention provides a quality improvement to dairy production and will facilitate farmers meeting consumer preferences for reducing the level of antibiotics used in food production.

[0009] The invention provides use of a seal formulation, comprising bismuth subnitrate, but no other anti-infective, in a gel base, in the preparation of a medicament for forming a physical barrier in a teat canal for prophylactically controlling infection of the mammary gland in a non-human animal by a mastitis-causing organism, wherein said prophylaxis does not involve the use of an antibiotic.

Preferably the seal formulation contains at least 40%, preferably from 50% to 75%, most preferably approximately 65% by weight of the bismuth subnitrate.

[0010] We have found that these are the optimum levels of heavy metal salt to achieve an effective seal.

[0011] In one embodiment of the invention the base is a gel based on aluminium stearate. Preferably, in this case, the gel includes a vehicle such as liquid paraffin. This formulation has effective processing and use properties.

[0012] In another embodiment of the invention the gel comprises a polyethylene gel. The gel may be based on low density polyethylene or on high density polyethylene.

Detailed Description of the Invention

[0013] The invention will be more clearly understood from the following description thereof given by way of example only.

EXAMPLE 1

[0014]

Raw Materials:

Liquid Paraffin B.P.434.8 Kg
Alugel 30 DF (Sterile) 69.2 Kg
Bismuth Sub-Nitrate 936.0 Kg
B.P.C. (Sterile)

[0015] To prepare a batch of seal formulation the liquid paraffin is first delivered into a Skerman 800L kettle. The mixer is run at 20 RPM. The Alugel 30 DF (aluminium stearate) is then added through the transfer port. The mixer is turned off between additions of the Alugel powder. The steam line is opened and the temperature is allowed to rise to 160 to 165°C. This temperature is held for approximately 2 hours to sterilise the mixture. At the end of the sterilising cycle, the condensate valve is opened and blown down. Cooling water is then allowed into the jacket to cool the contents to less than 40°C. The base thus formed is then checked for quality. If necessary, the batch base may be homogenised for 10 minutes using a Silverson Homogeniser.

[0016] The charge port is then opened and 296 kg of the bismuth sub-nitrate is added in 10 kg lots. The contents are mixed for one minute at 20 RPM between additions of each 10 kg of bismuth sub-nitrate. Mixing is continued for approximately 1 hour at 45 RPM.

[0017] The remaining 640 Kg of bismuth sub-nitrate is then added in 10 Kg lots as above and mixing is continued for 1 hour following the final additions.

[0018] We have found that the addition of the bismuth sub-nitrate in two separate portions is important in producing a seal which can be processed and used effectively.

[0019] If necessary, the mixture is homogenised for 15 minutes using a Silverson Homogeniser.

[0020] The product is then transferred to a Colibri filling machine for filling into injector tubes.

EXAMPLE 2

[0021] 5 Cows were infused in all four quarters at drying off with the seal formulation prepared as described in Example 1. These cows had previously been determined as uninfected in all four quarters.

[0022] Commencing at the first milking after calving, these cows were milked and the composite milk sample collected for analysis. This process was repeated for the first 10 milkings after calving. Milk samples were also collected in the same manner from 5 untreated cows.

[0023] To simulate the milk handling process within the milking system, these milk samples were passed through a fibre filter material used in milking machine filters. The milk samples were then analysed by mass spectrometry for bismuth concentration.

[0024] The average bismuth level in milk drawn at first milking was 3.3 ppm declining to 0.39 ppm at milking No. 10. The maximum level recorded for any individual cow was 8 ppm at first milking. For untreated cows the levels fluctuated in the range 0.001 to 0.03 ppm.

[0025] The seal formulation described in Example 1 was administered at drying off and has been shown to reduce the incidence of new infection in the dry cow period and in the period around calving. This reduction appears to be comparable with that achieved by prophylactic antibiotic treatment. Thus, the seal of the invention very surprisingly offers a non-antibiotic approach to dry cow period prophylaxis.

EXAMPLES 3

Evaluation of seal of Example 1.

[0026]

- 4 Mastitis-free cows selected at drying off.
- 2 Teats in each cow infused at drying-off with seal and remaining teats untreated (day 0).
- 8 Teats sealed and 8 teats untreated (controls).
- 3 Days later (day 3) all teats were inoculated into the teat canal (depth of 4 mm; using 22 cfu of *Streptococcus dysgalactiae* code M and an inoculum volume of 0.1 ml).
- New infections resulting from use of the inoculum occurred in five (5) of the untreated quarters in the period day 3 to day 13.
- New infections resulting from use of the inoculum occurred in two (2) of the treated quarters in the period day 3 to day 13.
- Resulting new infections were monitored daily for 10 consecutive days alter inoculation (to day 13).
- Samples of secretion were collected in an aseptic manner from quarters showing signs of clinical mastitis prior to treatment with antibiotics.
- All quarters in all 4 cows were sampled in an aseptic manner on day 13 (the last day of the trial) - these samples were used to:

(1) check the amount of seal remaining in teats

(2) monitor the level of *Str. dysgalactiae* surviving in the teats after 10 days

• Clinical Infection Results:

CFU/ml	Inoculation Depth	Control	Seal
22	4mm	5a/8b 63%	2a/8b 25%
a)Number of new infections			
b)Number of quarters challenged with <i>Str. dysgalactiae</i>			

EXAMPLE 4

Evaluation of seal of Example 1.

[0027]

- 17 Mastitis-free cows* selected at drying off.
- 2 Teats in each cow infused at drying-off with seal and remaining teats untreated (day 0).
- 32 Teats sealed and 32 teats untreated (controls).
- 3 Days later (day 3) all teats were inoculated into the teat canal (depth of 17 mm; using 1,190 cfu of *Streptococcus dysgalactiae* code M and an inoculum volume of 0.1 ml).
- New infections resulting from use of the inoculum occurred in twenty (20) of the untreated quarters in the period day 3 to day 13.
- New infections resulting from use of the inoculum occurred in eight (8) of the treated quarters in the period day 3 to day 13.
- Resulting new infections were monitored daily for 10 consecutive days after inoculation (to day 13).
- Samples of secretion were collected in an aseptic manner from quarters showing signs of clinical mastitis prior to treatment with antibiotics.
- All quarters in all 17 cows were sampled in an aseptic manner on day 13 (the last day of the trial) - these samples were used to:

(1) check the amount of seal remaining in teats

(2) monitor the level of *Str. dysgalactiae* surviving in the teats after 10 days.

• Clinical Infection Results:

CFU/ml	Inoculation Depth	Control	Seal
1,190	17 mm	20 ^a /32 ^b 63%	8 ^a /32 ^b 25%
aNumber of new infections			
bNumber of quarters challenged with <i>Str. dysgalactiae</i>			
* A total of 4 quarters were infected in three cows and these quarters were excluded from the study. Therefore 32 quarters were assigned to each treatment.			

EXAMPLE 5

[0028] A total of 528 cows in three commercial herds were used. Each herd had a general history of dry period mastitis. The breed of the herds was predominantly Fresian or Fresian crosses.

[0029] Cows with at least three uninfected quarters, immediately prior to drying off, were identified within the three herds. All individual quarters were assumed to be independent units. The treatments used were as follows.

1. Negative Control-Untreated, no infusions at drying off, but teat ends were sanitised with alcohol soaked cotton wool swabs.
2. Positive Control-treated with 250 mg cephalonium in a long-acting base, infused at drying off. This product is known as CEPRAVIN DRYCOW. Cepravin is a trademark of Mallinckrodt Veterinary.
3. Antibiotic with Seal-Cloxacillin benzathine 600 mg in a 4 g unit dose infused at drying off and followed immediately by an infusion of 4 g of a blend of bismuth sub-nitrate (66%) in liquid paraffin with 8.5% Alugel 30DF.
4. Seal - Bismuth sub-nitrate 66% w/w in liquid paraffin with 8.5% alugel 30 DF in a unit dose of 4g infused at drying off.

[0030] These treatments were randomised among the 528 cows determined to have three or four uninfected quarters at drying off. The treatments were randomised between quarters to achieve as far as possible the same number of quarters per treatment, left and right, front and back.

[0031] Bacteriological results for individual quarters at drying off and at calving were compared to calculate the incidence of new intramammary infections (IMI). Chisquare testing was used to compare the incidence of new infection between quarters, treatments and controls.

[0032] The results of the treatments are summarised in Table 1.

[0033] This experiment has demonstrated that the antiinfective-free seal formulation of the invention administered at drying off is very surprisingly equivalent in terms of prophylactic efficacy, to a long acting dry cow antibiotic. All three treatments reduced new IMI during the dry period by approximately 85%. Surprisingly, there was no significant difference between the antibiotic based treatments and the antibiotic-free treatment of the invention. Thus, this study has shown that by physically sealing the teat canal with a seal which has no bacteriostatic or bacterial action, the dry period IMI may, surprisingly, be controlled. The invention has the potential therefore of achieving dry period prophylaxis on a wide scale, at a lower unit cost, and with no risk of antibiotic residues after calving.

[0034] The invention is not limited to the embodiments hereinbefore described which may be varied in detail.

Herd ID	Number of new IMI (quarters)											
	1. Negative controls			2. Positive controls			3. Antibiotic + Seal			4. Seal		
	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3
Total no quarters	249	141	138	249	141	138	249	141	139	249	141	138
DRY PERIOD												
Clinical IMI	10	6	2	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0
CALVING IMI												
Strep. spp.	25	21	4	0	4	1	2	1	1	2	2	0
S. aureus	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	0
Coag. Neg. staph.	2	0	4	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0
Coliforms	1	2	1	1	2	1	1	0	0	0	1	2
Other organisms	0	2	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Clinical, no growth	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total calving IMI	30	28	9	2	7	3	4	2	2	6	4	2
Total IMI	40	34	11	2	8	4	5	3	2	7	4	2
Overall IMI rate (%)	16.1	24.1	8.0	0.8	5.7	2.9	2.0	2.1	1.4	2.8	2.8	1.4
Total IMI across herds & periods												
Strep. Spp. IMI	68 ^a			7 ^b			6 ^b			5 ^b		
Other paths IMI	17 ^c			7 ^c			4 ^d			6 ^d		
All paths IMI	85 ^e			14 ^e			10 ^e			13 ^e		
Total quarters	528											
Overall new IMI Rate	16.1%			2.7%			2.5%			1.9%		

Table 1 New intramammary infections (IMI) identified during the study, grouped by period and by herd. (Within a row, values with differing superscripts are significantly different)

REFERENCES CITED IN THE DESCRIPTION

This list of references cited by the applicant is for the reader's convenience only. It does not form part of the European patent document. Even though great care has been taken in compiling the references, errors or omissions cannot be excluded and the EPO disclaims all liability in this regard.

Patent documents cited in the description

- [GB2227344A \[0005\]](#)

Patentkrav

1. Anvendelse af en forseglingsformulering, omfattende bismuth subnitrat, men ingen andre antiinfektionsmidler, i en gelbase, til fremstillingen af et medikament, der danner en fysisk barriere i en pattekanal til profylaktisk at kontrollere infektion i mælkekirtlen hos non-humane dyr fra en mastitisforårsagende organisme, hvori nævnte profylakse ikke omfatter brug af antibiotika.
- 5
2. Anvendelse ifølge krav 1, hvor forseglingsformuleringen indeholder mindst 40 vægt% af bismuth subnitratet.
- 10
3. Anvendelse ifølge krav 2, hvor forseglingsformuleringen indeholder fra 50 vægt% til 75 vægt% af bismuth subnitratet.
- 15
4. Anvendelse ifølge krav 3, hvor forseglingsformuleringen indeholder ca. 65 vægt% af bismuth subnitratet.
- 20
5. Anvendelse ifølge et vilkårligt af kravene 1-4, hvor basen er en gel baseret på aluminiumsstearat.
6. Anvendelse ifølge et vilkårligt af kravene 1-5, hvor basen indbefatter flydende paraffin som vehikel.