Title: ADJUVANTED INFLUENZA VACCINE

Abstract: The invention relates to compositions comprising one or more influenza antigens, one or more detoxified ADP-ribosylating proteins, and one or more chitosans. The invention also relates to methods of using these compositions to generate an immune response to influenza.
ADJUVANTED INFLuenza VACCINE

All documents cited herein are incorporated by reference in their entirety.

TECHNICAL FIELD

This invention is in the field of vaccines, particularly against influenza infection and disease.

BACKGROUND

Inactivated influenza vaccines are widely available. See, e.g. see Chapter 21 of Vaccines, eds. Plotkin & Orenstein, 3rd edition (1999) ISBN 0-7216-7443-7. These are generally administered intramuscularly, although the vaccines are also immunogenic by the subcutaneous, intradermal, respiratory tract and oral routes.


It is an object of the invention to provide modified and improved flu vaccines, and in particular to provide flu vaccines suitable for intranasal or other mucosal administration.

DISCLOSURE OF THE INVENTION

The invention provides an immunogenic composition comprising: (a) an influenza antigen, (b) a detoxified ADP-ribosylating toxin, and (c) chitosan. The inclusion of chitosan allows a lower dose of the toxin to be used, thereby improving safety.

The composition is preferably suitable for mucosal administration e.g. intranasal administration.

Influenza antigen

For vaccine production, influenza virus has traditionally been grown in embryonated hens eggs and purified by zonal centrifugation or chromatography. The
virus can be included in vaccines as whole virions, but it is more common to disrupt the virus in order to decrease toxicity and reactogenicity. Treatment with detergent or organic solvent, for instance, yields “split” vaccines in which immunogenic surface glycoproteins are retained. Further purification gives “subunit” or purified surface antigen vaccines, which consist mainly of hemagglutinin (HA) and neuraminidase (NA).

Because of problems associated with retention of allergenic proteins, more modern production techniques have moved away from the use of eggs and towards cell culture, as recommended by the WHO in 1995. Typical cell lines for influenza culture include MDCK and Vero cell lines. To reduce contamination, cells are preferably grown in a serum-free or protein-free medium. To reduce contamination even further, and to reduce host cell DNA levels, virions can be treated by a process involving treatment with DNAses and cationic detergent. See, e.g., U.S. Patent No. 5,948,410.


Any of these influenza antigens prepared in any of these ways may be used with the invention, but it is preferred (a) to use purified HA and, optionally, purified NA, and (b) to use antigens purified from cell lines rather than from eggs.

Whatever antigen(s) is/are used, it is preferred that it/they is/are selected to offer suitable coverage of existing strains. Updated guidelines on strains and subtypes are regularly issued by bodies such as the WHO, but in general it is preferred to include antigens from more than one strains, and containing at least one type A virus (e.g. A/H1N1 and A/H3N2) and at least one type B virus.

In one embodiment, the antigens are selected from a flu strain which is capable of or has the potential for causing a pandemic outbreak. Typically, a pandemic flu strain contains a haemagglutinin protein which is different from currently circulating strains or which has not be evident in the human population for an extended period of time. Examples of haemagglutinin proteins potentially associated with a pandemic flu strain include H2, H5, H6 or H9. Such antigens are discussed, for instance, in Hillman, “Realities and enigmas of human viral influenza: pathogenesis, epidemiology and control”, *Vaccine* (2002) 20:3068-3087 and Ha, et

As an alternative to using glycoprotein antigens in the composition of the invention, nucleic acid encoding the antigen(s) may be used instead. See, e.g., Palese et al, supra; Ulmer et al. (2002) *Vaccine* 20 Suppl 2:S74-76. Protein components of the mixture may thus be replaced by nucleic acid (preferably DNA e.g. in the form of a plasmid) that encodes the protein.

**Detoxified ADP-ribosylating toxin**

ADP-ribosylating bacterial exotoxins which catalyse the transfer of an ADP-ribose unit from NAD⁺ to a target protein are widely known. Examples include diphtheria toxin (*Corynebacterium diphtheriae*), exotoxin A (*Pseudomonas aeruginosa*), cholera toxin (CT; *Vibrio cholerae*), heat-labile enterotoxin (LT; *E.coli*) and pertussis toxin (PT). Further examples are disclosed in WO 02/079242 and *The Comprehensive Sourcebook of Bacterial Protein Toxins* (Alouf & Freer) ISBN 0120530759.

The toxins are typically divided into two functionally distinct domains — A and B. The A subunit is responsible for the toxic enzymatic activity, whereas the B subunit is responsible for cellular binding. The subunits might be domains on the same polypeptide chain, or might be separate polypeptide chains. The subunits may themselves be oligomers e.g. the A subunit of CT consists of A₁ and A₂ which are linked by a disulphide bond, and its B subunit is a homopentamer. Typically, initial contact with a target cell is mediated by the B subunit and then subunit A alone enters the cell.

The toxins are typically immunogenic, but their inclusion in vaccines is hampered by their toxicity. To remove toxicity without also removing immunogenicity, the toxins have been treated with chemicals such as glutaraldehyde or formaldehyde. A more rational approach relies on site-directed mutagenesis of key active site residues to remove toxic enzymatic activity whilst retaining immunogenicity (see, e.g. International Publication WO 93/1302 (CT and LT); European Patent Applications 0306618; 0322533; and 0322115 (PT), Del Giudice et al. (1999) *Vaccine* 17 Suppl. 2:S44-52). Currentacellular whooping cough vaccines
include a form of pertussis toxin with two amino acid substitutions (Arg9\rightarrow\text{Lys} and Glu129\rightarrow\text{Gly}; 'PT-9K/129G'). See, e.g., European Patent Application 0396964.

As well as their immunogenic properties, the toxins have been used as adjuvants. Parenteral adjuvanticity was first observed in (Northrup & Fauci (1972) J. Infect. Dis. 125:672ff) and mucosal adjuvanticity in 1984 (Elson & Ealing (1984) J. Immunol. 133:2892ff and 132:2736ff). It was surprisingly found in 1993 that the detoxified forms of the toxins retain adjuvanticity (International Publication WO 95/17211).

The compositions of the invention include a detoxified ADP-ribosylating toxin. The toxin may be diphtheria toxin, *Pseudomonas* exotoxin A or pertussis toxin, but is preferably cholera toxin (CT) or, more preferably, *E.coli* heat-labile enterotoxin (LT). Other toxins that can be used are those disclosed in WO 02/079242 (SEQ ID: 1 to 7 therein, and mutants thereof).

Detoxification of these toxins without loss of immunogenic and/or adjuvant activity can be achieved by any suitable means, with mutagenesis being preferred. Mutagenesis may involve one or more substitutions, deletions and/or insertions.

Preferred detoxified mutants are LT having a mutation at residue Arg-7 (e.g. a Lys substitution); CT having a mutation at residue Arg-7 (e.g. a Lys substitution); CT having a mutation at residue Arg-11 (e.g. a Lys substitution); LT having a mutation at Val-53; CT having a mutation at Val-53; CT having a mutation at residue Ser-61 (e.g. a Phe substitution); LT having a mutation at residue Ser-63 (e.g. a Lys or Tyr substitution) as described in Chapter 5 of Del Giudice et al. (1998) *Molecular Aspects of Medicine*, vol. 19, number 1; Y63 described in Park et al (2000) *Exp. Mol. Med.* 32:72-78; CT having a mutation at residue Ser-63 (e.g. a Lys or Tyr substitution); LT having a mutation at residue Ala-72 (e.g. an Arg substitution as described in International Publication WO 98/18928); LT having a mutation at Val-97; CT having a mutation at Val-97; LT having a mutation at Tyr-104; CT having a mutation at Tyr-104; LT having a mutation at residue Pro-106 (e.g. a Ser substitution); CT having a mutation at residue Pro-106 (e.g. a Ser substitution); LT having a mutation at Glu-112 (e.g. a Lys substitution); CT having a mutation at Glu-112 (e.g. a Lys substitution); LT having a mutation at residue Arg-192 (e.g. a Gly substitution); PT having a mutation at residue Arg-9 (e.g. a Lys substitution); PT having a mutation at Glu-129.
(e.g. a Gly substitution); and any of the mutants disclosed in International Publication WO 93/13202.

The amino acid sequences for CT and LT are described in Domenighini et al., Molecular Microbiology (1995) 15(6): 1165 – 1167. This reference and the CT and LT sequence alignment disclosed therein are incorporated herein in their entirety.

These mutations may be combined e.g. Arg-9-Lys + Glu-129-Gly in PT, or LT with both a D53 and a K63 mutation, etc.

LT with a mutation at residue 63 or 72 is a preferred detoxified toxin. The LT-K63 and LT-R72 toxins are particularly preferred. See, e.g., Pizza et al. (2000) Int. J. Med. Microbiol. 290:455-461.

It will be appreciated that the numbering of these residues is based on prototype sequences and that, for example, although Ser-63 may not actually be the 63rd amino acid in a given LT variant, an alignment of amino acid sequences will reveal the location corresponding to Ser-63.

The detoxified toxins may be in the form of A and/or B subunits as appropriate for activity.

Chitosan


The repeating glucosamine monomer of chitosan contains an amine group. This group may exist as free amine (–NH₂) or as cationic amine (–NH₃⁺), with
protonation affecting the polymer's solubility. The amine groups are chemically active and can be substituted. Of particular interest for the invention, the amine groups can be substituted with one or more alkyl group (‘A’ e.g. methyl, ethyl, propyl, butyl, pentyl, etc.) e.g. –NHa, –NH2A+, –NaA2+, –NaA2A+, –NaA2A3+. Preferred derivatives are tri-alkylated and particularly preferred derivatives are trimethylated (i.e. trimethylchitosan, or ‘TMC’ — Figure 3). These derivatives much higher aqueous solubility than unmodified chitosan over a broader pH range.

It is not necessary for every amine in the chitosan polymer to be substituted in this way. The degree of substitution along the length of the chitosan chain can be determined by 1H-NMR and can be controlled by means of the number and duration of reaction steps. See, e.g., Hwang et al. (2002) J. Agric. Food Chem. 50:1876-1882.

It is preferred that at least 10% (e.g. at least 20%, 30%, 40%, 50%, 60%, 70%, 80%, 90%, 95% or more) of monomers have a substituted amine.

There are two main reasons why it is rare that 100% of monomers in the chitosan will carry an alkylated amine. First, the substitution reaction will not usually be 100% efficient. Second, it is rare to find chitosan in which 100% of the monomer units carry amine groups because deacetylation of chitin is not usually 100% efficient. Alkylated chitosan derivatives used in the invention may therefore have amide and/or non-alkylated groups on some monomer units, and chitosan may possess some amide groups. Chitosan and derivatives used with the invention are preferably at least 75% deacetylated.

Chitosans come in a variety of molecular weights e.g. from oligosaccharides with molecular weight around 5,000-10,000 to polymers of high molecular weight (e.g. 600,000 – 1,000,000).

Where a cationic chitosan or derivative is used, it will be in the form of a salt e.g. chloride or lactate.

The chitosan or derivative can take various physical forms e.g in solution, as a powder, or in particulate form. Particulate forms are preferred, including microparticles, which may be cross-linked or non-cross-linked and may be formed conveniently by spray-drying. See, e.g., He et al. (1999) Int. J Pharm. 187:53-65; He et al. (1999) J Microencapsul. 16:343-355. Other physical forms include gels, beads, films, sponges, fibres, emulsions, etc.
The term “chitosan” as used with reference to the compositions, processes, methods and uses of the invention includes all these forms and derivatives of chitosan.

**Mucosal administration**

Preferred compositions are suitable for mucosal delivery. Of the various mucosal delivery options available, the intranasal route is the most practical as it offers easy access with relatively simple devices that have already been mass-produced. Alternative routes for mucosal delivery of the composition are oral, intragastric, pulmonary, transdermal, intestinal, rectal, ocular, and vaginal routes.

**Preparation and presentation of compositions of the invention**

The influenza antigen, detoxified ADP-ribosylating toxin and chitosan will be prepared separately and then admixed to give a composition of the invention. The composition can then be presented and packaged in various ways.

Where compositions are for injection, they may be presented in vials, or they may be presented in ready-filled syringes. The syringes may be supplied with or without needles. A syringe will include a single dose of the composition, whereas a vial may include a single dose or multiple doses. Injectable compositions will usually be liquid solutions or suspensions. Alternatively, they may be presented in solid form for solution or suspension in liquid vehicles prior to injection.

Whatever the route of delivery, compositions of the invention are preferably packaged in unit dose form. Effective doses can be routinely established. A typical human dose of the composition for intranasal use has a volume of between 0.1 and 0.5ml e.g. two 100μl sprays, one per nostril.

Within each dose, the amount of individual antigens can be varied and tested by routine methods. For intranasal administration, however, HA can be administered at around 7.5μg per dose.

Compositions of the invention are preferably sterile. They are preferably pyrogen-free. They are preferably buffered e.g. at between pH 6 and pH 8, generally around pH 7. Where a composition comprises an aluminum hydroxide salt, it is preferred to use a histidine buffer. See, e.g., International Publication PCT/IB02/03495.

Adjuvants

The toxin and chitosan act as mucosal adjuvants within the compositions of the invention. It is also possible to include one or more further mucosal adjuvants e.g.: (A) microparticles (i.e. a particle of ~100nm to ~150μm in diameter, more preferably ~200nm to ~30μm in diameter, and most preferably ~500nm to ~10μm in diameter) formed from materials that are biodegradable and non-toxic (e.g. a poly(α-hydroxy acid), a polyhydroxybutyric acid, a polyorthoester, a polyanhydride, a polycaprolactone etc., such as poly(lactide-co-glycolide) etc.) optionally treated to have a negatively-charged surface (e.g. with SDS) or a positively-charged surface (e.g. with a cationic detergent, such as CTAB); (B) monophosphoryl lipid A mimics, such as aminoalkyl glucosaminide phosphate derivatives e.g. RC-529 (Johnson et al. (1999) Bioorg Med Chem Lett 9:2273-2278); (C) polyphosphazene (PCPP); (D) a polyoxyethylene ether or a polyoxyethylene ester (International patent application WO 99/52549); (E) a polyoxyethylene sorbitan ester surfactant in combination with an octoxynol (International patent application WO 01/21207) or a polyoxyethylene alkyl ether or ester surfactant in combination with at least one additional non-ionic surfactant such as an octoxynol (International patent application WO 01/21152); (F) chitosan (International patent application WO 99/27960); (G) an immunostimulatory oligonucleotide (e.g. a CpG oligonucleotide) and a saponin (International patent application WO 00/62800); and (H) liposomes (see, e.g., Chapters 13 & of Vaccine

In addition to the mucosal adjuvants given above, the compositions of the invention may include one or more further adjuvants selected from the following group: (A) aluminum salts (alum), such as aluminum hydroxides (including oxyhydroxides), aluminum phosphates (including hydroxyphosphates), aluminum sulfate, etc (Chapters 8 & 9 of Vaccine design: the subunit and adjuvant approach, eds. Powell & Newman, Plenum Press 1995 (ISBN 0-306-44867-X)); (B) oil-in-water emulsion formulations (with or without other specific immunostimulating agents such as muramyl peptides [Muramyl peptides include N-acetyl-muramyl-L-threonyl-D-isoglutamine (thr-MDP), N-acetyl-normuramyl-L-alanyl-D-isoglutamine (nor-MDP), N-acetyl-muramyl-L-alanyl-D-isoglutaminyl-L-alanine-2-(1’-2’-dipalmitoyl-sn-glycero-3-hydroxyphosphoryloxy)-ethylamine MTP-PE, etc.] or bacterial cell wall components), such as for example (a) MF59™ (Chapter 10 of Vaccine design: the subunit and adjuvant approach, eds. Powell & Newman, Plenum Press 1995 (ISBN 0-306-44867-X); International Publication WO 90/14837; US Patent No. 6,299,884) containing 5% Squalene, 0.5% Tween 80, and 0.5% Span 85 (optionally containing MTP-PE) formulated into submicron particles using a microfluidizer, (b) SAF, containing 10% Squalane, 0.4% Tween 80, 5% pluronic-blocked polymer L121, and thr-MDP either microfluidized into a submicron emulsion or vortexed to generate a larger particle size emulsion, and (c) Ribi™ adjuvant system (RAS), (Ribi Immunochem, Hamilton, MT) containing 2% Squalene, 0.2% Tween 80, and one or more bacterial cell wall components from the group consisting of monophosphoryl lipid A (MPL), trehalose dimycolate (TDM), and cell wall skeleton (CWS), preferably MPL + CWS (Detox™); (C) saponin adjuvants (chapter 22 of Vaccine design: the subunit and adjuvant approach, eds. Powell & Newman, Plenum Press 1995 (ISBN 0-306-44867-X), such as QS21 or Stimulon™ (Cambridge Bioscience, Worcester, MA), either in simple form or in the form of particles generated therefrom such as ISCOMs (immunostimulating complexes; Chapter 23 of of Vaccine design: the subunit and adjuvant approach, eds. Powell & Newman, Plenum Press 1995 (ISBN 0-306-44867-X)), which ISCOMS may be devoid of
additional detergent e.g. WO 00/07621; (D) Complete Freund's Adjuvant (CFA) and Incomplete Freund's Adjuvant (IFA); (E) cytokines, such as interleukins (e.g. IL-1, IL-2, IL-4, IL-5, IL-6, IL-7, IL-12, etc.) (International Publication WO99/44636), interferons (e.g. gamma interferon), macrophage colony stimulating factor (M-CSF), tumor necrosis factor (TNF), etc.; (F) monophosphoryl lipid A (MPL) or 3-O-deacylated MPL (3dMPL) e.g. GB-2220221 and EP-A-0689454, optionally in the substantial absence of alum when used with pneumococcal saccharides e.g. International Publication WO 00/56358; (G) combinations of 3dMPL with, for example, QS21 and/or oil-in-water emulsions e.g. EP-A-0835318; EP-A-0735898; and EP-A-0761231; (H) oligonucleotides comprising CpG motifs i.e. containing at least one CG dinucleotide, with 5-methylcytosine optionally being used in place of cytosine; (I) an immunostimulant and a particle of metal salt e.g. WO 00/23105; (J) a saponin and an oil-in-water emulsion e.g. WO 99/11241; (K) a saponin (e.g. QS21) + 3dMPL + IL-12 (optionally + a sterol) e.g. WO 98/57659; (L) double-stranded RNA; (M) other substances that act as immunostimulating agents to enhance the effectiveness of the composition (e.g. chapter 7 of Vaccine design: the subunit and adjuvant approach, eds. Powell & Newman, Plenum Press 1995 (ISBN 0-306-44867-X)). With certain adjuvants, for example aluminum salts, the flu antigen(s) may be adsorbed to the aluminum salt. 

Further components of the compositions

The compositions of the invention include an influenza antigen. However, the invention can also be applied to other antigens, instead of or in addition to influenza antigens. The composition of the invention may thus include one or more of the following antigens, in place of or in addition to the influenza antigen(s) described above:

NO:6); W097/25429), HopX (see, e.g., International Publication WO 98/04702),
HopY (see, e.g., International Publication WO 98/04702) and/or urease.

– a saccharide antigen from Streptococcus pneumoniae (see, e.g.,

– an antigen from hepatitis A virus, such as inactivated virus (see, e.g.,

– an antigen from hepatitis B virus, such as the surface and/or core
  antigens (see, e.g., Gerlich et al. (1990) Vaccine 8: Suppl:S63-68 & 79-80), with
  surface antigen preferably being adsorbed onto an aluminum phosphate (see, e.g.,
  International Publication W093/24148).

– a protein from serogroup B of N.meningitidis (see, e.g., International
  Publications W0 99/24578, W0 99/36544; W099/57280; W0 00/22430; Tettelin et al.

– an OMV preparation from serogroup B of N.meningitidis (see, e.g.,
  International Publication W0 01/52885; Bjune et al. (1991) Lancet 338(8775):1093-

– a saccharide antigen from Hemophilus influenzae B, preferably
  non-adsorbed or adsorbed onto an aluminum phosphate (see, e.g., International
  Publication W0 97/00697).

– an antigen from hepatitis C virus (see, e.g., Hsu et al. (1999) Clin Liver
  Dis 3:901-915).

– an antigen from N. gonorrhoeae (see, e.g., International Publications
  W0 99/24578, W0 99/36544; W099/57280; W0 00/22430).

– an antigen from Chlamydia pneumoniae (see, e.g., International
  Publications W0 02/02606; W00/27994; W0 99/27105; W0 00/37494; Kalman et

– an antigen from Chlamydia trachomatis (see, e.g., W0 99/28475).

– an antigen from Porphyromonas gingivalis (see, e.g., Ross et al. (2001)
  Vaccine 19:41354142).

rabies antigen(s) (see, e.g., Dreesen (1997) Vaccine 15 Suppl:S2-6)

such as lyophilised inactivated virus, RabAvert™ as described in MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 1998 Jan 16;47(1):12,19].


an antigen from a virus in the flaviviridae family (genus flavivirus), such as from yellow fever virus, Japanese encephalitis virus, four serotypes of Dengue viruses, tick-borne encephalitis virus, West Nile virus.

a pestivirus antigen, such as from classical porcine fever virus, bovine viral diarrhoea virus, and/or border disease virus.

a parvovirus antigen e.g. from parvovirus B19.


- cellular pertussis antigen.

The mixture may comprise one or more of these further antigens, which may be detoxified where necessary (e.g. detoxification of pertussis toxin by chemical and/or genetic means).

Where a diphtheria antigen is included in the mixture it is preferred also to include tetanus antigen and pertussis antigens. Similarly, where a tetanus antigen is included it is preferred also to include diphtheria and pertussis antigens. Similarly, where a pertussis antigen is included it is preferred also to include diphtheria and tetanus antigens.

Antigens in the mixture will typically be present at a concentration of at least 1μg/ml each. In general, the concentration of any given antigen will be sufficient to elicit an immune response against that antigen.

As an alternative to using proteins antigens in the mixture, nucleic acid encoding the antigen may be used. Protein components of the mixture may thus be replaced by nucleic acid (preferably DNA e.g. in the form of a plasmid) that encodes the protein. Similarly, compositions of the invention may comprise proteins which mimic saccharide antigens e.g. mimotopes (see, e.g., Charalambous & Feavers (2001) *J Med Microbiol* 50:937-939) or anti-idiotype antibodies. These may replace individual saccharine components, or may supplement them.

**Immunogenicity**

Compositions of the invention are immunogenic. Preferred immunogenic compositions are vaccines. Vaccines according to the invention may either be prophylactic (*i.e.* to prevent infection) or therapeutic (*i.e.* to treat disease after infection), but will typically be prophylactic.

Immunogenic compositions and vaccines of the invention will, in addition to components described above, typically comprise ‘pharmaceutically acceptable carriers’, which include any carrier that does not itself induce the production of antibodies harmful to the individual receiving the composition. Suitable carriers are
typically large, slowly metabolised macromolecules such as proteins, polysaccharides, polylactic acids, polyglycolic acids, polymeric amino acids, amino acid copolymers, trehalose (see, e.g., International Publication WO 00/56365), lipid aggregates (such as oil droplets or liposomes), and inactive virus particles. Such carriers are well known to those of ordinary skill in the art. The vaccines may also contain diluents, such as water, saline, glycerol, etc. Additionally, auxiliary substances, such as wetting or emulsifying agents, pH buffering substances, and the like, may be present. A thorough discussion of pharmaceutically acceptable excipients is available in Gennaro (2000) *Remington. The Science and Practice of Pharmacy*. 20th ed ISBN: 0683306472.

Immunogenic compositions used as vaccines comprise an immunologically effective amount of influenza antigen, as well as any other of the above-mentioned components, as needed. By 'immunologically effective amount', it is meant that the administration of that amount to an individual, either in a single dose or as part of a series, is effective for treatment or prevention. This amount varies depending upon the health and physical condition of the individual to be treated, age, the taxonomic group of individual to be treated (e.g. non-human primate, primate, etc.), the capacity of the individual's immune system to synthesise antibodies, the degree of protection desired, the formulation of the vaccine, the treating doctor's assessment of the medical situation, and other relevant factors. It is expected that the amount will fall in a relatively broad range that can be determined through routine trials.

Methods for determining immunogenicity of influenza vaccines are well known.

**Administration of compositions of the invention**

As mentioned above, compositions of the invention may be administered by various routes, including parenteral and mucosal. A preferred route of parenteral administration is injection. Injection may be subcutaneous, intraperitoneal, intravenous or intramuscular. Intramuscular administration to the thigh is preferred. Needle-free injection may be used. A preferred route of mucosal administration is intranasal. Transdermal or transcutaneous administration is also possible (see, e.g. W0 98/20734).
Administration may be a single dose schedule or a multiple dose schedule. A primary dose schedule may be followed by a booster dose schedule. Suitable timing between priming and boosting can be routinely determined.

Administration will generally be to an animal and, in particular, human subjects can be treated. The compositions are useful for vaccinating children and adults.

**Medical methods and uses**

The invention provides a method of raising an immune response in a patient, comprising administering to a patient a composition of the invention. The immune response is preferably protective against influenza infection, and may comprise a humoral immune response and/or a cellular immune response.

The method may raise a booster response, in a patient that has already been primed against flu virus.

The invention also provides the use of (a) an influenza antigen, (b) a detoxified ADP-ribosylating toxin, and (c) chitosan, in the manufacture of a medicament for preventing influenza virus infection.

**Definitions**

The term “comprising” means “including” as well as “consisting” e.g. a composition “comprising” X may consist exclusively of X or may include something additional e.g. X + Y.

The term “about” in relation to a numerical value x means, for example, x±10%.

The word “substantially” does not exclude “completely” e.g. a composition which is “substantially free” from Y may be completely free from Y. Where necessary, the word “substantially” may be omitted from the definition of the invention.

**BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF DRAWINGS**

Figures 1 to 3 show the repeating structures of (1) chitosan (2) chitin and (3) trimethylchitosan.
Figure 4 shows IgG titers after immunisation with HA-containing compositions, and Figure 5 shows HI titers from the same mice.

**MODES FOR CARRYING OUT THE INVENTION**

Nine groups of mice (10 mice to a group) were given two 10µg intranasal doses at 4 week intervals of influenza virus hemagglutinin either alone, with a detoxified LT adjuvant, or with a detoxified LT adjuvant and 0.5% chitosan:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Adjuvant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>LTK63, 1µg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>LTK63, 10µg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>LTR72, 1µg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>LTR72, 10µg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Chitosan + LTK63, 1µg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Chitosan + LTK63, 10µg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Chitosan + LTR72, 1µg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Chitosan + LTR72, 10µg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IgG titers were measured before immunisation, and at 2 and 4 weeks after both doses. Results are shown in Figure 4. Hemagglutination inhibition (HI) titers were measured 2 weeks after the second dose, and results are shown in Figure 5.

A comparison of groups 2 & 6 (1µg LTK63) shows that the addition of chitosan to LTK63 gives substantially better titers. The titers are increased to match those achieved with 10µg LTK63, and thus chitosan allows a lower dose of the toxin to be used.

It will be understood that the invention has been described by way of example only and modifications may be made whilst remaining within the scope and spirit of the invention.
CLAIMS

What is claimed:

1. A composition comprising
   an influenza antigen;
   a detoxified ADP-ribosylating protein; and
   a chitosan.

2. The composition of claim 1, wherein the influenza antigen comprises one or more surface antigens.

3. The composition of claim 2, wherein the surface antigens are haemagglutinin and/or neuraminidase.

4. The composition of claim 1, wherein the detoxified ADP-ribosylating protein is selected from the group consisting a detoxified diphtheria toxin protein, a detoxified exotoxin A protein, a detoxified cholera toxin (CT) protein; a detoxified heat-labile enterotoxin (LT) toxin protein; and a detoxified pertussis toxin (PT) protein.

5. The composition of claim 4, wherein the ADP-ribosylating protein is a detoxified LT, CT or PT protein.

6. The composition of claim 5, wherein the detoxified LT protein is selected from the group consisting of LTK7, LTX53, LTK63, LTY63, LTR72, LTX97, LTX104, LTS106, LTK112, LGT192, CTK7, CTK11, CTX53, CTF61, CTK63, CTY63, CTX97, CTX104, CTK112, CTS106, PTK9, PTG129 and combinations thereof.

7. The composition of any of the preceding claims, wherein the chitosan is at least 75% deacteylated.
8. The composition of claim 7, wherein the chitosan is alkylated.

9. The composition of claim 8, wherein the chitosan is trialkylated.

10. The composition of any of the preceding claims, wherein the influenza antigen is provided as a polynucleotide encoding the antigen.

11. The composition of any of the preceding claims, further comprising one or more additional antigens and/or one or more additional adjuvants.

12. The composition of any of the preceding claims which is adapted for mucosal administration.

13. The composition of claim 12, wherein the mucosal administration is intranasal.

14. The composition of claim 13, in the form a nasal spray or nasal drops.

15. A dispensing device in combination of the composition of any of the preceding claims, wherein the dispensing device is adapted to deliver the composition intranasally.

16. A kit comprising any of the compositions according to claims 1-14, wherein when combined or reconstituted, the composition is suitable for mucosal administration.

17. A method of generating an immune response to influenza in a subject, the method comprising: administering to the subject the composition according to any of claims 1-14.

18. The method of claim 17, wherein the immune response protects the subject against influenza infection or disease.
19. Use of at least one influenza antigen, a chitosan and a detoxified ADP-ribosylating protein in the manufacture of a medicament for mucosal delivery to an animal in order to raise an immune response.

20. Use of claim 18, wherein the medicament is for intranasal delivery.