



- (51) **International Patent Classification:**
E21B 49/00 (2006.01) *G01V 1/50* (2006.01)
- (21) **International Application Number:**
PCT/US2013/054360
- (22) **International Filing Date:**
9 August 2013 (09.08.2013)
- (25) **Filing Language:** English
- (26) **Publication Language:** English
- (71) **Applicant:** LANDMARK GRAPHICS CORPORATION [US/US]; 2107 City West Boulevard, Building 2, Houston, Texas 77042 (US).
- (72) **Inventors:** SRIVASTAVA, Rae Mohan; 134 Hillingdon Avenue, Toronto, Ontario M4C 5S4 (CA). YARUS, Jeffrey Marc; 5234 N. Braeswood, Houston, Texas 77096 (US).
- (74) **Agent:** RAJ, Vinu; Haynes and Boone, LLP, 2323 Victory Avenue, Suite 700, Dallas, TX 75219 (US).
- (81) **Designated States** (*unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available*): AE, AG, AL, AM, AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BN, BR, BW, BY,

BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KN, KP, KR, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PA, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, QA, RO, RS, RU, RW, SA, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.

- (84) **Designated States** (*unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available*): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, RW, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, RU, TJ, TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, RS, SE, SI, SK, SM, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, KM, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

- with international search report (Art. 21(3))
- with amended claims (Art. 19(1))


(54) **Title:** REGRESSION RELATIONSHIP APPROACHES

FIG. 1

(57) **Abstract:** The disclosed embodiments include a method, apparatus, and computer program product for improving well production using a regression relationship approach. For example, one disclosed embodiment includes a system that includes at least one processor and at least one memory coupled to the at least one processor and storing instructions that when executed by the at least one processor performs operations for analyzing a first set of data from at least one previously drilled well to predict fracture orientation for determining a drilling angle to optimize drilling of a new well by increasing fracture density along the new wellbore.

TITLE OF THE INVENTION

REGRESSION RELATIONSHIP APPROACHES

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

5 1. Field of the Invention

[0001] The present invention generally relates to the field of hydrocarbons, and more particularly, to a system and method configured to improve well production using a regression relationship approach.

2. Discussion of the Related Art

10 [0002] There are many factors that affect well production. One such factor is the porosity and permeability of a reservoir rock. If the number of pores, size of pores, and the pores are interconnected such that fluids flow through them (i.e., the rock is permeable), then the rock is a potential petroleum reservoir. However, even if a reservoir rock has a low porosity value, the reservoir rock may still be an economically producing well if the reservoir is also
15 fractured. These fractures can be described as open cracks or voids within the formation and can either be naturally occurring or artificially generated from a wellbore. The fractures form conduits along which fluids such as gas, petroleum, and groundwater may migrate to the well.

[0003] The disclosed embodiments seeks to improve well production by providing, among other things, a system and method configured to determine a drilling angle of a wellbore that is
20 likely to produce an increase in a number of fractures that occur along the wellbore and thereby, increasing well production.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0004] Illustrative embodiments of the present invention are described in detail below with
25 reference to the attached drawing figures, which are incorporated by reference herein and wherein:

[0005] Figure 1 illustrates an example of a process for using regression analysis to determine fracture orientation of previously drilled wells in accordance with the disclosed embodiments;

[0006] Figure 2 illustrates an example of a process for drilling a new well in accordance with
30 the disclosed embodiments;

[0007] Figure 3 is a graph that plots an angle of wellbore to fracture density in accordance with the disclosed embodiments; and

[0008] Figure 4 is a block diagram illustrating one embodiment of a system for implementing the disclosed embodiments.

5

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

[0009] The disclosed embodiments include a system and method for improving well production using a regression relationship approach. Generally, regression analysis uses data to identify relationships among variables and use these relationships to make predictions. The disclosed embodiment uses regression analysis to predict fracture orientation of a reservoir rock for determining a drilling angle for drilling a new well that yields a high fracture density. An objective of the disclosed embodiments is to better orient horizontal wellbore in which fracturing of the reservoir is intended because successful fracturing is based, in part, on the orientation of the fracture relative to the wellbore.

[0010] The disclosed embodiments and advantages thereof are best understood by referring to Figures 1-4 of the drawings, like numerals being used for like and corresponding parts of the various drawings. Other features and advantages of the disclosed embodiments will be or will become apparent to one of ordinary skill in the art upon examination of the following figures and detailed description. It is intended that all such additional features and advantages be included within the scope of the disclosed embodiments. Further, the illustrated figures are only exemplary and are not intended to assert or imply any limitation with regard to the environment, architecture, design, or process in which different embodiments may be implemented.

[0011] Figure 1 illustrates an example of a process 100 for improving well production using a regression relationship approach for determining fracture orientation based on fracture density in accordance with the disclosed embodiments. The process at step 102 begins by receiving a set of data related to at least one previously drilled well for performing fracture orientation analysis. The set of data may include, among other things, well logs or image logs that image the outer circumference of the reservoir to identify the orientation of the fractures for the previously drilled well(s). The set of data may be stored in one or more databases. In one embodiment, the process may be configured to automatically query the one or more databases for the desired data. Alternatively, the process may be configured to receive the set of data through user input.

[0012] Based on the set of data from the at least one previously drilled well, the process, at step 104, performs analysis to identify an area having a high fracture density along the previously drilled horizontal wellbore. The process determines an angle of the wellbore at the identified high fracture density area at step 106. The process, at step 108, is configured to assume that the majority of fractures within the identified high fracture density area are relatively perpendicular to the angle of the wellbore at the identified high fracture density area. In one embodiment, the process may be configured to determine an average orientation of the fractures from the data set.

[0013] This information is then utilized by process 200, as illustrated in Figure 2, in drilling a new horizontal wellbore. The process 200 begins by receiving the information regarding the determined fracture orientation of the previously drilled wells. Again, the process may be configured to retrieve (i.e. query) one or more databases in which the data is stored and/or may be configured to receive the information through user input. In certain embodiments, the process may be configured to retrieve and/or receive the determined fracture orientation from only previously drilled wells located within the same region as the new well. For example, as will be further discussed, the fracture orientation may differ substantially between previously drilled wells in the Northeast region and a Southwest region of the United States.

[0014] Based on the received information, the process at step 204 is configured to assume that the fracture orientation of the new well coincides with the determined fracture orientation of the previously drilled wells and automatically sets/controls the angle of the wellbore for drilling the new well such that the angle of the wellbore is relatively perpendicular to the assumed/predicted fracture orientation of the reservoir.

[0015] Once drilling of the new wellbore is completed, the process at step 206 is configured to receive and analyze the well data such as, but not limited to, the image logs from drilling of the new well. Alternatively, in certain embodiments, the process may be configured to receive and perform the analysis of the well data from drilling of the new well in real time (i.e., while drilling of the new well is in progress). From this analysis, the process at step 208 determines the fracture densities (e.g., number of fractures per foot) along the new wellbore to determine the accuracy of the previously determined/predicted fracture orientation. For instance, if the process determines that there is a good correlation between fracture density and orientation of the wellbore, then the process determines that the previously determined fracture orientation is

accurate. Otherwise, the process determines that the previously determined fracture orientation is inaccurate.

[0016] As an example illustration, Figure 3 depicts a graph 300 that plots fracture density related to the drilling data associated with one or more wells in accordance with the disclosed
5 embodiments. In the depicted embodiment, the x-axis represents an angle, from 0° to 90°, between the orientation of the horizontal wellbore and the orientation of the fractures. On the y-axis, the graph 300 plots the number of fracture per foot encountered (i.e., fracture density). As depicted in graph 300, as the angle between the orientation on the horizontal wellbore and the orientation of the fracture approaches 90°, the fracture density of the wellbore increases.
10 Thus, in an ideal scenario, the process 200 would drill exactly perpendicular to the fractures as that encounters the most fractures in the rock reservoir.

[0017] As previously mentioned, the fracture density of wells in different regions or quadrants of a certain area may vary in fracture orientation. For example, graph 300 provides an exemplary illustration of the possible linear relationship between fracture density and angle of
15 wellbore to fracture orientation for a first region 310 and a second region 320. In one embodiment, the first region 310 corresponds to the Southwest region of the United States, whereas the second region 320 corresponds to the Northeast region of the United States. In this scenario, as shown in Figure 3, wells in the Southwest region of the United States has a higher fracture density than wells in the Northeast region of the United States.

[0018] Figure 4 is a block diagram illustrating one embodiment of a system 400 for
20 implementing the features and functions of the disclosed embodiments. The system 400 includes, among other components, a processor 400, main memory 402, secondary storage unit 404, an input/output interface module 406, and a communication interface module 408. The processor 400 may be any type or any number of single core or multi-core processors
25 capable of executing instructions for performing the features and functions of the disclosed embodiments.

[0019] The input/output interface module 406 enables the system 400 to receive user input (e.g., from a keyboard and mouse) and output information to one or more devices such as, but not limited to, printers, external data storage devices, and audio speakers. The system 400
30 may optionally include a separate display module 410 to enable information to be displayed on an integrated or external display device. For instance, the display module 410 may include

instructions or hardware (e.g., a graphics card or chip) for providing enhanced graphics, touchscreen, and/or multi-touch functionalities associated with one or more display devices.

[0020] Main memory 402 is volatile memory that stores currently executing instructions/data or instructions/data that are prefetched for execution. The secondary storage unit 404 is non-volatile memory for storing persistent data. The secondary storage unit 404 may be or include any type of data storage component such as a hard drive, a flash drive, or a memory card. In one embodiment, the secondary storage unit 404 stores the computer executable code/instructions and other relevant data for enabling a user to perform the features and functions of the disclosed embodiments.

[0021] For example, in accordance with the disclosed embodiments, the secondary storage unit 404 may permanently store the executable code/instructions of the above-described regression relationship approach algorithm 420. The instructions associated with the regression relationship approach algorithm 420 are then loaded from the secondary storage unit 404 to main memory 402 during execution by the processor 400 for performing the disclosed embodiments.

[0022] The communication interface module 408 enables the system 400 to communicate with the communications network 430. For example, the network interface module 408 may include a network interface card and/or a wireless transceiver for enabling the system 400 to send and receive data through the communications network 430 and/or directly with other devices.

[0023] The communications network 430 may be any type of network including a combination of one or more of the following networks: a wide area network, a local area network, one or more private networks, the Internet, a telephone network such as the public switched telephone network (PSTN), one or more cellular networks, and wireless data networks. The communications network 430 may include a plurality of network nodes (not depicted) such as routers, network access points/gateways, switches, DNS servers, proxy servers, and other network nodes for assisting in routing of data/communications between devices.

[0024] For example, in one embodiment, the system 400 may interact with one or more servers 434 or databases 432 for performing the features of the present invention. For instance, the system 400 may query the database 432 to identify the orientation of the fractures for the previously drilled well in accordance with the disclosed embodiments. Further, in

certain embodiments, the system 400 may act as a server system for one or more client devices or a peer system for peer to peer communications or parallel processing with one or more devices/computing systems (e.g., clusters, grids).

[0025] Thus, the disclosed embodiments provide a process for improving well production using a regression relationship approach. Advantages of the disclosed embodiments include, but are not limited to, providing a validation process on the prediction of fracture orientation of a well, and increasing production from a well, which increases profits.

[0026] While specific details about the above embodiments have been described, the above hardware and software descriptions are intended merely as example embodiments and are not intended to limit the structure or implementation of the disclosed embodiments. For instance, although many other internal components of the system 400 are not shown, those of ordinary skill in the art will appreciate that such components and their interconnection are well known.

[0027] In addition, certain aspects of the disclosed embodiments, as outlined above, may be embodied in software that is executed using one or more processing units/components. Program aspects of the technology may be thought of as “products” or “articles of manufacture” typically in the form of executable code and/or associated data that is carried on or embodied in a type of machine readable medium. Tangible non-transitory “storage” type media include any or all of the memory or other storage for the computers, processors or the like, or associated modules thereof, such as various semiconductor memories, tape drives, disk drives, optical or magnetic disks, and the like, which may provide storage at any time for the software programming.

[0028] Additionally, the flowchart and block diagrams in the figures illustrate the architecture, functionality, and operation of possible implementations of systems, methods and computer program products according to various embodiments of the present invention. It should also be noted that, in some alternative implementations, the functions noted in the block may occur out of the order noted in the figures. For example, two blocks shown in succession may, in fact, be executed substantially concurrently, or the blocks may sometimes be executed in the reverse order, depending upon the functionality involved. It will also be noted that each block of the block diagrams and/or flowchart illustration, and combinations of blocks in the block diagrams and/or flowchart illustration, can be implemented by special purpose hardware-based systems that perform the specified functions or acts, or combinations of special purpose hardware and computer instructions.

[0029] In summary, the disclosed embodiments include a method, apparatus, and computer program product for improving well production using a regression relationship approach. For example, one embodiment is a computer-implemented method that includes the step of analyzing a first set of data from at least one previously drilled well to predict fracture orientation for determining a drilling angle for drilling a new well. In one embodiment, the above step includes determining an area from the first set of data where a highest fracture density occurs along a horizontal wellbore, determining an angle of the wellbore at the determined area, and estimating a fracture orientation as being perpendicular to the determined angle of the wellbore at the determined area.

[0030] In certain embodiments, the computer-implemented method may further include the steps of receiving a second set of data from drilling of a new well. In accordance with the disclosed embodiments, the new well is drilled at a predicted perpendicular angle to the estimated fracture orientation determined from the first set of data associated with the at least one previously drilled wells. In some embodiments, the first set of data is restricted to only data associated with previously drilled wells that are in the same region as that of the new well.

[0031] In addition, in some embodiments, the computer-implemented method may further include determining whether the estimated fracture orientation is an accurate prediction of a fracture orientation of the new well. In performing this determination, in one embodiment, the method may compare the fracture density along the new wellbore to a predetermined fracture density threshold value indicative of an accurate prediction.

[0032] In another embodiment, a non-transitory computer readable medium comprising computer executable instructions for analyzing a first set of data from at least one previously drilled well to predict fracture orientation for determining a drilling angle to optimize drilling of a new well. The computer executable instructions when executed causes one or more machines to perform operations comprising receiving a set of data related to at least one previously drilled well for performing fracture orientation analysis and identifying an area having a high fracture density along the horizontal wellbore. The computer executable instructions further includes instructions for determining an angle of the wellbore at the identified area and estimating a fracture orientation as being perpendicular to the determined angle of the wellbore at the determined area.

[0033] In certain embodiments, the computer executable instructions also include instructions for retrieving or receiving the determined fracture orientation information and setting and/or controlling the drilling angle during drilling of new wellbore such that the new wellbore is substantially perpendicular to the predicted fracture orientation.

5 [0034] In one embodiment, the computer executable instructions may further include instructions to receive and/or retrieve data associated with drilling of the new wellbore and determine the accuracy of the estimated fracture orientation. In certain embodiments, the instructions may be executed in real time during the drilling process. Alternatively, and/or in addition to, the instructions may be executed post drilling of the new wellbore.

10 [0035] Another embodiment of the disclosed inventions is a system that includes at least one processor and at least one memory coupled to the at least one processor and storing instructions that when executed by the at least one processor performs operations for analyzing a first set of data from at least one previously drilled well to predict fracture orientation for determining a drilling angle to optimize drilling of a new well by increasing fracture density
15 along the new wellbore as described in above disclosure. For instance, in one embodiment, the operations includes the steps of receiving a set of data related to at least one previously drilled well for performing fracture orientation analysis, identifying area having a high fracture density along the horizontal wellbore, determining an angle of the wellbore at the identified area and estimating a fracture orientation as being perpendicular to the determined angle of the
20 wellbore at the determined area.

[0036] The above system embodiment may further include operations comprising, but not limited to, receiving a second set of data from drilling of a new well and determining the accuracy of the estimated fracture orientation.

[0037] As used herein, the singular forms "a", "an" and "the" are intended to include the plural
25 forms as well, unless the context clearly indicates otherwise. It will be further understood that the terms "comprise" and/or "comprising," when used in this specification and/or the claims, specify the presence of stated features, integers, steps, operations, elements, and/or components, but do not preclude the presence or addition of one or more other features, integers, steps, operations, elements, components, and/or groups thereof. The corresponding
30 structures, materials, acts, and equivalents of all means or step plus function elements in the claims below are intended to include any structure, material, or act for performing the function in combination with other claimed elements as specifically claimed. The description of the

present invention has been presented for purposes of illustration and description, but is not intended to be exhaustive or limited to the invention in the form disclosed. Many modifications and variations will be apparent to those of ordinary skill in the art without departing from the scope and spirit of the invention. The embodiment was chosen and
5 described to explain the principles of the invention and the practical application, and to enable others of ordinary skill in the art to understand the invention for various embodiments with various modifications as are suited to the particular use contemplated. The scope of the claims is intended to broadly cover the disclosed embodiments and any such modification.

CLAIMS

Claim 1. A computer-implemented method for improving well production, the method comprising analyzing, using a processor, a first set of data from at least one previously drilled well to predict fracture orientation for determining a drilling angle for drilling a new well.

5 Claim 2. The computer-implemented method of Claim 1, wherein analyzing the first set of data from the previously drilled well to predict fracture orientation for determining the drilling angle for drilling the new well comprises:

determining an area from the first set of data where a highest fracture density occurs along a horizontal wellbore,

10 determining an angle of the wellbore at the determined area; and

estimating a fracture orientation as being perpendicular to the determined angle of the wellbore at the determined area.

Claim 3. The computer-implemented method of Claim 2, further comprising:

15 receiving a second set of data from drilling of the new well, wherein drilling of the new well is performed at a predicted perpendicular angle to the estimated fracture orientation; and

determining whether the estimated fracture orientation is an accurate prediction of a fracture orientation of the new well.

20 Claim 4. The computer-implemented method of Claim 3, wherein the estimated fracture orientation is determined to be an accurate prediction of the fracture orientation of the new well in response to a determination that a fracture density along the new well is above a predetermined fracture density threshold.

Claim 5. The computer-implemented method of Claim 3, wherein the first set of data comprises data from a plurality of previously drilled wells.

25 Claim 6. The computer-implemented method of Claim 5, wherein the plurality of previously drilled wells are located in a same regional area as the new well.

Claim 7. The computer-implemented method of Claim 6, wherein the same regional area is a Northeast region of the United States.

Claim 8. The computer-implemented method of Claim 6, wherein the same regional area is a Southwest region of the United States.

Claim 9. A system, comprising:

at least one processor; and

5 at least one memory coupled to the at least one processor and storing computer executable instructions for improving well production, the computer executable instructions comprises instructions for analyzing a first set of data from at least one previously drilled well to predict fracture orientation for determining a drilling angle for drilling a new well.

10 Claim 10. The system of Claim 9, wherein the computer executable instructions for analyzing the first set of data from the previously drilled well to predict the fracture orientation for determining the drilling angle for drilling the new well comprises instructions for:

determining an area from the first set of data where a highest fracture density occurs along a horizontal wellbore;

15 determining an angle of the wellbore at the determined area; and
estimating a fracture orientation as being perpendicular to the determined angle of the wellbore at the determined area.

Claim 11. The system of Claim 10, further comprising computer executable instructions for:

20 receiving a second set of data from drilling of the new well, wherein drilling of the new well is performed at a predicted perpendicular angle to the estimated fracture orientation; and
determining whether the estimated fracture orientation is an accurate prediction of a fracture orientation of the new well.

25 Claim 12. The system of Claim 11, wherein the estimated fracture orientation is determined to be an accurate prediction of the fracture orientation of the new well in response to a determination that a fracture density along the new well is above a predetermined fracture density threshold.

Claim 13. The system of Claim 12, wherein the first set of data comprises data from a plurality of previously drilled wells.

Claim 14. The system of Claim 13, wherein the plurality of previously drilled wells are located in a same regional area as the new well.

Claim 15. A non-transitory computer readable medium comprising computer executable instructions for improving well production using regression analysis, the computer executable instructions when executed causes one or more machines to perform operations comprising
5 analyzing a first set of data from at least one previously drilled well to predict fracture orientation for determining a drilling angle for drilling a new well.

Claim 16. The non-transitory computer readable medium of Claim 15, wherein analyzing the first set of data from the previously drilled well to predict the fracture orientation for
10 determining the drilling angle for drilling the new well comprises:

determining an area from the first set of data where a highest fracture density occurs along a horizontal wellbore;
determining an angle of the wellbore at the determined area; and
estimating a fracture orientation as being perpendicular to the determined angle of
15 the wellbore at the determined area.

Claim 17. The non-transitory computer readable medium of Claim 16, further comprising computer executable instructions for:

receiving a second set of data from drilling of the new well, wherein drilling of the new well is performed at a predicted perpendicular angle to the estimated
20 fracture orientation; and
determining whether the estimated fracture orientation is an accurate prediction of a fracture orientation of the new well.

Claim 18. The non-transitory computer readable medium of Claim 17, wherein the estimated fracture orientation is determined to be an accurate prediction of the fracture orientation of the
25 new well in response to a determination that a fracture density along the new well is above a predetermined fracture density threshold.

Claim 19. The non-transitory computer readable medium of Claim 17, wherein the first set of data comprises data from a plurality of previously drilled wells.

Claim 20. The non-transitory computer readable medium of Claim 19, wherein the plurality of previously drilled wells are located in a same regional area as the new well.

AMENDED CLAIMS

received by the International Bureau on 10 December 2014 (10.12.2014)

Claim 1. A computer-implemented method for improving well production, the method comprising:

- analyzing, using a processor, a first set of data from at least one previously drilled horizontal wellbore;
- identifying an area having a relatively high fracture density along the previously drilled horizontal wellbore, based on the analysis of the first set of data;
- determining an angle of the previously drilled horizontal wellbore at the identified area; and
- estimating a fracture orientation relative to the determined angle of the previously drilled horizontal wellbore at the identified area; and
- determining a drilling angle for a new horizontal wellbore to be drilled based on the estimated fracture orientation at the identified area of the previously drilled horizontal wellbore.

Claim 2. The computer-implemented method of Claim 1, wherein the estimated fracture orientation is perpendicular to the determined angle of the previously drilled horizontal wellbore at the identified area.

Claim 3. The computer-implemented method of Claim 2, further comprising:

- receiving a second set of data from drilling of the new horizontal wellbore, wherein drilling of the new horizontal wellbore is performed at a predicted perpendicular angle to the estimated fracture orientation; and
- determining whether the estimated fracture orientation is an accurate prediction of a fracture orientation of the new horizontal wellbore.

Claim 4. The computer-implemented method of Claim 3, wherein the estimated fracture orientation is determined to be an accurate prediction of the fracture orientation of the new horizontal wellbore in response to a determination that a fracture density along the new horizontal wellbore is above a predetermined fracture density threshold.

Claim 5. The computer-implemented method of Claim 3, wherein the first set of data comprises data from a plurality of previously drilled wells.

Claim 6. The computer-implemented method of Claim 5, wherein the plurality of previously drilled wells are located in a same regional area as the new horizontal wellbore.

Claim 7. The computer-implemented method of Claim 6, wherein the same regional area is a Northeast region of the United States.

Claim 8. The computer-implemented method of Claim 6, wherein the same regional area is a Southwest region of the United States.

Claim 9. A system, comprising:

- at least one processor; and
- at least one memory coupled to the at least one processor and storing computer executable instructions for improving well production, which when executed by the processor cause the processor to perform operations for:
 - analyzing a first set of data from at least one previously drilled horizontal wellbore;
 - identifying an area having a relatively high fracture density along the previously drilled horizontal wellbore, based on the analysis of the first set of data;
 - determining an angle of the previously drilled horizontal wellbore at the identified area; and
 - estimating a fracture orientation relative to the determined angle of the previously drilled horizontal wellbore at the identified area; and
 - determining a drilling angle for a new horizontal wellbore to be drilled based on the estimated fracture orientation at the identified area of the previously drilled horizontal wellbore.

Claim 10. The system of Claim 9, wherein the estimated fracture orientation is perpendicular to the determined angle of the previously drilled horizontal wellbore at the identified area.

Claim 11. The system of Claim 10, wherein the operations performed by the processor further comprise operations for:

- receiving a second set of data from drilling of the new horizontal wellbore, wherein drilling of the new horizontal wellbore is performed at a predicted perpendicular angle to the estimated fracture orientation; and
- determining whether the estimated fracture orientation is an accurate prediction of a fracture orientation of the new horizontal wellbore.

Claim 12. The system of Claim 11, wherein the estimated fracture orientation is determined to be an accurate prediction of the fracture orientation of the new horizontal wellbore in response to a determination that a fracture density along the new horizontal wellbore is above a predetermined fracture density threshold.

Claim 13. The system of Claim 12, wherein the first set of data comprises data from a plurality of previously drilled wells.

Claim 14. The system of Claim 13, wherein the plurality of previously drilled wells are located in a same regional area as the new horizontal wellbore.

Claim 15. A non-transitory computer readable medium comprising computer executable instructions for improving well production using regression analysis, the computer executable instructions when executed causes one or more processors to perform operations comprising:

- analyzing a first set of data from at least one previously drilled horizontal wellbore;
- identifying an area having a relatively high fracture density along the previously drilled horizontal wellbore, based on the analysis of the first set of data;
- determining an angle of the previously drilled horizontal wellbore at the identified area; and
- estimating a fracture orientation relative to the determined angle of the previously drilled horizontal wellbore at the identified area; and
- determining a drilling angle for a new horizontal wellbore to be drilled based on the estimated fracture orientation at the identified area of the previously drilled horizontal wellbore.

Claim 16. The non-transitory computer readable medium of Claim 15, wherein the estimated fracture orientation is perpendicular to the determined angle of the previously drilled horizontal wellbore at the identified area.

Claim 17. The non-transitory computer readable medium of Claim 16, wherein the operations performed by the one or more processors further comprise operations for:

- receiving a second set of data from drilling of the new horizontal wellbore, wherein drilling of the new horizontal wellbore is performed at a predicted perpendicular angle to the estimated fracture orientation; and

determining whether the estimated fracture orientation is an accurate prediction of a fracture orientation of the new horizontal wellbore.

Claim 18. The non-transitory computer readable medium of Claim 17, wherein the estimated fracture orientation is determined to be an accurate prediction of the fracture orientation of the new horizontal wellbore in response to a determination that a fracture density along the new horizontal wellbore is above a predetermined fracture density threshold.

Claim 19. The non-transitory computer readable medium of Claim 17, wherein the first set of data comprises data from a plurality of previously drilled wells.

Claim 20. The non-transitory computer readable medium of Claim 19, wherein the plurality of previously drilled wells are located in a same regional area as the new horizontal wellbore.

1/3




FIG. 1





FIG. 2






FIG. 4

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/US2013/054360**A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER****E21B 49/00(2006.01)i, G01V 1/50(2006.01)i**

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

E21B 49/00; E21B 7/08; E21B 29/06; G01V 1/40; G01V 1/00; G01V 1/50

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Korean utility models and applications for utility models

Japanese utility models and applications for utility models

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

eKOMPASS(KIPO internal) & keywords: regression analysis, well production, fracture density, fracture orientation, drilling angle, processor, and memory

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 2009-0065198 A1 (SUAREZ-RIVERA, ROBERTO et al.) 12 March 2009 See paragraphs [0020], [0079]-[0082], [0085], [0107]-[0108] and figure 4.	1,9,15
A		2-8,10-14,16-20
A	US 4817061 A (ALFORD, RICHARD M. et al.) 28 March 1989 See column 11, line 3 - column 12, line 30 and figure 9.	1-20
A	US 2009-0065253 A1 (SUAREZ-RIVERA, ROBERTO et al.) 12 March 2009 See paragraphs [0095]-[0099] and figures 5-6.	1-20
A	US 2005-0256645 A1 (RABINOVICH, MICHAEL B. et al.) 17 November 2005 See abstract, paragraphs [0075]-[0076], and figure 12.	1-20
A	WO 2012-121707 A1 (LANDMARK GRAPHICS CORPORATION) 13 September 2012 See paragraphs [0030], [0035]-[0036], claim 1, and figure 2.	1-20



Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.



See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

09 May 2014 (09.05.2014)

Date of mailing of the international search report

09 May 2014 (09.05.2014)

Name and mailing address of the ISA/KR

International Application Division
Korean Intellectual Property Office
189 Cheongsa-ro, Seo-gu, Daejeon Metropolitan City, 302-701,
Republic of Korea

Facsimile No. +82-42-472-7140

Authorized officer

LEE, Jong Kyung

Telephone No. +82-42-481-3360



INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No.

PCT/US2013/054360

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 2009-0065198 A1	12/03/2009	CA 2698333 A1 CA 2698333 C US 8646526 B2 WO 2009-032924 A2 WO 2009-032924 A3	12/03/2009 26/02/2013 11/02/2014 12/03/2009 14/07/2011
US 4817061 A	28/03/1989	EP 0169076 A2	22/01/1986
US 2009-0065253 A1	12/03/2009	CA 2698335 A1 CA 2698335 C US 8347959 B2 WO 2009-032937 A2 WO 2009-032937 A3	12/03/2009 03/09/2013 08/01/2013 12/03/2009 30/04/2009
US 2005-0256645 A1	17/11/2005	US 7359800 B2	15/04/2008
WO 2012-121707 A1	13/09/2012	AU 2011-361739 A1 CA 2828717 A1 CN 103492659 A EP 2665883 A1 US 2013-0270009 A1	05/09/2013 13/09/2012 01/01/2014 27/11/2013 17/10/2013