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(57) Abstract: Aldehydes were obtained in excellent yields from ruthenium-porphyrin-catalyzed oxidation of various terminal alkenes with 2,6-dichloropyridine N-oxide under mild conditions. The aldehydes generated from these ruthenium-catalyzed alkene oxidation reactions can be used in-situ for olefination reactions with ethyl diazoacetate in the presence of PPh₃, leading to one-pot diazoacetate olefination starting from alkenes.
METHOD FOR CONVERSION OF TERMINAL ALKENES TO ALDEHYDES USING RUTHENIUM(IV) PORPHYRIN CATALYSTS

Background of the Invention


In efforts to develop new oxidation technology based on ruthenium porphyrin catalysts, we found that the oxidation of a wide variety of terminal alkenes with 2,6-dichloropyridine N-oxide (Cl₂pyNO) in the presence of dichlororuthenium(IV) porphyrin catalysts [RuIV(por)Cl₃] (por = tdcpp 1, tmp 2, where H₂tdcpp = meso-tetrrks(2,6-dichlorophenyl)porphyrin and H₂tmp = meso-tetramesitylporphyrin) produced aldehydes in up to 99% yields with 100% substrate conversion without C=C bond cleavage. The present invention describes the first ruthenium-catalyzed "Wacker-type oxidation" of terminal alkenes (Hirobe et al., Heterocycles (1995), Vol. 40, page 867; Groves et al., J. Am. Chem. Soc. (1996), Vol. 118, page 8961; Berkessei et al., J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1 (1997), page 2265; Che et al., Chem.
Summary of the Invention

The invention provides a mild and practical protocol using \( [\text{Ru}^{IV}(\text{dcpp})\text{Cl}_2] \) as a catalyst for highly regioselective formation of aldehydes from terminal alkenes without C=C bond cleavage. This protocol is a supplement to the Wacker process for oxidation of terminal alkenes to ketones or aldehydes. The catalytic reactions reported herein can be conducted in air at room temperature, affording a series of isolable \( \beta,\gamma \)-unsaturated aldehydes in good-to-excellent yields. The present work provides a new, practical, and convenient method for preparing multi functional compounds.
**Brief Description of the Figures**

**FIG 1.** illustrates the conversion of alkenes RCH=CH₂ to acetaldehyde (R = H) or methyl ketones (R ≠ H) through oxidation process.

**FIG 2.** provides examples of metalloporphyrin catalysts capable of catalyzing the highly selective conversion of terminal alkenes to aldehydes via a subsequent epoxidation/isomerization route.

**FIG 3.** illustrates the described method which involves the highly selective conversion of terminal alkenes to aldehydes via a subsequent epoxidation/isomerization route using metalloporphyrins as general and efficient catalysts.

**FIG 4.** provides representative examples of oxidation of 1-phenyl-1,3-butadiene (3) with various amounts of Cl₂pyNO catalyzed by a dichlororuthenium(IV) porphyrin to give the corresponding aldehyde (4) or epoxide (5) in good to excellent yields and excellent regioselectivity.

**FIG 5.** provides representative examples of conversion of terminal 1,3-dienes using a dichlororuthenium(IV) porphyrin catalyst to give the corresponding aldehydes in good to excellent yields and excellent regioselectivity.

**FIG 6.** provides representative examples of conversion of variously substituted alkenes using a dichlororuthenium(IV) porphyrin catalyst to give the corresponding aldehydes in good to excellent yields.

**FIG 7.** provides representative examples of conversion of alkenes using a dichlororuthenium(IV) porphyrin catalyst and subsequent in-situ olefination of the aldehyde products obtained with ethyl diazoacetate in the presence of PPh₃, leading to one-pot diazoacetate olefination starting from alkenes in good to excellent yields over two steps.
FIG 8. illustrates the utility of the metalloporphyrin catalyzed oxidation reaction for organic synthesis through the preparation of representative examples of synthetically useful compounds afforded from dichlororuthenium(IV) porphyrin catalyzed oxidative epoxidation/isomerization reaction of silyl enol ethers.
Detailed Description of the Invention

The present invention provides a practical and mild process for highly selective conversion of terminal alkenes to aldehydes via a subsequent epoxidation/isomerization route using using non-chiral metalloporphyrin catalysts represented by structural formula:

![Structural Formula](image)

wherein
each $R_1$-$R_{12}$ is independently H, optionally substituted hydroxyl, optionally substituted amino, halogen, -CN, -NO$_2$, optionally substituted C$_{1-20}$ alkyl, optionally substituted phenyl; optionally substituted naphthyl; optionally substituted anthracenyl, -SR$_{13}$, -SO$_2$R$_{13}$, -CO$_2$R$_{13}$, and optionally substituted heteroatom-containing aromatic ring, in which the optional substituents are independently selected from the foregoing alkyl, phenyl, naphthyl, anthracenyl and heteroatom-containing aromatic groups; $R_{13}$ is independently selected from the same groups as $R^1$ other than −SR$_{13}$ and −SO$_2$R$_{13}$; and L is a halogen molecule, solvent molecule, CO or R$^1$. The various R groups may be optically pure or can be stereo and regio isomers.

In an embodiment of this invention, the metalloporphyrin is a transition metal porphyrin, such as ruthenium, manganese, iron, osmium, copper or cobalt porphyrin. In an embodiment of this invention, the porphyrin ligand is a tetraphenylporphyrin and the phenyl rings are attached at the meso-positions of the porphyrin. In an embodiment of the present invention, the catalysts are capable of exhibiting regioselectivity. Two of the preferred catalysts are shown in Fig. 2. In an embodiment of the present invention, the catalysts are capable of selectively catalyzing oxidation.
of C=C bonds without C-C bond cleavage. In an embodiment of this invention, the regioselectivity is the oxidation of terminal C=C bonds.

Additionally, the present invention provides a method for the preparation of carbonyl compounds with the catalysts from alkenes as starting materials. Further, the present invention provides a method for producing primary aldehydes with the catalyst. The present invention also provides a method for producing regioselective carbonyl compounds with the catalyst. Preferably, the method involves the use of an oxidant which selectively alters the oxidation state of the substrate, preferably in the presence of a solvent. The solvent can be CH₃OH, CH₂CN, N,N-dimethylformaldehyde (DMF), C₄H₆Cl₂, CH₂Cl₂ and benzene. A typical oxidant is Cl₂pyNO. In an embodiment of this invention, the substrate is an alkene derivative, or a hydrocarbon containing a C=C functional group. As shown in the figures, carbon to which the alkene moiety is attached can be a part of a cyclic or non-cyclic moiety, which in turn can be substituted with a functional group such as CO₂Me or by an aromatic or cycloaliphatic group.

As used herein, the term “regioselective” refers to selection of terminal C=C bonds over internal C=C bond that undergo reaction. The term “conversion” refers to the relative number of molecules of substrate that is consumed under the applied reaction conditions.

**Examples**

**Example 1**

Regioselective Conversion of Terminal Alkenes to Aldehydes via a Subsequent Epoxidation/Isomerization Route Catalyzed by either Dichlororuthenium(IV) Porphyrins 1 or 2

The invention relates to a practical and mild method for the synthesis of aldehydes using either dichlororuthenium(IV) porphyrins 1 or 2 (prepared according to Leung et al. J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans (1997), page 237) as general and effective catalysts for the oxidation of terminal alkenes.
Typical conditions employ 0.1 mmol of alkene substrate, Cl₃pyNO (1.03 equiv), and 1 (0.5–2.0 mol%) dissolved in CDCl₃ (0.5–1.0 mL) in a NMR tube at room temperature or 60 °C. The progress of the reaction was monitored by 'H NMR. After determination of the product yield by 'H NMR spectroscopy, the reaction mixture was separated by flash chromatography on silica gel. For the large-scale reaction, 0.65 mmol of alkene substrate, Cl₃pyNO (1.03 equiv), and 1.0 mol% of 1 in 10 mL of CHCl₃ were used and reaction was carried out at room temperature for 30 min.

With 0.5 mol% catalyst loading, a solution of 1-phenyl-1,3-butadiene (3) and 1.03 equiv Cl₃pyNO, in CDCl₃ was stirred for 30 min at room temperature, affording the β,γ-unsaturated aldehyde 4-phenyl-but-3-enal (4, styrylacetaldehyde) in 99% yield (FIG. 4). No ketone products were detected in the reaction mixture. The reaction gave similar results with CHCl₃ and CH₂Cl₂ as solvents. Other solvents, such as benzene, toluene, acetone, ether, and methanol, were inferior to CHCl₃ and CH₂Cl₂ for this catalytic process.


To provide support for the above mechanism, we examined the effect of Cl₃pyNO on the catalysis (FIG. 4). With Cl₃pyNO in excess, the yield of aldehyde 4 significantly decreased from 99% to 51%, and epoxide 5 was obtained in 49% yield. This could be rationalized by the coordination of epoxide to the active ruthenium porphyrin species for the isomerization reactions. Excess Cl₃pyNO would compete with the epoxide for coordination to ruthenium, thus decreasing the aldehyde yield. We found that the use of 1.01–1.03 equiv Cl₃pyNO could give the best results in terms of
reaction completion time (30 min) and aldehyde yield (99%). Changing the
temperature from room temperature to 10 °C or 40 °C did not appreciably affect the
reaction.

The E-I reaction of 3 with Cl₂pyHNO could be equally efficiently catalyzed by 2 but less
efficiently catalyzed by [Ru⁶+(tdcpp)O₂]. Oxidation of 3 with Cl₂pyHNO catalyzed by
[Ru⁶+(tdcpp)O₂] under similar conditions to those for catalyst 1 (1.03 equiv Cl₂pyHNO,
1.7 mol% catalyst loading) afforded 8 in 41% yield within 5 h. However, complex
[Ru⁶+(tdcpp)(CO)] was a relatively inactive catalyst toward the E-I reaction.

A series of other 1,3-dienes were treated with 1.01–1.03 equiv Cl₂pyHNO and 0.5–1.0
mol% 1 at room temperature (FIG. 5). For dienes 6–10, the corresponding β,γ-
unsaturated aldehydes 13–17 were obtained in 81–99% yields and were stable
enough to be purified by flash chromatography on silica gel. However, the aldehyde
product 18a (formed in 90% yield) in the oxidation of diene 11 was converted to 18b
upon flash chromatography on silica gel. Non-terminal alkene 12 was oxidized more
slowly, affording the β,γ-unsaturated ketone 19 in 99% yield after the reaction
proceeded at 60 °C for 6 h.

When styrene (20) was treated with 1.03 equiv Cl₂pyHNO and 1.0 mol% 1 in refluxing
CH₂Cl₂ for 5 h, a mixture of styrene oxide and phenylacetaldehyde (27) was obtained
in 90% and 10% yield, respectively (Collman et al. J. Am. Chem. Soc. (1986), Vol.
Vol. 40, page 2132). To our surprise, adding more catalyst 1 and allowing the
reaction to proceed for a longer time resulted in complete conversion of styrene
oxide to aldehyde 27. For example, reaction of styrene with 1.03 equiv Cl₂pyHNO in
the presence of 2.0 mol% 1 in CHCl₃ at 60 °C for 12 h afforded 27 in 99% yield; no
433). Other styrene derivatives 21–25 could also be converted to the corresponding
arylacetaldehydes 28–32 in excellent yields (FIG. 6). However, for the non-aromatic
alkene 26, only the epoxide product was obtained.

14 $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 9.57 (d, 1H, $J = 7.8$ Hz), 8.22 (d, 2H, $J = 9.0$ Hz), 7.38 (d, 2H, $J = 9.0$ Hz), 6.95 (dt, 1H, $J = 15.3, 6.9$ Hz), 6.13 (ddt, 1H, $J = 15.3, 7.8, 1.5$ Hz), 3.78 (d, 2H, $J = 6.9$ Hz), IR: 1689, 1598, 1517, 1347, 980, 856, 736 cm$^{-1}$; MS (EI): $m/z$ 191 (8) [M$^+$]. 15 $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 9.77 (t, 1H, $J = 1.8$ Hz), 7.30 (d, 2H, $J = 8.1$ Hz), 7.15 (d, 2H, $J = 8.4$ Hz), 6.53 (d, 1H, $J = 15.6$ Hz), 6.25(dt, 1H, $J = 7.2, 16.5$ Hz), 3.34–3.37 (m, 2H); 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 199.8, 137.7, 135.1, 133.9, 129.3, 126.2, 118.1, 47.6, 21.3; IR: 1721, 1513, 974, 799, 505 cm$^{-1}$; MS (EI): $m/z$ 160 (27) [M$^+$]; HRMS: calcd for C$_{11}$H$_{12}$O + H 161.0966, found 161.0959. 16 $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 9.75 (t, 1H, $J = 2.1$ Hz), 7.43 (dd, 1H, $J = 7.5, 1.5$ Hz), 7.23 (td, 1H, $J = 7.5, 2.1$ Hz), 6.83–6.95 (m, 3H), 6.28 (dt, 1H, $J = 16.2, 7.2$ Hz), 3.84 (s, 3H), 3.35 (dt, 2H, $J = 7.2, 1.5, 2.1$ Hz); $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 199.7, 156.6, 130.1, 128.8, 126.9, 125.8, 120.7, 119.8, 110.9, 55.5, 48.0; IR: 1721, 1598, 1490, 1245, 1028, 975, 752 cm$^{-1}$; MS (EI): $m/z$ (rel intensity) 176 (6) [M$^+$]; HRMS: calcd for C$_{11}$H$_{12}$O$_2$ 176.0837, found 176.0829. 17 $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 9.68 (t, 1H, $J = 1.8$ Hz), 7.22 (t, 1H, $J = 8.4$ Hz), 6.56 (d, 2H, $J = 8.4$ Hz), 6.50 (d, 1H, $J = 11.1$ Hz), 6.02 (dt, 1H, $J = 11.1, 7.5$ Hz), 3.77 (s, 6H), 3.04 (ddd, 2H, $J = 7.5, 1.5, 1.5$ Hz); $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$): 8201.1, 157.6, 129.0, 125.2, 123.5, 113.6, 103.7, 55.6, 44.9; IR: 1724, 1593, 1585, 1471, 1253, 1113, 748 cm$^{-1}$; MS (EI) $m/z$ (rel intensity) 206 (51) [M$^+$]; HRMS: calcd for C$_{12}$H$_{14}$O$_3$...
Example 2

Regioselective Conversion of Terminal Alkenes to Aldehydes via a Subsequent Epoxidation/Isomerization Route Catalyzed by either Dichlororuthenium(IV) Porphyrins 1 and in-situ Olefination with Ethyl Diazoacetate in the Presence of PPh₃, Leading to One-pot Diazoacetate Olefination Starting from Alkenes

Recently, Woo (Woo et al. J. Am. Chem. Soc. (2002), Vol. 124, page 176), Aggarwal (Aggarwal et al. J. Am. Chem. Soc. (2003), Vol. 125, page 6034), and Zhang (Zhang et al. J. Org. Chem. (2003), Vol. 68, page 3714) reported that iron or ruthenium meso-tetraaryl porphyrins [Fe(tp)], [Fe(tp)(C1)], or [Ru(tp)(C1)] can catalyze the olefination of certain classes of aldehydes with ethyl diazoacetate (EDA) in the presence of PPh₃. We observed that both 1 and [Ru(tp)(C1)] could also catalyze such olefination reactions. Recognizing that the aldehyde products in the 1-catalyzed E-I reactions could be in-situ used as the substrates for olefination reactions, we were interested in developing a practical one-pot E-I-olefination reaction, i.e. one-pot diazoacetate olefination directly starting from alkenes rather than from aldehydes.

Typical conditions involve using the “1 + Cl₂pyNO” protocol, 0.1 mmol 3 was converted to aldehyde 4 in CHCl₃ within 30 min (the reaction conditions are exactly the same as that stated for EXAMPLE 1). Removal of the solvent, followed by addition of 1.2 equiv Ph₃P, 1 mL toluene, and 1.2 equiv EDA, the olefination product 34 was obtained in 99% yield after the reaction mixture was heated at 80 °C for 2 h, cooled to room temperature and separated by flash chromatography on silica gel with petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (3:1) as eluent. Similarly, through a one-pot E-I-olefination reaction of 35, we isolated the olefination product 40 in 55% yield (FIG. 7).
The target olefination products were characterized by $^1$H, $^{13}$C NMR, and IR spectroscopy, and HRMS, HRSMS spectrometry. 34 $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$): 6 7.22–7.37 (m, 5H), 7.04 (dt, 1H, $J = 15.3, 6.3$ Hz), 6.45 (d, 1H, $J = 16.2$ Hz), 6.19 (dt, 1H, $J = 15.9, 6.9$ Hz), 5.90 (td, 1H, $J = 1.5, 15.3$ Hz), 4.20 (q, 2H, $J = 6.9$ Hz), 3.08–3.13 (m, 2H), 1.29 (t, 3H, $J = 6.9$ Hz); IR: 1720, 1653, 1267, 1160, 1043, 967, 745, 693 cm$^{-1}$; MS (EI) m/z (rel intensity) 216 (67) $[\text{M}]^+$ 40 $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$): 6 7.91 (d, 2H, $J = 7.8$ Hz), 7.66 (t, 1H, $J = 7.5$ Hz), 7.53 (t, 2H, $J = 7.5$ Hz), 6.94 (dt, 1H, $J = 7.8, 15.9$ Hz), 5.83 (d, 1H, $J = 15.9$ Hz), 5.19–5.15 (m, 1H), 4.18 (q, 2H, $J = 6.9$ Hz), 3.84 (d, 1H, $J = 6.6$ Hz), 2.76–2.84 (m, 1H), 2.41–2.51 (m, 1H), 1.28 (t, 3H, $J = 6.9$ Hz); $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$): 6200.5, 165.9, 142.6, 134.4, 133.2, 129.1, 128.6, 124.6, 71.9, 60.4, 38.5, 14.3; IR: 3467, 1716, 1684, 1657, 1598, 1581, 1450, 1271, 1167, 979, 693 cm$^{-1}$; MS (EI) m/z (rel intensity) 248 (0.1) $[\text{M}]^+$; HRMS ([M + Na]$^+$): calcd for C$_{16}$H$_{16}$O$_4$Na 271.0941, found 271.0919.

Example 3

Preparation of Synthetically Organic Compounds by Application of the Dichlororuthenium(IV) Porphyrin Catalyzed Oxidation of Silyl Enol Ethers


Typical conditions involve dropwise addition of a solution of 1 (0.02 mmol) in CHCl$_3$ (50 mL) over 30 min to a well-stirred solution of 35 (2.0 mmol) and Cl$_3$pyNO (2.2
mmol) in CHCl₃ (100 mL) in a 25-mL flask. A drop of 12 N HCl was then added. The resulting mixture was stirred for 5 min. The product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel.

What is claimed is:

1. A method for producing an aldehyde from an unsaturated compound having one or more C=C functional groups, which comprises catalyzing the reaction of an oxidant with the compound with a catalytic amount of metalloporphyrin, thereby producing the aldehyde.

2. The method according to claim 1, wherein the compound comprises a terminal alkene.

3. The method according to claim 1, wherein the oxidant comprises 2,6-dichloropyridine N-oxide (Cl₂pyNO).

4. The method according to claim 1, wherein the reaction is carried out using CDCl₃, CHCl₃, CH₂Cl₂, diethyl ether, acetone, CH₃OH, toluene or benzene as a solvent.

5. The method according to claim 1, wherein the metalloporphyrin is a transition metal metalloporphyrin.

6. The method according to claim 5, wherein the transition metal metalloporphyrin is ruthenium, manganese, iron, cobalt, copper or osmium metalloporphyrin.

7. The method according to claim 6, wherein the metalloporphyrin is ruthenium porphyrin.

8. The method of claim 3, wherein the metalloporphyrin is a transition metal metalloporphyrin, and the method is carried out using CDCl₃, CHCl₃, CH₂Cl₂, diethyl ether, acetone, CH₃OH, toluene or benzene as a solvent.

9. The method of claim 8, wherein the metalloporphyrin exhibits regioselectivity and provides yields of at least 52 percent.

10. The method according to claim 1, wherein the metalloporphyrin has the structure:
wherein M is a transition metal;

wherein each R\(_1\)–R\(_{12}\) is independently H, optionally substituted hydroxyl, optionally substituted amino, halogen, −CN, −NO\(_2\), optionally substituted C\(_{1-20}\) alkyl, optionally substituted phenyl; optionally substituted naphthyl; optionally substituted anthracenyl, −SR\(_{13}\), −SO\(_2\)R\(_{13}\), −CO\(_2\)R\(_{13}\), and optionally substituted heteroatom-containing aromatic ring, in which the optional substituents are independently selected from the foregoing alkyl, phenyl, naphthyl, anthracenyl and heteroatom-containing aromatic groups; R\(_{13}\) is independently selected from the same groups as R\(^1\) other than −SR\(_{13}\) and −SO\(_2\)R\(_{13}\); and

wherein L is a halogen molecule, solvent molecule, CO or R\(^1\).

11. The method according to claim 10, wherein the metalloporphyrin has the structure A or B:
wherein M represents a metal.

12. The method according to claim 11, wherein M is a transition metal.

13. The method according to claim 12, wherein the metalloporphyrin has structure A or B:
14. A method for producing diazoacetate olefination from an unsaturated compound having one or more C=C functional groups, which comprises catalyzing the reaction of an oxidant with the unsaturated compound in the presence of a catalytic amount of metalloporphyrin and adding a Lewis base and a diazo compound to the reaction, thereby producing an α,β-unsaturated ester of the diazoacetate olefination.

15. The method according to claim 14, wherein the compound comprises a terminal alkene.

16. The method according to claim 14, wherein the oxidant comprises 2,6-dichloropyridine N-oxide (Cl₂PyNO).

17. The method according to claim 14, wherein the Lewis base comprises PPh₃.

18. The method according to claim 14, wherein the diazo compound comprises ethyl diazoacetate (EDA).

19. The method according to claim 14, wherein the reaction in carried out with CDCl₃, CHCl₃, CH₂Cl₂, diethyl ether, acetone, CH₃OH, toluene or benzene as a solvent.
20. The method according to claim 14, wherein the metallocorphyrin is a transition metal metallocorphyrin.

21. The method according to claim 20, wherein the transition metal metallocorphyrin is ruthenium, manganese, iron, cobalt, copper or osmium metallocorphyrin.

22. The method according to claim 21, wherein the metallocorphyrin is ruthenium porphyrin.

23. The method of claim 16, wherein the metallocorphyrin is a transition metal metallocorphyrin, the Lewis base is PPh₃, the diazo compound is ethyl diazoacetate, and the reaction is carried out using CDCl₃, CHCl₃, CH₂Cl₂, diethyl ether, acetone, CH₃OH, toluene or benzene as a solvent.

24. The method according to claim 14, wherein the metallocorphyrin has the structure:

![Structure Diagram](image)

wherein M is a transition metal;

wherein each R₁-R₁² is independently H, optionally substituted hydroxyl, optionally substituted amino, halogen, -CN, -NO₂, optionally substituted C₁-₂₀ alkyl, optionally substituted phenyl; optionally substituted naphthyl; optionally substituted anthracenyl, -SR₁³, -SO₂R₁³, -CO₂R₁³, and optionally substituted heteroatom-containing aromatic ring, in which the optional substituents are independently selected from the foregoing alkyl, phenyl, naphthyl, anthracenyl and heteroatom-containing aromatic groups; R₁³ is independently selected from the same groups as R¹ other than -SR₁³ and -SO₂R₁³, and
wherein L is a halogen molecule, solvent molecule, CO or R'.

25. The method according to claim 24, wherein the metalloporphyrin has the structure A or B:
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wherein M represents a metal.

26. The method according to claim 25, wherein M is a transition metal.

27. The method according to claim 26, wherein the catalyst is a compound having the structure A or B:
28. The method of claim 23 wherein the metalloporphyrin exhibits regioselectivity.

29. The method of claim 28, wherein the catalyst exhibits trans-selectivity and yields a trans-α,β-unsaturated ester.

30. A compound having the structure:
wherein M is a transition metal;

wherein each R₁-R₁₂ is independently H, optionally substituted hydroxyl, optionally substituted amino, halogen, -CN, -NO₂, optionally substituted C₁₋₂₀ alkyl, optionally substituted phenyl; optionally substituted naphthyl; optionally substituted anthracenyl, -SR¹³, -SO₂R¹³, -CO₂R¹³, and optionally substituted heteroatom-containing aromatic ring, in which the optional substituents are independently selected from the foregoing alkyl, phenyl, naphthyl, anthracenyl and heteroatom-containing aromatic groups; R¹³ is independently selected from the same groups as R¹ other than -SR¹³ and -SO₂R¹³, and

wherein L is a halogen molecule, solvent molecule, CO or R¹.

31. The compound of claim 30 having the following structure

32. The compound of claim 31, wherein M comprises a transition metal.
33. The compound of claim 31, wherein the transition metal is ruthenium, manganese, cobalt, iron, copper or osmium.

34. The compound of claim 33, wherein the transition metal is ruthenium.
FIG. 1
[Ru$^{IV}$ (tdcpp)Cl$_2$] (1)

[Ru$^{IV}$ (tmp)Cl$_2$] (2)

**FIG. 2**
FIG. 3

R_1 \quad \text{R}_2
\begin{align*}
&\xrightarrow{0.5-1.0 \text{ mol}\% \ 1} \\
&\quad \sim 1.03 \text{ eq Cl}_2\text{pyHNO}, \\
&\quad \text{CDCl}_3, 25^\circ \text{C} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{R}_1 \quad \text{R}_2 \quad \text{CHO}
\end{align*}

\begin{align*}
&\xrightarrow{1.0-2.0 \text{ mol}\% \ 1} \\
&\quad 1.03 \text{ eq Cl}_2\text{pyHNO}, \text{CDCl}_3, \\
&\quad 25-60^\circ \text{C} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{R}_1 \quad \text{R}_2 \quad \text{CHO}
\end{align*}
Oxidation of 3 with various amounts of Cl$_2$pyNO catalyzed by 1.

![Chemical structures]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry$^a$</th>
<th>Cl$_2$pyNO (%)</th>
<th>Conversion of 3 (%)</th>
<th>Yield (%)$^b$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Reaction conditions: 3: 0.1 mmol, 1: 0.5 mol%, CDCl$_3$: 0.5 mL; 25 °C, open to air.

$^b$Determined by $^1$H NMR (based on consumed substrates).

**FIG. 4**
**Oxidation of 1,3-dienes 6–12 with Cl$_2$pyNO catalyzed by 1**

![Chemical structures](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry$^a$</th>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Temperature ($^\circ$C)</th>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Yield$^b$ (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5$^c$</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>91$^d$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>18a</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Reaction conditions: diene: 0.1 mmol, Cl$_2$pyNO: 1.03 equiv, 1: 0.5–1.0 mol%, CDCl$_3$: 0.5–1.0 mL; open to air. $^b$Determined by GC or $^1$H NMR. $^c$Reaction conditions: diene: 0.65 mmol, Cl$_2$pyNO: 1.03 equiv, 1: 1.0 mol%, CHCl$_3$: 10 mL; open to air. $^d$Isolated yield.

**FIG. 5**
Oxidation of terminal alkenes 20–26 with Cl$_2$pyNO catalyzed by i

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Yield$^b$ (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0$^c$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Reaction conditions: alkene: 0.1 mmol, Cl$_2$pyNO: 1.03 equiv, i: 1.0–2.0 mol%, CDCl$_3$: 0.5–2.0 mL; open to air. $^b$Determined by GC or $^1$H NMR. $^c$The corresponding epoxide was produced in 99% yield (determined by $^1$H NMR).
\[
\begin{align*}
\text{R}_3, \text{R} = \text{H} \\
\text{R}_5, \text{R} = \text{OTMS}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{1.0 mol\% } & \text{I} \\
\text{1.03 eq Cl}_2\text{py}
\text{N(O)}_2, \\
\text{CHCl}_3, 25 \degree \text{C}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{4 (from 3)} \\
\text{or}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{37 (from 33)}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
1.2 \text{ eq Ph}_3\text{P} \\
1.2 \text{ eq EDA} \\
\text{toluene, } 80 \degree \text{C, 2 h} \\
\text{in air}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{54} \\
\text{^{1}H NMR yield: 99\%}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{40} \\
\text{isolated yield: 55\%}
\end{align*}
\]

**FIG. 7**
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