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Abstract

A web-scale data processing system and method are provided herein. More particularly, a web-scale data processing system and method for crawling, storing, processing, encoding, and/or serving web-scale data are disclosed.
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Processing Unit (210) is connected to the Network Interface (230) and the Optional Display (240). The Network Interface is also connected to the Computer-Readable Storage Medium (260). The Optional Display is connected to the Computer-Readable Storage Medium. The Computer-Readable Storage Medium is connected to the Memory (250), which contains the Operating System (800) and the Aggregation Routine (250). The Operating System (800) is connected to the Data Store (270).
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WEB-SCALE DATA PROCESSING SYSTEM AND METHOD

CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS


FIELD

[0002] The present disclosure relates to obtaining and processing web-scale data, and more particularly to systems and methods for crawling, storing, processing, encoding, and/or serving web-scale data.

BACKGROUND

[0003] The Internet is a worldwide, publicly accessible network of interconnected computer networks that transmit data by packet switching using the standard Internet Protocol (IP). The “network of networks” consists of millions of smaller domestic, academic, business, and government networks, which together enable various services, such as electronic mail, online chat, file transfer, and the interlinked web pages and other documents of the World Wide Web.

[0004] Web Links

[0005] The World Wide Web (commonly shortened to the Web) is a system of interlinked hypertext documents accessed via the Internet. With a Web browser, a user may view Web pages that may contain text, images, videos, and other multimedia. Most pertinent, a Web page may also contain hyperlinks or simply “links” that allow users to navigate from one page to another. A link is a reference or navigation element in a Web page or document that refers to “points” to a destination. The destination may be another location within the source page, or the destination may be a different page or a point within a different page.

[0006] Within a HyperText Markup Language (“HTML”) document, links are typically specified using the <a> (anchor) elements. HTML code may specify some or all of the five main characteristics of a link:

- link destination (“href” pointing to a Uniform Resource Locator (“URL”))
- link label or “anchor text”
- link title
- link target
- link class or link id

[0012] For example, an HTML link specification may use the HTML element “a” with the attribute “href” and optionally also the attributes “title”, “target”, and “class” or “id”:

```
<a href="URL" title="link title" target="link target" class="link class">anchor text</a>
```

[0014] Web Crawling (Para 10) A vast amount of information is available via the Internet, taking the form of Web pages, images, and other types of files. At the present time, “search engines” offer one of the primary resources used by Internet users to locate information of interest. Due at least to the staggering number of pages on the Internet, search engines tend to operate largely algorithmically. Oversimplifying, search engines operate generally as follows. The search engine “crawls” the Web, storing data from pages it encounters on the search engine’s own server or servers, where a second program, known as an index, extracts various information about the page, such as the words it contains and where these are located. The index may also extract information about links contained on the page.

[0015] In recent years, the Web has grown so large that creating such indexes involves so many resources that only very large, very well funded corporations have the resources to crawl and index the Web. Indeed, assuming that the average size of the HTML code of pages on the Web is roughly 25 kilobytes, then 3.9 billion pages (which is a small portion of what would be required to keep an up-to-date index of the Web) represent approximately 90 terabytes of data. Merely storing 90 terabytes of data, let alone processing it, would cost many thousands of dollars at today’s bulk-storage rates. Processing such quantities of data is also typically difficult and expensive in part because the data sets tend to be too large to be stored and processed on a single modern-day computer in a timely fashion. Accordingly, crawling and indexing the Web has largely been the exclusive province of large, well-funded organizations.

[0016] Column-Oriented Database

[0017] Put simply, a column-oriented database management system (DBMS) stores its content by column rather than by row. DBMS systems are commonly used to store tabular data made up of rows and columns. The set of columns is often fixed by program design, while the number of rows is generally variable.

[0018] Many DBMS implementations are row-oriented in that they store every attribute of a given row in sequence, with the last entry of one row followed by the first entry of the next. For example, row-oriented DBMS might store a set of data organized in the following manner:

```
[0019] [101, Smith, 40000], [102, Jones, 50000], [103, Johnson, 44000]
```

[0020] This set of data includes three rows and three columns. The first column is an incrementing ID (number) field; the second a name (text) field; the third, a salary (number) field. By contrast, a column-oriented implementation of a DBMS might organize the same set of data as follows:

```
[0021] [101, 102, 103]; [Smith, Jones, Johnson]; [40000, 50000, 44000]
```

[0022] Column data is of uniform type; therefore, there may be opportunities for storage optimizations in column...
oriented data that are not available in row oriented data. For example, many modern compression schemes, such as those derived from the LZ78 algorithm published by Lempel and Ziv in 1978, make use of the similarity of adjacent data to achieve compression. While the same techniques may be used on row-oriented data, a typical implementation will achieve less effective results.

[0023] Implicit Record IDs

[0024] A common practice, especially in row-oriented databases, is to assign an explicit unique record ID to each record, however, using explicit record IDs is not required—column-oriented or other databases may be used with implicit record IDs. In other words, the first entry in the column has an implicit ID of “1,” the second entry has an implicit ID of “2,” and so on. Problems may arise when data is segregated into two or more independent "epochs" whose internal record IDs collide with each other, and the data in the epochs must be unified into a consistent record ID space.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0025] FIG. 1 is a system diagram of a number of devices in a network in accordance with one embodiment.

[0026] FIG. 2 is a diagram of components of a distributed computer in accordance with one embodiment.

[0027] FIG. 3 is a diagram of an exemplary data structure in accordance with one embodiment.

[0028] FIG. 4 is a diagram of an exemplary set of data tables in accordance with one embodiment.

[0029] FIG. 5 is a diagram of an exemplary merge process and associated breadcrumbs in accordance with one embodiment.

[0030] FIG. 6 is a diagram of an exemplary hierarchical data aggregation in accordance with one embodiment.

[0031] FIG. 7 is a flow diagram of an exemplary hierarchical links aggregation in accordance with one embodiment.

[0032] FIG. 8 is a flow diagram of an exemplary high level aggregation routine in accordance with one embodiment.

[0033] FIG. 9 is a flow diagram of an exemplary data aggregation subroutine in accordance with one embodiment.

[0034] FIG. 10 is a flow diagram of an exemplary hierarchical breadcrumb translation subroutine in accordance with one embodiment.

[0035] FIG. 11 is a flow diagram of an exemplary link translation subroutine in accordance with one embodiment.

[0036] FIG. 12 is a flow diagram of an exemplary cluster sort subroutine in accordance with one embodiment.

[0037] FIG. 13 is a data flow diagram of an exemplary cluster sort subroutine in accordance with one embodiment.

DESCRIPTION

[0038] The detailed description that follows is represented largely in terms of processes and symbolic representations of operations by conventional computer components, including a processor, memory storage devices for the processor, connected display devices, and input devices. Furthermore, these processes and operations may utilize conventional computer components in a heterogeneous distributed computing environment, including remote file Servers, computer Servers and memory storage devices. Each of these conventional distributed computing components is accessible by the processor via a communication network.

[0039] Described herein are several processes and technologies to crawl, store, process, and serve web-scale data. Broadly speaking, an exemplary embodiment consists of a logical pipeline, beginning with a list of URLs to crawl. At the other end are final results ready for consumption by a user (or web front-end). The first step in the exemplary pipeline crawls URLs producing a large set of raw meta-data about those URLs, including many duplicates. These data may be stored on disk temporarily before being saved to stable storage (e.g. Amazon’s S3). When crawling is complete, the whole dataset may be retrieved for various processing steps including duplication, elimination, and deeper link-analysis. Once processing is complete, the processed dataset is merged into any existing index data. The index may then be available for serving user queries where data is efficiently retrieved from disk and combined into answer sets.

[0040] In an exemplary embodiment, crawling methodology may decouple the output of fetching and parsing data from the input of the crawl. An exemplary crawler may begin with a large input set of URLs containing the complete set of URLs to crawl in the immediate future. These URLs may be assumed to contain no duplicates, and could be pre-filtered for Robots Exclusion Protocol (REP). In one embodiment, decoupling the input and output of the crawl by doing duplicate elimination and REP filtering before the crawl results in an O(n) cost for these requirements.

[0041] In an exemplary embodiment, a crawl may be distributed across several computing devices for scale. Each computing device may be responsible for retrieving a set of URLs. In some embodiments, URLs may be assigned to computing devices so that all URLs from a particular Pay Level Domain (PLD) (e.g. seomoz.org, or distilled.co.uk) are likely to be crawled by a single computing device (which helps to ensure politeness of crawling). PLDs may be mixed to promote a homogenous mix of URLs across computing devices. Each computing device may further mix URLs to increase domain diversity, which may improve throughput in the face of politeness considerations. In one embodiment, crawling is done in “epochs,” with each epoch producing an internally, but not globally consistent namespace of URLs (so URL 32 from one epoch might be different than URL 32 from another). See, e.g., FIG. 4.

[0042] In one embodiment, a crawl focuses on page links rather than page content, so page content can be discarded immediately, although some content can be selectively saved if desired. Discarding some or all content may reduce the storage cost by an order of magnitude or more, while retaining high quality data (i.e. links) for internet marketing or other purposes. For example, if the average page size on the web were roughly 25 kilobytes, then 3.9 billion pages (a small portion of what may be required to keep an up-to-date index of the web) are approximately 90 terabytes of data, not including any other useful metadata. Assuming a compression ratio of 10:1, storing this data would require approximately 9TB. Discarding most or all page content, while still keeping some amount of data about links (e.g., page titles and anchor texts) as well as other meta data, may reduce this requirement to approximately 2TB.

[0043] Reference is now made in detail to the description of the embodiments as illustrated in the drawings. While embodiments are described in connection with the drawings and related descriptions, there is no intent to limit the scope to the embodiments disclosed herein. On the contrary, the intent is to cover all alternatives, modifications and equivalents. In alternate embodiments, additional devices, or combinations
of illustrated devices, may be added to, or combined, without
limiting the scope to the embodiments disclosed herein.

0044] FIG. 1 illustrates a typical distributed computing
environment. In alternate embodiments, more distributed
computing devices 200 may be present. In other embodi-
ments, only a single distributed computing device 200 may be
present. In many embodiments, network 105 may be the
Internet. In other embodiments, network 105 may be a local
or wide area network, a private network, a virtual local area
network, or other means of physically or logically connecting
computers. In some embodiments, distributed computing
devices 200 may be virtual machines, and/or they may be
provided as part of a cloud or grid computing service. For
example, in one embodiment, some or all distributed comput-
ing devices 200 may be virtual machines in the Amazon
Elastic Compute Cloud ("EC2"), operated by Amazon.com,
Inc. of Seattle Wash.

0045] FIG. 2 illustrates an exemplary distributed computing
device 200. In some embodiments, a distributed computing
device 200 may include many more components than
those shown in FIG. 2. However, it is not necessary that all of
these generally conventional components be shown in order
to disclose an illustrative embodiment. As shown in FIG. 2, a
distributed computing device 200 includes a network inter-
face 230 for connecting to network 105. Network interface
230 includes the necessary circuitry for such a connection
and is constructed for use with the appropriate protocol.

0046] Distributed computing device 200 also includes a
processing unit 210, a memory 250 and may include an
optional display 240, all interconnected along with network
interface 230 via a bus 220. Memory 250 generally comprises
a random access memory ("RAM"), a read only memory
("ROM"), and a permanent mass storage device, such as a
disk drive. The memory 250 stores program code for aggre-
gation routine 800, as described herein. [Para 43] In addition,
memory 250 also stores an operating system 255. In various
embodiments, these software components may be loaded
from a computer readable storage medium into memory 250
of the distributed computing device 200 using a drive mecha-
nism (not shown) associated with a computer readable stor-
age medium 260 (such as a floppy disc, tape, DVD/CD-ROM
drive, memory card, and the like), via the network interface
230, or via other like means. Some or all of the components
described herein may be "virtual" components.

0047] Memory 250 also includes a data store 270 to house
some or all of the data structures as described below. In some
embodiments, data store 270 may take the form of a conven-
tional hard drive, an array of hard drives, or other accessible
storage device or service. In some embodiments, data store
270 may comprise an online storage service, such as Amazon
Simple Storage Service (S3), operated by Amazon.com, Inc.
of Seattle Wash.

0048] In an exemplary embodiment, one or more distrib-
uted computing devices 200 may be used to "crawl" or index
links on the World Wide Web. FIG. 3 illustrates an exemplary
data structure that may be operated on by the routines
described herein. As used herein, the term "column" refers
to a distinct set of data. Although an exemplary embodiment
is implemented using a column-oriented database, the pro-
cesses disclosed herein are applicable regardless of the par-
ticular architecture used to store the data. In one embodiment,
a data structure may comprise a column of URLs 305 or other
tables, a column of links 310 or other relationships between
entities, and zero or more columns of attributes 315. In one
embodiment, attributes columns may include information
about URLs, such as page sizes, page titles, other metadata,
and the like. In some embodiments, links 310 may also have
one or more attributes (e.g., anchor text, surrounding text, and
the like).

0049] At the present time, indexing the number of URLs
(i.e., pages or link destination) on the web may exceed the
storage and processing capacity of most or all readily-avail-
able individual computing devices. Accordingly, in an exem-
plary embodiment, an indexing task may be divided up into a
number of manageable-sized chunks, with one distributed
computing device 200 being responsible for each chunk. As
a result, as illustrated in FIG. 4, each distributed computing
device may construct its own group of columns of data,
referred to as an "epoch." In one embodiment, an epoch is
sized to fit into the memory available on a single distributed
computing device 200. Generally speaking, each epoch will
have an internally-consistent namespace, but different epochs
are likely to have collisions within their respective
namespaces. For example, epoch 420A includes columns of
data 405A, 410A, 415A gathered by distributed computing
device 200A. Each record in each column in epoch 420A has
a record ID ("RID") 425A. In one embodiment, RIDs 425 are
implicit or capable of being inferred from a record's position
within a column (e.g., the 32nd integer in an integer column
has RID 32). In other embodiments, RIDs 425 may be explic-
itly assigned. Either way, RIDs are consistent within each
epoch.

0050] In one embodiment, column 405A may represent a
set of entity or URL data 305; column 410A may represent
link data 310; and column 415A may represent attribute data
315. In other embodiments, there may be more, fewer, and/or
different columns of data. Records in the link column 410
may comprise RIDs corresponding to entries in another col-
umn. For example, the first record 430A in link column 410A
may indicate that a page corresponding to record "1" 435A in
URL column 405A includes a link to the URL at record "2"
440A in the URL column 405A.

0051] Epochs 420B and 420C each include the same types
of columns as epoch 420A. However, FIG. 4 illustrates that
RIDs 425B and 425C collide or overlap with RIDs 425A. In
other words, although each epoch has an internally-consistent
namespace, the different epochs have namespaces that collide
with at least some other epochs. The colliding namespaces
may be a problem when data from different epochs is merged
or aggregated together.

0052] FIG. 5 illustrates an exemplary merge of one col-
umn from two epochs with colliding namespaces. Column
505 (from epoch A) includes three records with RIDs 1-3.
Column 510 (from epoch B) also includes three records with
RIDs of 1-3. When columns 505 and 510, from two epochs,
are merged into a merged column 525, RIDs in the merged
column 525 may differ from those in the original columns.
For example, record "1" 535 in column 505 became record
"2" 535 in the merged column 525.

0053] As part of the merge process, translation tables 520
and 530 are created. Translation tables associate RIDs
540A-B from a source namespace with RIDs 545A-B in a
destination namespace. In the illustrated example, translation
table 520 associates RIDs 540A from column 505 with RIDs
545A in merged column 525. Specifically, translation table
520 indicates that record "1" 535 in column 505 corresponds
to record "2" 535 in merged column 525, record "2" in col-
umn 505 corresponds to record "3" in merged column 525,
and so on. Translation table 530 indicates similar correspondences between records in column 510 and merged column 525.

[0054] FIG. 6 illustrates a conceptual overview of an exemplary hierarchical aggregation process, including two levels of translation tables. (See also FIG. 9 and associated text.) Epochs 601A-D each include one or more columns comprising data 605A-D and one or more columns 630A-D comprising relationships between records in data columns 605A-D.

RIDs in columns 630A-D, 605A-D within each epoch 601A-D are internally consistent, but collide with each other. In an exemplary embodiment, data columns 605A-D in each epoch 601A-D include an URL column and one or more attributes columns, and columns 630A-D contains links. In the illustrated example, epochs 601A-D are grouped into two merge groups, merge group 650AB including epochs 601A-B and merge group 650CD including epochs 601C-D. In other embodiments, there may be fewer or many more epochs, and merge groups may consist of more than two epochs.

[0055] In the illustrated example, merge group 650AB (comprising data columns 605A and 605I) is merged/sorted into a first interim set of data columns 615AB. Similarly, merge group 650CD (comprising data columns 605C and 605I) is merged/sorted into a second interim set of data columns 615CD. At this stage, link tables 630A-D are left alone, but translation tables 610A-D are created and stored during the merge/sort process. In the illustrated example, translation table 610A maps RIDs from original data columns 605A to RIDs in interim data columns 615AB. Translation tables 6103-D map RIDs from columns 605I-D to their respective interim data columns 615AB and 615CD. RIDs are internally consistent within interim data columns AB and interim data columns CD, but RIDs in the interim data columns collide with each other.

[0056] In the illustrated example, interim columns 615AB are further merged/sorted with columns 615CD, in a similar manner, to form a unified set of data columns 625ABCD, incorporating all data from epochs A-D into a global unified namespace. Translation tables 620AB and 620CD map RIDs from columns 615AB and 615CD, respectively, to RIDs in columns 625ABCD. Columns 625ABCD include N records, where N is less than or equal to the sum of the number of records in epochs A-D. In one embodiment, N is typically smaller than this sum because the individual epoch columns 605A-D may contain duplicates.

[0057] In the illustrated example, two merge/sort levels are depicted, but in other embodiments, there may be more or fewer merge/sort levels. After the operations depicted in FIG. 6, all data columns have been unified into a globally consistent namespace. However, link columns 630A-D have not been aggregated, and RIDs referenced in link columns 630A-D are not accurate with respect to the globally unified namespace.

[0058] FIG. 7 illustrates an exemplary link columns aggregation. Translation tables 620AB and 620CD (created at the second level) are used to respectively translate destination RID references in translation tables 610A-D (created at the first level) into the global namespace. Unified translation tables 610A-D are then used to translate link columns 630A-D into the global unified namespace, after which a simple merge/sort operation creates a global unified link column 705ABCD having M entries. In an exemplary embodiment, M is greater than N, often much greater.

[0059] FIG. 8 illustrates an aggregation routine 800 for performing the operations discussed above in reference to FIG. 6-7. In subroutine 900, illustrated in FIG. 9 and discussed below, data columns (e.g., link and attribute columns) from separate epochs are aggregated into a unified global namespace and translation tables are created at each level. In an exemplary embodiment, the resources required to compute subroutine 900 scale according to O(n log n). In subroutine 1000, illustrated in FIG. 10 and discussed below, the translation tables created in subroutine 900 are translated into the global namespace. In an exemplary embodiment, the resources required to compute subroutine 1000 scale according to O(n log n). In subroutine 1100, illustrated in FIG. 11 and discussed below, link columns in separate epochs are translated into the global namespace. In an exemplary embodiment, the resources required to compute subroutine 1100 scale according to O(mn). In subroutine 1200, the translated link columns from each epoch are cluster sorted and merged into a unified, consistent set of links. In an exemplary embodiment, the resources required to compute routine 805 scale according to O(m log m). Routine 800 ends at step 809.

[0060] FIG. 9 illustrates an exemplary data column aggregation subroutine 900. As previously discussed in reference to FIG. 6, data column aggregation subroutine 900 operates hierarchically on a plurality of separate epochs of data. In block 905, the current level of the hierarchy is initialized to a starting value. In an illustrative embodiment, the starting value is 1. As used herein, the term “level” in this context represents merely a convenient shorthand for conceptually distinguishing between various sets of merge groups and translation tables. In some embodiments, subroutine 900 may be implemented using a literal numeric counter. However, other embodiments may be implemented without explicitly initializing and/or incrementing a literal numeric counter (e.g., subroutine 900 may be implemented as a recursive, rather than iterative, process).

[0061] In step 910, epochs are grouped into merge groups comprising two or more epochs. In one embodiment, the size of merge groups is determined in accordance with an amount of data that can be stored in memory and/or processed on a single distributed computing device 200. In block 912, the number of merge groups is counted. In an exemplary embodiment, there may be several merge groups on low levels of the hierarchy, but only one merge group on the highest level.

[0062] Beginning in starting loop block 915, subroutine 900 processes each merge group. Although subroutine 900 depicts the exemplary process as iterative, in many embodiments, merge groups may be processed in parallel on multiple distributed computing devices 200. In subroutine 1200, one or more data columns in each epoch making up the merge group are merge/sorted (see FIG. 12 and associated text). In block 925, translation tables for the current level are created, one for each group member. In block 930, merged columns from the epochs in the current merge group are stored into an internally consistent namespace. (See items 615 in FIG. 6.) If there were two or more merge groups at the current level, the two or more sets of merged data will have colliding namespaces. From ending loop block 935, subroutine 900 loops back to block 915 to process the next merge group (if any).

[0063] After each merge group has been processed, in block 940, subroutine 900 determines whether the most recent count of merge groups (block 912) found more than one group. If there were two or more merge groups, then all
columns of data have not yet been merged and sorted into a consistent namespace, as there are still at least two sets of merged columns with colliding namespaces. Therefore, subroutine 900 branches to block 945, where the most recently stored merged epochs are divided into new merge groups. At block 950, the level counter is incremented and processing returns to block 912.

If in block 940, subroutine 900 determines that the most recent count of merge groups (block 912) found only one group, then the most recently stored set of merged data (block 930) represents a unified set of data from all epochs, merged into a consistent namespace, and routine 900 returns the merged and sorted columns at block 999.

FIG. 10 illustrates an exemplary translation table transformation (or translation) subroutine 1000. At block 1005, a level counter is initialized to the highest level reached in subroutine 900. Similar to this disclosure’s use of the term “level” in the context of subroutine 900 (discussed above), subroutine 1000’s “level counter” is merely a convenient shorthand for conceptually distinguishing between various sets of merge groups and translation tables. Various embodiments may be implemented with or without initializing and/or decrementing a literal numeric level counter.

Beginning in starting loop block 1010, translation tables from higher levels are applied to transform translation tables from the immediate lower level (if any). In some embodiments, higher and/or lower level translation tables may be distributed in ranges across more than one distributed computing device 200. In such embodiments, there may be additional “housekeeping” required to obtain and/or concatenate ranges from multiple devices into a single table. From starting loop block 1015 to ending loop block 1030, destination RIDs in each translation table at the next lower level are transformed or translated using the translation table at the current level. Although the exemplary process is depicted as iterative, in some embodiments, lower-level translation tables may be processed in parallel on one or more distributed computing devices. For example, a translation table at level one may indicate that source RID “1” becomes destination RID “2”, and a translation table at level two may indicate that source RID “2” becomes destination RID “3.” After the level 1 table is translated according to the level 2 table, the level 1 table would indicate that source RID “1” becomes destination RID “3”. After each destination RID in the lower-level translation table is transformed (or translated) accordingly, the transformed lower-level translation table is stored. In some embodiments, the lower-level table may be overwritten. In other embodiments, a new lower-level translation table having transformed destination RIDs may be written.

After all lower-level translation tables are translated from blocks 1015-30, in block 1035, the level counter is decremented. If translation tables exist at the next lower level, then routine 1000 loops back to beginning loop block 1015 from ending loop block 1040. If not, then destination RIDs in all translation tables have been translated into the global namespace, and routine 1000 ends at 1099.

FIG. 11 illustrates a flow diagram of an exemplary link column translation subroutine 1100. Beginning in starting loop block 1105, subroutine 1100 processes link columns in each epoch. Although the exemplary process is depicted as iterative, in some embodiments, epoch-level link columns may be translated into global namespace in parallel on one or more distributed computing devices. At block 1110, both the source RID and destination RID, of each record in all link columns, are translated according to the appropriate lowest-level global-namespace translation table. For example, an exemplary link column includes the following records: [1,2] [1,3] [2,3]. An exemplary translation table (after being transformed into the global namespace) includes the following records: [1,3] [2,4] [3,5]. Using the translation table to translate the exemplary link column into the global namespace would result in the following records: [3,4] [3,5] [4,5]. In block 1115, the translated link columns, which refer to RIDS in the global namespace, are stored. From ending loop block 1120, subroutine 1100 loops back to block 1105 to process the next epoch (if any). Once all link columns in all epochs have been processed, all link columns have been translated into the global namespace, and processing ends at block 1199.

FIG. 12 illustrates a flow diagram of an exemplary cluster merge/sort subroutine 1200. It is often true that serving web-scale data may be efficiently accomplished by dividing the entire dataset into sorted chunks, each of which is served by a different distributed computing device (or by multiple load balanced devices, or according to other load distribution schemes).

By way of illustration, in an exemplary embodiment, columns of URLs may be sorted alphabetically, with an implicit or explicit RID attached to each entry. If such a data set were distributed across three computing devices, the first computing device might be responsible for serving entries beginning with A-I, the second might serve J-Q, and the third might serve R-Z. Thus, the exemplary cluster merge/sort subroutine 1200 not only sorts data, but also distributes data to the appropriate distributed computing device, while minimizing the amount of coordination required among the distributed computing devices.

Beginning in block 1205, a distributed computing device sorts local data. For example, after block 1205, a distributed computing device may have the following data set: [A, B, D, G, K, L, M, Q, Z]. In block 1215, the distributed computing device determines which range of data it will be assigned to serve. In one embodiment, the distributed computing device may determine its assignment by sampling local data, sharing the sampled data with one or more other distributed computing devices that are also participating in the cluster merge/sort operation, and determining range assignments in accordance with the sampled data. In other embodiments, each device may be assigned a pre-determined range. In still other embodiments, the device may determine a range in accordance with another method. After block 1215, for example, distributed computing device A may be assigned data range A-I; device B, J-P; and device C, Q-Z.

In block 1220, the distributed computing device sorts its local data according to the ranges assigned to it and to other participating distributed computing devices. For example, the distributed computing device may divide its local data into three groups as follows: Range 1, [A, B, D, G]; Range 2, [K, L, M]; Range 3, [Q, Z]. In block 1225, the distributed computing device distributes one or more data groups to the appropriate other participating distributed computing device. In the illustrative example, the distributed computing device would retain range 1 group A, but would send range 2 and 3 data groups to other participating distributed computing devices. In turn, the distributed computing device would receive range 1 data groups from the other participating distributed computing devices. After block 1225, the distributed computing device has a local copy of all
data in its assigned range (e.g., range 1). In block 1230, the distributed computing device merges its local data groups into a single column, and in block 1235, it stores the merged local data. The routine ends at block 1299.

[0073] FIG. 13 illustrates a data flow diagram of one possible implementation of a distributed merge/sort subroutine 1200. In the illustrated exemplary implementation, each participating distributed computing device 200-A-C begins with a local data set that is distributed across a spectrum (e.g., from A-Z), including data that should be assigned to one of the other participating distributed computing devices. Although three computing devices are illustrated, in some embodiments, more or fewer computing devices may be present. In other embodiments, there may be only a single computing device.

[0074] Each participating distributed computing device 200-A-C sorts 1305-A-C local data. For example, after local sorting, distributed computing device 200-A may have the following data set: {A, B, D, G, K, L, M, Q, Z}. Participating distributed computing devices 200-A-C then assign data ranges among themselves. In one embodiment, participating distributed computing device 200-A-C assign ranges by sampling 1310-A-C local data, sharing 1312B-C the sampled data with a designated (or selected, determined, or the like) one of the participating distributed computing devices 200-A. The designated device 200-B determines 1314 data range assignments in accordance with the sampled data. The designated distributed computing device 200A distributes 1315-A-C data range assignments to the other participating distributed computing devices 200B-C. For example, distributed computing device A may be assigned A-I; device B, J-P; and device C, Q-Z.

[0075] Participating distributed computing devices 200-A-C each group 1320-A-C their respective local data according to their assigned ranges. For example, distributed computing device A may group its data into Range 1 [A, B, D, G], Range 2 [K, L, M], and Range 3 [Q, Z]. Distributed computing devices 200-A-C then distribute 1324-26 data groups to their respective assigned computing device. For example, distributed computing device 200-A would retain range 1 group A, but would send 1325A range 2 group A to distributed computing device 200B, and would send 1325A range 3 data group A to distributed computing device 200C.

In turn, distributed computing device 200A would receive 1324B range 1 data group B from distributed computing devices 200B, and would receive 1324C range 1 data group C from distributed computing devices 200C. Similarly, distributed computing device 200A sends 1325A range 2 data group A to distributed computing device 200B, and distributed computing device 200C sends 1325C range 2 data group C to distributed computing device 200B. At this point, each participating distributed computing device 200-A-C has a local copy of all data in its assigned range. Distributed computing devices 200-A-C merge 1330-A-C their local data groups into a single column, and store 1335-A-C their merged local data.

[0076] In some embodiments, distributed merge/sort subroutine 1200 may operate on translation table data. In such embodiments, merged local data columns may be re-distributed and concatenated together to serve as a top-level translation table, which may be used to propagate translations to other data sets.

[0077] In one embodiment, once data is sorted and distributed across participating distributed computing device 200-A-C, each column of data may be indexed by creating much smaller (e.g., 64 kilobyte) chunks that can still be compressed. A clustered index may be built on the first record in each of these chunks. Queries for specific records may first hit the chunk index. Once the relevant chunk is identified, it may be scanned for matching records. This technique may be well-suited to sorted index scans and sorted merge joins. In one embodiment, all request logic may be cast as sorted index scans and sorted merge joins.

[0078] In one embodiment, communication and data transfer between distributed computing devices may be implemented according to a remote execution protocol such as Secure Shell (“SSH”) or JSON Shell (short for JavaScript Object Notation, a lightweight computer data interchange format).

[0079] In some embodiments, data may be compressed according to any of several well-known algorithms (e.g., Lempel-Ziv implementations such as Lzo, and gz, or other types of lossless encoding, such as Huffman, Arithmetic, Golomb, run-length encoding, and the like). In some embodiments, data may also be compressed according to gap encoding schemes tailored for links (i.e., runs of pairs of monotonic increasing integers according to a fixed pattern), run-length encoding schemes also tailored for links (i.e., runs of pairs of sequentially incrementing integers according to a fixed pattern), and/or variable length integer encoding schemes.

[0080] Although specific embodiments have been illustrated and described herein, it will be appreciated by those of ordinary skill in the art that a whole variety of alternate and/or equivalent implementations may be substituted for the specific embodiments shown and described without departing from the scope of the present disclosure. This application is intended to cover any adaptations or variations of the embodiments discussed herein.

1. A method executing on at least one computing system for merging records from a plurality of conflicting namespaces into a globally-unified namespace, the method comprising: performing steps a-d for an initial iteration level; and performing at least steps a-c for a next iteration level:
   a. obtaining a first plurality of source columns associated with a current iteration level, each source column including a plurality of records with source-column-unique, but not first-plurality-unique, identifiers;
   b. grouping said first plurality of source columns into a current merge-group set comprising at least two merge groups for said initial iteration level and at least one merge group for said next iteration level, each merge group including at least two source columns;
   c. for each merge group in said current merge-group set:
      i. sorting and merging records from said at least two source columns in the current merge group into a merged column having a plurality of records with merged-column-unique identifiers;
      ii. storing the current merged column; and
      iii. for each of said at least two source columns in the current merge group, creating a translation table associated with the current iteration-level identifier, said translation table comprising a source entry for each source-column-unique record identifier in the current source column and a destination entry for each corresponding merged-column-unique record identifier in the current merged column;
   d. associating the at least two current merged columns with said next iteration level.

* * * * *