




(86) Date de dépôt PCT/PCT Filing Date: 2002/05/31
(87) Date publication PCT/PCT Publication Date: 2002/12/05
(85) Entrée phase nationale/National Entry: 2003/12/08
(86) N° demande PCT/PCT Application No.: NL 2002/000355
(87) N° publication PCT/PCT Publication No.: 2002/097103
(30) Priorités/Priorities: 2001/05/31 (01202056.6) EP;
2001/12/31 (01205186.8) EP

(51) Cl.Int.⁷/Int.Cl.⁷ C12N 15/82
(71) Demandeur/Applicant:
SYNGENTA LIMITED, GB
(72) Inventeurs/Inventors:
BADE, JACOB BERNARDUS, NL;
CUSTERS, JEROME HUBERTINA HENRICUS VICTOR,
NL
(74) Agent: AIRD & BERLIS LLP

(54) Titre : NOUVEAU PROMOTEUR VEGETAL CONSTITUTIF
(54) Title: CONSTITUTIVE PLANT PROMOTOR



(57) **Abrégé/Abstract:**

The present invention provides a constitutive promoter obtainable from *Brassica napus* plants. According to the present invention there is provided a DNA fragment harbouring a constitutive promoter, said DNA fragment being present in clone pJB1178-29, deposited with the Centraal Bureau of Schimmelcultures (Baarn, the Netherlands) on 6 February 2001 under no. CBS 109272. The DNA fragment according to the present invention is further characterised in that it comprises whole or parts of the sequence represented by SEQ ID NO: 7. Further, the invention comprises the homologue sequence in *Arabidopsis thaliana* as depicted in SEQ ID NO:8.

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
5 December 2002 (05.12.2002)


PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 02/097103 A3


- (51) International Patent Classification⁷: **C12N 15/82**
- (21) International Application Number: PCT/NL02/00355
- (22) International Filing Date: 31 May 2002 (31.05.2002)
- (25) Filing Language: English
- (26) Publication Language: English
- (30) Priority Data:
01202056.6 31 May 2001 (31.05.2001) EP
01205186.8 31 December 2001 (31.12.2001) EP
- (71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): **SYNGENTA MOGEN B.V.** [NL/NL]; Einsteinweg 97, NL-233 CB Leiden (NL).
- (72) Inventors; and
- (75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): **BADE, Jacob, Bernardus** [NL/NL]; Buys Ballotstraat 60, NL-2313 TZ Leiden (NL). **CUSTERS, Jérôme, Hubertina, Henricus, Victor** [NL/NL]; Zaalbergstraat 24, NL-2405 XB Alphen a/d Rijn (NL).
- (74) Agent: **PRINS, A.W.**; c/o Vereenigde, Nieuwe Parklaan 97, NL-2587 BN The Hague (NL).
- (81) Designated States (*national*): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT (utility model), AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ (utility model), CZ, DE (utility model), DE, DK (utility model), DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE (utility model), EE, ES, FI (utility model), FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, OM, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK (utility model), SK, SL, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.
- (84) Designated States (*regional*): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

[Continued on next page]

(54) Title: CONSTITUTIVE PLANT PROMOTOR



(57) Abstract: The present invention provides a constitutive promoter obtainable from *Brassica napus* plants. According to the present invention there is provided aDNA fragment harbouring a constitutive promoter, said DNA fragment being present in clone pJB1178-29, deposited with the Centraal Bureau of Schimmelcultures (Baarn, the Netherlands) on 6 February 2001 under no. CBS 109272. The DNA fragment according to the present invention is further characterised in that it comprises whole or parts of the sequence represented by SEQ ID NO: 7. Further, the invention comprises the homologue sequence in *Arabidopsis thaliana* as depicted in SEQ ID NO:8.



WO 02/097103 A3

WO 02/097103 A3



Published:

- *with international search report*
- *before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of receipt of amendments*

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

(88) Date of publication of the international search report:

22 May 2003

NEW CONSTITUTIVE PLANT PROMOTER

Field of the Invention

5 The present invention relates to new plant promoters and more specifically to promoters that act constitutively.

Background

10 In the context of this disclosure, the term 'promoter' or 'promoter region' refers to a sequence of DNA, usually upstream (5') to the coding sequence of a structural gene, which controls the expression of the coding region by providing the recognition for RNA polymerase and/or other factors required for transcription to start at the correct site.

15 There are generally two types of promoters, inducible and constitutive promoters. An inducible promoter is a promoter that is capable of directly or indirectly activating transcription of one or more DNA sequences or genes in response to an inducer. In the absence of an inducer the DNA sequences or genes will not be transcribed. Typically, the protein factor, which binds specifically to an inducible promoter to activate transcription, is present in an inactivated form, which is then
20 directly or indirectly converted to the active form by the inducer. The inducer can be a chemical agent; a physiological stress caused by environmental conditions, or can be an endogenously generated compound in response to changes in the development of the plant.

25 Constitutive promoters direct the expression of the DNA sequence (gene), which they control, throughout the various parts of the plants and continuously throughout plant development. However, the term 'constitutive' as used herein does not necessarily indicate that a gene is expressed at the same level in all cell types, but that the gene is expressed in a wide range of cell types, although some variation in abundance is often observed.

30 One of the earliest and most important inventions in the field of plant protein expression is the use of (plant) viral and *Agrobacterium*-derived promoters that

provide a powerful and constitutive expression of heterologous genes in transgenic plants. Several of these promoters have been used very intensively in plant genetic research and are still the promoter of choice for rapid, simple and low-risk expression studies. The most famous are the 35S and 19S promoter from Cauliflower Mosaic Virus (CaMV), which was already found to be practical useful in 1984 (EP 0 131 623), and the promoters which can be found in the T-DNA of *Agrobacterium*, like the nopaline synthase (nos), mannopine synthase (mas) and octopine synthase (ocs) promoters (EP 0 122 791, EP 0 126 546, EP 0 145 338). A plant-derived promoter with similar characteristics is the ubiquitin promoter (EP 0 342 926).

However, all promoters described above, although they are commonly known as constitutive promoters, still show patterns of organ- or developmental-specific expression, and frequently the pattern of expression found with these promoters is not ideal for some applications. Also, it has been found that duplication of promoters to drive expression of two different genes can cause problems because of DNA-dependent silencing. This risk especially appears in gene-stacking approaches in which several genes need to be expressed simultaneously. Further, the virus or *Agrobacterium* derived promoters are less attractive from a regulatory point of view.

Therefore, still the need exist for new constitutively active promoters from plant origin.

It is therefore an aim of the present invention to provide a new plant-derived constitutive promoter.

It is a further aim of the present invention to provide fragments of DNA comprising the promoter according to the present invention.

It is a further aim of the present invention to provide transgenic plants (or parts and/or seeds thereof) comprising the promoter according to the present invention, including plants (or parts of plants) and seeds derived from said transgenic plants.

Summary of the Invention

The present invention provides constitutive promoters obtainable from *Brassica napus* plants.

According to the present invention there is provided a DNA fragment
5 harbouring a constitutive promoter, said DNA fragment being present in the EcoRI
fragment present in clone pJB1178-29 deposited with the Centraal Bureau of
Schimmelcultures (Baarn, the Netherlands) on 6 February 2001 under no CBS
109272.

The DNA fragment according to the present invention is further characterised in
10 that it comprises the nucleotide sequence represented by nucleotide 1 to 641 of SEQ
ID NO: 1. Further, the DNA fragment is characterised in that it comprises the
nucleotide sequence represented by SEQ ID NO:7

Also part of the invention is a DNA fragment, characterised in that it comprises
the nucleotide sequence represented by SEQ ID NO:8 or parts thereof, wherein said
15 fragment or parts thereof are capable of promoting constitutive expression of a DNA
sequence on reintroduction into a plant.

In more detail the invention provides for a DNA fragment capable of promoting
constitutive expression of a DNA sequence on reintroduction into a plant,
characterised in that it comprises a nucleotide sequence represented in SEQ ID NO:7
20 starting at the nucleotide selected from the group consisting of: nucleotide 4,
nucleotide 34, nucleotide 341, nucleotide 588, nucleotide 650, nucleotide 782,
nucleotide 825, nucleotide 937, nucleotide 1246, nucleotide 1556, nucleotide 1780,
nucleotide 1849, nucleotide 1912, nucleotide 1960, nucleotide 2044, nucleotide 2243,
nucleotide 2408 and nucleotide 2638; and ending at the nucleotide selected from the
25 group consisting of: nucleotide 341, nucleotide 588, nucleotide 650, nucleotide 782,
nucleotide 825, nucleotide 937, nucleotide 1246, nucleotide 1556, nucleotide 1780,
nucleotide 1849, nucleotide 1912, nucleotide 1960, nucleotide 2044, nucleotide 2243,
nucleotide 2408, nucleotide 2638 and nucleotide 2654.

30 The present invention further includes a chimeric DNA sequence comprising, in
the direction of transcription, at least one DNA fragment as hereinbefore described
and at least one DNA sequence to be expressed under the transcriptional control of

said DNA fragment, wherein the DNA sequence to be expressed is not naturally under the transcriptional control of the DNA fragment.

The present invention further provides replicons comprising the
5 abovementioned chimeric DNA sequences.

Also included in the present invention are microorganisms containing such a replicon, specifically pJB1178-29, plant cells having incorporated into their genome, a chimeric DNA sequence as described above and plants essentially consisting of said cells. Such a plant may be a dicotyledonous plant or a monocotyledonous plant. Also
10 parts of said plants selected from seeds, flowers, tubers, roots, leaves, fruits, pollen and wood, form part of the invention.

According to a further aspect of the present invention, there is provided use of a chimeric DNA sequence in the transformation of plants and use of a portion or variant of the DNA fragments according to the invention for making hybrid regulatory DNA
15 sequences.

Description of the Figures

20 The present invention will now be described with reference to the following Figures, which are by way of example.

Figure 1. Schematic overview of T-DNA structures in the constructs used for promoter tagging in *Brassica napus*. All binary vectors contain a 35S-hpt-nos cassette as in
25 pMOG22 (Goddijn *et al.*, 1993). Construct pMOG448 was used as selection control (+hygromycin, -kanamycin). Construct pMOG964 contains a gus::nptII fusion gene (Datla *et al.*, 1991) combined with a double enhanced 35S promoter, whereas tagging construct pMOG1178 has a promoterless version of the same coding region. The gus::nptII gene contains an intron in the gus part as described by Vancanneyt *et al.*
30 (1990). Spectinomycin resistance and ColE1 origin of replication are included as plasmid rescue features. The ampicillin gene is disrupted (Δ) to avoid resistance of *Agrobacterium* to carbenicillin. Restriction sites used for the Southern blot analysis

and plasmid rescue experiments are mapped (HindIII, EcoRI and BamHI). The waved line represents genomic plant DNA adjacent to the right border. LB left border, RB right border, p promoter, t transcriptional terminator sequence, hpt hygromycin phosphotransferase, als acetolactate synthase gene, gus-I GUS reporter gene plus
 5 intron, nptII neomycin phosphotransferase gene spec 3 kb fragment including spectinomycin resistance gene, Δ amp ampicillin resistance gene (non-functional), ColE1 ColE1 origin of replication.

10 Figure 2. GUS activity in leaf and root parts of transgenic *Brassica napus* line 1178-29

Figure 3. Restriction analysis of rescued plasmids. Eleven different fragments of
 15 genomic sequence upstream of the gus::nptII tagging region were isolated via plasmid rescue (see Fig 1). DNA was isolated from the bacterial cultures, digested with EcoRI or EcoRI+BamHI and separated over an agarose gel (sets 1-11). Positions of 1 kb markers (Gibco-BRL) are indicated. The genomic fragments isolated from the three single copy lines (1178-21, 1178-29 and 1178-43) were used for sequence analysis,
 20 construction of binary vectors and re-transformation to wildtype *Brassica napus*.

Figure 4. Comparison of nucleotide sequences upstream of the gus::nptII coding region in tagging construct pMOG1178 and three transgenic lines (1178-21, 1178-29 and 1178-43). T-DNA right border, restriction sites (HindIII and BamHI) and start
 25 codon (ATG) of gus::nptII are underlined. Approximately 600 base pairs of sequence was determined for each line (single strand) and analysed via BLASTN searching. Homology was found with three BAC clones of *Arabidopsis* and cDNA clones of *Arabidopsis* and a *B. napus*. Start of homologous sequence is indicated (dashed line).

30

Figure 5. GUS activity in young callus driven by new genomic sequences with promoter activity. Fragments upstream of the gus::nptII tagging gene (pMOG1178, Fig

1) integrated in the genome of *Brassica napus* were isolated via plasmid rescue, cloned in binary vector pMOG22 (35S-hpt-nos, Fig 1) and re-transformed to *Brassica napus* hypocotyl explants (Table 3). Histochemical XGluc staining (24 hours) was performed after 3 weeks of culture on hygromycin containing medium. A: very high
5 expression of 35S-gus::nptII control construct pMOG964 (Fig 1); B: good expression (pJBBIN1178-21); C: good expression (pJBBIN1178-29); D: moderate expression (pJBBIN1178-43).

Figure 6. Outline of the promoter and the sequence boxes containing promoter-
10 elements. For explanation of the boxes, see example 7.

Detailed Description of the Invention

The present invention primarily concerns promoters or regulatory sequences naturally
15 occurring in *Brassica napus* (oil seed rape). It has been found that genes under the regulatory control of these promoter or regulatory sequences are expressed in many tissues of the plant at different developmental stages, indicating constitutive expression. Specifically the promoter of the invention is the promoter driving the gus::nptII gene in the construct pJB1178-29, deposited with the Centraal Bureau of
20 Schimmelcultures (Baarn, the Netherlands) on 6 February 2001 under no. CBS 109272.

At first, approximately 600 base pairs of sequence was determined (single strand) (nucleotides 1-641 of SEQ ID NO:1) for pJB1178-29 and analysed via BLASTN searching. This revealed significant homology for with the *Arabidopsis*
25 clone BACF9D24 (3e-39) and the *Arabidopsis* cDNA clone 701549985 (3e-28). This cDNA is indicated as phenyl alanine tRNA synthetase protein like. Further, the complete sequence of the insert was determined (SEQ ID NO:7).

It is emphasized that the nucleotide sequence of the promoter of the invention
30 may be subject to variations without significantly affecting the functionality, i.e. the specificity of the promoter. One of the possibilities to change the promoter is to delete certain fragments of the promoter while maintaining the elements that are necessary

for the specificity. This can be accomplished by making several deletion mutants of the promoter, linking them up in a construct with a reporter gene (e.g. the gus gene or a gene coding for a fluorescent protein, like the GFP gene of Aequoria) and subsequently performing expression studies on plants transformed with said construct.

5 Accordingly, also fragments of the promoter sequences of the construct pJB1178-29 driving predominantly constitutive expression, are part of this invention.

Furthermore, also promoter sequences formed by small changes in the nucleotide sequence by substitution or addition of nucleotides of the promoter sequence of the construct pJB1178-29 are included in this invention. It is envisaged that also in other
10 species of plants homologous sequences can be found which have the same functionality as the sequence of the invention.

When comparing nucleic acid sequences for the purposes of determining the degree of homology or identity one can use programs such as BESTFIT and GAP (both from the Wisconsin Genetics Computer Group (GCG) software package)
15 BESTFIT, for example, compares two sequences and produces an optimal alignment of the most similar segments. GAP enables sequences to be aligned along their whole length and finds the optimal alignment by inserting spaces in either sequence as appropriate. Suitably, in the context of the present invention when discussing homology of nucleic acid sequences, the comparison is made by alignment of the
20 sequences along their whole length.

Preferably, sequences which have substantial homology have at least 50% sequence homology, desirably at least 70% sequence homology and more desirably at least 80%, 90% or at least 95% sequence homology, in increasing order of preference, with said sequences. In some cases the sequence homology may be 99% or above.

25 Desirably, the term "substantial identity" indicates that said sequence has a greater degree of identity with any of the sequences described herein than with prior art nucleic acid sequences.

30 The terms "regulatory sequence" or "regulatory region" and "promoter" are used interchangeably herein.

The present invention further provides chimeric DNA sequences comprising the DNA fragments of the present invention. The expression chimeric DNA sequence, as used herein, shall encompass any DNA sequence comprising DNA sequences not naturally found. For instance, chimeric DNA, as used herein, shall encompass DNA comprising the regulatory region which is inducible in a non-natural location of the plant genome, notwithstanding the fact that said plant genome normally contains a copy of said regulatory region in its natural chromosomal location. Similarly, said regulatory region may be incorporated into a part of the plant genome where it is not naturally found, or in a replicon or vector where it is not naturally found, such as a bacterial plasmid or a viral vector. The term "chimeric DNA", as used herein, shall not be limited to DNA molecules which are replicable in a host, but shall also encompass DNA capable of being ligated into a replicon, for instance by virtue of specific adaptor sequences, physically linked to the regulatory region according to the invention. The regulatory region may or may not be linked to its natural downstream open reading frame.

The open reading frame of the gene whose expression is driven by the regulatory regions of the invention may be derived from a genomic library. In this situation, it may contain one or more introns separating the exons making up the open reading frame that encodes a protein according to the invention. The open reading frame may also be encoded by one uninterrupted exon, or by a cDNA to the mRNA encoding a protein according to the invention. Chimeric DNA sequences according to the invention also comprise those in which one or more introns have been artificially removed or added. Each of these variants is embraced by the present invention.

In order to be capable of being expressed in a host cell, a regulatory region according to the invention will usually be provided with a transcriptional initiation region, which may be suitably derived from any gene capable of being expressed in the host cell of choice, as well as a translational initiation region for ribosome recognition and attachment. In eukaryotic cells, an expression cassette usually also comprises a transcriptional termination region located downstream of said open reading frame, allowing transcription to terminate and polyadenylation of the primary transcript to occur. Also, it is often the case that a signal sequence may be encoded, which is responsible for the targeting of the gene expression product to subcellular

compartments. The principles governing the expression of a chimeric DNA construct, in a chosen host cell, are commonly understood by those of ordinary skill in the art. Furthermore, the construction of expressible chimeric DNA constructs is now routine for any sort of host cell, be it prokaryotic or eukaryotic.

5 In order for the chimeric DNA sequence to be maintained in a host cell, it will usually be provided in the form of a replicon comprising said chimeric DNA sequence (according to the invention) linked to DNA, which is recognised and replicated by the chosen host cell. Accordingly, the selection of the replicon is determined largely by the host cell of choice. Such principles as govern the selection of suitable replicons
10 for a particular chosen host are well within the realm of the ordinary person skilled in the art.

A special type of replicon is one capable of transferring itself, or a part thereof, to another host cell, such as a plant cell, thereby co-transferring the open reading frame to the plant cell. Replicons with such capability are herein referred to as
15 vectors. An example of such vector is a Ti-plasmid vector which, when present in a suitable host, such as *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*, is capable of transferring part of itself, the so-called T-region, to a plant cell. Different types of Ti-plasmid vectors (*vide*: EP 0 116 718 B1) are now routinely being used to transfer chimeric DNA sequences into plant cells, or protoplasts, from which new plants may be generated
20 which stably incorporate said chimeric DNA in their genomes. Particularly preferred forms of Ti-plasmid vectors are the so-called binary vectors as claimed in (EP 0 120 516 B1 and US 4,940,838). Other suitable vectors, which may be used to introduce DNA according to the invention into a plant host, may be selected from the viral vectors, for example, non-integrative plant viral vectors, such as derivable from the
25 double stranded plant viruses (for example, CaMV) and single stranded viruses, gemini viruses and the like. The use of such vectors may be advantageous, particularly when it is difficult to stably transform the plant host. Such may be the case with woody species, especially trees and vines.

The expression "host cells incorporating a chimeric DNA sequence according to
30 the invention in their genome" shall encompass cells and multicellular organisms comprising or essentially consisting of such cells which stably incorporate said chimeric DNA into their genome thereby maintaining the chimeric DNA, and

preferably transmitting a copy of such chimeric DNA to progeny cells, be it through mitosis or meiosis. According to a preferred embodiment of the invention, plants are provided which essentially consist of cells which incorporate one or more copies of said chimeric DNA into their genome, and which are capable of transmitting a copy or
5 copies to their progeny, preferably in a Mendelian fashion. By virtue of the transcription and translation of the chimeric DNA of the invention in some or all of the plant's cells, those cells that comprise said regulatory region will respond to wounding and thus produce the protein encoded by the open reading frame which is under control of the regulatory region. In specific embodiments of the invention, this
10 protein will be an antipathogenic protein capable of conferring resistance to pathogen infections.

As is well known to those skilled in the art, regulatory regions of plant genes consist of distinct subregions with interesting properties in terms of gene expression. Examples of such subregions include enhancers and silencers of transcription. These
15 elements may work in a general (constitutive) way, or in a tissue-specific manner. Deletions may be made in the regulatory DNA sequences according to the invention, and the subfragments may be tested for expression patterns of the associated DNA. Various subfragments so obtained, or even combinations thereof, may be useful in methods or applications involving the expression of heterologous DNA in plants. The
20 use of DNA sequences according to the invention to identify functional subregions, and the subsequent use thereof to promote or suppress gene expression in plants is also encompassed by the present invention.

Furthermore, it is generally believed that use of a transcriptional terminator region enhances the reliability as well as the efficiency of transcription in plant cells.
25 Use of such a region is therefore preferred in the context of the present invention.

Although the application only contains examples in *Brassica* and potato, the application of the present invention is advantageously not limited to certain plant species. Any plant species may be transformed with chimeric DNA sequences
30 according to the invention.

Although some of the embodiments of the invention may not be practicable at present, for example, because some plant species are as yet recalcitrant to genetic

transformation, the practising of the invention in such plant species is merely a matter of time and not a matter of principle, because the amenability to genetic transformation as such is of no relevance to the underlying embodiment of the invention.

5 Transformation of plant species is now routine for an impressive number of plant species, including both the *Dicotyledoneae* as well as the *Monocotyledoneae*. In principle, any transformation method may be used to introduce chimeric DNA according to the invention into a suitable ancestor cell, as long as the cells are capable of being regenerated into whole plants. Methods may suitably be selected from the
10 calcium/polyethylene glycol method for protoplasts (Krens, F.A. *et al.*, Nature 296, 72-74, 1982; Negrutiu I. *et al.*, Plant Mol. Biol. 8, 363-373, 1987), electroporation of protoplasts (Shillito R.D. *et al.*, Bio/Technol. 3, 1099-1102, 1985), microinjection into plant material (Crossway A. *et al.*, Mol. Gen. Genet. 202, 179-185, 1986), DNA (or RNA-coated) particle bombardment of various plant material (Klein T.M. *et al.*,
15 Nature 327, 70, 1987), infection with (non-integrative) viruses and the like. A preferred method according to the invention comprises *Agrobacterium*-mediated DNA transfer. Especially preferred is the use of the so-called binary vector technology as disclosed in EP A 120 516 and U.S. Patent 4,940,838. A further preferred method for transformation is the floral dip method essentially as described by Clough and Bent
20 (1998) Plant J. 16: 735-743.

Tomato transformation is preferably essentially as described by Van Roekel *et al.* (Plant Cell Rep. 12, 644-647, 1993). Potato transformation is preferably essentially as described by Hoekema *et al.* (Hoekema, A. *et al.*, Bio/Technology 7, 273-278, 1989).

25 Generally, after transformation, plant cells or cell groupings are selected for the presence of one or more markers which are encoded by plant expressible genes co-transferred with the nucleic acid sequence encoding the protein according to the invention, after which the transformed material is regenerated into a whole plant.

Although considered somewhat more recalcitrant towards genetic
30 transformation, monocotyledonous plants are amenable to transformation and fertile transgenic plants can be regenerated from transformed cells or embryos, or other plant material. Presently, preferred methods for transformation of monocots are

microprojectile bombardment of embryos, explants or suspension cells, and direct DNA uptake or electroporation (Shimamoto *et al.*, Nature 338, 274-276, 1989). Transgenic maize plants have been obtained by introducing the *Streptomyces hygroscopicus bar*-gene, which encodes phosphinothricin acetyltransferase (an enzyme which inactivates the herbicide phosphinothricin), into embryogenic cells of a maize suspension culture by microprojectile bombardment (Gordon-Kamm, Plant Cell, 2, 603-618, 1990). The introduction of genetic material into aleurone protoplasts of other monocot crops such as wheat and barley has been reported (Lee, Plant Mol. Biol. 13, 21-30, 1989). Wheat plants have been regenerated from embryogenic suspension culture by selecting only the aged compact and nodular embryogenic callus tissues for the establishment of the embryogenic suspension cultures (Vasil, Bio/Technol. 8, 429-434, 1990). The combination with transformation systems for these crops enables the application of the present invention to monocots.

Monocotyledonous plants, including commercially important crops, such as rice and corn are also amenable to DNA transfer by *Agrobacterium* strains (*vide* WO 94/00977; EP 0 159 418 B1; Gould J, *et al.*, Plant. Physiol. 95, 426-434, 1991).

Following DNA transfer and regeneration, putatively transformed plants may be evaluated, for instance using Southern analysis to monitor the presence of the chimeric DNA according to the invention, copy number and/or genomic organization. Additionally or alternatively, expression levels of the newly introduced DNA may be undertaken, using Northern and/or Western analysis, techniques well known to persons having ordinary skill in the art.

Following such evaluations, the transformed plants may be grown directly, but usually they may be used as parental lines in the breeding of new varieties or in the creation of hybrids and the like.

To obtain transgenic plants capable of constitutively expressing more than one chimeric gene, a number of alternatives are available including the following:

A. The use of DNA, for example, a T-DNA on a binary plasmid, with a number of modified genes physically coupled to a selectable marker gene. The advantage of this method is that the chimeric genes are physically coupled and therefore migrate as a single Mendelian locus.

B. Cross-pollination of transgenic plants each already capable of expressing one or more chimeric genes, preferably coupled to a selectable marker gene, with pollen from a transgenic plant which contains one or more chimeric genes coupled to another selectable marker. The seed, obtained by this crossing, maybe selected on the basis of
5 the presence of the two selectable markers, or on the basis of the presence of the chimeric genes themselves. The plants obtained from the selected seeds can then be used for further crossing. In principle, the chimeric genes are not on a single locus and the genes may therefore segregate as independent loci.

C. The use of a number of a plurality of chimeric DNA molecules, for example,
10 plasmids, each having one or more chimeric genes and a selectable marker. If the frequency of co-transformation is high, then selection on the basis of only one marker is sufficient. In other cases, the selection on the basis of more than one marker is preferred.

D. Consecutive transformation of transgenic plants already containing a first,
15 second etc., chimeric gene with new chimeric DNA, optionally comprising a selectable marker gene. As in method B, the chimeric genes are in principle not on a single locus and the chimeric genes may therefore segregate as independent loci.

E. Combinations of the above mentioned strategies.

20 The actual strategy may depend on several easily determined considerations, such as the purpose of the parental lines (direct growing, use in a breeding programme, use to produce hybrids). The actual strategy is not critical with respect to the described invention.

Examples

General

Plant material

5 All *Brassica* transformation experiments described were performed with hypocotyl segments of *Brassica napus* variety 'Westar'. Tissue culture conditions were essentially as described by Bade and Damm (1995, In: Gene Transfer to Plants; Potrykus, I.; Spangenberg, G. Eds. Springer Verlag: Berlin; pp 32-38). For seed
10 production or chromosomal DNA isolation transgenic plants were grown in pots (diameter 15 cm) in a greenhouse with the following conditions: 21-24 °C, 60-80 % humidity and a 16 hour light cycle.

The potato material used for transformation experiments were *in vitro* stem explants from *Solanum tuberosum* variety 'Desiree'.

Bacterial strains

15 *Escherichia coli* strain DH5 α (Clonotech) and DH10B (Clonotech) were used for bacterial cloning. Strains were grown at 37°C in LB medium supplemented with carbenicillin (100 mg/L), kanamycin (50 mg/L) or spectinomycin (50 mg/L) depending on the type of plasmid. *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain MOG301 (Hood
20 *et al.*, 1993, Transgenic Research 2:208-218), harbouring a non-oncogenic nopaline Ti-helper plasmid in a C58 chromosomal background, was grown at 29 °C in LB medium supplemented with kanamycin (100 mg/L) and rifampicin (20 mg/L).

Plasmid constructions

Construct pMOG22 was described by Goddijn *et al.* (1993, Plant Journal 4(5):863-873). Vector pMOG448 was made in two steps. In the first step the *Hind*III 35S-
25 *gus*intron fragment of p35SGUS.INT (Vancanneyt *et al.*, 1990, Molecular and General Genetics 220:245-250) was cloned into pMOG22. Then the 5.8 kb *Xba*I fragment of pGH1 (Haughn *et al.*, 1988, Molecular and General Genetics 211:266-271) was cloned in between the *hpt* and *gus*-intron parts. This particular fragment contains a mutant Arabidopsis acetolactate synthase gene (*csr-1*), which confers

resistance to the herbicide chlorsulfuron. The coding region of the mutant *als* gene is still accompanied by its own 5' (2.5 kb) and 3' (1.3 kb) regulatory sequences.

Tagging constructs pMOG1178 and control pMOG964 contain plasmid rescue features (Koncz *et al.*, 1989, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 86:8467-8471.) but some modifications were made specifically for application in the *Brassica napus* transformation protocol. Due to the routine use of carbenicillin as antibiotic to control *Agrobacterium* it was decided to destroy the functionality of the *amp* gene and add a spectinomycin resistance gene instead. The constructs were made as follows.

The *EcoR* I site in pUC9 (Vieira and Messing, 1982, Gene 19:259-268) was modified by inserting an adapter made from oligo LS216 (5'AATTAGATCT 3')(SEQ ID NO: 2). The *Bgl*II site was then used for insertion of a 3 kb *Bam*HI fragment containing a bacterial spectinomycin resistance gene isolated from plasmid Cel369 (unpublished, Leiden University). The *amp* resistance gene was disrupted by partial digestion with *Ava*II. Positive clones were selected for resistance to spectinomycin and susceptibility for carbenicillin.

A p35S-*gus*::*npt*II-tnos fusion gene (Datla *et al.*, 1991, Gene 101:239-246) was isolated as *Hind*III-*Bgl*II fragment from pBI426 (Charest *et al.*, 1993, Plant Cell Reports 12:189-193) and introduced in our spectinomycin vector, which was digested with *Hind*III and *Bam*HI. This intermediate vector was linearised using *Hind*III, cloned in binary vector pMOG22 and named pMOG964.

The *Hind*III site of the above mentioned intermediate vector was changed into *Eco*RI using an adapter made out of primers SV5 (5'-AGCTCACGAATTCTCAGG-3') (SEQ ID NO: 3) and SV6 (5'-AGCTCCTGAGAATTCGTG-3')(SEQ ID NO: 4). The resulting vector was digested with *Bst*BI and *Eco*RI and ligated into the likewise digested tagging vector pMOG553 (Goddijn *et al.*, 1993; EMBL database accession number X84105). In this way a stretch of 1038 base pairs in pMOG553 was replaced by about 8 kb of new sequence without altering the right border configuration (*gus*-intron and octopine border). The new vector pMOG1178 appeared unstable in *E. coli* in the desired orientation. Hence the final cloning step was performed in *Agrobacterium*.

Constructs pJB1178-21, pJB1178-29 and pJB1178-43 were obtained via plasmid rescue (see below) from transgenic lines 1178-21, 29 and 43 respectively. These multicopy plasmids were linearised (*EcoRI*) and cloned as fragments in pMOG22 resulting in binary vectors pJBbin1178-21, pJBbin1178-29 and pJBbin1178-43 respectively.

Binary vectors were introduced in *Agrobacterium* strain MOG301 using electroporation (protocol Gibco BRL).

Plant transformation

- 10 Hypocotyl segments were transformed according to the procedure described by Bade and Damm (1995, In: Gene Transfer to Plants; Potrykus, I.; Spangenberg, G. Eds. Springer Verlag: Berlin; pp 32-38;). Minor modifications were included as follows. Kinetin was omitted from the callus induction medium (CIM) and NAA (0.1 mg/L) was added to the regeneration medium (SIM). The concentration of kanamycin as
15 selective agent was 15 mg/L. The sucrose level of the regeneration medium was lowered to 10 g/L to increase visual contrast between wildtype and transgenic callus. Shoot elongation was performed on non-selective medium (SEM). Transgenic nature of the plants produced was confirmed by rooting on hygromycin (5 mg/L) containing medium (SEM).
- 20 Potato *in vitro* stem explants were isolated one day prior to *Agrobacterium* inoculation. They were cultured in liquid callus induction medium (MS salts, B5 vitamins, sucrose 30 g/l, zeatin riboside 0.5 mg/l and 2,4-D 1.0 mg/l). After *Agrobacterium* inoculation (OD600 0.2, 20 minutes) the explants were cocultivated for 2 days on solidified callus induction medium (agar 8 g/l) and subsequently
25 transferred to regeneration medium (MS salts, B5 vitamins, sucrose 30 g/l, cefotaxim 200 mg/l, vancomycin 100 mg/l and zeatin riboside 3.0 mg/l). About one week after transformation explants were transferred to fresh regeneration medium, which was supplemented with hygromycin (10 mg/l) or kanamycin (100 mg/l). This medium was refreshed biweekly. Shoots were harvested 8 weeks later and placed on selective
30 rooting medium (1/2 concentrated MS salts, 1/2 concentrated B5 vitamins, sucrose 10g/l, IBA 0.1 mg/l and hygromycin 5 mg/l).

GUS histochemical assay

GUS activity in different plant parts of transgenic lines was investigated using histochemical analysis as described by Jefferson *et al.* (1987, Plant Molecular Biology Reporter 5:387-405). Samples of in vitro and in vivo plants were vacuum infiltrated
5 for 5 minutes in a solution containing 5-Bromo-4-Chloro-3-Indolyl- β -D-glucuronic acid-cyclohexyl ammonium salt (0.5 mg/l); Na-P-Buffer (50 mM pH7); Na₂-EDTA (5 mM pH 8.0); Triton X-100 (0.05 % v/v); Potassium Ferrocyanide (0.5 mM); Potassium Ferricyanide (0.5 mM). Samples were incubated overnight at 37 °C and subsequently cleared from chlorophyll by washing with ethanol (70 %). A
10 classification of GUS activity (0-5 = zero - very high) was made based on intensity of blue staining.

Callus induction assay

Small *Brassica napus* leaf disks (5*5 mm) of in vitro plants were placed adaxial side
15 up on regeneration medium (SIM) supplemented with 2,4-D (1 mg/L). Sucrose level in the medium was kept at 10 g/L. After 3 weeks of culture new green callus was formed at the cutting edges and complete explants were histochemically stained for GUS activity. Leaf samples of transgenic potato lines were similarly placed on potato regeneration medium supplemented with 2,4-D (1.0 mg/l). These explants were
20 assayed for GUS activity after 2 weeks.

Auxin induction assay

Node segments of in vitro plants were subculture on hormone free medium (SEM) supplemented with or without NAA (0.1 mg/L). After 3 weeks new leaves and roots
25 were formed. At that time complete plants were histochemically stained for GUS activity.

PCR analysis

Transgenic plants were analysed by PCR using the DNA sample preparation method
30 as described by Thompson and Henry (1995). Small leaf pieces (± 2 mm²) were taken

from in vitro grown plantlets, sealed in micro-centrifuge tubes (1.5 mL) and frozen in liquid nitrogen. Twenty microliter extraction buffer (100 mM TrisHCL pH9.5; 1 M KCL; 10 mM EDTA) was added and samples were heated for 10 minutes at 95 °C. After cooling down on ice samples were used directly or stored at 4 °C until use. PCR primers were: 5'-GTGACATCTCCACTGACGTAAG-3' (35S-P4) (SEQ ID NO: 5) and 5'-CGAACTGATCGTTAAACTGCC-3' (SQ-GUS-192)(SEQ ID NO: 6). The primer annealing sites are indicated in Figure 1. One PCR cycle of 5' 95 °C, 5' °C, 5' 72 °C was followed by 30 cycles of 1' 95 °C, 1' 55°C, 1' 72 °C. A last cycle was carried out for 1' 95 °C, 1' 55°C, 10' 72 °C. The reaction volume was 50 µl, containing 1 µl of DNA sample, Taq buffer, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 2*25 pmol primer, 200 µM dNTPs and 2.5 units Platinum Taq polymerase. PCR samples were analysed using electrophoresis in agarose gels.

Plasmid rescue

Approximately 5 µg of EcoRI digested genomic DNA of individual transgenic lines was dissolved in 25 µl of H₂O. Five µl T4 ligase (Gibco BRL), 60 µl T4 ligase buffer and 210 µl H₂O were added and the mixture was incubated for 20 hours at 14 °C. Ligated DNA was cleaned once using phenol-chloroform extraction (Sambrook *et al.* 1989, Molecular cloning: A laboratory manual, 2nd edition; Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press: Cold Spring Harbor, NY) and subsequently dissolved in 10 µl TE. One µl of the solution was used for electroporation of one sample DH10B electromax (Gibco BRL) competent cells using a Cell porator system (Gibco BRL). Settings were used as suggested by the manufacturer. After one hour recovery in SOC medium, cells were spun down, dissolved in 100 µl LB and plated on LB plates containing spectinomycin (50 mg/L). Colonies became visible after 24-48 hours incubation at 37°C. Subculture of individual colonies on plates and in liquid LB (Spec 50 mg/L) was used to confirm true resistant nature of the clones rescued.

Sequencing

Transition zones from the *gus::nptII* gene into the plant genome were sequenced using the ABI sequencing kit (Prism BigDye Terminator Cycle) and 5'-

CGAACTGATCGTTAAACTGCC-3' (SQ-GUS-192) (SEQ ID NO: 6) as single primer. Rescued plasmids or binary vectors were used as template DNA. Conditions were applied as suggested by the manufacturer. Approximately 500-600 bp of sequence was determined. Sequence data were analysed using BLASTN computer
5 searching.

Example 1 Transformation of *Brassica* with tagging construct

Hypocotyl explants of *Brassica napus* were transformed with the tagging construct pMOG1178 (Fig 1) and placed on medium containing 15 mg/L kanamycin which is
10 the lowest concentration discriminating between resistant cell clusters and non-transgenic tissue. In the transformation experiments part of the explants was placed on hygromycin containing medium to select for expression of the 35S-hpt cassette. The frequency at which hygromycin resistant calli were obtained was used as a measure for the efficacy of T-DNA integration in a particular experiment. Construct pMOG448
15 (Fig 1) was used as a negative control for kanamycin selection. The same construct and construct pMOG964 (Fig 1) were used as positive controls for hygromycin selection. The latter construct was also used as the positive control for kanamycin selection.

20 From a series of 15 transformation experiments the results of three typical tagging experiments are presented in Table 1. The frequency at which hygromycin resistant calli were formed after transformation with pMOG1178 (number of resistant calli / explant * 100%) ranged from 55 to 99 percent. The callus frequency of the positive controls pMOG448 and pMOG964 ranged from 37 to 66 percent. After kanamycin
25 selection, the callus frequency of the negative control pMOG448 was zero. The frequency obtained with the positive control pMOG964 was 81 - 119 %. A low but significant number of kanamycin resistant calli (1.4 - 3.5%) were produced on explants transformed with the tagging construct pMOG1178.

The relative tagging frequency is the ratio between kanamycin and hygromycin
30 resistant callus formation within a certain tagging experiment. This number represents the fraction of T-DNA inserts integrated behind a genomic promoter sequence that is

active in callus tissue. The relative tagging frequency ranged from 2.6 to 3.8 percent between the different experiments.

Table 1. Transgenic callus formation in *Brassica napus* experiments with a promoterless *gus::nptII* tagging construct. Hygromycin and kanamycin callus frequencies are calculated as number of resistant calli/explant*100%; relative tagging frequencies as kanamycin freq/hygromycin freq*100%. Datapoints are based on transformation of at least 150 hypocotyl explants. Kanamycin selection experiments after transformation with pMOG1178 were performed with at least 500 explants.

Construct	Marker / reporter	Exp. I			Exp II			Exp III		
		Hyg	Kana	kan/hyg	Hyg	Kana	kan/hyg	Hyg	Kana	kan/hyg
pMOG448	35S- <i>hpt</i> / 35S- <i>gus</i>	37	0	0	66	0	0	-	-	-
pMOG964	35S- <i>hpt</i> / 35S- <i>gus::nptII</i>	40	81	203	59	119	202	-	-	-
pMOG1178	35S- <i>hpt</i> / - <i>gus::nptII</i>	92	3.5	3.8	99	3.2	3.2	55	1.44	2.6

- 5 Eighty-seven kanamycin resistant calli were obtained from all tagging experiments. In total 36 calli were successfully regenerated. This callus regeneration frequency (41 %) is within the range obtained normally. Shoot primordia were isolated and subcultured on medium without kanamycin. This non-selective step was used to allow the development of tag lines with limited or no *nptII* expression after differentiation.
- 10 Twenty out of the 36 regenerated plants showed normal root formation on hygromycin containing medium (5 mg/l), which indicated expression of the 35S-*hpt* cassette in roots. This observation confirmed the successful introduction of the promoterless *gus::nptII* tagging construct via kanamycin selection. Hygromycin-sensitive lines were excluded from further analysis.

Example 2 GUS activity in differentiated plant tissue

Kanamycin resistant control plants containing the 35S-*gus::nptII* construct (pMOG964) showed high levels of constitutive GUS activity (data not shown). Thus, the transgenic *gus::nptII* tag lines were expected to show GUS staining when the tagged genomic promoter was still active in certain plant tissues. Leaf, stem and root tissue of in vitro and greenhouse grown plants were histochemically assayed (Table 2). Fifteen of the 20 lines showed a detectable level of expression in one or more parts of the plant in either greenhouse or in vitro. The blue staining was usually very weak and was often restricted to the vascular tissue of leaves and stem. In general, expression under greenhouse conditions was lower compared to in vitro conditions. Four lines (1178-1, 26, 29 and 45) showed moderate to high levels of GUS activity in leaf, stem and root. Only one line (1178-26) showed a high constitutive expression pattern also after transfer to soil. Enhanced expression was observed in some shoot (1178-2 and 30) or root meristems (1178-21, 29, 33, 43 and 45).

7.1.1 GUS activity in re-induced callus

As a control a set of analyses was carried out to investigate the GUS enzyme levels in re-induced callus. It was expected that most of the lines showed some level of GUS activity in this phase, because the original promoterless *gus::nptII* insertion resulted in kanamycin resistant callus. Leaf disks of all lines were placed on shoot induction medium supplemented with 2,4-D (1 mg/l), which resulted in formation of green non-regenerating callus at the edges of the explants. Eighteen of the 20 lines showed a detectable level of GUS activity after 14 days of culture on this 2,4-D containing medium. Expression was mainly localised in callus tissue newly formed on the edges of the explants (Fig 2a+b). Relative upregulated expression in callus compared to the rest of the explant, was found for 12 lines (1178-2, 5, 10, 11, 18, 21, 22, 30, 37, 40, 43 and 45). No detectable enzyme levels were found in other plant tissues investigated (see below).

Upregulated expression in callus was also observed when T1 hypocotyl segments of tag lines were placed on regeneration medium (Fig 2c+d).

Table 2. Semi-quantitative analysis of GUS activity in *Brassica napus* tagged lines. Lines with relative upregulation are marked *

Promoter tag line	In vitro						In vivo					Comments
	Leaf	Stem	root	shoot meristem	2,4-D callus	NAA leaf	leaf	stem	root	shoot meristem	root meristem	
1178-1	3	3	2	3	3	3	1	1	0	1	0	35S
1178-2	0	1	1	1	1	*	0	0	0	1	*	0
1178-5	1	1	1	2	3	*	2	*	0	1	1	0
1178-10	0	0	0	0	4	*	0	0	0	1	0	0
1178-11	0	0	0	0	1	*	0	0	0	0	0	0
1178-18	0	0	0	0	1	*	1	*	0	0	0	0
1178-21	1	1	1	2	4	*	2	*	0	1	0	1
1178-22	0	0	0	0	5	*	0	0	0	1	0	0
1178-26	5	3	2	0	5		5	5	3	1	2	0
1178-29	4	3	4	3	4		4	2	2	1	1	3
1178-30	0	0	0	0	2	*	0	0	0	0	1	*
1178-32	1	1	0	1	1		0	0	1	0	1	0
1178-33	1	1	0	1	2	*	2	*	1	1	0	0
1178-34	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
1178-37	1	1	0	2	2	*	1	0	1	0	1	0
1178-38	2	0	0	1	2		2	1	0	0	0	0
1178-40	0	0	0	0	4	*	0	0	0	0	0	0
1178-42	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
1178-43	1	3	2	0	4	*	2	*	0	1	1	0
1178-45	2	2	1	1	5	*	4	*	1	1	1	1
# tg: 20	11	11	8	10	18	(13)	11	(6)	6	10	7	9
												(2) 5
												(5)

7.1.2 GUS activity upregulated by auxin treatment

- 5 Since T-DNA integration is thought to occur in actively transcribed regions of the genome (Koncz *et al.*, 1989, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 86:8467-8471) and in this occasion integration of the promoterless *gus::nptII* tagging construct took place during culture on auxin containing medium (cocultivation with 2,4-D and selection with NAA), we wished to
- 10 check upregulation of the tagged promoters by auxin. In vitro plant material of all tag

lines was cloned. At least one node segment of each line was propagated on NAA (0.1 mg/l) containing medium. Plantlets grown on this medium developed significantly more and thicker roots, but were otherwise comparable to the control clones grown on hormone free medium. Histochemical GUS assays were performed 4 weeks after subculture. Six lines (1178-5, 18, 21, 33, 43 and 45) showed NAA induced GUS activity in the leaves.

An overview of the GUS expression data is presented in Table 2. In two transgenic lines (1178-34 and 1178-42) no GUS staining was observed.

10 7.1.3 Example 3 Isolation of genomic 'promoter' sequences

Before the actual isolation of genomic sequences upstream of the tagging construct, all lines were screened by PCR to detect possible scrambled T-DNA insertions. Using a 35S-*gus* primer-set it was found that two lines (1178-1 and 26) contained at least part of the 35S promoter, presumably originating from the 35S-*hpt* cassette, upstream of the promoterless *gus::nptII* gene. This was confirmed by sequencing the amplified fragments (data not shown). These lines were excluded from further analysis.

Genomic DNA of the remaining lines was digested with *EcoRI* (Fig 1) and used for Southern blotting analysis. Single T-DNA insertions were detected in lines 1178-21, 29 and 43. The T-DNA right border fragments were approximately 12 kb in size (data not shown), which indicates ± 3 kb genomic sequence between T-DNA and *EcoRI* restriction site. Results of the other lines were difficult to interpret due to the very large sizes of the *EcoRI* fragments.

Digested DNA was also used for plasmid rescue experiments. Despite the large fragment sizes observed on the Southern blot, spectinomycin resistant colonies were readily obtained. Rescued plasmids were checked by restriction enzyme analyses. These analyses were done using *EcoRI*, expected to linearize the plasmids. A double digest with *EcoRI* plus *BamHI* was used to separate the original T-DNA (vector) of 9 kb from the newly isolated genomic sequences (Fig 1). Plasmid rescue from the single copy T-DNA lines (1178-21, 29 and 43) resulted in identical clones within lines, whereas other lines showed 2 or more different restriction patterns (data not shown). It

is likely that these different restriction patterns represented rescued fragments of different T-DNA insertions. Some examples of rescued plasmids digested with the enzyme combinations are shown in Figure 3. The plasmids rescued from the single copy lines (1178-21, 1178-29 and 1178-43) are indicated and named according to the
 5 originating tag line (pJB1178-21(=pMOG2001), pJB1178-29(=pMOG2002) and pJB1178-43(=pMOG2003)).

The linear fragments (*EcoRI*) range in size from ± 11 kb (clone 1) to ± 40 kb (clones 4 and 5). The fragment sizes (± 12 kb) of the plasmids from single copy T-DNA lines (pJB1178-21, pJB1178-29 and pJB1178-43) match with the results obtained by
 10 Southern blotting (see above). In some cases (clones 7, 9 and 11) it appeared impossible to linearize the rescued plasmids by *EcoRI* digestion. Apparently the *EcoRI* site was destroyed. From the lanes with the double digestions, it can be seen that most of the clones show the expected 9 kb vector band (Fig 3). Exceptions are those clones without the *EcoRI* site as mentioned above and pJB1178-43. All other
 15 clones contain, besides the 9 kb fragment, 1-3 other bands originating from the isolated plant DNA.

DNA sequences upstream of the *gus::nptII* gene were determined for each of the 3 single copy lines (1178-21, 1178-29 and 1178-43). The original right border and *HindIII* site of pMOG1178 (Fig 1) were absent in all three lines (Fig 4). In line 1178-
 20 43 the *BamHI* site (Fig1) was also not present anymore, which explains the absence of the expected 9 kb fragment after *EcoRI***BamHI* digestion (see above).

Analysis of tagged *Brassica napus* promoter sequence

The rescued *Brassica napus* promoter sequence of line 1178-29 (SEQIDNO: 7) was used in a BLAST
 25 (Altschul *et al.*, Nucleic Acids Res. 1997; 25:3389-3402) search against the *Arabidopsis* genome sequence (TIGR: www.tigr.org/tdb/e2k1/ath1/). Extensive homology was found to a certain portion of the *Arabidopsis* genome. The 2624 bp *Brassica* sequence displays high homology with a region on *Arabidopsis* chromosome 3 on BAC F9D24. The homology covers almost the entire region on *Arabidopsis* BAC F9D24 that represents predicted ORF F9D24.50 (phenylalanine-tRNA synthase-like
 30 protein) with 10 exons. The homology with the *Brassica* sequence is the strongest in regions where predicted exons are located but the homology is also present, although more limited, in (predicted) intron regions. The *Arabidopsis* sequence homologous to the *Brassica* 1178-29 sequence is listed as SEQIDNO:8.

The extensive homology found between the chromosomal regions of *Arabidopsis thaliana* ecotype Columbia and *Brassica napus* c.v. Westar shows the high level of genomic co-linearity between these closely related plant species.

5 The promoter sequence was analysed for the presence of promoter motifs known to play a regulatory role in auxin induced, pathogen induced (plant defence hormone responsive) and constitutive gene expression. Both promoters are very active in callus tissue and respond to auxin treatment. Next to this proven promoter activity there might be an involvement of pathogen and wound responsive elements in the regulation of gene expression driven by this promoter sequence as it was identified in promoter trapping experiments during exposure to wounding and *A. tumefaciens* infection. Promoter elements identified in the promoter are indicated in Figure 6. Elements were found containing the core sequence (TGTCTC) of the auxin responsive elements (AuxREs) required for auxin responsiveness of a soybean *GH3* promoter (Ulmasov *et al.*, Plant Cell 1995 Oct;7(10):1611-1623). Next to the presence of these auxin responsive elements a sequence identical to a tobacco Dof protein NtBBF1 binding site found in 15 the *RolB* oncogene promoter is found. The NtBBF1 is probably the protein involved in mediating tissue specific and auxin inducible expression of *RolB* in plants (Baumann *et al.*, Plant Cell 1999 March;11(3):323-334). Dof zinc finger proteins are also thought to be auxin inducible (Kang and Singh, Plant J. 2000; 21:329-339). Elements that occur in a high frequency in genes that are pathogen and/or stress inducible were also identified in both promoter sequences. The W-box motifs that are able to bind 20 members of the plant WRKY family of transcription factors are present in both promoter sequences (8 and 4 copies respectively). The presence of a high frequency of W-box sequences (TTGACn) is associated with pathogen, elicitor and salicylic acid responsiveness (Eulgem *et al.*, Trends Plant Sci. 2000;5(5):199-206). Sequences very similar to the H-box consensus (CCTAnC) as described by Lois *et al.* (EMBO J. 1989;8(6):1641-1648) and Fischer (Ph.D. thesis, University of Hohenheim, 1994) were found and these boxes are known to confer fungal elicitor and wound induced expression when fused as 25 multimers to a plant minimal promoter (Takeda *et al.*, Plant J. 1999;18(4):383-393). Also identified were boxes similar to the so-called G-box regulatory motif (CAmGTG, Loake *et al.*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 1992; 89:9230-9234) and to the GCC-box (AGCCGCC) which is mainly found in the 5' upstream region of genes upregulated by the plant hormone ethylene (Ohme-Takagi and Shinshi, Plant Cell 1995 Feb;7(2):173-182). A tetramer of an extended G-box motif confers high level constitutive expression in transgenic plants when coupled to a minimal promoter (Ishige *et al.*, Plant J. 1999;18:443-448). The S-box is a very strong elicitor responsive element, which can confer very strong inducibility (WO 00/29592). The transcription start site in the 1178-29 promoter fusion was not mapped and therefore it remains difficult to predict the location of a presumed TATA box. Nevertheless 30 there are sequences present that very well might function as a RNA polymerase II binding site.

7.1.4 Example 4 Evaluation of isolated 'promoter'-*gus::nptII* plasmids

The three plasmids rescued from the single copy T-DNA lines (1178-21, 29 and 43) were selected for further analysis of promoter activity. Binary vectors were constructed by using a double selection strategy. Linear fragments (*EcoRI*) of the rescued plasmids were ligated with a linear fragment (*EcoRI*) of the binary vector pMOG22 (Fig 1) and transformed to *E. coli*. Colonies were selected for kanamycin and spectinomycin resistance, indicating successful ligation. However, most of the binary clones appeared to contain a certain deletion, as evidenced by a reduction in size of the original 9kb *EcoRI/BamHI* vector fragment (not shown). Sequence analysis of the promoter-*gus* fusions of the new binary vectors indicated unaltered presence of the genomic sequences directly upstream of the *gus::nptII* gene.

Three to five binary vectors were selected per rescued plasmid. This selection was based on best resemblance with the expected restriction patterns using *EcoRI* and *BamHI* (data not shown). Twelve clones (4*pJBBIN1178-21, 5*pJBBIN1178-29 and 3*pJBBIN1178-43) were transferred to *Agrobacterium* strain MOG301 and subsequently transformed to *Brassica napus*. All transformations were carried out in duplo using $\pm$ 100 hypocotyl explants each. Transformations with pMOG964 and pMOG1178 (Fig1) served as controls in these experiments. Five days after transformation about twenty explants per construct were evaluated for transient GUS activity. Five out of twelve clones showed GUS activity in hygromycin resistant callus. Three weeks after transformation hygromycin resistant calli were produced in all transformations, except for one 1178-43 binary clone. At this time point eight out of twelve clones showed GUS activity in hygromycin resistant callus (Fig 5). Specifically clones from tag lines 1178-21 and 29 showed dark blue staining in the histochemical GUS assay.

Six binary vectors (2*pJBBIN1178-21, 2*pJBBIN1178-29 and 2*pJBBIN1178-43) were also used for potato transformation. Four of these showed transient GUS activity early after transformation. Five out of six vectors revealed stable GUS activity in developing hygromycin resistant calli. Approximately 50 potato explants per construct were used for a transformation experiment using kanamycin as selective agent. Putative transgenic shoots were harvested and tested for their true transgenic nature by

placing them on hygromycin containing rooting medium. Only those shoots having an active 35S-hpt cassette integrated in the genome would produce a normal root system. Five out of 6 constructs transformed produced one or more transgenic potato lines. The transformation frequencies (number of transgenic plants per explant * 100%)
5 ranged from 2 to 11 percent, which is comparable to the level obtained with the positive control construct pMOG964 (5%).

Preliminary results indicated that GUS activity in leaf samples of the transgenic potato lines varies from zero to relatively high. Some of the transgenic lines show only low GUS activity in leaves, but this level could be up-regulated when leaf explants were
10 placed on callus induction medium (Fig 5). An overview of the Brassica and potato transformation results is shown in Table 3.

Table 3. Transformation of *B. napus* and *S. tuberosum* with tagged promoter sequences

Binary vectors	<i>B. napus</i> (hyg)			<i>S. tuberosum</i> (hyg)			<i>S. tuberosum</i> (kana)						
	transient GUS	stable GUS	transient GUS	stable GUS	stable GUS	stable GUS	n	nr of expl	nr of shoots	nr hyg rooting	nr leaf GUS+	nr callus GUS+	transf freq
pJBIN1178-21-1	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	44	20	11	5	5	2	11
pJBIN1178-21-3	-	-											
pJBIN1178-21-7	-	-											
pJBIN1178-21-11	+	++	++	+	+	++	48	15	13	2	2	1	4
pJB1178-29-1	-	+	+	+	+	+	46	3	7	5	4	2	11
pJB1178-29-3	+++	+++											
pJB1178-29-4	-	-											
pJB1178-29-5	-	+											
pJB1178-29-11	++	+++	++++	++	++	++++	54	7	7	1	1	0	2
pJB1178-43-1	-	+	-	-	-	+	64	1	4	0	-	-	0
pJB1178-43-2	-	-											
pJB1178-43-3	+	++	-	+	+	++	65	5	10	2	2	1	3
pMOG964	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	38	18	18	2	2	0	5
pMOG1178	-	-	-	-	-	-	43	1	0	0	-	-	0

Table 3. Retransformation of *Brassica napus* and *Solanum tuberosum* with tagged promoter sequences. Transformation frequencies are calculated as number of transgenic lines per explant * 100%.

BUDAPEST TREATY ON THE INTERNATIONAL
RECOGNITION OF THE DEPOSIT OF MICROORGANISMS
FOR THE PURPOSES OF PATENT PROCEDURE

INTERNATIONAL FORM

Syngenta Mogen BV
Postbus 628
2300 AP LEIDEN
Nederland

name and address of depositor

RECEIPT IN THE CASE OF AN ORIGINAL DEPOSIT
issued pursuant to Rule 7.1 by the
INTERNATIONAL DEPOSITARY AUTHORITY
identified at the bottom of this page

I. IDENTIFICATION OF THE MICROORGANISM

Identification reference given by the
DEPOSITOR:

E. coli DH10B (Gibco BRL) pMOG2002

Accession number given by the
INTERNATIONAL DEPOSITARY AUTHORITY:

CBS 109272

II. SCIENTIFIC DESCRIPTION AND/OR PROPOSED TAXONOMIC DESIGNATION

The microorganism identified under I above was accompanied by:



a scientific description



a proposed taxonomic designation

(mark with a cross where applicable)

III. RECEIPT AND ACCEPTANCE

This International Depositary accepts the microorganism identified under I above, which
received by it on 06-02-2001 (date dd-mm-yy of the original deposit) 1

IV. RECEIPT OF REQUEST FOR CONVERSION

The microorganism identified under I above was received by this International Depositary
Authority on not applicable (date dd-mm-yy of the original deposit) and a
request to convert the original deposit to a deposit under the Budapest Treaty was received
by it on not applicable (date dd-mm-yy of receipt of request for conversion)

V. INTERNATIONAL DEPOSITARY AUTHORITY

Name: Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures

Address Uppsalalaan 8
P.O. Box 85167
3508 AD UTRECHT
The Netherlands

Signature(s) of person(s) having the power
to represent the International Depositary
Authority or of authorized official(s):

Mrs F.B. Snippe-Claus

Dr J.A. Stalpers

Date (dd-mm-yy): 19-02-2001

1 Where Rule 6.4(d) applies, such date is the date on which the status of international
depositary authority was acquired.

BUDAPEST TREATY ON THE INTERNATIONAL
RECOGNITION OF THE DEPOSIT OF MICROORGANISMS
FOR THE PURPOSES OF PATENT PROCEDURE

INTERNATIONAL FORM

Syngenta Mogen BV
Postbus 628
2300 AP LEIDEN
Nederland

*name and address of the party to whom the
viability statement is issued*

VIABILITY STATEMENT
issued pursuant to Rule 10.2 by the
INTERNATIONAL DEPOSITARY AUTHORITY
identified on the following page

I. DEPOSITOR	II. IDENTIFICATION OF THE MICROORGANISM
Name: Syngenta Mogen BV Address Postbus 628 2300 AP LEIDEN Nederland	Accession number given by the INTERNATIONAL DEPOSITARY AUTHORITY: CBS 109272 Date (dd-mm-yy) of the deposit or of the transfer: 1 06-02-2001
III. VIABILITY STATEMENT	
The viability of the microorganism identified under II above was tested on 08-02-2001². On that date (dd-mm-yy), the said microorganism was ☒³ viable ☐³ no longer viable	

¹ Indicate the date of the original deposit or, where a new deposit or a transfer has been made, the most recent relevant date (date of the new deposit or date of the transfer).



² In the cases referred to in Rule 10.2(a)(ii) and (iii), refer to the most recent viability test.

³ Mark with a cross the applicable box.

IV. CONDITIONS UNDER WHICH THE VIABILITY HAS BEEN PERFORMED

V. INTERNATIONAL DEPOSITARY AUTHORITY

Name: Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures

Address Uppsalalaan 8
P.O. Box 85167
3508 AD UTRECHT
The NetherlandsSignature(s) of person(s) having the power
to represent the International Depositary
Authority or of authorized official(s):
Mrs F.B. Snippe-Claus
Dr J.A. Stalpers

Date (dd-mm-yy): 19-02-2001

⁴ Fill in if the information has been requested and if the results of the test were negative.

SEQUENCE LISTING

<110> Syngenta Mogen B.V.

5 <120> NEW PLANT CONSTITUTIVE PROMOTER

<130> brassica constitutive promoter

<140>

10 <141>

<150> 01202056.6

<151> 2001-05-31

15 <160> 8

<170> PatentIn Ver. 2.1

<210> 1

20 <211> 782

<212> DNA

<213> Brassica napus

<220>

25 <221> misc_feature

<222> (642)..(782)

<223> gus::nptII-sequence

<220>

30 <221> misc_feature

<222> (617)..(622)

<223> BamHIrestriction site

<400> 1

35 tcagtgaag atccagtagt cctgttagtt ttgacgggtg taaacaaaac cattgtttta 60

cagtatccct ccttgtataa gacaccagtt tctggatcag tgaatcattc acagagaata 120

acttttgtga agttgtgaga ggaatcgctg gggatccttg tgaagaggta cataacttat 180

cctttgattg gtatttggtt gagaaagaat gctaactctc tatatctcaa ctttacttgt 240

atcataatca tgttcttggg agtgattggt tatagccttt tacaaattga ttcacagggtg 300

40 aagttgatag acagtttcac caataagaaa gggatgacga gtcactgtta cagaattgtg 360

ttcggttcca tggagcgctc tcttacagac gaggagggtca atgatctgca ggtaatcact 420

gttgcttggt ttgtcattaa tccagaaacg acatttactt gtttataatt caaaaccttt 480

tgtagctaaa ttacactctc catataacca accataagaa gataggaagg ttgcatttgg 540

ctaattgctt gttagtgtta aaaattggcg tgttttttca aatgcagagt aaggtgcgtg 600

45 atgagggtgca gagcttggt ccccggttag gtcagtcctt tatgttacgt cctgtagaaa 660

ccccaacccg tgaaatcaaa aaactcgacg gcctgtgggc attcagtctg gatcgcgaaa 720

actgtggaat tggtcagcgt tgggggaaag cgcgttataa gaaagccggg caattgcggg 780

ca 782

50

<210> 2

<211> 10

<212> DNA

<213> Artificial Sequence

55

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence:primer

<400> 2

60 aattagatct 10

<210> 3

<211> 18

65 <212> DNA

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence:primer

70

<400> 3
 agctcacgaa ttctcagg 18

5 <210> 4
 <211> 18
 <212> DNA
 <213> Artificial Sequence

10 <220>
 <223> Description of Artificial Sequence:primer

<400> 4
 agctcctgag aattcgtg 18

15 <210> 5
 <211> 22
 <212> DNA
 20 <213> Artificial Sequence

<220>
 <223> Description of Artificial Sequence:primer

25 <400> 5
 gtgacatctc cactgacgta ag 22

30 <210> 6
 <211> 22
 <212> DNA
 <213> Artificial Sequence

35 <220>
 <223> Description of Artificial Sequence:primer

<400> 6
 cgaactgatc gttaaaactg cc 22

40 <210> 7
 <211> 2656
 <212> DNA
 <213> Brassica napus

45 <220>
 <221> misc_feature
 <222> (4)..(10)
 <223> S-box

50 <220>
 <221> misc_feature
 <222> (34)..(39)
 <223> Auxin-responsive element

55 <220>
 <221> misc_feature
 <222> (341)..(346)
 <223> W-box

60 <220>
 <221> misc_feature
 <222> (588)..(593)
 <223> G-box

65 <220>
 <221> misc_feature
 <222> Complement((650)..(655))
 <223> H-box

70

5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70

<220>
<221> misc_feature
<222> (782)..(787)
<223> H-box

<220>
<221> misc_feature
<222> (825)..(830)
<223> auxin-responsive element

<220>
<221> misc_feature
<222> (937)..(942)
<223> G-box

<220>
<221> misc_feature
<222> Complement((1246)..(1251))
<223> G-box

<220>
<221> misc_feature
<222> Complement((1556)..(1561))
<223> auxin-responsive element

<220>
<221> misc_feature
<222> (1780)..(1785)
<223> W-box

<220>
<221> misc_feature
<222> (1849)..(1854)
<223> W-box

<220>
<221> misc_feature
<222> Complement((1912)..(1917))
<223> W-box

<220>
<221> misc_feature
<222> Complement((1960)..(1965))
<223> W-box

<220>
<221> misc_feature
<222> (2044)..(2049)
<223> W-box

<220>
<221> protein_bind
<222> (2243)..(2248)
<223> Dof binding site

<220>
<221> misc_feature
<222> Complement((2408)..(2413))
<223> W-box

<220>
<221> misc_feature
<222> Complement((2533)..(2538))
<223> H-box

<220>
<221> misc_feature
<222> Complement((2638)..(2643))
<223> H-box

<220>
 <221> misc_feature
 <222> (2654)..(2656)
 <223> translation start site

5

<400> 7

	actcgccacc	gcgattctcg	tcgtcggaga	ctttgtctcc	ccccccctc	ttcatcaacg	60
	gtgttcctcg	ataaacttcg	tgtttactca	tctccgacct	cgaatacgcc	atgaccatat	120
	tttcagttcca	gtccactatc	ttcacccgag	cttccgtcgc	tcttctctcc	agcaacggac	180
10	tcaaacgctt	ttctctcgct	tcttcgtttt	cctccaacgc	tctatactct	ccacctctcc	240
	ccaaaacgaa	gaagcgccgc	ttccccatcg	tctctgccgt	tgatatcggc	ggtgtcacag	300
	tcgctagaaa	cggttcgtgc	ctctgattta	cagattgagt	ttgacttagt	ggaagctcgt	360
	tagcttgaaa	tgttcaactt	cttttttttt	ttgcagatgt	ggtgagagat	gatgatccta	420
	caaacaatgt	acccgactcc	atcttctcta	aacttggaat	gcagctacac	agaagggaca	480
15	agcatcctat	tgggatcata	aagaatgcta	tctacgacta	cttcgagtcc	aattacgcta	540
	aaaagtttga	gactttcga	gatctttcac	caattgttac	caccaagcaa	gtgagtgtac	600
	ttccccctta	ctcaaagctt	gcatctttta	ctggaaccat	catcatgagg	ataggagact	660
	ctctgtttca	catagagtgt	tttctgttag	agactgagag	ttgttagagt	aacatgctga	720
	atattgtgtg	agactctctg	atgagatctt	agcttgagtt	ttcgtttgta	tttgctctag	780
20	tcctatcaat	aaaaagagtt	acatagtcgc	aatcataata	acaatgtctc	ctttgggtgc	840
	agaactttga	tgatgtgcta	gtccctgctg	atcatgtaag	cagaagcctt	aacgacacct	900
	actacgtaga	ttcccaaact	gttttgagat	gccatacaag	tgctcaccaa	gctgagctgt	960
	tgcgggatgg	tcatagacgt	ttccttgtca	ctggagatgt	ttaccgcaga	gattctatcg	1020
	actctactca	ttatccggtt	ttccatcagg	tggtcttttc	tttcaactttg	gctgttttgg	1080
25	tcgacatcat	gtgtttctta	tactagtgtg	ttatgttttc	tcttgaatct	aatacagatg	1140
	gaaggctttt	gtgtcttttc	tcctgaggac	tggaacgagt	ctggcaagga	ttccacgttg	1200
	tatgctgctg	aggatttgaa	gaaatgtcta	gaggggttgg	cacgtcactt	gtttggtaag	1260
	ctaagatcta	ttcaacagaa	gtaatcttca	gatagttagc	agtacttctt	tgggtgtttt	1320
	ataggatgat	tatagcatcg	actataaaat	gaagatgcat	atatttagat	gaaacgattt	1380
30	aacatagaac	aaacttgtga	atctgttact	ctttgattta	agctttcttt	ggctgccagg	1440
	tgctgtggaa	atgagatggg	ttgatacata	ctttccattt	actgagcctt	ctttcgagct	1500
	tgagatttat	tttaaggtag	tctttttcca	tcttaaatac	ttgctttgct	ttaaagagac	1560
	atacttttgt	ttttgtgcag	acatacatat	actggagtgt	tggttttggt	accaatctta	1620
	gcaagctaac	aagctttatc	tggttggtga	ttcaggaaga	ctggttagag	gttttgggct	1680
35	gtggggtgac	ggagcaaaga	atthttgaagc	agagtggatt	agaaaacaat	gttgcttggg	1740
	cctttggact	aggattggaa	cggcttgcta	tggttttggt	tgacatccct	gatataagac	1800
	tttttttggg	cagacgatga	acggtttact	tcccagggtga	ttacttgatt	gacaacttac	1860
	aaaattggat	gatgaagtgt	attctctcaa	acgttttgag	ttcttttctc	acgtcaatgt	1920
	atcttgatgt	tatgtgcagt	ttggaaaagg	agagcttgga	gtcaagttca	agccattctc	1980
40	aaaggttata	caatttttca	ctcttcatgt	ctatcagtga	aagattcagt	agtcctgtta	2040
	gttttgacgg	tgctaaacaa	aacattgttt	tacagtatcc	tccttgttat	aaggacatca	2100
	gtttctggat	cagtgaatca	ttcacagaga	ataacttttg	tgaagtgtgt	agagggaatc	2160
	ctggggatct	tggtgaagag	gtacataact	tatcctttga	ttggtatttg	gttgagaaag	2220
	aatgctaact	ctctatatct	caactttact	tgtatcataa	tcattgttct	gggagtgttt	2280
45	gtttatagcc	ttttacaaat	tgattcacag	gtgaagttga	tagacagttt	caccaataag	2340
	aaagggatgc	gagtcactgt	tacagaattg	tggtccgttc	catggagcgc	tctcttacag	2400
	acgaggaggt	caatgatctg	caggtaatca	ctgttgcttg	ttttgtcatt	aatccagaaa	2460
	cgacattttac	ttgtttataa	ttcaaaacct	ttttagtcta	aattacactc	tccatataac	2520
	caaccataag	aagataggaa	ggttgcattt	ggctaattgc	ttgttagtgt	taaaaattgg	2580
50	cgtgtttttt	caaatgcaga	gtaagggtgc	tgatgagggt	cagagcttgg	atccccgggt	2640
	aggtcagtc	cttatg					2656

<210> 8
 55 <211> 2485
 <212> DNA
 <213> Arabidopsis thaliana

<400> 8

60	aatcgccgcc	gcaatcttct	tcattcggcct	ccgttctaca	tcgacgggtgt	ttgccgtaac	60
	ttctgtcaaa	ctctcagaat	ttgcttaagt	ataccaccta	actcgagacg	ctatgaccgt	120
	tttctcagtt	cagtccacta	tcttcagtcg	agcctccgta	gctcttctct	cgagcaatgg	180
	cttcaaacga	ttttcattcg	tttcttcggt	ttcttctctc	gccgcttact	ctccacctaa	240
	aatgaggaag	cgctcgctacc	caatcgtctc	tgctgttgat	attggtggcg	tcgcaatcgc	300
65	tagaaatggg	tcgttcttag	attcgattct	taaaagtga	gttcataaaa	catcgcaact	360
	gttccaaaag	aagttatatt	tgacattttt	tagtgatcac	ttattgaatt	ttcagatgtg	420
	gtgagagagg	atgatccaac	aaataatgta	ccagattcga	ttttctctaa	actaggaatg	480
	cagctacaca	gaagagataa	gcatccgatt	ggatctttaa	aaaacgctat	ctacgattac	540
	tttgattcca	attactcaaa	caagtttgag	aagttcgaag	acctttcccc	aattgttacc	600
70	acaaagcaag	tacgttttca	gtactcaagt	ttgcatcttt	ctagaagtat	cacttggttt	660

	tcaatgtgat	cattattggt	ttttggtacc	agaactttga	tgatgtgcta	gtccctgctg	720
	atcatgtaag	cagaagtctt	aatgacacgt	actatgtaga	ctcacaaact	gttttgagat	780
	gtcatacgag	tgctcaccaa	gctgagctgt	tgaggaaagg	tcatagtcgt	ttccttgtaa	840
	ccggggatgt	ttaccgaaga	gattctattg	actctactca	ttatccggtt	ttccatcagg	900
5	tgttctattc	ttgaggtccc	tgtgtttttc	ttttactttg	gctgttttgc	tcgacaggtg	960
	tattatgttt	tttatctatt	acagatggaa	ggttttttgtg	ttttctctcc	tgaggactgg	1020
	aacgggtctg	gcaaggattc	cactttgtat	gctgctgagg	at ttgaagaa	atgtcttgag	1080
	ggattggcac	gccacttatt	tggtacatta	agatccaata	aacaatctat	attcttcagc	1140
	aagtgtaaat	aacttcaaag	atggttttatt	aagagttgtt	taggatgatt	atttcattaa	1200
10	tttaagaaga	agttggggaa	atatacatga	aataatttga	tctgagcttc	tttttttggc	1260
	tgccagggtc	ggtggagatg	agatgggttg	atacatattt	cccat ttacc	aatccatctt	1320
	ttgagcttga	gataat tttt	aaggtagtct	atgagtc ttt	cgttttcata	tctttgcttt	1380
	aaagagacat	ataatacttc	tattttttgtg	tggtctcctt	ttcccaaata	catattgggtg	1440
	ttattggata	gaaattatag	catctaacac	aaacttcagt	ttctcatcta	acacaagctt	1500
15	tatctggtta	tcggttcagg	aagactgggt	ggaggttttg	ggctgtgggg	tgaccgagca	1560
	agtaattctg	aaacaaagtg	gattagaaaa	taatgttgct	tgggccttcg	gacttggaact	1620
	tgagagactt	gctatgggtt	tgtttgacat	acctgatata	cgat ttttct	ggcatccga	1680
	tgaacgattc	acgtcccagg	tgattacttg	ggtgacaact	tcaaatttta	ggttatgaga	1740
	ctaactcgtct	aaaaatatga	attat ttttct	cacattaatg	tatttgatgt	tacatgcagt	1800
20	ttggaaaagg	agaacttgga	gtgaaattca	agccatattc	aaaggtaaaa	cacttaatgt	1860
	ccatgtctcg	tagactaatg	aactctagtt	gaagacttat	ctgtattgta	tgtttaacga	1920
	tggcaaacaa	aattttgttc	tgcagtatcc	tccttggttac	aaggacatca	gtttctggat	1980
	aagtgatttg	ttcacagaga	ataatttttg	tgaagttgtt	agaggaattg	ctggggatct	2040
	tgttgaagag	gtatcttata	tcttgattgt	ttgggagaga	atattagctt	ttacaggaat	2100
25	caaatttact	tttcagctat	cctttaattg	tatcatcata	ttcttcagtg	ttcctttgtt	2160
	tatagccttt	attgcattca	caggtgaagt	taattgacca	attcaccaat	aagaagaaag	2220
	ggctgacgag	tcattgttac	agaatcgtgt	tccgttccat	ggagcggctc	cttacggacg	2280
	aggagggtcaa	tgatctgcag	gtaatcactt	ttgcttctcc	tttcatcatt	aacatgtaag	2340
	atattcaaaa	ccgtttcata	gacaaaatga	aattttccaa	atcgtgatag	caagatagaa	2400
30	ttgggtgtgt	atatgttggt	agtgttaa at	gtgttgaaat	ggtgacttat	cgaaatgcag	2460
	agtaaagtgc	gtgatgaggt	gcaga				2485

35

CLAIMS

1. A DNA fragment as present within the EcoRI fragment of clone pJB1178-29, which is deposited with the Centraal Bureau of Schimmelcultures (Baarn, the Netherlands) under number CBS 109272 on 6 February 2001 wherein said DNA fragment is capable of promoting constitutive expression of an associated DNA sequence on reintroduction into a plant.
2. A DNA fragment according to claim 1, characterised in that said fragment comprises the nucleotide sequence represented by SEQ ID NO: 1.
3. A DNA fragment according to claim 1, characterised in that it comprises the nucleotide sequence represented by SEQ ID NO:7
4. A DNA fragment, characterised in that it comprises the nucleotide sequence represented by SEQ ID NO:8 or parts thereof, wherein said fragment or parts thereof are capable of promoting constitutive expression of a DNA sequence on reintroduction into a plant.
5. A DNA fragment capable of promoting constitutive expression of a DNA sequence on reintroduction into a plant, characterised in that it comprises a nucleotide sequence represented in SEQ ID NO:7 starting at the nucleotide selected from the group consisting of: nucleotide 4, nucleotide 34, nucleotide 341, nucleotide 588, nucleotide 650, nucleotide 782, nucleotide 825, nucleotide 937, nucleotide 1246, nucleotide 1556, nucleotide 1780, nucleotide 1849, nucleotide 1912, nucleotide 1960, nucleotide 2044, nucleotide 2243, nucleotide 2408 and nucleotide 2638; and ending at the nucleotide selected from the group consisting of: nucleotide 341, nucleotide 588, nucleotide 650, nucleotide 782, nucleotide 825, nucleotide 937, nucleotide 1246, nucleotide 1556, nucleotide 1780, nucleotide 1849, nucleotide 1912, nucleotide 1960, nucleotide 2044, nucleotide 2243, nucleotide 2408, nucleotide 2638 and nucleotide 2654.

6. A chimeric DNA sequence comprising in the direction of transcription:
 - a) a DNA fragment according to any of claims 1 to 5; and
 - b) a DNA sequence to be expressed under transcriptional control of said DNA fragment, which DNA sequence is not naturally under transcriptional control of said DNA fragment.
7. A replicon comprising a chimeric DNA sequence according to claim 6.
8. A microorganism containing a replicon according to claim 7.
9. A plant cell comprising a chimeric DNA sequence according to claim 6.
10. A plant comprising cells according to claim 9.
11. A part of a plant selected from seeds, flowers, tubers, roots, leaves, fruits, pollen and wood, obtained from a plant according to claim 10.
12. Use of a DNA fragment according to any of claims 1 to 5 in identifying homologues capable of promoting expression in a plant.
13. Method of transforming plants using a chimeric DNA sequence according to claim 6.
14. Method of transforming plants characterised in that a DNA fragment according to any of claims 1 to 5 is driving expression of a selectable marker gene.
15. Method of producing hybrid regulatory DNA sequences using a fragment or homologue of said DNA fragment according to any of claims 1 to 5.





Fig. 1

2/6

Fig. 2



3/6




Fig. 3




Fig. 4

5/6




Fig. 5





Fig. 6

pMOG22



1 kb




pMOG448



pMOG964




pMOG1178



pJB1178-

(rescued plasmids)



pJBBIN1178-

(binary vectors)

