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The ornamental design of an electronic device, substantially as shown and described.

DESCRIPTION

FIG. 1 is a front perspective view of an electronic device in accordance with the present invention;
FIG. 2 is a rear perspective view of the electronic device in FIG. 1;
FIG. 3 is a front view thereof;
FIG. 4 is a rear view thereof;
FIG. 5 is a top view thereof;
FIG. 6 is bottom view thereof;
FIG. 7 is a left side view thereof;
FIG. 8 is a right side view thereof;
FIG. 9 is a front perspective view of another embodiment of an electronic device in accordance with the present invention;
FIG. 10 is a rear perspective view of the electronic device in FIG. 9;
FIG. 11 is a front view thereof;
FIG. 12 is a rear view thereof;
FIG. 13 is a top view thereof;
FIG. 14 is bottom view thereof;
FIG. 15 is a left side view thereof;
FIG. 16 is a right side view thereof;
FIG. 17 is a front perspective view of another embodiment of an electronic device in accordance with the present invention;
FIG. 18 is a rear perspective view of the electronic device in FIG. 17;
FIG. 19 is a front view thereof;
FIG. 20 is a rear view thereof;
FIG. 21 is a top view thereof;
FIG. 22 is bottom view thereof;
FIG. 23 is a left side view thereof;
FIG. 24 is a right side view thereof;
FIG. 25 is a front perspective view of another embodiment of an electronic device in accordance with the present invention;
FIG. 26 is a rear perspective view of the electronic device in FIG. 25;
FIG. 27 is a front view thereof;
FIG. 28 is a rear view thereof;
FIG. 29 is a top view thereof;
FIG. 30 is bottom view thereof;
FIG. 31 is a left side view thereof;
FIG. 32 is a right side view thereof;
FIG. 33 is a front perspective view of another embodiment of an electronic device in accordance with the present invention;
FIG. 34 is a rear perspective view of the electronic device in FIG. 33;
FIG. 35 is a front view thereof;
FIG. 36 is a rear view thereof;
FIG. 37 is a top view thereof;
FIG. 38 is bottom view thereof;
FIG. 39 is a left side view thereof;
FIG. 40 is a right side view thereof;
FIG. 41 is a front perspective view of another embodiment of an electronic device in accordance with the present invention;
FIG. 42 is a rear perspective view of the electronic device in FIG. 41;
FIG. 43 is a front view thereof;
FIG. 44 is a rear view thereof;
FIG. 45 is a top view thereof;
FIG. 46 is bottom view thereof;
FIG. 47 is a left side view thereof; and,
FIG. 48 is a right side view thereof.

The broken lines showing the remainder of the electronic device are directed to environment. The broken lines, within the claimed design, in embodiments 1, 2, and 4 that depict an elongated oval shape and the broken lines, within the claimed design, in embodiments 2, 3, and 6 that depict a circle shape are superimposed on a continuous surface and are for illustrative purposes only. The broken lines, within the claimed design, in embodiments 1, 3, and 5 that depict a large rectangular shape, indicate a non claimed shape below the continuous front surface and are for illustrative purposes only. None of the broken lines form a part of the claimed design.
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