

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization

International Bureau



WIPO | PCT



(10) International Publication Number

WO 2017/152960 A1

(43) International Publication Date

14 September 2017 (14.09.2017)

(51) International Patent Classification:

C01F 11/02 (2006.01) *C04B 2/06* (2006.01)
C04B 28/10 (2006.01) *C04B 2/08* (2006.01)

(21) International Application Number:

PCT/EP2016/054941

(22) International Filing Date:

8 March 2016 (08.03.2016)

(25) Filing Language:

English

(26) Publication Language:

English

(71) Applicant: S.A. LHOIST RECHERCHE ET DEVELOPPEMENT [BE/BE]; rue Charles Dubois 28, 1342 Ottignies-Louvain-la-Neuve (BE).

(72) Inventors: CRINIERE, Guillaume; rue du Menil, 175, 1420 Braine-l'Alleud (BE). GÄRTNER, Robert, Sebastian; O. de Kerchove d'Exaerdestraat, 186, 1501 Buiizingen (BE).

(74) Agent: GEVERS PATENTS; Holidaystraat 5, 1831 Diegem (BE).

(81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM,

AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BN, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IR, IS, JP, KE, KG, KN, KP, KR, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PA, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, QA, RO, RS, RU, RW, SA, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, RW, SD, SL, ST, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, RU, TJ, TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, RS, SE, SI, SK, SM, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, KM, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

— with international search report (Art. 21(3))



WO 2017/152960 A1

(54) Title: PROCESS FOR MANUFACTURING A MILK OF SLAKED LIME OF GREAT FINENESS AND MILK OF LIME OF GREAT FINENESS THEREBY OBTAINED WITH PROCESS WATER

(57) Abstract: Process for manufacturing a milk of lime of great fineness comprising at least the steps of providing one lime compound and forming said milk of lime with a process water and said lime compound.

**PROCESS FOR MANUFACTURING A MILK OF SLAKED LIME OF GREAT
FINENESS AND MILK OF LIME OF GREAT FINENESS THEREBY OBTAINED WITH
PROCESS WATER**

The present invention relates to a process for manufacturing a
5 milk of lime of great fineness comprising at least the steps of
a) providing a lime compound chosen in the group of
quicklime, a first lime compound and their mixture, said first lime compound
being chosen in the group consisting of prehydrated lime obtained by the
addition of a first water to quicklime, paste of lime obtained by the addition of
10 a second water to quicklime, paste of lime obtained by the addition of a third
water to prehydrated lime, paste of lime obtained by the addition of
prehydrated lime to a third water and their mixture, and
b) forming a milk of slaked lime of great fineness with said
lime compound by the addition of a fourth water to said lime compound or by
15 the addition of the first lime compound to a fourth water.

Such a process is described in non published International
patent application n° PCT/EP2015/060114 and PCT/EP2015/070367.
According to this non published patent application, by the addition of water
to lime compound instead of the addition of a lime compound to water, it was
20 made possible to reach a milk of lime of great fineness and with a controlled
viscosity.

Lime is a calcium-magnesium based compound herein after
called calcium based compound.

Calcium based compounds such as CaO and Ca(OH)₂ have many
25 practical uses. For instance, these substances are used in treating drinking
water, waste water and sewage, in the flue gases treatment, as soil
neutralizing agents and nutrients, for ground stabilization in construction, in
the mining and metal industry, and as components of building materials.

Calcium oxide, CaO, is often referred to as "quicklime", while calcium hydroxide, Ca(OH)₂, is referred to as "hydrated lime", both sometimes being informally referred to as "lime". In other words, lime is an industrial product, based on calcium oxide or hydroxide, respectively. Quicklime is 5 usually in the form of lumps or pebbles but it can also be a powder. Dry hydrated lime is usually a powder. According to present industry practices, in order to further process these compounds and improve the ease with which they are handled, dry CaO or dry Ca(OH)₂ may be mixed with water to form an aqueous suspension, i.e., a slurry, also called milk of lime, which is a fluid 10 suspension of slaked lime, also referred to as hydrated lime (calcium hydroxide--Ca(OH)₂), which can obviously include impurities, in particular silica, alumina, unburnt limestone (CaCO₃), magnesium oxide or magnesium hydroxide to the extent of a few percent.

Such a suspension is obtained either by slaking quicklime 15 (calcium oxide--CaO) with a large excess of water, or by mixing hydrated lime with water.

The resulting aqueous suspensions are characterized by the concentration of the mass of the solid matter (% solids), the chemical reactivity of the slurry to neutralize an acid and the distribution of the sizes of 20 the particles in suspension (controlling in part viscosity).

These characteristics determine the properties of the slurry, mainly its viscosity and its reactivity.

When a milk of lime is obtained from hydrated lime, hydrated lime particles are suspended in water. The hydrated lime is produced by 25 common atmospheric hydrators which may or may not have size classifying systems where quicklime is added to water in a pre-mixer, at a specific mass ratio and allowed to mix together with said water in what is termed a seasoning chamber. The temperature in the hydrator is less than 100°C (212°F). The particle size distribution will vary depending upon the nature of 30 the quicklime starting material used, as well as the particular manufacturing process employed (presence of a size classifying system or not, screening or

milling system). Milk of lime made from hydrated lime will have a particle size distribution similar to that of the hydrate from which it is produced and the solids content can commonly vary from 5 to 40 w.%.

Milk of lime made from quicklime, in an industrial, continuous process, is typically produced by common paste, detention, or ball mill slakers (Boyton, 1980). In all cases, quicklime is added to an excess amount of water and mixed together, to produce slurry with a solid content ranging from 5 to 30 w.%. The water reacts with the quicklime particles during the slaking operation in an exothermic reaction to form slaked lime. During the slaking of quicklime with an excess of water, the temperature of hydration is below 100°C (212°F). The particle size distribution of the milk of lime is a function of both the nature of the quicklime and the coarse fraction removal systems, which include screening, settling and milling.

Lime slurries can be made either in batches or in a continuous process.

It is generally economically advantageous to be able to increase the solid content of the milk of lime, in order to reduce the transportation costs and the size of the equipment (storage reservoirs, pumps etc.).

The economics of transporting 5 – 30 w.% solid content milk of lime is poor as it requires large storage tanks, pumps and equipment. This accounts for the fact that most milk of lime slakers are located where the milk of lime is being used.

Equipment and processes for on-site slaking are notably disclosed in US patent US5507572. Quicklime is added to water in a batch tank which is equipped with horizontal paddles for mixing. The resulting milks of lime have a particle size distribution, d_{50} value, of around 10 to 20 μm . Gypsum may be added to increase the particle size in order to reduce the initial viscosity of the milk of lime. The solid content achieved is generally in the range of 30-40 w.%.

However, the on-site slaking is not really feasible everywhere and can become very expensive when the availability of sufficiently clean

water is difficult. Indeed, the quality of water was found over the past years as a critical element in order to not perturb the slaking operation and to be able to control the particle size and viscosity, being in fact quite closely linked one to each other.

5 By the term viscosity, it is meant in the present application, dynamic or absolute viscosity measured in the centipoise (cP) unit or in the millipascal-second (mPa·s) unit. One centipoise is equal to one millipascal-second (mPa·s) in the International system of Units. With regard to milk of lime applications, experience has made it possible to establish that it is
10 desirable not to exceed a viscosity of about 1500 mPa.s, in some industrial applications, preferably not to exceed about 400 mPa.s.

Viscosity is controlled by both the solid content and the particle size. The more solid content the more viscous will be the aqueous suspension. Moreover, an aqueous suspension with the same solid content but with
15 different particle size distribution will have different viscosity value. The finer the particle size, the higher the viscosity.

Particle size of milk of lime is an important characteristic in considering notably its relative neutralization and/or precipitation kinetics. This is referred to as reactivity of a milk of lime which can notably be
20 measured by conductivity measurement of a solution made by diluting a small amount of said milk of lime in a large volume of demineralized water. This technique is disclosed in the European standard EN 12485. It is known that the dissolution rate of the particles of lime in demineralized water is more rapid when the particle size is smaller. In other words, the reactivity of the
25 milk of lime is usually higher when its constitutive particles are smaller.

Particle size of milk of lime is also an important characteristic in considering the settling rate or sedimentation rate of the solid phase of the suspension. The coarser the particles the faster the milk of lime will settle and the faster it settles the more probably intermittent or continuous mixing will
30 be required to maintain a consistent solid content. Settling or sedimentation

can also generate a hard-packed sediment that is not easily suspended even with vigorous agitation.

Fine milk of lime with high solids content, relatively low viscosity and high reactivity is particularly preferred in some industrial 5 applications.

Besides, several attempts have been made to produce milks of lime with unclean waters, such as industrial waters, as the slaking water. However those attempts led to coarse milks of lime or required complex and costly processes to obtain milks of lime with sufficiently fine particle size. Even 10 in these cases, the resulting milks of lime are generally too viscous and/or contain a high amount of organic compounds, the latter being undesirable for some applications.

Unfortunately, all the existing alternatives for producing fine milks of lime from quicklime present drawbacks such as, without being 15 limitative, a restriction on the type of quicklime that can be used and the availability of qualitative clean water to control the slaking operation.

Therefore, presently, there is still a need for a reliable and easy way to produce a milk of lime of great fineness while avoiding the aforementioned drawbacks of the prior arts, notably restrictive dependency 20 on properties of the starting material and the availability of clean slaking water or the costs of using clean slaking water.

To solve this problem, the present invention provides a process for manufacturing a milk of lime of great fineness as mentioned in the beginning characterized in that at least one of the first, second, third or fourth 25 water is process water and in that at least one of the first, second, third or fourth water is added to said lime compound, meaning by using a specific manufacturing process wherein water used for slaking operation is a process water which is added onto the lime compound.

It has been surprisingly found according to the present 30 invention that when adding water to a lime compound for producing a milk of lime, the purity of water is not a restriction and can even promote the

reaching of a low viscosity milk of lime, with small particle size and high solid content.

It was indeed made possible according to the present invention to use process water such as

- 5 a) alkaline water, such as alumina refinery process drainage water, white or green liquor from the production of paper pulp or solutions from other recausticisation processes – such solutions commonly have a pH ranging from 13 to 15 and generally comprise between 5 to 300 g/dm³ of alkaline inorganic compounds;
- 10 b) saline waters, such as sea water, brackish waters or hypersaline waters such as chloride brines, nano-filtration or ultrafiltration retentates of membrane desalination processes or concentrates of evaporative desalination such as multi-effect evaporation or (multi-stage) flash evaporation – such solutions can have concentrations of dissolved salts - often, but not exclusively chloride salts (i.e. e.g. magnesium, potassium or sodium chloride) - in the range of 3 to 300 g/dm³
- 15 c) sulfate-containing waters, such as sulfate brines, leach solutions in hydrometallurgical ore processing, such as e.g. nickel, copper or cobalt ore leaching, or any other solutions of processes, sulfate containing industrial effluents as well as lime treated sulfate-containing effluents, i.e. gypsum saturated solutions – such solutions can typically contain inorganic sulfate or sulfite compounds with a concentration ranging from 1 to 100 g/dm³ expressed as SO₃

20 to reach a milk of lime of great fineness, with a high reactivity and reaching the standards of the use of such milk of lime.

25 For the evidence of doubt, process water is so here used in contrast to clean water.

This is even more surprisingly, knowing that typically, the presence of sulfates in water leads to strong milk of lime coarsening in classical slaking. The same is observed to a lesser degree also for highly saline water (notably seawater and Brackish water).

5 The present invention opens therefore new perspectives for on-site slaking process, even if not limited to, where clean water is rare and/or expensive, while process rinse water, process spillage water, drainage water are more abundant and cheap, being in any case, very often stored in lake for sedimentation and further treated as waste water.

10 Further, according to the present invention, it was also observed that the low viscosity of the milk of lime of great fineness is stable over time.

15 The milk of slaked lime obtained according to the invention presents therefore a high reactivity, due to its great fineness, not necessarily linked to the reactivity of the quicklime initially used, but also not depending on the quality of the water used in the slaking process.

20 As it can be understood from the aforementioned, the process of manufacturing a milk of lime of great fineness according to the present invention is particularly useful in that a milk of slaked lime of great fineness is easily obtained at competitive costs since either not requiring high quality water nor requiring very reactive quicklime neither complex equipment.

25 The milk of lime of great fineness according to the present invention has been advantageously obtained by providing a specific selection of a lime compound chosen in the group consisting of a lime compound chosen in the group of quicklime, a first lime compound and their mixture, said first lime compound being chosen in the group consisting of prehydrated lime obtained by the addition of a first water to quicklime, paste of lime obtained by the addition of a second water to quicklime, paste of lime obtained by the addition of a third water to prehydrated lime, paste of lime 30 obtained by the addition of prehydrated lime to a third water and their

mixture to a step of forming a milk of slaked lime of great fineness either by a subsequent slaking step or by a subsequent dilution step.

Prehydrated lime is made by particles which are made of a core of quicklime (CaO) and a coating of hydrated lime (Ca(OH)₂) which is forming a 5 temporarily regular layer covering the CaO core. In a preferred embodiment, said prehydrated lime comprises a quicklime content comprised between 40 and 96 w% with respect to said total weight of said prehydrated lime and a hydrated lime content comprised between 60 and 4 w% with respect to said total weight of said prehydrated lime.

10 In a preferred embodiment of the process according to the present invention, said at least one of the first, second, or fourth water is added to quicklime through a progressive addition of said at least one of the first, second, or fourth water to quicklime under agitation condition.

15 By progressive addition of water, it means that addition of water is distributed over time in the case of a batch process or distributed over space for a continuous process. In some embodiments, the distribution is regular but in the frame of the optimization of the process, some addition can be made faster for not critical phases of the process. In general terms, it means that addition of water is distributed over the conversion of the lime 20 compound. In the specific case of the batch process, it can be understood as a quantity of water added onto a quantity of lime compound during a certain time and can be expressed as g of water per kg of lime compound per minutes. In the specific case of the continuous process, it can be seen as a distributed flow of water added stepwise on to a flow of lime compound, 25 introduced at the inlet of the process, during a certain residence time and can be expressed as g/min. of water per kg/min. of lime per minute of residence time. Ultimately, in both cases, it corresponds to g/kg/min. Typically, this ratio determines the pattern of addition and its value is comprised between 30 g to 300 g of water per kg of quicklime and per minute, which corresponds 30 e.g. for a milk of lime of 45 % solids content to a residence time of about 2 to 20 minutes.

When the addition of said at least one of the first, second, or fourth water added to quicklime is made progressively under agitation, this yields to the fact that during the progressive addition of the first, the second or even the fourth water to quicklime, a first intermediate compound is 5 formed being prehydrated lime.

In a first embodiment, the quicklime progressively hydrated by the first or the fourth water forming the prehydrated lime is further hydrated by fourth water further progressively added. The prehydrated lime then progressively disappears with completion of the progressive addition of the 10 fourth water. This progressive addition of water allows to reach a high temperature during the hydration step forming very small particles.

In a second embodiment, the quicklime progressively hydrated by the first or the fourth water forming the prehydrated lime is further hydrated by fourth water to which said prehydrated lime is added, 15 progressively or not. This progressive addition of first or fourth water allowed to reach a high temperature during the prehydration step forming very small particles.

In a third embodiment, the quicklime progressively hydrated by the first or the fourth water forming the prehydrated lime is stored before 20 further hydration. This may be a storage on site or remotely before shipping on-site where further hydration by fourth water will be done, progressively or not and by addition of fourth water to prehydrated lime or by addition of prehydrated lime to fourth water. This progressive addition of first or fourth water allowed to reach a high temperature during the prehydration step 25 forming very small particles.

In another preferred embodiment, said third water or said fourth water is added to prehydrated lime through a progressive addition of said third or fourth water to prehydrated lime under agitation condition.

According to this another embodiment, said third water added 30 to prehydrated lime can be added to commercial prehydrated lime or to prehydrated lime formed by a progressive addition of first water to quicklime.

In the case the third water is added progressively to prehydrated lime to form a paste of lime, the further fourth water added to the paste of lime can be added progressively or not to the paste of lime.

5 In a variant where the third water is added progressively to prehydrated lime to form a paste of lime, the paste of lime is further added to the fourth water.

In still another preferred embodiment, said fourth water is added to paste of lime through a progressive addition of said fourth water to paste of lime under agitation condition.

10 In a first particular embodiment of the process according to the present invention, said progressive addition of said at least one of the first, second, third or fourth water to said lime compound is presenting a pattern of addition of said at least one of the first, second, third or fourth water for controlling water uptake by the lime compound in a batch process or in a 15 continuous process.

By the terms pattern of addition of water, it is meant according to the present invention that the water addition should be controlled with respect to, for instance, its flow rate, the duration of the water addition or even the distance along which water is added to lime if the prehydrated lime, 20 the paste of lime or the milk of lime is made in a continuous step.

If the step of forming the prehydrated lime, the paste of lime or the milk of lime concerned by the present invention is a batch process, the key factor will be the amount of water taken up by a predetermined amount of lime, optionally containing additives and /or the spreading of the water 25 upon/within the quicklime or the prehydrated lime in the batch process and/or the agitation parameters.

Said progressive addition of said at least one of the first, second, third or fourth water to said lime compound presenting a pattern of addition for controlling water uptake by the lime compound may be according 30 to the present invention either said at least one of the first, second, or fourth

water added to quicklime, said third water or said fourth water added to prehydrated lime, said fourth water added to paste of lime.

In a second particular embodiment of the process according to the present invention, said progressive addition of said at least one of the 5 first, second, third or fourth water is a continuous process during which progressive hydration of said lime compound is performed by adjusting lime compound feeding rate into a hydrator wherein a predetermined atmosphere is created/fed containing a limited amount of said at least one of the first, second, third or fourth water for addition of said at least one of the first, 10 second, third or fourth water to said lime compound.

Indeed, if the step of forming the prehydrated lime, the paste of lime or the milk of lime is a continuous process, the quicklime is transported within a hydrator or hydrator-like vessel and therefore has a residence time. To control the taking up of water, by quicklime, one can act 15 on the flow rate of water, taking into account the speed of lime introduction during the transport into the hydrator or hydrator-like vessel, the size of at least water droplets and/or the distance along which water is added.

Said progressive addition of said at least one of the first, second, third or fourth water to said lime compound being a continuous 20 process during which progressive hydration of said lime compound is performed by adjusting lime compound feeding rate into the hydrator fed by predetermined atmosphere of a limited amount of said at least one of the first, second, third or fourth water may be according to the present invention either said at least one of the first, second, or fourth water added to 25 quicklime, said third water or said fourth water added to prehydrated lime, said fourth water added to paste of lime.

In a third particular embodiment of the process according to the present invention, said progressive addition of said at least one of the first, second, third or fourth water is a batch process during which progressive 30 hydration of said lime compound is performed by placing a predetermined amount of lime compound into a hydrator wherein a predetermined

atmosphere is created/fed containing a limited amount of said at least one of the first, second, third or fourth water for addition of said at least one of the first, second, third or fourth water to said lime compound.

In a fourth particular embodiment of the process according to 5 the present invention, said progressive addition of said at least one of the first, second, third or fourth water is performed by spraying a mist of said at least one of the first, second, third or fourth water into a hydrator.

Preferably, said mist of said at least one of the first, second, third or fourth water presents a controlled size of droplets of addition of said 10 said at least one of the first, second, third or fourth water. The size of the water droplets also allows the control of the hydration reaction for forming the prehydrated lime, the paste of lime or even the milk of lime and therefore the quality of the resulting lime compound provided to the step of the process of forming the milk of slaked lime of great fineness. Indeed, the size of the water 15 droplets may prove of relevant impact since those latter should have a size big enough to not be evaporated before reaching the quicklime and allowing the hydration reaction to occur but at the same time not too big to avoid local non homogeneous hydration of particles which would undesirably lead to non-homogeneous lime compound.

20 Said progressive addition of said at least one of the first, second, third or fourth water to said lime compound performed by spraying a mist of said at least one of the first, second, third or fourth water into a hydrator may be according to the present invention either said at least one of the first, second, or fourth water added to quicklime, said third water or said 25 fourth water added to prehydrated lime, said fourth water added to paste of lime.

In a particular embodiment of the process according to the present invention, said lime compound is quicklime onto which a said fourth water being process water is progressively added until said milk of lime of 30 great fineness is reached.

In another particular embodiment according to the present invention, said first lime compound is chosen in the group consisting of prehydrated lime, paste of lime and their mixture obtained from quicklime onto which a first water or a second water being process water is 5 progressively added for forming said first lime compound.

Advantageously, said milk of lime of great fineness is obtained by further addition of a fourth water being an aqueous phase chosen in the group of clean water, process water, clean water with additives, process water with additives and their mixture to said first lime compound.

10 In a variant of the process according to the present invention, said milk of lime of great fineness is obtained by further addition of said first lime compound to a fourth water being an aqueous phase chosen in the group of clean water, process water, clean water with additives, process water with additives and their mixture.

15 In an alternative particular embodiment according to the present invention, said first lime compound is a paste of lime obtained from prehydrated lime onto which said third water being process water is progressively added.

20 Advantageously, said milk of lime of great fineness is obtained by further addition of a fourth water being an aqueous phase chosen in the group of clean water, process water, clean water with additives, process water with additives and their mixture to said first lime compound

25 Alternatively, said milk of lime of great fineness is obtained by further addition of said first lime compound to a fourth water being an aqueous phase chosen in the group of clean water, process water, clean water with additives, process water with additives and their mixture.

In yet a particular embodiment, said third and said fourth water are a same water and wherein said first lime compound is prehydrated lime onto which said third water, being said fourth water and being process water 30 is progressively added until said milk of lime is reached.

In a particularly advantageous embodiment of the process according to the present invention, the process comprises an addition of at least one additive, said additive being added to or contained into said at least one of the first, second, third and fourth water or added to or contained into said lime compound. The additive can be a solid additive, a liquid additive, for example, but not limited thereto a suspension of said additive or a solution of said additive.

Preferably, said additive is chosen in the group consisting of carbohydrates, sugars, alcohol sugars, in particular sorbitol, carbon dioxide, phosphates, sulfates, bicarbonates, silicates, phosphonates, polyacrylates, polycarboxylic acids, low molecular weight organic acids, mixtures and derivatives thereof.

More preferably, said at least one of the first, second, third and fourth water being process water is an aqueous phase chosen in the group consisting of water comprising endogenous salt, industrial alkaline aqueous phase, industrial high sulfate water, saturated gypsum solutions, seawater, saline and hypersaline water, Brackish water and their mixture, recycled or not.

Especially, the process water according to the present invention can be recycled water comprising endogenous salt, recycled industrial alkaline aqueous phase, recycled industrial high sulfate water, recycled saturated gypsum solutions, recycled seawater, recycled saline and hypersaline water, recycled Brackish water and their mixture.

In yet another preferred embodiment of the process according to the present invention, said process water comprises at least 1 g/dm³, in particular at least 5 g/dm³, preferably at least 10 g/dm³, most preferably at least 20 g/dm³, in particular at least 40 g/dm³ mineral salt including mineral sulfate or sodium salt and their mixture.

In a specific embodiment of the process according to the present invention, the proportion of process water to the total amount of

water used is higher than 40 w%, preferentially higher than 60 w%, more preferentially more than 80 w% and up to 100 w%.

In another specific embodiment of the process according to the present invention, the process further comprises a sieving or selection step to 5 remove coarser particles greater than 1 mm, preferentially greater than 500 μm , more preferentially 200 μm from the milk of lime of great fineness.

Preferably, the sieving or section step is performed by a stainless steel sieve.

Other embodiments of the process according to the present 10 invention are mentioned in the annexed claims.

The present invention also relates to a milk of slaked lime of great fineness comprising slaked lime particles in suspension into an aqueous phase, wherein the slaked lime particles presents a d_{50} greater than or equal to 1 μm , in particular greater than or equal to 1,5 μm , and lower than or equal 15 to 6 μm , in particular lower than or equal to 5 μm , more particularly lower than or equal to 4 μm , even more particularly lower than or equal to 3 μm and wherein said milk of lime or aqueous phase further contains mineral salt including mineral sulfate or sodium salt and their mixture, at a level of at least 20 1 g/dm³, in particular at least 5 g/dm³, preferably at least 10 g/dm³, most preferably at least 20 g/dm³, in particular at least 40 g/dm³.

The notation d_x represents a diameter, expressed in μm , relative to which X% of the particles or grains measured are smaller.

The milk of slaked lime of great fineness according to the present invention is therefore a milk of lime wherein not only d_{50} is reduced 25 compared to conventional milk of lime of great fineness but also the presence of coarse fraction agglomerates is reduced.

Advantageously, in the milk of slaked lime according to the present invention, said aqueous phase comprises an additive chosen in the group consisting of carbohydrates, sugars, alcohol sugars, in particular 30 sorbitol, carbon dioxide, phosphates, sulfates, bicarbonates, silicates,

phosphonates, polyacrylates, polycarboxylic acids, low molecular weight organic acids, mixtures and derivatives thereof.

In a preferred embodiment according to the present invention the milk of slaked lime presents a solid content greater than 20 w%, preferably greater than 30 w%, more preferably greater than 35 w%, and

5 most preferably greater than 40 w%, with respect to the total weight of the milk of lime, said solid content being lower than or equal to 55 w%, preferably lower than or equal to 50 w%, in particular lower than or equal to 45 w% with respect to said total weight of the milk of slaked lime.

10 In a preferred embodiment according to the present invention, stabilizing additives/viscosity reducer/ viscosity stabilizer can be added to adjust the viscosity of the milk of slaked lime.

15 Preferably, the milk of slaked lime according the present invention has a viscosity lower than 1500 mPa.s, preferably lower than 1200 mPa.s, in particular lower than 1000 mPa.s, particularly lower than 900 mPa.s, more particularly lower than 800 mPa.s, even lower than 600 mPa.s, particularly lower than 450 mPa.s and more preferably lower than 300 mPa.s.

20 In the context of the present invention, the wording viscosity was used to designate dynamic or absolute viscosity. Dynamic viscosity or absolute viscosity designate viscosity that is either measured in the centipoise (cP) or in the millipascal-second (mPa·s) units.

25 In a particularly advantageous embodiment according to the present invention, the milk of slaked lime of great fineness present a settling rate comprised between about 1 and 2 vol.% after 24 hours as measured according to ASTM C110-11.14.

In another preferred embodiment according to the present invention, said aqueous phase of the milk of lime comprises less than 2 g/dm³ of total organic carbon, preferentially less than 1.2 g/dm³, more preferentially less than 0.8 g/dm³ of total organic carbon.

30 Other embodiments of the milk of slaked lime according to the present invention are mentioned in the annexed claims.

The present invention also relates to the use of process water for manufacturing a milk of lime of great fineness in a hydration process with a fourth water of a lime compound chosen in the group of quicklime, a first lime compound and their mixture, said first lime compound being chosen in

5 the group consisting of prehydrated lime obtained by the addition of a first water to quicklime, paste of lime obtained by the addition of a second water to quicklime, paste of lime obtained by the addition of a third water to prehydrated lime, paste of lime obtained by the addition of prehydrated lime to a third water and their mixture, said process water being used as first,

10 second, third or fourth water, said third and said fourth water being the same or different, for forming a milk of slaked lime of great fineness with said lime compound.

Preferably, according to the use of the present invention, at least one additive is added, said additive being added to or contained into said

15 at least one of the first, second, third and fourth water or added to or contained into said lime compound.

More particularly, in the use according to the present invention, said additive is chosen in the group consisting of carbohydrates, sugars, alcohol sugars, in particular sorbitol, carbon dioxide, phosphates, sulfates, bicarbonates, silicates, phosphonates, polyacrylates, polycarboxylic acids, low molecular weight organic acids, mixtures and derivatives thereof.

In a preferred use according to the present invention, said at least one of the first, second, third and fourth water being process water is an aqueous phase chosen in the group consisting of water comprising

25 endogenous salt, industrial alkaline aqueous phase, industrial high sulfate water, saturated gypsum solutions, seawater, saline and hypersaline water, Brackish water and their mixture, recycled or not.

In a particularly preferred use according to the present invention, said at least one of the first, second, third and fourth water being

30 process water comprises at least 1g/dm³, preferentially at least 5 g/dm³, in particular at least 10 g/dm³, more particularly at least 20 g/dm³ and even

preferentially at least 40 g/dm³ mineral salt including mineral sulfate or sodium salt and their mixture.

Other embodiments of the use of process water to manufacture a milk of lime of great fineness according to the present 5 invention are mentioned in the annexed claims.

The present invention also relates to a device for producing a milk of lime of great fineness comprising a hydration zone provided to comprise a lime compound chosen in the group of quicklime, a first lime compound and their mixture, said first lime compound being chosen in the 10 group consisting of prehydrated lime obtained by the addition of a first water to quicklime, paste of lime obtained by the addition of a second water to quicklime, paste of lime obtained by the addition of a third water to prehydrated lime, paste of lime obtained by the addition of prehydrated lime to a third water and their mixture, said hydration zone comprising an opening 15 connected to a feeding means provided for feeding at least a first, a second, a third or a fourth water being process water onto said lime compound, said feeding means being in fluid connection with a process water storage means, and a second opening connected to a collecting means provided to collect a second lime compound, chosen in the group consisting of prehydrated lime, 20 paste of lime and milk of lime, said feeding means comprising further spraying means or flow rate controlling means.

Other embodiments of the device according to the present invention are mentioned in the annexed claims.

The present invention also relates to a plant for producing a 25 milk of lime of great fineness comprising a device according the present invention and a process water storage means containing water process exit means connected to said feeding means of said device.

Other embodiments of the plant according to the present invention are mentioned in the annexed claims.

30 Other characteristics, details and advantages of the present invention are explained in the following description, given hereunder, by

making reference to the drawings and examples, while not being limited thereto.

The present invention relates to a process for manufacturing a milk of lime of great fineness comprising at least the steps of

- 5 a) providing a lime compound chosen in the group of quicklime, a first lime compound and their mixture, said first lime compound being chosen in the group consisting of prehydrated lime obtained by the addition of a first water to quicklime, paste of lime obtained by the addition of a second water to quicklime, paste of lime obtained by the addition of a third water to prehydrated lime, paste of lime obtained by the addition of prehydrated lime to a third water and their mixture, and
- 10 b) forming a milk of slaked lime of great fineness with said lime compound by the addition of a fourth water to said lime compound or by the addition of the first lime compound to a fourth water
- 15 wherein at least one of the first, second, third or fourth water is process water and wherein at least one of the first, second, third or fourth water is added to said lime compound.

Milk of slaked lime is a suspension of hydrated lime in water and can be formed from either hydrated lime or quicklime; however, preferred milk of slaked lime used herein is produced from prehydrated lime or paste of lime obtained by the addition of water to lime rather than lime to water. The quicklime used for the purposes discussed herein may be "high calcium" lime, which contains no more than about 5 percent magnesium oxide or hydroxide.

25 According to the present invention, several embodiments are encompassed within the scope of the process for manufacturing a milk of lime of great fineness. Most of them will be described hereunder, without limitative effect.

In a first preferred embodiment, an aqueous phase called a fourth water being process water is added to quicklime progressively until a milk of lime is produced. Successively, the quicklime is partly hydrated

forming locally a prehydrated lime which progressively disappears upon water addition to form a paste of lime, which is then progressively diluted until a milk of lime of great fineness is obtained.

In a second preferred embodiment, an aqueous phase called a
5 first water is added to quicklime to form a prehydrated lime. The prehydrated lime is then further hydrated to form a milk of lime. The further hydration passes or not through the step wherein a paste of lime is formed to progressively disappear and form the milk of lime. The further hydration is made by adding the fourth water to the prehydrated lime or by adding the
10 prehydrated lime to fourth water. The milk of lime of great fineness is reached even if either the first or the fourth water or even the first and the fourth water is a process water.

According to this second preferred embodiment, the prehydrated lime may be formed in one hydrator which can either be on-site
15 or remote from the hydrator wherein the milk of lime is produced. This means as well that the prehydrated lime can be also a commercially available prehydrated lime that is shipped on-site where the milk of lime of great fineness is produced.

In a third preferred embodiment, an aqueous phase called a
20 second water is added to quicklime to form a paste of lime. The paste of lime is then further hydrated to form a milk of lime. The further hydration is made by adding the fourth water to the paste of lime or by adding the paste of lime to fourth water. The milk of lime of great fineness is reached even if either the second or the fourth water or even the second and the fourth water is a process water.

According to this third preferred embodiment, the paste of lime may be formed in one hydrator which can either be on-site or remote from the hydrator wherein the milk of lime is produced. This means as well that the paste of lime can be also a commercially available paste of lime that is
30 shipped on-site where the milk of lime of great fineness is produced.

In a fourth preferred embodiment, an aqueous phase called a third water is added to prehydrated lime to form a paste of lime. The paste of lime is then further hydrated to form a milk of lime. The further hydration is made by adding the fourth water to the paste of lime or by adding the paste of lime to fourth water. The milk of lime of great fineness is reached even if either the third or the fourth water or even the third and the fourth water is a process water.

According to this fourth preferred embodiment, the paste of lime may be formed in one hydrator which can either be on-site or remote 10 from the hydrator wherein the milk of lime is produced. This means as well that the paste of lime can be also a commercially available paste of lime that is shipped on-site where the milk of lime of great fineness is produced.

In a fifth embodiment, prehydrated lime is added to an aqueous phase called a third water to form a paste of lime. The paste of lime 15 is then further hydrated to form a milk of lime with the fourth water being the same or different to the third water. The further hydration is hence made by adding the fourth water to the paste of lime or may be done by adding the paste of lime to fourth water. The milk of lime of great fineness is reached even if either the third or the fourth water or even the third and the fourth 20 water is a process water.

In some case where the third water is clean water, the prehydrated lime has been obtained by adding process water to quicklime and the fourth water may be process water or clean water, which fourth water can be added to the paste of lime or in the contrary, the paste of lime 25 can be added to the fourth water.

In an alternative where the third water is clean water, the prehydrated lime has been obtained by adding clean water to quicklime and the fourth water is process water, which fourth water can be added to the paste of lime or in the contrary, the paste of lime can be added to the fourth 30 water.

In fact, if the milk of slaked lime of great fineness is formed from prehydrated lime, the particles of prehydrated lime are further slaked with a predetermined volume of third water for forming the paste of lime. The third water can be added to the prehydrated lime or in the contrary, the 5 prehydrated lime can be added to the third water. The further addition of the fourth water forms the milk of slaked lime. In this latter case, the volume of the fourth water can be added to the paste of lime or in the contrary, prehydrated lime can be added to the volume of water. Of course, prehydrated lime is exclusively formed by the addition of water to quicklime 10 and not the contrary. The third or the fourth or even both the third and the fourth water is process water.

According to this fifth preferred embodiment, the paste of lime may be formed in one hydrator which can either be on-site or remote from the hydrator wherein the milk of lime is produced. This means as well that the 15 paste of lime can be also a commercially available paste of lime that is shipped on-site where the milk of lime of great fineness is produced

In a sixth embodiment, the third and the fourth water are the same water and prehydrated lime is added to an aqueous phase called a third water being the fourth water also to form the milk of lime, continuously and 20 progressively. The paste of lime formed therefore in situ progressively disappears upon further hydration to form a milk of lime with the fourth water being the same as the third water. In this case the third and the fourth water are bot the same process water.

The preferred milk of slaked lime used herein will contain about 25 20-55% by weight of solids, preferably about 40-50% by weight of solids, and most preferably about 45% by weight of solids, based upon the total weight of the milk of slaked lime.

This invention's goal is to produce milk of slaked lime with fine 30 particle size distribution. This property is achieved by the process according to the invention comprising a first step of providing a lime compound chosen in the group of quicklime, a first lime compound and their mixture, said first lime

compound being chosen in the group consisting of prehydrated lime obtained by the addition of a first water to quicklime, paste of lime obtained by the addition of a second water to quicklime, paste of lime obtained by the addition of a third water to prehydrated lime, paste of lime obtained by the 5 addition of prehydrated lime to a third water and their mixture. The first step is followed by a step of forming a milk of slaked lime of great fineness which in its preferred form presents a particle size distribution d_{50} comprised between 2-5 μm or even between 2.5-3.5 μm , showing a slaked lime content of 20-55 % by weight of solids, with said lime compound by the addition of a fourth water 10 to said lime compound or by the addition of the first lime compound to a fourth water, wherein at least one of the first, second, third or fourth water is process water and in that at least one of the first, second, third or fourth water is added to said lime compound.

In the discussion which follows, the particle sizes distributions 15 (also called granulometries) are measured by means of a laser granulometer in methanol; these distributions are characterized in terms of, for example, d_{50} , d_{90} and d_{98} , interpolated values of the particle size distribution curves. The dimensions d_{50} , d_{90} and d_{98} correspond to the dimensions for which respectively 50%, 90% and 98% of the particles are less than a given value.

20 The viscosity of these milks of lime is measured according to standard industry practice, as by the use of a "Brookfield DV III Rheometer" viscometer, with the appropriate spindle at 100 rpm. Indeed, in this method, the spindle must be adapted according to the viscosity range of the measured sample. For 100rpm rotation speed, we used spindle No 1 (or 61) for sample 25 having a viscosity up to 60cP; spindle No 2 (or 62) for about 60 up to 300cP; spindle No 3 (or 63) for about 300 up to 1200cP; and spindle No 4 (or 64) for about 1200 up to 6000cP. The measurement was taken on the 30th second, once the viscometer motor was turned on.

30 The present invention will be described more precisely with the example of a lime compound as a starting material being quicklime. However, the milk of slaked lime of great fineness according to the present invention

can be obtained from a lime compound chosen in the group of quicklime, a first lime compound and their mixture, said first lime compound being chosen in the group consisting of prehydrated lime obtained by the addition of a first water to quicklime, paste of lime obtained by the addition of a second water
5 to quicklime, paste of lime obtained by the addition of a third water to prehydrated lime, paste of lime obtained by the addition of prehydrated lime to a third water and their mixture.

Indeed, it has been found that the selection of specific lime compound chosen in the group consisting of a lime compound chosen in the
10 group of quicklime, a first lime compound and their mixture, said first lime compound being chosen in the group consisting of prehydrated lime obtained by the addition of a first water to quicklime, paste of lime obtained by the addition of a second water to quicklime, paste of lime obtained by the addition of a third water to prehydrated lime, paste of lime obtained by the
15 addition of prehydrated lime to a third water and their mixture obtained by addition of at least one of the said first, second, third and fourth water to the lime compound instead of addition of said lime compound to water shares the concept that milk of slaked lime of great fineness is obtained due to the intermediate existence upstream or during the process according to the
20 present invention of prehydrated lime compounds, which intermediate product progressively disappears more or less along water addition until the paste of lime or the milk of lime is formed.

According to the present invention, said progressive addition of said at least one of the said first, second, third, and/or fourth water is a
25 spraying step of said at least one of the said first, second, third, and/or fourth water for controlling water uptake by the lime compound, or a continuous pattern of addition of said at least one of the said first, second, third, and/or fourth water for controlling water uptake by the lime compound, under agitation. This means that the volume of said at least one of the said first,
30 second, third, and/or fourth water added to the lime compound required to

slake entirely the lime cannot be added at once, but progressively, to ensure the intermediate existence of prehydrated lime.

In one preferred embodiment according to the present invention, the process water but also any further water added in the process according to the present invention may comprise one or more additives for example, chosen in the group consisting of carbohydrates, sugars, alcohol sugars, in particular sorbitol, carbon dioxide, phosphates, sulfates, bicarbonates, silicates, phosphonates, polyacrylates, polycarboxylic acids, low molecular weight organic acids, mixtures and derivatives thereof.

The process water is an aqueous phase chosen in the group consisting of water comprising endogenous salt, industrial alkaline aqueous phase, industrial high sulfate water, saturated gypsum solutions, seawater, saline and hypersaline water, Brackish water and their mixture recycled or not and can be for example recycled water comprising endogenous salt, recycled industrial alkaline recycled aqueous phase, recycled industrial high sulfate water, recycled saturated gypsum solutions, recycled seawater, recycled saline and hypersaline water, recycled Brackish water and their mixture.

In still another variant, the process water comprises at least 1 g/dm³, preferably at least 5 g/dm³, in particular at least 10 g/dm³, more particularly at least 20 g/dm³ and more preferably at least 40 g/dm³ mineral salt including mineral sulfate or sodium salt and their mixture.

Examples.-

In the hereunder examples, the lime used is a lime from the Tapah plant, being typically a quicklime which produces under normal slaking conditions of adding quicklime to water a rather high viscosity milk of lime.

Example 1.-

2100g of finely crushed quicklime as lime compound with a top size of 2mm was placed in a 20 dm³ horizontally agitated laboratory paste mixer of the type Lödige M-20 MK. This mixer provides agitation by 2 plow shares and 2 wall scrapers, which were fixed to the agitator axis and allow to mix powder, paste and slurry products.

The lid on top of the mixer was equipped with a water dosing system, i.e. a water line ending a nozzle, and a thermally and chemically resistant filter, which allowed any formed vapour to escape out of the mixer to an external ventilation system.

5 An industrial process water (fourth water) was fed at a rate of 3.0g/sec to the reactor and thus sprayed through the nozzle onto the lime. In total 4.2kg of this process water were added in the course of ca. 25min.

10 The industrial process water contained ca. 2g/dm³ of sodium hydroxide, 11g/dm³ of sodium carbonate, ca. 7g/dm³ of sodium aluminate, ca. 15 2g/dm³ of sodium sulphate, ca. 0.5g/dm³ sodium chloride and 5-15g/dm³ of organic impurities, which were derived from humates.

Additionally, ca. 10g of sorbitol were added to the water.

15 After completion of the dosing, the mixture is left under agitation in the mixer till cooled down to less than 50°C. Then it is removed from the mixer, screened at 2mm through a sieve and analysed for solid content, viscosity and particle size distribution.

This experiment was repeated at the same conditions for a second time.

20 Solid content was determined by residual weight after drying in an infra-red thermobalance at 110°C. Viscosity was measured with a Brookfield DV-3B Rheometer using the appropriate spindle at a rotational speed of 100rpm.

Particle size distribution was measured with a Beckman-Coulter LS 13 320 Laser Diffraction Particle Sizer using methanol as carrier solvent.

25 The results are shown in Table 1

Table 1.-

Run	Viscosity [cPs]	Solid Content [wt. %]	d ₅₀ [μm]	d ₉₀ [μm]	d ₉₇ [μm]
1	230	45.0	2.29	21.2	40.8
2	190	45.0	2.37	11.7	33.6

The suspensions were then diluted by addition of demineralized water to the milk of lime to 23.0 wt. % solids content for easier comparison of the viscosity to the comparative examples. The results of the viscosity and solid content are shown in Table 2.-

5

Table 2.-

Run	Viscosity [cPs]	Solid Content [wt. %]
1	22	23.0
2	18	23.0

Comparative example 1.-

The same experimental conditions as in Example 1.- were used, including the amount of quicklime, the amount of slaking water and sorbitol.

10

Also the same finely crushed quicklime was used. But instead of the process water, demineralized water was employed. The results are shown in Table 3.-

Table 3.-

Run	Viscosity [cPs]	Solid Content [wt. %]	d_{50} [μ m]	d_{90} [μ m]	d_{97} [μ m]
3	240	39.1	2.76	32.1	54.8

15

As it can be seen, the solids content of Comparative example 1 was reduced in comparison to Example 1, as a significant amount of pasty material was adhering to the agitator.

20

Still, it can be observed that viscosity is similar despite the lower solid content and the particle size is less fine for this product obtained with clean water compared to the previous two obtained with the process water.

Comparative Example 2.-

The same type of finely crushed quicklime as in Example 1 is slaked with the same industrial process water in a continuous pilot slaking

installation, meaning that lime is added to water instead of according to the present invention where water is added to quicklime.

This pilot installation consists of a 10dm³ stirred tank reactor with double jacket, a screw feeder with hopper to continuously dose the quicklime, a dosing pump to continuously feed the slaking water and another dosing pump to continuously remove the slaked lime suspension from the reactor. The reactor is equipped with a thermostatic heating bath to control its temperature, a high capacity reflux cooler with attached ventilation system to withdraw any generated vapour and thermocouples at different positions to monitor reactor temperature.

The agitation of the reactor has been designed and validated to provide agitation similar to industrial detention slakers. The reactor was fed continuously with 90g/min of quicklime and 450g/min of slaking water for an average residence time of ca. 20min. Slaking temperature in the reactor was 80°C. The results of the milk-of-lime quality is shown in Table 4 and was obtained at steady state conditions (after ca. 7 residence times of operation).

Table 4.-

Run	Viscosity [cPs]	Solid Content [wt. %]	d ₅₀ [μm]	d ₉₀ [μm]	d ₉₇ [μm]
1	40	25.6	8.33	52.6	75.7

Comparative Example 3.-

Comparative example 2 was reproduced, but demineralized water was used instead of process water. The results are shown in table 5.-

Table 5.-

Run	Viscosity [cPs]	Solid Content [wt. %]	d ₅₀ [μm]	d ₉₀ [μm]	d ₉₇ [μm]
2	200	23.0	4.5	20	40

As it can be seen, the effect of the industrial process water in classical, continuous slaking as practiced in industry is thus a significant

coarsening of the milk-of-lime (Comparative example 2) in comparison to clean water (Comparative example 3).

Example 2.-

The same experiment at the same conditions as according to

5 Example 1 was conducted, but using a solution of sulphate salts, i.e. 10g/dm³ of magnesium sulphate and 2g/dm³ of sodium sulphate. Both salts were added in their anhydrous form as chemicals to demineralized water to produce this solution.

10 After completion of the slaking experiment, the obtained milk-of-lime suspension was screened on stainless steel sieves first of 1000µm and then of 200µm. The screen rejects were dried, weighed and the weight expressed as fraction of the total solids in the suspension.

15 While solid content and viscosity were determined prior to the screening, the particle size distribution was measured after screening as described in Example 1. The results shown in Table 6 were obtained.

Table 6.-

Run	Viscosity [cPs]	Solid Content [wt. %]	d ₅₀ [µm]	d ₉₀ [µm]	d ₉₇ [µm]	Reject >200µm [wt. % solids]	Reject >1mm [wt. % solids]
1	215	43.7	3.74	29.3	51.8	15.7%	1.0%

20 The suspensions were then diluted by addition of demineralized water to 26.6 wt. % solids content for easier comparison of the viscosity to the comparative examples. The results are shown in Table 7.-

Table 7.-

Run	Viscosity [cPs]	Solid Content [wt. %]
1	8	26.6

Comparative Example 4.-

The same lime as according to Example 1 was slaked in an experimental set-up as described in the norm EN 459-2, section 5.10: 'Reactivity'. 150g of finely crushed lime of a maximum particle size of 2mm
 5 are added to 600g of the same solution as in Example 2 with an initial solution temperature of 20°C and slaked under agitation as described in the norm. The obtained suspension is then screened on stainless steel sieves first of 1000µm and then of 200µm. The screen rejects were dried, weighed and the weight expressed as fraction of the total solids in the suspension.

10 While solid content and viscosity were determined prior to the screening, the particle size distribution was measured after screening as described in Example 1. The results shown in Table 8.- were obtained.

Table 8.-

Run	Viscosity [cPs]	Solid Content [wt. %]	d ₅₀ [µm]	d ₉₀ [µm]	d ₉₇ [µm]	Reject >200µm [wt. % solids]	Reject >1mm [wt. % solids]
1	5	18	16.5	73	91	1.2%	2.8%

Example 3.-

15 The same experiment at the same conditions according to Example 1 was conducted, but using another solution of sulphate salts, i.e. 30g/dm³ of magnesium sulphate and 5g/dm³ of sodium sulphate. Both salts were added in their anhydrous form as chemicals to demineralized water to
 20 produce this solution.

After completion of the slaking experiment, the obtained milk-of-lime suspension was screened on stainless steel sieves first of 1000µm and then of 200µm. The screen rejects were dried, weighed and the weight expressed as fraction of the total solids in the suspension.

While solid content and viscosity were determined prior to the screening, the particle size distribution was measured after screening as described in Example 1. The results shown in Table 9.- were obtained.

Table 9.-

Run	Viscosity [cPs]	Solid Content [wt. %]	d_{50} [μm]	d_{90} [μm]	d_{97} [μm]	Reject >200μm [wt. % solids]	Reject >1mm [wt. % solids]
1	215	44.9	4.5	40.3	59.1	19.0%	1.4%

5

The suspensions were then diluted by addition of demineralized water to 26.6 wt. % solids content for easier comparison of the viscosity to the comparative example. The results are shown in Table 10.-

Table 10.-

Run	Viscosity [cPs]	Solid Content [wt. %]
1	8	26.6

10

Comparative Example 5.-

The same lime as in Example 1 was slaked in an experimental set-up as described in the norm EN 459-2, section 5.10: 'Reactivity'. 150g of finely crushed lime of a max. size of 2mm are added to 600g of the same 15 solution as in Example 3 with an initial solution temperature of 20°C and slaked under agitation as described in the norm. The obtained suspension is then screened on stainless steel sieves first of 1000μm and then of 200μm. The screen rejects were dried, weighed and the weight expressed as fraction of the total solids in the suspension.

20

While solid content and viscosity were determined prior to the screening, the particle size distribution was measured after screening as described in Example 1. The results shown in Table 11.- were obtained.

Table 11.-

Run	Viscosity [cPs]	Solid Content [wt. %]	d ₅₀ [μm]	d ₉₀ [μm]	d ₉₇ [μm]	Reject >200μm [wt. % solids]	Reject >1mm [wt. % solids]
1	14	21.8	10.2	57.3	80.9	11.3%	3.4%

Example 4.-

The same experiment at the same conditions according to

5 Example 1 was conducted, but using a solution saturated in calcium sulphate, thus containing ca. 1.4g/dm³ of dissolved calcium sulphate. Analytical grade gypsum was used to saturate the solution.

After completion of the slaking experiment, the obtained milk-of-lime suspension was screened on stainless steel sieves first of 1000μm and 10 then of 200μm. The screen rejects were dried, weighed and the weight expressed as fraction of the total solids in the suspension.

While solid content and viscosity were determined prior to the screening, the particle size distribution was measured after screening as described in Example 1. The results shown in Table 12.- were obtained.

15

Table 12.-

Run	Viscosity [cPs]	Solid Content [wt. %]	d ₅₀ [μm]	d ₉₀ [μm]	d ₉₇ [μm]	Reject >200μm [wt. % solids]	Reject >1mm [wt. % solids]
1	1300	40.1	2.81	24.8	43.3	9.6%	15.7%

The suspensions were then diluted by addition of demineralized water to 22 wt. % solids content for easier comparison of the viscosity to the comparative examples. The results are shown in Table 13.-

Table 13.-

Run	Viscosity [cPs]	Solid Content [wt. %]
1	63	22.0

Comparative Example 6.-

The same lime as according to Example 1 was slaked in a test

5 set-up described in the norm EN 459-2, section 5.10: 'Reactivity'. 150g of finely crushed lime of a max. size of 2mm are added to 600g of the same solution as in Example 4 with an initial solution temperature of 20°C and slaked under agitation as described in the norm. The obtained suspension is then screened on stainless steel sieves first of 1000µm and then of 200µm.

10 The screen rejects were dried, weighed and the weight expressed as fraction of the total solids in the suspension.

While solid content and viscosity were determined prior to the screening, the particle size distribution was measured after screening as described in Example 1. The results shown in Table 14.- were obtained.

15

Table 14.-

Run	Viscosity [cPs]	Solid Content [wt. %]	d ₅₀ [µm]	d ₉₀ [µm]	d ₉₇ [µm]	Reject >200µm [wt. % solids]	Reject >1mm [wt. % solids]
1	3	26.4	16.45	66.4	112.7	0.3%	1.8%

Example 5

The same experiment at the same conditions according to Example 1 was conducted, but using a solution of sodium chloride, i.e.

20 10g/dm³ of analytical grade sodium chloride were added to demineralized water to produce this solution.

After completion of the slaking experiment, the obtained milk-of-lime suspension was screened on stainless steel sieves first of 1000µm and

then of 200µm. The screen rejects were dried, weighed and the weight expressed as fraction of the total solids in the suspension.

While solid content and viscosity were determined prior to the screening, the particle size distribution was measured after screening as 5 described in Example 1. The results shown in Table 15.- were obtained

Table 15.-

Run	Viscosity [cPs]	Solid Content [wt. %]	d_{50} [µm]	d_{90} [µm]	d_{97} [µm]	Reject >200µm [wt. % solids]	Reject >1mm [wt. % solids]
1	1480	37.8	2.80	31.3	64.6	4.8%	5.3%

The suspensions were then diluted by addition of demineralized water to 20.4 wt.% solids content for easier comparison of the 10 viscosity to the comparative examples. The results are shown in Table 16.-

Table 16.-

Run	Viscosity [cPs]	Solid Content [wt. %]
1	43	20.4

Comparative Example 7.-

The same lime as in Example 1 was slaked in a test set-up 15 described in the norm EN 459-2, section 5.10: 'Reactivity'. 150g of finely crushed lime of a max. size of 2mm are added to 600g of the same solution as in Example 5 with an initial solution temperature of 20°C and slaked under agitation as described in the norm. The obtained suspension is then screened on stainless steel sieves first of 1000µm and then of 200µm. The screen 20 rejects were dried, weighed and the weight expressed as fraction of the total solids in the suspension.

While solid content and viscosity were determined prior to the screening, the particle size distribution was measured after screening as described in Example 1. The results shown in Table 17.- were obtained.

Table 17.-

Run	Viscosity [cPs]	Solid Content [wt. %]	d_{50} [μm]	d_{90} [μm]	d_{97} [μm]	Reject >200μm [wt. % solids]	Reject >1mm [wt. % solids]
1	21	28	6.22	31.9	52.5	8.0%	0.5%

5

Example 6

The same experiment at the same conditions as according to Example 1 was conducted, but using another solution of sodium chloride, i.e. 40g/dm³ of analytical grade sodium chloride were added to demineralized 10 water to produce this solution.

After completion of the slaking experiment, the obtained milk-of-lime suspension was screened on stainless steel sieves first of 1000μm and then of 200μm. The screen rejects were dried, weighed and the weight expressed as fraction of the total solids in the suspension.

15 While solid content and viscosity were determined prior to the screening, the particle size distribution was measured after screening as described in Example 1. The results in Table 18.- were obtained.

Table 18.-

Run	Viscosity [cPs]	Solid Content [wt. %]	d_{50} [μm]	d_{90} [μm]	d_{97} [μm]	Reject >200μm [wt. % solids]	Reject >1mm [wt. % solids]
1	2400	43.1	2.87	11.7	29.7	5.9%	12.4%

The suspensions were then diluted by addition of demineralized water to 21.0 wt. % solids content for easier comparison of the viscosity to the comparative example. The results are shown in table 19.-

Table 19.-

Run	Viscosity [cPs]	Solid Content [wt. %]
1	59	21.0

5 **Comparative Example 8.-**

The same lime as according to Example 1 was slaked in a test set-up described in the norm EN 459-2, section 5.10: 'Reactivity'. 150g of finely crushed lime of a max. size of 2mm are added to 600g of the same solution as in Example 6 with an initial solution temperature of 20°C and 10 slaked under agitation as described in the norm. The obtained suspension is then screened on stainless steel sieves first of 1000µm and then of 200µm. The screen rejects were dried, weighed and the weight expressed as fraction of the total solids in the suspension.

15 While solid content and viscosity were determined prior to the screening, the particle size distribution was measured after screening as described in Example 1. The results in Table 20.- were obtained.

Table 20.-

Run	Viscosity [cPs]	Solid Content [wt.%]	d ₅₀ [µm]	d ₉₀ [µm]	d ₉₇ [µm]	Reject >200µm [wt.% solids]	Reject >1mm [wt.% solids]
1	17	29.6	7.86	45.9	67	7.6%	0.4%

Example 7.-

The same experiment at the same conditions as Example 1 was conducted, but using another solution with the composition of seawater, i.e.:

27.4g/dm³ NaCl
 5 3.4g/dm³ MgCl₂
 2.1g/dm³ MgSO₄
 1.4g/dm³ CaSO₄
 0.7g/dm³ KCl

This solution was produced from analytical grade anhydrous
 10 salts added to demineralized water.

After completion of the slaking experiment, the obtained milk-of-lime suspension was screened on stainless steel sieves first of 1000µm and then of 200µm. The screen rejects were dried, weighed and the weight expressed as fraction of the total solids in the suspension.

15 While solid content and viscosity were determined prior to the screening, the particle size distribution was measured after screening as described in Example 1. The results shown in Table 21.- were obtained.

Table 21.-

Run	Viscosity [cPs]	Solid Content [wt.%]	d ₅₀ [µm]	d ₉₀ [µm]	d ₉₇ [µm]	Reject >200µm [wt.% solids]	Reject >1mm [wt. % solids]
1	2400	43.4	3.86	52.8	71.7	19.1%	8.4%

20 The suspensions were then diluted by addition of demineralized water to 21.8 wt. % solids content for easier comparison of the viscosity to the comparative example. The results are shown in Table 22.-

Table 22.-

Run	Viscosity [cPs]	Solid Content [wt. %]
1	27	21.8

Comparative Example 9.-

The same lime as according to Example 1 was slaked in a test set-up described in the norm EN 459-2, section 5.10: 'Reactivity'. 150g of finely crushed lime of a max. size of 2mm are added to 600g of the same solution as in Example 7 with an initial solution temperature of 20°C and slaked under agitation as described in the norm. The obtained suspension is then screened on stainless steel sieves first of 1000µm and then of 200µm. The screen rejects were dried, weighed and the weight expressed as fraction of the total solids in the suspension.

While solid content and viscosity were determined prior to the screening, the particle size distribution was measured after screening as described in Example 1. The results shown in table 23.- were obtained.

Table 23.-

Run	Viscosity [cPs]	Solid Content [wt. %]	d ₅₀ [µm]	d ₉₀ [µm]	d ₉₇ [µm]	Reject >200µm [wt. % solids]	Reject >1mm [wt. % solids]
1	8	20.9	14.7	79.5	119	1.4%	3.6%

15

Example 8.-

The same experiment at the same conditions as Example 1 was conducted, but using a solution with the following composition:

195g/dm³ NaCl
20 15g/dm³ MgSO₄
7g/dm³ Na₂SO₄
1.4g/dm³ CaSO₄
1.5g/dm³ KCl

This solution was produced from analytical grade anhydrous salts added to demineralized water.

After completion of the slaking experiment, the obtained milk-of-lime suspension was screened on stainless steel sieves first of 1000µm and then of 200µm. The screen rejects were dried, weighed and the weight expressed as fraction of the total solids in the suspension.

5 While solid content and viscosity were determined prior to the screening, the particle size distribution was measured after screening as described in Example 1. The results shown in Table 24.- were obtained.

Table 24.-

Run	Viscosity [cPs]	Solid Content wt. %]	d ₅₀ [µm]	d ₉₀ [µm]	d ₉₇ [µm]	Reject >200µm [wt. % solids]	Reject >1mm [wt. % solids]
1	147	49.6	5.49	61	85.9	8.8%	3.2%

10 The suspensions were then diluted by addition of demineralized water to 28.1 wt. % solids content for easier comparison of the viscosity to the comparative examples. The results are shown in Table 25.-

Table 25.-

Run	Viscosity [cPs]	Solid Content [wt. %]
1	9	28.1

15 **Comparative Example 10.-**

The same lime as according to Example 1 was slaked in a test set-up described in the norm EN 459-2, section 5.10: 'Reactivity'. 150g of finely crushed lime of a max. size of 2mm are added to 600g of the same solution as in Example 8 with an initial solution temperature of 20°C and 20 slaked under agitation as described in the norm. The obtained suspension is then screened on stainless steel sieves first of 1000µm and then of 200µm. The screen rejects were dried, weighed and the weight expressed as fraction of the total solids in the suspension.

While solid content and viscosity were determined prior to the screening, the particle size distribution was measured after screening as described in Example 1. The results shown in Table 26.- were obtained.

Table 26.-

Run	Viscosity [cPs]	Solid Content [wt. %]	d_{50} [μm]	d_{90} [μm]	d_{97} [μm]	Reject $>200\mu\text{m}$ [wt. % solids]	Reject $>1\text{mm}$ [wt. % solids]
1	18	35.7	15.1	70.2	91.8	14.1%	1.5%

5

Comparative Example 11.-

The same lime as according to Example 1 was slaked in a test set-up described in the norm EN 459-2, section 5.10: 'Reactivity'. 150g of finely crushed lime of a max. size of 2mm are added to 600g of demineralized 10 water with an initial solution temperature of 20°C and slaked under agitation as described in the norm. The obtained suspension is then screened on stainless steel sieves first of 1000μm and then of 200μm. The screen rejects were dried, weighed and the weight expressed as fraction of the total solids in the suspension.

15 While solid content and viscosity were determined prior to the screening, the particle size distribution was measured after screening as described in Example 1. The results shown in table 27.- were obtained.

Table 27.-

Run	Viscosity [cPs]	Solid Content [wt. %]	d_{50} [μm]	d_{90} [μm]	d_{97} [μm]	Reject $>200\mu\text{m}$ [wt. % solids]	Reject $>1\text{mm}$ [wt. % solids]
1	175	26.7	4.56	26.8	62.9	13.0%	0.2%

Comparative example 12.-

The same experimental conditions as in Comparative example 1 were used but with another starting quicklime.

While the quicklime of Comparative example 1 was of t_{60} reactivity of 2.5 min (measured according to the procedure outlined in EN459-2), the quicklime sample used in this example is of low reactivity, i.e. a t_{60} of 4.2 min and additionally contains impurities, notably sulphate at a content of ca. 0.18 wt. % SO_3 , which would reduce the fineness of the obtained milk-of-lime.

Demineralized water was fed at a rate of 3.0 g/sec to the reactor and thus sprayed through the nozzle onto the lime. About 10 g of sorbitol had been added to and dissolved in the water in advance. In total 4.2 kg of this water were added in the course of ca. 25min.

After completion of the dosing, the mixture is left under agitation in the mixer till cooled down to less than 50°C. Then it is removed from the mixer, screened first at 1mm through a sieve and analysed for solid content and viscosity. Subsequently, it was screened at 200 μm and its particle size distribution determined. The results shown in table 28.- were obtained.

Table 28.-

Run	Viscosity [cPs]	Solid Content [wt. %]	d_{50} [μm]	d_{90} [μm]	d_{97} [μm]	Reject >200 μm [wt. % solids]	Reject >1mm [wt. % solids]
1	52	44.2	2.72	9.33	27.7	0.2%	1.0%

20

The suspensions were then diluted by addition of demineralized water to 21.2 wt. % solids content for easier comparison of viscosity to the comparative examples. The results are shown in Table 29.-

25

Table 29.-

Run	Viscosity [cPs]	Solid Content [wt. %]
1	10	21.2

Comparative Example 13.-

The same lime as in comparative example 12 was slaked in an 5 experimental set-up as described in the norm EN 459-2, similarly as in comparative example 4.

While solid content and viscosity were determined prior to the screening, the particle size distribution was measured after screening as described in Example 1. The results shown in table 30.- were obtained.

10

Table 30.-

Run	Viscosity [cPs]	Solid Content [wt. %]	d_{50} [μ m]	d_{90} [μ m]	d_{97} [μ m]	Reject $>200\mu$ m [wt. % solids]	Reject $>1mm$ [wt. % solids]
1	123	26.7	4.96	11.61	27.5	0.0	0.0

In comparison with comparative example 12, we observe that a significantly lower d_{50} and a lower viscosity were obtained in comparative example 12.

15

Comparative example 14.-

The same lime as in Example 1 was slaked in an experimental set-up as described in the norm EN 459-2, section 5.10: 'Reactivity'. 150g of finely crushed lime of a max. size of 2mm are added to 600g of the same process water as in example 9 with an initial solution temperature of 20°C and 20 slaked under agitation as described in the norm. The obtained suspension is then screened on stainless steel sieves first of 1000 μ m and then of 200 μ m. The screen rejects were dried, weighed and the weight expressed as fraction of the total solids in the suspension.

While solid content and viscosity were determined prior to the screening, the particle size distribution was measured after screening as described in Example 1. The results shown in table 31.- were obtained.

Table 31.-

Run	Viscosity [cPs]	Solid Content [wt. %]	d_{50} [μm]	d_{90} [μm]	d_{97} [μm]	Reject >200μm [wt. % solids]	Reject >1mm [wt. % solids]
1	184	28.2	8.2	21.4	32.4	0.0%	0.0%

5

Compared to the reactivity test with demineralized water, the slaking in the same test set-up with process water did not reach 60°C even though the test was monitored for more than 15min.

Such a lack of heat generation was not noted on the slaking of
10 Example 9, i.e. according to our invention, where again a milk of lime of lower
 d_{50} and lower viscosity was obtained compared to this example.

Example 9

The same experiment at the same conditions as comparative
15 example 12 was conducted, but using the industrial process water as described in Example 1 (i.e. method of the present invention with a low reactive quicklime). This industrial process water contained ca. 2 g/dm³ of sodium hydroxide, 11 g/dm³ of sodium carbonate, ca. 7 g/dm³ of sodium aluminate, ca. 2 g/dm³ of sodium sulphate, ca. 0.5 g/dm³ sodium chloride and
20 5-15 g/dm³ of organic impurities, which were derived from humates.

As in the other Examples, ca. 10 g of sorbitol were added to the water.

After completion of the slaking experiment, the obtained milk of lime suspension was screened on stainless steel sieves first of 1000μm and
25 then of 200 μm. The screen rejects were dried, weighed and the weight expressed as fraction of the total solids in the suspension.

While solid content and viscosity were determined prior to the screening, the particle size distribution was measured after screening as described in Example 1. The results shown in table 32.- were obtained.

5 **Table 32.-**

Run	Viscosity [cPs]	Solid Content [wt. %]	d_{50} [μm]	d_{90} [μm]	d_{97} [μm]	Reject >200μm [wt. % solids]	Reject >1mm [wt. % solids]
1	976	46.7	2.3	9.6	36.9	0.5%	0.0%

The suspensions were then diluted by addition of demineralized water to 23.7 wt. % solids content for easier comparison of the viscosity to the comparative examples. The results are shown in Table 33.-

10

Table 33.-

Run	Viscosity [cPs]	Solid Content [wt. %]
1	80	23.7

While the invention has been shown in several of its forms, it is not thus limited and is susceptible to various changes and modifications without departing from the spirit thereof and from the enclosed claims.

« CLAIMS »

1. Method for manufacturing a milk of lime of great fineness comprising at least the steps of
 - a) providing a lime compound chosen in the group of quicklime, a first lime compound and their mixture, said first lime compound 5 being chosen in the group consisting of prehydrated lime obtained by the addition of a first water to quicklime, paste of lime obtained by the addition of a second water to quicklime, paste of lime obtained by the addition of a third water to prehydrated lime, paste of lime obtained by the addition of prehydrated lime to a third water and their mixture, and
 - 10 b) forming a milk of slaked lime of great fineness with said lime compound by the addition of a fourth water to said lime compound or by the addition of the first lime compound to a fourth water, characterized in that at least one of the first, second, third or fourth water is process water and in that at least one of the first, second, third or fourth 15 water is added to said lime compound.
2. Process for manufacturing a milk of lime of great fineness according to claim 1, wherein said at least one of the first, second, or fourth water is added to quicklime through a progressive addition of said at least one of the first, second, or fourth water to quicklime under agitation 20 condition.
3. Process for manufacturing a milk of lime of great fineness according to claim 1 or claim 2, wherein said third water or said fourth water is added to prehydrated lime through a progressive addition of said third or fourth water to prehydrated lime under agitation condition.
- 25 4. Process for manufacturing a milk of lime of great fineness according to anyone of the claims 1 to 3, wherein said fourth water is added to paste of lime through a progressive addition of said fourth water to paste of lime under agitation condition.

5. Process for manufacturing a milk of lime of great fineness according to anyone of the claims 2 to 4, wherein said progressive addition of said at least one of the first, second, third or fourth water to said lime compound is presenting a pattern of addition of said at least one of the 5 first, second, third or fourth water for controlling water uptake by the lime compound in a batch process or in a continuous process.

6. Process for manufacturing a milk of slaked lime of great fineness according to anyone of the claims 2 to 5, wherein said progressive addition of said at least one of the first, second, third or fourth water is a 10 continuous process during which progressive hydration of said lime compound is performed by adjusting lime compound feeding rate into a hydrator wherein a predetermined atmosphere is created containing a limited amount of said at least one of the first, second, third or fourth water for addition of said at least one of the first, second, third or fourth water to said 15 lime compound.

7. Process for manufacturing milk of slaked lime of great fineness according to anyone of the claims 2 to 6, wherein progressive addition of said at least one of the first, second, third or fourth water is performed by spraying a mist of said at least one of the first, second, third or 20 fourth water into a hydrator.

8. Process for manufacturing milk of slaked lime of great fineness according to anyone of the claims 2 to 5 or 7, wherein progressive addition of said at least one of the first, second, third or fourth water is a batch process during which progressive hydration of said lime compound is 25 performed by placing a predetermined amount of lime compound into a hydrator wherein a predetermined atmosphere is created containing a limited amount of said at least one of the first, second, third or fourth water for addition of said at least one of the first, second, third or fourth water to said lime compound.

30 9. Process for manufacturing a milk of lime of great fineness according to anyone of the claims 2 to 8, wherein said lime

compound is quicklime onto which said fourth water being process water is progressively added until said milk of lime of great fineness is reached.

10. Process for manufacturing a milk of lime of great fineness according to anyone of the claims 2 to 8, wherein said first lime
5 compound is chosen in the group consisting of prehydrated lime, paste of lime and their mixture obtained from quicklime onto which a first water or a second water being process water is progressively added for forming said first lime compound.

11. Process for manufacturing a milk of lime of great
10 fineness according to anyone of the claims 2 to 8, wherein said first lime compound is a paste of lime obtained from prehydrated lime onto which said third water being process water is progressively added.

12. Process for manufacturing a milk of lime of great fineness according to anyone of the claims 2 to 8, wherein said third and said
15 fourth water are a same water and wherein said first lime compound is prehydrated lime onto which said third water, being said fourth water and being process water is progressively added until said milk of lime is reached.

13. Process for manufacturing a milk of lime of great fineness according to anyone of the claims 1 to 12, further comprising an
20 addition of at least one additive, said additive being added to or contained into said at least one of the first, second, third and fourth water or added to or contained into said lime compound.

14. Process for manufacturing a milk of lime of great fineness according to claim 13, wherein said additive is chosen in the group
25 consisting of carbohydrates, sugars, alcohol sugars, in particular sorbitol, carbon dioxide, phosphates, sulfates, bicarbonates, silicates, phosphonates, polyacrylates, polycarboxylic acids, low molecular weight organic acids, mixtures and derivatives thereof.

15. Process for manufacturing a milk of lime of great
30 fineness according to anyone of the claims 1 to 14, wherein said at least one of the first, second, third and fourth water being process water is an aqueous

phase chosen in the group consisting of water comprising endogenous salt, industrial alkaline aqueous phase, industrial high sulfate water, saturated gypsum solutions, seawater, saline and hypersaline water, Brackish water and their mixture, recycled or not.

5 16. Process for manufacturing a milk of lime of great fineness according to anyone of the claims 1 to 15, wherein said process water comprises at least 1 g/dm³, in particular at least 5 g/dm³, preferably at least 10 g/dm³, most preferably at least 20 g/dm³, in particular at least 40 g/dm³ mineral salt including mineral sulfate or sodium salt and their mixture.

10 17. Process for manufacturing a milk of lime of great fineness according to anyone of the claims 1 to 16, wherein the proportion of process water to the total amount of water used is higher than 40 w%, preferentially higher than 60 w%, more preferentially more than 80 w% and up to 100 w%.

15 18. Process for manufacturing a milk of lime of great fineness according to anyone of the claims 1 to 17, further comprising a sieving or selection step to remove particles greater than 1 mm, preferentially greater than 500 µm, more preferentially 200 µm.

19. Milk of slaked lime of great fineness comprising slaked lime particles in suspension into an aqueous phase, wherein the slaked lime particles presents a d_{50} greater than or equal to 1 µm, in particular greater than or equal to 1,5 µm, and lower than or equal to 6 µm, in particular lower than or equal to 5 µm, more particularly lower than or equal to 4 µm, even more particularly lower than or equal to 3 µm and wherein said milk of lime further contains mineral salt including mineral sulfate or sodium salt and their mixture, at a level of at least 1 g/dm³, in particular at least 5 g/dm³, preferably at least 10 g/dm³, most preferably at least 20 g/dm³, in particular at least 40 g/dm³.

20. Milk of slaked lime according to claim 19, having a solid content greater than 20 w%, preferably greater than 30 w%, more preferably greater than 35 w%, and most preferably greater than 40 w%, with respect to

the total weight of the milk of lime, said solid content being lower than or equal to 55 w%, preferably lower than or equal to 50 w%, in particular lower than or equal to 45 w% with respect to said total weight of the milk of slaked lime.

5 21. Milk of slaked lime according to anyone of the claims 19 to 20, having a viscosity lower than 1200 mPa.s, preferably, lower than 600 mPa.s, particularly lower than 450 mPa.s and more preferably lower than 300 mPa.s.

10 22. Milk of slaked lime of great fineness according to anyone of the claims 19 to 21, wherein said aqueous phase comprises less than 2 g/dm³ of total organic carbon, preferentially less than 1.2 g/dm³, more preferentially less than 0.8 g/dm³ of total organic carbon.

15 23. Use of process water for manufacturing a milk of lime of great fineness in a hydration process with a fourth water of a lime compound chosen in the group of quicklime, a first lime compound and their mixture, said first lime compound being chosen in the group consisting of prehydrated lime obtained by the addition of a first water to quicklime, paste of lime obtained by the addition of a second water to quicklime, paste of lime obtained by the addition of a third water to prehydrated lime, paste of lime 20 obtained by the addition of prehydrated lime to a third water and their mixture, said process water being used as first, second, third or fourth water, said third and said fourth water being the same or different, for forming a milk of slaked lime of great fineness with said lime compound.

25 24. Use of process water according to claim 23, wherein at least one additive is further added, said additive being added to or contained into said at least one of the first, second, third and fourth water or added to or contained into said lime compound.

30 25. Use of process water according to claim 24, wherein said additive is chosen in the group consisting of carbohydrates, sugars, alcohol sugars, in particular sorbitol, carbon dioxide, phosphates, sulfates,

bicarbonates, silicates, phosphonates, polyacrylates, polycarboxylic acids, low molecular weight organic acids, mixtures and derivatives thereof.

26. Use of process water according to anyone of the claims 23 to 25, wherein said at least one of the first, second, third and fourth water 5 being process water is an aqueous phase chosen in the group consisting of water comprising endogenous salt, industrial alkaline aqueous phase, industrial high sulfate water, saturated gypsum solutions, seawater, saline and hypersaline water, Brackish water and their mixture recycled or not.

27. Use of process water according to anyone of the claims 10 23 to 26, wherein said at least one of the first, second, third and fourth water being process water comprises at least 1g/dm³, preferentially at least 5 g/dm³, in particular at least 10 g/dm³, more particularly at least 20 g/dm³ and even preferentially at least 40 g/dm³ mineral salt including mineral sulfate or sodium salt and their mixture.

15 28. Device for producing a milk of lime of great fineness comprising a hydration zone provided to comprise a lime compound chosen in the group of quicklime, a first lime compound and their mixture, said first lime compound being chosen in the group consisting of prehydrated lime obtained by the addition of a first water to quicklime, paste of lime obtained by the 20 addition of a second water to quicklime, paste of lime obtained by the addition of a third water to prehydrated lime, paste of lime obtained by the addition of prehydrated lime to a third water and their mixture, said hydration zone comprising an opening connected to a feeding means provided for feeding at least a first, a second, a third or a fourth water being process water 25 onto said lime compound, said feeding means being in fluid connection with a process water storage means and a second opening connected to a collecting means provided to collect a second lime compound, chosen in the group consisting of prehydrated lime, paste of lime and milk of lime, said feeding means comprising further spraying means or flow rate controlling means.

30 29. Plant for producing a milk of lime of great fineness comprising a device according to claim 28 and a process water storage means

containing water process exit means connected to said feeding means of said device.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No
PCT/EP2016/054941

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
INV. C01F11/02 C04B28/10 C04B2/06 C04B2/08
ADD.

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)
C01F C04B

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, WPI Data

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 5 275 650 A (MONGOIN JACQUES [FR] ET AL) 4 January 1994 (1994-01-04) table 1	23-25
Y	----- WO 02/092701 A1 (CIBA SPEC CHEM WATER TREAT LTD [GB]; BUTTERS MARTIN PETER [GB]; MCLEOD) 21 November 2002 (2002-11-21) example 3	13,14, 24,25
X	----- WO 2014/064234 A1 (LHOIST RECH & DEV SA [BE]) 1 May 2014 (2014-05-01) example 1; table 1	23-25
Y	----- -/-	13,14, 24,25

Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.

See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search 10 November 2016	Date of mailing of the international search report 17/11/2016
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Authorized officer Bonneau, Sébastien

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No
PCT/EP2016/054941

C(Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	FR 2 995 301 A1 (LHOIST RECH & DEV SA [BE]) 14 March 2014 (2014-03-14) page 14, line 1 - line 9 page 20, line 5 - line 7; claim 17; example sample A page 23, line 14 - line 22 page 26	1,15-23, 26,27
Y	----- US 2004/175324 A1 (HASSIBI MOHAMAD [US]) 9 September 2004 (2004-09-09) paragraphs [0001], [0007] - [0019]; claim 1; figure 1	13,14, 24,25
X	US 3 511 600 A (KIM KEUN Y) 12 May 1970 (1970-05-12)	1-12,23, 28,29
Y	column 8, line 51 - line 55; examples I,II; table I	13,14
A	----- "On hydraulic and common mortars. By general Treussart, inspecteur du Génie. Translated from the French by J.G. Totten, Lt. Col. of Eng. and Brevet Col. United States Army", JOURNAL OF THE FRANKLIN INSTITUTE, vol. 24, no. 4, 1 October 1837 (1837-10-01), pages 229-243, XP055210608, ISSN: 0016-0032, DOI: 10.1016/S0016-0032(37)91168-2 page 236 - page 241	1-29
Y	----- EP 1 840 097 A1 (CARMEUSE SA [BE]) 3 October 2007 (2007-10-03) paragraphs [0033] - [0051], [0058]	13,14, 24,25
E	----- WO 2016/037972 A1 (LHOIST RECH & DEV SA [BE]) 17 March 2016 (2016-03-17) cited in the application examples 1-13	1-14,17, 18,23,24
E	----- WO 2016/041643 A1 (LHOIST RECH & DEV SA [BE]) 24 March 2016 (2016-03-24) cited in the application examples 1-13	1-14,17, 18,23,24

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No

PCT/EP2016/054941

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)		Publication date
US 5275650	A 04-01-1994	NONE		
WO 02092701	A1 21-11-2002	CA 2444916 A1 EP 1390434 A1 HU 0304094 A2 PL 367000 A1 US 2004129175 A1 WO 02092701 A1		21-11-2002 25-02-2004 29-03-2004 07-02-2005 08-07-2004 21-11-2002
WO 2014064234	A1 01-05-2014	AR 094450 A1 AU 2013336643 A1 CA 2888430 A1 CL 2015001038 A1 CN 104755425 A EP 2911978 A1 FR 2997393 A1 JP 2016500640 A KR 20150087220 A PH 12015500863 A1 SG 11201503097R A TW 201431821 A UY 35096 A WO 2014064234 A1		05-08-2015 11-06-2015 01-05-2014 08-01-2016 01-07-2015 02-09-2015 02-05-2014 14-01-2016 29-07-2015 22-06-2015 29-06-2015 16-08-2014 31-03-2014 01-05-2014
FR 2995301	A1 14-03-2014	AR 092542 A1 AU 2013314300 A1 CA 2878133 A1 CL 2014003598 A1 CN 104603080 A EP 2895437 A1 FR 2995301 A1 PE 04472015 A1 TW 201418188 A US 2015183652 A1 UY 35025 A WO 2014041068 A1		22-04-2015 22-01-2015 20-03-2014 17-04-2015 06-05-2015 22-07-2015 14-03-2014 24-04-2015 16-05-2014 02-07-2015 31-03-2014 20-03-2014
US 2004175324	A1 09-09-2004	NONE		
US 3511600	A 12-05-1970	NONE		
EP 1840097	A1 03-10-2007	AT 443691 T CA 2647492 A1 EP 1840097 A1 EP 1999082 A1 US 2011000403 A1 WO 2007110401 A1		15-10-2009 04-10-2007 03-10-2007 10-12-2008 06-01-2011 04-10-2007
WO 2016037972	A1 17-03-2016	NONE		
WO 2016041643	A1 24-03-2016	NONE		