

The Commissioner of Patents
PO Box 200
WODEN ACT 2606

F B RICE & CO
SYDNEY NSW
Speed Dial 511

AUSTRALIA
Patents Act 1990

PATENT REQUEST: STANDARD PATENT

We, BECTON DICKINSON AND COMPANY, being the person(s) identified below as the Applicant, request the grant of a standard patent to the person identified below as the Nominated Person, for an invention described in the accompanying complete specification.

Full application details follow.

Applicant: BECTON DICKINSON AND COMPANY
a New Jersey Corporation

Address: 1 Becton Drive Franklin Lakes New Jersey
07417-1880 United States of America

Nominated Person: BECTON DICKINSON AND COMPANY
a New Jersey Corporation

Address: 1 Becton Drive Franklin Lakes New Jersey
07417-1880 United States of America

Invention Title: "Enhanced detection of microorganisms in samples"

Name(s) of Actual Inventor(s): James F MONTHONY; David T STITT;
Denise Hardy BURROUGHS

Address for service is: F.B. RICE & CO.,
28A Montague St,
Balmain N.S.W. 2041

Attorney Code: RI

BASIC CONVENTION APPLICATION(S) DETAILS

<u>Application No</u>	<u>Country</u>	<u>Country Code</u>	<u>Date of Application</u>
07/926729	U S A	US	22 Sept 1992

We are not an eligible person described in Section 33 - 36 of the Act.

Drawing number recommended to accompany the abstract Fig. 1

Dated this 7 day of September 1993

\$ 040313 070993

BECTON DICKINSON AND COMPANY

By: 
Registered Patent Attorney

PW/WAW1/61445

Australia Patents Act 1990
Notice of Entitlement

(to be filed before acceptance)

I/We Royal N. RONNING
being authorised by _____
of Becton, Dickinson and Company
of 1 Becton Drive, Franklin Lakes, New Jersey 07417-1880, U S A
the applicant in respect of an application for a patent for an invention entitled
ENHANCED DETECTION OF MICROORGANISMS IN SAMPLES
filed under Australian Application No. 46185/93, state the following:-

Part 1 - Must be completed for all applications.

☐ The person(s) nominated for the grant of the patent:
☐ is/are the actual inventor(s)

☒ I/We, for the following reasons, gained entitlement from the actual inventor(s):
Becton, Dickinson and Company is the assignee of the invention from the actual
inventor(s).

Part 2 - Must be completed if the application is a Convention application.

☐ The person(s) nominated for the grant of the patent is/are:
☐ the applicant(s) of the basic application(s) listed on the patent request form.

☒ I/We are entitled to rely on the basic application(s) listed on the patent request form by reason of the following:
Becton, Dickinson and Company is the assignee of the basic application(s) from
the actual inventor(s).

☐ The basic application(s) listed on the request form is/are the first application (s) made in a Convention country in respect of the invention.


Part 3 - must be completed if the application was made under the PCT and claims priority.

☐ The person(s) nominated for the grant of the patent is/are:
☐ the applicant(s) of the application(s) listed in the declaration under Article 8 of the PCT

or
☐ entitled to rely on the application(s) listed in the declaration under Article 8 of the PCT by reason of the following:-

☐ The basic application(s) listed in the declaration made under Article 8 of the PCT is/are the first application(s) made in a Convention country in respect of the invention.

Signed:


Royal N. Ronning

Date:

August 30, 1993

Status:

Patent Attorney

F.B. RICE & CO. PATENT ATTORNEYS



AU9346188

(12) PATENT ABRIDGMENT (11) Document No. AU-B-46188/93
(19) AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE (10) Acceptance No 669380

(54) Title
ENHANCED DETECTION OF MICROORGANISMS IN SAMPLES

International Patent Classification(s)
(51)^s C12Q 001/06 C12M 001/24 C12M 001/32 C12M 001/34

(21) Application No. 46188/93 (22) Application Date 07.09.93

(30) Priority Data

(31) Number (32) Date (33) Country
926729 22.09.92 US UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

(43) Publication Date : 31.03.94

(44) Publication Date of Accepted Application : 06.06.96

(71) Applicant(s)
BECTON DICKINSON AND COMPANY

(72) Inventor(s)
JAMES F MONTHONY; DAVID T STITT; DENISE HARDY BURROUGHS

(74) Attorney or Agent
F B RICE & CO, 28A Montague Street, BALMAIN NSW 2041

(56) Prior Art Documents
GB A1 2106539
US A 4245043
US A 4053362

(57) In addition to rapid detection of microorganisms, this method has two other major advantages over the prior art. The first is that this method permits quantitation of the bacteremia or septicemia. The second is that the method is both compatible with polymicrobial specimens and the method will, under most circumstances, produce isolated cultures from mixed specimens as long as the initial level of organisms is low.

CLAIM

1. In a method for detecting the presence of a microorganism in a liquid sample which method comprises: incubating said sample under conditions which encourage microbial growth and monitoring said sample for microbial growth, the improvement comprising dividing said sample into a plurality of discrete zones prior to said incubation, wherein said liquid sample contains a number of colony forming units such that when the sample is divided into said discrete zones, there is less than one colony forming unit per discrete zone.

2. The method of claim 1 wherein the microbial growth is monitored by colorimetric or flurometric means.

(11) AU-B-46188/93
(10) 669380

-2-

3. The method of claim 1 wherein the sample is divided into the plurality of discrete zones by physical boundaries.
4. The method of claim 1 wherein the sample is divided into a plurality of discrete zones on a microtiter plate.

- 1 - 669380

AUSTRALIA

Patents Act 1990

BECTON DICKINSON AND COMPANY

ORIGINAL
COMPLETE SPECIFICATION
STANDARD PATENT

Invention Title:

"Enhanced detection of microorganisms in samples"

The following statement is a full description of this invention including the best method of performing it known to us:-

BACKGROUND OF INVENTION

The detection of microorganisms in samples is generally a time consuming and laborious clinical procedure. In ordinary practice, a small portion of the sample, called an inoculum, is placed onto a media conducive to microbial growth. The system is then incubated under appropriate conditions and, after an appropriate time, the results are read.

Plates are inspected and scored every day. Depending upon the suspected type of organism and the kind of medium used, plates with no growth are generally not discarded for 4 to 8 days. For small volume samples with a fairly high concentration of organism, the procedure above works well. For case where a fairly large liquid sample is required due to a low concentration of viable microorganisms, the sample is diluted with a several fold greater volume of liquid media and the mixture incubated to detect growth. Normally sterile samples such as blood samples being tested for the presence of viable microorganisms may require from 1 to several days before growth can be detected. Bottles are inspected daily. Bottles with no growth are generally not discarded for 5 to 7 days.

Thus, there exists a real need to reduce this incubation time.

SUMMARY OF INVENTION

The above is realized by the method of this invention. Briefly, in the method of this invention the sample container is divided into a plurality of discrete zones, each of which can be separately monitored for microbial presence. When a sample is placed into this container, detection is simplified as the volume monitored is low (as compared with the sample); since microbial detection is a concentration dependent phenomenon, the speed with which the presence of microbial contamination can be detected is increased.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Figure 1 presents a preferred apparatus for the division of the sample into a plurality of regions by use of interlocking dividers; (a) shows the device through one face, (b) presents a side view, and (c) presents a detail of the interlocking dividers.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF INVENTION

This invention provides a convenient way of enhancing microbial detection, thereby decreasing the detection time required, by dividing the sample into discrete regions and analyzing each separately. Since detection is dependent upon the concentration of the bacteria in the system, analysis of each individual region will provide detection of the bacteria in the system more rapidly, and at a lower overall concentration than analysis of the entire mixture.

By way of example, the BACTEC® blood culture analyzer system marketed by Becton, Dickinson and Company requires an approximate threshold concentration of 1×10^6 organisms/ml to yield a positive result. If an initial inoculum, diluted to 50 ml with culture media contained

only 1 CFU (0.02 CFU/ml), it can be calculated that a total of 26 generations would be required to achieve this threshold concentration. One Organism would have to multiply to more than 5×10^7 organisms. At an average generation time of 30 minutes, this translates to a detection time of 13 hours (assuming no lag before growth starts).

In a preferred embodiment of this invention, the 50 ml sample is divided into 100 regions of 0.5 ml each. To achieve an effective concentration of 1×10^6 CFU/ml, such a region would need an actual concentration of 5×10^5 CFU/ml. This would be achieved in 19 generations in the cell containing the organism or about 9.5 hours (assuming the same generation time and no time lag as above), translating to a 3.5 hour saving. Should the 50 ml sample be divided into 1000 regions of 0.05 ml each, then only 16 generations or 8 hours would be required for each organism to multiply to greater than 1×10^6 CFU/ml.

It is easily recognized that the number of regions examined and the volume of these regions can be varied as particular applications or equipment restrictions dictate. Further the means of achieving this division is immaterial, so long as the regions are effectively isolated from each other.

Fig. 1 shows a preferred apparatus for this division, comprising a culture bottle divided on one face into a plurality of regions by interlocking dividers. These form discrete regions in the form of parallelepipeds, each having an identical volume. In actual use the height of these dividers must be sufficient to fully contain the volume during incubation, including incubation on an

agitating device such as a shaker, when used.

In practice the inoculum is introduced into the bottle which is then laid on the face containing the divider to obtain the division of the sample into the separate regions. The bottle is then incubated and analyzed for growth by any convenient means. Preferred methods of analysis include colorimetric, fluorometric, radiometric, nephelometric, and infrared analyses.

It is to be recognized that the shape and/or volume of the regions can be varied, although identical shapes and volumes for each region are preferred.

In addition to rapid detection of microorganisms, this method has two other major advantages over the prior art. The first is that this method permits quantitation of the bacteremia or septicemia. The second is that the method is both compatible with polymicrobial specimens and the method will, under most circumstances, produce isolated cultures from mixed specimens as long as the initial level of organisms is low.

The Isolator 7.5 Microbial Tube marketed by Wampole Laboratories is a device and a system for concentrating microorganisms from blood specimens by centrifugation. The method is very time and labor intensive, including over a 25 step procedure with a 30 minute centrifuge spin. This method has been widely accepted, in part because it is the only commercial method capable of estimating the number of organisms in the original blood sample. An evaluation of this method by Dorn et al. (J. Clin. Micro., 9, pp. 391-396) concluded "Quantitation, offered only by the centrifugation method, proved useful on several occasions

in discriminating between an opportunistic infection versus a skin contaminant and in judging the efficacy of antimicrobial therapy." Sullivan et al (Pediatrics, 69, 699-702) have demonstrated that the magnitude of bacteremia in children is associated with the severity of clinical disease.

In the method of this invention, the estimation of the number of microorganisms in the original sample is as easy as counting the number of regions which have shown growth. When the number of positive wells is small relative to the total number of wells then this estimate can be expected to be very accurate. As the number of positive wells increases, the accuracy and reliability of the estimate becomes worse. However, most specimen types that would most benefit from rapid detection, such as blood, generally have microorganism counts of less than 10 cfu/ml. After dilution with media less than 10% of the wells would be positive.

Additionally, the presence of more than one type of microorganism in the original sample is a big problem for most systems. This is an important and frequent occurrence in blood culture. Polymicrobial bacteremia has been reported in as many as 18% of septic episodes and has been associated with higher mortality. In the case where the entire sample goes into one bottle, it is very early for rapidly growing organisms, such as E. coli, to outgrow any other organisms present. The other organism(s) is (are) either not detected or require an additional 13-24 hours to grow isolated colonies for identification and susceptibility testing.

In the methods of this invention, when small numbers of

organisms are present, it is highly likely that each organism goes into a separate well. When several species are present, it can, thus, be expected that each positive well is the result of a single organism and is therefore a pure culture. If one species (such as E. coli) dominates the original sample, it would be expected to be the culture in most of positive wells; but would not affect or mask the growth of other species in the remaining positive wells. Thus the detection of multiple microorganisms is greatly simplified.

Further, in cases where the generation time for the microorganism is long, e.g. mycobacteria such as those associated with tuberculosis, the detection time is greatly reduced. This permits quicker diagnoses and permits treatment to begin earlier.

EXAMPLES

The following examples present certain preferred embodiments of this invention, but are not intended to be illustrative of all embodiments.

Example 1

To illustrate the advantage of the method of this invention, a series of calculations were performed to determine the time required to achieve a threshold concentration of 1×10^6 CFU/ml, for a 8 ml aliquot diluted to 80 ml in culture media, assuming a generation time of 20 or 30 minutes, and a time lag of 30 minutes (1 day in the 12-hour case). The results are presented in Table 1.

TABLE I

Generation Time	Total CFU In 8 ml Blood (CFU/ml)	Time to Threshold (Min)		Time Saved	
		Con. ^a	Inv. ^b		
5	20 minutes	1 (0.125)	560	420	140 min
		2 (0.250)	540	420	120 min
		8 (1.0)	500	420	80 min
		16 (2.0)	480	420	60 min
		32 (4.0)	460	420	40 min
10	30 minutes	1 (0.125)	825	615	210 min
		2 (0.250)	795	615	180 min
		8 (1.0)	735	615	120 min
		16 (2.0)	705	615	90 min
		32 (4.0)	675	615	60 min
15	12 hours +1 day lag	1 (0.125)	14.25	10.75	3.5 days
		2 (0.250)	13.75	10.75	3 days
		8 (1.0)	12.75	10.75	2 days
		16 (2.0)	12.25	10.75	1.5 days
		32 (4.0)	11.75	10.75	1 day

Notes

- a. Conventional system, single measurement
b. Invention using apparatus comprising One hundred twenty (120) 0.666 ml compartments

As shown, the system of this invention provides a significant savings in time, especially when the initial concentration of organism is dilute, or when the generation time is long.

Example 2

To evaluate the comparative speed of the method of the instant invention with commercial bacterial detection systems, the system was reproduced by using a microtiter tray. The three systems used are described below.

Fluorescent Microtiter Tray (Invention)

Preparation of Tray:

The fluorescent compound tris 4,7-diphenyl - 1,10 -

phenanthroline ruthenium (II) chloride ($\text{Ru}(\text{DPP})_3\text{Cl}_2$) was synthesized using the procedure of Watts and Crosby (J. Am. Chem. Soc. 93, 3184 (1971)). A total of 3.6 mg of the compound was dissolved in 2.0 ml dimethyl sulfoxide (D-5879, Sigma Chemical St. Louis MO) and the resultant solutions was then added slowly, with stirring, to 1300 ml silicone rubber forming solution (Water Based Emulsion #3-5024, Dow Corning Midland MI). A 35 microliter aliquot of the mixture was subsequently dispensed into each well of a 96 well, flat bottom, white microtiter tray (#011-010-7901, Dynatech Chantilly VA), and the systems was subsequently cured overnight in a low humidity (less than 25% RH), 60°C incubator. After curing, the trays were washed by either soaking or by filling and emptying each well several times with each of the following reagents; a) absolute ethanol, b) 0.1 M phosphate buffer pH 7.2, c) hot distilled water (about 45°C) and d) ambient temperature distilled water.

Thirty ml of Vacutainer® TSB was inoculated with 5 ml of organism suspension. The broth suspension was then pipetted into a fluorescent tray with a plurality of 250 ul wells. The tray was covered with a lid and placed in a humidified 35°C incubator. To measure fluorescent levels the tray was placed in a Fluoroskan II fluorometer (480-490 bandpass excitation filter/570 cut-on emission filter). A well was considered positive if it had greater than 50 fluorescent counts above the mean of the 96 wells. From each positive well, 100 ul was removed, diluted, and plated onto TSA plates to verify organism identification. Negative wells were sampled to verify no organisms were present.

Radiometric (14C) BACTEC® 6B Blood Culture Bottle Without Sheep Blood

Using a syringe the bottle containing 14C media, was

inoculated with 5 ml of the organism suspension. The bottle was incubated at 37°C on a shaker and read at intervals using a BACTEC® 460 reader. A bottle was considered positive if it had greater than 0.0075 microcuries of $^{14}\text{CO}_2$. This radiometric level corresponds with a Growth Index Number of 30. BACTEC® bottles were read until the Growth Index Number was above 30 or the change between two consecutive readings was greater than 10. Samples of 100 ul were removed from each bottle and plated to verify organism identification. Control bottles with no organisms were also incubated and sampled.

Radiometric (^{14}C) Bactec® 6B Blood Culture Bottle With Sheep Blood

Using a syringe, 5 ml of defibrinated sheep blood was added to the same BACTEC® bottle as above and mixed. Five ml of the media/blood mixture was then removed and 5 ml of organism suspension was added to the bottle. The bottle was incubated at 37°C on a shaker and read at intervals using a BACTEC® 460 reader. BACTEC® bottles were read until the Growth Index Number was above 30 or the change between two consecutive readings was greater than 10. Samples were removed from each bottle and plated to verify organism identification. Control bottles with no organism were also incubated and sampled.

Each system was examined using two different organisms, Escherichia coli ATCC 25922 and Pseudomonas maltophilia BBL #7301 (containing 8 ug/ml amikacin). The results are presented in Table II.

Table II

E. COLI ATCC #25922

	<u>Microtiter Wells</u>	<u>BACTEC® Bottle w/o S.B.</u>	<u>BACTEC® Bottle w/S.B.</u>
# Positive Tests	11	5	2
Avg. Detection Time (hrs.)	8.25	12	13
Detection Time as Percent of Microtiter Wells	100%	145%	158%

PSEUDOMONAS MALTOPHILA BBL #7301
with 8 ug/ml Amikacin

	<u>Microtiter Wells</u>	<u>BACTEC® Bottle w/o S.B.</u>	<u>BACTEC® Bottle w/S.B.</u>
# Positive Tests	2	4	4
Avg. Detection Time (hrs.)	12	41.0	40.0
Detection Time as Percent of Microtiter Wells	100%	342%	208%

E. COLI

Upon subsequent examination, all positive microtiter wells (threshold equals 50 fl. units above the mean) were found to contain pure cultures of E. coli. Sampling from various negative wells yielded no organisms. The positive BACTEC® bottles also had pure cultures.

As shown, the time to detection for the microtiter wells is about 45-58% faster than the BACTEC® bottles.

PSEUDOMONAS MALTOPHILA

Upon subsequent examination, all positive microtiter wells (threshold equals 50 fl. units above the mean) were found to contain pure cultures of Pseudomonas. Sampling from various negative wells yielded no organisms. The positive BACTEC® bottle also had pure cultures.

As shown, the Bactec® bottles time to detection was over 200% of the time for the invention.

It is apparent that many modifications and variations of this invention as hereinabove set forth may be made without departing from the spirit and scope hereof. The specific embodiments described are given by way of example only and the invention is limited only by the terms of the appended claims.

THE CLAIMS DEFINING THE INVENTION ARE AS FOLLOWS:-

1. In a method for detecting the presence of a microorganism in a liquid sample which method comprises: incubating said sample under conditions
5 which encourage microbial growth and monitoring said sample for microbial growth, the improvement comprising dividing said sample into a plurality of discrete zones prior to said incubation, wherein said liquid sample contains a number of colony forming units such that when the sample is divided into
10 said discrete zones, there is less than one colony forming unit per discrete zone.
2. The method of claim 1 wherein the microbial growth is monitored by colorimetric or flurometric means.
- 15 3. The method of claim 1 wherein the sample is divided into the plurality of discrete zones by physical boundaries.
4. The method of claim 1 wherein the sample is divided into a plurality of discrete zones on a microtiter plate.
20
5. The method of claim 4 wherein the sample is divided into a plurality of discrete zones by interlocking dividers.

DATED this 3rd day of April 1996.

BECTON, DICKINSON AND
COMPANY
Patent Attorneys for the Applicant

F.B. RICE & CO.



ABSTRACT

This invention describes a method for the rapid identification of the presence of microorganisms in a sample. Briefly, in the method of this invention the sample container is divided into a plurality of discrete zones, each of which can be separately monitored for microbial presence. When a sample is placed into this container, detection is simplified as the volume monitored is low (as compared with the sample); since microbial detection is a concentration dependent phenomenon, the speed with which the presence of microbial contamination can be detected is increased.


10

10


10

1/1

46188/9.3



(a)



(b)




FIGURE 1