



US00RE44311E

(19) **United States**
(12) **Reissued Patent**
Zhang et al.

(10) **Patent Number:** **US RE44,311 E**
(45) **Date of Reissued Patent:** **Jun. 25, 2013**

(54) **POWER TOOL ANTI-KICKBACK SYSTEM WITH ROTATIONAL RATE SENSOR**

(75) Inventors: **Qiang Zhang**, Baltimore, MD (US);
Uday Deshpande, San Diego, CA (US);
John Charles Vanko, Timonium, MD (US); **Jason Leh**, Rosedale, MD (US);
Craig Schell, Street, MD (US); **Thomas Jay Bodine**, Glenwood, MD (US); **Joao Norona**, Baltimore, MD (US); **David Beers**, Dallastown, PA (US)

(73) Assignee: **Black & Decker Inc.**, Newark, DE (US)

(21) Appl. No.: **13/423,736**

(22) Filed: **Mar. 19, 2012**

Related U.S. Patent Documents

Reissue of:

(64) Patent No.: **7,681,659**
Issued: **Mar. 23, 2010**
Appl. No.: **12/008,823**
Filed: **Jan. 14, 2008**

U.S. Applications:

(63) Continuation of application No. 11/519,427, filed on Sep. 12, 2006, now Pat. No. 7,552,781, which is a continuation-in-part of application No. 11/254,146, filed on Oct. 19, 2005, now Pat. No. 7,410,006.
(60) Provisional application No. 60/620,283, filed on Oct. 20, 2004, provisional application No. 60/675,692, filed on Apr. 28, 2005.

(51) **Int. Cl.**
B23Q 15/00 (2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**
USPC **173/1; 173/4; 173/5; 173/6; 173/11; 173/176; 173/179**

(58) **Field of Classification Search**

USPC **173/4, 5, 6, 11, 176, 178, 179, 161**
See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

1,990,035 A 2/1935 Kratz et al.
2,617,971 A 11/1952 Stack

(Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

DE 2442260 3/1976
DE 3239847 5/1983

(Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Tonshoff, H.K., Developments and Trends in Monitoring and Control of Machining Processes, Annals of the CIRP vol. 37/2/1988 pp. 611-622.


Primary Examiner — Brian D Nash

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Harness, Dickey & Pierce, P.L.C.

(57) **ABSTRACT**

A control system is provided for use in a power tool. The control system includes: a rotational rate sensor having a resonating mass and a controller electrically connected to the rotational rate sensor. The rotational rate sensor detects lateral displacement of the resonating mass and generates a signal indicative of the detected lateral displacement, such that the lateral displacement is directly proportional to a rotational speed at which the power tool rotates about an axis of the rotary shaft. Based on the generated signal, the controller initiates a protective operation to avoid further undesirable rotation of the power tool. The controller may opt to reduce the torque applied to shaft to a non-zero value that enables the operator to regain control of the tool.

23 Claims, 10 Drawing Sheets



U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS					
2,776,653 A	1/1957	Eaton	5,557,990 A	9/1996	Shin
3,083,508 A	4/1963	Fegley et al.	5,563,482 A	10/1996	Shaw et al.
3,463,990 A	8/1969	Ross	5,584,619 A	12/1996	Guzzella
3,554,302 A	1/1971	Adkins et al.	5,589,644 A	12/1996	Becker et al.
3,616,864 A	11/1971	Sorensen et al.	5,615,130 A	3/1997	Bolan et al.
3,773,117 A	11/1973	Dussel	D378,727 S	4/1997	Kikuchi
3,847,229 A	11/1974	Wanner et al.	5,619,085 A	4/1997	Shramo
3,939,920 A	2/1976	Hardiman et al.	5,635,638 A	6/1997	Geen
3,963,364 A	6/1976	Lemelson	5,637,968 A	6/1997	Kainec et al.
4,060,115 A	11/1977	Bocanegra Marquina	D387,964 S	12/1997	Urvoy
4,066,133 A	1/1978	Voss	5,701,961 A	12/1997	Warner et al.
4,095,547 A	6/1978	Benington	5,704,435 A	1/1998	Meyer
4,104,778 A	8/1978	Vliet	5,714,698 A	2/1998	Tokioka et al.
4,143,467 A	3/1979	Erspamer et al.	D392,532 S	3/1998	Shiao
4,249,117 A	2/1981	Leukhardt et al.	D392,535 S	3/1998	Vasudeva et al.
4,262,528 A	4/1981	Holting et al.	5,730,232 A	3/1998	Mixer
4,267,914 A	5/1981	Saar	5,738,177 A	4/1998	Schell et al.
4,305,471 A	12/1981	Eshghy	5,754,019 A	5/1998	Walz
4,418,765 A	12/1983	Mori et al.	5,793,168 A	8/1998	Vitunic
4,426,588 A	1/1984	Weilmann	5,795,988 A	8/1998	Lo et al.
4,448,261 A	5/1984	Kousek et al.	5,806,401 A	9/1998	Rajala et al.
4,487,270 A	12/1984	Huber	5,831,402 A	11/1998	Yang
4,510,802 A	4/1985	Peters	5,879,111 A	3/1999	Stock et al.
D279,254 S	6/1985	Smith et al.	5,914,882 A	6/1999	Yeghiazarians
4,573,556 A	3/1986	Andreasson	5,954,457 A	9/1999	Stock et al.
4,576,270 A	3/1986	Baltz et al.	5,971,091 A	10/1999	Kamen et al.
4,587,468 A	5/1986	Hotta	5,981,557 A	11/1999	Nagasawa et al.
4,601,206 A	7/1986	Watson	5,984,020 A	11/1999	Meyer et al.
4,628,233 A	12/1986	Bradus	5,996,707 A	12/1999	Thome et al.
4,638,870 A	1/1987	Kousek	6,005,489 A	12/1999	Siegle et al.
4,648,282 A	3/1987	Alender et al.	6,044,918 A	4/2000	Noser et al.
4,732,221 A	3/1988	Dudek	6,049,460 A	4/2000	Lin
4,744,248 A	5/1988	Stewart	6,055,142 A	4/2000	Von Keudell et al.
4,754,669 A	7/1988	Verdier et al.	6,058,815 A	5/2000	Habermehl
4,759,225 A	7/1988	Reynertson et al.	6,062,939 A	5/2000	Parket et al.
4,793,226 A	12/1988	Kress	6,111,515 A	8/2000	Schaer et al.
4,820,962 A	4/1989	Millauer et al.	6,129,699 A	10/2000	Haight et al.
4,841,773 A	6/1989	Stewart	6,138,629 A	10/2000	Masberg et al.
4,846,027 A	7/1989	Lu	6,147,626 A	11/2000	Sakakibara
4,871,033 A	10/1989	Odoni et al.	6,158,929 A	12/2000	Fisher
4,878,404 A	11/1989	Liao	6,161,629 A	12/2000	Hohmann et al.
4,885,511 A	12/1989	Millauer et al.	6,209,394 B1	4/2001	Ferrari et al.
4,948,164 A	8/1990	Hano et al.	6,236,177 B1	5/2001	Zick et al.
RE33,379 E	10/1990	Bradus	6,387,725 B1	5/2002	Ferrari et al.
4,961,035 A	10/1990	Inaba et al.	6,408,252 B1	6/2002	DeSmet
4,996,877 A	3/1991	Stewart et al.	6,415,875 B1	7/2002	Mexner et al.
5,014,793 A	5/1991	Germanton et al.	6,479,958 B1	11/2002	Thompson et al.
5,036,925 A	8/1991	Wache	6,516,896 B1	2/2003	Bookshar et al.
D326,043 S	5/1992	Hasegawa et al.	6,567,068 B2	5/2003	Rekimoto
5,149,998 A	9/1992	Wolcott	6,581,714 B1	6/2003	Kamen et al.
5,155,421 A	10/1992	Hansson	6,612,034 B2	9/2003	Damstra
5,156,221 A	10/1992	Breitenmoser	6,640,733 B2	11/2003	Huffmeyer
5,166,882 A	11/1992	Stambaugh	D485,737 S	1/2004	Schaub et al.
5,174,045 A	12/1992	Thompson et al.	D493,888 S	8/2004	Reschke
5,200,661 A	4/1993	Shramo et al.	D494,829 S	8/2004	Lin
5,201,373 A	4/1993	Bloechle	6,779,952 B2	8/2004	Zhang
5,212,862 A	5/1993	Eshghy	6,796,921 B1	9/2004	Buck et al.
5,232,328 A	8/1993	Owczarz et al.	6,834,730 B2	12/2004	Gass et al.
D339,279 S	9/1993	Baum	6,836,614 B2	12/2004	Gilmore
5,241,861 A	9/1993	Hulsing, II	6,842,991 B2	1/2005	Levi et al.
5,245,747 A	9/1993	Hansson	6,843,140 B2	1/2005	Osselmann et al.
5,247,466 A	9/1993	Shimada et al.	6,871,128 B2	3/2005	Kouno et al.
5,284,217 A	2/1994	Eshghy	6,910,540 B2	6/2005	Totsu
5,311,069 A	5/1994	Austin	6,923,268 B2	8/2005	Totsu
5,345,382 A	9/1994	Kao	6,965,835 B2	11/2005	McGee et al.
5,357,179 A	10/1994	Abbagnaro et al.	6,968,908 B2	11/2005	Tokunaga
5,361,022 A	11/1994	Brown	D513,160 S	12/2005	DeBoer et al.
5,365,155 A	11/1994	Zimmermann et al.	6,983,506 B1	1/2006	Brown
5,383,363 A	1/1995	Kulmaczewski	D517,634 S	3/2006	Nunez et al.
5,401,124 A	3/1995	Hettich	7,011,165 B2	3/2006	Kristen et al.
5,418,422 A	5/1995	Vink et al.	7,036,703 B2	5/2006	Grazioli et al.
5,425,165 A	6/1995	Shramo et al.	7,055,620 B2	6/2006	Nadig et al.
5,440,218 A	8/1995	Oldenkamp	7,055,622 B2	6/2006	Bone
5,476,014 A	12/1995	Lampe et al.	7,090,030 B2	8/2006	Miller
5,484,026 A	1/1996	Susaki et al.	7,121,358 B2	10/2006	Gass et al.
5,493,909 A	2/1996	Araki	7,121,598 B2	10/2006	Pourtier et al.
5,535,306 A	7/1996	Stevens	7,134,364 B2	11/2006	Kageler et al.
5,538,089 A	7/1996	Sanford	7,154,406 B1	12/2006	Judge et al.
			D534,651 S	1/2007	Bruce et al.

7,182,148 B1	2/2007	Szieff	2008/0011102 A1	1/2008	Schell et al.
7,197,961 B2	4/2007	Kageleir et al.	2008/0110653 A1	5/2008	Zhang et al.
7,225,884 B2	6/2007	Aeberhard	2008/0276760 A1	11/2008	Kim
7,234,536 B2	6/2007	Scholl	2009/0051306 A1	2/2009	Matsunaga et al.
7,331,406 B2	2/2008	Wottreng et al.	2009/0065225 A1	3/2009	Forster et al.
7,347,158 B2	3/2008	Hawkes	2009/0078057 A1	3/2009	Schultz et al.
D565,380 S	4/2008	Rinner	2009/0120657 A1	5/2009	Carrier et al.
7,359,816 B2	4/2008	Kumar et al.	2009/0139738 A1	6/2009	Lippek
7,372,226 B2	5/2008	Wiker et al.	2009/0211774 A1	8/2009	Dvells, Jr.
7,395,871 B2	7/2008	Carrier et al.	2009/0295313 A1	12/2009	Suzuki et al.
7,400,106 B2	7/2008	DeCicco et al.	2010/0188245 A1	7/2010	Nielsen et al.
7,410,006 B2	8/2008	Zhang	2010/0189887 A1	7/2010	Nielsen et al.
7,456,603 B2	11/2008	Kanekawa et al.	2010/0245086 A1	9/2010	Nielsen et al.
7,463,952 B2	12/2008	Bidou et al.	2010/0247754 A1	9/2010	Nielsen et al.
7,469,753 B2	12/2008	Klemm et al.	2010/0256939 A1	10/2010	Borenstein
7,487,844 B2	2/2009	DeCicco et al.	2010/0263591 A1	10/2010	Nielsen et al.
7,487,845 B2	2/2009	Carrier et al.	2010/0263891 A1	10/2010	Carrier et al.
7,504,791 B2	3/2009	Sieber et al.	2011/0079406 A1	4/2011	Elsmark et al.
7,506,694 B2	3/2009	Stirm et al.	2011/0153081 A1	6/2011	Romanov et al.
7,526,398 B1	4/2009	Choi et al.	2011/0160903 A1	6/2011	Romanov et al.
7,546,785 B2	6/2009	Roehm et al.	2011/0202175 A1	8/2011	Romanov et al.
7,551,411 B2	6/2009	Woods et al.	2011/0301900 A1	12/2011	Patel
7,552,781 B2	6/2009	Zhang et al.	2012/0000682 A1	1/2012	Grazioli
7,565,844 B2	7/2009	Crass et al.	2012/0090863 A1	4/2012	Puzio et al.
D606,827 S	12/2009	Fritz et al.			
7,642,741 B2	1/2010	Sidman			
7,650,699 B2	1/2010	Yamamoto			
7,681,659 B2	3/2010	Zhang et al.	DE	3400124	7/1985
7,682,035 B2	3/2010	Wuensch et al.	DE	3938787	5/1991
7,688,028 B2	3/2010	Phillips et al.	DE	4243317	6/1993
7,689,378 B2	3/2010	Kolen	DE	4204420	8/1993
D613,144 S	4/2010	Lin	DE	4334933	4/1995
7,708,085 B2	5/2010	DeCicco et al.	DE	19540718	5/1997
7,723,953 B2	5/2010	Roehm et al.	DE	19620124	7/1997
D618,527 S	6/2010	Deguglimo et al.	DE	19632363	1/1998
7,730,963 B2	6/2010	Carrier et al.	DE	19651124	5/1998
7,774,155 B2	8/2010	Sato et al.	DE	19726006	9/1998
7,832,286 B2	11/2010	Nakagawa et al.	DE	19900882	7/2000
7,861,796 B2	1/2011	DeCicco et al.	DE	10117121	10/2002
7,882,899 B2	2/2011	Borinato et al.	DE	10309414	9/2004
7,882,900 B2	2/2011	Borinato et al.	DE	10318798	11/2004
7,900,715 B2	3/2011	Chen	DE	10340710	3/2005
7,912,664 B2	3/2011	Rozelle	DE	10348756	5/2005
7,926,585 B2	4/2011	Pozgay et al.	DE	102006016441	10/2007
7,936,148 B2	5/2011	Roehm et al.	DE	102007048052	4/2009
7,942,084 B2	5/2011	Wilson et al.	DE	102007062727	7/2009
8,025,106 B2	9/2011	Schmidt	DE	102009007977	7/2009
8,136,382 B2	3/2012	Stewart	DE	102009001298	9/2010
8,179,069 B2	5/2012	Matsunaga et al.	EP	0 018 603 A	11/1980
2001/0042630 A1	11/2001	Kristen et al.	EP	0018603	11/1980
2002/0033267 A1	3/2002	Schweizer et al.	EP	0199883	11/1986
2002/0053892 A1	5/2002	Schaer et al.	EP	0303651	2/1989
2002/0066632 A1	6/2002	Kristen et al.	EP	0345655	12/1989
2002/0170754 A1	11/2002	Heinzmann	EP	0666148	8/1995
2003/0000651 A1	1/2003	Genser	EP	0771619	5/1997
2003/0037423 A1	2/2003	Siegel	EP	0773854	5/1997
2003/0042859 A1	3/2003	Gorti et al.	EP	0841126	5/1998
2003/0116332 A1	6/2003	Nadig et al.	EP	0841127	5/1998
2003/0196824 A1	10/2003	Gass et al.	EP	1008422	6/2000
2004/0011632 A1	1/2004	Hellmann et al.	EP	1151828	11/2001
2004/0069511 A1	4/2004	Hasegawa et al.	EP	1188521	3/2002
2004/0104034 A1	6/2004	Osselmann et al.	EP	1201373	5/2002
2004/0182175 A1	9/2004	Day et al.	EP	1379362	1/2004
2004/0211573 A1	10/2004	Carrier et al.	EP	1391271	2/2004
2004/0226124 A1	11/2004	O'Banion et al.	EP	1447177	8/2004
2004/0226728 A1	11/2004	Boeni et al.	EP	1452278	9/2004
2005/0000998 A1	1/2005	Grazioli et al.	EP	1470898	10/2004
2005/0217874 A1	10/2005	Forster et al.	EP	1 524 084 A	4/2005
2006/0081368 A1	4/2006	Rosine et al.	EP	1524084	4/2005
2006/0081386 A1	4/2006	Zhang et al.	EP	1670134	6/2006
2006/0103733 A1	5/2006	Grady et al.	EP	1711308	10/2006
2006/0124331 A1	6/2006	Stirm et al.	EP	1878541	1/2008
2006/0243469 A1	11/2006	Webster	EP	1900484	3/2008
2007/0068480 A1	3/2007	Wiker et al.	EP	1398119	4/2010
2007/0084613 A1	4/2007	Zhang et al.	GB	1261479	1/1972
2007/0095634 A1	5/2007	Misuda	GB	2 086277	9/1981
2007/0144270 A1	6/2007	Crass et al.	GB	2306356	5/1997
2007/0256914 A1	11/2007	Lohr et al.	GB	2347100	8/2000
2007/0281274 A1	12/2007	Schraffran et al.	GB	2400811	10/2004
			GB	2420843	6/2006

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

US RE44,311 E

Page 4

GB	2436959	10/2007	JP	2005144625	6/2005
JP	8197445	8/1886	RU	2103156	1/1998
JP	60252213	12/1985	WO	WO 88/06508	9/1998
JP	04065677	3/1992	WO	WO2004024398	3/2004
JP	4226869	8/1992	WO	WO 2005/0095061	10/2005
JP	07270444	10/1995	WO	WO2006045072	4/2006
JP	08128825	5/1996	WO	WO2009032314	3/2009
JP	09038815	2/1997	WO	WO2009/083306	7/2009
JP	10156739	6/1998	WO	WO2009136840	11/2009

FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3




FIG. 4A




FIG. 4B





FIG. 5



6
G.
F




FIG. 8





FIG. 9



1

POWER TOOL ANTI-KICKBACK SYSTEM WITH ROTATIONAL RATE SENSOR

Matter enclosed in heavy brackets [] appears in the original patent but forms no part of this reissue specification; matter printed in italics indicates the additions made by reissue.

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application is a *reissue of U.S. Pat. No. 7,681,659 which is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 11/519,427 filed on Sep. 12, 2006, now U.S. Pat. No. 7,552,781 which in turn is a continuation-in-part of U.S. patent application No. 11/254,146 filed on Oct. 19, 2005, now U.S. Pat. No. 7,410,006 which claims benefit of U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/620,283, filed on Oct. 20, 2004 and U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/675,692 filed on Apr. 28, 2005*[The disclosure]. *The disclosures of the above applications [is] are incorporated herein by reference. More than one reissue application has been filed for the reissue of U.S. Pat. No. 7,681,659. The reissue applications are application Ser. No. 13/423,736 (the present application), Ser. Nos. 13/600,722 and 13/600,927, all of which are continuation reissues of U.S. Pat. No. 7,681,659.*

FIELD

The disclosure relates generally to power tools and, more particularly, to a control system having a rotational rate sensor for detecting the onset of a rotational condition in a power tool.

BACKGROUND

Power tools typically employ a motor that imparts torque to a tool through a spindle. In the case of an electric drill, the motor spindle is coupled through a series of reducing gears to the chuck, which in turn holds the drill bit or other cutting/abrading tool, such as a hole saw, a grinding wheel or the like. Power screwdrivers as well as large rotary hammers work on a similar principle. In each of these cases, the function of the reducing gears or gear train is to reduce the rotational speed of the tool while increasing the rotational torque.

Power routers are somewhat different. The cutting tool of the hand-held router is typically direct coupled to the spindle of the motor. In this case, the full rotational speed of the motor is used without gear reduction to rotate the router bit at high speed. Reciprocating saw and jigsaws use yet another type of gear train that translates the rotational motion of the motor spindle to reciprocating movement.

Generally speaking, all of these power tools may suddenly encounter an impending kickback condition at which time the output torque rapidly rises because of local changes in workpiece hardness, workpiece binding, tool obstruction from burrs and so forth. For example, when drilling a hole with a power drill, some workpieces will develop burrs on the tool exit side of the workpiece. These burrs can engage the flutes of the drill bit, thereby causing a rapid increase in torque as the drill tries to break free. In some instances, the burrs may stop drill bit rotation, thereby causing a strong reaction torque that is imparted to the tool operator as the motor turns the tool in the operator's grasp (rather than turning the drill bit). This reaction is can be problematic if the operator is standing on a ladder and/or holding the tool over their head. A related

2

phenomenon also occurs with power saws. These conditions are hereinafter generally referred to as kickback conditions, regardless of the particular power tool involved or the specific circumstance which give rise to the condition.

Therefore, it is desirable to provide an improved technique for detecting the onset of such kickback conditions in power tools. The statements in this section merely provide background information related to the present disclosure and may not constitute prior art.

SUMMARY

In one aspect of the disclosure, a control system is provided for use in a power tool. The control system includes: a rotational rate sensor having a resonating mass and a controller electrically connected to the rotational rate sensor. The rotational rate sensor detects lateral displacement of the resonating mass and generates a signal indicative of the detected lateral displacement, such that lateral displacement is directly proportional to a rotational speed at which the power tool rotates about an axis of the rotary shaft. Based on the generated signal, the controller initiates a protective operation to avoid undesirable rotation of the power tool.

In another aspect of the disclosure, the control scheme employed by the power tool may initiate different protective operations for different tool conditions.

In different aspect of the disclosure, the control scheme may initiate a protective operations based on input from two different sensors.

In yet another aspect of the disclosure, the control scheme employed by the power tool may initiate protective operations based on the rotational energy experienced by the tool.

For a more complete understanding of the invention, its objects and advantages, reference may be made to the following specification and to the accompanying drawings.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is a longitudinal sectional view of an exemplary rotary hammer configured in accordance with the present disclosure;

FIG. 2 is simplified block diagram of an exemplary control system in accordance with present disclosure;

FIG. 3 is a flowchart illustrating an exemplary method for determining the onset of a kickback condition according to the present disclosure;

FIGS. 4A and 4B are flowcharts illustrating an exemplary method for determining a kickback condition based on angular displacement according to the present disclosure;

FIG. 5 is a flowchart illustrating an exemplary method for determining a kickback condition based input from two different sensors according to the present disclosure;

FIG. 6 is a block diagram of another exemplary control system in accordance with the present disclosure;

FIG. 7 depicts an exemplary look-up table which may be used by the control system;

FIG. 8 illustrates an exemplary calibration system for a power tool configured with the control system; and

FIG. 9 illustrates an exemplary calibration procedure which may be employed by the control system.

The drawing described herein are for illustration purposes only and are not intended to limit the scope of the present disclosure in any way.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

FIG. 1 illustrates an exemplary power tool 10 having a rotary shaft. In this example, the power tool is a hand held

3

rotary hammer. While the following description is provided with reference to a rotary hammer, it is readily understood that the broader aspects of this disclosure are applicable to other types of power tools having rotary shafts, such as drills, circular saws, angle grinders, screw drivers and polishers.

In general, the rotary hammer includes a spindle 12 (i.e., a rotary shaft) drivably coupled to an electric motor 14. A chuck 16 is coupled at one end of the spindle 12; whereas a drive shaft 18 of the electric motor 14 is connected via a transmission 22 to the other end of the spindle 12. These components are enclosed within a housing 18. Operation of the tool is controlled through the use of an operator actuated switch 24 embedded in the handle of the tool. The switch regulates current flow from a power supply 26 to the motor 14. The power tool may further include a temperature sensor 27. Although a few primary components of the rotary hammer are discussed above, it is readily understood that other components known in the art may be needed to construct an operational rotary hammer.

The power tool 10 is further configured with a control system 30 for detecting and preventing torque conditions which may cause the operator to lose control of the tool. The control system 30 may include a rotational rate sensor 32, a current sensor 34, and a microcontroller 36 embedded in the handle of the power tool 10. Under certain operating conditions, the power tool 10 may rotate in the operator's grasp. In a rotary hammer, the rotational rate sensor 32 is configured to detect rotational motion of the tool about the longitudinal axis of the spindle 12. The rotational rate sensor 32 in turn communicates a signal indicative of any rotational motion to the controller 36 for further assessment. For different power tools, it is envisioned that the sensor may be disposed in a different location and/or configured to detect motion along a different axis.

In a preferred embodiment, the operating principle of the rotational rate sensor 32 is based on the Coriolis effect. Briefly, the rotational rate sensor is comprised of a resonating mass. When the power tool is subject to rotational motion about the axis of the spindle, the resonating mass will be laterally displaced in accordance with the Coriolis effect, such that the lateral displacement is directly proportional to the angular rate. It is noteworthy that the resonating motion of the mass and the lateral movement of the mass occur in a plane which is orientated perpendicular to the rotational axis of the rotary shaft. Capacitive sensing elements are then used to detect the lateral displacement and generate an applicable signal indicative of the lateral displacement. An exemplary rotational rate sensor is the ADXRS150 or ADXRS300 gyroscope device commercially available from Analog Devices. Other types of rotational sensors, such as angular speed sensors, accelerometers, etc., are also within the scope of this disclosure.

The microcontroller 36 assesses the rotational motion of the tool to detect rotational conditions which may cause the operator to lose control of the tool. Upon detecting an unacceptable rotational condition, the microcontroller 36 will initiate a protective operation intended to minimize and/or avoid any undesired rotation of the power tool. For instance, when the angular velocity of the tool exceeds some empirically derived threshold, the microcontroller may cut power to the motor. A few exemplary techniques for assessing the rotational condition of the tool are further described below. It is readily understood that other techniques for assessing the rotational condition of the tool are also within the scope of this disclosure.

Operation of an exemplary control circuit 40 is further described below in relation to FIG. 2. A power supply circuit

4

29 is coupled to an AC power line input and supplies DC voltage to operate the microcontroller 36'. The trigger switch 24' supplies a trigger signal to the microcontroller 36' which indicates the position or setting of the trigger switch 24' as it is manually operated by the power tool operator. Drive current for operating the motor 14' is controlled by a triac drive circuit 42. The triac drive circuit 42 is, in turn, controlled by a signal supplied by microcontroller 36'. If desired, the control system 30' may include a reset circuit 44 which, when activated, causes the microcontroller 36' to be re-initialized.

The microcontroller 36' is also supplied with a signal from a current detector circuit 48. The current detector circuit 48 is coupled to the triac drive circuit 42 and supplies a signal indicative of the conductive state of the triac drive circuit 42. If for some reason the triac drive circuit 42 does not turn on in response to the control signal from the microcontroller 36', this condition is detected by the current detector circuit 48.

A current sensor 34' is connected in series with the triac drive circuit 42 and the motor 14'. In an exemplary embodiment, the current sensor 34' may be a low resistance, high wattage resistor. The voltage drop across the current sensor 34' is measured as an indication of actual instantaneous motor current. The instantaneous motor current is supplied to an average current measuring circuit 46 which in turn supplies the average current value to the microcontroller 36'. The microcontroller 36' may use the average current to evaluate the rotational condition of the tool.

In operation, the trigger switch 24' supplies a trigger signal that varies in proportion to the switch setting to the microcontroller 36'. Based on this trigger signal, the microcontroller 36' generates a control signal which causes the triac drive circuit 42 to conduct, thereby allowing the motor 14' to draw current. Motor torque is substantially proportional to the current drawn by the motor and the current draw is controlled by the control signal sent from the microcontroller to the triac drive circuit 42. Thus, the microcontroller 36' can control the torque imparted by the motor.

Pulse mode is an exemplary protective operation which may be initiated upon detecting a kickback condition. Upon detecting the onset of a kickback condition, the microcontroller 36' may operate the motor 14' in a pulse mode. During pulse mode, the motor current is pulsed at a predetermined frequency with a predetermined on-time. In one exemplary embodiment, the series of current pulses is designed such that the operator may regain control of a twisting tool. For example, the time between pulses may be set between 0.1 and 1 second. Alternatively, the series of current pulses create torque pulses that may have a peak torque that is greater than the average torque delivered by the spindle 12. In this way, the torque pulses may allow the tool 10 to break through the burrs or workpiece restrictions that are causing the impending kickback condition. Further details regarding this protection operation may be found in U.S. Pat. No. 6,479,958 which is incorporated herein by reference.

Another exemplary protective operation is to reduce the torque imparted to the spindle to a non-zero value that enables an operator of the tool to regain control of the tool. In the context of the control circuit 40 described above, the controller can override the trigger signal from the trigger switch or other operator input commands. Upon detecting a triggering rotational condition, the controller 36' sends a control signal to the triac drive circuit 46' which reduces the voltage which in turn reduces the current draw of the motor, thereby reducing the torque imparted to the spindle. For example, the torque could be reduced to 30% of its current operational amount or a predefined fixed torque level. The tool would operate at this reduced level until the operator released the

trigger switch and re-engaged it or cycled tool power. Another method would involve resetting torque to its original operation level if the operator regains control of the tool. In this way, the operator has regained control of the tool without terminating or resetting operation of the tool.

Other techniques for reducing the torque imparted to the spindle are also within the scope of this disclosure. For example, DC operated motors are often controlled by pulse width modulation, where the duty cycle of the modulation is proportional the speed of the motor and thus the torque imparted by the motor to the spindle. In this example, the microcontroller may be configured to control the duty cycle of the motor control signal.

Alternatively, the power tool may be configured with a torque transmitting device interposed between the motor and the spindle. In this case, the controller may interface with the torque transmitting device to reduce torque. The torque transmitting device may take the form of a magneto-rheological fluid clutch which can vary the torque output proportional to the current fed through a magnetic field generating coil. It could also take the form of a friction plate, cone clutch or wrap spring clutch which can have variable levels of slippage based on a preload holding the friction materials together and thus transmitting torque. In this example, the preload could be changed by driving a lead screw supporting the ground end of the spring through a motor, solenoid or other type of electro-mechanical actuator. Other types of torque transmitting devices are also contemplated by this disclosure.

In other instances, the protective operation is intended to terminate or reset operation of the tool. Exemplary protective operations of this nature include (but are not limited to) disengaging the motor **14'** from the spindle **12**, braking the motor **14'**, braking the spindle **12**, and disconnecting power to the motor **14'**. Depending on the size and orientation of the tool **10**, one or more of these protective operations may be initiated to prevent undesirable rotation of the tool **10**.

An exemplary method for detecting a rotational condition of the tool is illustrated in FIG. **3**. First, the operator switch is checked at step **52** to determine if the tool is operating. If the switch is not closed, then power is not being supplied to the motor as indicated at **53**. In this case, there is no need to monitor for kickback conditions. Conversely, if the switch is closed, then power is being supplied to the motor as indicated at **54**.

During tool operation, rotational motion of the tool is monitored at **56** based on the signal from the rotational rate sensor. When the rotational rate of the tool exceeds some empirically derived threshold (as shown at **57**), this may indicate the onset of kickback condition; otherwise, processing control returns to the beginning of the algorithm. In addition to rotational rate of the tool about its spindle axis, it is envisioned that the rotational displacement, rotational acceleration, or some combination thereof as derived from the sensor signal may be used to determine the onset of a kickback condition.

Prior to initiating some protective operation, the microcontroller also evaluates the current draw of the motor at **58**. Specifically, the rate of change of the motor current is measured. When the rate of change is positive and exceeds some predetermined threshold, then one or more protective operations are initiated at **60**. If either the rate of change is not positive or the rate of change does not exceed the threshold, then processing control returns to the beginning of the algorithm. In this case, a sudden change in the current draw is optionally used to confirm the onset of the kickback condition. It is envisioned that inputs from other sensors, such as a temperature sensor, may be used in a similar manner. It is to

be understood that only the relevant steps of the control scheme are discussed above, but that other software-implemented instructions may be needed to control and manage the overall operation of the tool.

In another aspect of the present invention, the control scheme employed by the power tool **10** may initiate different protective operations for different tool conditions. For example, the amount of angular displacement experienced by the tool may dictate different protective operations. When angular displacement is within a first range (e.g., less than 31°), the operator is presumed to have control of the tool and thus no protective operations are needed. When the angular displacement exceeds this first range, it may be presumed that the tool has encountered a kickback condition and therefore some protective operation may be needed. In this second range of angular displacement (e.g., between 30° to 90°), the control scheme may initiate a pulse mode in hope of breaking through the restrictions that are causing the impending kickback condition. In contrast, when the angular displacement exceeds the second range (e.g., greater than 90°), it may be presumed that the operator has lost control of the tool. In this instance, a different protective operation may be initiated by the control scheme, such as disconnecting the power to the motor.

Depending on the complexity of the control scheme, three or more ranges of displacement may be defined for a given power tool. Within a range, protective operations may be initiated based on the angular displacement or a combination of parameters, such as angular acceleration, angular velocity, motor current, rate of change of motor current, motor temperature, switch temperature, etc. It is readily understood that the number and size of the ranges may vary for different control schemes and/or different types of tools. It is also envisioned that different protective operations may be initiated based on ranges of other parameters (e.g., ranges of angular velocity). Likewise, one or more protective operations may be associated with different ranges (i.e., tool conditions).

An exemplary method for detecting a rotational condition based on an angular displacement of the power tool is further described below in relation to FIGS. **4A** and **4B**. During tool operation, angular displacement is monitored in relation to a start point (θ_0). In step **61**, this starting point is initialized to zero. Any subsequent angular displacement of the tool is then measured in relation to this reference. Alternatively, the tool may employ a starting point reset function. At power-up, the starting point is set. If the operator repositions the tool (e.g., rotate it at a very slow rate), then the starting point is reset. For example, if the tool is rotated at a rate less than 5 degree per second, then the starting position is reset. Angular displacement is then measured from the new starting point.

Angular displacement of the tool is then monitored at step **62**. In this exemplary embodiment, the angular displacement is measured in relation to the reference value (θ_0) and derived from the rate of angular displacement over time or angular velocity (ω_{TOOL}) as provided by a rotational rate sensor. While the rotational rate sensor described above is presently preferred for determining angular displacement of the tool, it is readily understood that this additional aspect of the present invention is not limited to this type of sensor. On the contrary, angular displacement may be derived from a different type of rotational rate sensor, an acceleration sensor or some other manner for detecting rotational displacement of the tool.

Different protective operations may be initiated based on the amount of angular displacement as noted above. Angular displacement is assessed at steps **64** and **68**. When the angular displacement exceeds some upper threshold (θ_{zone2_min}),

then a first protective operation is initiated at step 66. In this example, power to the motor is disconnected, thereby terminating operation of the tool.

When the angular displacement exceeds some lower threshold (θ_{zone1_min}), then a different protective operation, such as pulsing the motor current, may be initiated at 70. In this exemplary embodiment, an instantaneous measure of angular velocity must also exceed some minimum threshold before a pulse mode is initiated as shown at step 69. If neither of these criteria are met, no protective actions are taken and operating conditions of tool continue to be monitored by the control scheme.

During pulse mode, the control scheme continues to monitor tool operating conditions. Hazardous conditions may be monitored as shown at step 72. For instance, to prevent motor burn up, motor current may be monitored. If the motor current spikes above some predefined threshold, then power to the motor is disconnected at 73. To protect the tool operator, angular displacement may also be monitored. If angular displacement exceeds a threshold indicative of lost control, then the power to the motor is also disconnected. It is readily understood that other types of hazardous conditions may be monitored.

In addition, pulse mode is only maintained for a brief period of time. A timer is initiated at step 71 and pulse mode continues until the timer has expired as shown at 76. During this time, the control scheme may also monitor if the restrictions that caused the kickback condition have been overcome as shown at step 74. If the restrictions are overcome, then pulse mode is discontinued at step 75. When the timer expires without overcoming the restrictions, then power to the motor is disconnected as shown at 77.

An exemplary method for detecting a rotational condition based on input from at least two sensors is described below in relation to FIG. 5. First, the operator switch is checked at step 82 to determine if the tool is operating. If the switch is not closed, then power is not being supplied to the motor as indicated at 83. In this case, there is no need to monitor for kickback conditions. Conversely, if the switch is closed, then power is being supplied to the motor as indicated at 84.

During tool operation, rotational motion of the tool is monitored at 86 based on the signal from the rotational rate sensor. When the rotational rate of the tool exceeds some empirically derived threshold (as shown at 87), this may indicate the onset of kickback condition; otherwise, processing control returns to the beginning of the algorithm. In addition to rotational rate of the tool about its spindle axis, it is envisioned that the rotational displacement, rotational acceleration, or some combination thereof as derived from the sensor signal may be used to determine the onset of a kickback condition.

Prior to initiating some protective operation, the microcontroller also evaluates the current draw of the motor at 88. Specifically, the rate of change of the motor current is measured. When the rate of change is positive and exceeds some predetermined threshold, then one or more protective operations are initiated at 90. If either the rate of change is not positive or the rate of change does not exceed the threshold, then processing control returns to the beginning of the algorithm. In this case, a sudden change in the current draw is used to confirm the onset of the kickback condition. While the above description was provided with reference to a rotational rate sensor and a current sensor, it is readily understood that the broader aspects of the present invention encompass making such a determination may be based on input from other types of sensors.

Determination of a rotational condition may also be based on other types of criteria. For example, a rotational condition may be assessed based on the rotational energy experienced by the power tool. In this example, rotational energy is defined as $E_{\omega_TOOL} = (I)(\omega_{TOOL})^2$, where I is the moment of inertia and ω_{TOOL} is the angular velocity. For this computation, the rate of angular displacement could be measured by a rotational rate sensor; whereas, the moment of inertia of the tool (I_{TOOL}) could be preprogrammed into the controller based on the mass properties of the power tool (e.g., mass, rotation inertia and a center of gravity position) and a distance measure between the center of gravity position and the spindle axis. Initiating a protective operation based on E_{ω_TOOL} is desirable because the energy condition is not tool specific and therefore could be applied to a variety of anti-kickback applications. Other criteria for determining a kickback condition are also within the broader aspects of the present invention.

FIG. 6 depicts another exemplary control system 100. The control system is comprised generally of a rotational rate sensor 32", sensor processing logic 110, a motor controller 36", a motor 14" and a power supply 29". The rotational sensor 32" may be a single sensor, such as a gyroscope or accelerometer, or two or more sensors disposed within the tool. Sensor processing logic 110 may be implemented in software or hardware. Likewise, power-up and calibration functions may be performed with hardware, software or combination thereof.

During normal tool operation, sensor output is processed as follows. In this exemplary embodiment, the sensor output is rotational velocity. The sensor output passes through a low pass filter 111 before going into a null point and gain calibration routine 112. The purpose of the calibration routine is to remove any offset and compensate for any gains of the rate sensor before determining rotational conditions. Through either software or hardware means, the rate signal is then integrated at 113 to get position and derived at 114 to get acceleration. All three of the signals are then input to a comparator 115 which checks whether or not the value has exceeded a defined threshold. A logic block 116 (e.g., AND, OR, etc.) is configured so that any or all of the thresholds must be met before indicating a trip signal which is sent to the motor controller 36". Although the tests are shown as comparators on position, rate, or acceleration, it is noted that the tests are not limited to thresholds alone. Combinations of each variable can be used such as if the rate is less than W then position must be greater than X for a trip event to occur. In another example, if rate is greater than Y then position must be greater than Z for a trip to occur.

In lieu of comparison functions, the control system may employ a look-up table as shown in FIG. 7. In this example, rotational position is charted against rotational velocity. Look-up tables having other parameters and further dimensions are also contemplated. Additionally, the values in the table may indicate the type of protective operation or point to another table for more processing.

FIG. 8 illustrates an exemplary calibration system 120 for a power tool 10 configured with the control system described above. The calibration system 120 is generally comprised of a test fixture 122, a test module 124, and a personal computer 126. To calibrate a power tool, the test module is first removed from the power tool and affixed to the test fixture 122. The rotational rate sensor along with the software routines which implement the control schemes described above are contained within the test module 124. The test fixture 122 is generally operable to rotate the test module 124 in a manner that may be experienced when module resides in the power

tool. The personal computer **126** is configured to control operation of the test fixture **122** in accordance with a calibration routine as well as to interface with the test module **124** during the calibration process. It is also envisioned that in other configurations the entire power tool may be affixed to and rotated by the test fixture.

An exemplary calibration procedure for a power tool is further described in relation to FIG. 9. First, a calibration routine is downloaded at **130** from the PC into the test module **124**. The calibration routine cooperatively operates with the software routines of the control system to determine calibration values for the control system. The calibration procedure begins with the test module **124** measuring the output of the rotational rate sensor at **131** while the power tool remains stationary. This measured output serves as an offset or null calibration value (i.e., output value of the sensor when angular velocity is zero) for the rotational rate sensor. Next, the personal computer commands the test fixture **132** to rotate the test module **124** (e.g., clockwise) at predefined angular velocity for a predefined period of time. For example, the test fixture **122** may rotate the test module **124** at 50 degrees per second until 50 degrees of rotation is reached. During this movement, the test module is capturing the angular velocity as reported by the rotational rate sensor. The test module will compare the angular velocity **133** as reported by the rotational rate sensor with the known angular velocity at which the test module was rotated by the test fixture to determine a gain value. The gain value is temporarily stored by the test module for subsequent processing.

The personal computer then commands the test fixture **134** to rotate the test module in an opposite direction (e.g., counter-clockwise) at a predefined angular velocity for a predefined period of time. The test module again captures the angular velocity as reported by the rotational rate sensor and compares these captured values **135** with the known angular velocity to determine another gain value. The second gain value is also stored by the test module. Thus, there is a gain value for each direction of tool rotation.

To confirm the calibration values, the personal computer re-executes the calibration procedure at **136**. In other words, the test fixture is commanded to rotate the test module at the predefined angular velocity in one direction and then in the opposite direction. The test module again captures the angular velocity as reported by the rotational rate sensor. At this point, the test module adjusts the measured angular velocity using the applicable calibration values and compares the adjusted values to the known angular velocity at which the test module was rotated by the test fixture. If the adjusted values fall within some defined tolerance of the expected values, these calibration values are sent by the test module to the personal computer. These calibration values can then be downloaded into memory of a power tool. During operation, the control system of the power tool will use the calibration values to adjust the output reported by the rotational rate sensor. It is readily understood that this type of calibration procedure may be undertaken for each power tool or once for each family of power tools.

The above description is merely exemplary in nature and is not intended to limit the present disclosure, application, or uses.

What is claimed is:

1. A method for initiating a protective response in a power tool having a rotary shaft, comprising:
 - monitoring rotational motion of the power tool about a longitudinal axis of the rotary shaft using a rotational motion sensor disposed in the power tool;

computing angular displacement of the power tool about the axis of the rotary shaft using a controller disposed in the power tool and based on input from the rotational motion sensor;

- initiating a protective operation by the controller when an operating condition of the power tool exceeds a threshold and the angular displacement of the power tool falls within a range of angular displacements; and
- initiating a protective operation by the controller when the operating condition of the power tool is less than the threshold but the angular displacement of the power tool exceeds the range of angular displacements.

2. The method of claim 1 further comprises initiating a protective operation when angular velocity of the power tool about the axis exceeds a velocity threshold and the angular displacement of the power tool falls within the range of angular displacements.

3. The method of claim 1 further comprises initiating a protective operation when angular displacement of the power tool falls within a range of angular displacements and angular acceleration of the power tool about the axis exceeds an acceleration threshold.

4. The method of claim 1 further comprises arranging the rotational motion sensor at a location in the power tool spatially separated from the rotary shaft.

5. The method of claim 1 further comprises employing a rotational motion sensor that measures rotational velocity based on Coriolis acceleration.

6. The method of claim 1 wherein the protective operation when angular displacement of the power tool falls within a range of angular displacements is different than the protective operation when angular displacement of the power tool exceeds the range of angular displacements.

7. The method of claim 1 wherein the protective operation is selected from the group consisting of pulsing a motor of the power tool, braking the rotary shaft, braking the motor, disengaging the motor from the rotary shaft, discontinuing power delivered to the motor and reducing slip torque of a clutch disposed between the motor and the rotary shaft.

8. A method for initiating a protective response in a power tool having a motor drivably coupled to a rotary shaft to impart rotary motion thereto, comprising:

monitoring rotational motion of the power tool about a longitudinal axis of the rotary shaft using a rotational motion sensor disposed in the power tool;

determining angular displacement of the power tool about the axis of the rotary shaft from a baseline using a controller disposed in the power tool and based on input from the rotational motion sensor;

initiating a protective operation in the power tool by the controller when a first operating condition of the power tool exceeds a first operating threshold and angular displacement of the power tool falls within a first range of angular displacements; and

initiating a protective operation in the power tool by the controller when a second operating condition of the power tool exceeds a second operating threshold and angular displacement of the power tool falls within a second range of angular displacements, where the second operating condition is different than the first operating condition and the second range of angular displacements is mutually exclusive of the first range of angular displacements.

9. The method of claim 8 further comprises initiating a protective operation when angular velocity of the power tool

11

about the axis exceeds a velocity threshold and angular displacement of the power tool falls within the first range of angular displacements.

10. The method of claim 9 further comprises initiating a protective operation when angular velocity of the power tool is less than the velocity threshold and angular displacement of the power tool falls within the second range of angular displacements.

11. The method of claim 8 further comprises arranging the rotational motion sensor at a location in the power tool spatially separated from the rotary shaft.

12. The method of claim 8 further comprises employing a rotational motion sensor that measures rotational velocity based on Coriolis acceleration.

13. The method of claim 8 further comprises periodically resetting the baseline when angular velocity of the power tool about the axis is less than a velocity threshold.

14. The method of claim 8 wherein the protective operation is selected from the group consisting of pulsing a motor of the power tool, braking the rotary shaft, braking the motor, disengaging the motor from the rotary shaft, discontinuing power delivered to the motor and reducing slip torque of a clutch disposed between the motor and the rotary shaft.

15. A method for initiating a protective response in a power tool having a rotary shaft, comprising:

monitoring rotational motion of the power tool about a longitudinal axis of the rotary shaft using a rotational motion sensor disposed in the power tool;

computing angular displacement of the power tool about the axis of the rotary shaft from a baseline using a controller disposed in the power tool and based on input from the rotational motion sensor;

periodically resetting the baseline when angular velocity of the power tool about the axis is less than a velocity threshold;

initiating a protective operation by the controller when an operating condition of the power tool exceeds a threshold and the angular displacement of the power tool falls within a range of angular displacements; and

initiating a protective operation by the controller when the operating condition of the power tool is less than the threshold but the angular displacement of the power tool exceeds the range of angular displacements.

16. A method for controlling operation of a power tool having a rotary shaft, comprising:

monitoring rotational motion of the power tool about a longitudinal axis of the rotary shaft using a rotational motion sensor disposed in the power tool, wherein the rotation motion sensor is further defined as an accelerometer;

12

computing angular displacement of the power tool about the axis of the rotary shaft based on input from the rotational motion sensor and using a controller disposed in the power tool; and

driving the rotary shaft at a given rotational speed, where the given rotational speed is set to a non-zero value based on the angular displacement of the power tool.

17. The method of claim 16 wherein the computing angular displacement further comprises determining the angular displacement of the power tool in relation to a reference position and driving the rotary shaft at the given rotational speed when the angular displacement from the reference position exceeds a displacement threshold.

18. The method of claim 16 wherein the computing angular displacement further comprises determining the angular displacement of the power tool in relation to a reference position and lowering rotational speed of the rotary shaft to a non-zero value when the angular displacement from the reference position exceeds a displacement threshold.

19. The method of claim 16 further comprises arranging the rotational motion sensor at a location in the power tool spatially separated from the rotary shaft.

20. A method for controlling operation of a power tool having a rotary shaft, comprising:

monitoring rotational motion of the power tool about a longitudinal axis of the rotary shaft using a rotational motion sensor disposed in the power tool, wherein the rotation motion sensor is further defined as a gyroscope; computing angular displacement of the power tool about the axis of the rotary shaft based on input from the rotational motion sensor and using a controller disposed in the power tool; and

driving the rotary shaft at a given rotational speed, where the given rotational speed is set to a non-zero value based on the angular displacement of the power tool.

21. The method of claim 20 wherein the computing angular displacement further comprises determining the angular displacement of the power tool in relation to a reference position and driving the rotary shaft at the given rotational speed when the angular displacement from the reference position exceeds a displacement threshold.

22. The method of claim 20 wherein the computing angular displacement further comprises determining the angular displacement of the power tool in relation to a reference position and lowering rotational speed of the rotary shaft to a non-zero value when the angular displacement from the reference position exceeds a displacement threshold.

23. The method of claim 20 further comprises arranging the rotational motion sensor at a location in the power tool spatially separated from the rotary shaft.

* * * * *