

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
13 September 2007 (13.09.2007)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 2007/103444 A1

(51) International Patent Classification:
H04B 1/707 (2006.01)

(21) International Application Number:
PCT/US2007/005842

(22) International Filing Date: 6 March 2007 (06.03.2007)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:
60/780,711 9 March 2006 (09.03.2006) US
60/825,508 13 September 2006 (13.09.2006) US

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): **INTER-DIGITAL TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION** [US/US];
3411 Silverside Road, Concord Plaza, Suite 105, Hagley Building, Wilmington, Delaware 19810 (US).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): **CHITRAPU, Prabhakar, R.** [US/US]; 135 Brochant Drive, Blue Bell, Pennsylvania 19422 (US). **GOLDBERG, Steven, Jeffrey** [US/US]; 1017 Chiswell Drive, Downingtown, Pennsylvania 19335 (US).

(74) Agent: **BALLARINI, Robert, J.**; Volpe and Koenig, P.C., United Plaza, Suite 1600, 30 South 17th Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103 (US).

(81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KM, KN, KP, KR, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LY, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RS, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, SV, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.


(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, NA, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MT, NL, PL, PT, RO, SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

- with international search report
- before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of receipt of amendments

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

(54) Title: WIRELESS COMMUNICATION METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR PERFORMING KNOWLEDGE-BASED AND BLIND INTERFERENCE CANCELLATION



(57) Abstract: A method and apparatus for cancelling interference in received signals are disclosed. A receiver includes a knowledge-based interference cancellation unit, a blind interference cancellation unit and a trade-off management unit. The knowledge-based interference cancellation unit cancels interference in the received signals based on pre-known knowledge and the blind interference cancellation unit cancels interference in the received signals without the pre-known knowledge. The trade-off management unit determines a trade-off between knowledge-based interference cancellation and blind interference cancellation, whereby at least one of the knowledge-based interference cancellation and the blind interference cancellation is selectively preformed based on the trade-off. The interference cancellation may be performed by implementing at least one of a successive interference cancellation (SIC), a principal component analysis (PCA) and an independent component analysis (ICA). The knowledge-based and the blind interference cancellation may be performed in parallel, in series or in any combination.

WO 2007/103444 A1

[0001] WIRELESS COMMUNICATION METHOD AND
APPARATUS FOR PERFORMING KNOWLEDGE-BASED
AND BLIND INTERFERENCE CANCELLATION

[0002] FIELD OF INVENTION

[0003] The present invention is related to signal processing. More particularly, the present invention is related to a method and apparatus for cancelling interference from received signals by performing knowledge-based and blind interference cancellation.

[0004] BACKGROUND

[0005] In a wireless communication system, a receiver receives signals which include both signals intended for the specific receiver and signals intended for other receivers operating within the same frequency band. The signals intended for other receivers are referred to as interference. There are also sources of noise which produce signals that are not used for communication, but are received by the specific receiver as well. The general formula for a received signal incident to an antenna element is expressed as follows:

$$x = s + \sum I_i + \sum n_k ; \quad \text{Equation (1)}$$

where x is sum of signals of all types, s is signal of interest, $\sum I_i$ is interference due to other communication signals of known characteristics and $\sum n_k$ is noise due to sources of unknown characteristics.

[0006] The capacity of a communication channel is limited by the Shannon's formula:

$$C = W \log_2 \left(1 + \frac{S}{N} \right); \quad \text{Equation (2)}$$

where C is capacity in bits per second, W is channel bandwidth in Hertz, S is the desired signal power and N is the power of all components not of interest which includes interference and noise.

[0007] The signal-to-noise ratio, S/N , in Equation (2) can be replaced by a signal-to-interference plus noise ratio (SINR) which is defined as follows:

$$SINR = \frac{\text{Communication_Signal_Of_Interest}^2}{\sum \text{All_Other_Communication_Signals}^2 + \sum \text{All_Noise_Signals}^2} = \frac{s^2}{\sum I_i^2 + \sum n_k^2};$$

Equation (3)

The channel capacity is therefore $C = W \log_2(1 + SINR)$. Assuming a fixed allocation of bandwidth, W , it would be desirable to increase the value of SINR in order to maximize the capacity of the communication channels.

[0008] A conventional approach to increase the value of SINR is to exploit known characteristics of the signals by extracting them from the received signals, (i.e., non-blind technique). Training sequences are often used to allow the receiver to determine how to differentiate the signal of interest from all other signals. While the signal of interest may be the desired signal for further processing, (e.g., data extraction or location determination), the signal of interest may be one of the other signals in the received signal sum. In the latter case, determining such a signal may facilitate its subtraction from the received signals, leading to a more robust extraction of the desired signal for utilization. When available, this type of processing is often the preferred approach to extract the desired signal, subject to other system level considerations such as computational complexity, channel variation, or the like.

[0009] Successive interference cancellation (SIC) is an example of a non-blind interference cancellation technique. The SIC is based on knowledge of the signals that is either known or determined. Figure 1 shows an exemplary conventional receiver 100 implementing SIC. In the receiver 100, received baseband data 101 is stored in a buffer 102. Interference is subtracted from the stored received baseband data, or the baseband data is 'orthogonally-projected' to the interference signals. The processed data output of the buffer 102 is multiplied with a scrambling code conjugate by a plurality of multipliers 104A-104L and correlated with L codes by a plurality of fast Walsh transformers (FWTs) 106A-106L. The outputs from the FWTs 106A-106L are combined by a

maximal ratio combiner (MRC) 108. One of N outputs is selected by a decision unit 110 as an output and maximum M values selected by a selection unit 112 from N-1 outputs are fed back to the buffer 102 via a signal regeneration unit 114 which cancels the M signals as interference. The M signals are spread again by spreaders 116a-116M and summed by a summer 118. The summed signal is multiplied with a scrambling code by a multiplier 120 and the multipath channel signal is regenerated by the signal regenerator 122. The regenerated signal is subtracted from the received data 101 stored in the buffer 102 as the interference.

[0010] Another conventional approach to signal extraction is a class of signal processing referred to as blind signal processing. The term "blind" refers to the fact that the signals are separated without some information required by the conventional techniques exploiting known characteristics of the signals. For example, a lack of a training sequence or inability to decode it due to excessive signal distortion does not allow comparison of a known signal to a received signal. Therefore, the channel effects on the transmitted signal can not be directly determined.

[0011] Blind signal separation techniques get around this lack of information by exploiting information that still exists in the various signal types. One such type of information is the moment of a signal. Different communications stream sources impart different values to these moments. By maximizing a cost function based on the unique values of these parameters due to each signal, a separation matrix may be determined which will extract each signal from the mixture. Independent component analysis (ICA) and principle component analysis (PCA) are examples of blind signal separation methods.

[0012] Both the non-blind and blind techniques have their appropriate applications. When there is knowledge concerning the signal components, a non-blind technique is usually the more robust one to utilize, although the blind technique may also work. When the knowledge is not available, the blind technique should be used.

[0013] The non-blind and blind techniques have been jointly employed in receive processing only to a limited degree. One example is outlined in a paper entitled "Inter-Cell Interference Cancellation in CDMA Array Systems by Independent Component Analysis", (available from web site <http://www.kecl.ntt.co.jp/icl/signal/ica2003/>). Figure 2 is a block diagram of a receiver 200 in accordance with this approach. In Figure 2, signal aggregates from different cells are separated by an ICA unit 202. A separated aggregate containing the data for the receiver 200 is then selected by a selection unit 204 and the selected data alone is fed to a first Rake processing block 206 which is a non-blind approach as it exploits the spreading codes. The selection unit 204 is necessary since the ICA processing can output the separated signals in an initially unknown order. The selection unit 204 performs a minimal amount of processing to identify the target stream. For example, this may be performed by decoding a portion of the stream which should produce a known sequence of data, or have a specific set of signal characteristics. The stream which best satisfies the criteria is selected by the selection unit 204. The received signal may be processed only by a second Rake processing block 208 via a pre-switch 210 and a post switch 212. The two Rake processing blocks 206, 208 may be the same processing block.

[0014] While this approach is beneficial, it does not always work as exemplified by the figure illustrating the selection of its use or the avoidance of the ICA portion of the processing. This is because under certain circumstances the ICA processing actually has a detrimental overall effect on the extracted signal quality. An example of this problem is when the product of the separation matrix and the noise exceeds the gains from the separation of the signals. Therefore, a means to obtain consistent and robust SINR improvements is desirable.

[0015]

SUMMARY

[0016] The present invention is related to a method and apparatus for cancelling interference in received signals. A receiver includes a knowledge-

based interference cancellation unit, a blind interference cancellation unit and a trade-off management unit. The knowledge-based interference cancellation unit cancels interference in the received signals based on pre-known knowledge and the blind interference cancellation unit cancels interference in the received signals without the pre-known knowledge. The trade-off management unit determines a trade-off between knowledge-based interference cancellation and blind interference cancellation, whereby at least one of the knowledge-based interference cancellation and the blind interference cancellation is selectively preformed based on the trade-off. The interference cancellation may be performed by implementing at least one of an SIC, a PCA and an ICA. The knowledge-based and the blind interference cancellation may be performed in parallel, in series or in any combination. A semi-blind interference cancellation unit may be further provided to perform a semi-blind technique for interference cancellation.

[0017] BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0018] Figure 1 is a block diagram of a conventional receiver implementing blind and non-blind interference cancellation.

[0019] Figure 2 is a block diagram of a conventional receiver implementing SIC.

[0020] Figure 3 is a block diagram of a receiver for cancelling interference in accordance with one embodiment of the present invention.

[0021] Figures 4 and 5 are block diagrams of a receiver configured to selectively implement interference cancellation in accordance with another embodiment of the present invention.

[0022] Figure 6 is a block diagram of a receiver configured to perform knowledge-based and blind interference cancellation selectively in accordance with another embodiment of the present invention.

[0023] Figure 7 is a block diagram of a receiver for selective interference cancellation in accordance with another embodiment of the present invention.

[0024] Figure 8 is a block diagram of a receiver which is a generalized version of the receiver in Figure 7.

[0025] DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

[0026] The present invention may be implemented in any type of wireless communication system, as desired. By way of example, the present invention may be implemented in any type of IEEE 802 system, wideband code division multiple access (WCDMA), universal mobile telecommunication system (UMTS)-frequency division duplex (FDD), UMTS-time division duplex (TDD), time division synchronous code division multiple access (TDSCDMA), CDMA2000, orthogonal frequency division multiplex (OFDM)-multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) or any other type of wireless communication system.

[0027] The present invention may also be implemented as a digital signal processor (DSP), or on an integrated circuit (IC), such as an application specific integrated circuit (ASIC), multiple ICs, logical programmable gate array (LPGA), discrete components, or a combination of DSP, ICs, LPGAs, and discrete components. The use and combination of devices is a tradeoff of space, cost, interconnection complexity, manufacturing issues, power consumption, and processing requirements.

[0028] The present invention may be implemented as a wireless transmit/receive unit (WTRU) or a base station. The terminology "WTRU" includes but is not limited to a user equipment (UE), a mobile station, a fixed or mobile subscriber unit, a pager, or any other type of device capable of operating in a wireless environment. The terminology "base station" includes but is not limited to a Node-B, a site controller, an access point (AP) or any other type of interfacing device in a wireless environment.

[0029] Figure 3 is a block diagram of a receiver 300 for cancelling interference in accordance with one embodiment of the present invention. The receiver 300 includes an SIC unit 302, a PCA unit 304, an ICA unit 306, a signal selection unit 308 and a demodulator 310, where SIC, PCA and ICA are performed in series.

[0030] The received signals 301 are first processed by the SIC unit 302 to cancel interference using an SIC technique. SIC is an iterative process for cancelling interference from received signals and may be terminated upon one of the following conditions:

1. the number of signals that the receiver 300 is able to identify from the received signals 301 has been exhausted;
2. additional signals can be identified, but their power levels are too close to allow accurate removal; or
3. the quality of the interference cancelled signal has reached to an adequate level for signal to be passed to a demodulation process.

[0031] The third condition means that there is no need to perform additional interference cancellation and therefore a subsequent blind interference cancellation, (i.e., PCA and ICA), may not be performed. However, in the case of the first and second conditions, there is a room for further enhancement by performing a subsequent blind interference cancellation. In such case, the PCA unit 304 processes the output of the SIC unit 302 and the ICA unit 306 may further process the output from the PCA unit 304 to further improve the SINR of the received signals 301. The signal selection unit 308 selects one of a plurality of signals from the output of the ICA unit 306 and forwards the selected signal to the demodulator 310 for further processing.

[0032] A blind interference cancellation method, (such as PCA or ICA), separates unknown signals based on iterative decorrelation (first and second moments in the case of PCA and third and fourth moments in the case of ICA) to a cost function. The ICA has the additional benefit of being able to remove a Gaussian signal. If the number of signals is sufficient in number and mixing, they often appear as a single Gaussian signal as indicated by the central limit theorem. In such a case, the signal aggregate will actually be separable. It should be noted that the SIC is provided as an example and any other knowledge-based interference cancellation means may be implemented.

[0033] Figure 4 is a block diagram of a receiver 400 configured to selectively implement interference cancellation in accordance with another

embodiment of the present invention. The receiver 400 includes an SIC unit 402, a PCA unit 404, an ICA unit 406, a signal selection unit 408, a demodulator 410, a first analysis unit 412, a second analysis unit 414 and a third analysis unit 416. Certain functions may be advantageous to avoid under certain circumstances. For example, PCA, which is a whitening technique, tries to maximally decorrelate the signal vectors making up the sum from the noise signals. However, the whitening often increases the noise level. Therefore, in some cases, it is favorable to skip the PCA process.

[0034] After the received signals 401 are processed by the SIC unit 402, the first analysis unit 412 determines whether it is advantageous to perform PCA. If the determination is positive, the interference cancelled signal 403 by the SIC unit 402 is sent to the PCA unit 404. After the signal 403 is processed by the PCA unit 404, the second analysis unit 414 determines whether it is advantageous to further perform ICA. If the determination is positive, the output 405 of the PCA unit 404 is forwarded to the ICA unit 406. The ICA unit 406 separates the components of the output 405 of the PCA unit 404 and one of the components is selected by the signal selection unit 408. The selected signal 409 is then sent to the demodulator 410 for demodulation. If the first analysis unit 412 determines that the PCA is not advantageous, the third analysis unit 416 further determines whether it is advantageous to process ICA on the output 403 of the SIC 402. If the determination is positive, the output 403 of the SIC unit 402 is sent to the ICA unit 406 for signal cancellation using ICA.

[0035] The determination by the analysis units 412, 414, 416 may be based on the remaining signal sum, (i.e., output from the previous processing units). Alternatively, as shown in Figure 5, the determination may be based on feedback from subsequent processing units and demodulated signals 411 made by the demodulator 410. As shown in Figure 5, the first analysis unit 412 compares the output 403, the output 405 from the PCA unit 404 and the demodulated signals 411. The second analysis unit 414 compares the output 405 from the PCA unit 404, the output 407 from the ICA unit 406 and demodulated signals 411. The third analysis unit 416 compares the output 405 from the PCA unit 404, the

output 407 from the ICA unit 406 and the demodulated signals 411. The signal comparisons may be performed by a number of different techniques. For example, the signals may be compared to determine if they have essentially changed before and after the processing units. A lack of significant change indicates the processing unit is likely unnecessary. Alternatively, the signal may be fed back along the processing chain. For instance, the demodulated data has usually been processed by error correction techniques. The number of errors encountered may be the feed back data. By monitoring the data error rate in conjunction with the usage of a particular processing unit, it may be determined if the processing unit should be used or not.

[0036] Some or all of the comparisons performed by the analysis units 412, 414, 416 may not be implemented and subsequent steps, (e.g., PCA and/or ICA), may be automatically performed without such comparisons. This is because the overhead involved with making the decision may be excessive; the decision may not be reasonably made under specific conditions; the logic to perform the decision may not be an effective trade-off of implementation requirements versus anticipated results; or due to timing restrictions it is desirable to perform the subsequent processing whether or not it is beneficial.

[0037] Figure 6 is block diagram of a receiver 600 configured to perform knowledge-based interference cancellation and blind interference cancellation selectively in accordance with another embodiment of the present invention. The receiver 600 includes a knowledge-based interference cancellation unit 602, a blind interference cancellation unit 604, a demodulator 606 and a trade-off management unit 608. The knowledge-based interference cancellation unit 602 cancels interference based on "pre-known" knowledge and the blind interference cancellation unit 604 cancels interference without the pre-known knowledge. The knowledge-based interference cancellation unit 602 and the blind interference cancellation unit 604 are selectively turned on and off under the control of the trade-off management unit 608. The trade-off management unit 608 considers a plurality of trade-off factors and determines which interference cancellation be performed.

[0038] For example, ICA can separate out signals as one Gaussian signal under specific conditions. Therefore, it may be advantageous to defer some signal removal from the knowledge-based processing to the blind processing, even though the knowledge-based processing may handle that signal. One reason is that a premature removal of specific signals will decrease the effectiveness of the central limit theorem due to the nature of the Gaussian aggregate presented to the blind processing. Another reason is that the overhead in the knowledge-based processing is excessive compared to the blind processing.

[0039] Figure 7 is a block diagram of a receiver 700 for selective interference cancellation in accordance with another embodiment of the present invention. The receiver 700 includes a switch matrix 702, an SIC unit 704, a PCA unit 706, an ICA unit 708 and a combiner 710. Received signals 701 are fed to the switch matrix 702 and forwarded to at least one of the SIC unit 704, the PCA unit 706 and the ICA 708 via the switch matrix 702. The received signals 701 are then processed by at least one interference cancellation unit 704, 706, 708 and the interference cancelled signal 704a, 706a, 708a is forwarded to the combiner 710. Alternatively, the interference cancelled signal 704a, 706a, 708a may be fed back to the switch matrix 702 to be forwarded to another interference cancellation unit. In accordance with this embodiment, any combination of interference cancellation is possible.

[0040] For example, the switch matrix 702 may forward the received signals 701 to the SIC unit 704, the PCA unit 706 and the ICA unit 708 at the same time such that the interference cancellation, (i.e., SIC, PCA, and/or ICA) is performed in parallel on the same data. After the processing, the interference-cancelled signals 704a, 706a, 708a are then examined to determine which ones are usable and combinable, or whether feedback is required to perform another interference cancellation. Depending on the examination, the interference-cancelled signals 704a, 706a, 708a may be combined by the combiner 710 or fed back to the switch matrix 702.

[0041] Alternatively, the interference cancellation may be performed dynamically. For example, if the power levels of the signal components are not

strictly in decreasing order, the interference cancellation may be performed dynamically. SIC processing may optimally identify and remove a signal if it is the dominant one remaining in the signal sum. However, if two or more signals are not sufficiently different to be separable, the blind signal separation should be applied. For example, if the order of the signal components is as follows in decreasing significance: s_1 , $s_2 \approx s_3$, s_4 , s_5 , the signal s_1 would be removed by the SIC unit 704. However, the signals s_2 and s_3 would require blind separation either by the PCA unit 706 or the ICA unit 708 due to neither being dominant. If the rank of the mixing matrix could be set to at least four, the signals s_4 and s_5 may be separated by the ICA unit 708. However, if their characteristics are known, or the signal sums available for mixing are insufficient, s_4 and s_5 may be separated by the SIC unit 704. In such case, the signal sum after removal of signals s_2 and s_3 are routed back to the SIC unit 704 to complete the signal processing before passing the result(s) on to the combiner 710. The above description is provided merely as examples of the flexibility of the approach in accordance with the present invention and any variance may be possible.

[0042] Figure 8 is a block diagram of a receiver 800 which is a generalized version of the receiver 700 in Figure 7. The receiver 800 includes a switch matrix 802, a knowledge-based interference cancellation unit 804, a blind interference cancellation unit 806, a semi-blind interference cancellation unit 808 (optional), a combiner 810 and a trade-off management unit 812. The semi-blind interference cancellation unit 808 exploits both knowledge-based and blind techniques as appropriate. For instance, when a synchronization pattern is available, the most robust processing approach is to use it to determine the signal extraction matrix. However, if the synchronization pattern can not be identified, or is not available during particular sequence of data, a blind technique may be employed. The semi-blind interference cancellation unit 808 may therefore change from one means to another, or employ both means as appropriate. Another example of semi-blind interference cancellation is when the receiver knows that the interference is one of M known signals, but does not know which one it is. In Figure 8, the specific processing units in Figure 7 are replaced by classes of

processors, indicating that depending on the specific applications and future approaches, other means and combinations may be supported. The trade-off management unit 812 determines how the processing should proceed based on various factors, such as the signal sum state being adequate for demodulation, latency constraints, power consumption, likelihood of further processing being beneficial, or the like.

[0043] Embodiments.

[0044] 1. A method of cancelling interference from received signals.

[0045] 2. The method of embodiment 1 comprising receiving signals.

[0046] 3. The method as in any one of embodiments 1-2, comprising determining a trade-off between knowledge-based interference cancellation and blind interference cancellation.

[0047] 4. The method of embodiment 3, comprising performing interference cancellation using at least one of the knowledge-based interference cancellation and the blind interference cancellation based on the determined trade-off.

[0048] 5. The method as in any one of embodiments 3-4, wherein the interference cancellation is an SIC.

[0049] 6. The method as in any one of embodiments 3-5, wherein the blind interference cancellation is performed using at least one of a PCA and an ICA.

[0050] 7. The method as in any one of embodiments 3-6, wherein the trade-off is determined based on at least one of sufficiency for demodulation after interference cancellation, latency constraints, power consumption, and a determination regarding whether further processing is beneficial.

[0051] 8. The method as in any one of embodiments 4-7, wherein the knowledge-based interference cancellation and the blind interference cancellation are performed in parallel.

[0052] 9. The method as in any one of embodiments 4-7, wherein the knowledge-based interference cancellation and the blind interference cancellation are performed in series.

- [0053] 10. The method as in any one of embodiments 4-9, further comprising selectively performing semi-blind interference cancellation based on the determined trade-off.
- [0054] 11. An interference cancellation apparatus for canceling interference signals from received signals.
- [0055] 12. The apparatus of embodiment 11 comprising a knowledge-based interference cancellation unit configured to cancel interference in the received signals based on pre-known knowledge.
- [0056] 13. The apparatus as in any one of embodiments 11-12, comprising a blind interference cancellation unit configured to cancel interference in the received signals without the pre-known knowledge.
- [0057] 14. The apparatus of embodiment 13 comprising a trade-off management unit configured to determine a trade-off between knowledge-based interference cancellation and blind interference cancellation, whereby interference cancellation is performed by at least one of the knowledge-based interference cancellation unit and the blind interference cancellation unit based on the trade-off.
- [0058] 15. The apparatus as in any one of embodiments 13-14, wherein the interference cancellation is SIC.
- [0059] 16. The apparatus as in any one of embodiments 14-15, wherein the blind interference cancellation unit implements one of a PCA and an ICA.
- [0060] 17. The apparatus as in any one of embodiments 14-16, wherein the trade-off management unit is configured to determine the trade-off based on at least one of sufficiency for demodulation after interference cancellation, latency constraints, power consumption, and determination regarding whether further processing is beneficial.
- [0061] 18. The apparatus as in any one of embodiments 14-17, wherein the knowledge-based interference cancellation and the blind interference cancellation are performed in parallel.

- [0062] 19. The apparatus of embodiment 18 further comprising a switch matrix for selectively forwarding the received signals to the knowledge-based interference cancellation unit and the blind interference cancellation unit.
- [0063] 20. The apparatus of embodiment 19 comprising a combiner for combining outputs from the knowledge-based interference cancellation unit and the blind interference cancellation unit.
- [0064] 21. The apparatus of embodiment 20 wherein the outputs from the knowledge-based interference cancellation unit and the blind interference cancellation unit are sent back to the switch matrix to be processed by another interference cancellation unit.
- [0065] 22. The apparatus as in any one of embodiments 14-21, further comprising a semi-blind interference cancellation unit for performing a semi-blind technique for interference cancellation.
- [0066] 23. The apparatus as in any one of embodiments 14-17, wherein the knowledge-based interference cancellation technique and the blind interference cancellation technique are performed in series.
- [0067] 24. The apparatus as in any one of embodiments 11-23, wherein the apparatus is a WTRU.
- [0068] 25. The apparatus as in any one of embodiments 11-23, wherein the apparatus is a base station.
- [0069] 26. The apparatus as in any one of embodiments 11-23, wherein the apparatus is an IC.
- [0070] 27. A method of cancelling interference from received signals.
- [0071] 28. The method of embodiment 27 comprising (a) receiving signals.
- [0072] 29. The method of embodiment 28 comprising (b) performing SIC on the received signals.
- [0073] 30. The method as in any one of embodiments 28-29, comprising (c) performing interference cancellation using PCA.
- [0074] 31. The method as in any one of embodiments 29-30, comprising (d) demodulating the interference cancelled signals.

[0075] 32. The method as in any one of embodiments 30-31, further comprising determining whether it is desirable to perform interference cancellation using PCA, whereby the interference cancellation using the PCA is performed only if the determination is positive.

[0076] 33. The method of embodiment 32 wherein the determination is based on the demodulated signals and interference-cancelled signals at step (c).

[0077] 34. The method as in any one of embodiments 28-33, further comprising (e) performing interference cancellation using ICA.

[0078] 35. The method of embodiment 34 further comprising determining whether it is desirable to perform interference cancellation using ICA, whereby the interference cancellation using the ICA is performed only if the determination is positive.

[0079] 36. The method of embodiment 35 wherein the determination is based on the demodulated signals and interference-cancelled signals at step (e).

[0080] 37. An apparatus for cancelling interference from received signals.

[0081] 38. The apparatus of embodiment 37 comprising an SIC unit for performing SIC on received signals.

[0082] 39. The apparatus as in any one of embodiments 37-38, comprising a PCA unit for performing interference cancellation using PCA.

[0083] 40. The apparatus as in any one of embodiments 38-39, comprising a demodulator for demodulating the interference cancelled signals.

[0084] 41. The apparatus as in any one of embodiments 39-40, further comprising a first analysis unit configured to determine whether it is desirable to perform interference cancellation using PCA, whereby the PCA unit performs interference cancellation using the PCA only if the determination is positive.

[0085] 42. The apparatus of embodiment 41 wherein the first analysis unit makes the determination based on the demodulated signals and interference-cancelled signal by the PCA unit.

[0086] 43. The apparatus as in any one of embodiments 37-42, further comprising an ICA unit for performing interference cancellation using ICA.

[0087] 44. The apparatus of embodiment 43 further comprising a second analysis unit configured to determine whether it is desirable to perform interference cancellation using ICA, whereby the ICA unit performs interference cancellation using the ICA only if the determination is positive.

[0088] 45. The apparatus of embodiment 44 wherein the second analysis unit makes the determination based on the demodulated signals and interference-cancelled signal by the ICA unit.

[0089] 46. The apparatus as in any one of embodiments 37-45, wherein the apparatus is a WTRU.

[0090] 47. The apparatus as in any one of embodiments 37-45, wherein the apparatus is a base station.

[0091] 48. The apparatus as in any one of embodiments 37-45, wherein the apparatus is an IC.

[0092] Although the features and elements of the present invention are described in the preferred embodiments in particular combinations, each feature or element can be used alone without the other features and elements of the preferred embodiments or in various combinations with or without other features and elements of the present invention.

* * *

CLAIMS

What is claimed is:

1. A method of cancelling interference from received signals, the method comprising:
receiving signals;
determining a trade-off between knowledge-based interference cancellation and blind interference cancellation; and
performing interference cancellation using at least one of the knowledge-based interference cancellation and the blind interference cancellation based on the determined trade-off.
2. The method of claim 1 wherein the interference cancellation is a successive interference cancellation (SIC).
3. The method of claim 1 wherein the blind interference cancellation is performed using at least one of a principal component analysis (PCA) and an independent component analysis (ICA).
4. The method of claim 1 wherein the trade-off is determined based on at least one of sufficiency for demodulation after interference cancellation, latency constraints, power consumption, and a determination regarding whether further processing is beneficial.
5. The method of claim 1 wherein the knowledge-based interference cancellation and the blind interference cancellation are performed in parallel.
6. The method of claim 1 wherein the knowledge-based interference cancellation and the blind interference cancellation are performed in series.
7. The method of claim 1 further comprising selectively performing semi-blind interference cancellation based on the determined trade-off.

8. An interference cancellation apparatus for canceling interference signals from received signals, the apparatus comprising:

a knowledge-based interference cancellation unit configured to cancel interference in the received signals based on pre-known knowledge;

a blind interference cancellation unit configured to cancel interference in the received signals without the pre-known knowledge; and

a trade-off management unit configured to determine a trade-off between knowledge-based interference cancellation and blind interference cancellation, whereby interference cancellation is performed by at least one of the knowledge-based interference cancellation unit and the blind interference cancellation unit based on the trade-off.

9. The apparatus of claim 8 wherein the interference cancellation is successive interference cancellation (SIC).

10. The apparatus of claim 8 wherein the blind interference cancellation unit implements one of a principal component analysis (PCA) and an independent component analysis (ICA).

11. The apparatus of claim 8 wherein the trade-off management unit is configured to determine the trade-off based on at least one of sufficiency for demodulation after interference cancellation, latency constraints, power consumption, and determination regarding whether further processing is beneficial.

12. The apparatus of claim 8 wherein the knowledge-based interference cancellation and the blind interference cancellation are performed in parallel.

13. The apparatus of claim 12 further comprising:

a switch matrix for selectively forwarding the received signals to the knowledge-based interference cancellation unit and the blind interference cancellation unit; and

a combiner for combining outputs from the knowledge-based interference cancellation unit and the blind interference cancellation unit.

14. The apparatus of claim 13 wherein the outputs from the knowledge-based interference cancellation unit and the blind interference cancellation unit are sent back to the switch matrix to be processed by another interference cancellation unit.

15. The apparatus of claim 8 further comprising:
a semi-blind interference cancellation unit for performing a semi-blind technique for interference cancellation.

16. The apparatus of claim 8 wherein the knowledge-based interference cancellation technique and the blind interference cancellation technique are performed in series.

17. The apparatus of claim 8 wherein the apparatus is a wireless transmit/receive unit (WTRU).

18. The apparatus of claim 8 wherein the apparatus is a base station.

19. The apparatus of claim 8 wherein the apparatus is an integrated circuit (IC).

20. A method of cancelling interference from received signals, the method comprising:

(a) receiving signals;

- (b) performing successive interference cancellation (SIC) on the received signals;
- (c) performing interference cancellation using principle component analysis (PCA); and
- (d) demodulating the interference cancelled signals.

21. The method of claim 20 further comprising determining whether it is desirable to perform interference cancellation using PCA, whereby the interference cancellation using the PCA is performed only if the determination is positive.

22. The method of claim 21 wherein the determination is based on the demodulated signals and interference-cancelled signals at step (c).

23. The method of claim 20 further comprising:

- (e) performing interference cancellation using independent component analysis (ICA).

24. The method of claim 23 further comprising determining whether it is desirable to perform interference cancellation using ICA, whereby the interference cancellation using the ICA is performed only if the determination is positive.

25. The method of claim 24 wherein the determination is based on the demodulated signals and interference-cancelled signals at step (e).

26. An apparatus for cancelling interference from received signals, the apparatus comprising:

- a successive interference cancellation (SIC) unit for performing SIC on received signals;

a principle component analysis (PCA) unit for performing interference cancellation using PCA; and

a demodulator for demodulating the interference cancelled signals.

27. The apparatus of claim 26 further comprising a first analysis unit configured to determine whether it is desirable to perform interference cancellation using PCA, whereby the PCA unit performs interference cancellation using the PCA only if the determination is positive.

28. The apparatus of claim 27 wherein the first analysis unit makes the determination based on the demodulated signals and interference-cancelled signal by the PCA unit.

29. The apparatus of claim 26 further comprising:
an independent component analysis (ICA) unit for performing interference cancellation using ICA.



30. The apparatus of claim 29 further comprising a second analysis unit configured to determine whether it is desirable to perform interference cancellation using ICA, whereby the ICA unit performs interference cancellation using the ICA only if the determination is positive.



31. The apparatus of claim 30 wherein the second analysis unit makes the determination based on the demodulated signals and interference-cancelled signal by the ICA unit.

32. The apparatus of claim 26 wherein the apparatus is a wireless transmit/receive unit (WTRU).

33. The apparatus of claim 26 wherein the apparatus is a base station.

34. The apparatus of claim 26 wherein the apparatus is an integrated circuit (IC).







3/4




FIG. 5




FIG. 6






FIG. 7




FIG. 8

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No
PCT/US2007/005842

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

INV. H04B1/707

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)
H04B

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, WPI Data, INSPEC, IBM-TDB

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	HUOVINEN T., RISTANIEMI T.: "Independent component analysis using successive interference cancellation for oversaturated data" EUROPEAN TRANSACTIONS ON TELECOMMUNICATIONS, [Online] vol. 17, no. 5, 17 October 2005 (2005-10-17), pages 577-589, XP002443587 Retrieved from the Internet: URL: http://www3.interscience.wiley.com/cgi-bin/fulltext/112125329/PDFSTART [retrieved on 2009-07-19]	1-12, 15-34
A	*sections 1-4 and 5.3; figure 1* ----- -/--	13,14

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.

☐ See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

- *A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- *E* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- *L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- *C* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- *P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- *T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- *X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- *Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- *&* document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

20 July 2007

Date of mailing of the international search report

31/07/2007

Name and mailing address of the ISA/

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Nilsson, Martin

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No

PCT/US2007/005842

C(Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	HUOVINEN T ET AL: "Blind source separation based successive interference cancellation in the ds-cdma uplink" CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS AND SIGNAL PROCESSING, 2004. FIRST INTERNATIONAL SYMPOSIUM ON HAMMAMET, TUNISIA MARCH 21-24, 2004, PISCATAWAY, NJ, USA, IEEE, 21 March 2004 (2004-03-21), pages 775-778, XP010705652 ISBN: 0-7803-8379-6 *sections 1-4; figure 1*	1-12, 15-34
X	HUOVINEN T ET AL: "DS-CDMA capacity enhancement using blind source separation based group-wise successive interference cancellation" SIGNAL PROCESSING ADVANCES IN WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS, 2004 IEEE 5TH WORKSHOP ON LISBON, PORTUGAL 11-14 JULY 2004, PISCATAWAY, NJ, USA, IEEE, 11 July 2004 (2004-07-11), pages 184-188, XP010806310 ISBN: 0-7803-8337-0 *sections I-IV; figure 1*	1-12, 15-34
A	TAO LI ET AL: "Blind Digital Signal Separation Using Successive Interference Cancellation Iterative Least Squares" IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON SIGNAL PROCESSING, IEEE SERVICE CENTER, NEW YORK, NY, US, vol. 48, no. 11, November 2000 (2000-11), XP011059156 ISSN: 1053-587X abstract	1-34