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Chimeric and humanized anti-human CTLA4 monoclonal antibodies and uses thereof

Field of the Invention

This invention relates to chimeric and humanized antibodies that bind to the human CTLA4 molecule and to methods of their use.

Background of the Invention

The immune system of humans and other mammals is responsible for providing protection against infection and disease. Such protection is provided both by a humoral immune response and by a cell-mediated immune response. The humoral response results in the production of antibodies and other biomolecules that are capable of recognizing and neutralizing foreign targets (antigens). In contrast, the cell-mediated immune response involves the activation of macrophages, neutrophil, natural killer cells (NK), and antigen-specific cytotoxic T-lymphocytes by T cells, and the release of various cytokines in response to the recognition of an antigen.

The ability of T cells to optimally mediate an immune response against an antigen requires two distinct signaling interactions. First, antigen that has been arrayed on the surface of antigen-presenting cells (APC) must be presented to an antigen-specific naive T cells in the form of MHC: peptide complex (1, 2). Such presentation delivers a signal via the T cell receptor (TCR) that directs the T cell to initiate an immune response that will be specific to the presented antigen. Second, a series of co-stimulatory signals, mediated through interactions between the APC and distinct T cell surface molecules, triggers first the activation and proliferation of the T cells and ultimately their inhibition (3-5). Thus, the first signal confers specificity to the immune response whereas the second signal serves to determine the nature, magnitude and duration of the response while limiting immunity to self. Of particular importance among these second signal molecules is binding between the B7.1 (CD80) (6) and B7.2 (CD86) (7-9) ligands of the
Antigen Presenting Cell and the CD28 and CTLA4 receptors (10-12) of the T-lymphocyte.

Cytotoxic T lymphocyte antigen-4 (CTLA4) is recognized as a key regulator of adaptive immune responses, having a central role in the maintenance of peripheral tolerance and in shaping the repertoire of emergent T-cell responses and, therefore, a therapeutic target for the treatment of cancer and inflammation. Treatment with anti-CTLA4 antibodies has been shown to be a powerful tool for enhancing anti-tumor immunity in preclinical models (10). Monotherapy with an antibody against CTLA4 promoted rejection of transplantable tumors of various origins.

Based on promising preclinical tumor model studies, the clinical potential of antibodies against CTLA4 has been explored in different human malignancies. Although anti-CTLA4 (Ipilimumab, marketed as Yervoy) has demonstrated efficacy in treating melanoma, treatment and targeting of CTLA4 is associated with autoimmune-like toxicities. Characteristic side effects from inhibition of CTLA4 are generally called immune-related adverse events (irAEs) and the most common irAEs are skin rash, hepatitis, colitis and endocrinopathies, particularly hypopituitarism. Therefore, there is a desire to improve the therapeutic potential of anti-CTLA4 antibodies by increasing efficacy while reducing the associated irAEs.

Another focus for the field of immunotherapy and the treatment of tumors, is the combination of different immune check inhibitors in order to enhance anti-tumor activity, particularly against poorly immunogenic tumors. However, this approach is associated with the risk of further increasing the autoimmune side effects further highlighting the need to selectively modulate cancer immunity without enhancing autoimmunity.

Further investigations into the ligands of the CD28 receptor have led to the identification and characterization of a set of related B7 molecules (the "B7 Superfamily") (32-33). There are currently several known members of the family: B7.1 (CD80), B7.2 (CD86), the inducible co-stimulator ligand (ICOS-L), the programmed death-1 ligand (PD-L1; B7-H1), the programmed death-2 ligand (PD-L2; B7-DC), B7-H3, B7-H4 and B7-H6 (35-36).
B7-H1 is broadly expressed in different human and mouse tissues, such as heart, placenta, muscle, fetal liver, spleen, lymph nodes, and thymus for both species as well as liver, lung, and kidney in mouse only (37). B7-H1 (PD-L1, CD274) is a particularly significant member of the B7 Superfamily as it is pivotally involved in shaping the immune response to tumors (38; U.S. Pat. Nos. 6,803,192; 7,794,710; United States Patent Application Publication Nos. 2005/0059051; 2009/0055944; 2009/0274666; 2009/0313687; PCT Publication No. WO 01/39722; WO 02/086083).

Programmed Death-1 ("PD-1") is a receptor of B7-H1 as well as B7-DC. PD-1 is a type I membrane protein member of the extended CD28/CTLA4 family of T cell regulators (39; United States Patent Application Publication No. 2007/0202100; 2008/0311117; 2009/00110667; U.S. Pat. Nos. 6,808,710; 7,101,550; 7,488,802; 7,635,757; 7,722,868; PCT Publication No. WO 01/4557). Compared to CTLA4, PD-1 more broadly negatively regulates immune responses. PD-1 is expressed on activated T cells, B cells, and monocytes (40-41) and at low levels in natural killer (NK) T cells (42-43).

Interaction of B7-H1 and PD-1 has been found to provide a crucial negative costimulatory signal to T and B cells (43) and functions as a cell death inducer (39). The role of B7-H1 and PD-1 in inhibiting T cell activation and proliferation has suggested that these biomolecules might serve as therapeutic targets for treatments of inflammation and cancer. Consequently, the use of anti-PD1 and anti-B7-H1 antibodies to treat infections and tumors and up-modulate an adaptive immune response has been proposed and demonstrated to be effective for the treatment of a number of human tumors. However, not all subjects respond or have complete responses to anti-PD-1 or anti-B7-H1 treatment and so there is a strong interest in combining anti-PD-1 or anti-B7-H1 antibodies with other immune check inhibitors in order to enhance anti-tumor activity.

4-1 BB (also known as CD137 and TNFRSF9) is another immune checkpoint molecule. The best characterized activity of CD137 is its costimulatory activity for activated T cells. Crosslinking of CD137 enhances T cell proliferation, IL-2 secretion, survival and cytolytic activity. Further, like anti-CTLA4, anti-4-1 BB antibodies can enhance immune activity to eliminate tumors in mice (27-29). However, unlike the tendency of anti-CTLA4 antibodies to exacerbate autoimmune diseases, cancer therapeutic anti-4-1 BB mAbs
have been shown to abrogate the development of autoimmune diseases in lupus prone mice, in which they inhibited anti-dsDNA antibody production and reduced the renal pathology (25, 26). Previously data have demonstrated that it is possible to reduce the autoimmune side effects of anti-CTLA4 treatment in a mouse colon cancer tumor model by combining treatment of anti-CTLA4 with anti-4-1 BB antibody, while enhancing the anti-tumor activity (19). This demonstrates that it is possible to offset the autoimmune side effects of anti-CTLA4 tumor therapy.

Preclinical screening of anti-human CTLA4 antibodies is fraught with difficulty because in vitro immunological correlates are sometimes of little value, as demonstrated by experience with anti-mouse CTLA4 antibodies. The same anti-mouse CTLA4 antibodies that induce potent anti-tumor immunity in vivo can have variable effects on T cells in vitro. Anti-CTLA4 antibodies enhanced T cell proliferation in response to alloantigen, but suppressed T cell proliferation in response to costimulation by anti-CD 28 (30, 31). Also, CTLA4 engagement with antibody could either promote or inhibit proliferation of different subsets of T cells in the same culture (32). This complication can be overcome if one can study human T cell responses in a rodent model.

Described herein are anti-CTLA4 antibodies with reduced autoimmune side effects when used to enhance immune responses and for use in anti-tumor therapy. Furthermore, these antibodies can be used in combination with other checkpoint inhibitors, such as anti-PD-1 and anti-4-1 BB, to enhance anti-tumor while abrogating autoimmune side effects.

**Summary of the Invention**

This invention relates to antibody compositions and their antigen-binding fragments that bind to the human CTLA4 molecule and their use for cancer immunotherapy with reduced autoimmune side effects. Specifically, the invention relates to antibodies with enhanced CTLA4 blocking activity for CTLA4 ligands B7.1 and B7.2, enhanced effector function, or reduced binding to soluble CTLA4 relative to membrane bound or immobilized CTLA4.
The antibody may comprise a light chain variable amino acid sequence having the amino acid sequence comprising a light chain variable amino acid sequence having the amino acid sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO: 1, and a heavy chain variable amino acid sequence having the amino acid sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO: 2. The antibody may also comprise a heavy chain variable amino acid sequence having the amino acid sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO: 27, 28 or 29, and a light chain variable amino acid sequence having the amino acid sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO: 30, 31 or 32. The antibody may comprise a light chain variable region having CDR sequences set forth in SEQ ID NOS: 21, 22 and 23, and a heavy chain variable region having CDR sequences set forth in SEQ ID NOS: 24, 25 and 26. More specifically, the antibody may comprise a heavy chain variable region having a CDR2 sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO: 33, 34 or 35, and a light chain variable region having CDR sequences set forth in SEQ ID NO: 36, 37 or 38.

The immunoglobulin heavy chain constant regions of the antibody may comprise the amino acid sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO: 3 or 4. The immunoglobulin heavy chain constant region of the antibody may also comprise a mutation. Relative to the sequence of the hlgG1 backbone in SEQ ID NO: 3, the mutation may be M135Y, S137T, T139E, S181A, E216A, or K217A, or a combination thereof. Preferably, the immunoglobulin heavy chain constant region of the antibody may comprise all six mutations. The antibody may comprise a heavy chain amino acid sequence having the amino acid sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO: 6, and a light chain amino acid sequence having the amino acid sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO: 8. The antibody may also comprise a heavy chain amino acid sequence having the amino acid sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO: 9, 11 or 13, and a light chain amino acid sequence having the amino acid sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO: 15, 17 or 19. The antibody may be capable of binding human CTLA4. The antibody may also inhibit binding of human CTLA4 to B7-1 or B7-2.

Further provided herein is an antigen binding fragment of the antibodies described herein.
Also provided herein is a pharmaceutical composition comprising a therapeutically effective amount of the antibodies described herein. The pharmaceutical composition may comprise a physiologically acceptable carrier or excipient.

In another aspect, presented herein are methods for enhancing one or more immune functions or responses in a subject, comprising administering to a subject in need thereof the anti-CTLA4 antibody compositions and pharmaceutical compositions described herein. In a specific embodiment, presented herein are methods for preventing, treating, and/or managing a disease in which it is desirable to activate or enhance one or more immune functions or responses. The disease may be a cancer, which may be a human malignancy. In particular, the human malignancy may be melanoma, lung cancer, breast cancer, hepatocellular carcinoma, ovarian carcinoma, prostate carcinoma, Hodgkin’s or non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma, acute myelogenic leukemia, chronic myelogenic leukemia, acute lymphocytic leukemia, chronic lymphocytic leukemia, or renal cell carcinoma. In another embodiment, the disease to be treated is an infectious disease. The method described herein may minimize autoimmune adverse effects associated with immunotherapy.

In other specific embodiments, the method comprises combination therapy, wherein the anti-CTLA4 antibody compositions described herein are administered to a subject in combination with another therapy, which may activate or enhance one or more immune functions or responses. In another embodiment, the anti-CTLA4 antibody compositions described herein are administered as an adjuvant in combination with an antigenic composition. In a particular embodiment, the anti-CTLA4 antibody compositions described herein are administered in combination with a vaccine composition to induce or activate or enhance the immune response elicited by the vaccine composition.

In a specific embodiment, the anti-CTLA4 antibody compositions described herein are administered to a subject in combination with one or more other therapies that target different immunomodulatory pathways. In a preferred embodiment, the activity of the therapy targeting a different immunomodulatory pathway is complementary or synergistic with the anti-CTLA4 antibody compositions described herein. In one instance, the anti-CTLA4 antibody compositions described herein are administered in
combination with other checkpoint inhibitors or small oncoimmunological modulators such as indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase (IDO) inhibitors. In another instance, the anti-CTLA4 antibody compositions described herein are administered in combination with immune stimulating molecules. Specific embodiments include combining the anti-CTLA4 antibody compositions described herein with anti-PD-1 (pembrolizumab (Keytruda) or Nivolumab (Opdivo)), anti-B7-H1 (atezolizumab (Tecentriq) or durvalumab), anti-B7-H3, anti-B7-H4, anti-LAG3, anti-Tim3, anti-CD40, anti-OX40, anti-BTLA, anti-CD27, anti-ICOS or anti-41BB. In another embodiment, the anti-CTLA4 antibody compositions described herein and the second immune stimulating molecule are combined in a single bi-specific antibody.

In another embodiment, an anti-human CTLA4 antibody described herein may preferentially bind to human CTLA-4 expressed on the cell surface relative to soluble CTLA4 molecules. The anti-human CTLA4 antibody may bind to human CTLA4 and preferentially upregulate the expression of B7.1 or B7.2 in vivo. The antibody may be contained in a composition for use in modulating immune responses (immunotherapy) and the treatment of cancer.

The invention further concerns the method of screening for anti-human CTLA4 mAbs with preferred activity. Preclinical screening for anti-human CTLA4 mAbs is fraught with difficulty because in vitro immunological correlates for cancer immunity and autoimmune adverse effect are not defined. Significant autoimmune side-effects have been observed in clinical trials with human anti-CTLA4 (Ipilimumab), especially when combined with anti-PD-1. In order to identify anti-CTLA4 antibodies with reduced immune related toxicities, antibodies demonstrating anti-tumor activity in humanized mice can be screened for their ability to reduce autoimmune adverse effects in vivo using human CTLA4 gene knock-in mice.

In another embodiment, the invention concerns a method of screening for anti-human CTLA4 mAbs with enhanced anti-tumor effect wherein the antibodies demonstrate enhanced local depletion of Treg cells in the tumor environment.
In yet another embodiment, the invention concerns methods of monitoring the blocking effects of anti-CTLA4 antibodies \textit{in vivo} by monitoring the expression levels of B7.1 and B7.2 on immune cells such as antigen presenting cells (APCs). The invention further contemplates biomarkers for measuring the biological activity of anti-CTLA4 antibodies \textit{in vivo} and monitoring patent responses to anti-CTLA4 treatment by measuring the level B7.1 and B7.2 expression on immune cells \textit{ex vivo}.

In order to map the CTLA4 binding epitope of the L3D1 0 parent antibody and the humanized variants, PP4631 and PP4637, the fact that the mouse and human CTLA4 proteins are cross-reactive to B7-1, but not to the anti-CTLA-4 antibodies was exploited. Accordingly, a number of mutants of the human CTLA-4Fc protein were designed in which clusters of amino acids from the human CTLA-4 protein were replaced with amino acids from the murine Ctl-4 protein. As the anti-CTLA-4 antibodies used in this study do not bind to murine Ctl-4, binding of the anti-human CTLA-4 antibodies can be abolished when key residues of the antibody binding epitope are replaced with murine amino acids.

**Brief Description of the Drawings**

FIG. 1. Schematic diagram of the chimeric (left) and humanized (right) L3D1 0 antibodies with a novel combination of mutations in the IgG1 Fc region. The positions of the mutations in the Fc region are identified by their amino acid position number and the amino acids are identified by their single letter code, with the letter before the number representing the replace amino acid and the letter after the number representing the introduced amino acid. The variable region of the antibodies is depicted with open ovals and the human sequence is depicted with gray rectangles. V = variable region; C = constant region; L = light chain; H = heavy chain.

FIG. 2. CTLA4 Binding of chimeric L3D1 0 and 10D1 to plate immobilized CTLA4, as determined by ELISA. ELISA plates were coated with 1 \( \mu \)g/ml of CTLA4-His protein (Sino Biological, China). The given concentration of biotinylated binding proteins were added and binding was measured using HRP-conjugated streptavidin. 10D1 -1 and -2
are two independent material lots of the same antibody. B7.1-Fc is a positive control and Fc is a negative control.

FIG. 3. L3D1.0 competition assay. 10D1 is less efficient in blocking chimeric L3D1.0 binding to CTLA4 than chimeric L3D1.0. The experiment was performed as in FIG. 2, except that biotinylated chimeric L3D1.0 was mixed with the given concentration of unlabeled CTLA4-binding proteins or CTLA4-Fc prior to adding to the ELISA plates. Note much better blocking by unlabeled L3D1.0 than 10D1, which suggest that these antibody binding sites are not identical.

FIG. 4. Blocking CTLA4 binding to plate immobilized B7.1. B7.1 Fc protein was coated onto ELISA plates at 0.5 µg/ml. After washing and blocking, biotinylated CTLA4-Fc protein was added at 0.25 µg/ml in the presence of given concentrations of the competing proteins. Data shown are means of duplicate optical density at 405 nM. Whereas B7.1-Fc, chimeric L3D1.0 and CTLA4-Fc all block the CTLA4:B7.1 interaction in a dose-dependent manner, two separate lots of 10D1 antibody failed to block at all doses tested. Biotinylation of CTLA4 does not destroy 10D1 epitopes on CTLA4 as both lots of 10D1 show strong binding to biotinylated CTLA4 (data not shown).

FIG. 5. Blocking CTLA4 binding to plate immobilized B7.2. B7.2Fc protein was coated onto ELISA plates at 0.5 µg/ml. After washing and blocking, biotinylated CTLA4-Fc protein was added at 0.25 µg/ml in the presence of given concentrations of the competing proteins. Whereas chimeric L3D1.0 blocks the CTLA4:B7.2 interaction in a dose-dependent manner, two separate lots of 10D1 antibody failed to completely block the CTLA4:B7.2 interaction even at the highest concentration.

FIG. 6. Both 10D1 and L3D1.0 potently block B7-CTLA4 interaction using soluble B7-1 and B7-2 and immobilized CTLA4-Fc. Varying doses of anti-human CTLA4 mAbs were added along with 0.25 µg/ml of biotinylated human CTLA4-Fc to plate-coated with human B7-1 Fc. The amounts of CTLA4 bound to plates were measured using HRP-conjugated streptavidin. Data shown are means of duplicates and are representative of two independent experiments.
FIG. 7. Blocking CTLA4 binding to cell surface expressed B7.1. Biotinylated CTLA4-Fc protein was added to B7.1 expressing CHO cells at 0.5 µg/ml in the presence of the given concentration of the competing proteins. Binding of biotinylated fusion protein to CHO cells transfected with mouse or human B7-1 and B7-2 was detected by flow cytometry. The amounts of bound receptors were measured using phycoerythrin-conjugated streptavidin. Data shown are means fluorescence intensity of triplicate samples. Whereas chimeric L3D1 0 blocks the CTLA4:B7.1 interaction in a dose-dependent manner, two separate lots of 10D1 antibody failed to block at all doses tested.

FIG. 8. Blocking CTLA4 binding to cell surface expressed murine B7.1. Modest but detectable blocking of mouse B7-1-human CTLA4 interaction by 10D1 when mB7-1 is expressed on CHO cells. Varying doses of anti-human CTLA4 mAbs were added along with 0.25 µg/ml of human CTLA4-Fc to CHO cells expressing mouse B7-1. Data shown are means and SEM or triplicate data and are representative of two independent experiments.

FIG. 9. Blocking CTLA4 binding to cell surface expressed B7.2. Biotinylated CTLA4-Fc protein was added to B7.2 expressing CHO cells at 0.5 µg/ml in the presence of the given concentration of the competing proteins. Whereas chimeric L3D1 0 blocks the CTLA4:B7.2 interaction in a dose-dependent manner, two separate lots of 10D1 antibody failed to completely block the CTLA4:B7.2 interaction even at the highest concentration. Data shown in this figure has been repeated at least 5 times.

FIG. 10. 10D1 binds to biotinylated human CTLA4-Fc better than L3D1 0. Varying doses of anti-human CTLA4 mAbs or control IgG were coated onto the plate. Biotinylated CTLA4-Fc was added at 0.25 µg/ml. The amounts of CTLA4 bound to plates were measured using HRP-conjugated streptavidin. Data shown are means of duplicates and are representative of two independent experiments.

FIG. 11. L3D1 0 but not 10D1 blocks the interaction between polyhistidine tagged CTLA4 and CHO cells expressing human B7-1. CHO cells expressing human B7-1 were incubated with polyhistidine-tagged CTLA4 along with given doses of antibodies,
the amounts of CTLA4-Fc were detected with PE-streptavidin and measured by FACSCanto II. Data shown are means fluorescence intensity of triplicate samples and are representative of two independent experiments.

FIG. 12. Chimeric L3D1.0 induces complete remission of established tumors in the syngeneic MC38 model. Top panel depicts experimental design and the lower panels show growth kinetics of MC38 tumors in mice that received either control IgG (lower left panel, n=6) or chimeric L3D1.0 (lower right panel, n=5).

FIG. 13. Therapeutic effect of chimeric L3D1.0 and 10D1 in the MC38 tumor model. Human CTLA4-knock-in mice with body weight of approximately 20 grams were used for the study. 1 x 10^6 MC38 tumor cells were injected subcutaneously into Ctla4^-/- mice and when the tumor reached a size of 0.5 cm in diameter, tumor bearing mice were randomized into three groups with 5 or 6 mice each. Mice were then treated (i.p.) with 100 µg/injection of 10D1, chimeric L3D1.0 or control hlgGFc on days 7, 10, 13, and 16 as indicated by the arrows. The results of duplicate expts are shown (left and right panels) and data shown are means and S.D. of tumor size (n = 6 per group in the left panel, n=5 per group in the right panel). L3D1.0 and 10D1 have similar therapeutic effect in this model and are both able to induce complete remission of established tumors. The diameters (d) of the tumor were calculated using the following formula: D= V (ab), V=ab2/2, where a is the long diameter, while b is the short diameter. Statistical analyses were performed by two-way repeated measures ANOVA (treatment X time). For the left panel: P = 10D1 vs. hlgGFc: 5.71 e-07; L3D1.0 vs. hlgGFc: P = 5.53e-07; 10D1 vs. L3D1.0: P = 0.869.

FIG. 14. Effective rejection of MC38 by anti-CTLA-4 mAbs in CTLA4^-/- mice. As in FIG. 13, except that heterozygous CTLA4^-/- mice are used. Data shown are means and SEM of tumor diameters (6 mice per group); 10D1 vs. hlgGFc: P = 0.001 1; L3D1.0 vs. hlgGFc: P = 5.55e-05; 10D1 vs. L3D1.0: P = 0.0346.

FIG. 15. Therapeutic effect of chimeric L3D1.0 and 10D1 in the B16-F1 melanoma tumor model. Human CTLA4-knockin mice with body weight of approximately 20 grams were used for the study. Arrows indicate the time of treatment (50 µg/mice/treatment). Data
shown are means and S.D. of the tumor size (n = 4 per group). L3D1 0 have similar therapeutic effect in this model and are both able to delay tumor growth in this aggressive and poorly immunogenic tumor model.

FIG. 16. Assay for measuring CTLA4 blocking in vivo. B7.1 or B7.2 binds on dendritic cells bind to, and are down-regulated by, CTLA4 on surface of T cells. However, binding of blocking anti-CTLA4 antibodies prevents B7.1/B7.2 binding to CTLA4 and thus prevents the downregulation of B7.1 and B7.2, resulting in a net increase in B7.1/B7.2 expression. However, with chimeric T cells expressing both human and mouse CTLA4, antibodies that bind human CTLA4 do not prevent B7.1/B7.2 binding to the murine CTLA4, which restores B7.1/B7.2 inhibition.

FIGS. 17A-F. 10D1 does not block B7-CTLA4 interaction in vivo. Using the assay described in FIG. 11, cells from mice treated with anti-CTLA4 antibodies were used to assay B7.1 and B7.2 expression. FIG. 17A shows a diagram of experimental design. Briefly, age and gender-matched mice received 500 µg of antibodies or their controls intraperitoneally. At 24 hours after injection, mice were sacrificed and their spleen cells were stained with anti-CD1 1c, CD1 1b, anti-B7-1 and anti-B7-2 mAbs. FIG. 17B shows representative data showing the phenotype of CD1 1c DC analyzed for B7 expression. FIG. 17C shows representative histograms depicting the levels of B7-1 on DC from mice that received control IgG1-Fc, L3D1 0 or 10D1. Data in the top panel shown antibody effect in homozygous knockin mice, while that in the bottom panel show antibody effect in the heterozygous mice. FIG. 17D shows as in FIG. 17C, except that expression of B7-2 is shown. Data shown in FIGS. 17C and D are representative of those from 3 mice per group and have been repeated once with three mice per group. FIG. 17E shows that in human CTLA4 homozygous mice, L3D1 0 but not 10D1 induced expression of B7-1 (left panel) and B7-2 (right panel). Data shown are summarized from two experiments involving a total of 6 mice per group. In each experiment, the mean data in the control mice is artificially defined as 100% and those in experimental groups are normalized against the control. FIG. 17F as in FIG. 17E, except that heterozygous mice are used. Neither L3D1 0 nor 10D1 block B7-CTLA4 interaction in mice that co-dominantly express both mouse and human Ctl4 genes.
FIG. 18. L3D1 0 binds to human but not mouse CTLA4. Data showing are dot plots of intracellular staining of CTLA4 among gated Cd3+Cd4+ cells, using spleen cells from Ctau4 hh (top) or Ctau4 mm (bottom) mice. Anti-mouse CTLA4 mAb 4F1 0 was used as control.

FIG. 19. Therapeutic effect of chimeric L3D1 0 and 10D1 in CTLA4 hh/m mice. The top panel depicts the experimental design. The Ctau4 hh mice were challenged with colon cancer cell line MC38 and when the tumor reached a size of approximately 5 mm in diameter, the mice were treated 4 times with control human IgG-Fc, L3D1 0 or 10D1 and observed tumor size over a 6 weeks period. The lower panels shows the growth kinetics of MC38 tumors in mice that received either control IgG, chimeric L3D1 0 or 10D1 (n=6 per group). Despite apparent differences in CTLA4 blocking activity in vivo as shown in FIG. 16, both L3D1 0 and 10D1 display strong anti-tumor activity against the MC38 model in chimeric CTLA4 mh mice.

FIGS. 20A-B. 10D1 and L3D1 0 have similar therapeutic effect on B16 melanoma growth. 1x10^5 B16 tumor cells were injected (s.c.) into Ctau4 hh mice (n=4-5), and treated (i.p.) with 100 µg (FIG. 20A) or 250 µg (FIG. 20B) 10D1, L3D1 0 or control IgGFc on day 11, 14, 17 (FIG. 20A) or on day 2, 5, and 8 (FIG. 20B), as indicated by arrows. For FIG. 20A, 10D1 vs. hlgGFc: P = 0.0265; L3D1 0 vs. hlgGFc: 10D1 vs. L3D1 0: P = 0.0487; P= 0.302. For FIG. 20B, 10D1 vs. hlgGFc: P = 0.0061; L3D1 0 vs. hlgGFc: P = 0.0269; 10D1 vs. L3D1 0: P=0.370. Data represent mean ± SEM of 4-5 mice per group. Statistical analyses were performed by two-way repeated measures ANOVA.

FIGS. 21A-B. Immunotherapeutic effects between L3D1 0 and 10D1 in Ctau4 hh (FIG. 21A) and Ctau4 mm (FIG. 21B) in mice that were terminated before rejection in complete in order to evaluate depletion of Treg within tumor microenvironment. Data shown are means and SEM of tumor diameters of two independent experiments, involving 5 mice per group.

FIGS. 22A-F. Blocking the B7-CTLA4 interaction does not contribute to cancer immunotherapeutic activity of anti-CTLA4 mAb. FIG. 22A shows comparable immunotherapeutic effect despite vastly different blocking activity by two anti-CTLA4
mAbs. 5x1 0^5 MC38 tumor cells were injected (s.c.) into Ctlα4^h/h^ mice (n=6), and treated (i.p.) with 100 μg 10D1, L3D1 0 or control hlgG-Fc on days 7, 10, 13, and 16, as indicated by arrows. Data represent mean ± SEM of six mice per group. Statistical analyses were performed by two-way repeated measures ANOVA (treatment x time).

10D1 vs. hlgG-Fc: \( P = 5.71 \times 10^{-7} \); L3D1 0 vs. hlgG-Fc: \( P = 5.53 \times 10^{-7} \); 10D1 vs. L3D1 0: \( P = 0.869 \). Data are representative of three independent experiments. FIG. 22B. In mice that neither antibodies block B7-CTLA4 interaction, both induce robust tumor rejection. As in FIG. 22A, except that heterozygous mice that express both mouse and human CTLA4 were used. 10D1 vs. hlgG-Fc: \( P = 0.0011 \); L3D1 0 vs. hlgG-Fc: \( P = 5.55 \times 10^{-5} \); 10D1 vs. L3D1 0: \( P = 0.0346 \). Data are representative of three independent experiments.

FIGS. 22C-F, Blocking B7-CTLA4 interaction does not contribute to selective depletion of Treg in tumor microenvironment. FIGS. 22C and D. Regardless of their ability to block B7-CTLA4 interaction, L3D1 0 and 10D1 do not delete Treg in the spleen. Data shown are % of Foxp3+ cells among spleen CD4 T cells in Ctlα4^h/h^ (FIG. 22C) and Ctlα4^m/h^ (FIG. 22D) mice. n=6. e and f, both L3D1 0 and 10D1 delete Treg among tumor infiltrating CD4 T cells in Ctlα4^h/h^ (FIG. 22E) and Ctlα4^m/h^ (FIG. 22F) mice. Data shown in c-f are % of Treg at 17 (experiment 1) or 19 days (experiment 2) after tumor cell challenge and 10 or 12 days after initiation of 4 anti-CTLA4 mAb treatments as indicated in arrows.

FIGS. 23A-F. Evaluation of blocking activities of commonly used anti-mouse CTLA4 mAbs 9H1 0 and 9D9. FIGS. 23A and B show that 9H1 0 does not block B7-CTLA4 interaction if B7-1 (FIG. 23A) and B7-2 (FIG. 23B) are coated onto plates. Biotinylated mouse CTLA4-Fc fusion protein were incubated with B7-coated plates in the presence of given concentration of control IgG or anti-mouse CTLA4 mAb 9D9 and 9H1 0. The CTLA4 binding is detected with HRP-conjugated streptavidin. Data shown are means of duplicated and are representative of two independent experiments. FIGS. 23C and D show that 9D9 and 9H1 0 exhibit differential binding to soluble (FIG. 23C) and plate bound CTLA4-Fc (FIG. 23D). Data shown are means of duplicated and are representative of at least two independent experiments. FIGS. 23E and F show the effects of anti-mouse CTLA4 mAbs 9D9 and 9H1 0 on levels of B7-1 (FIG. 23E) and B7-2 (FIG. 23F) on CD1 1^{ch} hi DC from WT {ctlα4^m/m} spleen cells at 24 hours after treatment.
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with 500 µg of antibodies i.p. The data are summarized from 6 independent mice per group in two independent experiments involving 3 mice per group each.

FIGS. 24A-D. Distinct in vivo and in vivo blocking activities of anti-mouse CTLA4 mAb 4F1 0. FIGS. 24A and B show the effect of 4F1 0 on interaction of CTLA4-Fc to plate-coated B7-1 (FIG. 24A) or B7-2 (FIG. 24B). Biotinylated mouse CTLA4-Fc fusion protein were incubated with B7-coated plates in the presence of given concentration of control IgG or anti-mouse CTLA4 mAb 4F1 0. The CTLA4 binding is detected with HRP-conjugated streptavidin. Data shown are means of duplicated and are representative of two independent experiments. FIGS. 24C and D show the impact of 4F1 0 on B7-1 and B7-2 expression. Summary data on B7-1 (FIG. 24C) and B7-2 (FIG. 24D) levels from 6 mice per group. The B7 levels in the control IgG-treated group are artificially defined as 100%.

FIG. 25. Adverse effects of chimeric L3D1 0 and 10D1 in combination with anti-PD-1. Top panel depicts the experimental design. 10-day old female-only human CTLA4-knockin mice with body weight of greater than 4 grams were used for the study. They received indicated proteins or their combinations. Arrows indicate time of treatment (100 µg/mice/treatment). Data shown are means and S.D. of % weight gains. Chimeric L3D1 0 and 10D1 have comparable cancer therapeutic effect in adult mice (FIG. 13) but distinct adverse effects are seen when 10D1 is combined with the anti-PD-1 mAb.

FIG. 26. Adverse effects of chimeric L3D1 0 and 10D1 in combination with anti-PD-1. The graph shows the terminal body weight on Day 42 in the mice from the experiment outlined in FIG. 25 that received either control IgG, 10D1 + anti-PD-1 or chimeric L3D1 0 + anti-PD-1 (n=5 per group). A significant reduction in weight is observed with the anti-PD1 + 10D1 combination, which was not seen with the anti-PD-1 + Chimeric L3D1 0 combination.

FIG. 27. Pathological effects of chimeric L3D1 0 and 10D1 in combination with anti-PD-1. To further examine to relative toxicity of L3D1 0 compared to 10D1 when administered in combination with anti-PD-1, we looked at the gross anatomy of the mice described in FIG. 26 above. The Uterus/Ovary/Bladder and thymus were noticably smaller in mice
treated with 10D1 + PD-1, whereas the organs in mice treated with L3D1 0 + anti-PD-1 was comparable to hlgG control. In contrast, the hearts dissected from mice treated with 10D1 appeared larger in size with a noticeably whiter appearance.

FIGS. 28A-D. Treatment with 10D1 in combination with anti-PD-1 results in abnormal erythropoiesis. Given the differences in the hearts observed in FIG. 27, we looked at erythropoiesis within the mice and observed clear differences in the mice treated with 10D1 + anti-PD-1 relative to the groups treated with L3D1 0 + anti-PD-1 or control antibody (hlgG), which were fairly similar. The bone marrow from mice treated with 10D1 + anti-PD-1 had a noticeably whiter color (FIG. 28A) and the isolated blood was almost completely white in color (FIG. 28B). In accordance with this, when we analyzed differentiation of the red blood cells using distribution of CD1 19 and CD71 markers we observed a statistically significant reduction in the number of cells undergoing Stage IV development in the 10D1 + anti-PD-1 treated mice. Representative FACS profiles are shown in FIG. 28C, while summary data are presented in FIG. 28D.

FIG. 29. Flow cytometry analysis of anti-red blood cell antibodies. Blood samples from NOD.SCID.II2rg-/-(NSG) mice were stained with plasma samples from the mice that received antibody treatment during the perinatal period. Sera from NSG mice and those without sera were used as negative control. All sera were used at 1:50 dilution. These data show that no mice produced anti-red cell antibodies.

FIG. 30. Pathology of the heart in mice treated with chimeric L3D1 0 and 10D1 in combination with anti-PD-1. To further determine the toxicology of L3D1 0 vs 10D1 in combination with anti-PD-1, we performed histological analysis of the heart in mice described in FIG. 26. Mice treated with 10D1 + anti-PD-1 displayed a high level of T cell infiltration that was not observed in mice treated with L3D1 0 + anti-PD-1 or mice treated with human IgG control.

FIG. 31. Pathology of the lung in mice treated with chimeric L3D1 0 and 10D1 in combination with anti-PD-1. To further determine the toxicology of L3D1 0 vs 10D1 in combination with anti-PD-1, we performed histological analysis of the lung in mice described in FIG. 26. Mice treated with 10D1 + anti-PD-1 displayed a high level of T cell
infiltration that was not observed in mice treated with L3D1 0 + anti-PD-1 or mice treated with human IgG control.

FIG. 32. Pathology of the salivary gland in mice treated with chimeric L3D1 0 and 10D1 in combination with anti-PD-1. To further determine the toxicology of L3D1 0 vs 10D1 in combination with anti-PD-1, we performed histological analysis of the salivary in mice described in FIG. 26. Mice treated with 10D1 + anti-PD-1 displayed a much higher level of T cell infiltration than observed in mice treated with L3D1 0 + anti-PD-1 or mice treated with human IgG control.

FIGS. 33A-F. Pathology of the kidney and liver in mice treated with chimeric L3D1 0 and 10D1 in combination with anti-PD-1. To further determine the toxicology of L3D1 0 vs 10D1 in combination with anti-PD-1, we performed histological analysis of the kidney and liver in mice described in FIG. 26. FIGS. 33A-C are sections from the kidney and FIGS. 33D-E are sections taken from the liver. Mice treated with 10D1 + anti-PD-1 displayed a high level of T cell infiltration than observed in mice treated with L3D1 0 + anti-PD-1 or mice treated with human IgG control.

FIG. 34. Toxicity scores of mice treated with chimeric L3D1 0 and 10D1 in combination with anti-PD-1. This tissue data shown if FIGS. 30-33 is summarized and shows the high toxicity scores of mice treated with 10D1 + anti-PD-1 relative to L3D1 0 + anti-PD-1 which has scores only marginally higher than the hlgG control mouse group.

FIG. 35. 10D1 + anti-PD-1 do not have significant toxicity in the Ctlα4 h/m mice as evidenced by normal body weight gains in mice that received antibody treatment during the perinatal period. The mice received treatments with given antibody or combinations on days 10, 13, 16, 19 and 22 intraperitoneal^ (100µg/mice/injection/antibody). Mice were weighed at least once every 3 days.

FIG. 36. L3D1 0 and 10D1 display similar binding patterns for plate immobilized CTLA4. ELISA plates were coated with 1 µg/ml of CTLA4-His protein (Sino Biological, China). The given concentration of biotinylated binding proteins were added and binding measured using HRP-conjugated streptavidin. 10D1-1 and -2 are two independent material lots of the same antibody. hlgG-Fc is a human Ig negative control.
FIG. 37. L3D1 0 displays reduced binding soluble CTLA4. Given concentration of anti-
human CTLA4 mAbs were coated on the plate overnight, after washing and blocking
with bovine serum albumin, biotinylated CTLA4-Fc was added at 0.25 µg/ml. After
incubation ans washing, the amounts of captured CTLA4-Fc were measured using
HRP-labeled streptavidin.

FIG. 38. Alignment of the humanized antibody variable regions with the parental L3D1 0
antibody sequence. The heavy chain variable region (top)(SEQ ID NOS: 62-64) and
light chain variable region (bottom)(SEQ ID NOS: 70-72) of the humanized antibody
sequences are alignment with the parental L3D1 0 antibody (heavy chain: SEQ ID
NO: 57; light chain: SEQ ID NO: 65) and the respective human antibody frameworks
(heavy chain: SEQ ID NOS: 58-61; light chain: SEQ ID NOS: 66-69). Back mutations
to the mouse parental sequence are highlighted in yellow. Novel amino acids i.e. amino
acid residues not present in the parental antibody sequence or the respective human
antibody framework are highlighted in green. Mutations introduced into the CDR2
sequences are shown in purple. CDR sequences are shown in red based on
www.bioinf.org.uk/abs/.

FIGS. 39A-B. Anti-tumor activity of humanized L3D1 0 antibodies compared to 10D1 .
Using the MC38 mouse tumor model in human CTLA4 knockin mice we looked at the
anti-tumor activity of humanized L3D1 0 antibodies compared to the chimeric L3D1 0
antibody and 10D1 . The top panel shows the treatment schedule of the in vivo
experiment; mice were given a total of 4 doses of antibody every 3 days starting on day
7 after inoculation. All humanized antibodies (n = 6 per group) completely eradicated the
tumors and were comparable to 10D1 (bottom panel).

FIG. 40. Anti-tumor activity of humanized L3D1 0 antibodies in CTLA4 hv/m mice. The top
panel shows the treatment schedule of the in vivo experiment; Ctl4 hv/m mice received
control hlg or one of three different anti-human CTLA4 mabs at doses of 30 (-30, solid
lines) or 10 (-1 0, dotted lines) mg per injection at the indicated dates after MC38 tumor
injection. Tumor sizes were measured once every three days.
FIG. 41. Therapeutic effect of anti-CTLA-4 mAb in minimal disease B16-F1 tumor model. Using the B16-F1 mouse tumor model in human CTLA4 knockin mice we looked at the anti-tumor activity of humanized L3D1 0 antibodies. $1 \times 10^5$ B16 tumor cells were injected (s.c.) into Ctl4^{h/h} mice (n=5-6). On days 2, 5, and 8, the mice were treated with control Ig, 10D1, chimeric L3D1 0 or PP4637 and PP4638 (250 µg/mouse, i.p.). Tumor incidence and sizes were measured every other day. 10D1 vs. hlgGFc: $P=0.0061$; L3D1 0 vs. hlgGFc: $P=0.0269$; 10D1 vs. L3D1 0: $P=0.370$; PP4637 vs. hlgGFc: $P=0.0005$; PP4637 vs. 10D1: $P=0.805$; PP4638 vs. hlgGFc: $P=0.001$; PP4638 vs. 10D1: $P=0.856$. Data represent mean ± SEM of 5-6 mice per group. Sizes of tumors were considered as 0 for mice that never developed tumor.

FIG. 42. Comparison among 10D1, PP4631 and PP4637 females for their combined toxicity with anti-PD-1 mAb. Female CTLA4^{h/h} mice were treated on days 10 or 11 days after birth with 4 injections of antibodies (100 µg/mice/injection, once every three days) or control Fc as specified in the legends. Mice were weighted once every 3 days. Data shown are means and SEM of % weight gain over a 30 day period. All mice were sacrificed on day 43 for histological analysis. The number of mice used per group is shown in the parentheses of labels.

FIG. 43. Combination therapy with 10D1 and anti-PD-1 cause anemia, whereas those with either PP4631 +anti-PD-1 or PP4637+anti-PD-1 do not. Data shown are hematocrit of 43 day old mice that have received four treatments of antibodies on days 11, 14, 17 and 20 at doses of 100 µg/mouse /antibodies.

FIGS. 44A-B. Combination therapy with 10D1 +anti-PD-1 cause systemic T cell activation, whereas those with either PP4631 +anti-PD-1 or PP4637+anti-PD-1 do not. Data shown are % of CD4 (upper panels) and CD8 T cells (lower panels) with phenotypes of naïve (CD44^{lo}CD62L^{hi}), central memory (CD44^{hi}CD62L^{hi}) and effector memory (CD44^{hi}CD62L^{lo}) T cells in either peripheral blood (FIG. 44A) or in the spleen (FIG. 44B). The cells were harvested from 43 day old mice that have received four treatments of antibodies on days 11, 14, 17 and 20 at doses of 100 µg/mouse/antibodies.
FIG. 45. Humanization of L3D10 does not affect binding to immobilized CTLA4. The capacity of the humanized L3D10 antibodies to bind immobilized CTLA4 was determined as described in FIG. 36. X-axis indicates the concentration of anti-CTLA-4 mAbs added into solution. Humanization does not affect binding to immobilized CTLA4 and all 3 humanized antibodies demonstrated similar binding to the parental chimeric L3D10 antibody and 10D1. Similar patterns were observed when CTLA4-lg was used instead of CTLA-4-his.

FIG. 46. Humanization further reduces L3D10 binding to soluble CTLA4. The capacity of the humanized L3D10 antibodies to bind soluble CTLA4 was determined as described in FIG. 37. X-axis indicates the concentration of anti-CTLA-4 mAbs coated onto ELISA plates. Humanization further reduces binding to soluble CTLA4 relative to the parental L3D10 chimeric antibody. Similar patterns were observed when CTLA4-lg was used instead of CTLA-4-his.

FIGS. 47A-B. PP4631, PP4638 and PP4637 do not block B7-CTLA-4 interactions in vitro. FIG. 47A shows blocking of the B7-1-CTLA-4 interaction by anti-human CTLA-4 mAbs 10D1, PP4631, PP4637 and L3D10. B7-1 Fc was immobilized at the concentration of 0.5 µg/ml. Biotinylated CTLA4-Fc was added at 0.25 µg/ml along with given doses of antibodies. Data shown are means of duplicate optical density at 405 nM. FIG. 47B shows blocking of B7-2-CTLA-4 interaction by anti-human CTLA-4 mAbs 10D1 and L3D10. As in FIG. 47A, except that B7-2-Fc was immobilized.

FIG. 48. PP4631 and PP4637 do not block B7-CTLA-4 interactions in vivo as demonstrated by their lack of effect on B7-1 and B7-2 expression on dendritic cells. Summary data on B7-1 (a) and B7-2 (b) levels from 3 mice per group. The B7 levels in the control IgG-treated group are artificially defined as 100%.

FIG. 49. PP4637, which exhibits the best safety profile in combination with anti-PD-1 mAb (see FIG. 42), is the most potent in causing tumor rejection based on tumor rejection at the lowest therapeutic doses. Ctl4hm mice received control IgFc or one of three different anti-human CTLA4 mab at doses of 30 (-30, solid lines) or 10 (-10, dotted lines) µg per injection at the indicated dates. Tumor sizes were measured once every
three days. At 10 µg/injection, PP4637 (HL32) is the most efficient in inducing tumor rejection.

FIG. 50. Humanized antibody purity assessment. Transiently expressed humanized L3D1 0 antibodies were purified by Protein A chromatography and samples from all 3 antibodies was assessed by reducing and non-reducing SDS-PAGE. Purified proteins produced gel bands indicative in size of an antibody molecule under both reducing and non-reducing conditions. The "Flow out" lanes show the protein A column flow through, indicating that the majority of the antibody protein adhered to the protein A column.

FIG. 51. Size Exclusion Chromatography (SE-HPLC) of transiently expressed protein. Protein samples for each of the humanized antibodies were analyzed by SE-HPLC following single step Protein A chromatography. Top panel: antibody PP4631. Middle panel: antibody PP4637. Bottom panel: antibody PP4638.

FIG. 52. CE-SDS analysis of transiently expressed protein. Protein samples for each of the humanized antibodies were analyzed by CE-SDS following single step Protein A chromatography. Left panels show the results under non-reduced conditions, and the right panels show the results under reduced conditions. Top panel: antibody PP4631. Middle panel: antibody PP4637. Bottom panel: antibody PP4638.

FIGS. 53A-C. Charge isoform profile and deamidation of the humanized L3D1 0 antibodies as determined by capillary isoelectric focusing (cIEF). The level of protein deamidation under high pH stress was determined by comparing the Humanized L3D1 0 antibodies before and after high pH stress treatment over two different time periods (5 hrs and 12.5 hrs) were analyzed by cIEF analysis. FIGS. 53A-C show the profiles for antibodies PP4631, PP4637 and PP4638, respectively.

FIGS. 54A-C. Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC) Thermal Analysis of the humanized L3D1 0 antibodies. In order to determine the thermal stability and melting temperatures of the different antibodies, they were subject to Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC) Thermal Analysis. FIGS. 54A-C show the normalized DSC curves for antibodies PP4631, PP4637 and PP4638, respectively.
FIG. 55. Alignment of the human, macaque and mouse CTLA-4 extracellular domains. The amino acid sequences of the human (Hm, shown in red)(SEQ ID NO: 73), macaque (Mk, shown in black) and mouse (Ms, shown in green) CTLA-4 protein extracellular domains are aligned and the conserved amino acids (relative to the human sequence) are shown with dashes (-). In order to help the alignment, the mouse sequence has a deletion and insertion (relative to the human and monkey sequences) at the positions highlighted in yellow. The known B7-1 Ig binding site is shown in bold and underlined. The sequences demonstrate that the human and monkey sequences are highly conserved, whereas the mouse sequence has a number of amino acid differences.

Based on this sequence alignment, 11 mutant (M1 - M11)(SEQ ID NOS: 40-50) human CTLA-4Fc proteins were designed that incorporate murine specific amino acids - the amino acids incorporated into each mutant protein are shown in blue.

FIGS. 56A-B. Amino acid sequence composition of the WT and mutant CTLA-4Fc proteins. DNA constructs encoding the WT CTLA-4Fc protein (SEQ ID NO: 39) and 11 mutant proteins (SEQ ID NOS: 40-50) incorporating murine Ctl-a-4 amino acids were designed as shown. The amino acid sequences are for mature proteins, including the IgG1 Fc portion, but not the signal peptide. The known B7-1 Ig binding site is shown in large blue letters and double-underlined. The replaced murine amino acid residues in the mutant are shown lower case in red. The IgG1 Fc portion of the proteins is underlined.

FIG. 57. Mutation in M11 (AA1 03-1 06, YLGI>fcGm) selectively abolish antibody binding to human CTLA-4. Data shown are means of duplicates, depicting the binding of B7-1 Fc (a), L3D1 0 (b), PP4631 (c), and PP4637 (d) binding to plate-coated hCTLA4-Fc (open circles), mCTLA4-Fc (filled triangles), M11 (filled circles) and IgG1 -Fc (open triangles).

FIG. 58. Mapping L3D1 0, PP4631 and PP4637 to an epitope adjacent to the B7-1 binding site in a 3-D structure of the B7-1-CTLA4 complex. The B7-1 binding motif is colored in red, while the antibody epitope is colored in purple. B7-1 is depicted above CTLA4 with a space-filled ribbon, while that of CTLA-4 is depicted as an unfilled ribbon.
FIG. 59. Amino acid sequence composition of the WT (SEQ ID NO: 39) and mutant CTLA-4FC proteins, M12-M17 (SEQ ID NOS: 51-56). DNA constructs encoding the 6 mutant CTLA-4Fc proteins, M12-M17, incorporating murine Ctl-4 amino acids were designed as shown. The amino acid sequences are for mature proteins, including the IgG1 FC portion, but not the signal peptide. The known B7-1 Ig binding site is shown in large blue letters and double-underlined. The replaced murine amino acid residues in the mutant are shown lower case in red. The IgG1 FC portion of the proteins in underlined.

FIGS. 60A-C. Mutational analysis reveal distinct binding requirements for 10D1 (FIG. 60A), PP4631 (FIG. 60B) and PP4637 (FIG. 60C) to CTLA-4. CTLA-4Fc mutants were coated overnight at 40C at 1 µm/mI. After blocking with BSA, given concentration of biotinylated anti-CTLA-4 mAbs were added and incubated for 2 hours. After washing away the unbound antibodies, the bound antibodies were detected with HRP-labeled streptavidin.

FIGS. 61A-B. Therapeutic effect of anti-4-1 BB and anti-CTLA-4 antibodies in both minimal disease (FIG 61A) and established tumor (FIG. 61B) models. FIG. 61A shows therapy of minimal disease. C57BL/6 mice were inoculated subcutaneously with 5x10^5 MC38 cells. On days 2, 9 and 16 after tumor cell injection, control hamster and rat IgG, anti-CTLA-4, and/or anti-4-1 BB antibodies were injected. Tumor sizes were measured by physical examination. Data shown are growth kinetics of tumors, with each line representing tumor growth in one mouse. The sizes presented are products of long and short diameters of the tumor. FIG. 61B shows therapy of established tumors. As in FIG. 61A, except that therapy started on day 14 after tumor challenge; all mice had established tumors ranging from 9-60 mm^2 in size before treatment with mAbs was started. The combined effect of the two antibodies on established tumors has been repeated 3 times.

FIG. 62. CD8 T cells, but not CD4 or NK cells, are essential for antibody-induced tumor rejection. Tumor bearing mice were depleted of CD4, CD8, or NK cells by three injections of antibodies specific for either CD4, CD8 or NK1.1 on days 9, 12, and 16 after tumor cell inoculation (*). Therapeutic antibodies (anti-CTLA-4 plus anti-4-1 BB)
were injected on days 9, 16 and 23 (vertical arrows). Data shown are means and SEM of tumor sizes (n=3). P < 0.05 for CD8-depleted group compared to each of the other groups (†).

FIGS. 63A-B. Combination therapy reduced host response to anti-CTLA-4 antibodies. Hamster-anti-mouse-CTLA-4 (FIG. 63A) or rat-anti-mouse-4-1 BB (FIG. 63B) antibodies were coated in ELISA plates. Different dilutions of sera from groups of 5 mice each were added to the plates. The relative amounts of antibody bound were determined using a secondary step reagent (biotinylated goat anti-mouse antibodies that were depleted of reactivity to rat and hamster IgG by absorption). Data shown are mean and SEM of optical density at 490 nm. Similar reduction of host antibody response to anti-CTLA-4 and 4-1 BB was observed when tumor-free mice were treated with the same antibodies (data not shown).

FIGS. 64A-B. Combination therapy with anti-4-1 BB and L3D1 0 (anti-human-CTLA4) antibody in human CTLA-4 gene knock-in mice. FIG. 64A shows a therapeutic effect. Human CTLA4 knockin mice were inoculated with 5x1 05 MC38 tumor cells subcutaneously. Two days later, groups of 7 mice were treated with rat and mouse IgG, anti-4-1 BB and mouse IgG, L3D1 0 and rat IgG, or L3D1 0 and anti-4-1 BB, as indicated by the arrows. Data shown are mean tumor volume and SEM (n=7). All treatments significantly reduced tumor growth (P<0.001), and the double antibody treatment group show significantly reduce tumor size in comparison to either control (P<0.0001) or L3D1 0 antibody (P=0.0007) or anti-4-1 BB antibody treatment (P=0.03). All tumor bearing mice were sacrificed when the control IgG-treated group reached early removal criteria. FIG. 64B shows long-lasting immunity in mice that received combination therapy. Tumor-free mice in the double antibody-treated group developed long lasting immunity to MC38 tumors. At 110 days after the first tumor cell challenge, the double antibody-treated, tumor-free mice or control naïve mice were challenged with 5x1 05 tumor cells subcutaneously. Tumor growth was monitored by physical examination. Note that all of the mice that rejected the tumors in the first round were completely resistant to re-challenge, while all naïve mice had progressive tumor growth.

Definitions
As used herein, the term "antibody" is intended to denote an immunoglobulin molecule that possesses a "variable region" antigen recognition site. The term "variable region" is intended to distinguish such domain of the immunoglobulin from domains that are broadly shared by antibodies (such as an antibody Fc domain). The variable region comprises a "hypervariable region" whose residues are responsible for antigen binding. The hypervariable region comprises amino acid residues from a "Complementarity Determining Region" or "CDR" (i.e., typically at approximately residues 24-34 (L1), 50-56 (L2) and 89-97 (L3) in the light chain variable domain and at approximately residues 27-35 (H1), 50-65 (H2) and 95-102 (H3) in the heavy chain variable domain; ref. 44) and/or those residues from a "hypervariable loop" (i.e., residues 26-32 (L1), 50-52 (L2) and 91-96 (L3) in the light chain variable domain and 26-32 (H1), 53-55 (H2) and 96-101 (H3) in the heavy chain variable domain; Ref. 45). "Framework Region" or "FR" residues are those variable domain residues other than the hypervariable region residues as herein defined. The term antibody includes monoclonal antibodies, multispecific antibodies, human antibodies, humanized antibodies, synthetic antibodies, chimeric antibodies, camelized antibodies, single chain antibodies, disulfide-linked Fvs (sdFv), intrabodies, and anti-idiotypic (anti-Id) antibodies (including, e.g., anti-Id and anti-anti-Id antibodies to antibodies of the invention). In particular, such antibodies include immunoglobulin molecules of any type (e.g., IgG, IgE, IgM, IgD, IgA and IgY), class (e.g., IgG1, IgG2, IgG3, IgG4, IgA1, and IgA2) or subclass.

As used herein, the term "antigen binding fragment" of an antibody refers to one or more portions of an antibody that contain the antibody's Complementarity Determining Regions ("CDRs") and optionally the framework residues that comprise the antibody's "variable region" antigen recognition site, and exhibit an ability to immunospecifically bind antigen. Such fragments include Fab', F(ab')2, Fv, single chain (ScFv),and mutants thereof, naturally occurring variants, and fusion proteins comprising the antibody's "variable region" antigen recognition site and a heterologous protein (e.g., a toxin, an antigen recognition site for a different antigen, an enzyme, a receptor or receptor ligand, etc.). As used herein, the term "fragment" refers to a peptide or polypeptide comprising an amino acid sequence of at least 5 contiguous amino acid residues, at least 10 contiguous amino acid residues, at least 15 contiguous amino acid residues, etc.
residues, at least 20 contiguous amino acid residues, at least 25 contiguous amino acid residues, at least 40 contiguous amino acid residues, at least 50 contiguous amino acid residues, at least 60 contiguous amino acid residues, at least 70 contiguous amino acid residues, at least 80 contiguous amino acid residues, at least 90 contiguous amino acid residues, at least 100 contiguous amino acid residues, at least 125 contiguous amino acid residues, at least 150 contiguous amino acid residues, at least 175 contiguous amino acid residues, at least 200 contiguous amino acid residues, or at least 250 contiguous amino acid residues.

Human, chimeric or humanized antibodies are particularly preferred for in vivo use in humans, however, murine antibodies or antibodies of other species may be advantageously employed for many uses (for example, in vitro or in situ detection assays, acute in vivo use, etc.).

A "chimeric antibody" is a molecule in which different portions of the antibody are derived from different immunoglobulin molecules such as antibodies having a variable region derived from a non-human antibody and a human immunoglobulin constant region. Chimeric antibodies comprising one or more CDRs from a non-human species and framework regions from a human immunoglobulin molecule can be produced using a variety of techniques known in the art including, for example, CDR-grafting (EP 239,400; International Publication No. WO 91/09967; and U.S. Pat. Nos. 5,225,539, 5,530,101, and 5,585,089), veneering or resurfacing (EP 592,106; EP 519,596;46-48), and chain shuffling (U.S. Pat. No. 5,565,332).

The invention particularly concerns "humanized antibodies". As used herein, the term "humanized antibody" refers to an immunoglobulin comprising a human framework region and one or more CDR's from a non-human (usually a mouse or rat) immunoglobulin. The non-human immunoglobulin providing the CDR's is called the "donor" and the human immunoglobulin providing the framework is called the "acceptor." Constant regions need not be present, but if they are, they must be substantially identical to human immunoglobulin constant regions, i.e., at least about 85-90%, preferably about 95% or more identical. Hence, all parts of a humanized immunoglobulin, except possibly the CDR's, are substantially identical to corresponding
parts of natural human immunoglobulin sequences. A humanized antibody is an antibody comprising a humanized light chain and a humanized heavy chain immunoglobulin. For example, a humanized antibody would not encompass a typical chimeric antibody, because, e.g., the entire variable region of a chimeric antibody is non-human. One says that the donor antibody has been "humanized," by the process of "humanization," because the resultant humanized antibody is expected to bind to the same antigen as the donor antibody that provides the CDR's. For the most part, humanized antibodies are human immunoglobulins (recipient antibody) in which hypervariable region residues of the recipient are replaced by hypervariable region residues from a non-human species (donor antibody) such as mouse, rat, rabbit or a non-human primate having the desired specificity, affinity, and capacity. In some instances, Framework Region (FR) residues of the human immunoglobulin are replaced by corresponding non-human residues. Furthermore, humanized antibodies may comprise residues which are not found in the recipient antibody or in the donor antibody. These modifications are made to further refine antibody performance. In general, the humanized antibody will comprise substantially all of at least one, and typically two, variable domains, in which all or substantially all of the hypervariable regions correspond to those of a non-human immunoglobulin and all or substantially all of the FRs are those of a human immunoglobulin sequence. The humanized antibody optionally also will comprise at least a portion of an immunoglobulin constant region (Fc), typically that of a human immunoglobulin that immunospecifically binds to an Fc.gamma.RIIB polypeptide, that has been altered by the introduction of amino acid residue substitutions, deletions or additions (i.e., mutations).

**Detailed Description**

An antibody against human CTLA4 protein, Ipilimumab, has been shown to increase survival of cancer patients, either as the only immunotherapeutic agent or in combination with other therapeutic agents such as, for example without limitation, an anti-PD-1 antibody (13-15). However, the therapeutic effect is associated with significant adverse effects (13-18). There is a great need to develop novel anti-CTLA4 antibodies to achieve better therapeutic effect and/or less autoimmune adverse effect.
The inventors have discovered an anti-CTLA4 antibody that, surprisingly, can be used to induce cancer rejection while also reducing autoimmune adverse effects associated with immunotherapy.

Provided herein are antibody compositions of matter and antigen-binding fragments thereof. The invention further concerns the embodiment of such molecules wherein the molecule is a monoclonal antibody, a human antibody, a chimeric antibody or a humanized antibody.

In detail, the invention provides a molecule, comprising an antigen-binding fragment of an antibody that immunospecifically binds to CTLA4, and in particular human CTLA4, preferably expressed on the surface of a live cell at an endogenous or transfected concentration. The invention particularly concerns the embodiment of such a molecule wherein the antigen-binding fragment binds to CTLA4, and wherein the live cell is a T cell.

The present invention relates to antibodies and their antigen-binding fragments that are capable of immunospecifically binding to CTLA4. In some embodiments such molecules are additionally capable of blocking the binding of B7.1 and B7.2 to CTLA4.

The invention further concerns the embodiment of such molecules wherein the molecule is a monoclonal antibody, a human antibody, a chimeric antibody or a humanized antibody. The invention includes the embodiments wherein such antibodies are monospecific, bispecific, trispecific or multispecific.

The invention further concerns the embodiment of such molecules or antibodies which binds to CTLA4, and wherein the antigen-binding fragment thereof comprises six CDRs, wherein the CDRs comprise the CDRs of anti-CTLA4 antibody L3D10. Specifically, the antibody comprises the three light chain and the three heavy chain CDRs of anti-CTLA4 antibody L3D10.

The invention further concerns the embodiment of the above-described antibodies, wherein the antibody is detectably labeled or comprises a conjugated toxin, drug, receptor, enzyme, receptor ligand.
The invention further concerns a pharmaceutical composition comprising a therapeutically effective amount of any of the above-described antibody compositions, and a physiologically acceptable carrier or excipient. Preferably, compositions of the invention comprise a prophylactically or therapeutically effective amount of humanized antibodies of the invention and a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier.

In a specific embodiment, the term "pharmaceutically acceptable" means approved by a regulatory agency of the Federal or a state government or listed in the U.S. Pharmacopeia or other generally recognized pharmacopeia for use in animals, and more particularly in humans. The term "carrier" refers to a diluent, adjuvant (e.g., Freund's adjuvant (complete and incomplete), excipient, or vehicle with which the therapeutic is administered. Such pharmaceutical carriers may be sterile liquids, such as water and oils, including those of petroleum, animal, vegetable or synthetic origin, such as peanut oil, soybean oil, mineral oil, sesame oil and the like. Water is a preferred carrier when the pharmaceutical composition is administered intravenously. Saline solutions and aqueous dextrose and glycerol solutions can also be employed as liquid carriers, particularly for injectable solutions. Suitable pharmaceutical excipients include starch, glucose, lactose, sucrose, gelatin, malt, rice, flour, chalk, silica gel, sodium stearate, glycerol monostearate, talc, sodium chloride, dried skim milk, glycerol, propylene, glycol, water, ethanol and the like. The composition, if desired, may also contain minor amounts of wetting or emulsifying agents, or pH buffering agents. These compositions may take the form of solutions, suspensions, emulsion, tablets, pills, capsules, powders, sustained-release formulations and the like.

Generally, the ingredients of compositions of the invention may be supplied either separately or mixed together in unit dosage form, for example, as a dry lyophilized powder or water free concentrate in a hermetically sealed container such as an ampoule or sachette indicating the quantity of active agent. Where the composition is to be administered by infusion, it can be dispensed with an infusion bottle containing sterile pharmaceutical grade water or saline. Where the composition is administered by injection, an ampoule of sterile water for injection or saline may be provided so that the ingredients may be mixed prior to administration.
The compositions of the invention may be formulated as neutral or salt forms. Pharmaceutically acceptable salts include, but are not limited to, those formed with anions such as those derived from hydrochloric, phosphoric, acetic, oxalic, tartaric acids, etc., and those formed with cations such as those derived from sodium, potassium, ammonium, calcium, ferric hydroxides, isopropylamine, triethylamine, 2-ethylamino ethanol, histidine, procaine, etc.

The invention further concerns the use of the antibody compositions described here and pharmaceutical compositions thereof for the upregulation of immune responses. Up-modulation of the immune system is particularly desirable in the treatment of cancers and chronic infections, and thus the present invention has utility in the treatment of such disorders. As used herein, the term "cancer" refers to a neoplasm or tumor resulting from abnormal uncontrolled growth of cells. As used herein, cancer explicitly includes leukemias and lymphomas. The term refers to a disease involving cells that have the potential to metastasize to distal sites.

Accordingly, the methods and compositions of the invention may also be useful in the treatment or prevention of a variety of cancers or other abnormal proliferative diseases, including (but not limited to) the following: carcinoma, including that of the bladder, breast, colon, kidney, liver, lung, ovary, pancreas, stomach, cervix, thyroid and skin; including squamous cell carcinoma; hematopoietic tumors of lymphoid lineage, including leukemia, acute lymphocytic leukemia, acute lymphoblastic leukemia, B-cell lymphoma, T-cell lymphoma, Berketts lymphoma; hematopoietic tumors of myeloid lineage, including acute and chronic myelogenous leukemias and promyelocytic leukemia; tumors of mesenchymal origin, including fibrosarcoma and rhabdomyoscarcoma; other tumors, including melanoma, seminoma, tetratocarcinoma, neuroblastoma and glioma; tumors of the central and peripheral nervous system, including astrocytoma, neuroblastoma, glioma, and schwannomas; tumors of mesenchymal origin, including fibrosarcoma, rhabdomyosarcoma, and osteosarcoma; and other tumors, including melanoma, xenoderma pigmentosum, keratoactanithoma, seminoma, thyroid follicular cancer and teratocarcinoma. It is also contemplated that cancers caused by aberrations in apoptosis would also be treated by the methods and
compositions of the invention. Such cancers may include, but are not be limited to, follicular lymphomas, carcinomas with p53 mutations, hormone dependent tumors of the breast, prostate and ovary, and precancerous lesions such as familial adenomatous polyposis, and myelodysplastic syndromes. In specific embodiments, malignancy or dysproliferative changes (such as metaplasias and dysplasias), or hyperproliferative disorders, are treated or prevented by the methods and compositions of the invention in the ovary, bladder, breast, colon, lung, skin, pancreas, or uterus. In other specific embodiments, sarcoma, melanoma, or leukemia is treated or prevented by the methods and compositions of the invention.

In another embodiment of the invention, the antibody compositions and antigen binding fragments thereof can be used with other anti-tumor therapies, including but not limited to, current standard and experimental chemotherapies, hormonal therapies, biological therapies, immunotherapies, radiation therapies, or surgery. In some embodiments, the molecules of the invention may be administered in combination with a therapeutically or prophylactically effective amount of one or more agents, therapeutic antibodies or other agents known to those skilled in the art for the treatment and/or prevention of cancer, autoimmune disease, infectious disease or intoxication. Such agents include for example, any of the above-discussed biological response modifiers, cytotoxins, antimetabolites, alkylating agents, antibiotics, or anti-mitotic agents, as well as immunotherapeutics.

In preferred embodiment of the invention, the antibody compositions and antigen binding fragments thereof can be used with other anti-tumor immunotherapies. In such an embodiment the molecules of the invention are administered in combination with molecules that disrupt or enhance alternative immunomodulatory pathways (such as TIM3, TIM4, OX40, CD40, GITR, 4-1 -BB, B7-H1, PD-1, B7-H3, B7-H4, LIGHT, BTLA, ICOS, CD27 or LAG3) or modulate the activity of effector molecules such as cytokines (e.g., IL-4, IL-7, IL-1 0, IL-1 2, IL-1 5, IL-1 7, GF-beta, IFNγ, Fli3, BLys) and chemokines (e.g., CCL21) in order to enhance the immunomodulatory effects. Specific embodiments include a bi-specific antibody comprising the anti-CTLA4 antibody compositions described herein and anti-PD-1 (pembrolizumab (Keytruda) or Nivolumab (Opdivo)),
anti-B7-H1 (atezolizumab (Tecentriq) or durvalumab), anti-B7-H3, anti-B7-H4, anti-LIGHT, anti-LAG3, anti-TIM3, anti-TIM4 anti-CD40, anti-OX40, anti-GITR, anti-BTLA, anti-CD27, anti-ICOS or anti-4-1 BB. In yet another embodiment, the molecules of the invention are administered in combination with molecules that activate different stages or aspects of the immune response in order to achieve a broader immune response. In more preferred embodiment, the antibody compositions and antigen binding fragments thereof are combined with anti-PD-1 or anti-4-1 BB antibodies, without exacerbating autoimmune side effects.

Another embodiment of the invention includes a bi-specific antibody that comprises an antibody that binds to CTLA4 bridged to an antibody that binds another immune stimulating molecules. Specific embodiments include a bi-specific antibody comprising the anti-CTLA4 antibody compositions described herein and anti-PD-1, anti-B7-H1, anti-B7-H3, anti-B7-H4, anti-LIGHT, anti-LAG3, anti-TIM3, anti-TIM4 anti-CD40, anti-OX40, anti-GITR, anti-BTLA, anti-CD27, anti-ICOS or anti-4-1 BB. The invention further concerns of use of such antibodies for the treatment of cancer.

Methods of administering the antibody compositions of the invention include, but are not limited to, parenteral administration (e.g., intradermal, intramuscular, intraperitoneal, intravenous and subcutaneous), epidural, and mucosal (e.g., intranasal and oral routes). In a specific embodiment, the antibodies of the invention are administered intramuscularly, intravenously, or subcutaneously. The compositions may be administered by any convenient route, for example, by infusion or bolus injection, by absorption through epithelial or mucocutaneous linings (e.g., oral mucosa, rectal and intestinal mucosa, etc.) and may be administered together with other biologically active agents. Administration can be systemic or local.

Yet another embodiment of the invention concerns monitoring the blocking effects of anti-CTLA4 antibodies in vivo by monitoring the expression levels of B7.1 and B7.2 on immune cells such as antigen presenting cells (APCs). CTLA4 is expressed predominately among the Treg where it suppresses autoimmune diseases by down-regulating B7-1 and B7-2 expression on APCs such as dendritic cells. Therefore, upregulation of B7 molecules, B7.1 and B7.2, can be as readouts for the in vivo
blockade of B7-CTLA4 interactions. In a specific embodiment, peripheral or intra-
tumoral immune cells are removed from the subject before and after anti-CTLA4 treatment and assayed \textit{ex vivo} for a reduction in the level of B7.1 and/or B7.2 on the surface of the immune cell, wherein the presence of blocking anti-CTLA4 antibodies prevents B7.1/B7.2 binding by endogenous CTLA4, which in turn prevents the downregulation of B7.1 and B7.2, resulting in a net increase in B7.1/B7.2 expression. In a preferred embodiment the level of B7.1 and B7.1 is measured on antigen presenting cells. In a most preferred embodiment the level of B7.1 and B7.1 is measured on dendritic cells.

In a further embodiment, the change (reduction) in B7.1 and B7.2 on immune cells following anti-CTLA4 treatment is used as a biomarker for measuring the biological activity of anti-CTLA4 antibodies \textit{in vivo} and monitoring patent responses to anti-CTLA4 treatment by measuring the level B7.1 and/or B7.2 expression on immune cells, and comparing the level of expression before and after treatment. In a preferred embodiment the level of B7.1 and/or B7.2 expression is monitored over time during a course of anti-CTLA4 therapy.

\textbf{Examples}

\textbf{Example 1. Generation of chimeric anti-CTLA4 antibody}

Using human CTLA4 gene knock-in mice and hu-PBL-Scid mice, it was previously demonstrated that mouse anti-human CTLA4 antibodies reduced tumor growth, and identify L3D10 as the most effective among the panel of mAbs tested. However, none of the antibodies obtained was able to achieve complete tumor rejection, even when used at relatively high doses of (\textgreater{}10mg/kg) and before formation of palpable tumors (as early on day 2) after tumor cell challenge (19-21).

Since the mouse antibodies were of IgG1 subclass that does not have strong antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC), and since ADCC maybe involved in tumor rejection, the Fc of the mAb was modified in several ways, to achieve better immunotherapeutic effect. First, mouse IgG1, which is weak in ADCC, was replaced to produce a chimeric antibody with human IgG1, which has strong ADCC activity. Second,
based on known art in the literatures (22), three mutations (S298A, E333A and K334A) were introduced in the CH to increase ADCC activity. Third, three mutations (M252Y, S254T and T256E) were introduced to increase the half-life of the antibody in vivo (23). The design of the new chimeric antibody is depicted in FIG. 1, left panel.

To engineer the antibody, the variable regions of L3D10 hybridoma were first identified through DNA sequencing using standard methods known in the art. The nucleotide sequences were translated into amino acids listed in SEQ ID NO: 1 and SEQ ID NO: 2. The normal human IgG1 Fc sequence and the mutant Fc sequence are disclosed in SEQ ID NO: 3 and SEQ ID NO: 4, respectively. The amino acid and codon optimized nucleotide sequences of heavy and light chain sequences are disclosed in SEQ ID NOS: 5-8.

DNA corresponding to SEQ ID NO: 5 and SEQ ID NO: 7 were synthesized and inserted into expression vectors, and the vectors were transfected with the designed sequence into HEK293 cells. Briefly, HEK293 cells were seeded in a shake flask one day before transfection, and were grown using serum-free chemically defined media. The DNA expression constructs were transiently transfected into 0.5 liter of suspension HEK293 cells using standard operating procedure for transient transfection. After 20 hours, cells were sampled to obtain the viability and viable cell count, and titer was measured (Octet QKe, ForteBio). Additional readings were taken throughout the transient transfection production runs. The culture was harvested at day 5. The conditioned media for L3D10 was harvested and clarified from the transient transfection production run by centrifugation and filtration. The supernatant was run over a Protein A column and eluted with a low pH buffer. Filtration using a 0.2 µm membrane filter was performed before aliquoting. After purification and filtration, the protein concentration was calculated from the OD280 and the extinction coefficient. A total of 43.2 mg of Ig proteins were obtained from one round of transfection.

**Example 2. Chimeric L3D10 antibody binding sites only partially overlap with 10D1**
In the clinic, the anti-CTLA4 antibody, Ipilimumab, has been shown to improve the survival of cancer patients but induce significant autoimmune adverse effect. In order to evaluate the comparative binding sites of the chimeric L3D10 antibody and 10D1, binding to CTLA4 and the ability of the antibodies to compete for binding to CTLA4 were compared. While both antibodies bind to immobilized CTLA4 proteins at comparable efficiency (FIG. 2), 10D1 does not completely block chimeric L3D10 binding to CTLA4 (FIG. 3). As expected, unlabeled L3D10 completely blocks labeled L3D10 binding, indicating that the antibody binding sites of L3D10 and 10D1 only partially overlap.

**Example 3. More efficient blockade CTLA4:B7.1 and CTLA4:B7.2 interactions by chimeric L3D10 antibody than by 10D1**

It has been reported that anti-human CTLA4 mAb, 10D1, can block B7-CTLA4 interaction if soluble B7-1 and B7-2 was used to interact with immobilized CTLA4 (49). Since B7-1 and B7-2 function as cell surface co-stimulatory molecules, we evaluated the ability of anti-CTLA4 antibodies to block the B7-CTLA4 interaction using immobilized B7-1 and B7-2. Using a competitive ELISA assay format, the abilities of L3D10 and 10D1 to block binding of the CTLA4 fusion protein, CTLA4-lg, to both plate-immobilized-and cell membrane-expressed B7.1 and B7.2. For these experiments a chimeric anti-human CTLA4-mAb with an affinity (2.3 nM) that is similar to 10D1 (4 nM) (49) was used. For the plate immobilized assays, B7.1 Fc or B7.2Fc were coated onto the ELISA plate at 1 µg/ml over night at 4°C or 2 hours at 37°C. Biotinylated CTLA4-Fc were mixed with given concentrations of either B7.1-Fc, 10D1 or chimeric L3D10. The amounts of the CTLA4-Fc bound to B7.1 on the plate is determined using horse-radish peroxidase-conjugated streptavidin. As shown in FIG. 4, while chimeric L3D10, B7.1 Fc and CTLA4-Fc all efficiently blocked CTLA4-Fc:B7.1 interaction, two separate material lots of 10D1 failed to block the interaction. L3D10 shows significant blocking of plate-immobilized B7.1 binding at concentrations as low as 0.2 µg/ml, achieving 50% inhibition (IC50) at around 3 µg/ml. Similarly, L3D10 blocked binding of CTLA4-Fc binding to plate immobilized B7.2 with an IC50 of 0.03 µg/ml, whereas 10D1 from two different material lots displayed minimal blocking with an IC50 of approximately 200 µg/ml (FIG. 5).

However, consistent with the previous report (49), antibody 10D1 potently inhibited B7-
1-CTLA4 interaction in the reverse experiment when plate immobilized CTLA4 is used to interact with soluble B7-1 (FIG. 6).

For the cell membrane protein binding experiments, when B7.1 is expressed on the surface of CHO cells, L3D1 0 blocks binding of CTLA4-Fc but 10D1 from two different material lots did not, even when used at 512 µg/ml (FIG. 7). While much less potent than L3D1 0, high doses of 10D1 achieved approximately 25% blocking between human CTLA4 and mouse B7-1 (FIG. 8). For B7.2 expressed on the CHO cell surface, L3D1 0 was again blocking whereas 10D1 was only partially blocking, with less than 50% inhibition observed even when 10D1 was used at 512 µg/ml (FIG. 9).

A potential caveat is that biotinylation may have affected binding of 10D1 to CTLA4-Fc. To address this issue, we compared binding of L3D1 0 and 10D1 to biotinylated CTLA4-Fc used in the blocking studies. As shown in FIG. 10, 10D1 is more effective than L3D1 0 in binding the biotinylated CTLA4-Fc. Therefore, the failure in blockade by 10D1 was not due to insufficient binding to biotinylated CTLA4-Fc. A similar pattern is observed when polyhistidine-tagged CTLA4 was used to interact with human B7-1 transfected CHO cells (FIG. 11). Taken together, our data suggest that ability of antibody 10D1 to block B7-CTLA4 interaction is highly dependent on the assay employed, with minimal to no detectable blocking activity if B7-1 and B7-2 are immobilized, while antibody L3D1 0 is a robust blocker for B7-CTLA4 interaction regardless of whether the B7 protein is immobilized.

Example 4. Chimeric L3D10 antibody is more efficient than unmodified L3D10 in causing tumor rejection

It was previously reported that mouse L3D1 0 failed to cause complete remission of MC38 tumors, even though significant delays were observed (19, 20). To determine if chimeric L3D1 0 can cause complete remission in syngeneic mice, 1x10^6 MC38 tumor cells were transplanted into syngeneic C57BL/6 mice. One week later, when the tumor reaches around 5 mm in diameter, mice were treated with either control IgG or chimeric L3D1 0 mAb at a dose that is only half of what was used in the previous studies with the mouse L3D1 0. As shown in FIG. 12, despite possible immunogenity of the human Ig
sequence, it was found that the chimeric L3D10 caused complete remission in all mice tested. Since the treatment was initiated when large tumor burdens have been established, which is much more difficult than when tumors were not palatable (19), these experiments show that chimeric L3D10 is more efficient than unmodified L3D10.

Example 5. Chimeric L3D10 antibody has equivalent activity as 10D1 in causing tumor rejection

The availability of human CTLA4 gene knockin mice (20) provided with an unprecedented opportunity to test biological activity of the chimeric anti-human CTLA-4 antibody with clinically used anti-CTLA-4 mAb, 10D1. In this humanized mouse model, a CTLA4 gene encoding a product with 100% identity to human CTLA-4 protein is expressed under the control of endogenous mouse Ctl4 locus. When the anti-tumor activity of the chimeric L3D10 and 10D1 were directly compared in the MC38 tumor model in human CTLA4-knockin mice, it is clear that both antibodies were comparable in causing tumor rejection, whereas the tumors grew progressively in IgG control group.

FIG. 13 shows the results of antibody treatment on tumor size from duplicate experiments.

An interesting question is whether anti-CTLA-4 mAbs need to interact with all CTLA-4 (i.e. achieve target saturation) in order to exert immunotherapeutic effect. F1 mice from CTLA4\textsuperscript{h/h} and CTLA4\textsuperscript{m/m} mice expresses both mouse and human CTLA-4 protein in a co-dominant manner. Interestingly, as shown in FIG. 14, both chimeric L3D10 and 10D1 effectively induced tumor rejection, even though approximately 50% of the CTLA-4 protein (i.e. the murine version of the protein) cannot be bound by anti-human CTLA-4 mAbs. Importantly, L3D10 is more therapeutically effective than 10D1 in this setting i.e. when gene doses are limited (P<0.05).

Previous studies have demonstrated that anti-mouse Ctl4 mAbs cannot induce rejection of melanoma cell line B16-F1 without combination with other therapeutic modalities. Therefore, the anti-tumor effect of the chimeric L3D10 and 10D1 antibodies was also tested using this more challenging B16 tumor model in the human CTLA4 knockin mice. As shown in FIG. 15, whereas neither L3D10 nor Ipilimumab were
capable of causing rejection of established tumors, both cause statistically significant retardation of tumor growth, while the differences between different antibodies are not statistically significant.

**Example 6: CTLA4 blocking in vivo**

CTLA4 is expressed predominately among the Treg where it suppresses autoimmune diseases by down-regulating B7-1 and B7-2 expression on dendritic cells (50). Since targeted mutation of Ctla4 (50) and treatment with blocking anti-CTLA4 mAb (51) upregulated expression of B7-1 and B7-2 on dendritic cells, it has been suggested that physiological function of CTLA4 on Treg is to down-regulate B7 on DC. Therefore, upregulation of B7 was used as a readout for the in vivo blockade of B7-CTLA4 interactions and developed an assay using T cells from the Ctlad4h/h mice which had homozygous knockin of the human CTLA4 gene.

As outlined in FIG. 16, surface expressed B7.1 or B7.2 binds CTLA4 on the surface of T cells, which leads to a downregulation in B7.1 and B7.2 expression. However, binding of blocking anti-CTLA4 antibodies prevents B7.1/B7.2 binding, which prevents the downregulation of B7.1 and B7.2, resulting in a net increase in B7.1/B7.2 expression. However, with chimeric T cells expressing both human and mouse CTLA4, antibodies that bind human CTLA4 do not prevent B7.1/B7.2 binding to the murine CTLA4, which restores B7.1/B7.2 inhibition.

CTLA4 humanized mice that express the CTLA4 gene with 100% identify to human CTLA4 protein under the control of endogenous mouse Ctlad locus has been described (20). The homozygous knock-in mice {CTLA4h/h} were backcrossed to C57BL/6 background for at least 10 generations. Heterozygous mice {CTLA4h/h} were produced by crossing the CTLA4 h/h mice with WT BALB/c mice.

To test clinically proven therapeutic anti-CTLA4 mAb, 10D1, we injected very high doses of anti-CTLA4 mAb (500 µg/mouse, which is roughly 25 mg/kg or 8-times the highest dose used in the clinic) into Ctlad4h/h or Ctlad4m/h mice and harvested spleen cells to measure levels of B7-1 and B7-2 on Cd11c DC at 24 hours after injection (FIGS. 17A- B). As shown FIGS. 17C-E, in comparison to Ctlad4h/h mice that received human
IgG1 -Fc, DC from chimeric L3D1 0 treated mice had a statistically significant increase in B7.1 expression in T cells expressing human CTLA4 but not in T cells expressing both human and mouse CTLA4. Similar results were seen for B7.2 as shown in FIGS. 17C-E. The magnitude of upregulation in B7-2 is comparable to what was achieved using a blocking anti-CTLA4 mAb in human Treg-DC co-culture (66).

To further confirm the specificity of the in vivo assay, we tested if L3D1 0 can upregulate B7 in Ctla4<sup>m/h</sup> mice in which mouse and human CTLA4 are expressed co-dominantly. Since at least 50% of the CTLA4 does not bind to anti-human CTLA4 antibodies, it is expected that they would be less potent in blocking B7-CTLA4 interaction. Indeed, neither antibody caused upregulation of B7-1 and B7-2 on DC from Ctla4<sup>m/h</sup> mice (FIG. 17C, D, F). The complete lack of blockade by L3D1 0 in the Ctla4<sup>m/h</sup> mice suggests that CTLA4 encoded by the mouse allele, which does not bind to L3D1 0 (FIG. 18), is sufficient to down-regulate B7 expression. Thus, our data demonstrated that at doses that are at least 8-times higher than the highest dose used in clinic, 10D1 does not block B7-CTLA4 interaction when B7 are either immobilized on plate or anchored on cell membrane, both in vivo and in vitro.

The complete lack of blockade by L3D1 0 in the Ctla4<sup>m/h</sup> mice suggests that CTLA4 encoded by the mouse allele, which does not bind to L3D1 0 (FIG. 18), is sufficient to down-regulate B7 expression. In contrast, 10D1 did not increase B7.1 or B7.2 expression. According to the model, this suggests that L3D1 0 blocks CTLA4 activity in vivo whereas 10D1 does not.

However, despite these apparent differences in blocking activity, both L3D1 0 and 10D1 display strong anti-tumor activity against the MC38 model in chimeric CTLA4<sup>m/h</sup> mice, as shown in FIG. 19. While the tumor grew progressively in the control Ig-treated mice, complete rejection was achieved by either anti-CTLA4 mAb. In multiple experiments, the two antibodies are comparable in causing tumor rejection. In another tumor model, B16 melanoma, both antibodies induced similar retardation of tumor growth, although complete rejection was not achieved by either antibody (FIG. 20).

**Example 7: Anti-tumor effects are associated with intra-tumoral Treg depletion**
Immune regulation *in vivo* results from a balance between immune cell activation and immune checkpoints. In particular, regulatory T cells (Tregs) are a subpopulation of T cells which regulate the immune system, maintain tolerance to self-antigens, and abrogate autoimmune disease. Recent studies have demonstrated that therapeutic efficacy of anti-mouse CTLA4 mAb is affected by the Fc subclass and host Fc receptor, which in turn affect antibody-dependent cytotoxicity of Treg selectively within tumor microenvironment (52, 53). As differential CTLA4 blocking activity *in vivo* does not appear to translate to differences in anti-tumor activity, we attempted to establish the mechanism of action(s) by which the anti-tumor occurs and looked at Tregs within the tumor microenvironment. To do this, we sacrificed MC38 tumor-bearing mice before the rejections were completed (FIG. 21) and analyzed the frequency of Treg in *Ctla4*^h/h^ knockin mice that received control Ig, 10D1 or L3D10. While neither antibody reduces Treg in the spleen (FIG. 22C), both reduced Treg in the tumor microenvironment (FIG. 22E.). Interestingly, 10D1 but not L3D10 expanded Treg in the spleen. Expansion of Treg in the spleen by 10D1 recapitulates a clinical finding that Ipilimumab increased FOXP3 expression by the peripheral blood leukocytes (54). Since the blocking and non-blocking antibodies are comparable in depletion of Treg in the tumor microenvironment, blockade of B7-CTLA4 interaction does not contribute to Treg depletion. Since 10D1 does not block B7-CTLA4 interaction *in vivo* and yet confer therapeutic effect in the *Ctla4*^h/h^ mice and in melanoma patients, blockade of this interaction is not required for its therapeutic effect. Furthermore, since two mAbs with drastically different blocking effect have comparable therapeutic effect and selective Treg depletion in tumor microenvironment, blocking CTLA4-B7 interaction does not enhance therapeutic effect of an antibody.

To substantiate this observation, we tested the therapeutic effect of the two anti-CTLA4 mAbs in the Ctla4^m/m^ mice in which the anti-human CTLA4 mAbs can bind to at maximal of 50% of CTLA4 molecules and in which neither antibody can block B7-CTLA4 interaction to achieve upregulation of B7 on dendritic cells (FIG. 16). Again, both antibodies cause rapid rejection of the MC38 tumors, although L3D10 is somewhat more effective than 10D1 (FIG. 22B). Correspondingly, both antibodies selectively depleted Treg in tumor microenvironment (FIG. 22D and 21F). These genetic data
further demonstrated the irrelevance of CTLA4 blockade in tumor rejection and local Treg depletion and thus refute the prevailing hypothesis that anti-CTLA4 mAb induce cancer immunity through blocking B7-CTLA4 interaction (10).

Example 8. Evaluation of blocking activities of commonly used anti-mouse CTLA4 mAbs 9H10 and 9D9

The concept that CTLA4 is a cell-intrinsic negative regulator for T cell regulation was proposed based on stimulatory effect of both intact and Fab of two anti-mouse CTLA4 mAbs (30, 31), 4F10 and 9H10, although no data were presented to demonstrate that these antibodies block B7-CTLA4 interaction. More recently, a third anti-mouse CTLA4 mAb, 9D9, was reported to have therapeutic effect in tumor bearing mice and cause local depletion of Treg in tumor microenvironment (52). We therefore set out to test all three commercially available anti-mouse CTLA4 mAbs that had been shown to induce tumor rejection for their ability to block B7-CTLA4 interaction under physiologically relevant configurations. As a first test, we used increasing amounts of anti-CTLA4 mAbs (up to 2,000 fold molar excess over CTLA4-Fc) to block binding of biotinylated CTLA4-Fc to plate-immobilized B7-1 and B7-2. As shown in FIG. 23A, anti-mouse CTLA4 mAb 9H10 did not block the B7-1-CTLA4 interaction even at the highest concentration tested, although a modest blocking was observed when 9D9 was used at very high concentrations. While mAb 9D9 effectively blocked the B7-2-CTLA4 interaction, 9H10 failed to do so (FIG. 23B). Interestingly, while 9D9 shows strong binding to soluble CTLA4-Fc, 9H10 showed poor binding (FIG. 23c), even though it is more potent than 9D9 in binding immobilized mouse CTLA4-Fc (FIG. 23D). Since lack of any blocking activity by 9H10 in this assay may simply reflect its poor binding to soluble CTLA4-Fc, we again used up-regulation of B7-1 and B7-2 on dendritic cells in WT mice (CTLA4m/m) to measure in vivo blocking of B7-CTLA4 interaction. As shown in FIGS. 23E and F, 9H10 did not upregulate B7-1 expression on DC, while 9D9 increased B7-1 level by 15% (P<0.05). Interestingly, while 9D9 clearly upregulated B7-2 on DC, 9H10 failed to do so. Therefore, 9H10, the first and most extensively studied tumor immunotherapeutic anti-CTLA4 mAb does not block B7-CTLA4 interaction. Therefore, blocking B7-CTLA4 interaction does not contribute to induction of anti-tumor immunity by anti-mouse CTLA4
mAbs. Since both mAbs show comparable immunotherapeutic effect and comparable deletion of Treg in the tumor microenvironment (52), local deletion of Treg, rather than blockade of B7-CTLA4 interaction, provides a unifying explanation for therapeutic effect of anti-mouse CTLA4 mAbs. Interestingly, while 4F10 blocked B7-CTLA4 interaction in **vitro**, it failed to induce upregulation of B7 on DC in **vivo** (FIG. 24).

Taken together, we have demonstrated that clinically proven therapeutic anti-human CTLA4 mAb (10D1) and two anti-mouse CTLA4 mAbs (9H10 and 4F10) confers immunotherapeutic effect without blocking B7-CTLA4 interaction under physiologically relevant conditions. Furthermore, such blockade was not necessary for tumor rejection even for the mAb (L3D10) that can potently block B7-CTLA4 interaction. Since the therapeutic effect is substantially the same for antibodies with 1000-fold differences in blocking B7-CTLA4 interaction, such blockade does not contribute to cancer therapeutic effect of the anti-CTLA4 mAbs. These data refute the hypothesis that anti-CTLA4 mAb confers immunotherapeutic effect through checkpoint blockade (55). By refuting the prevailing hypothesis, our data suggest that the therapeutic effect of anti-CTLA4 mAb cannot be optimized by improving the blocking activities of the anti-CTLA4 mAbs. In this context, it is particular interest to note that Tremelimunab, which is superior in blocking B7-CTLA4 interaction (56), did not reach clinical endpoint in a phase III clinical trial (57). Meanwhile, by demonstrating strong correlation between tumor rejection of local Treg depletion and by refuting the involvement of blockade of B7-CTLA4 interaction in tumor immunity, our work favor the hypothesis that local deletion of Treg within the tumor environment is the main mechanism for therapeutic anti-CTLA4 mAb, and hence suggest new approaches to develop next generation of anti-CTLA4 mAb for cancer immunotherapy.

Finally, accumulating genetic data in the mice suggest that the original concept (30, 31) that CTLA4 negatively regulates T cell activation and that such regulation was achieved through SHP-2 (58, 59) may need to be revisited (60). Thus, while the severe autoimmune diseases in the Ctl4-/- mice have been used to support the notion of CTLA4 as a cell-intrinsic negative regulator for T cell activation (61, 62), at least three lines of genetic data have since emerged that are not consistent with this view. First,
lineage-specific deletion of the Ctla4 gene in Treg but not in the effector T cells is sufficient to recapitulate the autoimmune phenotype observed in mice with germline deletion of the Ctla4 gene (50). These data suggest that the autoimmunity in the Ctla4-/- mice was not due to lack of cell-intrinsic negative regulator CTLA4 in effector T cells.

Second, in chimera mice consisting of both WT and Ctla4-/- T cells, the autoimmune phenotype was prevented by co-existence of WT T cells (63). These data again strongly argue that autoimmune diseases were not caused by lack of cell-intrinsic negative regulator. The lack of cell-intrinsic negative regulator effect is also demonstrated by the fact that in the chimera mice, no preferential expansion of Ctla4-/- T cells was observed during viral infection (64). Third, T-cell specific deletion of Shp2, which was proposed to be mediating negative regulation of CTLA4 (58, 59), turned out to reduce rather than enhance T cell activation (65). In the context of these genetic data reported since the proposal of CTLA4 as negative regulator for T cell activation, our data reported herein call for a reappraisal of CTLA4 checkpoint blockade in cancer immunotherapy.

Example 9. Chimeric L3D10 demonstrates reduced immune adverse events when used in combination with other immunotherapeutic antibodies

Recent clinical studies have revealed that combination therapy between anti-PD-1 and anti-CTLA4 mAb further increase the survival of end-stage melanoma patients. However, 55% of the patients that received the combination therapy developed grades 3 and 4 immune related adverse events (irAEs). It is therefore critical to develop antibodies with less toxicity. We have developed an in vivo model that recapitulates the irAEs associated with the combination therapy of anti-CTLA-4 and anti-PD-1 mAbs observed in the clinic. In this model we treated human CTLA4 gene knockin mice (CTLA4h/h) during the perinatal period with high doses of anti-PD-1 and anti-CTLA-4 mAbs. We found that while the young mice tolerate treatment of individual mAbs, combination therapy with anti-PD-1 and 10D1 causes severe irAE with multiple organ inflammation, anemia and, as shown in FIG. 25, severely stunted growth. In contrast, when combined with anti-PD-1, chimeric L3D10 exhibits only mild irAE as demonstrated by normal weight gain.
To further examine the relative toxicity of chimeric L3D10 compared to 10D1 when administered in combination with anti-PD-1, we looked at the pathological effects in the CTLA4 n/h knockin mice at 42 days after administration. As shown in FIG. 26, terminal body weight (day 42) in mice treated with L3D10 + anti-PD-1 was similar to mice treated with hlgG negative control antibody. However, by comparison, the weight of mice treated with 10D1 + anti-PD-1 was much lower. Accordingly, when we looked at the gross anatomy of these mice, the Uterus/Ovary/Bladder and thymus were noticeably smaller in mice treated with 10D1 + PD-1 (FIG. 27). Again, the organs in mice treated with L3D10 + anti-PD-1 was comparable to hlgG control. In contrast, the hearts dissected from mice treated with 10D1 appeared slightly larger in size with a noticeably whiter appearance. As a result we decided to look at erythropoiesis within the mice and observed clear differences in the mice treated with 10D1 + anti-PD-1 relative to the groups treated with L3D10 + anti-PD-1 or control antibody, which were fairly similar. As shown in Fig 27A, the bone marrow from mice treated with 10D1 + anti-PD-1 had a noticeably whiter color and the isolated blood was almost completely white in color (FIG. 28b). In accordance with this, when we took at closer look at the cells undergoing the different stages of blood development using CD71 and CD19 markers. Representative FACS profiles are shown in FIG. 28C, while summary data are presented in FIG. 28D. These data revealed a statistically significant reduction in the number of cells undergoing Stage IV development in the 10D1 + anti-PD-1 treated mice (FIG. 28D).

To explore the potential mechanism of anemia in the 10D1-treated mice, we tested if 10D1+PD-1 treatment induces anti-red blood cell antibodies. As shown in FIG. 29, no anti-red blood cell antibodies are detected. Thus, development of red cell-specific autoantibodies are not responsible for anemia in the anti-PD-1+10D1-treated mice.

To further determine the toxicology of L3D10 vs 10D1 in combination with anti-PD-1, we performed histological analysis of the heart (FIG. 30), lung (FIG. 31), salivary gland (FIG. 32) and the kidney and liver (FIG. 33) following fixation in 10% formalin for at least 24 hours. In each of the tissues studied, mice treated with 10D1 + anti-PD-1 displayed a high level of T cell infiltration. The toxicity score, based on severity of inflammation, are summarized in FIG. 34, which shows the high toxicity scores of mice treated with 10D1.
+ anti-PD-1 relative to L3D10 + anti-PD-1 which has scores only marginally higher than the hlgG control mouse group.

**Example 10: L3D10 has reduced binding for soluble CTLA4.**

L3D10 and 10D1 display similar binding patterns for plate immobilized CTLA4 (FIG. 36). As a possible explanation for the reduced toxicity of L3D10 relative to 10D1, particularly the increased T cell infiltration/activity associated with 10D1, we decided to look at the binding to soluble CTLA4. We chose to look at this because the association between CTLA4 polymorphism and multiple autoimmune diseases relates to the defective production of soluble CTLA4 (nature 2003, 423: 506-511) and genetic silencing of the sCTLA4 isoform increased the onset of type I diabetes in mice (Diabetes 2011, 60:1955-1963). Furthermore, soluble CTLA4 (abatacept and belatacept) is a widely used drug for immune suppression. In accordance with this idea, when we looked at the relative binding to soluble CTLA4, we observed a marked decrease in the binding of L3D10 (FIG. 37).

We have demonstrated that anti-CTLA-4 mAb induce robust tumor injection in heterozygous Ctl4h/m mice in which only 50% of CTLA-4 molecules can bind to anti-human CTLA-4 imAbs. To determine if engagement of 50% of CTLA-4 is sufficient to induce irAE, we treated the Ctl4h/m mice with anti-PD-1 + 10D1. As shown in FIG. 35, anti-PD-1 + 10D1 failed to induce weight loss in the Ctl4h/m mice. Therefore, irAE and cancer immunity can be uncoupled genetically.

*In vivo* activity demonstrates that the L3D10 antibody retains its anti-tumor activity but displays reduced autoimmune adverse effect observed with other immunotherapeutic antibodies such as 10D1, indicating it is possible to enhance anti-tumor activity without exacerbating autoimmune adverse events. Accordingly, autoimmune side effects are not a necessary price for cancer immunity and that it is possible to uncouple these two activities. Characterization of L3D10 demonstrated that its ability to block the interaction of CTLA4 with B7.1 and B7.2 is more effective than by 10D1 and that this relates to a difference in the CTLA4 binding site between the antibodies. Furthermore, L3D10 was fused to a modified human IgG1 Fc domain that has mutations conferring strong ADCC
activity that enhances the therapeutic effect of the antibody. Further characterization demonstrates that L3D1 0 and 10D1 bind to immobilized CTLA4 with a similar binding profile. However, L3D1 0 demonstrates much lower binding affinity to soluble CTLA4 than 10D1. Taken together, our data demonstrate that antibody L3D1 0 has great potential for clinical use in treating cancer patients with less severe adverse events.

**Example 11. Humanization of L3D10**

The humanization process begins by generating a homology modeled antibody 3D structure and creating a profile of the parental antibody based on structure modeling. Acceptor frameworks to utilize were identified based on the overall sequence identity across the framework, matching interface position, similarly classed CDR canonical positions, and presence of N-glycosylation sites that would have to be removed. One light chain (LC) and one heavy chain (HC) framework were selected for the humanization design.

Humanized antibodies were designed by creating multiple hybrid sequences that fuse select parts of the parental antibody sequence with the human framework sequences, including grafting of the CDR sequences into the acceptor frameworks. The predicted CDR sequences of the of parent antibody L3D1 0 are provided as SEQ ID NOS: 21-26 as indicated in Table 1A below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibody Chain</th>
<th>CDR</th>
<th>SEQ ID NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Light</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Heavy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Using the 3D model, these humanized sequences were methodically analyzed by eye and computer modeling to isolate the sequences that would most likely retain antigen binding. The goal was to maximize the amount of human sequence in the final humanized antibodies while retaining the original antibody specificity.
Three humanized light chains (LC1, LC2 and LC3) and three humanized heavy chains (HC1, HC2 and HC3) were designed based on the selected acceptor frameworks. Each of the three HC or three LC sequences were from the same germline, with different back mutations to the murine parental sequence as shown in FIG. 38. The humanized variable region amino acid sequences and their optimized coding nucleotide sequence are listed in Seq ID NOS: 9-20. The CDR2 sequences of both the humanized heavy and light chains contain amino acid changes relative to the parental L3D10 antibody sequence and are listed in SEQ ID NOS 33-38 as indicated in Table 1B below.

Table 1B: CDR2 sequences of the humanized antibody variable regions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibody Sequence</th>
<th>CDR2 Sequence</th>
<th>SEQ ID NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HC1</td>
<td>YIYWGDNTNFHPSLKR</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC2</td>
<td>YIYWGDNTNFHSSLKR</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC3</td>
<td>YIYWGDNTNFHSPLKR</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC1</td>
<td>AATNLQS</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC2</td>
<td>AATNLOD</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC3</td>
<td>AATSLQS</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The light and heavy humanized chains can now be combined to create variant fully humanized antibodies. All possible combinations of humanized light and heavy chains were tested for their expression level and antigen binding affinity to identify antibodies that perform similar to the parental antibody.

A new tool to calculate humanness scores for monoclonal antibodies (24) were used. This score represents how human-like an antibody variable region sequence looks, which is an important factor when humanizing antibodies. The humanness scores for the parental and humanized antibodies are shown in Tables 2 and 3 below. Based on our method, for heavy chains a score of 79 or above is indicative of looking human-like; for light chains a score of 86 or above is indicative of looking human-like.

Table 2: Humanized light chain information and humanness scores.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chain Name</th>
<th>Note</th>
<th>Full-length (Framework+CDR) Cutoff = 86</th>
<th>Framework Only Cutoff = 90</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L2872 (Chimeric Parental)</td>
<td>Light chain</td>
<td>71.3</td>
<td>78.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3106 (LC1)</td>
<td>Regular humanized</td>
<td>86.5</td>
<td>96.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3107 (LC2)</td>
<td>Regular humanized</td>
<td>83.6</td>
<td>94.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3108 (LC3)</td>
<td>Regular humanized</td>
<td>88.8</td>
<td>98.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3: Humanized heavy chain information and humanness scores.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chain Name</th>
<th>Note</th>
<th>Full-length (Framework+CDR) Cutoff = 79</th>
<th>Framework Only Cutoff = 84</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H2872 (Chimeric Parental)</td>
<td>Parental</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td>70.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3106 (HC1)</td>
<td>Regular humanized</td>
<td>80.4</td>
<td>90.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3107 (HC2)</td>
<td>Regular humanized</td>
<td>78.9</td>
<td>89.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3108 (HC3)</td>
<td>Regular humanized</td>
<td>80.5</td>
<td>93.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Full-length antibody genes were constructed by first synthesizing the variable region sequences. The sequences were optimized for expression in mammalian cells. These variable region sequences were then cloned into expression vectors that already contain human Fc domains; for the heavy chain, the hlgG1 (M252Y, S254T, T256E, S298A, E333A, K334A) backbone was utilized. In addition, for comparison the variable region of the chimeric parental heavy and light chains were constructed as full-length chimeric chains using the same backbone Fc sequences.

All 9 humanized antibodies underwent 0.01 liter small scale production. The chimeric parental antibody was also scaled-up for direct comparison. Plasmids for the indicated heavy and light chains were transfected into suspension HEK293 cells using chemically defined media in the absence of serum to make the antibodies. Whole antibodies in the conditioned media were purified using MabSelect SuRe Protein A medium (GE Healthcare). The 10 antibodies tested are shown in Table 4 below.

Table 4: Ten antibodies produced transiently in HEK293 cells

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibody name</th>
<th>Heavy Chain</th>
<th>Light Chain</th>
<th>PP #</th>
<th>Yield (mg/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Humanized HC1 + LC1</td>
<td>H3106</td>
<td>L3106</td>
<td>4630</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humanized HC1 + LC2</td>
<td>H3106</td>
<td>L3107</td>
<td>4631</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humanized HC1 + LC3</td>
<td>H3106</td>
<td>L3108</td>
<td>4632</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humanized HC2 + LC1</td>
<td>H3107</td>
<td>L3106</td>
<td>4633</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humanized HC2 + LC2</td>
<td>H3107</td>
<td>L3107</td>
<td>4634</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humanized HC2 + LC3</td>
<td>H3107</td>
<td>L3108</td>
<td>4635</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The affinity of 9 humanized antibody combinations and the chimeric parental antibody to the antigen (huCTLA4) was evaluated by Octet. Multi-concentration kinetic experiments were performed on the Octet Red96 system (ForteBio). Anti-hlgG Fc biosensors (ForteBio, #18-5064) were hydrated in sample diluent (0.1% BSA in PBS and 0.02% Tween 20) and preconditioned in pH 1.7 Glycine. The antigen was diluted using a 7-point, 2-fold serial dilution starting at 600 nM with sample diluent. All antibodies were diluted to 10 µg/mL with sample diluent and then immobilized onto anti-hlgG Fc biosensors for 120 seconds. After baselines were established for 60 seconds in sample diluent, the biosensors were moved to wells containing the antigen at a series of concentrations to measure the association. Association was observed for 120 seconds and dissociation was observed for 180 seconds for each protein of interest in the sample diluent. The binding affinities were characterized by fitting the kinetic sensorgrams to a monovalent binding model (1:1 binding). The full kinetic measurements are summarized in Table 5 below.

Table 5: Kinetic measurements of the humanized antibodies and the parental antibody

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Loading Sample ID</th>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>KD (M)</th>
<th>kon(1/Ms)</th>
<th>kdis(1/s)</th>
<th>Full X^2</th>
<th>Full R^2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PP4629</td>
<td>huCTLA4</td>
<td>2.3E-09</td>
<td>3.5E+05</td>
<td>8.0E-04</td>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td>0.9981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP4630</td>
<td>huCTLA4</td>
<td>1.3E-08</td>
<td>1.3E+05</td>
<td>1.8E-03</td>
<td>0.0127</td>
<td>0.9848</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP4631</td>
<td>huCTLA4</td>
<td>6.9E-09</td>
<td>2.4E+05</td>
<td>1.6E-03</td>
<td>0.0120</td>
<td>0.9918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP4632</td>
<td>huCTLA4</td>
<td>1.2E-08</td>
<td>1.6E+05</td>
<td>1.9E-03</td>
<td>0.0109</td>
<td>0.9915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP4633</td>
<td>huCTLA4</td>
<td>7.1E-09</td>
<td>2.0E+05</td>
<td>1.4E-03</td>
<td>0.0106</td>
<td>0.9933</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP4634</td>
<td>huCTLA4</td>
<td>6.8E-09</td>
<td>2.8E+05</td>
<td>1.9E-03</td>
<td>0.0116</td>
<td>0.9866</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP4635</td>
<td>huCTLA4</td>
<td>8.4E-09</td>
<td>2.4E+05</td>
<td>2.0E-03</td>
<td>0.0077</td>
<td>0.9934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP4636</td>
<td>huCTLA4</td>
<td>8.7E-09</td>
<td>2.5E+05</td>
<td>2.2E-03</td>
<td>0.0111</td>
<td>0.9905</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Example 12. Anti-tumor activity of the humanized anti-CTLA4 antibodies

Based on the relative binding affinity and humanness scores, we chose 3 antibodies for further evaluation:

- PP4631 - high affinity and good expression
- PP4637 - high affinity and good expression
- PP4638 - slightly lower affinity but highest humanization score

Material for each of these antibodies was produced by transient production in HEK293 cells at the 0.1 liter scale followed by protein A purification. Binding affinity of the purified antibodies was confirmed by Octet analysis as shown in Table 6 below.

Table 6. Kinetic measurements of the humanized antibodies and the parental antibody

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Replicate</th>
<th>Loading Sample ID</th>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>KD (M)</th>
<th>kon(1/Ms)</th>
<th>kdis(1/s)</th>
<th>Full X^2</th>
<th>Full R^2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>PP4631</td>
<td>huCTLA4</td>
<td>7.2E-09</td>
<td>2.3E+05</td>
<td>1.6E-03</td>
<td>0.0274</td>
<td>0.9894</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>PP4637</td>
<td>huCTLA4</td>
<td>7.1E-09</td>
<td>2.7E+05</td>
<td>1.9E-03</td>
<td>0.0294</td>
<td>0.9899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>PP4638</td>
<td>huCTLA4</td>
<td>9.4E-09</td>
<td>2.3E+05</td>
<td>2.1E-03</td>
<td>0.0211</td>
<td>0.9919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>PP4631</td>
<td>huCTLA4</td>
<td>7.4E-09</td>
<td>2.3E+05</td>
<td>1.7E-03</td>
<td>0.0191</td>
<td>0.9919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>PP4637</td>
<td>huCTLA4</td>
<td>8.4E-09</td>
<td>2.6E+05</td>
<td>2.2E-03</td>
<td>0.0248</td>
<td>0.9899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>PP4638</td>
<td>huCTLA4</td>
<td>1.1E-08</td>
<td>2.1E+05</td>
<td>2.2E-03</td>
<td>0.0150</td>
<td>0.9934</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We evaluated the anti-tumor activity of these three humanized antibodies compared to 10D1 and the chimeric L3D10 antibody using the syngeneic MC38 mouse tumor model in human CTLA4-knockin mice described in Example 5 above. FIG. 39A shows the treatment schedule of the in vivo experiment; mice were given a total of 4 doses of...
antibody every 3 days starting on day 7 after inoculation. As shown in FIG. 39B, all humanized antibodies completely eradicated the tumor and were comparable to 10D1.

In another experiment we evaluated the anti-tumor activity of the humanized antibodies PP4631 and PP4637 compared to 10D1 and the chimeric L3D10 antibody using the syngeneic MC38 mouse tumor model in the heterozygous Ctl4\textsuperscript{h/m} mice described in Example 5 (FIG. 14) at two different doses. As shown in FIG. 40, whereas all mAbs are indistinguishable when used at 30 mcg/mouse/injection (1.5 mg/kg), PP4637 was more effective at 10 mcg/mouse/injection (0.5 mg/kg), whereas PP4631 and 10D1 showed comparable activity.

The anti-tumor activity of the humanized antibodies compared to 10D1 and the chimeric L3D10 antibody was also demonstrated using the syngeneic B16-F1 melanoma mouse tumor model in human CTLA4-knockin mice as shown in FIG. 41. Mice were given a total of 3 doses of antibody every 3 days starting on day 2 after inoculation. As shown in FIG. 41, L3D10 and the humanized antibodies delayed tumor growth and were comparable to 10D1.

\textbf{Example 13. Humanized clones of L3D10 maintain superior safety profiles over 10D1.}

To test if the superior safety profiles of L3D10 can be maintained after humanization, we compared PP4631 and PP4637 with 10D1 for their adverse effects when used in combination with anti-PD-1. As shown in FIG. 42, both PP4631 and PP4637 are less toxic than 10D1 when used in combination with anti-PD-1.

Consistent with the defective erythropoiesis described in FIG. 28, mice treated with 10D1 plus anti-PD-1 are anemic based on complete blood cell counts (CBC), while those that received anti-PD-1 + PP4631 and anti-PD-1 + PP4637 have largely normal CBC profiles as shown in FIG. 43. Moreover, analysis of the T cell profiles in the PBL reveal a robust systemic activation of both CD4 and CD8 T cells in mice that received 10D1 +anti-PD-1, but not those that received anti-PD-1 + PP4631 or anti-PD-1 + PP4637 (FIG. 44), further supporting the notion that L3D10-based anti-CTLA-4 mAbs do not cause systemic T cell activation.
Example 14. Binding characteristics of the humanized anti-CTLA4 antibodies

In order to confirm that the humanized antibodies retained their CTLA4 binding characteristics, we looked at binding to immobilized and plate bound CTLA4. As shown in FIG. 45, humanization does not affect binding to immobilized CTLA4 and all 3 humanized antibodies demonstrated similar binding to the parental chimeric L3D10 antibody. However, humanization further reduces L3D10 binding to soluble CTLA4 as shown in FIG. 46. Based on reduced binding to soluble CTLA4, it is anticipated that the 3 humanized antibodies will induce equal tumor rejection with even less autoimmune side effects than L3D10.

We have demonstrated that chimeric L3D10 has a 1000-fold higher blocking activity than 10D1. This raised an interesting possibility that blocking B7-CTLA-4 interactions may explain its lack of irAE. As shown in FIGS. 47 and 48, neither PP4631 nor PP4637 block B7-CTLA-A4 interactions in vitro and in vivo. The fact that PP4631 and PP4637 show diminished irAE further supported the notion that blocking B7-CTLA-4 interaction is not responsible for improved safety of L3D10.

Given the proposed role for CTLA-4 in the protection against autoimmune diseases, we proposed reduced binding to soluble CTLA-4 as an underlying mechanism for improved safety profiles. To test this hypothesis, we used the growth weight gain among the female mice that received anti-PD-1 + anti-CTLA-4 mAbs during the perinatal period as the basic indicator for irAE. As shown in FIG. 42, severe reduction in weight gain was observed in the mice that received both 10D1 and anti-PD-1, whereas those that received PP4637 + anti-PD-1 had the lowest irAE, followed by PP4631 and then L3D10. The strict inverse correlation with reduced binding to sCTLA-4 are consistent with the central hypothesis.

Example 15. Processability Evaluation of the humanized anti-CTLA4 antibodies

In order to evaluate the development and manufacturing potential of the three different humanized antibodies, a number of analytical methods were performed to characterize the different antibodies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
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As an initial assessment, the predicted molecular weights and isoelectric point of the three lead candidate antibodies was calculated based on amino acid sequences. As shown in Table 7, all antibodies were fairly similar, although antibody had a slightly lower PI.

Table 7: Theoretical parameters of the three humanized antibodies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein Name</th>
<th>Theoretical MW (Da)</th>
<th>Theoretical PI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PP4631</td>
<td>(49647.8 + 23483.1) X 2 = 96614.0</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP4637</td>
<td>(49644.9 + 23483.1) X 2 = 96611.1</td>
<td>7.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP4638</td>
<td>(49644.9 + 23311.9) X 2 = 96568.7</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Product Yield Assessment

In order to assess the productivity of the different antibodies, HEK293 cells were transiently transfected with vectors expressing the heavy and light chains of the different antibodies. These cells were then cultured in shake flasks for 6 days using serum-free medium. After 6 days, the supernatant was collected and the antibodies were purified by one-step Protein A chromatography. As should in Table 8 below, antibodies PP4631 and PP4637 demonstrated similar protein yields whereas antibody PP4638 was produced at a much lower relative yield.

Table 8: Humanized antibody production yield assessment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibody</th>
<th>Concentration (mg/mL)</th>
<th>OD 260/280</th>
<th>Yield (mg/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PP4631</td>
<td>1.280</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP4637</td>
<td>4.532</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP4638</td>
<td>0.729</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In order to assess the purity of the transiently expressed antibodies, samples were analyzed by reducing and non-reducing SDS-PAGE. As shown in FIG. 50, samples from all 3 antibodies produced gel bands indicative of an antibody molecule and that the samples were relatively pure following Protein A purification.

**Size Exclusion Chromatography**

To further examine the purity and aggregation of the different antibodies following transient expression, we performed size exclusion chromatography of the purified proteins. Briefly, 50µg of filtered (using 0.22µm filter) sample was used for SE-HPLC separation using a TOSOH G3000 SWxl 5µm column. PBS pH 7.4 was used as the mobile phase. As shown in Table 9 below, all the humanized antibodies show >90% purity after protein A purification. Antibodies PP4631 and PP4637 demonstrated similarly low levels of higher molecular weight (MW) aggregates and degradation present with the antibody samples with most of the protein within the main peak. In contrast, antibody PP4638 had higher levels of aggregation and some degradation. The SE-HPLC chromatograms are shown in FIG. 51.

Table 9: Size Exclusion Chromatography

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibody</th>
<th>Aggregation</th>
<th>Main Peak</th>
<th>Degradation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PP4631</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>97.4%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP4637</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>97.0%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP4638</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
<td>92.4%</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Capillary Electrophoresis (CE)**

Capillary electrophoresis was used to quantitate the amount of protein within the peak bands under both reduced and non-reduced conditions, as well as the amount of unglycosylated heavy chain protein. Briefly, 100 µg of sample was diluted into CE-SDS sample buffer along with iodoacetamide (non-reduced conditions) or β-mercaptoethanol (reduced conditions), along with 2µl of a 10kDa standard protein. Samples were then treated for 10 min at 70°C. For separation, PA-800, 50µm I.D. bare-fused silica capillary was used; running length 20.2cm; separating voltage 15kV; OD220 for detection. As shown in Table 10 below, all three proteins demonstrated high levels of purity,
consistent with SDS-PAGE, and all were highly glycosylated. The CE-SDS chromatograms are shown in FIG. 52.

Table 10: Capillary Electrophoresis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibody</th>
<th>Non-reduced %</th>
<th>Reduced %</th>
<th>Unglycosylated Heavy Chain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PP4631</td>
<td>97.3</td>
<td>99.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP4637</td>
<td>97.2</td>
<td>99.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP4638</td>
<td>96.9</td>
<td>99.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Deamidation: Capillary Isoelectric Focusing (cIEF) and Liquid Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (LC-MS)

The level of protein deamidation under high pH stress was determined by comparing the antibodies with and without high pH stress treatment over two different time periods (5 hrs and 12.5 hrs), followed by cIEF and LC-MS analysis.

The charge isoform profile and isoelectric points of the different antibodies was determined by capillary isoelectric focusing (cIEF). Briefly, samples underwent buffer exchange into 20mM Tris pH 8.0 and then 100 µg of sample protein was mixed with the amphoteric electrolyte, methyl cellulose, along with PI 7.05 and PI 9.77 markers. iCE3™ was used for analysis, with a 100 µm I.D. capillary; 1.5kV plus 3kV; OD280 for detection.

For deamidation stress treatment, samples were treated with 500 mM NaHCO₃ for 5hr or 12.5hr, then examined with cIEF and LC-MS. The results of the analysis are shown in Table 11 below and the LC-MS graphs are shown in FIG. 53. All three antibodies show a predicted increase in the amount of deamidated species with stress conditions and a corresponding drop in the main peak. As predicted from the amino acid sequence, the pi of antibody PP4637 is a little lower than for PP4631 and PP4638 (Table 7) and the higher observed pi compared to the predicted pi presumably indicates glycosylation.

Table 11: Isoelectric focusing and deamidation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibody</th>
<th>Peak PI</th>
<th>DM treatment time</th>
<th>DM %</th>
<th>Main peak %</th>
<th>Basic %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PP4631</td>
<td>8.28</td>
<td>Untreated</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>71.9</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5 h</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>65.4</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12.5 h</td>
<td>40.3</td>
<td>53.2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP4637</td>
<td>8.11</td>
<td>Untreated</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>79.0</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC) Thermal Analysis

In order to determine the thermal stability and melting temperatures of the different antibodies, they were subject to Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC) Thermal Analysis. Briefly, 2 mg/mL samples in PBS pH 7.4 were subject to temperature ramping from 15°C to 105°C at a rate of 1°C/min. Cp changing with temperature was monitored for both samples and buffer (as background). Cp vs temperature curves were obtained with background subtraction, and peaks indicated the Tm of the analytes. As shown in Table 12 below, all three antibodies demonstrated a similarly high melting temperature. DSC curves for the three antibodies are shown in FIG. 54.

### Table 12: Size Exclusion Chromatography

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibody</th>
<th>Tm (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PP4631</td>
<td>75.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP4637</td>
<td>76.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP4638</td>
<td>76.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Oxidation: Peptide mapping**

Oxidative modification of the humanized antibodies was evaluated by peptide mapping using LC-MS with or without oxidative stress. The samples were denatured at 65°C in the presence of 6M GdnCl and 5mM β-ME, then acetylated with iodacetamide. The processed samples are then digested with Trypsin (Promega, sequencing grade) at 55°C and the digested mixture was separated on a C18 reversed phase LC column (ACQUITY UPLC BEH1 30 C18, 2.1 x 100mm, 1.7μm) and analyzed by mass spectrometry (Waters XEVO-G2S QTOF) using Masslynx and Biophatmlynx analysis tools. For the oxidation stress analysis, samples treated with 0.05% or 0.1% H2O2 for 1hr, then examined with LC-MS. The results are shown in Tables 13 - 16 below.
Table 13. Oxidation of the humanized antibodies at Methionine sites. Top panel: antibody PP4631. Middle panel: antibody PP4637. Bottom panel: antibody PP4638.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modifiers</th>
<th>Fragment Number</th>
<th>start</th>
<th>end</th>
<th>Modification sites</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>PP4631 oxidation (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Oxidation 0h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxidation M(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:T001</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>DIQMTQSPSSLSASVGDR</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:T037</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>447</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>WQQGNVFSCSVMHEALHNHYTQK</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:T001</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>DIQMTQSPSSLSASVGDR</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:T036</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>447</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>WQQGNVFSCSVMHEALHNHYTQK</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:T001</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>DIQMTQSPSSLSASVGDR</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:T036</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>447</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>WQQGNVFSCSVMHEALHNHYTQK</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 14. Oxidation of the humanized antibody PP4631 at Tryptophan sites. Red numbers indicate evidence of fragmentation found; “—” indicates none detected; “0” indicates detected at extremely low levels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modifiers</th>
<th>Fragment Number</th>
<th>start</th>
<th>end</th>
<th>position</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>PP4631 oxidation (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Oxidation 0h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:T003</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>ASENLYSNLAWYQQK</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:T007</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>FSGSGSGTDYTLTISLQPEDFATYFCQHL WGTPYFGQGTK</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:T013</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>VQWK</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:T001</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>QVQLQESGPGLKPSLTSLCTTVSGFSLT SYGLSWIR</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:T003</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>47/52</td>
<td>GLEWIGYIWYDGNTNFPSLKL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:T009</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>TEGHYGSNYGYYALDYGQGTSVTVS SASTK</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:T012</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>DYFPEPVTVSVSNGALTSGVHTFPAVLQSGLYSLSSVTVVPSSSLGTYICNVNHKPSNTK</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:T020</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>FNWYVGDVGEVHNAK</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:T023</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>VVSVLTVLHQLWDLNGK</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:T033</td>
<td>379</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>389</td>
<td>GFYPSDIAVEWESNGQPENNYK</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:T037</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>447</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>WQQGNVFSCSVMHCAHELHNYTQK</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 15. Oxidation of the humanized antibody PP4637 at Tryptophan sites. Red numbers indicate evidence of fragmentation found; "—" indicates none detected; "0" indicates detected at extremely low levels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modifiers</th>
<th>Fragment Number</th>
<th>start</th>
<th>end</th>
<th>position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1:T003</td>
<td>25 39 35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ASERNYSNLAWYQQK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:T007</td>
<td>62 103 92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FSQSGSVDYTILCSSLQEDFYFCQHLWGTQYTFQGTK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:T013</td>
<td>146 149 148</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>VQWK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:T001</td>
<td>1 38 36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>QVLQESGPGLKPSLELCTVSGFSLTSYGLSWIR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:T003</td>
<td>44 64 47/52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GLEWIGYIWGDNGTNNHSPLK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:T008</td>
<td>98 129 115</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TEGHYYGNSYMGYALDYWQGTLVTVSSASTK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:T011</td>
<td>156 218 166</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DYFPEPVTSVNSGALTSGVHTFPAPLQSSGLYSLSSVVTTPSSSLGTQTYICNVNHKPSNTK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:T019</td>
<td>283 296 285</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FNWYVGDGVEVHNAK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:T022</td>
<td>310 325 321</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>VSVLVTLHQLDWLNGK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:T032</td>
<td>379 400 389</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GFYPSDIAYAVESNGQPPENNYK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:T036</td>
<td>425 447 425</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WQQGNVFSCGMHEALHNHYTQK</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PP4637 oxidation (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oxidation 0h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 16. Oxidation of the humanized antibody PP4638 at Tryptophan sites. Red numbers indicate evidence of fragmentation found; "—" indicates none detected; "0" indicates detected at extremely low levels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modifiers</th>
<th>Fragment Number</th>
<th>start</th>
<th>end</th>
<th>position</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>PP4638 oxidation (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Oxidation 0h</td>
<td>0.05% H₂O₂ oxidation 1h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:T003</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td>ASEN IYSNLAWYQQPKPGK</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:T006</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>92</td>
<td></td>
<td>FSGSGSGTDFTLTISLQPEDFATYYCQH</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:T012</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>148</td>
<td></td>
<td>VQWK</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:T001</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td>QVQLQESGPGLVKPSETFLSLTCTVSGFSLTSYGLSWIR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:T003</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>47/52</td>
<td></td>
<td>GLEWIGYIWDGNTNFHSPLK</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:T008</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>115</td>
<td></td>
<td>TEGHYYGSNYGYALDYWQQGTLVTVSASTK</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:T011</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>166</td>
<td></td>
<td>DYFPEPVTVSWNSGALTSGVHTFPAVLQSSGLYSLSSVVTVPSSSLGTQTYICNVNHKPSNTK</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:T019</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>285</td>
<td></td>
<td>FNWYVDGVEVHNAK</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:T022</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>321</td>
<td></td>
<td>VVSVLTVLHQQDWLNGK</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:T032</td>
<td>379</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>389</td>
<td></td>
<td>GFYPSDIAVEWESNGQPENNYK</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:T036</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>447</td>
<td>425</td>
<td></td>
<td>WQQGNVFSCSMHEALHNHYTQK</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Binding Specificity**

The binding specificity of the different antibodies was determined by assessing the ability to detect non-specific binding to two different cell lines that do not express CTLA4 (CHO and HEK293) relative to 10D1 at two different concentrations. Briefly, 100 µg/mL or 20 µg/mL samples (or reference mAb) in PBS was incubated with 3x10^6 cells/ml (CHO or HEK293). FITC labeled rabbit-anti-human-IgG antibody (Boster, Wuhuan, China) was used for detection and the binding of target mAb to cells was measured by FACS. As shown in Table 17 below, antibodies PP4631 and PP4637 demonstrate very low binding and good specificity, whereas antibody PP4638 displayed non-specific binding activity to the control cell lines.

Table 17: Binding Specificity to CHO and HEK293 cell lines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>CHO</th>
<th>HEK 293</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CELL only</td>
<td>3.50583</td>
<td>4.16546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd Ab only</td>
<td>4.00062</td>
<td>4.68083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10D1 (100ug/ml)</td>
<td>3.82459</td>
<td>5.49435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10D1 (20ug/ml)</td>
<td>3.70334</td>
<td>4.95407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP4631 (100ug/ml)</td>
<td>10.8065</td>
<td>7.76113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP4631 (20ug/ml)</td>
<td>5.03402</td>
<td>5.5862</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP4637 (100ug/ml)</td>
<td>15.0944</td>
<td>10.5987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP4637 (20ug/ml)</td>
<td>5.89652</td>
<td>5.78233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP4638 (100ug/ml)</td>
<td>83.4742</td>
<td>36.8002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP4638 (20ug/ml)</td>
<td>15.3381</td>
<td>9.86523</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Example 16. Epitope Mapping of the L3D10 and humanized antibodies

In order to map the CTLA-4 binding epitope of the L3D10 parent antibody and the humanized variants, PP4631 and PP4637, we took advantage of the fact that the mouse and human CTLA4 proteins are cross-reactive to B7-1, but not to the anti-CTLA-4 antibodies. Accordingly, we designed a number of mutants of the human CTLA-4Fc protein in which clusters of amino acids from the human CTLA-4 protein were replaced with amino acids from the murine Ctla-4 protein. As the anti-CTLA-4 antibodies used in this study do not bind to murine Ctla-4, binding of the anti-human CTLA-4 antibodies should be abolished when key residues of the antibody binding epitope are replaced with murine amino acids.

DNA vectors encoding 11 CTLA-4Fc mutant proteins (M1 -M1 1)(SEQ ID NOS: 40-50) were constructed based on the wild type human CTLA-4Fc sequence and proteins were produced by transient transfection in HEK293 at the 0.01 mL scale followed by one-step Protein A chromatography purification.

Binding of the anti-CTLA4 antibodies to CTLA4Fc proteins was performed by ELISA. Plates were coated with CTLA-4Fc proteins at 1 μg/mL and biotinylated antibodies or B7-1 Fc fusion protein were then used in soluble phase in the binding assay, with the amounts of protein bound measured using horse-radish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated streptavidin.

The anti-human CTLA-4 antibodies do not cross react with murine Ctla-4, which presumably reflects differences in the amino acid sequence between human and mouse CTLA-4 in the extracellular domain. Fig. 55 shows the alignment of the human, macaque and mouse CTLA-4 extracellular domains and highlight the sequence conservation between human and macaque, while showing the numerous differences between the murine and primate sequences. Due to conservation of the MYPPPY binding motif, mouse and human CTLA4 proteins are cross-reactive to B7-1 (72).

In order to map the binding epitope of the anti-human CTLA-4 antibodies we generated a number of non-overlapping CTLA-4Fc mutant proteins that incorporate clusters of murine-specific amino acids into the human CTLA-4 sequence. The amino acids
incorporated into each of the 11 mutants is shown in FIG. 55, and the amino acids sequences of the WT and mutant CTLA-4Fc proteins is shown in FIG. 56. These proteins were produced by transient transfection in HEK293 cells and the yield is provided in Table 18. Many of the mutations appear to affect protein expression as indicated by their yields relative to the WT human CTLA-4Fc protein.

Table 18: WT and mutant CTLA-4Fc proteins produced transiently in HEK293 cells.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein name</th>
<th>Yield (mg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CTLA-4Fc WT control</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mutant 1</td>
<td>1.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mutant 2</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mutant 3</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mutant 4</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mutant 5</td>
<td>1.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mutant 6</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mutant 7</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mutant 8</td>
<td>1.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mutant 9</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mutant 10</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mutant 11</td>
<td>1.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The capacity of chimeric L3D10 and the humanized antibodies PP4631 and PP4637 to bind the immobilized CTLA-4Fc mutant constructs was then determined by ELISA in
which plates were coated with the CTLA-4 mutant constructs and biotinylated anti-CTLA-4 antibodies, or B7-1 Ig control protein, were added and binding measured using HRP-conjugated streptavidin. The results of binding assays are shown in Tables 19 - 22. As expected, all 4 binding proteins demonstrated nice dose-dependent binding for the WT CTLA-4Fc protein. However, mutations that were introduced into the M9 and M10 proteins appear to alter the overall structure and these mutants failed to bind B7-1 Fc. Mutations introduced in M2 and M4 also partially altered CTLA-4 conformation as indicated by reduced binding relative to the WT protein. Consistent with this notion, all 4 of these mutants (M2, M4, M9 and M10) were expressed at much lower yield (Table 18). In contrast, using binding to the WT CTLA-4Fc protein and binding of the B7-1 Fc proteins as references, M11 clearly stands out as a protein that is expressed well, binds B7-1 Fc efficiently but failed to bind two humanized anti-CTLA-4 antibodies. Its binding to original L3D1 0 is also reduced by approximately 100-fold (Table 20). As expected, the mutations that affect the overall confirmation also affected the binding to the anti-CTLA-4 antibodies.
Table 19: Integrity of CTLA4Ig mutants as indicated by their binding to B7-1 Ig fusion protein. Binding to CTLA4Fc proteins was performed by ELISA, with the amounts of biotinylated protein bound measured by horse-radish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated streptavidin. Values shown are the OD450 measurements. WT = wild type CTLA-4Fc. M1 - M11 are CTLA-4Fc mutant proteins.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein Conc.</th>
<th>WT</th>
<th>M1</th>
<th>M2</th>
<th>M3</th>
<th>M4</th>
<th>M5</th>
<th>M6</th>
<th>M7</th>
<th>M8</th>
<th>M9</th>
<th>M10</th>
<th>M11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td>0.196</td>
<td>0.202</td>
<td>0.184</td>
<td>0.182</td>
<td>0.182</td>
<td>0.184</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.172</td>
<td>0.175</td>
<td>0.174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.182</td>
<td>0.177</td>
<td>0.175</td>
<td>0.171</td>
<td>0.171</td>
<td>0.173</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.168</td>
<td>0.164</td>
<td>0.162</td>
<td>0.163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10ng/ml</td>
<td>0.259</td>
<td>0.328</td>
<td>0.204</td>
<td>0.267</td>
<td>0.199</td>
<td>0.286</td>
<td>0.255</td>
<td>0.218</td>
<td>0.293</td>
<td>0.166</td>
<td>0.167</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10ng/ml</td>
<td>0.257</td>
<td>0.311</td>
<td>0.187</td>
<td>0.249</td>
<td>0.184</td>
<td>0.271</td>
<td>0.244</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.276</td>
<td>0.154</td>
<td>0.159</td>
<td>0.217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100ng/ml</td>
<td>1.137</td>
<td>1.594</td>
<td>0.316</td>
<td>1.087</td>
<td>0.359</td>
<td>1.513</td>
<td>1.093</td>
<td>0.785</td>
<td>1.468</td>
<td>0.164</td>
<td>0.164</td>
<td>0.884</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100ng/ml</td>
<td>1.111</td>
<td>1.553</td>
<td>0.299</td>
<td>1.082</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>1.221</td>
<td>1.049</td>
<td>0.695</td>
<td>1.375</td>
<td>0.155</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>1.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1ug/ml</td>
<td>2.813</td>
<td>3.147</td>
<td>1.179</td>
<td>3.147</td>
<td>1.375</td>
<td>2.877</td>
<td>3.053</td>
<td>2.703</td>
<td>3.253</td>
<td>0.199</td>
<td>0.171</td>
<td>3.053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1ug/ml</td>
<td>2.651</td>
<td>3.053</td>
<td>0.986</td>
<td>2.864</td>
<td>1.413</td>
<td>3.025</td>
<td>2.983</td>
<td>2.716</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>0.218</td>
<td>0.172</td>
<td>3.159</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 20: Epitope mapping of chimeric L3D10 antibody. Binding to CTLA4Fc proteins was performed by ELISA, with the amounts of biotinylated protein bound measured by horse-radish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated streptavidin. Values shown are the OD450 measurements. WT = wild type CTLA-4Fc. M1 - M11 are CTLA-4Fc mutant proteins.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein Conc.</th>
<th>WT</th>
<th>M1</th>
<th>M2</th>
<th>M3</th>
<th>M4</th>
<th>M5</th>
<th>M6</th>
<th>M7</th>
<th>M8</th>
<th>M9</th>
<th>M10</th>
<th>M11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>0.202</td>
<td>0.196</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.187</td>
<td>0.184</td>
<td>0.189</td>
<td>0.192</td>
<td>0.198</td>
<td>0.187</td>
<td>0.179</td>
<td>0.179</td>
<td>0.183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>0.195</td>
<td>0.187</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.176</td>
<td>0.176</td>
<td>0.176</td>
<td>0.176</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.166</td>
<td>0.166</td>
<td>0.167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10ng/ml</td>
<td>1.433</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>0.375</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.507</td>
<td>1.539</td>
<td>1.033</td>
<td>0.714</td>
<td>1.233</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10ng/ml</td>
<td>1.518</td>
<td>2.432</td>
<td>0.317</td>
<td>0.587</td>
<td>0.356</td>
<td>1.366</td>
<td>0.976</td>
<td>0.738</td>
<td>1.237</td>
<td>0.171</td>
<td>0.169</td>
<td>0.203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100ng/ml</td>
<td>3.053</td>
<td>3.253</td>
<td>1.384</td>
<td>2.318</td>
<td>2.142</td>
<td>2.841</td>
<td>2.699</td>
<td>2.495</td>
<td>2.909</td>
<td>0.295</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>0.635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100ng/ml</td>
<td>3.025</td>
<td>3.239</td>
<td>1.164</td>
<td>2.354</td>
<td>1.409</td>
<td>2.991</td>
<td>2.771</td>
<td>2.483</td>
<td>2.841</td>
<td>0.304</td>
<td>0.216</td>
<td>0.759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1ug/ml</td>
<td>3.373</td>
<td>3.268</td>
<td>2.387</td>
<td>3.184</td>
<td>2.651</td>
<td>3.025</td>
<td>3.092</td>
<td>3.147</td>
<td>3.136</td>
<td>0.916</td>
<td>0.804</td>
<td>2.841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1ug/ml</td>
<td>3.114</td>
<td>2.967</td>
<td>2.619</td>
<td>3.124</td>
<td>2.659</td>
<td>3.034</td>
<td>3.072</td>
<td>2.991</td>
<td>3.034</td>
<td>0.916</td>
<td>0.868</td>
<td>2.983</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 21: Epitope mapping of humanized antibody PP4631. Binding to CTLA4Fc proteins was performed by ELISA, with the amounts of biotinylated protein bound measured by horse-radish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated streptavidin. Values shown are the OD450 measurements. WT = wild type CTLA-4Fc. M1 - M11 are CTLA-4Fc mutant proteins.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein Conc.</th>
<th>WT</th>
<th>M1</th>
<th>M2</th>
<th>M3</th>
<th>M4</th>
<th>M5</th>
<th>M6</th>
<th>M7</th>
<th>M8</th>
<th>M9</th>
<th>M10</th>
<th>M11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10ng/ml</td>
<td>0.312</td>
<td>2.264</td>
<td>0.207</td>
<td>0.198</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>0.407</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>0.247</td>
<td>0.177</td>
<td>0.181</td>
<td>0.172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100ng/ml</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>2.297</td>
<td>0.184</td>
<td>0.178</td>
<td>0.174</td>
<td>0.378</td>
<td>0.202</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>0.222</td>
<td>0.154</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000ng/ml</td>
<td>1.077</td>
<td>2.827</td>
<td>0.203</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.219</td>
<td>1.371</td>
<td>0.459</td>
<td>0.281</td>
<td>0.725</td>
<td>0.171</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1ug/ml</td>
<td>0.841</td>
<td>3.061</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>0.264</td>
<td>0.208</td>
<td>1.589</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.277</td>
<td>0.801</td>
<td>0.154</td>
<td>0.155</td>
<td>0.159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10ug/ml</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>2.881</td>
<td>0.339</td>
<td>0.882</td>
<td>0.473</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>1.992</td>
<td>1.169</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>0.175</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100ug/ml</td>
<td>2.471</td>
<td>2.958</td>
<td>0.263</td>
<td>1.121</td>
<td>0.573</td>
<td>2.795</td>
<td>2.016</td>
<td>1.243</td>
<td>2.642</td>
<td>0.167</td>
<td>0.169</td>
<td>0.185</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 22: Epitope mapping of humanized antibody PP4637. Binding to CTLA4Fc proteins was performed by ELISA, with the amounts of biotinylated protein bound measured by horse-radish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated streptavidin. Values shown are the OD450 measurements. WT = wild type CTLA-4Fc. M1 - M11 are CTLA-4Fc mutant proteins.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein Conc.</th>
<th>WT</th>
<th>M1</th>
<th>M2</th>
<th>M3</th>
<th>M4</th>
<th>M5</th>
<th>M6</th>
<th>M7</th>
<th>M8</th>
<th>M9</th>
<th>M10</th>
<th>M11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10ng/ml</td>
<td>0.597</td>
<td>2.307</td>
<td>0.195</td>
<td>0.544</td>
<td>0.189</td>
<td>1.239</td>
<td>0.603</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.373</td>
<td>0.169</td>
<td>0.157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10ng/ml</td>
<td>0.535</td>
<td>2.244</td>
<td>0.162</td>
<td>0.195</td>
<td>0.435</td>
<td>1.188</td>
<td>0.516</td>
<td>0.535</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.148</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100ng/ml</td>
<td>1.947</td>
<td>2.632</td>
<td>0.182</td>
<td>0.389</td>
<td>0.248</td>
<td>2.601</td>
<td>1.296</td>
<td>0.521</td>
<td>2.001</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100ng/ml</td>
<td>2.229</td>
<td>2.186</td>
<td>0.175</td>
<td>0.364</td>
<td>0.221</td>
<td>2.425</td>
<td>0.875</td>
<td>0.405</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.137</td>
<td>0.139</td>
<td>0.148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1ug/ml</td>
<td>2.724</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>0.259</td>
<td>1.662</td>
<td>0.725</td>
<td>2.654</td>
<td>2.355</td>
<td>1.418</td>
<td>2.548</td>
<td>0.157</td>
<td>0.151</td>
<td>0.162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1ug/ml</td>
<td>2.742</td>
<td>2.297</td>
<td>0.274</td>
<td>1.549</td>
<td>0.724</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>2.374</td>
<td>1.369</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>0.147</td>
<td>0.143</td>
<td>0.165</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 23: Raw data from a repeat study showing specific loss of antigenic epitope only in M11. As in Table 2-5, except that additional controls were included to shown specificity of the binding.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>05/03/2016</th>
<th>Ab Conc</th>
<th>kCTLA4-Fc</th>
<th>M1</th>
<th>M2</th>
<th>M3</th>
<th>M4</th>
<th>M5</th>
<th>M6</th>
<th>M7</th>
<th>M8</th>
<th>M9</th>
<th>M10</th>
<th>M11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10 ng/ml</td>
<td>1.705</td>
<td>1.799</td>
<td>3.316</td>
<td>3.458</td>
<td>3.567</td>
<td>3.672</td>
<td>0.187</td>
<td>0.177</td>
<td>0.220</td>
<td>0.377</td>
<td>0.183</td>
<td>0.186</td>
<td>0.0368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 ng/ml</td>
<td>1.799</td>
<td>2.779</td>
<td>3.195</td>
<td>3.567</td>
<td>3.567</td>
<td>3.672</td>
<td>0.182</td>
<td>0.177</td>
<td>0.220</td>
<td>0.377</td>
<td>0.183</td>
<td>0.186</td>
<td>0.0368</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Biotin-L3D10**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>05/03/2016</th>
<th>Ab Conc</th>
<th>kCTLA4-Fc</th>
<th>M1</th>
<th>M2</th>
<th>M3</th>
<th>M4</th>
<th>M5</th>
<th>M6</th>
<th>M7</th>
<th>M8</th>
<th>M9</th>
<th>M10</th>
<th>M11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10 ng/ml</td>
<td>1.705</td>
<td>1.799</td>
<td>3.316</td>
<td>3.458</td>
<td>3.567</td>
<td>3.672</td>
<td>0.187</td>
<td>0.177</td>
<td>0.220</td>
<td>0.377</td>
<td>0.183</td>
<td>0.186</td>
<td>0.0368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 ng/ml</td>
<td>1.799</td>
<td>2.779</td>
<td>3.195</td>
<td>3.567</td>
<td>3.567</td>
<td>3.672</td>
<td>0.182</td>
<td>0.177</td>
<td>0.220</td>
<td>0.377</td>
<td>0.183</td>
<td>0.186</td>
<td>0.0368</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Biotin-b87-1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>05/03/2016</th>
<th>Ab Conc</th>
<th>kCTLA4-Fc</th>
<th>M1</th>
<th>M2</th>
<th>M3</th>
<th>M4</th>
<th>M5</th>
<th>M6</th>
<th>M7</th>
<th>M8</th>
<th>M9</th>
<th>M10</th>
<th>M11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10 ng/ml</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.356</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>0.185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 ng/ml</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.356</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>0.185</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Biotin-HL12**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>05/03/2016</th>
<th>Ab Conc</th>
<th>kCTLA4-Fc</th>
<th>M1</th>
<th>M2</th>
<th>M3</th>
<th>M4</th>
<th>M5</th>
<th>M6</th>
<th>M7</th>
<th>M8</th>
<th>M9</th>
<th>M10</th>
<th>M11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100 ng/ml</td>
<td>1.827</td>
<td>3.274</td>
<td>3.137</td>
<td>3.137</td>
<td>3.137</td>
<td>3.137</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000 ng/ml</td>
<td>1.827</td>
<td>3.274</td>
<td>3.137</td>
<td>3.137</td>
<td>3.137</td>
<td>3.137</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Biotin-HL32**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>05/03/2016</th>
<th>Ab Conc</th>
<th>kCTLA4-Fc</th>
<th>M1</th>
<th>M2</th>
<th>M3</th>
<th>M4</th>
<th>M5</th>
<th>M6</th>
<th>M7</th>
<th>M8</th>
<th>M9</th>
<th>M10</th>
<th>M11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100 ng/ml</td>
<td>1.827</td>
<td>3.274</td>
<td>3.137</td>
<td>3.137</td>
<td>3.137</td>
<td>3.137</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000 ng/ml</td>
<td>1.827</td>
<td>3.274</td>
<td>3.137</td>
<td>3.137</td>
<td>3.137</td>
<td>3.137</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Since L3D10 retained significant binding to M11, we tested if the binding is specific. We coated plate with human CTLA4-Fc (hCTLA4Fc), mouse CTLA4-Fc (mCTLA4-Fc), Control IgG1-Fc or all mutant hCTLA4-Fc and measured their binding to B7-1 Fc along with L3D10, PP4631 and PP4637. The bulk of the data are presented in Table 23. As shown in FIG. 57, biotinylated B7-1 binds hCTLA-4, mCTLA-4 and M11, equally well. The specificity of the assay is demonstrated by lack of binding to IgG1-Fc. Interesting, while L3D10-binding to M11 is stronger than those to IgG1-Fc and mCTLA4-Fc, significant binding to IgG1-Fc suggest that the chimeric antibody binding to M11 maybe non-specific. In contrast, none of the humanized antibodies bind to M11, mCTLA-4, and IgG1-Fc control. These data demonstrate that mutations introduced in M11 selectively ablated L3D10, PP4631 and PP4637 binding to CTLA-4.

Using known complex structure 133, we mapped the CTLA-4 epitope in a 3-D structure. As shown in FIG. 58, the epitope recognized by these mAbs localized within the area covered by B7-1. As such, L3D10, PP4631 and PP4637 binding to CTLA-4 would be mutually exclusive to that of B7-1. The poor blocking PP4631 and PP4637 is due to lower avidity rather than distinctive binding domains.

Taking advantage of the fact that the mouse and human CTLA4 proteins are cross-reactive to B7-1, but that anti-human CTLA-4 antibodies do not cross react with murine Ctla-4 protein, we were able to map the binding epitope of the L3D10 derived antibodies by ELISA. Using a number of mutants of the human CTLA-4Fc protein in which clusters of amino acids from the human CTLA-4 protein were replaced with amino acids from the murine Ctla-4 protein, we clearly demonstrate that when we replace 4 amino acids that immediately follow the known B7-1 binding domain of CTLA-4, dose-dependent binding of the antibodies is largely abolished. The fact that the binding epitope maps directly adjacent to the B7-1 binding domain correlates well with the demonstrated ability of the L3D10 antibodies to block B7-CTLA-4 interactions both in vitro and in vivo. Since soluble CTLA4 is produced by fusion of C-terminal amino acids of the extracellular IgV domain to intracellular domain, it is tempting to speculate that antibody that binds to polymorphic C-terminal domain residues (only 18 amino acid from the C-terminus) is
more likely to lose reactivity to soluble CTLA-4, in which a large intracellular domain is fused to the C-terminus of the extracellular domain.

To further investigate the binding domain of the anti-CTLA4 antibodies, 6 additional mutant CTLA4-Fc fusion proteins, designated M12-M17 (SEQ ID NOS: 51-56), were designed (FIG. 59) and used to compare the binding of anti-CTLA4 antibodies 10D1 (FIG. 60A), PP4631 (FIG. 60B) and PP4637 (FIG. 60C). As shown in FIG. 60, mutations in M11, which are at positions γ103L104|106, abrogated binding to 10D1, PP4631 and PP4637, demonstrating that binding sites for 10D1, PP4631 and PP4637 include residues γ103L104|106. Importantly, additional mutation in A29>Y restored binding of CTLA-4 with mutations in γ103L104|106 to PP4631 and PP4637. These data demonstrate that position A29 in CTLA4 is important for the binding of antibodies PP4631 and PP4637, but not 10D1.

Example 17. Anti-CTLA-4 mAb synergizes with anti-4-1 BB in inducing tumor rejection

Studies in animal models have indicated that the anti-tumor response elicited by anti-CTLA-4 monoclonal antibody (mAb) is, at least in part, due to an antigen-specific T cell response against normal "self" differentiation antigens (73, 74). The tendency of anti-CTLA-4 antibodies to exacerbate autoimmune diseases is well documented in the mouse (75-78). This notion was further corroborated and proved to be a major limitation in more recent human trials in which the patients developed severe autoimmune manifestations that required discontinuation of treatment (79). On the other hand, cancer therapeutic anti-4-1 BB mAbs have been shown to abrogate the development of autoimmune diseases in lupus prone mice (24, 25).

The fact that anti-4-1 BB mAbs can both stimulate anti-tumor responses and decrease autoimmune manifestations raises the intriguing possibility that the combination of this antibody with anti-CTLA-4 mAb may result in cancer rejection without autoimmunity. In this study anti-CTLA-4 and anti-4-1 BB were combined to induce rejection of large established tumors.

Two models, one of minimal disease and one of large established tumors, were used to test the anti-tumor effect of combining anti-murine-4-1 BB and anti-murine-CTLA-4 mAb treatments. C57BL/6 mice were challenged with a subcutaneous inoculation of MC38 colon cancer cells, and at different times after tumor cell inoculation, antibodies were injected into tumor-challenged mice and the tumor size and incidence were monitored by physical examination.

In the minimal disease model, the mice were treated with hamster IgG plus rat IgG, anti-4-1 BB plus hamster IgG (anti-4-1 BB alone group), anti-CTLA-4 plus rat IgG (anti-CTLA-4 alone group), or anti-4-1 BB combined with anti-CTLA-4 starting at 48 hours after inoculation of tumor cells. The antibodies were administered intraperitoneal (i.p.) on days 2, 9, and 16. Treatment with either anti-4-1 BB or anti-CTLA-4 mAb alone resulted in a delay in tumor growth with 1 out of 5 mice in each group rejecting tumors, while 4 out of 5 mice treated with both anti-CTLA-4 and anti-4-1 BB mAbs were tumor-free at the conclusion of the experiment. FIG. 61A displays the tumor growth measurements for each mouse. To compare growth rates between groups, a linear random-effects model was applied to the data. The combination therapy significantly reduced the daily growth in tumor size by 4.6 mm²/day over anti-CTLA-4 alone (p = 0.0094). Furthermore, the combination therapy significantly reduced growth by 8.4 mm²/day over anti-4-1 BB alone (p = 0.0006). In addition to the growth rate, the actual tumor sizes were compared between the treatment groups at six weeks after the initial tumor challenge. The average tumor size at six weeks was significantly smaller for mice given the combination therapy (27.5 mm²) compared to mice given either anti-CTLA-4 (137.8 mm², p = 0.0251) or anti-4-1 BB separately (287.6, p = 0.0006). Thus, in the setting of minimal tumor-burden, the combination of anti-4-1 BB and anti-CTLA-4 mAbs results in significant delays in tumor growth over anti-4-1 BB or anti-CTLA-4 given separately.

To determine if the anti-tumor effects of combination mAb treatment against small tumor burden could be extended to therapeutic applications against larger tumor burdens, mice with established tumors were treated with antibodies. Wild type C57BL/6 mice
were challenged with a subcutaneous inoculation of MC38 colon cancer cells. Tumors were allowed to grow for 14 days, at which point, mice with established tumors (usually > 7mm in diameter) were selected and divided randomly into four treatment groups: hamster IgG plus rat IgG, anti-4-1 BB plus hamster IgG, anti-CTLA-4 plus rat IgG, and anti-4-1 BB mAb combined with anti-CTLA-4 mAb. The antibodies were administered i.p. on days 14, 21, and 28 after tumor challenge. As shown in FIG. 61B, treatment with anti-CTLA-4 mAb did not impede tumor growth when compared to control IgG treatment, although rejection was seen in one of the eight mice in the group. Treatment with anti-4-1 BB mAb slowed tumor growth somewhat, but only one in eight mice rejected the tumor.

In contrast, combination therapy with both anti-CTLA-4 and anti-4-1 BB mAbs led to the eradication of tumors in 7 out of 8 mice and prevention of further tumor growth in the remaining mouse. As above, growth rates between groups were compared by applying a linear random-effects model to the data. The combination therapy significantly reduced the daily growth in tumor size by 10.6 mm²/day over anti-CTLA-4 alone (p < 0.0001). Furthermore, the combination therapy significantly reduced growth by 6.2 mm²/day over anti-4-1 BB alone (p = 0.0002). In addition to the growth rate, the actual tumor sizes were compared between the treatment groups at eight weeks after the initial tumor challenge. The estimated average tumor size at eight weeks was significantly smaller for mice given the combination therapy (1.7 mm², 95% CI: 10.8, 7.5 mm²) compared to mice given either anti-CTLA-4 (404.9 mm², 95% CI: 285.4, 524.4 mm²; p < 0.0001) or anti-4-1 BB separately (228.4 mm², 95% CI: 200.4, 689.9 mm²; p = 0.0004). Therefore, the combination mAb also appears to significantly delay tumor growth over anti-CTLA-4 or anti-4-1 BB separately in larger tumor burdens as well.

MC38 is known to form liver metastasis. To evaluate the effect of therapeutic antibodies on liver metastasis, all mice enrolled in the experiments were analyzed for liver metastasis by histology. As shown in Table 24, approximately 60% of the control IgG-treated mice had micro-metastasis in the liver. Treatments with either anti-CTLA-4 or anti-4-1 BB antibodies alone reduced the rate of metastasis somewhat, although the reduction did not reach statistical significance. Remarkably, only 1/22 mice in the group treated with both antibodies had liver metastases. Using a logistic regression model, we found that the odds of liver metastasis for mice given anti-4-1 BB alone were
approximately 4.7 times higher than the odds for mice given both anti-4-1BB and anti-CTLA-4 (95% CI: 1.6, 13.7; p = 0.0050). Similarly, the odds of liver metastasis were 3.6 times higher for mice given anti-CTLA-4 only compared to mice given both treatments (95% CI: 1.3, 10.2; p = 0.0174). Thus, combination therapy significantly reduces liver metastasis by MC38 when compared to treatment with either antibody alone.

Table 24: Combination therapy substantially reduces liver metastases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Number of mice with metastasis (%)</th>
<th>Group comparison p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G1</td>
<td>Hamster IgG+Rat IgG</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>11 (57.8%)</td>
<td>vs.G1: 0.1383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2</td>
<td>Anti-CTLA-4+Rat IgG</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6 (33.3%)</td>
<td>vs.G1: 0.2136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3</td>
<td>Anti-4-1BB+Hamster IgG</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>8 (38.1%)</td>
<td>vs.G1: 0.0007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anti-CTLA-4+Anti-4-1BB</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1 (4.5%)</td>
<td>vs.G2: 0.0174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>vs.G3: 0.0050</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Data are summarized from 4 independent experiments. At least two sections per liver were examined after H&E staining.

To determine which subset of immune cells was contributing to the anti-tumor effect elicited by combination mAb treatment, the major subsets of lymphocytes were depleted with monoclonal antibodies. MC38 tumor cells were injected subcutaneously. Once tumors were palpable, tumor-bearing mice were separated into four groups. Each group had a series of intraperitoneal antibody injections to deplete differing subsets of immune cells, including no depletion with normal rat IgG, CD4 T cell depletion with anti-CD4 mAb (GK 1.5), CD8 T cell depletion with anti-CD8 mAb (2.4.3), and NK cell depletion with anti-NK1.1 mAb (PK1 36). In addition, all mice in all groups were treated with anti-
CTLA-4 plus anti-4-1 BB mAbs once weekly for three weeks. Adequate depletion of immune cell subsets was evaluated by flow cytometry of peripheral blood taken from mice immediately prior to completion of the experiment (data not shown). As expected, mice with no depletion of immune cells responded to treatment with anti-CTLA-4 combined with anti-4-1 BB mAb (FIG. 62). Similarly, depletion of NK cells and CD4 T cells did not affect the anti-tumor activity of combination anti-CTLA-4 plus anti-4-1 BB mAb therapy. The depletion of CD8 T cells, however, abrogated the anti-tumor activity of combination antibody therapy. At day 28, the estimated average tumor size for mice with depletion of CD8 T cells (92.3 mm², 95% CI: 64.5, 120.1 mm²) was significantly higher than the average tumor sizes for mice with no depletion of immune cells (28.7 mm², 95% CI: 17.1, 74.4 mm²), mice with depleted CD4 T cells (16.7 mm², 95% CI: 1.0, 32.4 mm²), and mice with depleted NK cells (9.3 mm², 95% CI: -8.3, 26.9 mm²). These data demonstrate that the tumor-eradicating effect of anti-CTLA-4 and anti-4-1 BB mAb treatment is CD8 T cell-dependent.

Anti-4-1 BB antibody reduced antibody response to xenogeneic anti-CTLA-4 antibodies.

One of the obstacles to repeated antibody therapy is the enhancement of host antibody responses to the therapeutic antibodies. Since 4-1 BB is known to reduce antibody response to proteins, we evaluated the effect of anti-4-1 BB antibodies on host response to anti-CTLA-4 antibodies. As shown in FIG. 63, very little, if any anti-antibody response was detected in mice treated with either control IgG or anti-4-1 BB. Consistent with the ability of anti-CTLA-4 mAb to facilitate CD4 T cell responses, mice treated with anti-CTLA-4 plus rat IgG developed strong host antibody responses against the administered 4F10 antibody and rat IgG (FIGS. 63A-B). This response was reduced by more than 30-fold when anti-4-1 BB was co-administered with anti-CTLA-4 mAb. These data suggest that anti-4-1 BB antibodies can potentially increase the duration of other co-administrated therapeutic proteins by reducing host responses to the therapeutics.

In human CTLA-4 knock-in mice, a combination of anti-mouse 4-1 BB and anti-human CTLA-4 antibodies induced tumor rejection and long-lasting cancer immunity.
Since anti-4-1 BB reduces the production of antibodies against the anti-CTLA-4 antibodies, an interesting issue is whether the enhancement of tumor rejection by anti-4-1 BB is solely due to its effect in suppressing antibody response. This human CTLA4 gene knock-in mouse allowed us to test if the anti-tumor effect of the anti-human CTLA4 antibodies can be enhanced by anti-4-1 BB antibody. As shown in FIG. 64A, while both anti-human CTLA-4 (L3D1 0) and anti-4-1 BB antibody (2A) alone caused delayed tumor growth, a combination of the two antibodies resulted in the most significant tumor rejection. Respectively, in the groups treated with anti-CTLA-4, 4-1 BB or the two antibodies, 1/7, 2/7, 5/7 mice never developed tumors, while all mice in the untreated group developed tumors. Since the anti-human CTLA-4 antibody is of mouse origin, the impact of 4-1 BB antibody cannot be attributed to its suppression of antibodies to therapeutic anti-CTLA-4 antibodies. Moreover, our data also demonstrated that the superior effect of combination therapy will likely be applicable to anti-human CTLA-4 antibody-based immunotherapy.

To test whether the double antibody treated mice were immune to further tumor cell challenge, we challenged them with tumor cells at 110 days after their first tumor cell challenge. As shown in FIG. 64B, all of the five double antibody-treated mice that had rejected the tumor cells in the first round remained tumor-free, while control naive mice had progressive tumor growth. Thus, combination therapy also induced long-lasting immunity to the cancer cells.

One of the obstacles to protein-based immunotherapy is host immunity to the therapeutic proteins. In the case of antibodies, the host can mount antibodies to xenotypic, allotypic and idiotypic epitopes.\(^8\) The xenotypic response can be eliminated by complete humanization, although other anti-antibody responses require special considerations. The obstacle is more obvious for anti-CTLA-4 antibody as it is an adjuvant in itself. Previous work by Mittler et al. demonstrated a significant suppression of T-cell dependent humoral immune response.\(^3\) Our data demonstrate that co-administration of anti-4-1 BB antibodies reduces host responses to the anti-CTLA-4 antibody, which suggests another advantage of combination therapy using anti-CTLA-4 and anti-4-1 BB antibody.
Taken together, our data demonstrate that combination therapy with anti-CTLA-4 and anti-4-1 BB antibodies offers three major advantages, namely, an increased effect in cancer immunity, mutual suppression of autoimmune side effects, and amelioration of anti-antibody responses.

All publications and patents mentioned in this specification are herein incorporated by reference to the same extent as if each individual publication or patent application was specifically and individually indicated to be incorporated by reference in its entirety. While the invention has been described in connection with specific embodiments thereof, it will be understood that it is capable of further modifications and this application is intended to cover any variations, uses, or adaptations of the invention following, in general, the principles of the invention and including such departures from the present disclosure as come within known or customary practice within the art to which the invention pertains and as may be applied to the essential features hereinbefore set forth.
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Claims

1. An antibody comprising: (a) a light chain variable amino acid sequence having the amino acid sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO: 1; and (b) a heavy chain variable amino acid sequence having the amino acid sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO: 2.

2. An antibody comprising: (a) a heavy chain variable amino acid sequence having the amino acid sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO: 27, 28 or 29; and (b) a light chain variable amino acid sequence having the amino acid sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO: 30, 31 or 32.

3. An antibody comprising: (a) a light chain variable region having CDR sequences set forth in SEQ ID NOS: 21, 22 and 23; and (b) a heavy chain variable region having CDR sequences set forth in SEQ ID NOS: 24, 25 and 26.

4. The antibody of any one of claims 1-3, wherein the immunoglobulin heavy chain constant regions comprise the amino acid sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO: 3 or 4.

5. An antibody comprising: (a) a heavy chain amino acid sequence having the amino acid sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO:6; and (b) a light chain amino acid sequence having the amino acid sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO:8.

6. An antibody comprising: (a) a heavy chain amino acid sequence having the amino acid sequence set forth in SEQ ID NOS: 9, 11 or 13; and (b) a light chain amino acid sequence having the amino acid sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO: 15, 17 or 19.

7. The antibody of any one of claims 1-6, wherein the antibody is capable of binding human CTLA4.

8. The antibody of any one of claims 1-7, wherein the antibody is characterized by reduced binding to soluble CTLA4.

9. An antigen binding fragment of the antibody of any one of claims 1-8.

10. A pharmaceutical composition comprising a therapeutically effective amount of the antibody or antigen binding fragment of any one of claims 1-9, and a physiologically acceptable carrier or excipient.
11. A method for treating cancer comprising administering an effective amount of the pharmaceutical composition of claim 10 to a subject in need thereof.

12. The method of claim 11, further comprising administering an additional agent selected from the group consisting of anti-PD-1 or anti-4-1 BB.

13. The method of claim 12, wherein the anti-PD-1 or anti-4-1 BB antibodies and the anti-CTLA4 antibody are combined in a single molecule as bi-specific antibodies.

14. The method of claim 11, wherein the pharmaceutical composition induces strong deletion of Treg and local T cell activation in tumor microenvironment but minimal systemic T cell activation.
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FIG. 15
Surface expressed B7.1 or B7.2 binds CTLA4 on the surface of T cells, which downregulates B7.1/B7.2 expression.

Blocking anti-CTLA4 antibodies prevent B7.1/B7.2 binding, which increases B7.1/B7.2 expression.

Using chimeric T cells expressing both human and mouse CTLA4, anti-CTLA4 antibodies do not prevent B7.1/B7.2 binding to murine CTLA4, which restores B7.1/B7.2 inhibition.
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(a, b) Graphs showing OD450 concentration vs. concentration (µg/ml) for different samples including Ham IgG, 9D9, 9H10, and mCtll14 Fc.

(c, d) Graphs showing OD450 concentration vs. concentration (µg/ml) for different samples including Ham IgG, MPC-11, 9D9, and 9H10.

(e, f) Graphs showing relative MFI of CD88 and CD86 with significance levels indicated (n.s., *, **, ***).
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Graph (c) shows a significant increase in MFI at 8 µg/ml compared to other concentrations.

Graph (e) indicates no significant difference (n.s.) between IgG, 9D9, and 9H10 at the indicated concentrations.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group Comparison</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>hlg vs aPD1+L3D10</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hlg vs aPD1+hlg</td>
<td>0.0384*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hlg vs aPD1+10D1</td>
<td>&lt;2e-16***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hlg vs aPD1+PP4631</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hlg vs aPD1+PP4637</td>
<td>0.00207***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aPD1+L3D10 vs aPD1+hlg</td>
<td>0.000054***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aPD1+L3D10 vs aPD1+10D1</td>
<td>&lt;2e-16***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aPD1+L3D10 vs aPD1+PP4631</td>
<td>0.492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aPD1+L3D10 vs aPD1+PP4637</td>
<td>0.000134***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aPD1+hlg vs aPD1+10D1</td>
<td>&lt;2e-16***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aPD1+hlg vs aPD1+PP4631</td>
<td>0.0579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aPD1+hlg vs aPD1+PP4637</td>
<td>0.0409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aPD1+10D1 vs aPD1+PP4631</td>
<td>&lt;2e-16***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aPD1+10D1 vs aPD1+PP4637</td>
<td>&lt;2e-16***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aPD1+PP4631 vs aPD1+PP4637</td>
<td>0.0000446***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mk</td>
<td>(M)</td>
<td>(M)</td>
<td>(M)</td>
<td>(M)</td>
<td>(M)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms</td>
<td>(M)</td>
<td>(M)</td>
<td>(M)</td>
<td>(M)</td>
<td>(M)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mut</th>
<th>M1</th>
<th>M2</th>
<th>M3</th>
<th>M4</th>
<th>M5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mm</td>
<td>LCDVICTYLSGNQVNLTIQLRMDTSYFCKNLNIESLYLGSIGNCTQIVIDFEPCC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mk</td>
<td>(M)</td>
<td>(M)</td>
<td>(M)</td>
<td>(M)</td>
<td>(M)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms</td>
<td>(M)</td>
<td>(M)</td>
<td>(M)</td>
<td>(M)</td>
<td>(M)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mut</th>
<th>M7</th>
<th>M8</th>
<th>M9</th>
<th>M10</th>
<th>M11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mut</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mm</td>
<td>KDEL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mk</td>
<td>(M)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms</td>
<td>(M)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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CHINESE_ACADEMY_SCIENCES further discloses wherein the antibody/immunoglobulin heavy chain constant regions comprise the amino acid sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO: 3 (Abstract - 'monoclonal antibody consists of light chains and heavy chain, wherein amino acid residue sequences of the variable area of the heavy chains are shown in a sequence 1 in the sequence table... combines ... anti-CTLA-4 antibodies... to form antihuman ... CTLA-4 monoclonal antibodies'; Sequence Listing: SEQ ID NO: 1, which consisting of 269 amino acid residues, and comprising a region between amino acid residues 145-269, that is 100% identical to the claimed SEQ ID NO: 2 of the heavy chain variable amino acid sequence, as discussed above; Sequence Listing: SEQ ID NO: 3, which consisting of 599 amino acid residues, and comprising a region between amino acid residues 145-269, that is 100% identical to the claimed SEQ ID NO: 2, and a region between amino acid residues 270-598, that is 100% identical to the claimed SEQ ID NO: 3).

Without a shared special technical feature, the inventions lack unity with one another.

Groups I+ therefore lack unity under PCT Rule 13 because they do not share a same or corresponding special technical feature.
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