



(51) International Patent Classification:

B01J 23/46 (2006.01) **H01M 4/90** (2006.01)
C25B 11/04 (2006.01) **C23C 18/12** (2006.01)

(21) International Application Number:

PCT/EP2012/058144

(22) International Filing Date:

3 May 2012 (03.05.2012)

(25) Filing Language:

English

(26) Publication Language:

English

(30) Priority Data:

MI2011A000735 3 May 2011 (03.05.2011) IT

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): **INDUSTRIE DE NORA S.P.A.** [IT/IT]; Via Bistolfi 35, I-20134 Milano (IT).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): **BRICHESE, Marianna** [IT/IT]; Via della Sachedta, 3, I-30021 Caorle (VE) (IT). **ANTOZZI, Antonio Lorenzo** [IT/IT]; Via Sant' Ambrogio, 20, I-23807 Merate (LC) (IT). **CALDERARA, Alice** [IT/IT]; Via della Vittoria, 34, I-26020 Agnadello (CR) (IT).

(74) Agent: **REITSTÖTTER KINZEBACH**; Sternwartstr. 4, 81679 München (DE).

(81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every

kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM, AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KM, KN, KP, KR, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, QA, RO, RS, RU, RW, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every

kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, RW, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, RU, TJ, TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, RS, SE, SI, SK, SM, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

— with international search report (Art. 21(3))

(54) Title: ELECTRODE FOR ELECTROLYTIC PROCESSES AND METHOD OF MANUFACTURING THEREOF

(57) Abstract: The invention relates to an electrode for electrolytic processes, particularly to a cathode suitable for hydrogen evolution in an industrial electrolysis process comprising a metal substrate coated with an external catalytic layer containing crystalline ruthenium oxide having a highly ordered rutile-type structure with Ru Ru and Ru O bond length characterised by a Debye-Waller factor lower than a critical value. The catalytic outer layer may contain rare earth oxides, such as praseodymium. The electrode may also comprise an internal catalytic thin layer platinum-based, which gives an enhanced protection against accidental current reversal events.



ELECTRODE FOR ELECTROLYTIC PROCESSES AND METHOD OF MANUFACTURING THEREOF

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The invention relates to an electrode for electrolytic processes, in particular to a cathode suitable for evolving hydrogen in an industrial electrolytic process, and to a method for manufacturing the same.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The invention relates to an electrode for electrolytic processes, in particular to a cathode suitable for evolving hydrogen in an industrial electrolytic process. The electrolysis of alkali brines for the simultaneous production of chlorine and alkali and the processes of electrochemical production of hypochlorites and chlorates are the most typical examples of industrial electrolytic applications with cathodic hydrogen evolution, but the electrode is not limited to any particular application. In the industry of electrolytic processes, competitiveness is associated to several factors, the main of which is the reduction of energy consumption, directly associated with the process voltage; this justifies the many efforts directed to decrease the various components of the latter, among which cathodic overvoltage has to be counted. Cathodic overvoltages that can be naturally obtained with electrodes of chemically resistant materials (for instance carbon steel) having no catalytic activity were long considered acceptable. The market nevertheless requires, in the specific case, higher and higher caustic product concentrations, which made the use of carbon steel cathodes unfeasible due to corrosion problems; moreover, the increase in the cost of energy made the use of catalysts advisable in order to facilitate the cathodic evolution of hydrogen. One possible solution is using nickel substrates, more chemically resistant than carbon steel, and platinum-based catalytic coatings. Cathodes of such type are normally characterised by acceptable cathodic overvoltages, presenting however very high costs due to the use of platinum and limited operative lifetimes, likely due to the poor adhesion of the coating to the substrate. A partial improvement in the adhesion of the catalytic coating on nickel substrates can be obtained by adding cerium to the catalytic coating formulation, optionally as porous external layer with a protective function towards the underlying platinum-based catalytic layer; this type of cathode however tends to suffer serious damages following the occasional current reversals inevitably produced in industrial plants in case of failures.

A partial improvement in the tolerance to current reversal is obtainable by activating the nickel cathode substrate by means of a coating consisting of two distinct phases, a first phase comprising the noble metal-based catalyst and a second phase having a protective function comprising palladium, optionally in admixture with silver. This type of electrode nevertheless presents a sufficient catalytic activity only in case the noble metal phase contains high amounts of platinum, preferably with a significant addition of rhodium; for example, replacing platinum with cheaper ruthenium in the catalytic phase entails the onset of remarkably higher cathodic overvoltages. Moreover, the manufacturing of the coating consisting of two distinct phases requires an extremely delicate process control in order to achieve sufficiently reproducible results.

Thus, it becomes evident the need for a new cathode composition for industrial electrolytic processes, in particular for electrolytic processes with cathodic evolution of hydrogen, characterised, in comparison with the prior art, by an equal or higher catalytic activity, a lower overall raw material cost, a higher reproducibility in the preparation and an equal or higher lifetime and tolerance to accidental current reversals at the usual operative conditions.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

Various aspects of the invention are set out in the accompanying claims.

Under one aspect, an electrode for electrolytic processes comprises a metal substrate, for instance made of nickel, copper or carbon steel, coated with an external catalytic layer comprising 4-40 g/m² of crystalline ruthenium oxide having a highly ordered rutile-type structure, i.e. with Ru-Ru and Ru-O bond length characterised by a Debye-Waller factor lower than $2 \cdot 10^3 \text{ \AA}^2$. The inventors observed that such a high degree of order in rutile-type RuO₂ crystals is capable of imparting surprising catalytic activity and current reversal tolerance characteristics.

In one embodiment, the external catalytic layer further contains 1 to 10 g/m² of rare earths, optionally praseodymium, in form of oxides. This can have the advantage of further enhancing current reversal tolerance for a given ruthenium loading.

In one embodiment, the electrode further comprises an internal catalytic layer interposed between the substrate and the external catalytic layer; the internal catalytic layer has a modest amount of platinum, for instance comprised between 0.5 and 2 g/m², in

form of metal or oxide. This can have the advantage of sensibly improving the electrode tolerance to current reversal, surprisingly bringing it to values very close to those characteristic of electrodes activated with high amounts of pure platinum, which is more robust but much more expensive than ruthenium.

In one embodiment, the metal substrate consists of a nickel mesh or punched sheet.

Under another aspect, a method for manufacturing an electrode as hereinbefore described comprises applying a chloride-free acetic solution of ruthenium to a metal substrate, obtained starting from a ruthenium nitrate, for instance Ru(III) nitrosyl nitrate, a commercially available compound expressed by the formula $\text{Ru}(\text{NO})(\text{NO}_3)_3$ or sometimes as $\text{Ru}(\text{NO})(\text{NO}_3)_x$ indicating that the average valence state of ruthenium can slightly deviate from 3. This species, which in one embodiment is present in the solution at a concentration of 60-200 g/l, has the advantage of being easily available in a quantity sufficient for an industrial production of electrodes. In one embodiment, the method comprises preparing a ruthenium solution by dissolution of a ruthenium nitrate in glacial acetic acid under stirring, with the optional addition of nitric acid, followed by a dilution with an aqueous solution of acetic acid at a concentration comprised between 5 and 20% by weight; the subsequent application of the obtained solution on a metal substrate in multiple coats, with thermal decomposition at 400-600°C for a time not lower than 2 minutes after each coat. The solution can be applied for instance to a nickel mesh or to an expanded or punched sheet by electrostatic spraying, brushing, dipping or other known techniques. After the deposition of each coat of solution, the substrate may be subjected to a drying phase, for instance for 5-15 minutes at 80-100°C, followed by thermal decomposition at 400-600°C for a time not lower than two minutes and usually comprised between 5 and 20 minutes. The above indicated concentrations allow the deposition of about 10-15 g/m² of ruthenium in 4-10 coats.

In one embodiment, prior to the application to the substrate, the ruthenium solution is mixed to the solution of a rare earth, for instance praseodymium, obtained by dissolution of rare earth nitrates in glacial acetic acid under stirring, with optional addition of nitric acid. Optionally, the concentration of the thus obtained ruthenium solution can be suitably diluted, before the application, with a 5-20% wt. acetic acid solution.

In one embodiment, prior to the application and subsequent decomposition of the

ruthenium solution, containing or not rare earths, the method comprises applying in multiple coats and then thermally decomposing at 400-600°C for a time not lower than 2 minutes after each coat a platinum solution obtained by dissolution of a platinum nitrate or nitrite (for instance Pt diamino dinitrate $\text{Pt}(\text{NH}_3)_2(\text{NO}_3)_2$), in glacial acetic acid under stirring, with optional addition of nitric acid, followed by dilution with an aqueous solution of acetic acid at a concentration of 5 to 20% by weight.

The inventors surprisingly observed that the degree of crystalline order and hence the activity, lifetime and tolerance to current reversal of ruthenium-catalysed electrodes used as cathodes for hydrogen evolution turn out to be much higher if substantially chloride-free nitrate-based precursors in acetic solution are used for their preparation, rather than the common precursor of the prior art consisting of RuCl_3 in hydrochloric solution. Without wishing the invention to be limited by any particular theory, this might be due to the initial formation of a complex species in which a ruthenium atom is coordinated with acetic or carboxylic ligands, in the absence of coordination bonds with chloride. Chlorides possibly present in a precursor solution for the preparation of electrodes must be eliminated during the thermal decomposition step; it is possible that the removal of such a bulky ion from the crystal lattice under formation would produce warping of the same, decreasing its degree of order.

Some of the most significant results obtained by the inventors are presented in the following examples, which are not intended to limit the domain of the invention.

EXAMPLE 1

A quantity of $\text{Ru}(\text{NO})(\text{NO}_3)_3$ corresponding to 100 g of Ru was dissolved in 300 ml of glacial acetic acid with the addition of a few ml of concentrated nitric acid. The solution was stirred for three hours keeping the temperature at 50°C. The solution was then brought to a volume of 500 ml with 10% wt. acetic acid (ruthenium solution).

Separately, a quantity of $\text{Pr}(\text{NO}_3)_2$ corresponding to 100 g of Pr was dissolved in 300 ml of glacial acetic acid with addition of few ml of concentrated nitric acid. The solution was stirred for three hours keeping the temperature at 50°C. The solution was then brought to a volume of 500 ml with 10% wt. acetic acid (rare earth solution).

480 ml of the ruthenium solution were mixed to 120 ml of the rare earth solution and left under stirring for five minutes. The thus obtained solution was brought to 1 litre with 10% wt. acetic acid (precursor).

A mesh of nickel 200 of 100 mm x 100 mm x 0.89 mm size was subjected to a process of blasting with corundum, etching in 20% HCl at 85°C for 2 minutes and thermal annealing at 500°C for 1 hour. The precursor was then applied by brushing in 6 subsequent coats, carrying out a drying treatment for 10 minutes at 80-90°C and a thermal decomposition for 10 minutes at 500°C after each coat until obtaining a deposition of 11.8 g/m² of Ru and 2.95 g/m² of Pr.

The sample was subjected to a performance test, showing an ohmic drop-corrected initial cathodic potential of -924 mV/NHE at 3 kA/m² under hydrogen evolution in 33% NaOH, at a temperature of 90°C, which indicates an excellent catalytic activity.

The same sample was subsequently subjected to cyclic voltammetry in a range of -1 to +0.5 V/NHE at a 10 mV/s scan rate; after 25 cycles, the cathodic potential was -961 mV/NHE, which indicates an excellent current reversal tolerance.

EXAMPLE 2

A quantity of Ru(NO)(NO₃)₃ corresponding to 100 g of Ru was dissolved in 300 ml of glacial acetic acid with addition of few ml of concentrated nitric acid. The solution was stirred for three hours keeping the temperature at 50°C. The solution was then brought to a volume of 1 litre with 10% wt. acetic acid (precursor).

A mesh of nickel 200 of 100 mm x 100 mm x 0.89 mm size was subjected to a process of blasting with corundum, etching in 20% HCl at 85°C for 2 minutes and thermal annealing at 500°C for 1 hour. The previously obtained precursor was then applied by brushing in 7 subsequent coats, carrying out a drying treatment for 10 minutes at 80-90°C and a thermal decomposition for 10 minutes at 500°C after each coat until obtaining a deposition of 12 g/m² of Ru.

The sample was subjected to a performance test, showing an ohmic drop-corrected initial cathodic potential of -925 mV/NHE at 3 kA/m² under hydrogen evolution in 33% NaOH, at a temperature of 90°C, which indicates an excellent catalytic activity.

The same sample was subsequently subjected to cyclic voltammetry in a range of -1 to +0.5 V/NHE at a 10 mV/s scan rate; after 25 cycles, the cathodic potential was -979 mV/NHE, which indicates an excellent current reversal tolerance.

EXAMPLE 3

A quantity of Pt diamino dinitrate, $\text{Pt}(\text{NH}_3)_2(\text{NO}_3)_2$ corresponding to 50 g of Pt was dissolved in 200 ml of glacial acetic acid. The solution was stirred for 3 hours keeping the temperature at 50°C, then brought to a volume of 500 ml with 10% wt. acetic acid (platinum solution).

A quantity of $\text{Ru}(\text{NO})(\text{NO}_3)_3$ corresponding to 100 g of Ru was dissolved in 300 ml of glacial acetic acid with addition of few ml of concentrated nitric acid. The solution was stirred for three hours keeping the temperature at 50°C. The solution was then brought to a volume of 500 ml with 10% wt. acetic acid (ruthenium solution).

Separately, a quantity of $\text{Pr}(\text{NO}_3)_2$ corresponding to 100 g of Pr was dissolved in 300 ml of glacial acetic acid with addition of few ml of concentrated nitric acid. The solution was stirred for three hours keeping the temperature at 50°C. The solution was then brought to a volume of 500 ml with 10% wt. acetic acid (rare earth solution). 480 ml of the ruthenium solution were mixed to 120 ml of the rare earth solution and left under stirring for five minutes. The thus obtained solution was brought to 1 litre with 10% wt. acetic acid (precursor).

A mesh of nickel 200 of 100 mm x 100 mm x 0.89 mm size was subjected to a process of blasting with corundum, etching in 20% HCl at 85°C for 2 minutes and thermal annealing at 500°C for 1 hour.

The platinum solution was then applied by brushing in just one coat, getting 0.9 g/m² of Pt.

The ruthenium and praseodymium precursor was then applied by brushing in 5 subsequent coats, carrying out a drying treatment for 10 minutes at 80-90°C and a thermal decomposition for 10 minutes at 500°C after each coat until obtaining a deposition of 7.8 g/m² of Ru and 1.95 g/m² of Pr.

The sample was subjected to a performance test, showing an ohmic drop-corrected initial cathodic potential of -922 mV/NHE at 3 kA/m² under hydrogen evolution in 33% NaOH, at a temperature of 90°C, which indicates an excellent catalytic activity.

The same sample was subsequently subjected to cyclic voltammetry in a range of -1 to +0.5 V/NHE at a 10 mV/s scan rate; after 25 cycles, the cathodic potential was -940 mV/NHE, which indicates an excellent current reversal tolerance.

COUNTEREXAMPLE 1

A mesh of nickel 200 of 100 mm x 100 mm x 0.89 mm size was subjected to a process of blasting with corundum, etching in 20% HCl at 85°C for 2 minutes and thermal annealing at 500°C for 1 hour. The mesh was then activated by applying RuCl₃ in nitric solution by brushing at a concentration of 96 g/l, carrying out a drying treatment for 10 minutes at 80-90°C and a thermal decomposition for 10 minutes at 500°C after each coat until obtaining a deposition of 12.2 g/m² of Ru.

The sample was subjected to a performance test, showing an ohmic drop-corrected initial cathodic potential of -942 mV/NHE at 3 kA/m² under hydrogen evolution in 33% NaOH, at a temperature of 90°C, which indicates a fair catalytic activity.

The same sample was subsequently subjected to cyclic voltammetry in a range of -1 to +0.5 V/NHE at a 10 mV/s scan rate; after 25 cycles, the cathodic potential was -1100 mV/NHE, which indicates a modest current reversal tolerance.

COUNTEREXAMPLE 2

A quantity of RuCl₃ corresponding to 100 g of Ru was dissolved in 300 ml of glacial acetic acid with addition of few ml of concentrated nitric acid. The solution was stirred for three hours keeping the temperature at 50°C. The solution was then brought to a volume of 500 ml with 10% wt. acetic acid (ruthenium solution).

Separately, a quantity of Pr(NO₃)₂ corresponding to 100 g of Pr was dissolved in 300 ml of glacial acetic acid with addition of few ml of concentrated nitric acid. The solution was stirred for three hours keeping the temperature at 50°C. The solution was then brought to a volume of 500 ml with 10% wt. acetic acid (rare earth solution).

480 ml of the ruthenium solution were mixed to 120 ml of the rare earth solution and left under stirring for five minutes. The thus obtained solution was brought to 1 litre with 10% wt. acetic acid (precursor).

A mesh of nickel 200 of 100 mm x 100 mm x 0.89 mm size was subjected to a process of blasting with corundum, etching in 20% HCl at 85°C for 2 minutes and thermal

annealing at 500°C for 1 hour. The precursor was then applied by brushing in 7 subsequent coats, carrying out a drying treatment for 10 minutes at 80-90°C and a thermal decomposition for 10 minutes at 500°C after each coat until obtaining a deposition of 12.6 g/m² of Ru and 1.49 g/m² of Pr.

The sample was subjected to a performance test, showing an ohmic drop-corrected initial cathodic potential of -932 mV/NHE at 3 kA/m² under hydrogen evolution in 33% NaOH, at a temperature of 90°C, which indicates a good catalytic activity.

The same sample was subsequently subjected to cyclic voltammetry in a range of -1 to +0.5 V/NHE at a 10 mV/s scan rate; after 25 cycles, the cathodic potential was -1080 mV/NHE, which indicates a modest current reversal tolerance.

COUNTEREXAMPLE 3

A quantity of Ru(NO)(NO₃)₃ corresponding to 100 g of Ru was dissolved in 500 ml of 37% by volume hydrochloric acid with addition of few ml of concentrated nitric acid. The solution was stirred for three hours keeping the temperature at 50°C. The solution was then brought to a volume of 500 ml with 10% wt. acetic acid (ruthenium solution).

Separately, a quantity of Pr(NO₃)₂ corresponding to 100 g of Pr was dissolved in 500 ml of 37% by volume hydrochloric acid with addition of few ml of concentrated nitric acid. The solution was stirred for three hours keeping the temperature at 50°C (rare earth solution).

480 ml of the ruthenium solution were mixed to 120 ml of the rare earth solution and left under stirring for five minutes. The thus obtained solution was brought to 1 litre with 1 N hydrochloric acid (precursor).

A mesh of nickel 200 of 100 mm x 100 mm x 0.89 mm size was subjected to a process of blasting with corundum, etching in 20% HCl at 85°C for 2 minutes and thermal annealing at 500°C for 1 hour. The precursor was then applied by brushing in 7 subsequent coats, carrying out a drying treatment for 10 minutes at 80-90°C and a thermal decomposition for 10 minutes at 500°C after each coat until obtaining a deposition of 13.5 g/m² of Ru and 1.60 g/m² of Pr.

The sample was subjected to a performance test, showing an ohmic drop-corrected initial cathodic potential of -930 mV/NHE at 3 kA/m² under hydrogen evolution in 33% NaOH, at a temperature of 90°C, which indicates a good catalytic activity.

The same sample was subsequently subjected to cyclic voltammetry in a range of -1 to +0.5 V/NHE at a 10 mV/s scan rate; after 25 cycles, the cathodic potential was -1090 mV/NHE, which indicates a modest current reversal tolerance.

EXAMPLE 4

The electrodes obtained in Example 1 and Counterexample 1 were subjected to spectroscopic characterisations by X-ray absorption, XANES (X-ray Absorption Near Edge Structure) and EXAFS (Extended X-Ray Absorption Fine Structure). The XANES spectra of the two samples looked qualitatively similar but not identical, displaying short-range structural differences.

The corresponding EXAFS spectra show in fact that although the same rutile-type fundamental structure is present – i.e. a primitive tetragonal lattice wherein ruthenium atoms are surrounded by six oxygen atoms located at the vertices of a octahedron and oxygen atoms are surrounded by 3 ruthenium atoms arranged according to a planar trigonal coordination – some significant differences are visible.

The interpolation of the first coordination sphere, which provides information internal to the octahedral structure with the ruthenium atom at the centre, shows how the sample of Example 1 has a coordination number much closer to the theoretical one, while that of Counterexample 1 presents two additional oxygen atoms, indicating the existence of an amorphous Ru-OH or RuOH₂ phase due to the presence of H₂O inside the lattice. The corresponding Debye-Waller factor shows a much higher degree of order for the sample of Example 1, as it can be inferred from Table 1:

TABLE 1

sample	r (Å)	n (atoms)	$\sigma \cdot 10^{-3}$ (Å ⁻²)
Example 1	1.96	6.24	1.2
Counterexample 1	1.97	7.78	4.2
Rutile (theoretical)	1.98	6	

The interpolation of the second sphere gives information about the Ru-Ru (a and b, corresponding to the two different sides of the primitive tetragonal cell) and Ru-O bonds. In

this case, the obtained data show how the samples of the example and of the counterexample have minor differences (mutually and with respect to the theoretical model) as regards the Ru-Ru bond length, while the Ru-O bond is significantly longer for the sample of the counterexample (Table 2) This implies that the degree of distortion dramatically evidenced by the Debye-Waller factor, is fundamentally attributable to oxygen atom dislocations.

TABLE 2

sample	bond	r (Å)	n (atoms)	$\sigma \cdot 10^{-3}$ (Å ⁻²)
Example 1	Ru-Ru (a)	3.12	1.34	1
	Ru-Ru (b)	3.54	4.24	
	Ru-O	3.77	8	1.5
Counterexample 1	Ru-Ru (a)	3.10	0.94	3.4
	Ru-Ru (b)	3.56	3.32	
	Ru-O	3.87	8	5.2
Rutile (theoretical)	Ru-Ru (a)	3.11	2	
	Ru-Ru (b)	3.55	8	
	Ru-O	3.69	8	

Those reported above are the most significant data of a vast EXAFS testing campaign carried out on a large number of samples, corresponding to analogous structures prepared in accordance with the examples (totally chloride-free environments, with variable precursors and compositions) and the counterexamples (presence of chlorides in the base reactants, or as hydrochloric acid in the reaction environment).

In all cases, the interpolation of the second coordination sphere provided a Debye-Waller factor largely lower than $2 \cdot 10^3$ Å⁻² in the absence of chlorides (both for the Ru-Ru and for the Ru-O bonds) and not lower than 3 in the presence of chlorides (in particular, always higher than 4.8 for the Ru-O bond). It was possible moreover to verify a good correlation between degree of disorder (with particular reference to the Debye-Waller factor for the Ru-O bond) and electrode performances, especially in terms of current reversal tolerance.

The previous description shall not be intended as limiting the invention, which may be used according to different embodiments without departing from the scopes thereof, and whose extent is solely defined by the appended claims.

Throughout the description and claims of the present application, the term "comprise" and variations thereof such as "comprising" and "comprises" are not intended to exclude the presence of other elements, components or additional process steps.

CLAIMS

1. Electrode for cathodic evolution of hydrogen in electrolytic processes comprising a metal substrate coated with an external catalytic layer containing 4 to 40 g/m² of crystalline ruthenium oxide having a rutile-type structure with Ru-Ru and Ru-O bond length characterised by a Debye-Waller factor lower than $2 \cdot 10^3 \text{ \AA}^{-2}$.
2. The electrode according to claim 1 wherein said external catalytic layer further contains 1 to 10 g/m² of rare earths in form of oxides.
3. The electrode according to claim 2 wherein said rare earths comprise praseodymium oxide.
4. The electrode according to any one of claims 1 to 3 comprising an internal catalytic layer containing 0.5 to 2 g/m² of platinum in form of oxide or metal, interposed between said metal substrate and said external catalytic layer.
5. The electrode according to any one of the preceding claims wherein said metal substrate is made of nickel or nickel alloy.
6. Method for manufacturing an electrode according to any one of claims 1 to 5, comprising the following steps:
 - preparation of a ruthenium solution by dissolution of a ruthenium nitrate in glacial acetic acid under stirring, with optional addition of nitric acid, followed by a dilution with an aqueous solution of acetic acid at a concentration comprised between 5 and 20% by weight;
 - application of said solution on a metal substrate in multiple coats, with thermal decomposition at 400-600°C for a time not lower than 2 minutes after each coat.
7. The method according to claim 6 further comprising the following steps, prior to said application step:

- preparation of a rare earth solution by dissolution of at least one nitrate of a rare earth in glacial acetic acid under stirring, with optional addition of nitric acid;
 - mixing under optional stirring of said ruthenium solution with said rare earth solution;
 - subsequent optional dilution with an aqueous solution of acetic acid at a concentration comprised between 5 and 20% by weight.
8. The method according to claim 6 or 7 further comprising:
- a step of preparation of a platinum solution by dissolution of a nitrate or nitrite of platinum in glacial acetic acid under stirring, with optional addition of nitric acid, followed by a dilution with an aqueous solution of acetic acid at a concentration comprised between 5 and 20% by weight;
 - a step of application of said platinum solution on said metal substrate in multiple coats, with thermal decomposition at 400-600°C for a time not lower than 2 minutes after each coat, prior to the application of said ruthenium solution.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No
PCT/EP2012/058144

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
INV. B01J23/46 C25B11/04 H01M4/90 C23C18/12
ADD.
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED
Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)
B01J C25B H01M C23C
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)
EPO-Internal, WPI Data

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X,P	WO 2011/101477 A1 (INDUSTRIE DE NORA SPA [IT]; BRICHESE MARIANNA [IT]; ANTOZZI ANTONIO LO) 25 August 2011 (2011-08-25)	1-3,5-7
Y,P L	the whole document	4,8 1-3,5-7
Y	----- EP 0 135 475 A1 (ELTECH SYSTEMS CORP [US]) 27 March 1985 (1985-03-27) paragraph [0006]	4,8
A	----- WO 2008/043766 A2 (INDUSTRIE DE NORA SPA [IT]; ANTOZZI ANTONIO LORENZO [IT]; BARGIONI CLA) 17 April 2008 (2008-04-17) claims 1,4,7,8,10,12,13 ----- -/--	1-5

Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.

See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
- "&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search 10 August 2012	Date of mailing of the international search report 20/08/2012
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Authorized officer Desbois, Valérie

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No
PCT/EP2012/058144

C(Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US 5 358 889 A (EMESH ISMAIL T [CA] ET AL) 25 October 1994 (1994-10-25) column 4, lines 9-25 column 5, lines 31-37 claims 1,3-8	1-3,5-7
A	----- US 2008/200703 A1 (VAN LAAR FREDERIK [AE] ET AL) 21 August 2008 (2008-08-21) paragraphs [0037] - [0039]	1-3,5-7
A	----- EP 1 916 320 A2 (CHLORINE ENG CORP LTD [JP]) 30 April 2008 (2008-04-30) page 3, lines 42-45 paragraphs [0028] - [0030]; example 1 claims 1,3,4	1-3,5-7
A	----- US 2006/231387 A1 (HOUDA HIROYOSHI [JP] ET AL) 19 October 2006 (2006-10-19) paragraphs [0002], [0041]	1-5

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No
PCT/EP2012/058144

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO 2011101477	A1	25-08-2011	AR 080252 A1 21-03-2012 WO 2011101477 A1 25-08-2011

EP 0135475	A1	27-03-1985	EP 0135475 A1 27-03-1985 EP 0153356 A1 04-09-1985 JP 6089470 B 09-11-1994 JP S60502214 A 19-12-1985 WO 8500838 A1 28-02-1985

WO 2008043766	A2	17-04-2008	AU 2007306373 A1 17-04-2008 CA 2665569 A1 17-04-2008 CN 101522952 A 02-09-2009 EP 2084308 A2 05-08-2009 JP 2010506050 A 25-02-2010 KR 20090098792 A 17-09-2009 RU 2009117607 A 20-11-2010 TW 200817533 A 16-04-2008 US 2009194411 A1 06-08-2009 WO 2008043766 A2 17-04-2008 ZA 200902129 A 28-07-2010

US 5358889	A	25-10-1994	NONE

US 2008200703	A1	21-08-2008	EP 1896174 A1 12-03-2008 JP 2008543551 A 04-12-2008 US 2008200703 A1 21-08-2008 WO 2006136569 A1 28-12-2006

EP 1916320	A2	30-04-2008	CN 101225527 A 23-07-2008 EP 1916320 A2 30-04-2008 JP 4274489 B2 10-06-2009 JP 2008133532 A 12-06-2008 US 2008099328 A1 01-05-2008 US 2010155235 A1 24-06-2010

US 2006231387	A1	19-10-2006	AU 2003221445 A1 29-09-2003 CA 2447766 A1 25-09-2003 CN 1509345 A 30-06-2004 EP 1486587 A1 15-12-2004 JP 4346070 B2 14-10-2009 TW I309265 B 01-05-2009 US 2004151896 A1 05-08-2004 US 2006231387 A1 19-10-2006 WO 03078694 A1 25-09-2003
