



(51) International Patent Classification:

F41G 7/30 (2006.01) *F41H 11/02* (2006.01)

(21) International Application Number:

PCT/SE2020/051026

(22) International Filing Date:

22 October 2020 (22.10.2020)

(25) Filing Language:

English

(26) Publication Language:

English

(30) Priority Data:

1900194-0 13 November 2019 (13.11.2019) SE

(71) Applicant: **BAE SYSTEMS BOFORS AB** [SE/SE];
Dammbroplan 4, SE-691 80 Karlskoga (SE).

(72) Inventors: **ILIEV, Boyko**; Granliden 16, 70376 Örebro (SE). **WETTERSKOG, Peter**; Kryssvägen 36, 69148 Karlskoga (SE). **PETTERSSON, Thomas**; Bergsängsvägen 7, 69145 Karlskoga (SE).

(74) Agent: **TACTICAL IPR AB**; Fredsgatan 24A, SE-70362 Örebro (SE).

(81) Designated States (*unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available*): AE, AG, AL, AM, AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BN, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DJ, DK, DM, DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN,


HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IR, IS, IT, JO, JP, KE, KG, KH, KN, KP, KR, KW, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PA, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, QA, RO, RS, RU, RW, SA, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, WS, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (*unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available*): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, RW, SD, SL, ST, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, RU, TJ, TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, RS, SE, SI, SK, SM, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, KM, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

— with international search report (Art. 21(3))

(54) Title: METHOD TO COMBAT A TARGET



(57) Abstract: The invention is a method to improve the impact point for at least one subsequent projectile fired towards a target, launched after an initial projectile, where the subsequent projectiles can alter their course, based on information on the previous projectiles' time of automatic detonation, to improve the ability to detect a target. The invention also consists of a projectile and a fuse.

METHOD TO COMBAT A TARGET

TECHNICAL FIELD

This patent application concerns a method of using
5 artillery with guided ammunition to combat targets.
Furthermore, the patent application also concerns a
projectile and a fuse.

BACKGROUND, PROBLEM-SOLUTION APPROACH AND PRIOR ART

10 When combating targets such as missiles, aircrafts or
helicopters, artillery pieces will traditionally use
projectiles with a timed fuse or a proximity fuse.
Projectiles with a timed fuse are set to explode/detonate
at a certain time, determined by parameters such as launch
15 speed, distance to target, etc. Alternatively, the
projectile uses a proximity fuse that will cause the
projectile to explode/detonate in proximity of the target,
once it has been detected by a sensor inside the
projectile. This could be a type of sensor called a target
20 seeker.

Examples of methods and devices used to combat targets with
guided projectiles fitted with target seekers can be found
in patent specification EP 0 048 068 B1, which describes a
combat method using guided, explosive projectiles or
25 missiles equipped with target trackers/seekers to
automatically guide the projectile or missile towards the
target. To improve accuracy, the projectile or missile
fired in a burst is fitted with transmitters that activate
when the projectile/missile detects a target. Once
30 activated, the transmitters send a signal that indicates
the position of the target in relation to the projectile
and subsequent projectiles. The subsequent projectiles,
guided by the signal indicating the location transmitted
from the initial projectile, alter their trajectory to be
35 in line with the target trajectory. Therefore, the
subsequent projectiles get a more accurate trajectory
towards the target. The invention presented in EP 0 048 068
B1 differs from the invention described in this patent

application, in that combat involving subsequent projectiles is based solely on data from the target seeker.

- 5 Additional problems which this present invention seeks to solve will become apparent in the detailed description of the various embodiments.

PURPOSE AND DISTINCTIVE FEATURES

- 10 The purpose of this present invention is to improve the ability to combat targets when several projectiles are fired towards a target in succession.

- 15 The invention concerns a method to improve the impact point of at least one subsequent projectile fired at a target, launched after an initial projectile, where subsequent projectiles can alter their trajectory to improve target detection by using information on the previous projectiles' time of automatic detonation.

- 20 For a method to improve the impact point of at least one subsequent projectile fired at a target, the following aspects apply;

the previous projectiles fired at the target must detect the target and transmit the target location data to the subsequent projectiles.

- 25 the subsequent projectiles must use the received target location data to set their course towards the target, and detonate on an external command or at an estimated impact point based on the predicted target trajectory.

- 30 the predicted target trajectory must be calculated based on the target location data.

the time of detonation must occur at the optimal impact point based on the predicted target trajectory.

the external command must be a signal transmitted from a fire control system.

- 5 the target location data must be a direction relative to the projectile.

the target location information must be a location specified in a three-dimensional positioning system.

10

the location data can be used to direct the sensitivity of a sensor fitted inside the projectile.

- 15 the sensitivity of the sensor can change from omnidirectional, 360 degrees, to sensitivity in a segment < 90 degrees.

Furthermore, the invention consists of a projectile that uses the aforementioned method to improve the impact point.

- 20 The invention also consists of a fuse to be used on a projectile that uses the aforementioned method to improve the impact point.

BENEFITS AND OUTCOMES

- 25 The advantage of the present invention is that the action by launched projectiles can be utilised more effectively against a target. The first projectile, which automatically detonates, transmits, directly using communication or indirectly by providing timed data to the subsequent
- 30 projectiles, that the target has not been detected. Furthermore, the target can be detected when firing and the position of the target can be transmitted to subsequent projectiles, which improves the ability for subsequent

projectiles to combat the target. The subsequent projectiles set their course towards the target and detonate when the target is detected by a sensor inside the projectile, or alternatively, at an estimated

- 5 time or when an external signal is transmitted to the projectile.

FIGURES

- 10 The invention will be described in more detail below, with reference to the accompanying figures therein:

Fig. 1 shows a flow chart for a method using guided projectiles to combat a target according to an embodiment of the invention.

- 15 Fig. 2 shows a block diagram of a device used to combat a target according to an embodiment of the invention.

Fig. 3 shows the movement of a target according to an embodiment of the invention.

Fig. 4 shows a target trajectory according to an embodiment of the invention.

- 20 Fig. 5 shows a schematic view of a projectile according to an embodiment of the invention.

Fig. 6 shows a projectile trajectory according to an embodiment of the invention.

25

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF EMBODIMENTS

- When combating a moving target, i.e. air targets, with unguided projectiles fired from barrel weapons, projectiles are aimed at the point where the target will be when the
30 projectiles reach the target. These type of points, commonly called points of aim, are predicted based on measurement data and estimations. By the same estimation, you can also predict the trajectory of the projectiles fired at the target. The estimate or prediction is based

on the projectile's previous positions and a hypothesis on how the projectile will behave in the future.

A system designed to use artillery and projectiles to combat targets can consist of three parts; fire control, weapons and projectiles. Such a system can also be called an artillery-based anti-aircraft defence. Projectiles are to be understood as various forms of projectiles, such as grenades, missiles and/or rockets, which intended use is to combat a target. A fire control system used in an artillery-based anti-aircraft defence includes one or more sensors and several methods for managing and evaluating the sensor data. The sensor or sensors that are included in, and used by, the fire control system are also referred to as sight. Refined information from the sight is used to control the direction of both sight and weapons.

In a first embodiment, the projectiles are fired in succession without moving the launcher, neither laterally nor vertically, making the projectiles travel in a line, or close to a line, towards the target. The launched projectiles are programmed with a time slot, i.e. the sensors inside the projectiles can detect a target during a certain time interval. In this case, the initial projectiles' time slot is communicated to the subsequent projectiles. If the target isn't detected by the initial projectile within the set time slot, the projectile will detonate. This, in turn, informs the subsequent projectiles that the target was not found within the target seeker's/sensor's search area. The subsequent projectiles can still obtain this information when there is a lack of communication from the projectiles in front, by noting that nothing is received when the target remains undetected by the projectiles in front. Alternatively, the subsequent projectiles can be fitted with a sensor, i.e. an optical sensor, that can detect the detonation of the projectiles in front, in order to obtain this information. If the projectiles in front have been unable to detect the target, the subsequent projectiles can alter their trajectory in order to be in a more favourable position to detect the target. Alternatively, the projectiles receive information that no target has been detected before automatic detonation. In the event that several subsequent

projectiles are launched, different trajectories can be selected in order for the subsequent projectiles to cover a larger area.

- 5 In a second embodiment, the projectiles are fired in succession without moving the launcher, neither laterally nor vertically, making the projectiles travel in a line, or close to a line, towards the target. When the initial projectile detects a target, the communication to the first
10 subsequent projectile can be simplified by transmitting only a direction. The first subsequent projectile receives the information from the initial projectile and sets its course towards the communicated direction. When the first subsequent projectile detects the target, the projectile
15 transmits a direction to the following projectile and so on.

- In a third embodiment, the projectiles are launched towards the target in an optional way. In this embodiment, the relative position of the launched projectiles is unknown.
20 Each projectile is provided with a device that measures its current position, i.e. by inertial or satellite navigation, or a combination of inertial and satellite navigation. When the initial projectile detects a target, it transmits the position of the initial projectile and the position of the
25 target in relation to the current position of the subsequent projectiles. The initial projectile transmits the target information to the first subsequent projectile, including the position of the initial projectile and the location of the target in relation to the initial
30 projectile's position. The second projectile calculates, based on its current position, the position of the initial projectile and the location of the target in relation to the first projectile, and the manoeuvres required to steer the second projectile towards the target.

- 35 Figure 1 shows a flow chart for a method using guided projectiles to combat a target, step 1. As combat is initiated, step 2 in Figure 1, the sight is directed

towards the target. Usually, this is made possible by an external device, such as a reconnaissance radar, that

continuously delivers information on the target position as a function of time. This external device is called the assigning device. Parallel to the sight being aimed towards the target, the barrel can be aimed at a preliminary
5 calculated point of aim, the position of which is based on data from the assigning device. Once the barrel is in position, the combat can be initiated by launching the projectiles towards the target. The projectiles travelling towards the target have been fitted with a target seeker,
10 sensor or a proximity fuse that can detect a target. When the first launched projectile detects a target, or when the first of the launched projectiles detects a target, it registers the target position in relation to the projectile, which can be seen in step 3, Detecting a
15 target, in Figure 1. The target information is transmitted in step 4, Communication to subsequent projectiles, in Figure 1, by the initial projectile transmitting a signal to the subsequent projectiles. This communication can be sent using purpose-built communication equipment, such as
20 various forms of radio communication or optical communication, or by other means. In every embodiment, each projectile has its own unique address, and the target information is transmitted to all the subsequent projectiles. The next step, after the initial projectile
25 has transmitted the information to the subsequent projectiles, is step 5, Projectile Detonation. In an alternative embodiment, detonation can only take place once the initial projectile receives a confirmation from the subsequent projectiles. In the embodiment when the
30 projectile is launched with a time slot, the first embodiment, the projectiles will automatically detonate at the end of the time limit. In this case, the communication can be sent to the subsequent projectiles before the detonation, and/or the subsequent projectiles can be
35 programmed, or otherwise set, to know the initial projectile's time slot and thereby also the end of the time limit.

In the step 6, Receiving information in subsequent
40 projectile, the subsequent projectiles are updated with information relating to where the target is located. The subsequent

projectiles can, depending on their current position and estimated course, be steered to improve their trajectory in order to be in a more favourable position in relation to the target. The process of steering the projectile to a more favourable position in relation to the target can be found in step 7, Course correction. If the projectiles are launched using a time slot, as per the first embodiment, and no information is received by the subsequent projectiles from the projectiles in front, and the projectiles in front have detonated at the end of the time slot, the subsequent projectiles will still know that no target has been detected. As the subsequent projectiles are aware of the time slot of the initial projectile and therefore the time of self-destruction/automatic detonation, the subsequent projectiles can determine that the initial projectile has been unable to detect the target, as the time limit has been reached and no target information has been received. The subsequent projectiles can then correct their course in order to increase the possibility of detecting the target.

The subsequent projectile or projectiles will steer to a position where it/they can detect the target using the target seeker, sensor or proximity fuse. If the target cannot be detected, the projectile can be set to detonate by using an external command, i.e. a signal communicated from the assigning device. The radar fitted on the assigning device can detect both the projectile and the target. Therefore, it can send communication to the projectile to make it detonate at a suitable time to impact the target. Even if the seeker is unable to detect a target and the projectile receives an external signal to detonate, the subsequent projectile has still received the target location. Therefore, it may have changed its course to a position that is closer to the target, and therefore it may be in a position that is more favourable in terms of causing damage to the target, than if no information had been received at all. Communication with the projectile can be adapted based on the circumstances and can, in its simplest form, only include a signal to detonate. As an additional alternative, in the event the target seeker is unable to detect the target and it is not possible to communicate with the projectile (i.e. due to prohibited

radio communication), the projectile can be set to detonate by calculating the target trajectory based on the information on the target location already received from the previous projectile. The previous projectile can
5 communicate the target's relative location data, but also the absolute location data if the projectile is fitted with a positioning system. Furthermore, the projectile can assess the target's speed based on the location information. Subsequent projectiles can make assumptions
10 about the target's trajectory based on an estimation of the target's location and speed. Therefore, an optimal impact point for the detonation can be calculated based on this estimation of the target's trajectory. Even if the seeker is unable to detect a target and the projectile detonates
15 based on an optimal impact point, the subsequent projectile has still received the target location. Therefore, it may have changed its course to a position that is closer to the target, and as such it may be in a position that is more favourable in terms of causing damage to the target, than
20 if no information had been received at all.

Which one of the three different modes that will generate the signal for the projectile to detonate is determined in step 8, Detecting a target/external command/calculated trajectory. When a decision has been made to detonate the
25 projectile, the subsequent projectile can send an updated position, measured or calculated, of the target's location as described in step 9, Communication to subsequent projectiles, carried out in the same way as in step 4. Whereby step 10, Projectile detonation, is carried out in
30 the same way as in step 5. In the first embodiment, where the projectiles are launched using a time slot, the subsequent projectiles will also detonate automatically at the end of the time limit if no target has been detected. Any additional subsequent projectiles will repeat this
35 procedure from step 6.

An artillery-based anti-aircraft defence system 20, as described in Figure 2, consists of fire control 21, one or more weapons 26 and projectiles 27 that can be fired at a target. The system 20 receives an assignment from an
40 external reconnaissance sensor 22, which can scan large volumes of great depths at the expense of accuracy and

measuring frequency. The artillery-based anti-aircraft defence 20 includes a fire control sensor 23 that, once assigned, can measure the location of the individual target and the position of the launched projectiles in a small sector with limited depth, but with high accuracy and high measuring frequency. The processing unit 25 is used to estimate the points of aim that the weapons 26 should be aimed towards. Equipment for communicating with the projectile may be included. However, this is not shown in the figure.

Figure 3 shows a target area 100 for a target moving towards a protected object 104 in the second and third embodiment. The target will pass a number of positions or points on route towards the protected object 104. At point 101, which is far from the protected object, the target can be combated with the first launched projectile fired early 105. Although the first projectile 105 is quite a long distance away from the target, it can still detect the target at point 101 by using its target seeker, sensor or proximity fuse. The first projectile 105 communicates information on the target's position to the subsequent projectiles, shown in Figure 3 as projectile 106 and 107. Projectile 105 subsequently detonates, whereby shrapnel or other blast material hits the target on its route towards the protected object. This possibly eliminates the target or, alternatively, the target continues towards the protected object. If the target continues towards the protected object, the target will eventually reach point 102. Projectile 106 has started a course correction in order to move to a more favourable position, where it will detonate once the target is detected at point 102. Before the second projectile 106 detonates, it communicates the target information to the subsequent projectile 107, which in turn performs a course correction to get into an even more favourable position for detonation 107 when the target is detected at point 103.

Figure 4 shows a target trajectory 1000 towards a protected object 1001 in the second and third embodiments. The target is flying towards the protected object 1001. The target is detected by a reconnaissance sensor as it passes point 1002. The reconnaissance sensor assigns a fire control

sensor. The fire control sensor locates the target somewhere between point 1002 and point 1003, and begins to track and measure the target's position and speed. At point 1003, the target starts to change its course, e.g. in order to see the protected object 1001. At point 1004, the target has finished changing its course. At point 1005, the target begins to set a course that strives to steer the craft to hit the protected object 1001. The fire control can start to predict the point of aim 1007 once the target passes point 1006. The prediction is based on data from the fire control sensor and optionally a hypothesis about which guidance law is used by the target. Combat with the target can be initiated early and the first projectile launched at the target can communicate the target's position to the subsequent projectiles. The first subsequent projectile sets its course towards the target and when the target is detected, it communicates the target's position to the second subsequent projectile. If the first subsequent projectile is unable to detect the target, it can detonate using an estimated target trajectory based on previously received position information on the target, or by an external command, i.e. communicated from a sensor, such as a radar, that measures the projectile's as well as the target's position. There is redundancy in the subsequent projectile being able to detonate through; detection using a target seeker; a calculated target trajectory; or through an external command. If the seeker is unable to detect the target, the projectile can be detonated using a calculated trajectory or an external command instead. However, if there is interference that renders external commands impossible, the projectile can be detonated using the target seeker or the calculated target trajectory. If the projectile does not receive information to detonate from the target seeker nor the external signal, the calculated target trajectory can be used to detonate the projectile. Once the first subsequent projectile has detonated, the second subsequent projectile sets its course towards the target, and the process continues.

Figure 5 shows a schematic view of a projectile 50 fitted with a sensor 52, such as an optical or electromagnetic seeker, a proximity fuse or a different sensor.

The projectile is also fitted with control devices, such as fins 54, or other means of control, and a servo 56 or a different actuator to control the fins or other means of control. A processing unit 58, such as a microprocessor, receives information from the sensor 52, and estimates possible guidance laws, which the processor communicates to the servo 56, that in turn controls the fins 54 to move the projectile 50. The processor 58 also communicates with a communication unit 60 in order to transmit signals to the subsequent projectiles.

The communication unit 60 can also receive information from an external transmitter, i.e. information to detonate the projectile at a specific time, or information on the target's position, transmitted from the projectiles in front. Furthermore, the projectile 50 includes a warhead 62. The sensor 52 can be provided with a device that controls the sensitivity of the sensor, such as directional sensitivity, i.e. by controlling the lobe of an antenna. This can improve the sensitivity in a specific area, i.e. in an area where it's assessed that the target will pass.

Figure 6 shows a combat procedure 200 in the first embodiment, where projectile 201, 202, 203 and 204 have been set with a time slot and launched in succession towards a target in a projectile trajectory. The time slot, also known as time gate, means that the projectile's proximity fuse is active during a certain period of time, from a first point of time to a second point of time (the end of the time limit). If the proximity fuse is unable to detect a target during the set time slot, the projectile will self-destruct/automatically detonate/automatically destruct.

The first projectile 201 detonates at the end of the time limit. The subsequent projectiles 202, 203 and 204 are programmed or otherwise informed of the initial projectile's time slot. As the initial projectile 201 automatically detonates at the end of the time limit, the subsequent projectiles change their course, as the current trajectory is not within sufficient proximity to detect the target. Some time after the initial projectile's 201 detonation, the subsequent projectiles 202, 203 and 204 have moved into their new trajectories. Preferably, the

subsequent projectiles will spread out to increase the probability that one of them will detect the target. Different algorithms can be used to improve the possibility of detection, depending on the current situation regarding
5 the assumed target, distance to target, type of projectile, etc.

ALTERNATIVE EMBODIMENTS

10 The invention is not limited to the specific embodiments described, but can be varied in different ways within the scope of the claims.

It is understood that the number of sensors, launching device, or systems of elements and details included in the
15 method of fire control against targets have to be adapted to the weapon systems, platforms and other design characteristics currently available.

It is also understood that the method of fire control against targets, as described above, can be applied to
20 virtually any guided vessel or system, including aircrafts, unmanned aircrafts and missiles.

The invention is not limited to a certain form of target, but can be used for various target types, such as surface targets or air targets.

25 Furthermore, it can use all forms of projectiles, including grenades, explosive grenades, missiles and rockets.

The invention is also not limited to a certain number of projectiles or targets, but can be adapted to the number of target objects or projectiles currently available.

CLAIMS

1. A method to improve the impact point of at least one subsequent projectile fired at a target, launched after an initial projectile, characterised by that the subsequent projectiles altering their course, based on information on the previous projectiles time of automatic detonation, to improve the ability to detect a target, and by the previously launched projectiles detecting the target and transmitting information on the target's position to the subsequent projectiles.
2. A method to improve the impact point for at least one subsequent projectile according to claim 1, characterised by the subsequent projectiles setting their course towards the received target location data, and detonating on an external command or at an estimated impact point based on the target's estimated trajectory.
3. A method to improve the impact point for least one subsequent projectile according to claim 2, characterised by estimating the target's trajectory using the target's location data.
4. A method to improve the impact point for at least one subsequent projectile according to claim 2-3, characterised by using the estimated target trajectory to calculate the optimal impact point for detonation.
5. A method to improve the impact point for at least one subsequent projectile according to claim 2, characterised by using a signal transmitted from a fire control system as an external command.
6. A method to improve the impact point for at least one subsequent projectile according to one of the aforementioned claims, characterised by the target location data being in a direction relative to the projectile.

7. A method to improve the impact point for least one subsequent projectile according to one of the aforementioned claims, characterised by the target location data being a specified location in a three-dimensional positioning system.
8. A method to improve the impact point for at least one subsequent projectile according to one of the aforementioned claims, characterised by the location data being used to direct the sensitivity of a sensor inside the projectile.
9. A method to improve the impact point of at least one subsequent projectile according to claim 8, characterised by changing the sensitivity of the sensor from omnidirectional, 360 degrees, to sensitivity in a segment < 90 degrees.

1




Fig. 1




Fig. 2




Fig. 3




Fig. 4




Fig. 5

200




Fig. 6

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/SE2020/051026

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER																
IPC: see extra sheet																
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC																
B. FIELDS SEARCHED																
Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)																
IPC: F41G, F41H																
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched																
SE, DK, FI, NO classes as above																
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)																
EPO-Internal, PAJ, WPI data																
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT																
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.														
Y	US 20170300047 A1 (KOLANEK JAMES ET AL), 19 October 2017 (2017-10-19); abstract; paragraphs [0124]-[0128], [0132]-[0133], [0186]-[0212], [0225]-[0231]; figures 9A, 12, 13, 32 --	1-9														
Y	EP 0048068 A1 (PHILIPS SVENSKA AB ET AL), 24 March 1982 (1982-03-24); abstract; page 1, line 1 - line 10; page 2, line 21 - page 3, line 1; figure 1a --	1-9														
A	GB 2279444 A (SECR DEFENCE), 4 January 1995 (1995-01-04); abstract; page 3, line 3 - line 32; figures 1, 2 --	1-9														
☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. ☒ See patent family annex.																
<table><tr><td>* Special categories of cited documents:</td><td>"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention</td></tr><tr><td>"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance</td><td>"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone</td></tr><tr><td>"D" document cited by the applicant in the international application</td><td>"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art</td></tr><tr><td>"E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date</td><td>"&" document member of the same patent family</td></tr><tr><td>"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed</td><td></td></tr></table>			* Special categories of cited documents:	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention	"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone	"D" document cited by the applicant in the international application	"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art	"E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date	"&" document member of the same patent family	"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)		"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means		"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	
* Special categories of cited documents:	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention															
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone															
"D" document cited by the applicant in the international application	"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art															
"E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date	"&" document member of the same patent family															
"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)																
"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means																
"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed																
Date of the actual completion of the international search 11-12-2020		Date of mailing of the international search report 11-12-2020														
Name and mailing address of the ISA/SE Patent- och registreringsverket Box 5055 S-102 42 STOCKHOLM Facsimile No. + 46 8 666 02 86		Authorized officer Tobias Viskers Telephone No. + 46 8 782 28 00														

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/SE2020/051026

C (Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US 20100117888 A1 (SIMON ALEXANDER), 13 May 2010 (2010-05-13); abstract; paragraphs [0058]-[0076], [0086]- [0093], [0100]-[0120], [0140]-[0147]; figures 1-7, 10 -- -----	1-9

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No.

PCT/SE2020/051026

US	20170300047	A1	19/10/2017	US	20160048129	A1	18/02/2016
				US	9501055	B2	22/11/2016
				US	10228689	B2	12/03/2019
				US	20190258241	A1	22/08/2019
EP	0048068	A1	24/03/1982	CA	1173541	A	28/08/1984
				DE	3177023	D1	11/05/1989
				SE	423452	B	03/05/1982
				SE	8006458	L	16/03/1982
				US	4457475	A	03/07/1984
GB	2279444	A	04/01/1995	NONE			
US	20100117888	A1	13/05/2010	AT	488745	T	15/12/2010
				DE	102007007403	A1	21/08/2008
				DE	502008001823	D1	30/12/2010
				EP	2118615	A1	18/11/2009
				ES	2354930	T3	21/03/2011
				US	8020491	B2	20/09/2011
				WO	2008098562	A1	21/08/2008

Continuation of: second sheet
International Patent Classification (IPC)
F41G 7/30 (2006.01)
F41H 11/02 (2006.01)