



(43) International Publication Date
5 December 2013 (05.12.2013)

(51) International Patent Classification:
G01N 25/00 (2006.01)

(21) International Application Number:
PCT/CA2013/050380

(22) International Filing Date:
17 May 2013 (17.05.2013)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:
61/653,553 31 May 2012 (31.05.2012) US

(71) Applicant: UNIVERSITÉ LAVAL [CA/CA]; Vice-rectorat à la recherche et à la création, Local 1434, 2320, rue des Bibliothèques, Québec, Québec G1V 0A6 (CA).

(72) Inventors: BEGIN-DROLET, André; 6104, rue de Vénus, Québec, Québec G3E 1L8 (CA). RUEL, Jean; 1765 Alice-Trépanier, Québec, Québec G2K 2J9 (CA). LEMAY, Jean; 6740 7ème avenue est, Québec, Québec G1H 3X8 (CA).

(74) Agent: NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT CANADA LLP/S.E.N.C.R.L., S.R.L.; Complexe Jules-Dallaire/Tour Norton Rose, Suite 1500, 2828 boulevard Laurier, Québec, Québec G1V 0B9 (CA).


(81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM, AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BN, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KM, KN, KP, KR, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PA, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, QA, RO, RS, RU, RW, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, RW, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, RU, TJ, TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, RS, SE, SI, SK, SM, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

— with international search report (Art. 21(3))

(54) Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR DETERMINING AN ICING CONDITION STATUS OF AN ENVIRONMENT



(57) Abstract: The method includes receiving a value of a quantity of heat applied to at least a portion of a structure, said structure having a sensor surface exposed to the environment, receiving a temperature measurement of the sensor surface, receiving a wind speed measurement of the environment, receiving an ambient temperature measurement of the environment, determining a heat transfer projection of the sensor area using at least the wind speed measurement, the ambient temperature measurement, and one of the value of a quantity of heat and a target temperature of the sensor surface; comparing the heat transfer projection to an associated heat transfer value, and generating a signal indicating the icing condition status based on the comparison.

METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR DETERMINING AN ICING CONDITION STATUS OF AN ENVIRONMENT

FIELD

[0001] The improvements generally relate to the field of ice mitigation systems such as de-icing and anti-icing systems, and more particularly to intelligent control thereof to reduce energy consumption.

BACKGROUND

[0002] Some known ice mitigation systems are switched on or off manually, which requires human intervention. In other cases, when the icing condition status cannot readily be determined by human intervention, ice mitigation systems are left active more than actually required, or even sometimes permanently, which is a cause of energy waste. Energy waste is a concern in itself, and is particularly a concern in situations of limited energy resources, such as where the ice mitigation system is battery powered for instance.

[0003] There thus remained room for improvement.

SUMMARY

[0004] A system or method to automatically determine an icing condition status of an environment such as described below can be used in automating the control of an ice mitigation system, for instance, or for other purposes.

[0005] In accordance with one aspect, there is provided a method for determining an icing condition status of an environment, the method comprising : receiving a value of a quantity of heat applied to at least a portion of a structure, said structure having a sensor surface exposed to the environment, receiving a temperature measurement of the sensor surface, receiving a wind speed measurement of the environment, receiving an ambient temperature measurement of the environment, determining a temperature projection of the sensor area using the value of the quantity of heat applied, the wind speed measurement, and the ambient temperature measurement, comparing the temperature projection to the temperature measurement of the sensor surface, and generating a signal indicating the icing condition status based on the comparison.

- 2 -

[0006] In accordance with another aspect, there is provided an apparatus for determining an icing condition status of an environment, the sensor comprising : a structure having a sensor surface exposed to the environment, a heater positioned to apply a quantity of heat to at least a portion of the structure, a temperature sensor positioned to obtain a temperature measurement of the sensor surface, a controller to receive a wind speed measurement of the environment and an ambient temperature measurement of the environment, a function to determine a heat transfer projection of the sensor area using at least the wind speed measurement, the ambient temperature measurement, and one of the value of a quantity of heat and a target temperature of the sensor surface and a function to compare the heat transfer projection to an associated heat transfer value.

[0007] In accordance with another aspect, there is provided a method for determining an icing condition status of an environment, the method comprising : receiving a value of a quantity of heat applied to at least a portion of a structure, said structure having a sensor surface exposed to the environment, receiving a temperature measurement of the sensor surface, receiving a wind speed measurement of the environment, receiving an ambient temperature measurement of the environment, determining a heat transfer projection of the sensor area using at least the wind speed measurement, the ambient temperature measurement, and one of the value of a quantity of heat and a target temperature of the sensor surface; comparing the heat transfer projection to an associated heat transfer value, and generating a signal indicating the icing condition status based on the comparison.

[0008] As demonstrated below, the temperature projection can be computed based on the laws of thermodynamics and other measured or predictable parameters. The temperature projection can be compared with the corresponding measured temperature and the likelihood of icing can then be evaluated. If used as an input of or as part of a controller in an ice mitigation system for an anemometer or a windmill, for instance, this method can reduce significantly the amount of energy needed. Moreover, if icing is likely to occur, different actions can be taken such generating a signal indicative of the likelihood of icing. Such a signal can be recorded by a data recording device such as a data logger, for instance.

[0009] When icing is likely to occur, actions can be triggered such as activating an ice mitigation system, activating a bearing heating system, storing data in a data recording

- 3 -

device such as a data logger, transmitting the signal to a remote location, etc. Henceforth, information on weather conditions with potential risk of icing can be provided and used as desired.

5 [0010] Many further features and combinations thereof concerning the present improvements will appear to those skilled in the art following a reading of the instant disclosure.

DESCRIPTION OF THE FIGURES

[0011] In the figures,

10 [0012] Fig. 1 is a schematic elevation view showing an anemometer including an apparatus for determining an icing condition status of an environment, in accordance with one embodiment.

[0013] Fig. 2 is a bloc diagram of main components of the apparatus of Fig. 1;

[0014] Fig. 3 is a bloc diagram showing an alternative to the apparatus of Fig. 1;

[0015] Fig. 4 is a bloc diagram showing another alternative of the apparatus of Fig. 1;

15 [0016] Fig. 5 is an elevation view showing a variant to the apparatus of Fig. 1;

[0017] Fig. 6 is an elevation view showing another variant to the apparatus of Fig. 1; and

[0018] Fig. 7 is an elevation view showing yet another variant to the apparatus of Fig. 1.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

20 [0019] In an embodiment shown in Fig. 1, an anemometer of the rotary cup type is shown. The anemometer generally has a rotor having a plurality of rotary cups circumferentially interspaced around an axis and rotatably mounted to a base via an elongated shaft. The configuration of the shaft is intended to provide a small disturbance to air flow.

[0020] In this embodiment, the shaft forms a structure to which heat is applied and of which an sensor area is exposed to the environment. In this particular embodiment, the shaft

- 4 -

is hollow, having a cylindrical wall, and a coiled electrical wire forming a resistor 1 is provided inside the cylindrical wall, placed in contact with an inner face of the cylindrical wall – the outer face being exposed to the environment. Heat is applied to the cylindrical wall by the Joule effect, when a measurable electrical current is circulated through the resistor. The cylindrical wall can be formed of a high electrical conductivity material, such as a metal for instance, to favour uniformity of the temperature of the cylindrical wall. The quantity of heat applied to the cylindrical wall, or heat transfer rate q_{meas} , can be determined, by measuring the voltage drop and the current flowing into the resistor and multiplying these two values together, for instance. The heated portion can extend to rotor bearings, for instance, to keep them warm and maintain the predictability of the instrument's calibration curve which is likely to be affected by temperature variations, such as from increased friction which can result from temperature decrease.

[0021] The temperature of the sensor surface of the cylindrical wall, which is exposed to the environment can be measured with one or more temperature sensor(s), and will be referred to as T_{s_meas} . In the embodiment illustrated in Fig. 1, thermistors 2 were selected as temperature sensors. If the cylindrical wall is highly conductive, positioning the thermistors against the internal surface of the cylindrical wall, or in apertures provided inside the cylindrical walls for instance, can allow to measure the temperature of the sensor area since the temperature of the outer wall will be very close to the temperature of the inner wall. Alternately, the sensor area temperature T_{s_meas} can be measured by an external device, such as an infrared sensor 6 for instance. It will be understood that in alternate embodiments, the sensor area can be located on another structure portion, examples of which can include the cups, a portion of the base, or even a portion which does not form part of the anemometer itself as will be detailed below. Further, as will be seen below, more than one sensor area can be used. The ambient temperature of the environment will be referred to as T_{∞} , and can be measured by any suitable temperature sensor, such as a thermistor 13 for instance. The wind speed of the environment, which will be referred to as U_{meas} , can be obtained from the anemometer itself in this embodiment. A controller 5 which can include a microprocessor and can be provided separately from the anemometer or conveniently embedded in the base thereof, for instance, can receive signals representative of U_{meas} , T_{meas} , T_{∞} , q_{meas} , etc.

- 5 -

[0022] The conditions of the environment will affect the surface temperature T_{s_meas} of the sensor area. For instance, if the ambient temperature T_{∞} decreases, while every other parameter remains constant, the surface temperature will decrease as well. In the same way, if the wind speed U_{meas} increases, the surface temperature T_{s_meas} will decrease since the convection coefficient will increase and more heat will be removed from the heated surface. If the heat transfer rate q is increased, while all other parameters remain constant, the surface temperature T_{s_meas} will increase. Given necessary obedience to the laws of physics and given apparatus features, a relationship can be established between the surface temperature T_{s_meas} , and the outside conditions, namely the measured wind speed U_{meas} , the ambient temperature T_{∞} and the measured heat transfer rate q_{meas} , which can allow to determine a temperature projection of the sensor area. Environment conditions such as precipitation or icing for instance, can cause the measured temperature of the sensor area T_{s_meas} to differ from the temperature projection. Henceforth, comparing the temperature projection to the measured temperature of the sensor area, which can be done by the controller for instance, can allow to determine an icing condition status. An associated signal can then be generated, such as by the controller for instance. The signal can be in any suitable form such as frequency-based, voltage-based, and/or current-based, for instance.

[0023] In one embodiment, the temperature of the sensor area is controlled in order to maintain it constant independently of external conditions. Henceforth, a target temperature can be set.

[0024] The theoretical heat transfer rate required q_{theo} to keep the surface at a given temperature T_{s_meas} can be expressed as equation 1.

$$[0025] \quad q_{theo} = f(T_{\infty}, U_{meas}, T_{s_meas}) \quad \text{eq. 1}$$

[0026] If precipitations are occurring, the heat transfer rate theoretically required q_{theo} will be lower than the heat transfer rate actually required because water will contribute to extract more heat from the sensor surface. The control of the heat transfer rate can be done by the controller for instance, to ensure that the surface temperature remains constant at a given value by adjusting the heat transfer rate q_{meas} of the heating element. A difference, which can be referred to as an error, can be obtained by comparing the measured heat transfer rate

- 6 -

q_{meas} to the heat transfer rate theoretically required q_{theo} to maintain the surface at a given temperature T_{s_meas} under given meteorological conditions U_{meas} and T_{∞} . It will be understood by those skilled in the art that this is equivalent to and indirectly involves comparing a temperature projection to the measured temperature of the sensor area, because the actual measured heat transfer rate q_{meas} is obtained from a measure of the temperature of the sensor area. The reference value (equation 1), the heat transfer rate theoretically required q_{theo} , is obtained from a previous calibration and stored in the anemometer's controller 5. If the difference is greater than a given threshold, it can indicate that precipitations are occurring. If the ambient temperature T_{∞} is below the freezing point, it is likely that the precipitations would lead to icing, and a signal indicating icing condition status as a presence of icing or a quantitative indication of a likelihood of icing can then be generated.

[0027] The generation of the signal can trigger activation of an icing mitigation system, such as heating of the anemometer rotor and bearings, for instance, to prevent biased wind measurements, as well as any suitable alternate action such as transmitting data, or recording data in a data recording device such as a data logger for instance.

[0028] In such an embodiment, the theoretical heat transfer rate can be considered to be a heat transfer projection which is then compared with an associated heat transfer value, the actual measured quantity of heat value, to form a basis for the signal generation.

[0029] Fig. 2 presents an example control scheme, based on the heat transfer rate theoretically required q_{theo} and the measured heat transfer rate q_{meas} , used for the ice detection method to control the activation of the anemometer heating system and/or the heating of other equipment and/or triggering an ice mitigation system such as anti-icing or de-icing mechanisms installed on equipment and/or generating a signal indicative of the presence or likelihood of icing that can be recorded by a data recording device.

[0030] In another embodiment, the temperature projection T_{s_theo} can be theoretically modeled using the expression presented in equation 2.

[0031]
$$T_{s_theo} = f(T_{\infty}, U_{meas}, q_{meas}) \quad \text{eq. 2}$$

- 7 -

[0032] In this embodiment, the quantity of heat applied to the sensor area q_{meas} can be constant for instance, rather than being varied to maintain the temperature of the sensor area constant. If precipitations are occurring, the measured surface temperature T_{s_meas} will be lower than the temperature projection T_{s_theo} because water will contribute to extract additional heat from the heated zone. The temperature projection for the measured wind velocity U_{meas} and ambient temperature T_{∞} is obtained from a previous calibration and stored in the anemometer's controller 5 for instance. The temperature projection can be directly compared to the measured surface temperature to determine a difference, or error, therebetween. If the difference is greater than a given threshold, it can indicate that precipitations are occurring. If the ambient temperature T_{∞} is below the freezing point, it is likely that the precipitations would lead to icing, and a signal indicating icing condition status as a presence of icing or a quantitative indication of a likelihood of icing can then be generated.

[0033] In such an embodiment, the temperature projection can be considered to be a heat transfer projection which is then compared with an associated heat transfer value, the actual measured temperature of the sensor area, to form a basis for the signal generation.

[0034] Fig. 3 presents the control scheme, based on the surface temperature measured/modeled, used with the control probe and the thermal model to control the activation of the anemometer heating system and/or an ice mitigation system and/or generating a signal indicative of the likelihood of icing.

[0035] The total heat transfer rate from the sensor area can be express by equation 3, the usual convective heat transfer equation also known as Newton's law of cooling, where q is the heat transfer rate, $\bar{h}$ is the average convection coefficient, A_s is the area of the probe, T_s is the surface temperature and T_{∞} is the ambient temperature.

$$q = \bar{h} A_s (T_s - T_{\infty}) \quad \text{eq. 3}$$

[0037] The average convection coefficient can be approximated by a function, for example but not limited to, a second order polynomial equation, such as the one presented in equation 4, where coefficients a , b and c are obtained empirically through calibration. An

- 8 -

analytical expression or one obtained through numerical simulations or a look-up table could also be used to describe the average convection coefficient.

$$[0038] \quad \bar{h} = a \cdot U_{meas}^2 + b \cdot U_{meas} + c \quad \text{eq. 4}$$

[0039] In one embodiment, the heat transfer rate theoretically needed q_{theo} to keep the surface of a heated volume at a given temperature is obtained using equation 5, which is derived from equations 3 and 4. The heat transfer rate theoretically needed q_{theo} can be calculated according to, but not limited to, equation 5 or an equivalent expression.

$$[0040] \quad q_{theo} = (a \cdot U_{meas}^2 + b \cdot U_{meas} + c) A_s (T_{s_meas} - T_{\infty}) \quad \text{eq. 5}$$

[0041] The heat transfer rate q_{meas} is measured at any given time and compared with the heat transfer rate theoretically needed q_{theo} .

[0042] In another embodiment, the temperature projection T_{s_theo} can be calculated based on equation 6, which is derived from equations 3 and 4, and directly compared to the measured temperature of the sensor area.

$$[0043] \quad T_{s_theo} = \frac{q_{meas}}{(a \cdot U_{meas}^2 + b \cdot U_{meas} + c) A_s} + T_{\infty} \quad \text{eq. 6}$$

[0044] In still another embodiment, the surface area of the sensor surface to which the heat is being generated is modified so that the exposed surface area A_s can be changed. This embodiment requires to obtain a measurement of the surface area of the sensor area A_{s_meas} contrary to the embodiments described above where the surface area of the sensor area can be treated as a constant. This can be achieved by changing the surface area of a flexible polymer membrane for instance. The theoretical area needed A_{s_theo} is calculated according to the surface temperature T_{s_meas} , the measured heat transfer rate from the volume q_{meas} , the measured flow velocity U_{meas} and the ambient temperature T_{∞} , using equation 7, which is derived from equations 3 and 4. The theoretical needed area A_{s_theo} can be calculated according to, but not limited to, equation 7 or an equivalent expression.

[0045]
$$A_{s_theo} = \frac{q_{meas}}{(a \cdot U_{meas}^2 + b \cdot U_{meas} + c)(T_{s_meas} - T_{\infty})}$$
 eq. 7

[0046] The surface area of the heated zones A_{s_meas} is measured at any given time and compared with the theoretical area needed A_{s_theo} . If the theoretical area A_{s_theo} is larger than that of the measured area A_{s_meas} , it is a sign of precipitations.

5 [0047] In such an embodiment, the surface area required can be considered to be a heat transfer projection which is then compared with an associated heat transfer value - the actual measured surface area of the sensor area, to form a basis for the signal generation.

[0048] Fig. 4 presents the control scheme, based on the surface area measured/modeled, used to generate a signal indicative of the likelihood of icing which can be used to control the
10 activation of the anemometer heating system and/or an ice mitigation system.

[0049] In the embodiment shown in Fig. 1, the anemometer is provided with an ice mitigation system which, in this embodiment, is provided in the form of a heating system for the rotor 4. The heating of the rotor is activated only when a risk of icing is detected while the sensor area can be permanently heated using any suitable strategy which can be based on
15 constant temperature control or a constant power control for instance. Using an intelligent heating strategy for the rotor can allow to minimize the amount of energy consumed by the instrument. In alternate embodiments, the ice mitigation system which can be intelligently controlled based on the signal indicative of icing conditions can be provided on other equipments such as wind turbine components or other ice-sensitive equipment for instance.

20 [0050] Fig. 5 shows another embodiment where the sensor area is provided externally to the anemometer, but exposed to the same environment. Such a configuration can pose less stringent heating requirements for the bearings of the anemometer, and/or facilitate retrofitting with an existing anemometer. However, some measuring instruments such as sonic anemometers, do not have moving parts. The functioning of such an alternate embodiment
25 can be similar to that disclosed above in relation with the embodiment shown in Fig. 1.

[0051] An other alternate embodiment is shown in Fig. 6 in which case the sensor area 7 forms part of a windmill.

- 10 -

[0052] A still other alternate embodiment is shown in Fig. 7 which uses two sensor areas. A first sensor area 11 is provided as detailed above with reference to Fig. 1, whereas a second sensor area 12 is provided as part of a cylinder that is positioned adjacent the anemometer. The second sensor area can be kept below the freezing point and the first sensor area can be kept above the freezing point by independently controlling the quantity of heat provided, for instance. The sensor area kept below the freezing point can be used in quantifying the persistence of icing. The persistence of icing, also known as instrumental icing, is an important data since it indicates the total length of an icing event. The sensor area kept at a temperature above the freezing point provides information on the meteorological icing, i.e. the duration of the meteorological event. This data can be useful during wind resource assessment to justify or not the implementation of ice mitigation mechanisms for wind turbines (i.e. anti-icing and/or deicing) at a future given site. Moreover, the zone kept below the freezing point can allow differentiating between a snow event and an icing event. In the case of a snow event, snow will not stick to the surface and will simply make its way around the zone. During an icing event, the ice will grow on the zone affecting its thermal behaviour and icing will be detected. Alternate embodiments can have two sensor area provided in different form, such as both being external to the anemometer or both being part of the anemometer, for instance, or more than two sensor areas.

[0053] It will be understood that ice mitigation systems which can be triggered upon an indication of an icing condition status can be de-icing, anti-icing, can be battery powered, grid powered, can be vibratory, heat based, etc. Ice mitigation systems can be used on wind powered devices such as windmills and anemometers, but can also be used on other structures such as on ocean-based platforms, ships, buildings, etc.

[0054] As can be seen therefore, the examples described above and illustrated are intended to be exemplary only. The scope is indicated by the appended claims.

- 11 -

WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

1. A method for determining an icing condition status of an environment, the method comprising :

receiving a value of a quantity of heat applied to at least a portion of a structure,
said structure having a sensor surface exposed to the environment,

receiving a temperature measurement of the sensor surface,

receiving a wind speed measurement of the environment,

receiving an ambient temperature measurement of the environment,

determining a heat transfer projection of the sensor area using at least the wind
speed measurement, the ambient temperature measurement, and one of
the value of a quantity of heat and a target temperature of the sensor
surface;

comparing the heat transfer projection to an associated heat transfer value, and

generating a signal indicating the icing condition status based on the
comparison.

2. The method of claim 1 wherein the heat transfer projection is a quantity of heat
projection determined using the target temperature of the sensor surface, and the
associated heat transfer value is the value of a quantity of heat applied.

3. The method of claim 2 further comprising varying the value of a quantity of heat
based on said comparison.

4. The method of claim 1 wherein the heat transfer projection is a temperature
projection determined using value of a quantity of heat applied, and the associated
heat transfer value is the temperature measurement of the sensor surface.

- 12 -

5. The method of claim 1 wherein the icing condition status is one of a presence of icing, an absence of icing, and a quantitative indication of a likelihood of icing.
6. The method of claim 5 further comprising activating an ice mitigation system if the signal indicating the icing condition status indicates one of the presence of icing and the quantitative indication of a likelihood of icing exceeding a given threshold.
7. The method of claim 1 wherein the temperature measurement is done by a temperature sensor located in the structure.
8. The method of claim 1 wherein the determining the heat transfer projection includes obtaining the heat transfer projection from an empirically established table.
9. The method of claim 1 wherein the determining the heat transfer projection includes calculating the heat transfer projection.
10. An apparatus for determining an icing condition status of an environment, the sensor comprising :
 - a structure having a sensor surface exposed to the environment,
 - a resistor positioned to apply a quantity of heat to at least a portion of the structure,
 - a temperature sensor positioned to obtain a temperature measurement of the sensor surface,
 - a controller to receive a wind speed measurement of the environment and an ambient temperature measurement of the environment, a function to determine a heat transfer projection of the sensor area using at least the wind speed measurement, the ambient temperature measurement, and one of the value of a quantity of heat and a target temperature of the sensor surface and a function to compare the heat transfer projection to an associated heat transfer value.

- 13 -

11. The apparatus of claim 10 further comprising an ice mitigation system connected for activation based on the signal indicating the icing condition status.
12. The apparatus of claim 10 wherein the temperature sensor is located in the structure.




Fig-1




Fig-2




Fig-3




Fig-4

5/7




Fig- 5

6/7




Fig- 6




Fig-7

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/CA2013/050380

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC: <i>G01N 25/00</i> (2006.01) According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC																				
B. FIELDS SEARCHED Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) IPC: <i>G01N</i> (2006.01) (using keywords) Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Electronic database(s) consulted during the international search (name of database(s) and, where practicable, search terms used) Canadian Patent Database, Epoque, Esp@cenet, TotalPatent, United States Patent Database (USPTO), WEST. Keywords: ambient, controller, heat transfer, icing, resistor, sensor, signal, temperature, wind.																				
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Category*</th> <th>Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages</th> <th>Relevant to claim No.</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>A</td> <td>US 20050218268 (Otto et al.) 6 October 2005 (06-10-2005) - see entire document</td> <td>1-12</td> </tr> <tr> <td>A</td> <td>US 20100004863 (Ladow et al.) 7 January 2010 (07-01-2010) - see entire document</td> <td>1-12</td> </tr> <tr> <td>A</td> <td>CA1245721 (Tsang et al.) 29 November 1988 (29-11-1988) - see entire document</td> <td>1-12</td> </tr> <tr> <td>A</td> <td>CA2647682 (Goldstein) 5 October 2006 (05-10-2006) - see entire document</td> <td>1-12</td> </tr> <tr> <td>A</td> <td>CN102374137 (Changming et al.) 14 March 2012 (14-03-2012) - see entire document</td> <td>1-12</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.	A	US 20050218268 (Otto et al.) 6 October 2005 (06-10-2005) - see entire document	1-12	A	US 20100004863 (Ladow et al.) 7 January 2010 (07-01-2010) - see entire document	1-12	A	CA1245721 (Tsang et al.) 29 November 1988 (29-11-1988) - see entire document	1-12	A	CA2647682 (Goldstein) 5 October 2006 (05-10-2006) - see entire document	1-12	A	CN102374137 (Changming et al.) 14 March 2012 (14-03-2012) - see entire document	1-12
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.																		
A	US 20050218268 (Otto et al.) 6 October 2005 (06-10-2005) - see entire document	1-12																		
A	US 20100004863 (Ladow et al.) 7 January 2010 (07-01-2010) - see entire document	1-12																		
A	CA1245721 (Tsang et al.) 29 November 1988 (29-11-1988) - see entire document	1-12																		
A	CA2647682 (Goldstein) 5 October 2006 (05-10-2006) - see entire document	1-12																		
A	CN102374137 (Changming et al.) 14 March 2012 (14-03-2012) - see entire document	1-12																		
☐ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. ☒ See patent family annex.																				
<table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td>* Special categories of cited documents :</td> <td>"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention</td> </tr> <tr> <td>"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance</td> <td>"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone</td> </tr> <tr> <td>"E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date</td> <td>"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art</td> </tr> <tr> <td>"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)</td> <td>"&" document member of the same patent family</td> </tr> <tr> <td>"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			* Special categories of cited documents :	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention	"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone	"E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date	"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art	"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	"&" document member of the same patent family	"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means		"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed							
* Special categories of cited documents :	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention																			
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone																			
"E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date	"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art																			
"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	"&" document member of the same patent family																			
"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means																				
"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed																				
Date of the actual completion of the international search 12 September 2013 (12-09-2013)		Date of mailing of the international search report 12 September 2013 (12-09-2013)																		
Name and mailing address of the ISA/CA Canadian Intellectual Property Office Place du Portage I, C114 - 1st Floor, Box PCT 50 Victoria Street Gatineau, Quebec K1A 0C9 Facsimile No.: 001-819-953-2476		Authorized officer Tung Nguyen (819) 956-3859																		

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT
Information on patent family members

International application No.
PCT/CA2013/050380

Patent Document Cited in Search Report	Publication Date	Patent Family Member(s)	Publication Date
US2005218268A1	06 October 2005 (06-10-2005)	CA2498980A1 FR2868393A1 US7104502B2	30 September 2005 (30-09-2005) 07 October 2005 (07-10-2005) 12 September 2006 (12-09-2006)
US2010004863A1	07 January 2010 (07-01-2010)	CA2670885A1 EP2141516A2 US2010004862A1	01 January 2010 (01-01-2010) 06 January 2010 (06-01-2010) 07 January 2010 (07-01-2010)
CA1245721A1	29 November 1988 (29-11-1988)	None	
CA2647682A1	05 October 2006 (05-10-2006)	CA2647682A1 CA2647682C EP1872089A1 EP1872089A4 US2006218945A1 US7296425B2 US2008029245A1 US7765814B2 US2010293972A1 US8322152B2 WO2006102743A1	05 October 2006 (05-10-2006) 18 June 2013 (18-06-2013) 02 January 2008 (02-01-2008) 29 June 2011 (29-06-2011) 05 October 2006 (05-10-2006) 20 November 2007 (20-11-2007) 07 February 2008 (07-02-2008) 03 August 2010 (03-08-2010) 25 November 2010 (25-11-2010) 04 December 2012 (04-12-2012) 05 October 2006 (05-10-2006)
CN102374137A	14 March 2012 (14-03-2012)	CN102374137A CN102374137B	14 March 2012 (14-03-2012) 03 July 2013 (03-07-2013)