

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
6 July 2006 (06.07.2006)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 2006/069596 A1

(51) International Patent Classification⁷: **G06F 3/033**

(21) International Application Number:
PCT/EP2004/014908

(22) International Filing Date:
29 December 2004 (29.12.2004)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): **SENSITIVE OBJECT** [FR/FR]; 8 rue Danjou, 92100 Boulogne-Billancourt (FR).

(72) Inventor; and

(75) Inventor/Applicant (for US only): **ING, Ros Kiri** [FR/FR]; 11 avenue de Chaligny, 75012 Paris (FR).

(74) Agents: **BURBAUD, Eric** et al.; Cabinet Plasseraud, 65/67 Rue de la Victoire, F-75440 Paris Cedex 09 (FR).

(81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM,

AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NA, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.


(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, NA, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, MC, NL, PL, PT, RO, SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

— with international search report

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

(54) Title: METHOD FOR DETERMINING THE POSITION OF IMPACTS



(57) Abstract: A method for determining the position of impacts on an object comprising two acoustic sensors, and N active areas of said object, comprises the steps of: (a) receiving two acoustic signals $S_1(t)$ and $S_2(t)$; (b) calculating a sample signature function $SIG_s(\omega) = S_1(\omega) - S_2(\omega)$, where $S_1(\omega)$ and $S_2(\omega)$ are the respective Fourier transforms of $S_1(t)$ and $S_2(t)$; (c) comparing $SIG_s(\omega)$ with N predetermined reference signature functions $SIG_R(\omega)$ corresponding to the predetermined area j for j from 1 to N; (d) determining the active area in which the impact occurred, on the basis of the comparison of step (c).

METHOD FOR DETERMINING THE POSITION OF IMPACTS

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to a method for
5 determining the position of impacts, and a device using
this method.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

A known method for determining the position of
impacts on an object is suggested by Patent FR 2 841 022.
10 According to this document, the object may comprise two
acoustic sensors and N predetermined active areas of said
object, whereby N is an integer at least equal to 1. In
order to determine the active area in which an impact
occurred, acoustic signals received by the acoustic
15 sensors are compared with N acoustic signals, recorded in
a database, and each corresponding with one of the N
predetermined areas. Consequently, it leads to 2N
comparison calculations to complete the task, when two
sensors are used.

20 An object of the present invention is to provide a
method for determining an impact position, whose
calculation velocity is improved, and which does not
require a high computing power.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

25 The invention thus proposes a method for determining
the position of impacts on an object, said object
comprising:

- two acoustic sensors;
- N predetermined active areas, whereby N is an integer
30 at least equal to 1;

said method comprising the steps of:

- (a) receiving two acoustic signals $s_1(t)$ and $s_2(t)$
originating respectively from said acoustic sensors and
generated by an impact received on said object;
- 35 (b) calculating a sample signature function:

- 2 -

$$SIG_S(\omega) = S_1(\omega) \cdot S_2(\omega)^*,$$

where $S_1(\omega)$ and $S_2(\omega)$ are the respective Fourier transforms of $s_1(t)$ and $s_2(t)$, and where $*$ is the complex conjugate operator;

- 5 (c) comparing $SIG_S(\omega)$ with N predetermined reference signature functions $SIG_{R_j}(\omega)$ each corresponding to a predetermined active area j, for j from 1 to N;

(d) determining an active area in which the impact occurred, on the basis of the comparison of step (c).

- 10 In various embodiments of the method according to the invention, at least one of the following characteristics may be used:

- each reference signature function equals:

$$SIG_{R_j}(\omega) = R_{1j}(\omega) \cdot R_{2j}(\omega)^*$$

- 15 where $R_{1j}(\omega)$ and $R_{2j}(\omega)$ are Fourier transforms of acoustic signals $r_{1j}(t)$ and $r_{2j}(t)$ received by each of the respective acoustic sensors when an impact occurs on the predetermined area j;

- step (c) comprises the calculation of a
20 similarity estimator α_j representing a function of the phase $\varphi(\text{COR}_j(\omega))$ of $\text{COR}_j(\omega) = SIG_{R_j}(\omega) \cdot SIG_S(\omega)^*$;

- step (c) comprises the calculation of a function $\delta_j(\omega)$ for j from 1 to N, wherein

$$\delta_j(\omega) = \varepsilon_k, \text{ if } \varphi(\text{COR}_j(\omega)) \text{ belongs to } I_k,$$

- 25 where ε_k is a predetermined value and I_k a corresponding angular interval for k from 1 to n, where n is an integer greater than 1;

- the values ε_i are not greater than 1;

- 3 -

- in the method:

if $|\varphi(\text{COR}_j(\omega))| \leq a_1$, then $\delta_j(\omega) = \varepsilon_1$,

...

if n is greater than 2 and $a_{k-1} < |\varphi(\text{COR}_j(\omega))| \leq a_k$, then

5 $\delta_j(\omega) = \varepsilon_k$, for $k=2 \dots n-1$,

...

if $|\varphi(\text{COR}_j(\omega))| > a_{n-1}$, then $\delta_j(\omega) = \varepsilon_n$,

wherein a_k is increasing with k and ε_k is decreasing with k ;

10 - in the method:

if $\text{Re}(\text{COR}_j(\omega)) \geq |\text{Im}(\text{COR}_j(\omega))| / \tan(a_1)$, then $\delta_j(\omega) = \varepsilon_1$,

...

if n is greater than 2 and $|\text{Im}(\text{COR}_j(\omega))| / \tan(a_{k-1}) >$

$\text{Re}(\text{COR}_j(\omega)) \geq |\text{Im}(\text{COR}_j(\omega))| / \tan(a_k)$, then $\delta_j(\omega) = \varepsilon_k$, for

15 $k=2 \dots n-1$,

...

else, $\delta_j(\omega) = \varepsilon_n$,

and wherein $\text{Re}(\text{COR}_j(\omega))$ is the real part of $\text{COR}_j(\omega)$ and $\text{Im}(\text{COR}_j(\omega))$ is the imaginary part of $\text{COR}_j(\omega)$;

20 - in the method:

if $\gamma_j(\omega) \geq \beta_j(\omega) / \tan(a_1)$, then $\delta_j(\omega) = \varepsilon_1 = 1$;

...

if n is greater than 2 and $\beta_j(\omega) / \tan(a_{k-1}) > \gamma_j(\omega) \geq$

$\beta_j(\omega) / \tan(a_k)$, then $\delta_j(\omega) = \varepsilon_k$, for $k = 2, \dots n-1$;

25 ...

else, $\delta_j(\omega) = \varepsilon_n$,

and wherein:

$\beta_j(\omega) = |1 + \{A_j(\omega) / B_j(\omega)\} \cdot \{D(\omega) / C(\omega)\}|$,

$\gamma_j(\omega) = \text{sign}B_j(\omega) \cdot \text{sign}C(\omega) \cdot [\{A_j(\omega) / B_j(\omega)\} - \{D(\omega) / C(\omega)\}]$,

- 4 -

$\text{sign}B_j(\omega) = 1$ if $B_j(\omega)$ is positive and $= -1$ if $B_j(\omega)$ is negative,

$\text{sign}C(\omega) = 1$ if $C(\omega)$ is positive and $= -1$ if $C(\omega)$ is negative,

- 5 $A_j(\omega)$ and $B_j(\omega)$ are respectively the real part and the imaginary part of each reference signature function $SIG_{Rj}(\omega)$,

$C(\omega)$ and $D(\omega)$ are respectively the real part and the imaginary part of the complex conjugate sample signature

- 10 function $SIG_S(\omega)^*$;

- $\varepsilon_1 = 1$ and $\varepsilon_n = 0$;
- n is greater than 2 and $\varepsilon_k = \cos(a_{k-1})$, for $k = 2, \dots, n-1$.

- $\alpha_j = K \cdot \int_B \delta_j(\omega) d\omega$,

- 15 where B is a frequency interval and K a constant;

- $B = [\omega_{\min}, \omega_{\max}]$ and K is proportional to $1/(\omega_{\max} - \omega_{\min})$;

- the active area j_0 in which the impact occurred is determined such that α_{j_0} is the greatest similarity

- 20 estimator among the N calculated similarity estimators α_j ;

- it is concluded that the impact occurred in the area j_0 only if α_{j_0} is considered as valid in step (d);

- α_{j_0} is considered as valid if α_{j_0} is greater than a predetermined threshold of confidence;

- 25 - it is concluded that there is no impact if α_{j_0} is not considered as valid in step (d);

- step (a) begins if the acoustic signals $S_1(t)$ and $S_2(t)$ are above a predetermined trigger threshold;

- 5 -

- the acoustic signals $s_1(t)$ and $s_2(t)$ in step (a) are delayed relative to real audio signals;

- the Fourier transform is a Fast Fourier transform.

5 Besides, another object of the invention is a device for determining the position of impacts on an object, by comprising:

- two acoustic sensors adapted to be borne by said object for receiving acoustic signals $s_1(t)$ and $s_2(t)$
10 generated by an impact on said object;

- memory means comprising N reference signature functions, corresponding to N predetermined active areas of said object, whereby N is an integer at least equal to 1;

15 - calculation means for calculating a sample signature function

$$SIG_S(\omega) = S_1(\omega) \cdot S_2(\omega)^*,$$

where $S_1(\omega)$ and $S_2(\omega)$ are the respective Fourier transforms of $s_1(t)$ and $s_2(t)$, and where * is the complex
20 conjugate operator;

- comparison means for comparing $SIG_S(\omega)$ with N predetermined reference signature functions $SIG_{R_j}(\omega)$ for j from 1 to N;

- processing means for determining an active area (1a)
25 in which the impact occurred, on the basis of results given by said the comparison means.

In various embodiments of the device according to the invention, one may use one and/or other of the following features:

30 - each reference signature function equals:

- 6 -

$$SIG_{R_j}(\omega) = R_{1j}(\omega) \cdot R_{2j}(\omega)^*$$

where $R_{1j}(\omega)$ and $R_{2j}(\omega)$ are Fourier transforms of acoustic signals $r_{1j}(t)$ and $r_{2j}(t)$ received by each of the respective acoustic sensors when an impact occurs on the
 5 predetermined area j ;

- said comparison means are adapted to calculate of a similarity estimator α_j representing a function of the phase $\varphi(\text{COR}_j(\omega))$ of $\text{COR}_j(\omega) = SIG_{R_j}(\omega) \cdot SIG_S(\omega)^*$;

- said comparison means are adapted to calculate a
 10 function $\delta_j(\omega)$ for j from 1 to N, wherein

$$\delta_j(\omega) = \varepsilon_k, \text{ if } \varphi(\text{COR}_j(\omega)) \text{ belongs to } I_k,$$

where ε_k is a predetermined value and I_k a corresponding angular interval for k from 1 to n, where n is an integer greater than 1;

15 - the values ε_i are not greater than 1;

- said comparison means are adapted so that:

$$\text{if } |\varphi(\text{COR}_j(\omega))| \leq a_1, \text{ then } \delta_j(\omega) = \varepsilon_1,$$

...

$$\text{if } n \text{ is greater than } 2 \text{ and } a_{k-1} < |\varphi(\text{COR}_j(\omega))| \leq a_k, \text{ then}$$

$$20 \quad \delta_j(\omega) = \varepsilon_k, \text{ for } k=2 \dots n-1,$$

...

$$\text{if } |\varphi(\text{COR}_j(\omega))| > a_{n-1}, \text{ then } \delta_j(\omega) = \varepsilon_n,$$

wherein a_k is increasing with k and ε_k is decreasing with k;

25 - said comparison means are adapted so that:

$$\text{if } \text{Re}(\text{COR}_j(\omega)) \geq |\text{Im}(\text{COR}_j(\omega))| / \tan(a_1), \text{ then } \delta_j(\omega) = \varepsilon_1,$$

...

- 7 -

if n is greater than 2 and $|\text{Im}(\text{COR}_j(\omega))|/\tan(a_{k-1}) > \text{Re}(\text{COR}_j(\omega)) \geq |\text{Im}(\text{COR}_j(\omega))|/\tan(a_k)$, then $\delta_j(\omega) = \varepsilon_k$, for $k=2 \dots n-1$,

...

5 else, $\delta_j(\omega) = \varepsilon_n$,

and wherein $\text{Re}(\text{COR}_j(\omega))$ is the real part of $\text{COR}_j(\omega)$ and $\text{Im}(\text{COR}_j(\omega))$ is the imaginary part of $\text{COR}_j(\omega)$;

- said comparison means are adapted so that:

if $\gamma_j(\omega) \geq \beta_j(\omega)/\tan(a_1)$, then $\delta_j(\omega) = \varepsilon_1 = 1$;

10 ...

if n is greater than 2 and $\beta_j(\omega)/\tan(a_{k-1}) > \gamma_j(\omega) \geq \beta_j(\omega)/\tan(a_k)$, then $\delta_j(\omega) = \varepsilon_k$, for $k = 2, \dots n-1$;

...

else, $\delta_j(\omega) = \varepsilon_n$,

15 and wherein:

$\beta_j(\omega) = |1 + \{A_j(\omega)/B_j(\omega)\} \cdot \{(D(\omega)/C(\omega))\}|$,

$\gamma_j(\omega) = \text{sign}B_j(\omega) \cdot \text{sign}C(\omega) \cdot [\{A_j(\omega)/B_j(\omega)\} - \{D(\omega)/C(\omega)\}]$,

$\text{sign}B_j(\omega) = 1$ if $B_j(\omega)$ is positive and $= -1$ if $B_j(\omega)$ is negative,

20 $\text{sign}C(\omega) = 1$ if $C(\omega)$ is positive and $= -1$ if $C(\omega)$ is negative,

$A_j(\omega)$ and $B_j(\omega)$ are respectively the real part and the imaginary part of each reference signature function $SIG_{Rj}(\omega)$,

25 $C(\omega)$ and $D(\omega)$ are respectively the real part and the imaginary part of the complex conjugate sample signature function $SIG_S(\omega)^*$;

- $\varepsilon_1 = 1$ and $\varepsilon_n = 0$;

- n is greater than 2 and $\varepsilon_k = \cos(a_{k-1})$, for $k = 2$,

30 ... $n-1$;

- 8 -

- said comparison means are adapted so that:

$$\alpha_j = K \cdot \int_B \delta_j(\omega) d\omega,$$

where B is a frequency interval and K a constant;

- the processing means are adapted to determine
5 the active area j_0 in which the impact occurred such that
 α_{j_0} is the greatest similarity estimator among the N
calculated similarity estimators α_j ;

- the processing means are adapted to determine
that the impact occurred in the area j_0 only if α_{j_0} is
10 considered as valid;

- the processing means are adapted to determine
that α_{j_0} is valid if α_{j_0} is greater than a predetermined
threshold of confidence;

- the processing means are adapted to determine
15 that there is no impact if α_{j_0} is not considered as valid.

This method and this device permit to reduce the
number of comparisons to N, as the sampled acoustic
20 signals are no longer compared with each reference
acoustic signal, but with a signature function for each
pair of reference acoustic signals. Furthermore, the
calculation of the signature functions does not require a
high calculation power. Moreover, as explained below, the
25 phase of a signature function does not depend on the
excitation waveform, but only on the place in which the
impact occurred. Consequently, by studying only the phase
of the signature function, the active area in which the
impact occurred may be determined.

30

BRIEF DESCRIPTION THE DRAWINGS

- 9 -

Other features and advantages of the invention will appear from the following description of three embodiments of the invention, given by way of non-limiting example, with regard to the appended drawings. In the drawings:

- 5 - figure 1 is a schematic view of an example of device according to the invention;
- figure 2 is a flow chart describing a method according to a first embodiment of the invention;
- figures 3A and 3B are graphics illustrating the
10 calculation of a similarity estimator according to the first embodiment of the invention;
- figures 4A and 4B are graphics illustrating the calculation of a similarity estimator according to a second embodiment of the invention; and
- 15 - figure 5 is a flow chart describing a method according to a third embodiment of the invention.

MORE DETAILED DESCRIPTION

As illustrated by Figure 1, the present invention may
20 be carried out for instance by a device comprising an object 1 and two acoustic sensors SENS1 and SENS2 borne by said object 1.

This object 1 may be for instance a table, a door, a wall, a screen or other things, and is made for instance
25 of wood, metal, cement, glass or other materials. The acoustic sensors SENS1 and SENS2 may be for example piezoelectric sensors or any other sensor able to sample a vibration transmitted in the object, such as capacitive sensors, magnetostrictive sensors, electromagnetic
30 sensors, acoustic velocimeters, optical sensors (laser interferometers, laser vibrometers), etc.

The output of the sensors SENS1, SENS2 may be connected respectively to amplifiers 3 and 4, the output

- 10 -

of which is respectively connected to filters (F) 5, 6. The bandwidth of each filter 5 and 6 may be in the range of 300 Hz to 20000 Hz. The output of the filters 5, 6 is connected to a multiplexer 7, the output of which is connected to an analog to digital converter (ADC) 8. The output of the analog to digital converter 8 is connected to a processing unit (PU) 8 such as a microprocessor, a microcontroller, a DSP (digital signal processor), a programmable logical array (CPLD, FGPA), etc. The processing unit 9 may be connected to a RAM 9a and to a ROM 10.

The ROM 10, or any other memory communicating with the processing unit 9, contains a database of N reference signature functions $SIG_{Rj}(\omega)$, for $j=1...N$, corresponding to N predetermined active areas 1a of the object 1, as will be described hereafter. Each of these active areas may correspond for instance to a particular information, and may be materialized or not by a marking or other indication on the object.

The ROM 10 may be a hard disk, but also an EPROM, or an EEPROM, or likewise. Using an EEPROM can permit to reconfigure easily the device, for example for other applications, by recording new active areas 1a.

The reference signature functions may be for instance calculated during a learning step, e.g. before the device is used in normal use. The reference signature functions may be calculated for each single device 1-10, or these reference signature functions may be calculated only once for one device 1-10 and then used for all identical devices during normal use.

During the learning step, one generates an impact on each predetermined active area 1a of the object 1, which is sensed by sensors SENS1, SENS2. For each impact on an

- 11 -

active area j ($j = 1 \dots N$), the sensors SENS1, SENS2 generate acoustic signals which are respectively amplified by amplifiers 3, 4, filtered by filters 5, 6, multiplexed by multiplexer 7 and sampled by analog to digital converter 8. Analog to digital converter 8 thus outputs two reference signals $r_{1j}(t)$, $r_{2j}(t)$ originating respectively from the two sensors SENS1, SENS2.

Respective Fourier transforms $R_{1j}(\omega)$, $R_{2j}(\omega)$ of the reference acoustic signals $r_{1j}(t)$, $r_{2j}(t)$ are then computed. The Fourier transform $R_{ij}(\omega)$ of each reference acoustic signal equals:

$$R_{ij}(\omega) = C_i(\omega) \cdot H_{Rij}(\omega) \cdot E_{Rj}(\omega), \quad (1)$$

where $C_i(\omega)$ is the Fourier transform of the impulse response of sensor i ($i = 1, 2$), $H_{Rij}(\omega)$ is a propagation function, dependant on an acoustic wave propagation path in the object between active area j and sensor i , and $E_{Rj}(\omega)$ is the Fourier transform of the impact waveform on active area j .

Then, a reference signature function is calculated:

$$SIG_{Rj}(\omega) = R_{1j}(\omega) \cdot R_{2j}(\omega)^*, \quad (2)$$

where $*$ is the complex conjugate operator.

When using equation (1) to develop equation (2), one obtains:

$$SIG_{Rj}(\omega) = C_1(\omega) H_{R1j}(\omega) \cdot E_{Rj}(\omega) \cdot C_2(\omega)^* \cdot H_{R2j}(\omega)^* \cdot E_{Rj}(\omega)^*. \quad (3)$$

$E_{Rj}(\omega) \cdot E_{Rj}(\omega)^* = |E_{Rj}(\omega)|^2$, so that equation (3) is equivalent to equation (4):

$$SIG_{Rj}(\omega) = C_1(\omega) C_2(\omega)^* \cdot H_{R1j}(\omega) \cdot H_{R2j}(\omega)^* \cdot |E_{Rj}(\omega)|^2 \quad (4)$$

During normal use of the device, when an impact is generated on the object 1, e.g. when a user hits or touches the object 1 with a finger or with another object (stylus, pen or else) the sensors SENS1, SENS2 receive

- 12 -

acoustic signals. These acoustic signals are amplified by amplifiers 3 and 4, filtered by filters 5 and 6, multiplexed by multiplexer 7 and then sampled by analog to digital converter 8.

5 The sampled signals $s_i(t)$ originating respectively from the sensors i ($i=1, 2$ in the present example) are then processed by processing unit 9, for instance according to a first embodiment of the method of the invention as shown in the flow chart of figure 2. This
10 method may be carried out by a program ran on processing unit 9, comprising for instance calculation means S101 - S103, comparison means S104 - S110 and processing means S111.

In this embodiment, respective Fourier transforms
15 $S_1(\omega)$ and $S_2(\omega)$ of the two sampled acoustic signals $s_1(t)$ and $s_2(t)$ are computed (preferably only for positive frequencies ω) by calculation means in steps S101 and S102. These Fourier transforms may be Fast Fourier Transforms (FFT), permitting thus to obtain quick results,
20 without a high calculation power.

The Fourier transform $S_i(\omega)$ of each acoustic signal equals:

$$S_i(\omega) = C_i(\omega) \cdot H_{Si}(\omega) \cdot E_s(\omega), \quad (5)$$

where $C_i(\omega)$ is the Fourier transform of the impulse
25 response of sensor i , $H_{Si}(\omega)$ is a propagation function, dependant on an acoustic wave propagation path in the object between the location of the impact and sensor i , and $E_s(\omega)$ is the Fourier transform of the impact waveform.

At step S103, the calculating means compute a sample
30 signature function:

$$SIG_S(\omega) = S_1(\omega) \cdot S_2(\omega)^*, \quad (6)$$

- 13 -

where * is the complex conjugate operator.

When using equation (5) to develop equation (6), one obtains:

$$SIG_S(\omega) = C_1(\omega)H_{s1}(\omega).E_S(\omega).C_2(\omega)^*.H_{s2}(\omega)^*.E_S(\omega)^*. \quad (7)$$

5 $E_S(\omega).E_S(\omega)^* = |E_S(\omega)|^2$, so that:

$$SIG_S(\omega) = C_1(\omega)C_2(\omega)^*.H_{s1}(\omega).H_{s2}(\omega)^*.|E_S(\omega)|^2 \quad (8)$$

After initializing comparison means at step S104, for each predetermined area j of the object, an intermediary estimator (correlation function) is calculated at step
10 S105:

$$COR_j(\omega) = SIG_{Rj}(\omega).SIG_S(\omega)^*. \quad (9)$$

When using equations (4) and (8) to develop equation (9) one obtains equation (10):

$$15 \quad COR_j(\omega) = |C_1(\omega)|^2.|C_2(\omega)|^2. |E_{Rj}(\omega)|^2.|E_S(\omega)|^2.H_{R1j}(\omega).H_{R2j}(\omega)^*.H_{S1}(\omega)^*.H_{S2}(\omega) \quad (10)$$

Since $|C_1(\omega)|^2$, $|C_2(\omega)|^2$, $|E_{Rj}(\omega)|^2$ and $|E_S(\omega)|^2$ are square moduluses whose phase equals zero, the phase $\phi(COR_j(\omega))$ of the intermediary estimator $COR_j(\omega)$ does not depend on the impulse responses $C_1(\omega)$, $C_2(\omega)$ of the sensors 3, 4 and on the
20 impact waveforms $E_{Rj}(\omega)$, $E_S(\omega)$. This phase $\phi(COR_j(\omega))$ depends only on the phase of $H_{R1j}(\omega).H_{R2j}(\omega)^*.H_{S1}(\omega)^*.H_{S2}(\omega)$, i.e. on the acoustic wave propagation paths in the object during the learning step and the .

The method of the present invention is based on the
25 observation that:

- if the impact which generated the sampled signals $s_1(t)$, $s_2(t)$ was not on active area j, then the phase of $COR_j(\omega)$ is variable and different from 0,

- whereas if the impact which generated the
30 sampled signals $s_1(t)$, $s_2(t)$ was on active area j, then the

- 14 -

phase of $COR_j(\omega)$ is equal to 0, since:

$$COR_j(\omega) = |C_1(\omega)|^2 \cdot |C_2(\omega)|^2 \cdot |E_{Rj}(\omega)|^2 \cdot |E_S(\omega)|^2 \cdot |H_{1j}(\omega)|^2 \cdot |H_{2j}(\omega)|^2 \quad (11).$$

Thus, it is possible to determine whether an impact on the object 1 was made on any active area 1a, and if so,
5 to determine on which active area.

Since the method of the invention is based on direct or indirect determination of the phase of $COR_j(\omega)$, it should be noted that the accuracy of this method is not altered by the use of different types of impact or by the use of
10 sensors 3, 4 of different characteristics, because this phase is in any case independent from the impact waveforms used during the learning step and during normal use, and because this phase is also independent from the responses of the sensors SENS1, SENS2.

15 In steps S106 and S107, the phase $\varphi(COR_j(\omega))$ is examined, to estimate how much $SIG_S(\omega)$ matches with $SIG_{Rj}(\omega)$. To this end, the comparison means may calculate a function $\delta_j(\omega)$ in Step 106, as shown figures 3A and 3B. This function $\delta_j(\omega)$ may be calculated as follows:

- 20 - if the phase $\varphi(COR_j(\omega))$ belongs to, for example, an angular interval $I_1 = [b1; a1]$, with $a_1 > 0$ and $b_1 < 0$, then $\delta_j(\omega) = \varepsilon_1 = 1$;
- else, $\delta_j(\omega) = \varepsilon_2 = 0$.

It should be noted that, in step S106, it is not
25 necessary to compute $\varphi(COR_j(\omega))$. For instance, if $b_1 = -a_1$, the function $\delta_j(\omega)$ may as well be calculated as follows:

- if $\text{Re}(COR_j(\omega)) > |\text{Im}(COR_j(\omega))| / \tan(a_1)$, then $\delta_j(\omega) = \varepsilon_1 = 1$;
- else, $\delta_j(\omega) = \varepsilon_2 = 0$.

- 15 -

In step S107, the function $\delta_j(\omega)$ is integrated on a study frequency interval $B = [\omega_{\min}, \omega_{\max}]$, in order to obtain a similarity estimator

$\alpha_j = K \cdot \int_B \delta_j(\omega) d\omega$, where K is for example a constant of
5 normalization, which equals to (or is proportional to) the inverse of the length of the interval B: $K = 1/(\omega_{\max} - \omega_{\min})$.

This estimator α_j is simple to compute, does not require a high calculation power and correctly represents the similarity of the sampled signals $s_1(t)$, $s_2(t)$ with the
10 reference signals $r_1(t)$, $r_2(t)$.

Once the N similarity estimators α_j are calculated and stored in a memory, the maximal similarity estimator α_{j_0} is determined by comparison means in step S110. This can be carried out with a simple sequence of comparisons. In a
15 variant, the maximum similarity estimator α_{j_0} can be determined in each iteration of the comparison means when computing the similarity estimators α_j .

In order to eventually determine the active area in which an impact occurred, the greatest similarity
20 estimator α_{j_0} has to be greater than a predetermined threshold of confidence VAL in step S111. For example, α_{j_0} has to be greater than $VAL = 0.5$ to be recognized as valid. If α_{j_0} is greater than this threshold of confidence VAL, the active area j_0 is determined by processing means
25 as the active area in which an impact occurred. Then, an information associated to this active area may be transmitted to a software, or an action can be launched by the processing means 9, etc. Else, the impact is considered as interference or as perturbation.

30 In a second embodiment of the invention, several angular intervals I_i may be used in step 106, the other

- 16 -

steps remaining the same as in the first embodiment. For example, Figures 4A and 4B illustrate such a construction in the example of 4 possible values of α_j according to the phase $\varphi(\text{COR}_j(\omega))$.

5 There, the phase $\varphi(\text{COR}_j(\omega))$ is studied as follows:

- if the phase $\varphi(\text{COR}_j(\omega))$ belongs to the interval $I_1 = [b_1; a_1]$, with $a_1 > 0$ and $b_1 < 0$, then , then $\delta_j(\omega) = \varepsilon_1 = 1$;

- if the phase $\varphi(\text{COR}_j(\omega))$ belongs to the interval $I_2 = [b_2; b_1 \cup a_1; a_2]$, with $a_2 > a_1$ and $b_2 < b_1$, then $\delta_j(\omega) = \varepsilon_2$, with ε_2

10 < 1;

- if the phase $\varphi(\text{COR}_j(\omega))$ belongs to the interval $I_3 = [b_3; b_2 \cup a_2; a_3]$, with $180^\circ > a_3 > a_2$ and $-180^\circ < b_3 < b_2$ (preferably with $90^\circ > a_3 > a_2$ and $-90^\circ < b_3 < b_2$), then $\delta_j(\omega) = \varepsilon_3$, with $\varepsilon_3 < \varepsilon_2$;

- else, $\delta_j(\omega) = \varepsilon_4 = 0$.

15 As in the first embodiment of the invention, it should be noted that, in step S106, it is not necessary to compute $\varphi(\text{COR}_j(\omega))$. For instance, if $b_1 = -a_1$, $b_2 = -a_2$, and $b_3 = -a_3$, then the function $\delta_j(\omega)$ may as well be calculated as follows:

20 - if $\text{Re}(\text{COR}_j(\omega)) \geq |\text{Im}(\text{COR}_j(\omega))| / \tan(a_1)$, then $\delta_j(\omega) = \varepsilon_1 = 1$;

- if $|\text{Im}(\text{COR}_j(\omega))| / \tan(a_1) > \text{Re}(\text{COR}_j(\omega)) \geq |\text{Im}(\text{COR}_j(\omega))| / \tan(a_2)$, then $\delta_j(\omega) = \varepsilon_2$;

- if $|\text{Im}(\text{COR}_j(\omega))| / \tan(a_2) > \text{Re}(\text{COR}_j(\omega)) \geq$
25 $|\text{Im}(\text{COR}_j(\omega))| / \tan(a_3)$, then $\delta_j(\omega) = \varepsilon_3$;

- else, $\delta_j(\omega) = 0$.

$\text{Re}(\text{COR}_j(\omega))$ and $\text{Im}(\text{COR}_j(\omega))$ are respectively the real part and the imaginary part of the complex number $\text{COR}_j(\omega)$.

For instance, ε_2 and ε_3 could respectively equal
30 $\cos(a_1)$ and $\cos(a_2)$.

- 17 -

More generally, the phase of $\text{COR}_j(\omega)$ could be compared to a plurality of n angular thresholds $0 < a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_n$ as follows:

- if $\text{Re}(\text{COR}_j(\omega)) \geq |\text{Im}(\text{COR}_j(\omega))| / \tan(a_1)$, then
5 $\delta_j(\omega) = \varepsilon_1 = 1$;
- if $|\text{Im}(\text{COR}_j(\omega))| / \tan(a_1) > \text{Re}(\text{COR}_j(\omega)) \geq |\text{Im}(\text{COR}_j(\omega))| / \tan(a_2)$, then $\delta_j(\omega) = \varepsilon_2$;
- if $|\text{Im}(\text{COR}_j(\omega))| / \tan(a_2) > \text{Re}(\text{COR}_j(\omega)) \geq |\text{Im}(\text{COR}_j(\omega))| / \tan(a_3)$, then $\delta_j(\omega) = \varepsilon_3$;
- 10 ...
- if $|\text{Im}(\text{COR}_j(\omega))| / \tan(a_{k-1}) > \text{Re}(\text{COR}_j(\omega)) \geq |\text{Im}(\text{COR}_j(\omega))| / \tan(a_k)$ (12)
, then $\delta_j(\omega) = \varepsilon_k$;
- ...
- 15 - if $|\text{Im}(\text{COR}_j(\omega))| / \tan(a_{n-2}) > \text{Re}(\text{COR}_j(\omega)) \geq |\text{Im}(\text{COR}_j(\omega))| / \tan(a_{n-1})$, then $\delta_j(\omega) = \varepsilon_{n-1}$;
- else, $\delta_j(\omega) = \varepsilon_n = 0$.

The values ε_k are such that $\varepsilon_1 > \varepsilon_2 > \dots > \varepsilon_n > 0$, and these values may for instance equal: $\varepsilon_k = \cos(a_{k-1})$, for $k = 2$,
20 ... n and $\varepsilon_{n+1} = 0$.

In this second embodiment the other steps of the method can be identical to those described above with regards to the first embodiment.

In a third embodiment of the invention, as
25 illustrated for instance in figure 5, the calculation of the above correlation function $\text{COR}_j(\omega)$ ($j = 1, \dots, N$) is avoided.

As a matter of fact, $\text{SIG}_{Rj}(\omega) = A_j(\omega) + \textcolor{blue}{j}B_j(\omega)$ (A_j and B_j are respectively the real and imaginary parts of SIG_{Rj}),
30 and $\text{SIG}_s(\omega)^* = C(\omega) + \textcolor{blue}{j}D(\omega)$ (C and D are respectively the real and imaginary parts of SIG_s), so that the above

- 18 -

equation (12) can be written as follows:

$$\frac{|B_j(\omega)C(\omega) + A_j(\omega)D(\omega)|}{\tan(a_k)} > \frac{A_j(\omega)C(\omega) - B_j(\omega)D(\omega)}{|B_j(\omega)C(\omega) + A_j(\omega)D(\omega)| \tan(a_k)} \quad (13)$$

5

$$\Leftrightarrow$$

$$\begin{aligned} & |B_j(\omega)| \cdot |C(\omega)| \cdot |1 + \{A_j(\omega)/B_j(\omega)\} \cdot \{(D(\omega)/C(\omega))\}| \tan(a_{k-1}) > \\ & A_j(\omega)C(\omega) - B_j(\omega)D(\omega) > \\ 10 \quad & |B_j(\omega)| \cdot |C(\omega)| \cdot |1 + \{A_j(\omega)/B_j(\omega)\} \cdot \{(D(\omega)/C(\omega))\}| \tan(a_k) \quad (14) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow$$

$$\beta_j(\omega)/\tan(a_{k-1}) > \gamma_j(\omega) > \beta_j(\omega)/\tan(a_k) \quad (15)$$

15

wherein:

$$\beta_j(\omega) = |1 + \{A_j(\omega)/B_j(\omega)\} \cdot \{(D(\omega)/C(\omega))\}|$$

$$\gamma_j(\omega) = \text{sign}B_j(\omega) \cdot \text{sign}C(\omega) \cdot [\{A_j(\omega)/B_j(\omega)\} - \{D(\omega)/C(\omega)\}];$$

20 $\text{sign}B_j(\omega) = 1$ if $B_j(\omega)$ is positive and $= -1$ if $B_j(\omega)$ is negative;

$\text{sign}C(\omega) = 1$ if $C(\omega)$ is positive and $= -1$ if $C(\omega)$ is negative.

Therefore, this third embodiment, instead of calculating the correlation functions $\text{COR}_j(\omega)$, the above functions $\beta_j(\omega)$ and $\gamma_j(\omega)$ are calculated in step S105. It should be noted that this calculation is particularly simple, and only requires:

30 - that $\text{sign}B_j(\omega)$ and the ratio $A_j(\omega)/B_j(\omega)$ be stored in advance in memory 10 for $j=1, \dots, N$ (i.e. one real number + 1 bit, whereas the complete calculation of the $\text{COR}_j(\omega)$ implied to store the complete reference signature functions (a complex number, i.e. the equivalent of two real numbers);

- 19 -

- that $\text{signC}(\omega)$ and the ratio $D(\omega)/C(\omega)$ be stored at the beginning of step S105, after which only a very limited number of operations remain to be done at step S105 to calculate $\beta_j(\omega)$ and $\gamma_j(\omega)$.

5 Then, at step S106, the phase of $\text{COR}_j(\omega)$ is compared to $n-1$ angular thresholds $0 < a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_{n-1}$ through equation (15) as follows:

- if $\gamma_j(\omega) \geq \beta_j(\omega)/\tan(a_1)$, then $\delta_j(\omega) = \varepsilon_1 = 1$;

...

10 - if n is greater than 2 and $\beta_j(\omega)/\tan(a_{k-1}) > \gamma_j(\omega) \geq \beta_j(\omega)/\tan(a_k)$, then $\delta_j(\omega) = \varepsilon_k$, for $k = 2, \dots, n-1$;

- else, $\delta_j(\omega) = \varepsilon_n = 0$.

The values ε_k are such that $\varepsilon_1 > \varepsilon_2 > \dots > \varepsilon_n > 0$, and these values may for instance equal: $\varepsilon_k = \cos(a_{k-1})$, for $k = 2,$

15 ... n .

If $n = 1$, this comparison is limited to:

- if $\gamma_j(\omega) \geq \beta_j(\omega)/\tan(a_1)$, then $\delta_j(\omega) = 1$;

- else, $\delta_j(\omega) = 0$.

The subsequent steps S107 - S111 of the method may be
20 identical to the steps explained above for the first and second embodiments of the invention.

According to one further embodiment of the invention, the sampling of the acoustic signals may be triggered only if the signals received by the analog to digital converter
25 8 are greater than a trigger threshold. Consequently, the device only samples relevant acoustic signals. This permits to reduce the interference sensibility. In this embodiment, the sampled signals $s_1(t)$ and $s_2(t)$ may be delayed compared to the real signals. As a matter of fact,
30 to avoid that the trigger threshold prevents the record of the very beginning of acoustic signals, a delay device may

- 20 -

be added, in order to record the waveform of the signal a few microseconds before the sampling step is triggered.

- 21 -

C L A I M S

1. A method for determining the position of impacts on an object, said object comprising:

- two acoustic sensors (SENS1, SENS2);
- 5 - N predetermined active areas (1a), whereby N is an integer at least equal to 1;

said method comprising the steps of:

- (a) receiving two acoustic signals $s_1(t)$ and $s_2(t)$ originating respectively from said acoustic sensors (SENS1, SENS2) and generated by an impact received on said object;

- (b) calculating a sample signature function:

$$SIG_S(\omega) = S_1(\omega) \cdot S_2(\omega)^*,$$

- where $S_1(\omega)$ and $S_2(\omega)$ are the respective Fourier transforms of $s_1(t)$ and $s_2(t)$, and where * is the complex conjugate operator;

- (c) comparing $SIG_S(\omega)$ with N predetermined reference signature functions $SIG_{Rj}(\omega)$ each corresponding to a predetermined active area j, for j from 1 to N;
- 20 (d) determining an active area (1a) in which the impact occurred, on the basis of the comparison of step (c).

2. The method as claimed in claim 1, wherein each reference signature function equals:

$$SIG_{Rj}(\omega) = R_{1j}(\omega) \cdot R_{2j}(\omega)^*$$

- 25 where $R_{1j}(\omega)$ and $R_{2j}(\omega)$ are Fourier transforms of acoustic signals $r_{1j}(t)$ and $r_{2j}(t)$ received by each of the respective acoustic sensors when an impact occurs on the predetermined area j.

- 30 3. The method as claimed in claim 1 or claim 2, wherein step (c) comprises the calculation of a similarity

- 22 -

estimator α_j representing a function of the phase $\varphi(\text{COR}_j(\omega))$ of $\text{COR}_j(\omega) = \text{SIG}_{R_j}(\omega) \cdot \text{SIG}_S(\omega)^*$.

4. The method as claimed in claim 3, wherein step (c) comprises the calculation of a function $\delta_j(\omega)$ for j from 1 to N , wherein

$$\delta_j(\omega) = \varepsilon_k, \text{ if } \varphi(\text{COR}_j(\omega)) \text{ belongs to } I_k,$$

where ε_k is a predetermined value and I_k a corresponding angular interval for k from 1 to n , where n is an integer greater than 1.

5. The method as claimed in claim 4, wherein the values ε_i are not greater than 1.

6. The method as claimed in claim 4 or claim 5, wherein:

$$\text{if } |\varphi(\text{COR}_j(\omega))| \leq a_1, \text{ then } \delta_j(\omega) = \varepsilon_1,$$

15 ...

$$\text{if } n \text{ is greater than } 2 \text{ and } a_{k-1} < |\varphi(\text{COR}_j(\omega))| \leq a_k, \text{ then } \delta_j(\omega) = \varepsilon_k, \text{ for } k=2 \dots n-1,$$

...

$$\text{if } |\varphi(\text{COR}_j(\omega))| > a_{n-1}, \text{ then } \delta_j(\omega) = \varepsilon_n,$$

20 wherein a_k is increasing with k and ε_k is decreasing with k .

7. The method as claimed in claim 6, wherein:

$$\text{if } \text{Re}(\text{COR}_j(\omega)) \geq |\text{Im}(\text{COR}_j(\omega))| / \tan(a_1), \text{ then } \delta_j(\omega) = \varepsilon_1,$$

25 ...

$$\text{if } n \text{ is greater than } 2 \text{ and } |\text{Im}(\text{COR}_j(\omega))| / \tan(a_{k-1}) > \text{Re}(\text{COR}_j(\omega)) \geq |\text{Im}(\text{COR}_j(\omega))| / \tan(a_k), \text{ then } \delta_j(\omega) = \varepsilon_k, \text{ for } k=2 \dots n-1,$$

...

- 23 -

- else, $\delta_j(\omega) = \varepsilon_n$,

and wherein $\text{Re}(\text{COR}_j(\omega))$ is the real part of $\text{COR}_j(\omega)$ and $\text{Im}(\text{COR}_j(\omega))$ is the imaginary part of $\text{COR}_j(\omega)$.

8. The method according to claim 6, wherein:

5 - if $\gamma_j(\omega) \geq \beta_j(\omega)/\tan(a_1)$, then $\delta_j(\omega) = \varepsilon_1 = 1$;

...

- if n is greater than 2 and $\beta_j(\omega)/\tan(a_{k-1}) > \gamma_j(\omega) \geq \beta_j(\omega)/\tan(a_k)$, then $\delta_j(\omega) = \varepsilon_k$, for $k = 2, \dots, n-1$;

...

10 - else, $\delta_j(\omega) = \varepsilon_n$,

and wherein:

$\beta_j(\omega) = |1 + \{A_j(\omega)/B_j(\omega)\} \cdot \{D(\omega)/C(\omega)\}|$,

$\gamma_j(\omega) = \text{sign}B_j(\omega) \cdot \text{sign}C(\omega) \cdot [\{A_j(\omega)/B_j(\omega)\} - \{D(\omega)/C(\omega)\}]$,

15 $\text{sign}B_j(\omega) = 1$ if $B_j(\omega)$ is positive and $= -1$ if $B_j(\omega)$ is negative,

$\text{sign}C(\omega) = 1$ if $C(\omega)$ is positive and $= -1$ if $C(\omega)$ is negative,

$A_j(\omega)$ and $B_j(\omega)$ are respectively the real part and the imaginary part of each reference signature function $\text{SIG}_{Rj}(\omega)$,

20 $C(\omega)$ and $D(\omega)$ are respectively the real part and the imaginary part of the complex conjugate sample signature function $\text{SIG}_S(\omega)^*$.

9. The method as claimed in any of claims 6 - 8, 25 wherein $\varepsilon_1 = 1$ and $\varepsilon_n = 0$.

10. The method as claimed in claim 9, wherein n is greater than 2 and $\varepsilon_k = \cos(a_{k-1})$, for $k = 2, \dots, n-1$.

11. The method as claimed in any of claims 4 - 11, wherein

30 $\alpha_j = K \cdot \int \delta_j(\omega) d\omega$,

- 24 -

where B is a frequency interval and K a constant.

12. The method as claimed in claim 11, wherein $B = [\omega_{\min}, \omega_{\max}]$ and K is proportional to $1/(\omega_{\max} - \omega_{\min})$.

13. The method as claimed in any of claims 3 - 12,
5 wherein the active area j_0 in which the impact occurred is determined such that α_{j_0} is the greatest similarity estimator among the N calculated similarity estimators α_j .

14. The method as claimed in claim 13, wherein it is concluded that the impact occurred in the area j_0 only if
10 α_{j_0} is considered as valid in step (d).

15. The method of claim 14, wherein α_{j_0} is considered as valid if α_{j_0} is greater than a predetermined threshold of confidence (VAL).

16. The method as claimed in claim 14 or claim 15,
15 wherein it is concluded that there is no impact if α_{j_0} is not considered as valid in step (d).

17. The method as claimed in any of the preceding claims, wherein step (a) begins if the acoustic signals $s_1(t)$ and $s_2(t)$ are above a predetermined trigger threshold.

20 18. The method as claimed in any of the preceding claims, wherein the acoustic signals $s_1(t)$ and $s_2(t)$ in step (a) are delayed relative to real audio signals.

19. The method as claimed in any of the preceding claims, wherein the Fourier transform is a Fast Fourier
25 transform.

20. A device for determining the position of impacts on an object, comprising:

- two acoustic sensors adapted to be borne by said object for receiving acoustic signals $s_1(t)$ and $s_2(t)$
30 generated by an impact on said object;

- 25 -

- memory means (10) comprising N reference signature functions, corresponding to N predetermined active areas (1a) of said object, whereby N is an integer at least equal to 1;
- 5 - calculation means (S101 - S103) for calculating a sample signature function

$$SIG_S(\omega) = S_1(\omega) \cdot S_2(\omega)^*,$$

where $S_1(\omega)$ and $S_2(\omega)$ are the respective Fourier transforms of $s_1(t)$ and $s_2(t)$, and where * is the complex
 10 conjugate operator;

- comparison means (S104 - S110) for comparing $SIG_S(\omega)$ with N predetermined reference signature functions $SIG_{R_j}(\omega)$ for j from 1 to N;
- processing means (S111) for determining an active
 15 area (1a) in which the impact occurred, on the basis of results given by said the comparison means.

21. The device as claimed in claim 20, wherein each reference signature function equals:

$$SIG_{R_j}(\omega) = R_{1j}(\omega) \cdot R_{2j}(\omega)^*$$

- 20 where $R_{1j}(\omega)$ and $R_{2j}(\omega)$ are Fourier transforms of acoustic signals $r_{1j}(t)$ and $r_{2j}(t)$ received by each of the respective acoustic sensors when an impact occurs on the predetermined area j.

- 22. The method as claimed in claim 1 or claim 2,
 25 wherein said comparison means (S104 - S110) are adapted to calculate a similarity estimator α_j representing a function of the phase $\varphi(COR_j(\omega))$ of $COR_j(\omega) = SIG_{R_j}(\omega) \cdot SIG_S(\omega)^*$.

- 26 -

23. The device as claimed in claim 22, wherein said comparison means (S104 - S110) are adapted to calculate a function $\delta_j(\omega)$ for j from 1 to N , wherein

$$\delta_j(\omega) = \varepsilon_k, \text{ if } \varphi(\text{COR}_j(\omega)) \text{ belongs to } I_k,$$

5 where ε_k is a predetermined value and I_k a corresponding angular interval for k from 1 to n , where n is an integer greater than 1.

24. The device as claimed in claim 23, wherein the values ε_i are not greater than 1.

10 25. The device as claimed in claim 23 or claim 24, wherein said comparison means (S104 - S110) are adapted so that:

$$\text{ - if } |\varphi(\text{COR}_j(\omega))| \leq a_1, \text{ then } \delta_j(\omega) = \varepsilon_1,$$

...

15 - if n is greater than 2 and $a_{k-1} < |\varphi(\text{COR}_j(\omega))| \leq a_k$, then $\delta_j(\omega) = \varepsilon_k$, for $k=2 \dots n-1$,

...

$$\text{ - if } |\varphi(\text{COR}_j(\omega))| > a_{n-1}, \text{ then } \delta_j(\omega) = \varepsilon_n,$$

wherein a_k is increasing with k and ε_k is decreasing with k .

20

26. The device as claimed in claim 25, wherein said comparison means (S104 - S110) are adapted so that:

$$\text{ - if } \text{Re}(\text{COR}_j(\omega)) \geq |\text{Im}(\text{COR}_j(\omega))| / \tan(a_1), \text{ then } \delta_j(\omega) = \varepsilon_1,$$

25 ...

- if n is greater than 2 and $|\text{Im}(\text{COR}_j(\omega))| / \tan(a_{k-1}) > \text{Re}(\text{COR}_j(\omega)) \geq |\text{Im}(\text{COR}_j(\omega))| / \tan(a_k)$, then $\delta_j(\omega) = \varepsilon_k$, for $k=2 \dots n-1$,

...

30 - else, $\delta_j(\omega) = \varepsilon_n$,

- 27 -

and wherein $\text{Re}(\text{COR}_j(\omega))$ is the real part of $\text{COR}_j(\omega)$ and $\text{Im}(\text{COR}_j(\omega))$ is the imaginary part of $\text{COR}_j(\omega)$.

27. The device according to claim 25, wherein said comparison means (S104 - S110) are adapted so that:

- 5 - if $\gamma_j(\omega) \geq \beta_j(\omega)/\tan(a_1)$, then $\delta_j(\omega) = \varepsilon_1 = 1$;
 ...
 - if n is greater than 2 and $\beta_j(\omega)/\tan(a_{k-1}) > \gamma_j(\omega) \geq \beta_j(\omega)/\tan(a_k)$, then $\delta_j(\omega) = \varepsilon_k$, for $k = 2, \dots, n-1$;
 ...
 10 - else, $\delta_j(\omega) = \varepsilon_n$,

and wherein:

$$\beta_j(\omega) = |1 + \{A_j(\omega)/B_j(\omega)\} \cdot \{(D(\omega)/C(\omega))\}|,$$

$$\gamma_j(\omega) = \text{sign}B_j(\omega) \cdot \text{sign}C(\omega) \cdot [\{A_j(\omega)/B_j(\omega)\} - \{D(\omega)/C(\omega)\}],$$

15 $\text{sign}B_j(\omega) = 1$ if $B_j(\omega)$ is positive and $= -1$ if $B_j(\omega)$ is negative,

$\text{sign}C(\omega) = 1$ if $C(\omega)$ is positive and $= -1$ if $C(\omega)$ is negative,

20 $A_j(\omega)$ and $B_j(\omega)$ are respectively the real part and the imaginary part of each reference signature function $\text{SIG}_{Rj}(\omega)$,

$C(\omega)$ and $D(\omega)$ are respectively the real part and the imaginary part of the sample signature function and $\text{SIG}_S(\omega)$.

25 28. The device as claimed in any of claims 25 - 27, wherein $\varepsilon_1 = 1$ and $\varepsilon_n = 0$.

 29. The device as claimed in claim 28, wherein n is greater than 2 and $\varepsilon_k = \cos(a_{k-1})$, for $k = 2, \dots, n-1$.

30 30. The device as claimed in any of claims 23 - 30, wherein said comparison means (S104 - S110) are adapted so that:

$$\alpha_j = K \cdot \int \delta_j(\omega) d\omega,$$

- 28 -

where B is a frequency interval and K a constant.

31. The device as claimed in any of claims 22 - 30, wherein the processing means (S111) are adapted to determine the active area j_0 in which the impact occurred
5 such that α_{j_0} is the greatest similarity estimator among the N calculated similarity estimators α_j .

32. The device as claimed in claim 31, wherein the processing means (S111) are adapted to determine that the impact occurred in the area j_0 only if α_{j_0} is considered as
10 valid.

33. The device of claim 32, wherein the processing means (S111) are adapted to determine that α_{j_0} is valid if α_{j_0} is greater than a predetermined threshold of confidence (VAL).

15 34. The device as claimed in claim 32 or claim 33, wherein the processing means (S111) are adapted to determine that there is no impact if α_{j_0} is not considered as valid.




FIG.1.

2/4




FIG.3A.




FIG.4A.





FIG.3B.





FIG.4B.

4/4



INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/EP2004/014908

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC 7 G06F3/033

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 G06F G01N F41J

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, WPI Data, PAJ

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	FR 2 841 022 A (CENTRE NATIONAL DE LA RECHERCHE SCIENTIFIQUE CNRS; ESPCI; UNIVERSITE P) 19 December 2003 (2003-12-19) cited in the application page 1, lines 4-16 page 2, line 4 - page 5, line 21 page 10, lines 14-33 page 11, line 24 - page 13, line 11 page 15, lines 4-28 figure 5 ----- -/--	1-34

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

- *A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- *E* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- *L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- *O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- *P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

T later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

X document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

Y document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

& document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

21 July 2005

Date of mailing of the international search report

28/07/2005

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Piriou, Y.N.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/EP2004/014908

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	WO 03/005292 A (NEW TRANSDUCERS LIMITED; SULLIVAN, DARIUS, MARTIN) 16 January 2003 (2003-01-16) figures 7-9 page 2, lines 14-26 page 5, line 26 - page 12, line 2 page 31, line 9 - page 33, line 23 page 35, lines 6-10 -----	1-34
A	US 5 691 959 A (KRIEWALL ET AL) 25 November 1997 (1997-11-25) figures 5,7A,23 column 1, lines 6-10 column 1, line 51 - column 2, line 36 column 6, line 12 - column 7, line 7 column 11, line 58 - column 12, line 23 -----	1-34

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/EP2004/014908

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
FR 2841022	A	19-12-2003	FR 2841022 A1	19-12-2003
			AU 2003253071 A1	31-12-2003
			CA 2489326 A1	24-12-2003
			EP 1512116 A2	09-03-2005
			WO 03107261 A2	24-12-2003
WO 03005292	A	16-01-2003	BR 0210774 A	20-07-2004
			CA 2450859 A1	16-01-2003
			EP 1405256 A1	07-04-2004
			WO 03005292 A1	16-01-2003
			JP 2004534329 T	11-11-2004
			MX PA04000117 A	03-06-2004
			US 2004160421 A1	19-08-2004
			US 2004173389 A1	09-09-2004
US 5691959	A	25-11-1997	DE 19510908 A1	12-10-1995
			DE 19549493 B4	24-03-2005
			JP 8036456 A	06-02-1996