



(51) International Patent Classification:

G06T 5/00 (2006.01)

(21) International Application Number:

PCT/RU20 11/001057

(22) International Filing Date:

30 December 2011 (30.12.2011)

(25) Filing Language:

English

(26) Publication Language:

English

(71) Applicants (for all designated States except US): **INTEL CORPORATION** [US/US]; 2200 Mission College Blvd., Santa Clara, California 95025 (US). **BELYAKOV, Alexei Vladimirovich** [RU/RU]; Leninsky pr. 160, St.Petersburg, 196247 (RU).

(72) Inventor; and

(75) Inventor/Applicant (for US only): **YURKOV, Kirill Valerjevich** [RU/RU]; Leninsky pr. 160, St.Petersburg, 196247 (RU).

(74) Agents: **LAW FIRM "GORODISSKY & PARTNERS" LTD** et al; MITS Alexander Vladimirovich, POPOVA Elizaveta Vitalievna, B. Spasskaya Str., 25, bldg 3, Moscow, 129090 (RU).

(81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every

kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM, AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KM, KN, KP, KR, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, QA, RO, RS, RU, RW, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.


(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every

kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, RW, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, RS, SE, SI, SK, SM, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

— with international search report (Art. 21(3))

(54) Title: METHOD OF AND APPARATUS FOR LOCAL OPTIMIZATION TEXTURE SYNTHESIS 3-D INPAINTING



(57) Abstract: A method, system, and article to continue border lines into an unknown region of an image from a known background; determine segments, based on the continued borders, for the unknown region of the image; and propagate pixels from a known area of the image to the unknown area based on the determined segments and continued borders.



METHOD OF AND APPARATUS FOR LOCAL OPTIMIZATION TEXTURE SYNTHESIS 3-D INPAINTING

BACKGROUND

The problem of missing image areas occurs particularly in three dimensional
5 (3D) processing where one attempts to restore multiple views from a video stereo pair
of images or from one view and pixel depth information. Generating (3D) information
from a stereo image is a significant task in 3-D and other multi-view image processing.
In multi-view images, there may be areas or regions of an image that are unknown or
missed. Inpainting techniques may provide a mechanism for filling in the unknown
10 image area with visually plausible content.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Aspects of the present disclosure herein are illustrated by way of example and not
by way of limitation in the accompanying figures. For purposes related to simplicity
and clarity of illustration rather than limitation, aspects illustrated in the figures are not
15 necessarily drawn to scale. Further, where considered appropriate, reference labels have
been repeated among the figures to indicate corresponding or analogous elements.

FIG. 1 is an illustrative depiction of an image including regions of unknown
pixels, according to some embodiments herein.

FIG. 2 is a flow diagram of a process, in accordance with one embodiment.

20 FIGS. 3A-C depict an image at different stages of refinement, in accordance with
one embodiment.

FIG. 4 illustrates a depiction of alternative image patches, in accordance with an
embodiment.

25 FIG. 5 depicts aspects for calculating parameters herein, in accordance with an
embodiment.

FIG. 6 illustrates a depiction of the image of FIG. 1 after being processed in
accordance with the methods herein.

FIG. 7 illustrates a block diagram of an image processing system that may
generate disparity maps in accordance with some embodiments herein.

30 DETAILED DESCRIPTION

The following description describes an image processor device or system that
may support processes and operation to improve efficiency and accuracy of generating

disparity maps. The disclosure herein provides numerous specific details such regarding a system for implementing the processes and operations. However, it will be appreciated by one skilled in the art(s) related hereto that embodiments of the present disclosure may be practiced without such specific details. Thus, in some instances
5 aspects such as control mechanisms and full software instruction sequences have not been shown in detail in order not to obscure other aspects of the present disclosure. Those of ordinary skill in the art will be able to implement appropriate functionality without undue experimentation given the included descriptions herein.

References in the specification to "one embodiment", "some embodiments", "an
10 embodiment", "an example embodiment", "an instance", "some instances" indicate that the embodiment described may include a particular feature, structure, or characteristic, but that every embodiment may not necessarily include the particular feature, structure, or characteristic. Moreover, such phrases are not necessarily referring to the same embodiment. Further, when a particular feature, structure, or characteristic is described
15 in connection with an embodiment, it is submitted that it is within the knowledge of one skilled in the art to affect such feature, structure, or characteristic in connection with other embodiments whether or not explicitly described.

Some embodiments herein may be implemented in hardware, firmware, software, or any combinations thereof. Embodiments may also be implemented as executable
20 instructions stored on a machine-readable medium that may be read and executed by one or more processors. A machine-readable storage medium may include any tangible non-transitory mechanism for storing information in a form readable by a machine (e.g., a computing device). In some aspects, a machine-readable storage medium may include read only memory (ROM); random access memory (RAM); magnetic disk
25 storage media; optical storage media; flash memory devices; and electrical and optical forms of signals. While firmware, software, routines, and instructions may be described herein as performing certain actions, it should be appreciated that such descriptions are merely for convenience and that such actions are in fact result from computing devices, processors, controllers, and other devices executing the firmware, software, routines,
30 and instructions.

We provide the 3D inpainting algorithm especially for the case of 3D multiview problem. This implies that there exists the information about pixels depth which was

obtained by analysis of stereophonic video stream.

In some embodiments herein, for each missed area or region of an image, (1) a determination is made regarding the which side of the missed area belongs to background of the image and which side belongs to an object of the image and the border(s) information is continued into the missed area from adjoining background; (2) the continued borders are used to generate segments in missed area; and (3) the segments configuration and the borders information is used to fill missed area. These steps are depicted in the flow diagram of FIG. 2.

FIG. 2 illustrates a process 200 that includes a border(s) continuation operation at 205, a preferable patches definition operation at 210, and a local optimum 3D inpainting by structure propagation operation at 215. Each of these operations will be discussed in greater detail hereinbelow.

As used herein, to the input image of $h \times w$ dimensions as image I . Each pixel of the image consists of three color components (x_r, x_g, x_b) and has the coordinates (x_f, x_w) in the image. Each pixel $x \in I$ has a characteristic function of:

$$\mu(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \text{ is unknown} \\ 1, & x \text{ is known} \end{cases}$$

Herein, we may assume that for known pixels there exists information about pixel segments and pixel depth, refer to here as $s(x)$ and $d(x)$, respectively. In one embodiment, a larger value of $d(x)$ means that the pixel is closer to the viewer as compared to a pixel with a smaller $d(x)$ value.

Without any loss of generality, herein it is assumed that the missed area $\Omega = \{x \in I \mid \mu(x) = 0\}$ has one connectivity component. The border of Ω is defined as $\partial\Omega$. Examples of an image missed area are provided in Fig. 1 at 110. The known part of the image is defined as $\bar{\Omega}$, part of which is illustrated at 105 of FIG. 1.

In some embodiments, the set of the eight closest points to a given point $x \in I$ is defined as $B(x)$. Also, the border characteristic function for known pixels $x \in I \setminus \Omega$ is defined as:

$$\chi(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \exists y \in B(x): \mu(y) = 1, s(y) \neq s(x) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

This function marks the pixels in known area that belongs to the segments border. Typical border information is illustrated in FIGS. 3A-C.

Referring to the borders continuation operation 205 of process 200, the borders

continuation technique produces an approximation of direct border lines into an unknown area.

For each point $x \in \Omega$ embodiments herein define two congruent points $l_x, r_x \in \partial Q$. These points lie on the same image line as x , but belong to the border of the missed area as shown, for example, in Fig. 1 at 110. One candidate for continuation is selected by choosing the point with minimum depths as represented by:

$$z = \arg \min_{y \in \{l_x, r_x\}} d(y).$$

The above definition of the point z means that for each missed area there exist two adjacent known sides. One side belongs to some object that is closest to the viewer in this local area. The second side belongs to far objects or background (e.g., 115 in FIG. 1).

The point z is continued if the known border point in $B(z)$ exists. If such a point, such as v , exists then a process to continue the border of segment $s(v)$ into missed area is initiated.

First, a set of consequent points $\odot = \{v, v_1, v_2, \dots\}$, $s(v_i) = s(v)$, $\chi(v_i) = 1, \forall i$ are generated. This set corresponds to the line that will be continued. Here, the number of points in the line is greater than some threshold To .

For set $\odot$ a calculation of the linear approximation is made by solving the minimization problem:

$$E(\odot, \alpha, \beta) = \sum_{i: (v \in \odot)} \|v_i - (\alpha v_1 + \beta)\|^2 \rightarrow \min_{\alpha, \beta} E(\odot, \alpha, \beta),$$

The solution pair (α^*, β^*) could be found by use of mean squared errors method. If the value $\frac{E(\odot, \alpha^*, \beta^*)}{|\odot|} > T_1$, then don't proceed with this curve, since it is detected not to be a line.

All points $y \in \Omega$ which coordinates correspond to the equality

$$y_h = [\alpha^* y_w + \beta^*],$$

where $[\cdot]$ defines rounding to the closest integer, are marked as a border.

The border continuation procedure is applied for all points in $\partial\Omega$. In this manner, the range of values for the function $J \odot$ may be expanded on unknown area.

Herein, we define around border factor by:

$$\hat{\chi}(x) = \frac{\sum_{y \in B(x)} \chi(y)}{|B(x)|}.$$

Example of an illustrative borders continuation operation is shown in FIGS. 3A-C. FIG. 3A shows an image to be inpainted with the missing areas depicted at 305. FIG. 3B illustrates borders information for the known area and FIG. 3C depicts borders information continued into the missed area.

Referring to the borders continuation operation 210 of process 200, the preferable patches definition operation uses the continued borders information of operation 205. According to the continued borders determined at operation 205, segments are defined that are preferable for a given pixel in the missed area.

In some embodiments, each bordered area Y in a missed region has three possible alternatives (see FIG. 4). The three alternatives include:

All adjacent areas are missed.

There exist known segments that are adjacent to Y , but these segments belong to a close or near object of the image.

There exist known segments that are adjacent to Y and they belong to far or background object(s).

In the first and second cases above, the list of preferable segments to copy for the missed region is empty. In the third case, the list of preferable patches includes the patches having centers belonging to the adjacent segments. Thus, the preferable patches will be those patches that satisfy condition (3).

Referring back to the local optimum 3D by structure propagation 215 of process 200, it is noted that a general idea of image 3D inpainting by structure propagation rests in the consequent excess of $\partial\Omega$ pixels in some order and for each of them finding some "good" block (i.e., patch) in the known area that will fill the area near the unknown pixels.

Here, we define $\Psi(x), x \in I$, a quadratic pixels block of size $N \times N$ with center at x . As shown in equation [1] below, the processes herein may calculate the following confidence and data terms for all border points $\partial\Omega$.

In some embodiments, the confidence term is given by

$$C(x) = \frac{\sum_{y \in \Psi(x) \cap \bar{\Omega}} C(y)}{|\Psi(x)|},$$

where $C(y) = 1, y \in \bar{\Omega}$; and

the data term is calculated as

$$D(x) = \frac{|\nabla l_x^\perp n_x|}{y}, \quad (1)$$

where $\nabla l_x^\perp$ is isophote vector (the vector orthogonal to the image gradient in the point x), n_x is the normal vector to the contour of $\partial\Omega$ in the point x , $y = 255$. An illustrative depiction of these defined relationships is graphically illustrated in FIG. 5.

Also, the depth term is calculated for bound pixels as:

$$d(x) = \frac{\sum_{y \in \Psi(x) \cap \partial\Omega} d(y)}{|\Psi(x) \cap \partial\Omega|}$$

Further, the process calculates the priority function for the pixels from $\partial\Omega$ as

$$PC^*(x) = c_1 \chi(x) + c_2 d(x) + c_3 CC(x) D(x).$$

where c_1, c_2, c_3 are some real constant values. The order $\prec$ on border points may now be calculated. According to this order,

$$x \prec y \Leftrightarrow d(x) < d(y) \vee d(x) = d(y), P(x) > P(y).$$

At each step of 3D inpainting algorithm herein, the process chooses the point from $\partial\Omega$ that is the first in the stated order. For a given point, the process finds the patch $\Psi(p)$ that delivers the minimum to the following function:

$$\rho(\Psi(x), \Psi(p)) = c_4 c_5 \sum_{\substack{\eta \in \Psi(x) \cap \partial\Omega, \\ \xi \in \Psi(p)}} [(\eta_r - \xi_r)^2 + (\eta_g - \xi_g)^2 + (\eta_b - \xi_b)^2],$$

where the coefficients c_4 and c_5 are defined in the following way.

$$c_4 = \begin{cases} 1, & \exists x_0 \in \Psi(x), p, \in \Psi(p): \chi(x_0) = \chi(p_0) = 1 \\ 1, & \forall x_0 \in \Psi(x), p_0 \in \Psi(p), \chi(x_0) = \chi(p_0) = 0. \\ & T_2 > 1, \quad \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$c_5 = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if the list of preferable patches is empty for } x \text{ or it contains } \Psi(p) \\ 1, & T_3 > 1, \quad \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The patch $\Psi(p)$ is copied in the Ω for all points belong to $\Omega \cap \partial\Omega$. The border $\partial\Omega$ is updated and the process continued until $\partial\Omega$ is not empty.

FIG. 6 is an illustrative depiction of the image introduced in FIGS. 3A-C after the image 600 has been processed in accordance with the inpainting process disclosed herein. As seen, the border areas between the arm and hand of the person in the image is clearly defined.

In some embodiments herein, information concerning principal borders structure and depth is used in the inpainting process. The use of such information is beneficial and offers advantages to the disclosed processes.

For example, the information about gradient value of pixels near $d\Omega$ in equation (1) shows whether some border touches $\partial\Omega$ at a point (Fig. 5, point 515). FIG. 5 also shows an image I including a near object 505, an unknown region 510 (Ω), and the border $\partial\Omega$ (520) related to point 515. But, this information makes no distinction
5 between global structures of objects (refer to herein as borders) and texture components. Thus, processes herein extend the global structure information first. For this purpose, processes herein continue borders first to determine the most significant parts of the missed area.

In some aspects, if a mistake is made in the borders continuation stage of the
10 inpainting process, there may be an opportunity to correct it at the missed region filling stage. In this manner, the present disclosure may provide advantages in contrast to other techniques.

According to the specificity of missed areas location (near the closed-view objects), the processes herein may need to fill the regions with either background or
15 distant-view objects. To obtain this effect, methods herein may use the known depth information in the order of pixels processing definition. It is noted that some previous works in this area addressed this effect by using depth in difference calculations between patches. In contrast, the approach herein is advantageous because depth is usually not precisely calculated. Thus, on the one hand we can trust to the information
20 that one pixel is relatively closer than another and on the other hand we cannot trust the given pixel depth since it is only an approximation.

FIG. 7 is a block diagram overview of a system or apparatus 700 according to some embodiments. System 700 may be, for example, associated with any device to implement the methods and processes described herein, including for example client
25 devices and a server of a business service provider that provisions software products. System 700 comprises a processor 705, such as one or more commercially available Central Processing Units (CPUs) in the form of one-chip microprocessors or a multi-core processor, coupled to a communication device 715 configured to communicate via a communication network (not shown in FIG. 7) to another device or system. In the
30 instance system 700 comprises an application server, communication device 715 may provide a means for system 700 to interface with a client device. System 700 may also include a local memory 710, such as RAM memory modules. The system 700 further

includes an input device 720 (e.g., a touch screen, mouse and/or keyboard to enter content) and an output device 725 (e.g., a computer monitor to display a user interface element).

Processor 705 communicates with a storage device 730. Storage device 730 may
5 comprise any appropriate information storage device, including combinations of magnetic storage devices (e.g., a hard disk drive), optical storage devices, and/or semiconductor memory devices. In some embodiments, storage device may comprise a database system.

Storage device 730 stores a program code 735 that may provide computer
10 executable instructions for processing requests from, for example, client devices in accordance with processes herein. Processor 705 may perform the instructions of the program 735 to thereby operate in accordance with any of the embodiments described herein. Program code 735 may be stored in a compressed, uncompiled and/or encrypted format. Program code 735 may furthermore include other program elements, such as an
15 operating system, a database management system, and/or device drivers used by the processor 705 to interface with, for example, peripheral devices. Storage device 730 may also include data 745. Data 745, in conjunction with inpainting engine 740, may be used by system 700, in some aspects, in performing the processes herein, such as process 200.

20 All systems and processes discussed herein may be embodied in program code stored on one or more computer-readable media. Such media may include, for example, a floppy disk, a CD-ROM, a DVD-ROM, one or more types of "discs", magnetic tape, a memory card, a flash drive, a solid state drive, and solid state Random Access Memory (RAM) or Read Only Memory (ROM) storage units. Embodiments are
25 therefore not limited to any specific combination of hardware and software.

Embodiments have been described herein solely for the purpose of illustration. Persons skilled in the art will recognize from this description that embodiments are not limited to those described, but may be practiced with modifications and alterations limited only by the spirit and scope of the appended claims.

CLAIMS

1. A computer-implemented method, the method comprising:
continuing border lines into an unknown region of an image from a known background;
5 determining segments, based on the continued borders, for the unknown region of the image; and
propagating pixels from a known area of the image to the unknown area based on the determined segments and continued borders.
2. The method of claim 1, further comprising determining a border between the
10 known region of the image and the unknown region of the image.
3. The method of claim 1, wherein the continuing of the border lines into the unknown region of the image generates an approximation of direct border lines into the unknown region.
4. The method of claim 1, wherein the determining of the segments is based on a
15 determination of a classification for the unknown region.
5. The method of claim 1, wherein the classifications for the unknown region comprises:
a classification where all adjacent areas are missed;
a classification where there exist known segments that are adjacent to the region
20 but these segments belong to a near object of the image; and
a classification where there exist known segments that are adjacent to the region and they belong to a background object(s).
6. A system, the system comprises:
a machine readable medium having processor executable instructions stored
25 thereon; and
a processor to execute the instructions to:
continue border lines into an unknown region of an image from a known background;
determine segments, based on the continued borders, for the unknown region of
30 the image; and
propagate pixels from a known area of the image to the unknown area based on the determined segments and continued borders.

7. The system of claim 6, further comprising determining a border between the known region of the image and the unknown region of the image.

8. The system of claim 6, wherein the continuing of the border lines into the unknown region of the image generates an approximation of direct border lines into the
5 unknown region.

9. The system of claim 6, wherein the determining of the segments is based on a determination of a classification for the unknown region.

10. The system of claim 6, wherein the classifications for the unknown region comprises:

10 a classification where all adjacent areas are missed;

a classification where there exist known segments that are adjacent to the region but these segments belong to a near object of the image; and

a classification where there exist known segments that are adjacent to the region and they belong to a background object(s).

15 11. A system, the system comprises:

a memory;

a machine readable medium having processor executable instructions stored thereon; and

a processor to execute to instructions to:

20 continue border lines into an unknown region of an image from a known background;

determine segments, based on the continued borders, for the unknown region of the image; and

25 propagate pixels from a known area of the image to the unknown area based on the determined segments and continued borders.

12. The system of claim 11, further comprising determining a border between the known region of the image and the unknown region of the image.

13. The system of claim 11, wherein the continuing of the border lines into the unknown region of the image generates an approximation of direct border lines into the
30 unknown region.

14. The system of claim 11, wherein the determining of the segments is based on a determination of a classification for the unknown region.

15. The system of claim 11, wherein the classifications for the unknown region comprises:

a classification where all adjacent areas are missed;

a classification where there exist known segments that are adjacent to the region
5 but these segments belong to a near object of the image; and

a classification where there exist known segments that are adjacent to the region
and they belong to a background object(s).

16. An article of manufacture, the article comprising:

a computer readable medium having program instructions stored thereon which,
10 when executed by a processor, cause the processor to:

continue border lines into an unknown region of an image from a known
background;

determine segments, based on the continued borders, for the unknown region of
the image; and

15 propagate pixels from a known area of the image to the unknown area based on
the determined segments and continued borders.

17. The article of claim 16, further comprising determining a border between the
known region of the image and the unknown region of the image.

18. The article of claim 16, wherein the continuing of the border lines into the
20 unknown region of the image generates an approximation of direct border lines into the
unknown region.

19. The article of claim 16, wherein the determining of the segments is based on a
determination of a classification for the unknown region.

20. The article of claim 16, wherein the classifications for the unknown region
25 comprises:

a classification where all adjacent areas are missed;

a classification where there exist known segments that are adjacent to the region
but these segments belong to a near object of the image; and

a classification where there exist known segments that are adjacent to the region
30 and they belong to a background object(s).

1/7

100




FIG.1

2/7


200

FIG.2

3/7

305



FIG. 3A

305




FIG. 3B

4/7

305




FIG. 3C

400

415




FIG. 4

5/7




FIG.5

6/7

600




FIG.6

7/7




FIG.7

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No
PCT/RU2011/001057

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
INV. GO6T5/00
ADD.

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)
G06T

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

EPO-Internal , WPI Data, INSPEC, COMPENDEX

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	ISMAEL DARIBO ET AL: "Depth-aided image inpainting for novel view synthesis", 2010 IEEE INTERNATIONAL WORKSHOP ON MULTIMEDIA SIGNAL PROCESSING (MMSP '10), SAINT MALO, FRANCE, 4-6 OCT. 2010, IEEE, IEEE, PISCATAWAY, USA, 4 October 2010 (2010-10-04) , pages 167-170, XPG31830576, ISBN : 978-1-4244-8110-1 page 168, left-hand column, lines 4-7 sections "II. Crimini's inpainting algorithm", "III.C. Depth inpainting" page 169, right-hand column, lines 5-7 ----- "/ - "	1-20



Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.



See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

14 September 2012

Date of mailing of the international search report

24/09/2012

Name and mailing address of the ISA/

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040,
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Eckert, Lars

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No
PCT/RU2011/001057

C(Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	LAM C TRAN ET AL: "Vi ew synthesi s based on Condi tional Random Fiel ds and graph cuts" , 2010 17TH IEEE INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON IMAGE PROCESSING : (ICIP 2010) ; HONG KONG, 26 - 29 SEPTEMBER 2010, IEEE, PISCATAWAY, NJ, USA, 26 September 2010 (2010-09-26) , pages 433-436, XP031810761 , ISBN : 978-1-4244-7992-4 abstract secti ons "2. Pixel classi fication" , "4. Pixel s sel ecti on for di soccl uded regi on" , "5. CRF for hole filling" -----	1-20
X	TAUBER Z ET AL: "Revi ew and Previ ew: Di soccl usi on by Inpai nting for Image-Based Rendering" , IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON SYSTEMS, MAN , AND CYBERNETICS: PART C:APPLI CATIONS AND REVI EWS, IEEE SERVICE CENTER, PISCATAWAY, NJ, US, vol . 37, no. 4, 1 July 2007 (2007-07-01) , pages 527-540, XP011186115, ISSN : 1094-6977, DOI : 10.1109/TSMCC.2006.886967 abstract secti on "II.D. Combined Structural and Textureal Inpainti ng" -----	I - 3,6-8, II- 13 , 16-18