



(43) International Publication Date
25 September 2014 (25.09.2014)

(51) International Patent Classification:

G09B 19/00 (2006.01) *G06F 19/00* (2011.01)
A61B 5/16 (2006.01)

(21) International Application Number:

PCT/CA2014/000265

(22) International Filing Date:

18 March 2014 (18.03.2014)

(25) Filing Language:

English

(26) Publication Language:

English

(30) Priority Data:

61/802,758 18 March 2013 (18.03.2013) US

(71) Applicant: **COGNISENS INC.** [CA/CA]; 5186 Côte-des-Neiges, Suite 4, Montréal, Québec H3T 1X8 (CA).

(72) Inventors: **FAUBERT, Jocelyn**; 2794 Chemin Côte-Ste-Catherine, Montréal, Québec H3T 1B8 (CA). **CA-STONGUAY, Jean**; 94 rue Kerr, Vaudreuil-Dorion, Québec J7V 0G1 (CA).

(74) Agent: **PRINCE, Gaétan**; 1100 boul. René-Lévesque West, 25th Floor, Montréal, Québec H3B 5C9 (CA).

(81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM, AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BN, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IR, IS, JP, KE, KG, KN, KP, KR, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PA, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, QA, RO, RS, RU, RW, SA, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, RW, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, RU, TJ, TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, RS, SE, SI, SK, SM, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, KM, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

— with international search report (Art. 21(3))

(54) Title: PERCEPTUAL-COGNITIVE-MOTOR LEARNING SYSTEM AND METHOD




Figure 7

(57) Abstract: The present disclosure relates to a perceptual-cognitive-motor learning system. The system includes an apparatus for evaluating or improving perceptual-cognitive abilities of a subject during a training sequence. The apparatus includes a display configured to provide to the subject a visual contact with an environment. The system also has a training sequence controller configured to consolidate results of first successive tests made using a single spatial zone formed by the environment, segment the into a plurality of spatial zones, and consolidate results of second successive tests made using the plurality of spatial zones. Methods for evaluating or improving perceptual-cognitive abilities of a subject are also disclosed.



PERCEPTUAL-COGNITIVE-MOTOR LEARNING SYSTEM AND METHOD**TECHNICAL FIELD**

[0001] The present disclosure relates to the field of perceptual-cognitive training. More specifically, the present disclosure relates to a perceptual-cognitive-motor learning system and method.

BACKGROUND

[0002] In our daily activities, we constantly interact with our environment. This environment is dynamic and requires the integration of various objects, motions, speeds, locations, etc. As a result, the brain's executive functions are constantly managing myriads of stimuli. Risk of information overload is present in many real-life situations. Ability to deal quickly with unpredictability of stimuli in time sensitive situations is a real-life need in the office, in sports, in school, and in crisis management situations.

[0003] Attention and focus applied to strategic inputs can make a difference between winning and losing in sports activities, in learning new skills, in facing dangerous situations, and leading a successful professional career. Attention and focus, especially in stressful situations, enable filtering and prioritizing of data while disregarding irrelevant distractors.

[0004] In the case of elderly people or persons with certain disabilities, deficits in attention and focus can cause serious problems in routine activities. For instance, travelling through a crowd while avoiding collisions and maintaining orientation and good motor control requires fluent and continuous perceptual-cognitive processing. It is well documented that effects of healthy aging can influence perceptual cognitive processes.

[0005] Loss of attention and impaired impulse control can be a severe problem for children with attention deficit disorder, with or without hyperactivity, and for autistic children.

[0006] The need to improve attention and focus is therefore present in a broad range of individuals. This need is especially present in persons having learning disabilities or with degrading cognitive functions. This need is also present in high end athletes who need to "read the game" while following the trajectory of a ball, and in members of many professions who need deal with masses of information.

[0007] Therefore, there is a need for solutions that help improving cognitive functions, whether for children having learning disabilities, aging persons, athletes or professionals operating in stressful environments.

SUMMARY

[0008] According to the present disclosure, there is provided a perceptual-cognitive-motor learning system. The system comprises an apparatus for evaluating or improving perceptual-cognitive abilities of a subject during successive tests. The apparatus includes a display configured to provide to the subject a visual contact with an environment. The system also comprises means for consolidating results of first successive tests made using a single spatial zone formed by the environment, means for segmenting the environment into a plurality of spatial zones, and means for consolidating results of second successive tests made using the plurality of spatial zones.

[0009] According to the present disclosure, there is also provided a perceptual-cognitive-motor learning system. The system comprises an apparatus for evaluating or improving perceptual-cognitive abilities of a subject during successive tests. The apparatus includes a display configured to provide to the subject a visual contact with an environment. The system also comprises a training sequence controller. The training sequence controller is configured to consolidate results of first successive tests made using a single spatial zone formed by the environment, segment the environment into a plurality of spatial zones, and consolidate results of second successive tests made using the plurality of spatial zones.

[0010] The present disclosure also relates to a method for evaluating or improving perceptual-cognitive abilities of a subject. The subject is submitted to a training sequence. The training sequence includes a plurality of successive tests presented to the subject in an environment. Results of first successive tests made using a single spatial zone formed by the environment are consolidated. The environment is segmented into a plurality of spatial zones. Results of second successive tests made using the plurality of spatial zones are consolidated.

[0011] The foregoing and other features will become more apparent upon reading of the following non-restrictive description of illustrative embodiments thereof, given by way of example only with reference to the accompanying drawings.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0012] Embodiments of the disclosure will be described by way of example only with reference to the accompanying drawings, in which:

[0013] Figure 1 is a graph showing learning curves of athletes subjected to a demanding training regime;

[0014] Figure 2 is a graph showing learning curves of athletes subjected to a demanding training regime when using a perceptual-cognitive-motor system;

[0015] Figure 3 is a perspective view of an example of full immersive virtual environment;

[0016] Figure 4 is a schematic diagram illustrating a training self-paced mode;

[0017] Figure 5 is a schematic diagram illustrating an assessment self-paced mode incorporating the training self-paced mode of Figure 4 and an additional use of a staircase (up and down) variation of speeds;

- [0018] Figure 6 is a schematic diagram illustrating the use of a number of measures to determine speed thresholds;
- [0019] Figure 7 illustrates segmentation of a scene into a plurality of spatial zones; and
- [0020] Figure 8 illustrates a traffic control room in Tokyo, forming a segmented scene distribution.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

- [0021] Like numerals represent like features on the various drawings.
- [0022] Various aspects of the present disclosure generally address one or more of the problems of improving cognitive functions.
- [0023] The following description discloses a non-limitative, illustrative embodiment of the NeuroTracker “Perceptual-Cognitive-Motor” Learning System (NT-LS). More specifically, the three (3) following features of the NT-LS are described:
- [0024] 1 - A NeuroTracker (NT) motor add-on system where motor add-ons are made under very specific conditions for optimized learning.
- [0025] 2 - A “Self-Paced” system and method for rapidly assessing individual thresholds.
- [0026] 3- A new general concept of the NT-LS is introduced. More specifically, there is demonstrated how the NT-LS can be scaled for progressively increasing field relevance of the training for sports and for any emergency decision-action taking and/or crisis management situation.

1 – The NT-Motor add-on system

- [0027] Sports performance (also true for common life situations like navigation in crowds) involves the capacity to rapidly process complex movement over large areas and in a three-dimensional (3D) environment, including sudden changes in directions and collisions and at the same time

attend to multiple key elements in the scene, i.e. in the environment. Information from the scene is integrated with specific motor demands in the sport or for real-life demands like navigating in crowds. In other words, human beings perceive and understand what is happening in their environment while at the same time interacting with it physically, with specific actions. There is evidence for specialized visual brain systems where some pathways are responsible for perception and some for action. Although these specialized visual brain systems for perception and for action comprise distinctive elements they are ultimately combined.

[0028] It is also believed with evidence from science that the vision for perception system is more complex and more recent on the evolutionary scale than the vision for action system.

[0029] The ultimate transfer and closure of the sensory-perceptual-cognitive-motor loop involves a way to combine all of the above abilities in training. It is also desirable to isolate and consolidate these abilities and then combine them on training. The present disclosure proposes to train on the NT-LS to build this consolidation, as it involves the more complex perception visual system and, once consolidated, close the visual-perceptual-cognitive-motor loop with motor tasks integrated with the NT.

1a) Evidence for requiring the consolidation process

[0030] An initial study has demonstrated that added motor demand at the beginning of a demanding perceptual-cognitive training regime can be detrimental to the acquisition phase. Figure 1 is a graph showing learning curves of athletes subjected to a demanding training regime. The graph demonstrates that added motor demand at the beginning of a demanding perceptual-cognitive training regime can be detrimental to a subject's acquisition phase. What was found from training of high-level professional athletes was that if the players learned a task standing up from start, their levels of performance were lower and their learning curves were shallower. To understand this further, the initial study was followed with an experiment

looking at the transferability of training when carrying out consolidation first followed by adding motor load on top of the training. What it is meant by transferability is that the benefit of learning in one condition is maintained in another condition. The results of this study are shown in Figure 2, which is a graph showing learning curves of athletes subjected to a demanding training regime when using a perceptual-cognitive-motor system. This graph shows that, following consolidation when the subject is sitting, very little loss in performance is observed when standing, and that although there is an initial large drop in a condition of exercising, athletes quickly regain their speed processing capacities and get back on the usual learning curve of the "sitting down" position. The first 14 training sessions show the usual progression of speed of processing ability when sitting down, followed by the next six sessions with the athlete standing up, followed by the last six training sessions with the athlete sitting on a Bosu™ balance ball in a position that makes it difficult to maintain balance. As can be observed from Figure 2, after consolidation (sitting), there is very little loss in performance when standing, which shows evidence of transfer. Although there is an initial large drop in the third condition (exercising; sitting on Bosu™ ball) the athletes quickly regain their speed processing capacities and get back on the usual learning curve of the "sitting down" position.

1b) Closing the loop

[0031] This section describes a method and system for closing of the visual-perceptual-cognitive-motor loop for optimal performance and combining of the NT technology with an objective measure of visual-motor performance system. A subject is submitted to a training sequence according to the following scheme:

$[n_1(\text{CORE}) ; n_2(\text{CORE}+\text{MOTORa}) ; n_3(\text{CORE}+\text{MOTORb})]$.

[0032] The training sequence comprises n_1 repetitions of a core exercise, followed by n_2 repetitions of the core exercise performed in conjunction with a first (usually light) motor demand, and followed by n_3

repetitions of the core exercise performed in conjunction with a second (usually heavier) motor demand. Generally, the values of n_1 , n_2 and n_3 are non-negative integers.

[0033] As a non-limitative example, the training can be performed using an apparatus as described in PCT patent application No PCT/CA2009/001379 filed on September 29, 2009 in the name of Faubert *et al.*, and published on April 8, 2010 under No WO 2010/037222 A1 (hereinafter "Faubert'222"), the full content of which being herein incorporated by reference.

[0034] The apparatus introduced in Faubert'222 can be used for evaluating or improving perceptual-cognitive abilities of a subject. The apparatus comprises a display of virtual objects moving a given 3D environment during successive tests. Figure 3 is a perspective view of an example of full immersive virtual environment. More specifically, the display comprises a fully immersive virtual environment (FIVE) room 101, for example a CAVETM Automatic Virtual Environment, from Fakespace Systems, in which the subject is fully immersed in the given 3D environment and the stimuli are presented. The fully immersive virtual environment room 101 has a size of, for example, 8x8x8 feet and comprises four (4) projection surfaces (three walls 102, 103 and 104, and a floor 105). The display shows stereoscopic images on the four (4) projection surfaces (the three walls 102, 103 and 104, and floor 105) to form the given 3D environment in which virtual objects are presented. The display comprises, for that purpose, projectors 106, 107, 108 and 109 and associated planar reflectors 110, 111, 112 and 113, respectively to project and display the images on the four (4) projection surfaces (the three walls 102, 103 and 104 and floor 105) under the control of a computer 114 acting as a display controller. Interconnections between the computer 114 and other elements of the FIVE room 101 are not shown for simplicity purposes. The computer 114 may be linked to the various projectors 106, 107, 108 and 109 and to other networked elements using any well-known connection methods.

[0035] The display of the apparatus for evaluating or improving perceptual-cognitive abilities of a subject also comprises a shutter visual implement, for example under the form of liquid crystal shutter stereoscopic goggles (not shown) from Stereographics, San Rafael, CA, to enable the subject's 3D stereoscopic perception, more particularly to enable the subject to perceive in 3D the virtual object, the positions of the virtual objects and the 3D environment. Stereoscopic images are rendered with a refresh rate of 48 Hz and the goggles are shuttered at 96 Hz to deliver 48 images per second to the subject's right and left eyes. The display further comprises a positional sensor, for example under the form of a magnetic detector, for example a Flock of Birds™, from Ascension technology corp., Burlington, VT, mounted to the goggles in order to track a position of the subject's head. The computer 114 controls the display to correct in real-time a visual perspective relative to the tracked subject's head position. The display controller (for example a "Silicon graphics 540" computer) generates the stimuli and records the subject's responses.

[0036] An ophthalmologic chair 106 positioned substantially in a central position of the FIVE room 101 is provided to sit the subject.

[0037] The apparatus of Faubert'222 can therefore be used in support of a method for evaluating or improving perceptual-cognitive abilities of a subject. In summary, this apparatus comprises a display of virtual objects moving in a given 3D environment during successive tests, with the subject in visual contact with the virtual objects moving in the 3D environment. The computer 14 controls the projectors 106, 107, 108 and 109 to change a speed of movement of the virtual objects in the 3D environment. During each test, the subject tracks a subset of the moving virtual objects and, after the test, the subject identifies the tracked objects. It should be kept in mind that the training and other functions disclosed herein may alternatively be performed using any other suitable device.

[0038] CORE represents a test comprising a 6-8 minutes testing

sequence using the apparatus as described in Faubert'222.

[0039] CORE+MOTORa represents a test comprising a low-level simple motor load add-on to the CORE test. This can be a standing up position of the subject but could also be bicycling, or just holding onto a rail or a treadmill with ice or rolling skates. This means that the CORE+MOTORa test is calibrated so that there is no efferent signal (brain command of movement) from the brain to move limbs in a meaningful pattern such as running, skating or intercepting a ball.

[0040] CORE+MOTORb represents a test going one level higher, the MOTORb load thus being heavier than the MOTORa load. During a CORE+MOTORb test, the subject is asked to merge the CORE with a specific motor demand that is adapted to a given real-life situation, for example a sport, operation of a machine or of a vehicle, a hazardous situation, or any other similar purpose. There is no *a priori* limit to the type of situation that can be represented during the CORE+MOTORb test.

[0041] A training sequence controller, integrated in the computer 114 or in a separate computer (not shown), controls the apparatus as described in Faubert'222 in order to perform the training sequence. Sensors may also be connected to the subject and the training sequence controller for monitoring the movements of the subject during each test, in particular movements related to MOTORa add-ons and MOTORb motion.

[0042] According to an example of implementation taking into consideration available, gathered scientific data, the following training sequence is performed under the control of the training sequence controller:

$[n_1 (\text{CORE}) ; n_2 (\text{CORE+MOTORa}) ; n_3 (\text{CORE+MOTORb})]$.

[0043] wherein:

[0044] $n_1 = 10$ to 15 repetitions;

[0045] $n_3 = 6$ repetitions; and

[0046] $n_3 = 6$ repetitions.

[0047] More specifically, the training sequence controller controls the apparatus as described in Faubert'222 to perform, in sequence, a series of 10 to 15 CORE tests, a series of 6 CORE+MOTORa tests, and a series of 6 CORE+MOTORb tests. After each test, the computer 114 collects the responses of the subject in relation to the identification of the tracked virtual objects (for example spheres) through a response interface, for example a keyboard with a display of the computer 114, for further analysis of these responses, for example an analysis as described in the aforementioned Faubert'222, potentially in combination with an analysis of the movements of the subject during the tests in case of CORE+MOTORa and CORE+MOTORb tests to determine the evolution of the subject. Such analysis of the training sequence can be limited to the tracing of graphs or can be much more complex depending on the requirements of the intended application.

[0048] Using the above example of implementation ($n_1 = 10$ to 15 repetitions, $n_3 = 6$ repetitions, and $n_3 = 6$ repetitions), it is possible to increase motor skill with a method based on scientific data and adapt it to any sport or rehabilitation training. For instance, it is easy to imagine someone who suffered a stroke and had some difficulty walking, to be gradually rehabilitated using such a method where MOTORb becomes walking on a treadmill. The following are also some examples of MOTORb:

[0049] Rugby: Catching a lateral pass;

[0050] Hockey: Receiving a pass and shooting puck, or stopping a puck for a goalie;

[0051] Soccer: receiving and redirecting a ball;

[0052] Etc.

2 – “Self-Paced” System and Method

[0053] The self-paced system and method address some issues by the users (subjects) of the NT-LS system. These issues comprise:

[0054] A technique for getting speed thresholds more rapidly than the usual 6-8 minutes CORE test in situations such as testing at combines (recruitment of junior drafts), rapid throughput, etc.

[0055] A technique to keep the subject active during the test even if the subject lost tracking of the virtual objects (see the apparatus as described in Faubert'222). The classic CORE test is set-up so that if the subject loses one or more of the tracked objects, there was no chance of reset or recall during the test that lasts 6-8 seconds. The subject waits until the end, gives the response and starts again.

[0056] The self-paced system and method resolves this issue by allowing the subject to stay active and do several things on his own and online to the dynamic visual scene. Also, there are two versions of the self-paced system and method, the training mode and the assessment (measurement) mode although these two versions are not mutually exclusive.

[0057] Referring to Figure 4, which is a schematic diagram illustrating a training self-paced mode, a typical CORE test works the following way. As directed by the training sequence controller, the display of the apparatus as described in Faubert'222 presents to the subject a number of virtual objects (typically 8 spheres) as seen in block 31 of Figure 4. Then the training sequence controller indexes a subset (usually 4 spheres representing the target objects) by changing color or flashing, etc. (block 32 in Figure 4). Then the objects return to their original condition. The training sequence controller then starts movement of the objects in the 3D environment as shown in block 33 of Figure 4. Once the movement of the objects starts then the subject can use a user interface 39, which is operatively connected to the computer 114, to make the following adjustments:

[0058] **Training self-paced mode:** In this case the subject can issue commands directed to the training sequence controller via the user interface 39 to perform the following actions:

- [0059]** Managing the speed, i.e. make the virtual objects in the dynamic 3D environment move faster or slower at will by pressing buttons or giving certain commands, for example vocal commands to a remote module (not shown), or by physical gesture detected by a motion capture device (not shown) for example by moving hands up and down or spreading apart or closing together the hands. The remote module or motion capture device is connected to the computer 114 that incorporates the training sequence controller (blocks 34 and 36 of Figure 4).
- [0060]** Allowing for a reset, recall or re-indexing of the target objects at any time during tracking and for any desired length up to a certain limit (block 35 of Figure 4).
- [0061]** At any time during the test, the subject can indicate by depressing a button of the remote module or through any other command, that a given speed of the virtual objects is the correct tracking speed (block 37 of Figure 4). More specifically, when the subject feels the speed is correct and he can maintain the tracking of the target objects at that speed, the subject then presses a button of the remote module and the selected speed is automatically received and recorded by the computer 114. Alternatively, or in addition, electroencephalogram (EEG) sensors (not shown) operably connected to the computer 114 may detect brain waves of the subject. The computer 114 may analyze the brain waves to determine when the subject is losing focus and is no longer able to maintain the tracking of the target objects. The computer 114 may for example determine that a correct speed is a previous (lower) speed before the loss of focus detection. When the subject presses the button, or based on the

detection from the EEG sensors, the targets are refreshed and when the button is released, or when the EEG sensors show that brain waves refocus, the indexing disappears while the movement continues. This refresh can be repeated any number of times (block 38 of Figure 4). That is, one subject can train for as long as desired by providing feedback commands to the self-paced system. The subject can continue using the method without resetting or may have as many rests as desired.

[0062] Depending on the type of adjustment made by the subject in the training self-paced mode, the user interface 39 may comprise one or more buttons, a microphone connected to a speech detector (not shown), a motion capture device, a keyboard, a pedalboard, or any other man-machine interface.

[0063] Assessment self-paced mode: Figure 5 is a schematic diagram illustrating an assessment self-paced mode incorporating the training self-paced mode of Figure 4 and an additional use of a staircase (up and down) variation of speeds. The assessment self-paced mode incorporates the operations of the training self-paced mode (block 41 of Figure 5) except that it has the following additional operation (block 42 of Figure 5):

[0064] When a pre-set number of speed adjustments has been terminated in block 41, the training sequence controller automatically performs a preset number of tests using a shortened staircase (up and down) variation of speeds such as the one used for the CORE test and as described in the apparatus of Faubert'222. This procedure ensures that the subjective speed adjustments made by the subject, or made on the basis of EEG sensor detections, truly correspond to speed threshold values as objectively determined (block 42 of Figure 5).

[0065] The "self-paced" system and method have the following characteristics:

- [0066] 1) They can be very fast;
- [0067] 2) They are very flexible for various training times;
- [0068] 3) They permit the subject to stay in the “zone” of maximum trainability where stands the right level of difficulty for any subject at any given time; and
- [0069] 4) They help in developing the subject’s ability to recognize their own internal mental state and respond to it by making appropriate adjustments.
- [0070] The self-paced system and method not only assess speed thresholds (block 43 of Figure 5) by calculating the given responses and the results of the staircase variation of speed (block 42 of Figure 5) when the assessment self-paced mode is used, but it also allows the computer 114 to perform a number of measures, useful in determining the speed thresholds, while the subject is participating such as:
- [0071] a) A number of target recalls (number of repetitions of the self-paced trials);
- [0072] b) A time of each recall (repetition rate of the self-paced trials); and
- [0073] c) Speed values during the self-paced recalls.
- [0074] This is illustrated in Figure 6, which is a schematic diagram illustrating the use of a number of measures to determine speed thresholds, and can be used to develop response profiles and learning profiles for each subject.
- [0075] The efficiency of the self-paced assessment mode to determine whether this mode can generate similar results as the CORE test for the initial “consolidation” stage has been tested. During the test, the subjects used the assessment self-paced mode (2 adjustments and 6 staircase trials) for the first 4 training sessions, followed by a regular CORE assessment

measure on the 5th session followed by another 4 assessment self-paced sessions followed by a CORE session as the 10th session etc. It was found that the 5th, 10th and 15th CORE session scores followed well with the self-paced score indicating that the assessment self-paced mode can be used to obtain similar results but with much shorter training times i.e. 3 minutes versus 6-8 minutes with the CORE test.

3 – General Concept of the NT-LS

[0076] The following section presents a general concept of the NT-LS. The NT-LS incorporates a protocol to establish a learning scheme adapted to any situation that requires rapid decisions when multiple sources of information are managed. As expressed in the above description of motor additions, consolidation is performed prior to adding functional loads such as different levels of a perceptual-motor skill. The same is true for adding other cognitive loads such as scene specificity and contextual information that evolves towards play-book examples in sports or evacuation drills in crisis management situations such as emergency evacuations. Scene specificity and contextual information may relate to stadiums, buildings, train and subway stations, airports, planes, boats, hospitals, schools, or any physical space where many events and/or decisions occur simultaneously. The NT-LS enables building the rapid-decision making ability of individuals that are confronted with important decisions under time pressure.

[0077] The NT-LS uses the speed threshold consolidation process described hereinabove and builds on increasing cognitive loads while maintaining the speed threshold protocols. In the following paragraphs, for illustration purposes, American Football will be used as a non-limitative example. In this example, a quarterback needs to ultimately process different target zones that include running backs, wide receivers, tight-ends, and other players to make a good pass play. The quarterback first processes the near zone and then expands his ability to track multiple elements by zone. The NT-LS may operate in the following manner:

[0078] Phase 1: Build the subject's ability to process a segmented scene distribution. Reference is now made to Figure 7, which illustrates segmentation of a scene into a plurality of spatial zones. The left-hand side of Figure 7 shows a 3D scene. The right-hand side of Figure 7 shows the same 3D scene following segmentation into three (3) spatial zones. In the example of Figure 7, the spatial zones consist of separate volumetric (3D) spaces showing moving objects. Segmentation can be made over a smaller or larger number of spatial zones. The following operations are performed by the training sequence controller:

[0079] Consolidation over 12 to 15 training sessions. The consolidation may use the CORE or use an assessment self-paced mode.

[0080] Separation (segmentation) of the tracking into two spatial zones. As an example, instead of having an initial unique zone to train, a number of elements to be tracked may be divided so that each distinct spatial zone contains some of the elements, including a few targets, as shown on the right-hand side of Figure 7.

[0081] New consolidation back to initial reference values (e.g. geometrical mean speed of last three (3) values of the consolidation).

[0082] Further split (segmentation) of the tracking, into a larger number of spatial zones that are further split, with predefined targets and distracter elements that are suited to the situation.

[0083] Phase 2: Build the subject's capacity to process different viewing perspectives. Once the subject has developed the capacity to process multiple target zone NT ability, the training sequence controller introduces different visual specific perspectives in a manner analogous to the above mentioned operations. These perspectives may comprise:

- [0084]** Viewing angle, for example viewing perspective from the quarterback, from the receiver, and the like.
- [0085]** Viewing angle from horizontal to a more angular perspective, for example simulating a football player moving from a crouching to a standing position.
- [0086]** Phase 3: Building ecologically valid environments. The following operations are performed by the training sequence controller:
- [0087]** Once Phases 1 & 2 have been completed, the training sequence controller can move on to more realistic virtual environments such as using virtual stadiums, avatars with gradual levels using the same strategies.
- [0088]** The training sequence controller may increase the loads during training by introducing backgrounds, situational contexts, auditory noise and sounds, and so on to build towards specificity of training while measuring NT speed thresholds throughout.
- [0089]** Of course, this approach can be adapted, and evolve as a consequence of client.

3a) Specific Avatar NT animation

[0090] When using avatars, the training sequence controller animates them in a specific way to allow for NT speed threshold measurements. For instance, random biological movements of the avatars may be implemented versus action specific movements for some target avatars. For example, non-target avatars may be composed of non-specific biological movement noise (for a description of biological motion noise, see Legault, I., Troje, N.F. & Faubert, J. (2012), *Healthy older observers cannot use biological-motion point-light information efficiently within 4 m of themselves*, *i-Perception*, 3(2), 104-111, the disclosure of which being incorporated by reference herein). However, the target avatars may have specific actions meaningful for the simulated play,

for example turning in one direction, running forward or backward, and the like. Speed manipulation follows the usual NT rules in that speed increases when decisions are good after a temporal exposure or decreases if a mistake is made. Implementation of such manipulations of avatars or biological motion conditions is believed to be original in the context of perceptual-cognitive training.

3b) Different cognitive tasks

[0091] Two (2) elements for the development of the NT-LS from general to specific have been presented hereinabove. These elements include a) a spatial localisation (e.g. separating the volume space into sub regions with high-salience values for a task), and b) a change of specific attributes of the targets and distracters so that they evolve from, for example, a general sphere nature to a specific characteristic such as, for example, a human avatar.

[0092] While these elements have so far been described using examples involving human avatars, other examples may involve moving vehicles, for purposes of training a subject in taking appropriate decisions for collision avoidance in the presence of simulated moving traffic. Of course, moving objects and avatars may be used in combination. For example, traffic simulation may involve moving vehicles and pedestrians.

[0093] A 3rd component that may be introduced is a nature of the cognitive demand. For instance, instead of tracking targets among distracters in a given region, the subject may be asked to perform a mathematical task because this is what is required in that region versus a motion tracking task in another. To this end, a variant of the concept of segmented scene, which was introduced hereinabove, is illustrated by Figure 8, which illustrates a traffic control room in Tokyo, forming a segmented scene distribution. Figure 8 shows distinct motion components in some area of an operator's visual field (video monitors) and a possible colour code assessment in a large upper panel. The operator is required to process these various motion components, which are spatially distributed within his visual field. The training sequence controller may

be used primarily for training the operator in moving target tracking for objects in his lower visual field. Concurrently, an analysis of the upper visual field may be performed to identify some colors or some patterns using pattern matching.

[0094] The NT-LS can thus be made to evolve from a general learning system to a more specific learning system. Each level of specificity training may involve using the same basic principles of the NeuroTracker, including for example speed thresholds by homing in on the fastest speeds at which each task can be performed.

From General to Specific Training

[0095] In conclusion, the NT-LS is adaptable from general training of basic properties for managing complex dynamic scenes under pressure to increasing specificity training by changing three (3) properties of the scene components:

[0096] The spatial configuration, going from a large general volume space to subdivisions of this space emphasizing particularly important zones for specific tasks.

[0097] The elements that are being processed or tracked, changing from, for example, a general sphere nature to a more specific characteristic of the image element such as, for example, avatars for crowd movements, moving objects, moving vehicles in traffic, and the like.

[0098] The third element that may be varied from general to specific is an actual cognitive task being performed in a specific 3D zone. In some cases, the task includes target tracking. In other cases, the task may require color code identification, a mathematical task, and the like.

[0099] Those of ordinary skill in the art will realize that the description of the perceptual-cognitive-motor system and method are illustrative only and are not intended to be in any way limiting. Other embodiments will readily

suggest themselves to such persons with ordinary skill in the art having the benefit of the present disclosure. Furthermore, the disclosed perceptual-cognitive-motor system and method may be customized to offer valuable solutions to existing needs and problems of improving cognitive functions.

[00100] In the interest of clarity, not all of the routine features of the implementations of the perceptual-cognitive-motor system and method are shown and described. It will, of course, be appreciated that in the development of any such actual implementation of the perceptual-cognitive-motor system and method, numerous implementation-specific decisions may need to be made in order to achieve the developer's specific goals, such as compliance with application-, system-, and business-related constraints, and that these specific goals will vary from one implementation to another and from one developer to another. Moreover, it will be appreciated that a development effort might be complex and time-consuming, but would nevertheless be a routine undertaking of engineering for those of ordinary skill in the field of perceptual-cognitive training having the benefit of the present disclosure.

[00101] In accordance with the present disclosure, the components, process operations, and/or data structures described herein may be implemented using various types of operating systems, computing platforms, network devices, computer programs, and/or general purpose machines. In addition, those of ordinary skill in the art will recognize that devices of a less general purpose nature, such as hardwired devices, field programmable gate arrays (FPGAs), application specific integrated circuits (ASICs), or the like, may also be used. Where a method comprising a series of process operations is implemented by a computer or a machine and those process operations may be stored as a series of instructions readable by the machine, they may be stored on a tangible medium.

[00102] Systems and modules described herein may comprise software, firmware, hardware, or any combination(s) of software, firmware, or hardware suitable for the purposes described herein. Software and other

modules may reside on servers, workstations, personal computers, computerized tablets, personal digital assistants (PDA), and other devices suitable for the purposes described herein. Software and other modules may be accessible via local memory, via a network, via a browser or other application or via other means suitable for the purposes described herein. Data structures described herein may comprise computer files, variables, programming arrays, programming structures, or any electronic information storage schemes or methods, or any combinations thereof, suitable for the purposes described herein.

[00103] Although the present disclosure has been described hereinabove by way of non-restrictive, illustrative embodiments thereof, these embodiments may be modified at will within the scope of the appended claims without departing from the spirit and nature of the present disclosure.

WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

1. A perceptual-cognitive-motor learning system comprising:
 - an apparatus for evaluating or improving perceptual-cognitive abilities of a subject during successive tests, the apparatus including a display configured to provide to the subject a visual contact with an environment;
 - means for consolidating results of first successive tests made using a single spatial zone formed by the environment;
 - means for segmenting the environment into a plurality of spatial zones; and
 - means for consolidating results of second successive tests made using the plurality of spatial zones.
2. A perceptual-cognitive-motor learning system comprising:
 - an apparatus for evaluating or improving perceptual-cognitive abilities of a subject during successive tests, the apparatus including a display configured to provide to the subject a visual contact with an environment; and
 - a training sequence controller configured to:
 - consolidate results of first successive tests made using a single spatial zone formed by the environment;
 - segment the environment into a plurality of spatial zones; and
 - consolidate results of second successive tests made using the plurality of spatial zones.

3. A perceptual-cognitive-motor learning system as defined in any one of claims 1 or 2, wherein the environment is a three-dimensional environment.
4. A perceptual-cognitive-motor learning system as defined in any one of claims 1 to 3, comprising means for changing the subject's viewing perspective of the spatial zone or zones.
5. A perceptual-cognitive-motor learning system as defined in any one of claims 1 to 4, comprising means for using in the spatial zones avatars performing specific and non-specific actions.
6. A perceptual-cognitive-motor learning system as defined in any one of claims 1 to 5, comprising means for using in the spatial zones moving objects performing specific and non-specific actions.
7. A perceptual-cognitive-motor learning system as defined in any one of claims 1 to 6, comprising means for introducing a subject's cognitive demand in the spatial zone or zones.
8. A perceptual-cognitive-motor learning system as defined in any one of claims 1 to 7, wherein the plurality of spatial zones comprises a plurality of volumetric spaces showing moving objects.
9. A perceptual-cognitive-motor learning system as defined in any one of claims 1 to 7, wherein the plurality of spatial zones comprises a segmented scene including a plurality of distinct motion components.
10. A method for evaluating or improving perceptual-cognitive abilities of a subject, comprising:
 - submitting the subject to a training sequence, the training sequence including a plurality of successive tests presented to the subject in an environment;
 - consolidating results of first successive tests made using a single spatial zone formed by the environment;

segmenting the environment into a plurality of spatial zones; and
consolidating results of second successive tests made using the plurality of spatial zones.

11. A method as defined in claim 10, wherein the environment is a three-dimensional environment.
12. A method as defined in any one of claims 10 or 11, comprising changing the subject's viewing perspective of the spatial zone or zones.
13. A method as defined in any one of claims 10 to 12, comprising using in the spatial zones avatars performing specific and non-specific actions.
14. A method as defined in any one of claims 10 to 13, comprising using in the spatial zones moving objects performing specific and non-specific actions.
15. A method as defined in any one of claims 10 to 14, comprising introducing a subject's cognitive demand in the spatial zone or zones.
16. A method as defined in any one of claims 10 to 15, comprising receiving from the subject a command to change at least one parameter of the training sequence.
17. A method as defined in any one of claims 10 to 16, comprising:
 - detecting brain waves of the subject; and
 - changing at least one parameter of the training sequence based on an analysis of the detected brain waves.

1/8




Figure 1




Figure 2




Figure 3




Figure 4

5/8




Figure 5

6/8




Figure 6




Figure 7




Figure 8

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/CA2014/000265

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC: **G09B 19/00** (2006.01), **A61B 5/16** (2006.01), *G06F 19/00* (2011.01)

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)
IPC: G09B* (2006.01), A61B* (2006.01), G06F* (2011.01)

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic database(s) consulted during the international search (name of database(s) and, where practicable, search terms used)
TotalPatent, USPTO WEST, European patent database, Japanese patent database, Canadian patent database, IEEE, and Google Keywords:
"improve*", "cognitive", "perceptual", "motor", "display", "spatial", "physic*", "vid*", "virtual", "data collection", "spatial zones", "sports training", "learning system", "cognitive learning", "cognit* simulation", "cognit* eval*", "seniors", "disabilit*", "treatment".

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	WO 2012/148524 A1 1 Nov 2012 Sada et al. (Whole document)	1 – 17
A	US 2006/0003298 A1 5 Jan 2006 Greenshpan et al. (Whole document)	1 – 17

Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.

See patent family annex.

* "A" "E" "L" "O" "P"	Special categories of cited documents: document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	"T" "X" "Y" "&"	later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document member of the same patent family
--------------------------------------	--	--------------------------	--

Date of the actual completion of the international search
17 June 2014 (17-06-2014)Date of mailing of the international search report
17 June 2014 (17-06-2014)Name and mailing address of the ISA/CA
Canadian Intellectual Property Office
Place du Portage I, C114 - 1st Floor, Box PCT
50 Victoria Street
Gatineau, Quebec K1A 0C9
Facsimile No.: 001-819-953-2476Authorized officer

Ali Mian (819) 934-7571

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT
Information on patent family members

International application No.
PCT/CA2014/000265

Patent Document Cited in Search Report	Publication Date	Patent Family Member(s)	Publication Date
WO 2012/148524 A1	1 Nov 2012	AU 2012/249185 A1	19 Sep 2013
		CA 2,830,299 A1	1 Nov 2012
		CN 103765491 A	30 Apr 2014
		EP 2,676,256 A1	25 Dec 2013
		JP 2014/509892	24 Apr 2014
		US 2013/0330693 A1	12 Dec 2013
US 2006/0003298 A1	5 Jan 2006	AU 2003/242964 A1	2 Feb 2002
		AU 2003/242964 A8	2 Feb 2002
		EP 1,554,709 A2	20 Jul 2005
		EP 1,554,709 A4	6 Jan 2010
		US 7,887,329 B2	15 Feb 2011
		WO 2004/006747 A2	22 Jan 2004
		WO 2004/006747 A3	25 Mar 2004