

(19)



Europäisches Patentamt

European Patent Office

Office européen des brevets



(11)

EP 0 719 089 B1

(12)

EUROPEAN PATENT SPECIFICATION

(45) Date of publication and mention of the grant of the patent:
30.09.1998 Bulletin 1998/40

(21) Application number: **94929730.3**

(22) Date of filing: **17.08.1994**

(51) Int. Cl.⁶: **A23B 4/10**, A23B 7/16,
A23L 3/3517, A23L 3/3508,
A23L 3/3481, A23B 4/20,
A23B 4/24, A23L 3/358

(86) International application number:
PCT/US94/09370

(87) International publication number:
WO 95/07616 (23.03.1995 Gazette 1995/13)

(54) DISINFECTANT COMPOSITION

DESINFEKTIONSMITTEL

COMPOSITION DESINFECTANTE

(84) Designated Contracting States:
DE FR GB IT

(30) Priority: **14.09.1993 US 121283**

(43) Date of publication of application:
03.07.1996 Bulletin 1996/27

(73) Proprietor:
MINNESOTA MINING AND MANUFACTURING COMPANY
St. Paul, Minnesota 55133-3427 (US)

(72) Inventors:
• **ANDREWS, Jeffrey, F.**
Saint Paul, MN 55133-3427 (US)
• **MUNSON, Janet, F.**
Saint Paul, MN 55133-3427 (US)

(74) Representative:
VOSSIUS & PARTNER
Postfach 86 07 67
81634 München (DE)

(56) References cited:
EP-A- 0 243 145 **EP-A- 0 312 519**
EP-A- 0 530 861 **WO-A-92/21320**
US-A- 4 067 997

- **DATABASE WPI Week 7941, Derwent Publications Ltd., London, GB; AN 77-73621Y & JP,A,52 105 211 (T. WATAABE) 3 September 1977**
- **DATABASE WPI Week 7645, Derwent Publications Ltd., London, GB; AN 76-84022X & JP,A,51 106 752 (EISAI) 22 September 1976**
- **DATABASE WPI Week 7713, Derwent Publications Ltd., London, GB; AN 77-22781Y & JP,A,52 021 317 (RIKEN VITAMIN OIL) 17 February 1977**

Note: Within nine months from the publication of the mention of the grant of the European patent, any person may give notice to the European Patent Office of opposition to the European patent granted. Notice of opposition shall be filed in a written reasoned statement. It shall not be deemed to have been filed until the opposition fee has been paid. (Art. 99(1) European Patent Convention).

EP 0 719 089 B1

Description

The present invention is generally related to a product and process to reduce the microbial contamination of processed meat and is particularly related to a product and process to disinfect poultry carcasses using a composition containing a fatty acid monoester, an acid and a surfactant.

Methods and processes to provide proper hygiene and microbial control are essential in modern meat processing plants. Current automated processing plants place increased demands on processing equipment and cleaning procedures to ensure that microbial control is maintained throughout the process in order to prevent contamination of large amounts of processed meat. In the poultry industry, bacterial control is particularly important because of the propensity of poultry to harbor pathogenic bacteria such as Salmonella spp., Enterobacter spp., Campylobacter spp. and Escherichia coli.

A typical poultry processing plant will generally be divided into two related processing stages. In the first stage, poultry processing steps include transporting, hanging, stunning, bleeding, scalding, defeathering and beheading. The bacterial load in this stage is generally high. Furthermore, the likelihood of cross contamination is very high during this stage because of the large numbers of bacteria brought into the process during slaughtering and defeathering. Common contact points of the poultry, such as scalding before defeathering, also present likely points of cross-contamination.

After the feathers and heads are removed, the poultry enters the second stage of the process which includes removing viscera, separating carcass and organs, washing, chilling, draining, packaging and shipping. Even though the bacterial loads in the second stage are typically not as high as in the first stage, this stage also requires careful bacterial control to ensure that no contamination or cross-contamination occurs in any of the processing steps and that the poultry is not contaminated when packaged and shipped.

A variety of materials and methods have been used to control bacterial contamination during poultry processing. For example, different antimicrobial agents or materials, including antibiotic compounds, have been used during the scalding and washing steps. At the present time, however, the use of antibiotic compounds to treat poultry is not approved by the Food and Drug Administration or U.S.D.A. and only sodium hypochlorite solutions, irradiation or trisodium phosphate solutions (See, e.g., U.S. Patents 5,069,922 and 5,143,739 that report contacting defeathered and eviscerated poultry with a solution containing trisodium phosphate) are approved disinfectant treatments for poultry.

Alternative materials and processes, in addition to the approved treatments, have been reported. U.S. Patent 5,093,140 reports adding a mixture of two or more lower molecular weight organic acids and a surfactant to the scalding or washing water. U.S. Patent 4,849,237 reports sanitizing poultry carcasses with ozonated water. U.S. Patent 4,770,884 reports contacting poultry carcasses with an acidic solution containing an anionic sulfate or sulphonate surfactant. U.S. Patent 4,766,646 reports a method of disinfecting poultry carcasses by dipping the carcass in a solution containing acid polyformate salts.

Other known sanitizing agents or antibacterial materials include organic acids, hydrogen peroxide solutions, glutaraldehyde and other chemical or antibiotic compounds. See, e.g., Cunningham, Chapter 9 - Methods of Preservation of Poultry Products, The Microbiology of Poultry Meat Products, Academic Press, 275-292 (1987). Testing of various organic acids indicate that concentrations of at least 2.0-5.0 wt.% are required. At these concentrations the organic acids are caustic and are not considered to be acceptable. More specifically, at these concentrations the organic acids cause severe discoloration to the skin and meat of the processed poultry.

Document US-A-4 067 997 discloses a microbicidal composition for food applications.

The many different types of agents and materials that have been used to disinfect processed poultry clearly indicate that there is no generally accepted disinfectant agent or material for use on poultry. Essentially all of the agents or materials referred to above involve balancing desirable benefits against undesired effects. Specifically, any useful agent or material must have an effective antimicrobial activity against known pathogenic organisms but must not change or alter the texture, color, taste, odor or appearance of the poultry. In addition, the agent or material must be safe to use on a food product and should be easy to handle and use as well as being environmentally compatible and easy to dispose of or replace as needed. The stringent requirements needed to provide a suitable disinfecting product and process have prevented the acceptance of a generally recognized method for controlling or reducing bacterial contamination of processed poultry.

The present invention provides a processed meat disinfectant composition having effective antimicrobial activity without detrimentally affecting the taste, texture, color, odor or appearance of the processed meat, particularly poultry, and thus solves the problems associated with known agents identified above. This invention provides a poultry disinfectant composition containing i) a fatty acid monoester such as glycerol monoesters of lauric acid or propylene glycol monoesters of caprylic and capric acid, ii) an acid or chelating agent such as lactic acid, tartaric acid, adipic acid, succinic acid, citric acid, ascorbic acid, malic acid, mandelic acid, acetic acid, sorbic acid, sodium acid pyrophosphate, acidic sodium hexametaphosphate (SPORIX), or ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid and salts thereof, and iii) a food grade surfactant.

These three components may be combined in either an aqueous or nonaqueous vehicle as desired. The combination of the three components provides a composition that has effective antimicrobial activity when the three components are used together. If any of the three components are used alone, effective antimicrobial activity would not be possible except at concentrations which adversely affect the organoleptic characteristics of the poultry. When all three components are used together, however, the combination is effective against pathogenic and undesired bacteria.

Advantageously, the present composition does not change or alter the taste, texture, color, odor or appearance of the processed poultry and actually increases the shelf-life of the poultry compared to untreated poultry or poultry treated with chlorine solutions. Furthermore, the present composition does not pose any harmful toxicology or environmental problems. The composition is also readily handled at the processing plant and is compatible with current processing equipment.

In a preferred embodiment of the present invention, the fatty acid monoester is the glycerol monoester of lauric acid and/or the propylene glycol monoester of caprylic acid, the acid is acetic, lactic, tartaric, or mandelic acid and the food grade surfactant is dioctyl sodium sulfosuccinate or sodium lauryl sulfate. These three components may be used in a variety of vehicles such as water, propylene glycol and polyethylene glycol as well as mixtures of these vehicles. In many applications, water is a preferred vehicle.

In an alternative embodiment, the composition of the present invention also includes a nonionic surfactant such as polyoxyethylene/polyoxypropylene block copolymer. The presence of surfactants in the composition serves, in part, to allow the composition to penetrate otherwise inaccessible or hard to wet areas of a poultry carcass.

In addition to the disinfectant composition described above, the present invention also includes a process of disinfecting a poultry carcass that includes the step of contacting the carcass with the above listed composition. This process may include, for example, a separate dip after chilling or a wash before chilling. Alternatively, the present process may be used as a part of either the chilling process or as part of the scalding process. The flexibility to be used in these various processes and the ability to be effective at either cold or hot temperatures which are used in known poultry processing plants is particularly desirable.

The present invention includes both a product and process to reduce the microbial contamination of processed meat or poultry using a disinfectant composition containing effective amounts of a fatty acid monoester, an acid or chelating agent, and a food grade surfactant. These three components may be combined to form either an aqueous or nonaqueous combination in which the combination is effective against undesired or pathogenic bacteria. When the composition includes an anionic surfactant, it is effective against both gram-negative and gram-positive bacteria but does not change or alter the taste, texture, color, odor or appearance of the poultry.

In the present invention the following terms have the following meanings.

Effective Amount(s) means that amounts of components in a composition, as a whole, provides an antimicrobial activity having a spectrum of sufficient breadth to kill essentially most pathogenic or undesired bacteria such as bacteria known to cause or associated with food poisoning in humans or related to or associated with food spoilage or reduce the number of such bacteria to an acceptable level. In the present composition, the concentrations or amounts of the components, when considered separately, do not kill pathogenic or undesired bacteria or reduce the number of such bacteria to an acceptable level. Thus, the components of the composition when used together provide a synergistic antimicrobial activity when compared to the same components used alone under the same conditions.

Those of ordinary skill in the art will readily determine when a composition of the present invention provides synergistic antimicrobial activity using assay and bacterial screening methods well known in the art. One readily performed assay involves exposing selected known or readily available viable bacterial strains, such as Escherichia coli, Staphylococcus spp., Streptococcus spp., Pseudomonas spp., or Salmonella spp., to a test composition at a predetermined bacterial burden level in a culture media at an appropriate temperature. After a sufficient contact time, an aliquot of a sample containing the exposed bacteria is collected, diluted, and plated out on agar. The plated sample of bacteria is incubated for about forty-eight hours and the number of viable bacterial colonies growing on the plate is counted. Once colonies have been counted the reduction in the number of bacteria caused by the test composition is readily determined. Bacterial reduction is generally reported as \log_{10} reduction determined by the difference between the \log_{10} of the initial inoculum count and the \log_{10} of the inoculum count after exposure.

Shelf-Life means a period of time it takes for a processed food to spoil. For example, poultry is considered to be spoiled if the bacterial count for an area of skin (one square centimeter) is equal to or greater than 10^7 C.F.U./cm² (colony forming units per square centimeter).

Fatty acid monoesters which may be used in the present composition include known glycerol monoesters of lauric, caprylic and capric acid and/or propylene glycol monoesters of lauric, caprylic or capric acid. These monoesters have been reported to be food grade, generally recognized as safe (GRAS) materials and have been reported to be effective as food preservatives and effective as topical pharmaceutical agents. For example, Kabara, J. of Food Protection, 44:633-647 (1981) and Kabara, J. of Food Safety, 4:13-25 (1982) report that LAURICIDIN (the glycerol monoester of lauric acid commonly referred to as monolaurin), a food grade phenolic and a chelating agent may be useful in designing food preservative systems. This report also indicates that the presence of acidulants enhances the microbial spec-

trum and activity of monolaurin. Bell et al., Meat Ind. Res. Inst., 4:4 (1987) report that sorbic acid and monolaurin may be a useful luncheon meat preservatives. Ueno et al., U.S. Patent 4,299,852 report that sorbic acid and monolaurin may be used in a process to prepare botulinal-resistant meat products.

5 The amounts of fatty acid monoesters in the present invention which are used to provide a concentrated composition are between about 1.0-50.0 wt. % and preferably about 1.0-20.0 wt. %. When used as a disinfectant, the concentrate is typically diluted with water to provide a fatty acid monoester concentration of between about 0.001-5.0 wt. % and preferably about 0.005-1.0 wt. %.

10 In this invention, acid or chelating agents which may be used are also generally food grade, GRAS materials. Preferred materials include lactic acid, tartaric acid, adipic acid, succinic acid, citric acid, ascorbic acid, malic acid, mandelic acid, acetic acid, sorbic acid, sodium acid pyrophosphate, acidic sodium hexametaphosphate (such as SPORIX acidic sodium hexametaphosphate), and ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid and salts thereof. These materials are typically components which have been used with glyceryl fatty acid esters to provide useful topical antimicrobial pharmaceutical compositions and preservative compositions. See, e.g., Kabara, EPO 0 243 145 published October 28, 1987 and Karbara, EPO 0 244 144 published November 4, 1987.

15 The amounts of acid or chelating agent in the present invention which are used to provide a concentrated composition are between about 1.0-20.0 wt.% and preferably about 1.0-10.0 wt.%. When used, the concentrate is typically diluted with water to provide an acid or chelating concentration of between about 0.01-1.0 wt. % and preferably about 0.01-0.5 wt.%. These concentrations of the acids or chelating agents, if used alone, do not provide effective antimicrobial activity and are present in the disinfectant composition at concentrations which are lower than have been previously used in other disinfectants. Lower concentrations of acid are necessary, in part, in order to avoid undesired changes or alterations to the taste, texture, color, odor or appearance of the poultry.

20 Suitable anionic surfactants as well as nonionic surfactants include dioctyl sodium sulfosuccinate, sodium lauryl sulfate and polyoxyalkylene derivatives of propylene glycol. Various surfactants are also reported in EPO 0 243 145 and EPO 0 244 144. Preferably, food grade and/or GRAS materials are used in amounts which provide a concentrated composition of between about 1.0-30.0 wt.% and preferably about 4.0-12.0 wt. %. When used, the concentrate is typically diluted with water to provide a surfactant concentration of between about 0.001-1.0 wt. % and preferably 0.01-0.5 wt. %.

A particularly preferred concentrated composition of the present invention is listed below.

30

COMPONENT	WT.%
glycerol monolaurate Lauricidin Inc. Okemos, MI	1.0
propylene glycol monocaprylate Karlshamns Columbus, OH	2.5
propylene glycol monocaprinate Karlshamns Columbus, OH	2.5
lactic acid R.I.T.A. Corp. Woodstock, IL	6.0
PLURONIC F-68 surfactant BASF Parsippany, NJ	10.0
propylene glycol J.T. Baker, Inc. Phillipsburg, NJ	15.0

55

(continued)

COMPONENT	WT.%
dioctyl sodium sulfosuccinate (50 wt. % in ethanol) American Cyanamid Wayne, NJ	10.0
deionized water	53.0

The composition of the present invention may be prepared by combining the above described components using processes and procedures well known to those of ordinary skill in the art. Briefly, a concentrated composition is prepared by adding PLURONIC F-68 surfactant to cold deionized water and then adding the acid to the cold mixture to form a first solution. A second solution is prepared by adding glycerol monolaurate, propylene glycol monocaprylate and propylene glycol monocaprate to propylene glycol. Due to the solubility of dioctyl sodium sulfosuccinate, it may be added to either the first solution or to the second solution. The final composition is then prepared by heating the first solution to about 71.1°C (160°F) and heating the second solution to about 60°C (140°F). The heated solutions are then combined and allowed to cool to ambient temperature. When used as a poultry disinfectant composition, the concentrated composition is diluted with water. Typical dilution ratios are between about 16:1 to about 128:1.

The composition of the present invention may be used in a poultry processing plant in a variety of suitable ways during various stages of the process. For example, the present composition may be applied to the poultry as a spray, a rinse, or a wash solution. In addition, the present invention has a wide useful temperature range which allows the composition to be used at different stages in the process plant. For example, the composition may be used both in the scald tank as well as in the chill tank. In a preferred process, poultry carcasses are washed with the composition just before they are placed in the chill tank. Other modes of treating poultry carcasses will be readily apparent to those of ordinary skill in the art after review of the present specification.

EXAMPLES

The following examples are intended to provide further details and embodiments related to the practice of the present invention. These examples are provided for illustrative purposes and should not be construed to limit the scope of the present invention which is defined in the appended claims.

The components and/or reagents listed in the examples are commercially available from the following sources: glycerol monolaurate (Lauricidin Inc., Okemos, MI), PLURONIC F-68 (BASF, Parsippany, NJ), propylene glycol monolaurate, monocaprate, and monocaprylate (Karlshamms, Columbus, OH), SPORIX, acidic sodium hexametaphosphate (International Sourcing Inc., Upper Saddle River, NJ), dioctyl sodium sulfosuccinate (American Cyanamid, Wayne, NJ), sodium lauryl sulfate (Stepan Chemical Co., Northfield, IL), propylene glycol (J.T. Baker, Inc., Phillipsburg, NJ), acetic acid, citric acid, mandelic acid, isopropanol (Mallinckrodt, Inc., Paris, KY), and lactic acid (R.I.T.A. Corp., Woodstock, IL).

Example 1 - Antimicrobial Activity Testing

This example demonstrates that the three components of the present composition, when used together, provided effective antimicrobial activity but that the components, when used alone under similar conditions, did not provide adequate or effective antimicrobial activity.

The antimicrobial activity for the components tested alone are listed in Table 1. In Table 1, the antimicrobial activity is reported as a log reduction which is determined by calculating the difference between the log₁₀ of the initial inoculum count and the log₁₀ of the inoculum count after exposure to the listed components for either about two minutes or about ten minutes at about 25°C.

To determine bacterial kill-rate or log₁₀ reduction, a 0.1 ml aliquot of a bacterial culture suspension incubated for about 24 hours in tryptic soy broth having an initial inoculum count of between about 10⁶-10⁸ cells/ml was added to a test flask containing about 20 ml of a specific composition at about 25°C. In this example, culture suspensions were prepared from known cell lines *E. coli*, ATCC Number 25922 and *S. aureus*, ATCC Number 25923. After about two minutes, five minutes or ten minutes of this treatment, a 1.0 ml aliquot was taken from the test flask, diluted with about 9.0 ml letheen broth (letheen broth also neutralizes the microbial activity of the glycerol monoester of lauric acid) and then plated out on sheep blood agar plates using well known procedures. The inoculated plates were incubated at about 35°C for forty-eight hours and then the colonies were counted and compared to the initial inoculum counts.

TABLE 1
ANTIMICROBIAL ACTIVITY (LOG REDUCTIONS) OF ONE COMPONENT

COMPOSITION	S. AUREUS		E. COLI	
	2 MIN.	10 MIN.	2 MIN.	10 MIN.
GLYCERYL MONOLAURATE 1.0% PLURONIC F-68 10%	<2.96	<2.96	<2.71	<2.71
PROPYLENE GLYCOL MONOCAPRYLATE 1.0% PLURONIC F-68 10%	<3.10	<3.10	3.92	4.16
PROPYLENE GLYCOL MONOCAPRATE 1.0% PLURONIC F-68 10%	<3.10	<3.10	<2.55	<2.55
DIOCTYL SODIUM SULFOSUCCINATE (50% IN ETHANOL)				
0.10%	<2.41	<2.41	<2.65	<2.65
0.50%	<2.84	<2.84	<2.30	<2.30
2.50%	<2.84	<2.84	<2.30	<2.30
5.00%	<2.52	<2.52	<2.27	<2.27
SODIUM LAURYL SULFATE				
0.10%	<2.63	<2.63	<2.33	<2.33
1.00%	<2.63	<2.63	<2.33	<2.33
PLURONIC F-68 5.0%	<2.69	<2.69	<2.57	<2.57
PROPYLENE GLYCOL 15.0%	<2.59	<2.59	<2.59	<2.59
ACETIC ACID 1.0%	<2.96	<2.96	<2.71	<2.71
ASCORBIC ACID 1.0%	<2.91	<2.91	<2.40	3.35
CITRIC ACID				
0.10%	<2.59	<2.59	<2.27	<2.27
1.00%	<2.59	<2.59	<2.27	5.81
LACTIC ACID				
0.10%	<2.59	<2.59	<2.59	<2.59
1.00%	<2.59	<2.59	<2.59	6.13
DL MALIC ACID				
0.10%	<2.96	<2.96	<2.71	<2.71
1.00%	<2.96	<2.96	<2.71	<2.71
DL MANDELIC ACID				
0.10%	<2.96	<2.96	<2.71	<2.71
1.0%	3.65	>6.51	4.50	>6.26
SUCCINIC ACID				
0.10%	<2.84	<2.84	<2.30	<2.30
1.00%	<2.84	<2.84	<2.30	<2.30
SPORIX				
0.1%	<2.94	<2.94	<2.63	>6.18
1.0%	3.44	>6.48	>6.18	>6.18
TARTARIC ACID				
0.10%	<2.33	<2.33	<2.15	<2.15
1.00%	<2.33	<2.33	<2.15	5.34

The data in Table 1 indicate that at low concentrations (less than about 1.0 wt. %) none of the components listed provided adequate or effective antimicrobial activity. Some of the organic acids listed in Table 1 provided some antimi-

EP 0 719 089 B1

icrobial activity at higher concentrations (greater than about 1.0 wt. %) but the length of time needed for this activity (except for mandelic acid) was about ten minutes. In sum, none of the components tested separately were suitable for use as a poultry disinfectant composition.

The data in Table 2 also indicate that two component compositions including a fatty acid monoester and an anionic or a nonionic surfactant were not effective against gram-negative or gram-positive bacteria.

TABLE 2

ANTIMICROBIAL ACTIVITY (LOG REDUCTIONS) OF TWO COMPONENTS							
COMPOSITION	WT.%	S. AUREUS			E. COLI		
		2 MIN.	5 MIN.	10 MIN.	2 MIN.	5 MIN.	10 MIN.
PROPYLENE GLYCOL MONOCAPRYLATE DOSS WATER	1.0 5.0 94.0	<2.10	--	<3.10	<2.55	--	<2.55
PROPYLENE GLYCOL MONOCAPRATE DOSS WATER	1.0 5.0 94.0	<3.10	--	<3.10	<2.55	--	<2.55
PROPYLENE GLYCOL MONOLAURATE DOSS WATER	1.0 5.0 94.0	<3.10	--	<3.10	<2.55	--	<2.55
PROPYLENE GLYCOL MONOCAPRYLATE PLURONIC F-68 WATER	1.0 5.0 94.0	<3.10	<3.10	<3.10	3.92	4.06	4.16
PROPYLENE GLYCOL MONOCAPRATE PLURONIC F-68 WATER	1.0 5.0 94.0	<3.10	<3.10	<3.10	<2.55	<2.55	<2.55
PROPYLENE GLYCOL MONOLAURATE PLURONIC F-68 WATER	1.0 5.0 94.0	<3.10	<3.10	<3.10	<2.55	<2.55	<2.55
GLYCEROL MONOLAURATE DOSS WATER	1.0 2.5 96.5	<2.59	<2.59	<2.59	<2.27	<2.27	<2.27

DOSS - dioctyl sodium sulfosuccinate (50 wt.% in ethanol)

Additional data listed in Table 3 indicate that the effective antimicrobial activity of a composition containing a fatty acid monoester, an organic acid and a food grade nonionic surfactant were effective against pathogenic or undesired bacteria typically associated with processed poultry including gram-negative bacteria such as Salmonella spp. and E. coli. However, these compositions did not have any substantial activity against gram-positive bacteria such as S. aureus.

TABLE 3

5
10
15
20
25
30
35
40
45
50
55

ANTIMICROBIAL ACTIVITY (LOG REDUCTIONS) OF FATTY ACID MONOESTERS, ORGANIC ACIDS, AND NONIONIC SURFACTANT							
COMPOSITION	WT.%	E. COLI			S. AUREUS		
		2 MIN.	5 MIN.	10 MIN.	2 MIN.	5 MIN.	10 MIN.
PROPYLENE GLYCOL MONOCAPRYLATE TARTARIC ACID PLURONIC F-68 WATER	0.5 0.1 5.0 94.4	>5.65	>5.65	>5.65	2.94	4.39	>6.24
PROPYLENE GLYCOL MONOCAPRATE TARTARIC ACID PLURONIC F-68 WATER	0.5 0.1 5.0 94.4	>5.65	>5.65	<2.70	<2.70	<2.70	3.26
PROPYLENE GLYCOL MONOLAURATE TARTARIC ACID PLURONIC F-68 WATER	0.5 0.1 5.0 94.4	3.31	4.54	5.43	<2.70	<2.70	<2.70
PROPYLENE GLYCOL MONOCAPRYLATE LACTIC ACID PLURONIC F-68 WATER	0.1 0.1 5.0 94.8	>5.54	>5.54	>5.54	<2.99	<2.99	<2.99
PROPYLENE GLYCOL MONOCAPRATE LACTIC ACID PLURONIC F-68 WATER	0.1 0.1 5.0 94.8	>5.54	>5.54	>5.54	<2.99	<2.99	<2.99
PROPYLENE GLYCOL MONOLAURATE LACTIC ACID PLURONIC F-68 WATER	0.1 0.1 5.0 94.8	<1.99	4.17	5.28	<2.99	<2.99	<2.99
GLYCEROL MONOLAURATE LACTIC ACID PLURONIC F-68 WATER	0.50 0.50 5.00 94.00	>5.89	--	>5.89	<2.89	--	<2.89
GLYCEROL MONOLAURATE SUCCINIC ACID PLURONIC-F-68	0.50 0.50 5.00	>5.89	--	>5.89	<2.89	--	<2.89

EP 0 719 089 B1

TABLE 3 (continued)

ANTIMICROBIAL ACTIVITY (LOG REDUCTIONS) OF FATTY ACID MONOESTERS, ORGANIC ACIDS, AND NONIONIC SURFACTANT							
COMPOSITION	WT.%	E. COLI			S. AUREUS		
		2 MIN.	5 MIN.	10 MIN.	2 MIN.	5 MIN.	10 MIN.
WATER	94.00						
GLYCEROL MONOLAURATE	0.50	3.27	--	4.54	<2.89	--	<2.89
CITRIC ACID	0.50						
PLURONIC F-68	5.00						
WATER	94.00						
GLYCEROL MONOLAURATE	0.10	<2.60	--	>5.98	<2.80	--	<2.80
ACETIC ACID	0.10						
PLURONIC F-68	0.50						
WATER	99.30						

The data in Table 4 indicate that a disinfectant composition containing a fatty acid monoester, an organic acid, an anionic surfactant and a nonionic surfactant (with the exception that no nonionic surfactant is added when SPORIX acidic sodium hexametaphosphate is used) provided effective antimicrobial activity against both gram-negative and gram-positive bacteria when used at concentrations which were not detrimental to the taste, texture, color, odor or appearance of the processed poultry.

TABLE 4

ANTIMICROBIAL ACTIVITY (LOG REDUCTIONS) OF FATTY ACID MONOESTERS, ORGANIC ACIDS, ANIONIC AND NONIONIC SURFACTANT					
COMPOSITION	WT.%	S. AUREUS		E. COLI	
		2 MIN.	10 MIN.	2 MIN.	10 MIN.
GLYCEROL MONOLAURATE	0.10	>6.11	>6.11	>5.91	>5.91
LACTIC ACID	0.10				
DOSS	0.50				
PLURONIC F-68	0.50				
WATER	98.80				
GLYCEROL MONOLAURATE	0.10	>6.11	>6.11	>5.91	>5.91
SUCCINIC ACID	0.10				
DOSS	0.50				
PLURONIC F-68	0.50				
WATER	98.80				
GLYCEROL MONOLAURATE	0.10	>6.11	>6.11	>5.91	>5.91
CITRIC ACID	0.10				
DOSS	0.50				
PLURONIC F-68	0.50				
WATER	98.80				

TABLE 4 (continued)

ANTIMICROBIAL ACTIVITY (LOG REDUCTIONS) OF FATTY ACID MONOESTERS, ORGANIC ACIDS, ANIONIC AND NONIONIC SURFACTANT					
COMPOSITION	WT.%	S. AUREUS		E. COLI	
		2 MIN.	10 MIN.	2 MIN.	10 MIN.
GLYCEROL MONOLAURATE	0.10	>6.34	>6.34	>6.15	>6.15
ACETIC ACID	0.10				
DOSS	0.50				
PLURONIC F-68	0.50				
WATER	98.80				
GLYCEROL MONOLAURATE	0.10	>6.11	>6.11	>5.91	>5.91
ASCORBIC ACID	0.10				
DOSS	0.50				
PLURONIC F-68	0.50				
WATER	98.80				
GLYCEROL MONOLAURATE	0.10	>6.15	>6.15	>6.27	>6.27
DL MALIC ACID	0.10				
DOSS	0.50				
PLURONIC F-68	0.50				
WATER	98.80				
GLYCEROL MONOLAURATE	0.5	>6.32	>6.32	>6.15	>6.15
SPORIX	1.0				
DOSS	0.25				
WATER	98.25				
GLYCEROL MONOLAURATE	0.10	>6.15	>6.15	>6.27	>6.27
DL MANDELIC ACID	0.10				
DOSS	0.50				
PLURONIC F-68	0.50				
WATER	98.80				

DOSS-dioctyl sodium sulfosuccinate (50 wt. % in ethanol)

In sum, the data listed in Tables 1-4 demonstrate that the three components contained in the composition of the present invention have effective antimicrobial activity when used together but none of the components when used alone or when combined with only one other component provided the desired antimicrobial activity. The antimicrobial activity of the present three component combination was unexpected and not predicted by the reported antimicrobial activity of the individual components.

Example 2 - Process Plant Testing for Spoilage

The capability of the present invention to increase the shelf-life of processed poultry is demonstrated in this example. The data listed in Table 5 indicate that compositions of the present invention extend the shelf-life of processed poultry for at least an additional 4-7 days compared to poultry treated with a composition containing 20 ppm chlorine.

In this example, poultry carcasses (commercial strain broiler chicks inoculated via drinking water with 10^{6-7} colony forming units/ml *Salmonella typhimurium*, ATCC 14028, on days 2, 7, 14 and 21 and then processed at six weeks of age) were treated with six different solutions at about 0°C for about sixty minutes and then refrigerated at about 4°C.

The bacterial counts of the 4°C carcasses were then measured over a period of time. When the bacterial counts of a standard whole carcass rinse were greater than 10⁷ (log 7.0) colony forming units/ml the carcasses were determined to be spoiled. Briefly, a poultry carcass was placed into a sterile bag filled with sterile water (100 ml). The bag was sealed and the bagged carcass was vigorously shaken for about one minute. After shaking, one corner of the bag was aseptically cut and a sample (80 ml) was removed and added to a sterile rinse bottle. Letheen broth (5-strength, 20 ml) was immediately added to the rinse bottle, the bottle was sealed, and the resulting mixture was shaken about twenty-five times. The mixture was kept cold (about 0°C) until it was plated on both E. coli Count PETRIFILM and Aerobic Count PETRIFILM culture plates (3M, St. Paul, MN) in order to determine colony forming units/ml. Compositions of the present invention unexpectedly extended the shelf-life of the carcasses compared to carcasses washed with a sodium hypochlorite solution (20 ppm active chlorine) commonly used in poultry processing plants.

TABLE 5

EFFICACY OF COMPOSITIONS IN EXTENDING THE SHELF-LIFE OF FRESHLY SLAUGHTERED POULTRY CARCASSES				
COMPOSITION (DILUTION)	DAY 0	DAY 17	DAY 21	DAY 23
FORMULA 1 (32:1)	1.82	5.67	7.80	----
FORMULA 2 (32:1)	2.37	5.00	7.70	----
FORMULA 3 (32:1)	2.22	6.49	----	----
FORMULA 4 (32:1)	2.20	2.42	6.85	6.17
FORMULA 4 (64:1)	2.91	2.19	----	4.63
CHLORINE (20 PPM)	3.92	7.28	----	----
COMPOSITION DATA				
COMPONENTS (WT. %)	FORMULA 1	FORMULA 2	FORMULA 3	FORMULA 4
GLYCERYL MONOLAUATE	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
PROPYLENE GLYCOL	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5
MONOCAPRATE				
PROPYLENE GLYCOL	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5
MONOCAPRYLATE				
TARTARIC ACID	6.0	---	6.0	---
LACTIC ACID	---	6.0	---	6.0
PLURONIC F-68	10.0	5.0	5.0	10.0
DIOCTYL SODIUM	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
SULFOSUCCINATE (50%)				
PROPYLENE GLYCOL	15.0	73.0	73.0	15.0
WATER	53.0	---	---	53.0

Example 3 - Salmonella Testing

This example demonstrates that a three component composition containing one or more fatty acid monoesters, an acid or chelating agent, an anionic surfactant and a nonionic surfactant provides effective antimicrobial activity against *Salmonella typhimurium* when the components of the composition are used at concentrations which do not change or alter the taste, texture, color, odor or appearance of the processed poultry. The data listed in Table 6 also demonstrate that the compositions had effective antimicrobial activity when used at about 0°C for sixty minutes and at about 50°C for two minutes. These temperatures and times correspond to the temperatures and times used in processing chill tanks and scald tanks, respectively.

In this example, fresh poultry breast skin obtained from a local processing plant was cut into circular pieces (10 cm in diameter) each piece was individually packaged and the packaged pieces were sterilized by irradiation using known

procedures. After irradiation, the poultry skin samples were artificially inoculated by contacting the samples with Salmonella typhimurium for about ten minutes. Each inoculated sample contained about one thousand salmonella cells. The inoculated samples were then treated with disinfectant compositions having formulas listed in Table 6. The samples were treated with the listed compositions for sixty minutes at 0°C and for two minutes at 50°C. To determine the reduction of loose salmonella cells, the sample was shaken for one minute with buffered phosphate diluent (20 ml) and a sample (one ml) was then removed. To determine the reduction of attached salmonella cells, the rinsed skin was removed from the buffer above, was then blended in a commercial stomacher for two minutes with fresh water (20 ml) and a sample (one ml) was removed. The loose cell samples and attached cell samples were plated out on tryptic soy agar and colony counts were determined. Log reduction of cells was determined using the formula: (\log_{10} colony forming units inoculated skin treated only with water) - (\log_{10} colony forming units inoculated skin treated with disinfectant composition). Untreated control samples for loose and attached cells were obtained following the above procedures.

The listed data indicate that two component compositions, Formulas 5-8, were generally less effective than the three component compositions, Formulas 9-22, at reducing the number of both loose and attached salmonella cells (log reduction of the number of loose and attached cells of the treated skin compared to the number of loose and attached cells of the untreated skin).

In addition, the three component compositions of Formulas 9-22 were generally as effective as or more effective than acetic acid, trisodium phosphate or sodium hypochlorite solutions at reducing the numbers of loose and attached salmonella cells. The antimicrobial effect of these solutions are listed in Table 7. These solutions caused undesired discoloration of the poultry skin.

TABLE 6

 ANTIMICROBIAL EFFECT (LOG REDUCTIONS) AGAINST LOOSE
 AND ATTACHED SALMONELLA TYPHIMURIUM

COMPOSITION	DILUTION	0°C		50°C	
		LOOSE	ATTACHED	LOOSE	ATTACHED
FORMULA 5	16:1	0.62	0.86	0.80	0.30
	32:1	0.27	0.55	0.60	0.48
FORMULA 6	16:1	0.65	1.58	0.63	0.01
	32:1	0.36	0.76	0.52	0.80
FORMULA 7	16:1	0.45	0.79	0.92	0.59
	32:1	0.39	0.54	0.52	0.45
FORMULA 8	16:1	0.67	0.88	0.67	0.61
	32:1	0.36	0.60	0.60	0.60
FORMULA 9	32:1	—	1.00	—	0.93
	128:1		1.34		0.36
FORMULA 10	16:1	1.15	1.15	0.67	1.16
	32:1	0.93	0.66	1.27	1.06
FORMULA 11	16:1	1.05	1.22	0.74	1.18
	32:1	1.22	0.69	1.27	0.97
FORMULA 12	16:1	0.89	1.19	0.60	1.05
	32:1	1.03	0.66	1.02	0.85
FORMULA 13	16:1	1.03	1.18	0.51	1.17
	32:1	0.75	0.47	1.19	0.92
FORMULA 14	32:1	—	1.45	—	0.61
	128:1		0.30		0.20
FORMULA 15	32:1	—	0.92	—	0.67
	128:1		0.41		0.06
FORMULA 16	32:1	—	1.47	—	0.93
	128:1		0.43		0.18
FORMULA 17	128:1	0.37	0.48	1.01	0.78
	32:1	2.13	1.21	1.13	1.68
FORMULA 18	128:1	-0.13	0.04	0.40	0.16
	32:1	0.73	0.53	0.94	1.62
FORMULA 19	128:1	-0.21	0.23	0.44	1.04
	32:1	2.24	0.81	1.19	1.64
FORMULA 20	128:1	0.34	0.63	0.82	0.44
	32:1	0.96	0.61	0.98	1.68
FORMULA 21	128:1	-0.08	0.08	0.77	0.80
	32:1	2.55	1.98	1.14	1.17
FORMULA 22	128:1	-0.08	1.19	0.51	1.37
	32:1	2.55	1.83	1.36	1.10

COMPOSITION DATA

COMPONENTS	FORMULAS															
	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16				
GLYCEROL	--	--	--	--	--	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.5	1.5	1.0				
MONOLAURATE	--	--	--	--	--	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.5	1.5	1.0				
PROP. GLYCOL	--	--	--	--	10.0	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	5.0	5.0	10.0				
MONOCAPRYLATE	--	--	--	--	10.0	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	5.0	5.0	10.0				
PROP. GLYCOL	--	--	--	--	10.0	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	5.0	5.0	10.0				
MONOCAPRATE	--	--	--	--	10.0	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	5.0	5.0	10.0				
LACTIC ACID	6.0	6.0	--	--	4.0	6.0	--	6.0	--	--	--	10.0				
TARTARIC ACID	--	--	6.0	6.0	--	--	6.0	--	6.0	--	--	--				
MANDELIC ACID	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	4.0	--	--				
SPORIX	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	4.0	--				
PLURONIC F-68	--	--	--	--	5.0	10.0	10.0	5.0	5.0	10.0	10.0	5.0				
PROPYLENE GLYCOL	15.0	84.0	15.0	84.0	61.0	15.0	15.0	73.0	73.0	15.0	15.0	54.0				
DIOCTYL SODIUM SULFOSUCCINATE (50%)	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0				
WATER	69.0	--	69.0	--	--	53.0	53.0	--	--	49.5	49.5	--				

COMPOSITION DATA (CONT.)

COMPONENTS	FORMULAS						
	17	18	19	20	21	22	
PROPYLENE GLYCOL MONOCAPRATE	--	20.0	--	20.0	--	--	
PROPYLENE GLYCOL MONOCAPRYLATE	20.0	--	20.0	--	20.0	20.0	
ACETIC ACID	--	--	--	--	2.0	4.0	
MANDELIC ACID	--	--	4.0	4.0	--	--	
LACTIC ACID	4.0	4.0	--	--	2.0	4.0	
PLURONIC F-68	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	10.0	10.0	
DIOCTYL SODIUM SULFOSUCCINATE (50%)	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	2.0	2.0	
PROPYLENE GLYCOL	61.0	61.0	61.0	61.0	64.0	60.0	

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

40

45

50

55

TABLE 7

ANTIMICROBIAL EFFECT (LOG REDUCTION) OF ACETIC ACID, SODIUM HYPOCHLORITE AND TRISODIUM PHOSPHATE				
COMPOSITION	0°C		50°C	
	LOOSE	ATTACHED	LOOSE	ATTACHED
CHLORINE (20 PPM)	0.06	0.22	0.50	0.79
CHLORINE (400 PPM)	2.27	1.28	0.74	0.90
CHLORINE (800 PPM)	≥2.52	1.87	1.71	1.33
5% ACETIC ACID	≥2.52	<0	1.69	2.00
8% TRISODIUM PHOSPHATE	1.65	1.82	1.52	1.16

Example 4 - Process Plant Testing for Salmonella

This example demonstrates that the compositions of the present invention are more effective against *Salmonella* spp. than commonly used chlorinated solutions. In this example, freshly slaughtered poultry carcasses were disinfected for sixty minutes in an ice cooled chill tank with either a conventional chlorine solution (20 ppm) or with four different compositions, Formulas 1-4 of Example 2, of the present invention. After treatment, each poultry carcass was then rinsed according to the procedure set out in Example 2.

A sample of the rinse water (10.0 ml) was diluted with selenite cystine media (90.0 ml, DIFCO Laboratories, Detroit, MI) and incubated at 37°C for twenty-four hours to enrich for salmonella. After enrichment, samples were plated on xylose lysine desoxycholate and Bacto hektoen enteric agar at 37°C for twenty-four hours and confirmation of salmonella was confirmed by stab slants on triple sugar iron and lysine sugar agar following known procedures. The presence of salmonella indicated a positive carcass or the absence of salmonella indicated a negative carcass.

The results listed in Table 8 indicate that the four compositions of the present invention were significantly better at reducing *Salmonella* spp. contamination of the poultry carcasses compared to poultry treated with a sodium hypochlorite solution.

TABLE 8

EFFICACY OF COMPOSITIONS AGAINST SALMONELLA ON FRESHLY SLAUGHTERED POULTRY CARCASSES				
COMPOSITION	DILUTION	# SAMPLED CARCASSES	# POSITIVE CARCASSES	PERCENT REDUCTION
FORMULA 1	32:1	20	1	95
FORMULA 2	32:1	20	4	80
FORMULA 3	32:1	20	0	100
FORMULA 4	32:1	20	9	55
CHLORINE (20 PPM)	----	20	13	35

Claims

1. A method of disinfecting a poultry carcass comprising the step of contacting the carcass with an composition comprising effective amounts of

a) a fatty acid monoester selected from the group consisting of glycerol and propylene glycol monoesters of caprylic, capric and lauric acid,

b) an acid or chelating agent selected from the group consisting of lactic acid, tartaric acid, adipic acid, succinic acid, citric acid, ascorbic acid, malic acid, mandelic acid, acetic acid, sorbic acid, sodium acid pyrophosphate,

acidic sodium hexametaphosphate, and ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid and salts thereof;
c) a food grade surfactant; and
d) a vehicle;

5 wherein the amounts of a), b) or c) in the composition taken alone do not have effective antimicrobial activity and wherein the amounts of a), b) and c) in the composition taken together have effective antimicrobial activity against gram-negative and gram-positive bacteria.

10 2. The method of disinfecting a poultry carcass of claim 1 wherein the vehicle is selected from the group consisting of water, propylene glycol, polyethylene glycol, and mixtures thereof.

3. The method of disinfecting a poultry carcass of claim 1 wherein the food grade surfactant is dioctyl sodium sulfosuccinate or sodium lauryl sulfate.

15 4. The method of disinfecting a poultry carcass of claim 1 wherein the fatty acid monoester is a glycerol monoester of lauric acid.

5. A method of increasing the shelf-life of processed poultry comprising the step of contacting a poultry carcass with a composition as defined in claim 1.

20

Patentansprüche

1. Verfahren zur Desinfektion eines Geflügelrumpfes, das den Schritt des Inkontaktbringens des Rumpfes mit einer Zusammensetzung umfaßt, umfassend wirksame Mengen an

25

a) einem Fettsäuremonoester, ausgewählt aus der Gruppe, bestehend aus Glycerin- und Propylenglycolmonoestern der Capryl-, Caprin- und Laurinsäure,

b) einer Säure oder einem chelatbildenden Mittel, ausgewählt aus der Gruppe, bestehend aus Milchsäure, Weinsäure, Adipinsäure, Bernsteinsäure, Citronensäure, Ascorbinsäure, Äpfelsäure, Mandelsäure, Essigsäure, Sorbinsäure, Natriumdihydrogenpyrophosphat, saurem Natriumhexametaphosphat und Ethylendiamintetraessigsäure und Salzen davon;

30

c) einem lebensmittelverträglichen grenzflächenaktiven Mittel; und

d) einem Vehikel,

35

worin die Mengen von a), b) oder c) in der Zusammensetzung für sich allein genommen keine wirksame antimikrobielle Aktivität besitzen und worin die Mengen von a), b) und c) in der Zusammensetzung zusammen eine wirksame antimikrobielle Aktivität gegen gram-negative und gram-positive Bakterien besitzen.

40 2. Verfahren zur Desinfektion eines Geflügelrumpfes nach Anspruch 1, wobei das Vehikel ausgewählt ist aus der Gruppe, bestehend aus Wasser, Propylenglycol, Polyethylenglycol und Gemischen davon.

3. Verfahren zur Desinfektion eines Geflügelrumpfes nach Anspruch 1, wobei das lebensmittelverträgliche grenzflächenaktive Mittel Natriumdioctylsulfosuccinat der Natriumlaurylsulfat ist.

45 4. Verfahren zur Desinfektion eines Geflügelrumpfes nach Anspruch 1, wobei der Fettsäuremonoester ein Glycerinmonoester der Laurinsäure ist.

5. Verfahren zur Verlängerung der Haltbarkeit von verarbeitetem Geflügel, umfassend den Schritt des Inkontaktbringens eines Geflügelrumpfes mit einer Zusammensetzung gemäß der Definition in Anspruch 1.

50

Revendications

1. Procédé de désinfection d'une carcasse de volaille comprenant le stade de mise en contact de la carcasse avec une composition comprenant des quantités efficaces de

55

a) un monoester d'acide gras choisi parmi les monoesters de glycérol et de propylène-glycol de l'acide caprylique, caprique et laurique,

b) un acide ou agent chélateur choisi parmi l'acide lactique, l'acide tartrique, l'acide adipique, l'acide succini-

que, l'acide citrique, l'acide ascorbique, l'acide malique, l'acide mandélique, l'acide acétique, l'acide sorbique, le pyrophosphate acide sodique, l'hexamétaphosphate acide sodique et l'acide éthylènediaminetétraacétique et leurs sels ;

- c) un tensioactif de qualité alimentaire, et
- d) un véhicule,

5

dans lequel les quantités de a), b) ou c) dans la composition prises isolément n'ont pas d'activité antimicrobienne efficace et dans lequel les quantités de a), b) et c) dans la composition prises ensemble ont une activité antimicrobienne efficace contre les bactéries gram-négatives et gram-positives.

10

2. Procédé de désinfection d'une carcasse de volaille selon la revendication 1, dans lequel on choisit le véhicule parmi l'eau, le propylène-glycol, le polyéthylène-glycol et leurs mélanges.
3. Procédé de désinfection d'une carcasse de volaille selon la revendication 1, dans lequel le tensioactif de qualité alimentaire est le dioctylsulfosuccinate de sodium ou le laurylsulfate de sodium.
4. Procédé de désinfection d'une carcasse de volaille selon la revendication 1, dans lequel le monoester d'acide gras est un monoester de glycérol d'acide laurique.
5. Procédé d'augmentation de la durée de conservation de la volaille traitée comprenant le stade de mise en contact d'une carcasse de volaille avec une composition comme défini selon la revendication 1.

15

20

25

30

35

40

45

50

55