



(86) Date de dépôt PCT/PCT Filing Date: 2014/02/19  
 (87) Date publication PCT/PCT Publication Date: 2014/08/28  
 (45) Date de délivrance/Issue Date: 2022/03/08  
 (85) Entrée phase nationale/National Entry: 2015/08/12  
 (86) N° demande PCT/PCT Application No.: JP 2014/053949  
 (87) N° publication PCT/PCT Publication No.: 2014/129512  
 (30) Priorité/Priority: 2013/02/22 (JP2013-033556)

(51) Cl.Int./Int.Cl. *A01N 47/36* (2006.01),  
*A01N 41/10* (2006.01), *A01N 43/50* (2006.01),  
*A01N 43/66* (2006.01), *A01P 13/00* (2006.01)  
 (72) Inventeurs/Inventors:  
 YAMADA, RYU, JP;  
 OKAMOTO, HIROYUKI, JP;  
 TERADA, TAKASHI, JP  
 (73) Propriétaire/Owner:  
 ISHIHARA SANGYO KAISHA, LTD., JP  
 (74) Agent: SMART & BIGGAR LLP

(54) Titre : COMPOSITIONS HERBICIDES CONTENANT DU FLAZASULFURON  
 (54) Title: HERBICIDAL COMPOSITIONS COMPRISING FLAZASULFURON

(57) **Abrégé/Abstract:**

At present, many herbicidal compositions have been developed and used, but they are not necessarily sufficient to control undesired plants such as weeds to be controlled in some cases, and a herbicidal composition having high activity has been desired. A herbicidal composition comprising flazasulfuron or its salt, and at least one herbicidal compound selected from the group consisting of imazapic, hexazinone, mesotrione and their salts, and a method for controlling undesired plants using it.

ABSTRACT

At present, many herbicidal compositions have been developed and used, but they are not necessarily sufficient to control undesired plants such as weeds to be controlled in some cases, and a herbicidal composition having high activity has been  
5 desired.

A herbicidal composition comprising flazasulfuron or its salt, and at least one herbicidal compound selected from the group consisting of imazapic, hexazinone, mesotrione and their salts, and a method for controlling undesired plants using it.

## DESCRIPTION

TITLE OF INVENTION: HERBICIDAL COMPOSITIONS COMPRISING FLAZASULFURON

## TECHNICAL FIELD

5           The present invention relates to a herbicidal composition and a method for controlling undesired plants.

## BACKGROUND ART

10           Patent Document 1 discloses a herbicidal composition comprising at least one acetolactate synthase inhibitor, at least one 4-hydroxyphenyl pyruvate dioxygenase-inhibitor and at least one saturated or unsaturated fatty acid.

          Patent Document 2 discloses a composition comprising at least one sulfonylurea herbicide, diuron and hexazinone.

15           However, Patent Documents 1 and 2 failed to specifically disclose a remarkable synergistic effect by combination of flazasulfuron or its salt and at least one herbicidal compound selected from the group consisting of imazapic, hexazinone, mesotrione and their salts.

## PRIOR ART DOCUMENTS

## 20    PATENT DOCUMENTS

          Patent Document 1: WO2008/142391

          Patent Document 2: WO2009/054823

## DISCLOSURE OF INVENTION

## 25    TECHNICAL PROBLEM

          At present, many herbicidal compositions have been developed and used, but they are not necessarily sufficient to control undesired plants such as weeds to be controlled in some cases, and a herbicidal composition having high activity has been desired.

30

## SOLUTION TO PROBLEM

          The present inventors have conducted extensive studies and as a result, found

that a herbicidal composition having high activity can be obtained by combination of specific compounds, and accomplished the present invention.

That is, the present invention provides the following (1) to (4).

5 (1) A herbicidal composition comprising as active ingredients (A) flazasulfuron or its salt (hereinafter referred to as compound A) and (B) at least one herbicidal compound selected from the group consisting of imazapic, hexazinone, mesotrione and their salts (hereinafter referred to as compound B).

(2) The composition according to (1), wherein the mixing ratio of compound A to compound B is from 1:0.2 to 1:50 by the weight ratio.

10 (3) A method for controlling undesired plants or inhibiting their growth, which comprises applying a herbicidally effective amount of compound A and a herbicidally effective amount of compound B to the undesired plants or to a place where they grow.

(4) The method according to (3), wherein compound A is applied in an amount of from 10 to 100 g/ha, and compound B is applied in an amount of from 20 to 500 g/ha.

15 In one aspect, the present invention provides use of a herbicidal synergistic composition comprising (A) flazasulfuron or agriculturally acceptable salts thereof and (B) mesotrione or agriculturally acceptable salts thereof for controlling undesired plants or inhibiting growth of the undesired plants, wherein the mixing ratio of (A) and (B) is from 1:1 to 1:20 by weight, and wherein the undesired plants are selected from the group consisting  
20 of horseweed (*Erigeron canadensis L.*), dandelion (*Taraxacum officinale Weber*), and common ragweed (*Ambrosia artemisiifolia L.*).

In another aspect, the present invention provides a method for controlling undesired plants or inhibiting growth of the undesired plants, which comprises applying a synergistic combination of a herbicidally effective amount of (A) flazasulfuron or agriculturally  
25 acceptable salts thereof and a herbicidally effective amount of (B) mesotrione or agriculturally acceptable salts thereof, wherein (A) flazasulfuron is applied in an amount of from 10 to 50 g/ha, to the undesired plants or to a place where the undesired plants grow, wherein the undesired plants are selected from the group consisting of horseweed  
30 (*Erigeron canadensis L.*), dandelion (*Taraxacum officinale Weber*), and common ragweed (*Ambrosia artemisiifolia L.*).

## ADVANTAGEOUS EFFECTS OF INVENTION

According to the present invention, a herbicidal composition having high activity can be obtained.

When the herbicidal activity in a case where two active ingredients are combined,  
5 is larger than the simple sum of the respective herbicidal activities of the two active ingredients (the expected activity), it is called a synergistic effect. The activity expected by the combination of two active ingredients can be calculated as follows (Colby S.R., "Weed", vol. 15, p. 20-22, 1967).

$$E = (\alpha + \beta) - (\alpha\beta/100)$$

10 where  $\alpha$ : growth inhibition rate when treated with x (g/ha) of herbicide X,

$\beta$ : growth inhibition rate when treated with y (g/ha) of herbicide Y,

E: growth inhibition rate expected when treated with x (g/ha) of herbicide X and y (g/ha) of herbicide Y.

That is, when the actual growth inhibition rate (measured value) is larger than the  
15 growth inhibition rate by the above calculation (calculated value), the activity by the combination can be regarded as showing a synergistic effect. The herbicidal composition of the present invention shows a synergistic effect when calculated by the

above formula.

#### DESCRIPTION OF EMBODIMENTS

Compound A and compound B sometimes form salts or have isomers, and they  
5 are included in the present invention so long as they are agriculturally acceptable.

The mixing ratio of compound A to compound B cannot generally be defined, as it  
varies depending upon various conditions such as the type of the formulation, the  
weather conditions, and the type and the growth stage of the undesired plants, and is,  
for example, from 1:0.2 to 1:50, preferably from 1:0.8 to 1:40, further preferably from 1:1  
10 to 1:30 by the weight ratio.

The herbicidally effective amounts of compounds A and B cannot generally be  
defined, as they vary depending upon various conditions such as the mixing ratio of  
compound A to B, the type of the formulation, the weather conditions, and the type and  
the growth stage of the undesired plants. However, for example, compound A is  
15 applied in an amount of from 10 to 100 g/ha, preferably from 10 to 50 g/ha, and  
compound B is applied in an amount of from 20 to 500 g/ha, preferably from 40 to 400  
g/ha, further preferably from 50 to 300 g/ha.

The herbicidal composition of the present invention may be applied to undesired  
plants or may be applied to a place where they grow. Further, it may be applied at any  
20 time either before or after the emergence of the undesired plants. Further, the  
herbicidal composition of the present invention may take various application forms such  
as soil application, foliar application, irrigation application, and submerged application,  
and it can be applied to agricultural fields such as upland fields, orchards and paddy  
fields, and non-cropland such as ridges of fields, fallow fields, play grounds, golf  
25 courses, vacant lands, forests, factory sites, railway sides and roadsides.

The herbicidal composition of the present invention can control a wide range of  
undesired plants such as annual weeds and perennial weeds. The undesired plants to  
be controlled by the herbicidal composition of the present invention may, for example,  
be specifically cyperaceae such as green kyllinga (Kyllinga brevifolia Rottb. var.  
30 leiolepis), sedge (Cyperus spp.) (such as purple nutsedge (Cyperus rotundus L.),  
smallflower umbrella sedge (Cyperus difformis L.), yellow nutsedge (Cyperus  
esculentus L.) or amur cyperus (Cyperus microiria Steud.)); gramineae such as

barnyardgrass (Echinochloa crus-galli L., Echinochloa oryzicola vasing.), crabgrass  
 (Digitaria spp.) (such as summergrass (Digitaria ciliaris (Retz.) Koel), large crabgrass  
 (Digitaria sanguinalis L.), violet crabgrass (Digitaria violascens Link) or Digitaria  
horizontalis Willd.), green foxtail (Setaria viridis (L.)), giant foxtail (Setaria faberi Herm.),  
 5 goosegrass (Eleusine indica L.), sorghum (Sorghum spp.) (such as johnsongrass  
 (Sorghum halepense (L.) Pers.) or shattercane (Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench)), oat  
 (Avena spp.) (such as wild oat (Avena fatua L.)), annual bluegrass (Poa annua L.),  
 panic grass (Panicum spp.) (such as guinea grass (Panicum maximum Jacq.) or fall  
 panicum (Panicum dichotomiflorum (L.) Michx.)), signal grass (Brachiaria spp.) (such as  
 10 plantain signal grass (Brachiaria plantaginea (LINK) Hitchc.), palisade signal grass  
 (Brachiaria decumbens Stapf) or mauritius signal grass (Brachiaria mutica (Forssk.)  
 Stapf)), paspalum (Paspalum spp.), itchgrass (Rottboellia cochinchinensis (LOUR.)  
 W.D.CLAYTON) or bermudagrass (Cynodon dactylon Pers.); scrophulariaceae such as  
 persian speedwell (Veronica persica Poir.) or com speedwell (Veronica arvensis L.);  
 15 compositae such as beggar ticks (Bidens spp.) (such as hairy beggarticks (Bidens  
pilosa L.), devils berggarticks (Bidens frondosa L.), Bidens biternata (Lour.) Merr. et  
 Sherff or beggarticks (Bidens subalternans DC.)), hairy fleabane (Conyza bonariensis  
 (L.) Cronq.), horseweed (Erigeron canadensis L.), dandelion (Taraxacum officinale  
 Weber), common cocklebur (Xanthium strumarium L.) or common ragweed (Ambrosia  
 20 artemisiifolia L.); leguminosae such as rattlepod or rattlebox (Crotalaria spp.) (such as  
 sunn-hemp (Crotalaria juncea L.)), poison bean (Sesbania spp.) (such as rostrate  
 sesbania (Sesbania rostrata Bremek. & Oberm.) or sesbania pea (Sesbania cannabina  
 (Retz.) Pers. )), white clover (Trifolium repens L.) or common lespedeza (Lespedeza  
striata (Thunb.) Hook.et. Arn.); caryophyllaceae such as sticky chickweed (Cerastium  
 25 glomeratum Thuill.) or common chickweed (Stellaria media L.); euphorbiaceae such as  
 garden spurge (Euphorbia hirta L.), threeseeded copperleaf (Acalypha australis L.) or  
 fireplant (Euphorbia heterophylla L.); plantaginaceae such as asiatic plantain (Plantago  
asiatica L.); oxalidaceae such as creeping woodsorrel (Oxalis corniculata L.); apiaceae  
 such as lawn pennywort (Hydrocotyle sibthorpioides Lam.); violaceae such as violet  
 30 (Viola mandshurica W. Becker); iridaceae such as blue-eyedgrass (Sisyrinchium  
rosulatum Bicknell); geraniaceae such as carolina geranium (Geranium carolinianum  
 L.); labiatae such as purple deadnettle (Lamium purpureum L.) or henbit (Lamium

amplexicaule L.); malvaceae such as velvetleaf (Abutilon theophrasti MEDIC.) or prickly sida (Sida spinosa L.); convolvulaceae such as ivy-leaved morningglory (Ipomoea hederacea (L.) Jacq.), common morningglory (Ipomoea purpurea ROTH), cypressvine morningglory (Ipomoea quamoclit L.), Ipomoea grandifolia (DAMMERMANN)  
 5 O'DONNELL, hairy merremia (Merremia aegyptia (L.) URBAN) or field bindweed (Convolvulus arvensis L.); chenopodiaceae such as common lambsquarters (Chenopodium album L.); portulacaceae such as common purslane (Portulaca oleracea L.); amaranthaceae such as pigweed (Amaranthus spp.) (such as prostrate pigweed (Amaranthus blitoides S. Wats.), livid amaranth (Amaranthus lividus L.), purple  
 10 amaranth (Amaranthus blitum L.), smooth pigweed (Amaranthus hybridus L., Amaranthus patulus Bertol.), powell amaranth (Amaranthus powellii S.Wats.), slender amaranth (Amaranthus viridis L.), palmer amaranth (Amaranthus palmeri S.Wats.), redroot pigweed (Amaranthus retroflexus L.), tall waterhemp (Amaranthus tuberculatus (Moq.) Sauer.), common waterhemp (Amaranthus tamariscinus Nutt.), thorny amaranth  
 15 (Amaranthus spinosus L.), ataco (Amaranthus quitensis Kunth.) or Amaranthus rudis Sauer.); solanaceae such as black nightshade (Solanum nigrum L.); polygonaceae such as spotted knotweed (Polygonum lapathifolium L.) or green smartweed (Polygonum scabrum MOENCH); cruciferae such as flexuous bittercress (Cardamine flexuosa WITH.); cucurbitaceae such as burcucumber (Sicyos angulatus L.); or commelinaceae  
 20 such as common dayflower (Commelina communis L.).

The herbicidal composition of the present invention is very useful in practical application. For example, the herbicidal composition of the present invention has a remarkable synergistic effect, and has a favorable herbicidal activity even if the doses of the respective compounds A and B are small, and accordingly the impact on the  
 25 surrounding environment can be suppressed.

Further, the herbicidal composition of the present invention is capable of controlling cyperaceae such as purple nutsedge(Cyperus rotundus L.) or yellow nutsedge (Cyperus esculentus L.); gramineae such as barnyardgrass(Echinochloa crus-galli L., Echinochloa oryzicola vasing.), summergrass (Digitaria ciliaris (Retz.) Koel),  
 30 large crabgrass(Digitaria sanguinalis L.), shattercane (Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench.), wild oat(Avena fatua L.), guinea grass(Panicum maximum Jacq.), signal grass (Brachiaria spp.) or bermudagrass (Cynodon dactylon Pers.); scrophulariaceae such as

persian speedwell (Veronica persica Poir.); compositae such as hairy beggarticks (Bidens pilosa L.) or common ragweed (Ambrosia artemisiifolia L.); leguminosae such as sunn-hemp (Crotalaria juncea L.), rostrate sesbania (Sesbania rostrata Bremek. & Oberm.) or common lespedeza (Lespedeza striata (Thunb.) Hook. et Arn.);

5 euphorbiaceae such as fireplant (Euphorbia heterophylla L.); malvaceae such as velvetleaf (Abutilon theophrasti MEDIC.); convolvulaceae such as ivy-leaved morningglory (Ipomoea hederacea (L.) Jacq.) or field bindweed (Convolvulus arvensis L.); or amaranthaceae such as redroot pigweed (Amaranthus retroflexus L.), which are problematic as noxious weeds in agricultural fields such as upland fields and orchards,

10 and non-cropland such as golf courses, railway sides and roadsides, in a wide application timing including before and after the emergence. Further, it has a long lasting residual effect.

Further, since it comprises a combination of herbicides differing in the mode of action, it can control weeds having decreased sensitivity to many herbicides.

15 In consideration of the application site of the herbicidal composition or the type or growth state of the undesired plants, the herbicidal composition of the present invention may be mixed with or may be used in combination with other herbicides, fungicides, antibiotics, plant hormones, insecticides, fertilizers, phytotoxicity-reducing agents, etc., in addition to the above active ingredients, without departing from the intention and the

20 scope of the present invention, whereby more excellent effects and activities may sometimes be obtained.

Such other herbicides may, for example, be (1) those which are believed to exhibit herbicidal effects by disturbing hormone activities of plants, (2) those which are believed to exhibit herbicidal effects by inhibiting photosynthesis of plants, (3) those which are

25 believed to be converted to free radicals by themselves to form active oxygen in the plant body and show rapid herbicidal efficacy, (4) those which are believed to exhibit herbicidal effects by inhibiting chlorophyll biosynthesis of plants and abnormally accumulating a photosensitizing peroxide substance in the plant body, (5) those which are believed to exhibit herbicidal effects characterized by bleaching activities by

30 inhibiting chromogenesis of plants such as carotenoids, (6) those which exhibit strong herbicidal effects specifically to gramineous plants, (7) those which are believed to exhibit herbicidal effects by inhibiting an amino acid biosynthesis of plants, (8) those

which are believed to exhibit herbicidal effects by inhibiting cell mitoses of plants, (9) those which are believed to exhibit herbicidal effects by inhibiting protein biosynthesis or lipid biosynthesis of plants, and (10) those which are believed to exhibit herbicidal effects by being parasitic on plants.

5           The herbicidal composition of the present invention may be prepared by mixing compound A and compound B, as active ingredients, with various agricultural additives in accordance with conventional formulation methods for agricultural chemicals, and applied in various formulations such as dusts, granules, water dispersible granules, wettable powders, tablets, pills, capsules (including a formulation packaged by a water  
10 soluble film), water-based suspensions, oil-based suspensions, microemulsions, suspoemulsions, water soluble powders, emulsifiable concentrates, soluble concentrates or pastes. It may be formed into any formulation which is commonly used in this field, so long as the object of the present invention is thereby met.

          At the time of the formulation, compound A and compound B may be mixed  
15 together for the formulation, or they may be separately formulated.

          The additives to be used for the formulation include, for example, a solid carrier such as kaolinite, sericite, diatomaceous earth, slaked lime, calcium carbonate, talc, white carbon, kaoline, bentonite, clay, sodium carbonate, sodium bicarbonate, mirabilite, zeolite or starch; a solvent such as water, toluene, xylene, solvent naphtha, dioxane,  
20 dimethylsulfoxide, N,N-dimethylformamide, dimethylacetamide, N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone or an alcohol; an anionic surfactant such as a salt of fatty acid, a benzoate, a polycarboxylate, a salt of alkylsulfuric acid ester, an alkyl sulfate, an alkylaryl sulfate, an alkyl diglycol ether sulfate, a salt of alcohol sulfuric acid ester, an alkyl sulfonate, an alkylaryl sulfonate, an aryl sulfonate, a lignin sulfonate, an alkyldiphenylether disulfonate,  
25 a polystyrene sulfonate, a salt of alkylphosphoric acid ester, an alkylaryl phosphate, a styrylaryl phosphate, a salt of polyoxyethylene alkyl ether sulfuric acid ester, a polyoxyethylene alkylaryl ether sulfate, a salt of polyoxyethylene alkylaryl ether sulfuric acid ester, a polyoxyethylene alkyl ether phosphate, a salt of polyoxyethylene alkylaryl phosphoric acid ester, a salt of polyoxyethylene aryl ether phosphoric acid ester, a  
30 naphthalene sulfonic acid condensed with formaldehyde or a salt of alkylnaphthalene sulfonic acid condensed with formaldehyde; a nonionic surfactant such as a sorbitan fatty acid ester, a glycerin fatty acid ester, a fatty acid polyglyceride, a fatty acid alcohol

polyglycol ether, acetylene glycol, acetylene alcohol, an oxyalkylene block polymer, a polyoxyethylene alkyl ether, a polyoxyethylene alkylaryl ether, a polyoxyethylene styrylaryl ether, a polyoxyethylene glycol alkyl ether, polyethylene glycol, a polyoxyethylene fatty acid ester, a polyoxyethylene sorbitan fatty acid ester, a polyoxyethylene glycerin fatty acid ester, a polyoxyethylene hydrogenated castor oil or a polyoxypropylene fatty acid ester; and a vegetable oil or mineral oil such as olive oil, kapok oil, castor oil, palm oil, camellia oil, coconut oil, sesame oil, corn oil, rice bran oil, peanut oil, cottonseed oil, soybean oil, rapeseed oil, linseed oil, tung oil or liquid paraffins. These additives may suitably be selected for use alone or in combination as a mixture of two or more of them, so long as the object of the present invention is met. Further, additives other than the above-mentioned may be suitably selected for use among those known in this field. For example, various additives commonly used, such as a filler, a thickener, an anti-settling agent, an anti-freezing agent, a dispersion stabilizer, a safener, an anti-mold agent, a bubble agent, a disintegrator and a binder, may be used. The mix ratio by weight of the active ingredients to such various additives in the herbicidal composition of the present invention may be from 0.001:99.999 to 95:5, preferably from about 0.005:99.995 to about 90:10.

As a method of applying the herbicidal composition of the present invention, a proper method can be employed among various methods depending upon various conditions such as the application site, the type of the formulation, and the type and the growth stage of the undesired plants to be controlled, and for example, the following methods may be mentioned.

1. Compound A and Compound B are mixed and formulated together, and the formulation is applied as it is.
2. Compound A and Compound B are mixed and formulated together, and the formulation is diluted to a predetermined concentration with e.g. water, and as the case requires, a spreader (such as a surfactant, a vegetable oil or a mineral oil) is added for application.
3. Compound A and Compound B are separately formulated, and the formulations are applied as they are.
4. Compound A and compound B are separately formulated, and as the case requires, the formulations are diluted to predetermined concentrations with e.g. water,

and as the case requires, a spreader (such as a surfactant, a vegetable oil or a mineral oil) is added, and the formulations are applied.

5       5. Compound A and compound B are separately formulated, and the formulations are mixed when diluted to a predetermined concentration with e.g. water, and as the case requires, a spreader (such as a surfactant, a vegetable oil or a mineral oil) is added for application.

Preferred embodiments of the present invention will be described below, but the present invention is by no means restricted thereto.

10       [1] A herbicidal composition comprising as active ingredients compound A and compound B.

[2] The herbicidal composition according to [1], wherein the mixing ratio of compound A to compound B is from 1:0.2 to 1:50 by the weight ratio.

15       [3] A method for controlling undesired plants or inhibiting their growth, which comprises applying a herbicidally effective amount of compound A and a herbicidally effective amount of compound B to the undesired plants or to a place where they grow.

[4] The method according to [3], wherein compound A is applied in an amount of from 10 to 100 g/ha, and compound B is applied in an amount of from 20 to 500 g/ha.

20       [5] The method according to [3] or [4], wherein the undesired plants are cyperaceae, gramineae, scrophulariaceae, compositae, leguminosae, euphorbiaceae, malvaceae, convolvulaceae or amaranthaceae.

[6] The method according to [5], wherein the undesired plants are gramineae, scrophulariaceae, compositae, leguminosae, malvaceae or convolvulaceae.

[7] The composition according to [1], wherein compound B is at least one member selected from the group consisting of imazapic, hexazinone and their salts.

25       [8] The composition according to [1], wherein compound B is at least one member selected from the group consisting of imazapic, mesotrione and their salts.

[9] The composition according to [1], wherein compound B is imazapic.

[10] The composition according to [1], which contains flazasulfuron and imazapic in a mixing ratio of from 1:1 to 1:15 by the weight ratio.

30       [11] The composition according to [1], wherein compound B is hexazinone.

[12] The composition according to [1], which contains flazasulfuron and hexazinone in a mixing ratio of from 1:2 to 1:30 by the weight ratio.

[13] The composition according to [1], wherein compound B is mesotrione.

[14] The composition according to [1], which contains flazasulfuron and mesotrione in a mixing ratio of from 1:1 to 1:20 by the weight ratio.

[15] The method according to [3], wherein flazasulfuron is applied in an amount  
5 of from 10 to 50 g/ha, and imazapic is applied in an amount of from 50 to 150 g/ha.

[16] The method according to [15], wherein the undesired plants which are to be controlled or of which growth is to be inhibited, are gramineae, scrophulariaceae, compositae, leguminosae, malvaceae or convulvaceae.

[17] The method according to [16], wherein the undesired plants are  
10 summergrass (Digitaria ciliaris (Retz.) Koel), large crabgrass (Digitaria sanguinalis L.), wild oat (Avena fatua L.), persian speedwell (Veronica persica Poir.), common ragweed (Ambrosia artemisiifolia L.), common lespedeza (Lespedeza striata (Thunb.) Hook. et Arn.), velvetleaf (Abutilon theophrasti MEDIC.) or field bindweed (Convolvulus arvensis L.).

[18] The method according to [3], wherein flazasulfuron is applied in an amount  
15 of from 10 to 50 g/ha, and hexazinone is applied in an amount of from 100 to 300 g/ha.

[19] The method according to [18], wherein the undesired plants are gramineae, scrophulariaceae, malvaceae or convulvaceae.

[20] The method according to [19], wherein the undesired plants are  
20 barnyardgrass (Echinochloa crus-galli L., Echinochloa oryzicola Vasing.), shattercane (Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench.), persian speedwell (Veronica persica Poir.), velvetleaf (Abutilon theophrasti MEDIC.), ivy-leaved morningglory (Ipomoea hederacea (L.) Jacq.) or field bindweed (Convolvulus arvensis L.).

[21] The method according to [3], wherein flazasulfuron is applied in an amount  
25 of from 10 to 50 g/ha, and mesotrione is applied in an amount of from 50 to 200 g/ha.

[22] The method according to [21], wherein the undesired plants are gramineae, leguminosae or convulvaceae.

[23] The method according to [22], wherein the undesired plants are wild oat  
30 (Avena fatua L.), guinea grass (Panicum maximum Jacq.), rostrate sesbania (Sesbania rostrata Bremk. & Oberm.) or field bindweed (Convolvulus arvensis L.).

[24] The composition according to [1], which contains flazasulfuron and mesotrione in a mixing ratio of from 1:3 to 1:20 by the weight ratio.

[25] The method according to [3], wherein flazasulfuron is applied in an amount of from 10 to 30 g/ha, and mesotrione is applied in an amount of from 90 to 200 g/ha.

#### EXAMPLES

5            Now, the present invention will be described in further detail with reference to Test Examples. However, the present invention is by no means restricted to such specific Test Examples.

          In Test Examples, water dispersible granules containing flazasulfuron as an active ingredient (tradename: SHIBAGEN DF, manufactured by Ishihara Sangyo Kaisha, Ltd.)  
10    was used as flazasulfuron, a wettable powder containing imazapic (manufactured by Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd.) prepared by a conventional method was used as imazapic, a wettable powder containing hexazinone (manufactured by Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd.) prepared by a conventional method was used as hexazinone, and a flowable containing mesotrione as an active ingredient (tradename: Callisto,  
15    manufactured by Syngenta) was used as mesotrione.

#### TEST EXAMPLE 1

          Upland field soil was put into a 1/160,000 ha pot, and seeds of summergrass (*Digitaria ciliaris* (Retz.) Koeler) were sown. On the next day, predetermined amounts of flazasulfuron and imazapic were diluted with water (in an amount corresponding to  
20    300 L/ha), and applied for soil treatment by a small sprayer.

          On the 14th day after treatment, the state of growth of the summergrass was visually observed to determine the growth inhibition rate in accordance with the following evaluation standard. The growth inhibition rate (%) (measured value) and the growth inhibition rate (%) (calculated value) calculated by the Colby's formula are  
25    shown in Table 1.

          Growth inhibition rate (%) = 0 (equivalent to the non-treated area) to 100 (complete kill)

TABLE 1

Compound	Dose (g/ha)	Growth inhibition rate (%) of summergrass	
		Measured value	Calculated value
Flazasulfuron	10	15	-
Imazapic	150	50	-
Flazasulfuron + Imazapic	10+150	80	58

## TEST EXAMPLE 2

Upland field soil was put into a 1/160,000 ha pot, and seeds of ivy-leaved  
 5 morningglory (*Ipomoea hederacea* (L.) Jacq.) were sown. On the next day,  
 predetermined amounts of flazasulfuron and hexazinone were diluted with water (in an  
 amount corresponding to 300 L/ha), and applied for soil treatment by a small sprayer.

On the 22nd day after treatment, the state of growth of the ivy-leaved  
 morningglory was visually observed to determine the growth inhibition rate. The  
 10 growth inhibition rate (%) (measured value) and the growth inhibition rate (%) calculated  
 in the same manner as in Test Example 1 are shown in Table 2.

TABLE 2

Compound	Dose (g/ha)	Growth inhibition rate (%) of ivy-leaved morningglory	
		Measured value	Calculated value
Flazasulfuron	10	0	-
	30	0	-
	50	40	-
Hexazinone	100	0	-
	200	30	-
	300	40	-
Flazasulfuron + Hexazinone	10+300	85	40
	30+200	78	30
	50+100	70	40

## TEST EXAMPLE 3

15 Upland field soil was put into a 1/160,000 ha pot, and seeds of wild oat (*Avena*  
*fatua* L.) were sown. On the next day, predetermined amounts of flazasulfuron,  
 mesotrione and imazapic were diluted with water (in an amount corresponding to 300  
 L/ha), and applied for soil treatment by a small sprayer.

On the 22nd day after treatment, the state of growth of the wild oat was visually observed to determine the growth inhibition rate. The growth inhibition rate (%) (measured value) and the growth inhibition rate (%) calculated in the same manner as in Test Example 1 are shown in Table 3.

5 TABLE 3

Compound	Dose (g/ha)	Growth inhibition rate (%) of wild oat	
		Measured value	Calculated value
Flazasulfuron	30	40	
Mesotrione	50	0	-
	100	0	-
	200	0	-
Imazapic	50	40	-
	100	40	-
	150	60	-
Flazasulfuron + Mesotrione	30+50	75	40
	30+100	75	40
	30+200	80	40
Flazasulfuron + Imazapic	30+50	75	64
	30+100	85	64
	30+150	85	76

## TEST EXAMPLE 4

Upland field soil was put into a 1/160,000 ha pot, and seeds of guinea grass (Panicum maximum Jacq.) were sown. On the next day, predetermined amounts of flazasulfuron and mesotrione were diluted with water (in an amount corresponding to 300 L/ha), and applied for soil treatment by a small sprayer.

On the 22nd day after treatment, the state of growth of the guinea grass was visually observed to determine the growth inhibition rate. The growth inhibition rate (%) (measured value) and the growth inhibition rate (%) calculated in the same manner as in Test Example 1 are shown in Table 4.

TABLE 4

Compound	Dose (g/ha)	Growth inhibition rate (%) of guinea grass	
		Measured value	Calculated value
Flazasulfuron	10	0	-
Mesotrione	200	0	-
Flazasulfuron + Mesotrione	10+200	70	0

## TEST EXAMPLE 5

Upland field soil was put into a 1/160,000 ha pot, and seeds of field bindweed  
 5 (Convolvulus arvensis L.) were sown. On the next day, predetermined amounts of flazasulfuron and hexazinone were diluted with water (in an amount corresponding to 300 L/ha), and applied for soil treatment by a small sprayer.

On the 22nd day after treatment, the state of growth of the field bindweed was visually observed to determine the growth inhibition rate. The growth inhibition rate  
 10 (%) (measured value) and the growth inhibition rate (%) calculated in the same manner as in Test Example 1 are shown in Table 5.

TABLE 5

Compound	Dose (g/ha)	Growth inhibition rate (%) of field bindweed	
		Measured value	Calculated value
Flazasulfuron	30	0	-
Hexazinone	300	15	-
Flazasulfuron + Hexazinone	30+300	75	15

## TEST EXAMPLE 6

Upland field soil was put into a 1/160,000 ha pot, and seeds of shattercane  
 15 (Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench.) were sown. On the next day, predetermined amounts of flazasulfuron and hexazinone were diluted with water (in an amount corresponding to 300 L/ha), and applied for soil treatment by a small sprayer.

On the 22nd day after treatment, the state of growth of the shattercane was  
 20 visually observed to determine the growth inhibition rate. The growth inhibition rate (%) (measured value) and the growth inhibition rate (%) calculated in the same manner

as in Test Example 1 are shown in Table 6.

TABLE 6

Compound	Dose (g/ha)	Growth inhibition rate (%) of shattercane	
		Measured value	Calculated value
Flazasulfuron	30	60	-
Hexazinone	100	0	-
Flazasulfuron + Hexazinone	30+100	85	60

## TEST EXAMPLE 7

5 Upland field soil was put into a 1/160,000 ha pot, and seeds of rostrate sesbania (*Sesbania rostrata* Bremek. & Oberm.) were sown. On the next day, predetermined amounts of flazasulfuron and mesotrione were diluted with water (in an amount corresponding to 300 L/ha), and applied for soil treatment by a small sprayer.

10 On the 22nd day after treatment, the state of growth of the rostrate sesbania was visually observed to determine the growth inhibition rate. The growth inhibition rate (%) (measured value) and the growth inhibition rate (%) calculated in the same manner as in Test Example 1 are shown in Table 7.

TABLE 7

Compound	Dose (g/ha)	Growth inhibition rate (%) of rostrate sesbania	
		Measured value	Calculated value
Flazasulfuron	50	35	-
Mesotrione	50	15	-
Flazasulfuron + Mesotrione	50+50	60	45

## 15 TEST EXAMPLE 8

Upland field soil was put into a 1/160,000 ha pot, and seeds of persian speedwell (*Veronica persica* Poir.) were sown. On the next day, predetermined amounts of flazasulfuron and imazapic were diluted with water (in an amount corresponding to 300 L/ha), and applied for soil treatment by a small sprayer.

20 On the 21st day after treatment, the state of growth of the persian speedwell was visually observed to determine the growth inhibition rate. The growth inhibition rate

(%) (measured value) and the growth inhibition rate (%) calculated in the same manner as in Test Example 1 are shown in Table 8.

TABLE 8

Compound	Dose (g/ha)	Growth inhibition rate (%) of persian speedwell	
		Measured value	Calculated value
Flazasulfuron	50	20	-
Imazapic	50	50	-
Flazasulfuron + Imazapic	50+50	98	60

## 5 TEST EXAMPLE 9

Upland field soil was put into a 1/160,000 ha pot, and seeds of barnyardgrass (*Echinochloa crus-galli* L) were sown. On the next day, predetermined amounts of flazasulfuron and hexazinone were diluted with water (in an amount corresponding to 300 L/ha), and applied for soil treatment by a small sprayer.

10 On the 21st day after treatment, the state of growth of the barnyardgrass was visually observed to determine the growth inhibition rate. The growth inhibition rate (%) (measured value) and the growth inhibition rate (%) calculated in the same manner as in Test Example 1 are shown in Table 9.

TABLE 9

Compound	Dose (g/ha)	Growth inhibition rate (%) of barnyardgrass	
		Measured value	Calculated value
Flazasulfuron	30	90	-
Hexazinone	100	0	-
Flazasulfuron + Hexazinone	30+100	98	90

15

## TEST EXAMPLE 10

Upland field soil was put into a 1/160,000 ha pot, and seeds of persian speedwell (*Veronica persica* Poiret.) were sown. On the next day, predetermined amounts of flazasulfuron and hexazinone were diluted with water (in an amount corresponding to 300 L/ha), and applied for soil treatment by a small sprayer.

20

On the 21st day after treatment, the state of growth of the persian speedwell was

visually observed to determine the growth inhibition rate. The growth inhibition rate (%) (measured value) and the growth inhibition rate (%) calculated in the same manner as in Test Example 1 are shown in Table 10.

TABLE 10

Compound	Dose (g/ha)	Growth inhibition rate (%) of persian speedwell	
		Measured value	Calculated value
Flazasulfuron	30	15	-
Hexazinone	100	85	-
Flazasulfuron + Hexazinone	30+100	100	87

5

## TEST EXAMPLE 11

Upland field soil was put into a 1/160,000 ha pot, and seeds of common ragweed (*Ambrosia artemisiifolia* L.) were sown. On the next day, predetermined amounts of flazasulfuron and imazapic were diluted with water (in an amount corresponding to 300 L/ha), and applied for soil treatment by a small sprayer.

10

On the 21st day after treatment, the state of growth of the common ragweed was visually observed to determine the growth inhibition rate. The growth inhibition rate (%) (measured value) and the growth inhibition rate (%) calculated in the same manner as in Test Example 1 are shown in Table 11.

15 TABLE 11

Compound	Dose (g/ha)	Growth inhibition rate (%) of common ragweed	
		Measured value	Calculated value
Flazasulfuron	10	80	-
Imazapic	150	60	-
Flazasulfuron + Imazapic	10+150	98	92

## TEST EXAMPLE 12

Upland field soil was put into a 1/160,000 ha pot, and seeds of common lespedeza (*Lespedeza striata* (Thunb.) Hook. et Arn.) were sown. On the next day, predetermined amounts of flazasulfuron and imazapic were diluted with water (in an amount corresponding to 300 L/ha), and applied for soil treatment by a small sprayer.

20

On the 21st day after treatment, the state of growth of the common lespedeza was visually observed to determine the growth inhibition rate. The growth inhibition rate (%) (measured value) and the growth inhibition rate (%) calculated in the same manner as in Test Example 1 are shown in Table 12.

5 TABLE 12

Compound	Dose (g/ha)	Growth inhibition rate (%) of common lespedeza	
		Measured value	Calculated value
Flazasulfuron	30	90	-
Imazapic	50	0	-
Flazasulfuron + Imazapic	30+50	98	90

## TEST EXAMPLE 13

Upland field soil was put into a 1/160,000 ha pot, and seeds of velvetleaf (Abutilon theophrasti MEDIC.) were sown. On the next day, predetermined amounts of  
10 flazasulfuron and imazapic were diluted with water (in an amount corresponding to 300 L/ha), and applied for soil treatment by a small sprayer.

On the 21st day after treatment, the state of growth of the velvetleaf was visually observed to determine the growth inhibition rate. The growth inhibition rate (%) (measured value) and the growth inhibition rate (%) calculated in the same manner as  
15 in Test Example 1 are shown in Table 13.

TABLE 13

Compound	Dose (g/ha)	Growth inhibition rate (%) of velvetleaf	
		Measured value	Calculated value
Flazasulfuron	30	75	-
Imazapic	50	30	-
Flazasulfuron + Imazapic	30+50	93	83

## TEST EXAMPLE 14

Upland field soil was put into a 1/160,000 ha pot, and seeds of velvetleaf (Abutilon theophrasti MEDIC.) were sown. On the next day, predetermined amounts of  
20 flazasulfuron and hexazinone were diluted with water (in an amount corresponding to

300 L/ha), and applied for soil treatment by a small sprayer.

On the 21st day after treatment, the state of growth of the velvetleaf was visually observed to determine the growth inhibition rate. The growth inhibition rate (%) (measured value) and the growth inhibition rate (%) calculated in the same manner as

5 in Test Example 1 are shown in Table 14.

TABLE 14

Compound	Dose (g/ha)	Growth inhibition rate (%) of velvetleaf	
		Measured value	Calculated value
Flazasulfuron	30	20	-
Hexazinone	200	30	-
Flazasulfuron + Hexazinone	30+200	80	44

TEST EXAMPLE 15

Upland field soil was put into a 1/160,000 ha pot, and seeds of field bindweed  
10 (*Convolvulus arvensis* L.) were sown. On the next day, predetermined amounts of flazasulfuron, mesotrione and imazapic were diluted with water (in an amount corresponding to 300 L/ha), and applied for soil treatment by a small sprayer.

On the 21st day after treatment, the state of growth of the field bindweed was  
visually observed to determine the growth inhibition rate. The growth inhibition rate  
15 (%) (measured value) and the growth inhibition rate (%) calculated in the same manner as in Test Example 1 are shown in Table 15.

TABLE 15

Compound	Dose (g/ha)	Growth inhibition rate (%) of field bindweed	
		Measured value	Calculated value
Flazasulfuron	30	0	-
Mesotrione	200	60	-
Imazapic	150	15	-
Flazasulfuron + Mesotrione	30+200	75	60
Flazasulfuron + Imazapic	30+150	60	15

CLAIMS:

1. Use of a herbicidal synergistic composition comprising (A) flazasulfuron or agriculturally acceptable salts thereof and (B) mesotrione or agriculturally acceptable salts thereof for controlling undesired plants or inhibiting growth of the undesired plants, wherein the mixing ratio of (A) and (B) is from 1:1 to 1:20 by weight, and wherein the undesired plants are selected from the group consisting of horseweed (*Erigeron canadensis L.*), dandelion (*Taraxacum officinale Weber*), and common ragweed (*Ambrosia artemisiifolia L.*).
2. The use according to claim 1, wherein the undesired plant is horseweed (*Erigeron canadensis L.*).
3. The use according to claim 1, wherein the undesired plant is dandelion (*Taraxacum officinale Weber*).
4. The use according to claim 1, wherein the undesired plant is common ragweed (*Ambrosia artemisiifolia L.*).
5. A method for controlling undesired plants or inhibiting growth of the undesired plants, which comprises applying a synergistic combination of a herbicidally effective amount of (A) flazasulfuron or agriculturally acceptable salts thereof and a herbicidally effective amount of (B) mesotrione or agriculturally acceptable salts thereof, wherein (A) flazasulfuron is applied in an amount of from 10 to 50 g/ha, to the undesired plants or to a place where the undesired plants grow, wherein the undesired plants are selected from the group consisting of horseweed (*Erigeron canadensis L.*), dandelion (*Taraxacum officinale Weber*), and common ragweed (*Ambrosia artemisiifolia L.*).
6. The method according to claim 5, wherein the undesired plant is horseweed (*Erigeron canadensis L.*).

7. The method according to claim 5, wherein the undesired plant is dandelion (*Taraxacum officinale* Weber).

8. The method according to claim 5, wherein the undesired plant is common ragweed (*Ambrosia artemisiifolia* L.).