



(51) International Patent Classification:

C12N 15/63 (2006.01) C12N 15/11 (2006.01)

(21) International Application Number:

PCT/US2020/036399

(22) International Filing Date:

05 June 2020 (05.06.2020)

(25) Filing Language:

English

(26) Publication Language:

English

(30) Priority Data:

62/858,717 07 June 2019 (07.06.2019) US
62/936,734 18 November 2019 (18.11.2019) US
62/989,920 16 March 2020 (16.03.2020) US

(71) Applicants: MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY [US/US]; 77 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge, MA 02139 (US). THE BROAD INSTITUTE, INC. [US/US]; 415 Main Street, Cambridge, MA 02142 (US).

(72) Inventors: BADRAN, Ahmed, Hussein; The Broad Institute, Inc., 415 Main Street, Cambridge, MA 02142 (US). DEBENEDICTIS, Erika, Alden; MIT Media Lab, 77 Mass. Ave., E15-354, Cambridge, MA 02139-4307 (US). ESVELT, Kevin, Michael; MIT Media Lab, 77 Mass. Ave., E15-354, Cambridge, MA 02139-4307 (US).

(74) Agent: ANDERSON, MaryDilys, S.; Pierce Atwood LLP, 100 Summer Street, Suite 2250, Boston, MA 02110 (US).

(81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM, AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BN, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DJ, DK, DM, DO,

DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IR, IS, JO, JP, KE, KG, KH, KN, KP, KR, KW, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PA, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, QA, RO, RS, RU, RW, SA, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, WS, ZA, ZM, ZW.


(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, RW, SD, SL, ST, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, RU, TJ, TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, RS, SE, SI, SK, SM, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, KM, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

- without international search report and to be republished upon receipt of that report (Rule 48.2(g))
- with sequence listing part of description (Rule 5.2(a))

(54) Title: FRAMESHIFT SUPPRESSOR tRNA COMPOSITIONS AND METHODS OF USE

Fig. 4B



(57) Abstract: The invention, in part, includes compositions comprising quadruplet decoding tRNAs and their encoding sequences. The invention also includes assay methods to assess quadruplet decoding as well as methods of preparing quadruplet decoding suppression tRNAs.



FRAMESHIFT SUPPRESSOR tRNA COMPOSITIONS AND METHODS OF USE

Related Applications

This application claims the benefit under 35 U.S.C. §119(e) of U.S. Provisional application serial number 62/989,920 filed March 16, 2020, U.S. Provisional application serial number 62/936,734 filed November 18, 2019, and U.S. Provisional application serial number 62/858,717 filed June 7, 2019, the disclosure of each of which is incorporated by reference herein in its entirety.

Government Interest

This invention was made with government support under DP5 OD024590 and F31 AI145181 awarded by the National Institutes of Health, and NNH17ZDA001N awarded by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. The government has certain rights in the invention.

Field of the Invention

This disclosure relates generally to suppressor tRNA compounds, compositions comprising suppressor tRNA compounds, and methods to identify, evolve, and use suppressor tRNA compounds.

Background of the Invention

Every living organism uses a genetic code in which three base pairs are translated into one amino acid. The origin of triplet codon universality is intriguing given that naturally occurring four-base codons can be translated by cognate quadruplet decoding tRNAs.

Previous studies attempting to use quadruplet decoding to incorporate unnatural amino acids have proven less effective than triplet decoding approaches such as amber suppression, and the basis for this difficulty, and means to improve quadruplet decoding remain unknown.

Previous suppressor tRNAs often contain a one-bp insertion in their anticodon loop, and generate a frameshift during translation, effectively causing them to decode a ‘quadruplet codon’ [Atkins, J. F. & Björk, G. R. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 73, 178–210 (2009)].

Puzzlingly, some suppressor tRNAs only require Watson-Crick matching between the first three-bases of the four-base codon [Bossi, L. & Roth, J. R. *Cell* 25, 489–496 (1981) and Wang, N., et al., *Sci. Rep.* 6, 21898 (2016)], while others benefit from all four-bases matching [Magliery, T. J., et al., (Edited by M. Gottesman). *J. Mol. Biol.* 307, 755–769 (2001); Curran,

J. F. & Yarus, M. *Science* 238, 1545–1550 (1987); and Gaber, R. F. & Culbertson, M. R. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 4, 2052–2061 (1984)].

Studying suppressor tRNAs has been further complicated by their dependence on transcript sequence context [Salser, W. *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 105, 125–130 (1969); Fluck, M. M., et al., *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 151, 137–149 (1977); Salser, W., et al., *Cold Spring Harb. Symp. Quant. Biol.* 34, 513–520 (1969); Yahata, H., et al., *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 106, 208–212 (1970); Akaboshi, E., Inouye, M. & Tsugita, A. *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 149, 1–4 (1976); Feinstein, S. I. & Altman, S. *J. Mol. Biol.* 112, 453–470 (1977); Colby, D. S., et al., *Cell* Nov:9(3):449-63 (1976); Feinstein, S. I. & Altman, S. *Genetics* 88, 201–219 (1978); and Fluck, M. M. & Epstein, R. H. *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 177, 615–627 (1980)] and low efficiency *in vivo* [Italia, J.S., et al., (2019) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* Apr 17;141(15):6204-6212]. Quadruplet codons have already been used together with amber suppressors to site-specifically integrate two distinct Non-Canonical Amino Acids (NCAAs) into a single protein chain [Neumann, H., et al., *Nature* 464, 441–444 (2010)]. Quadruplet decoding is an attractive strategy for genetic code expansion because exclusive quadruplet translation offers, in theory, 256 unique codons. However, it is unclear whether exclusive quadruplet translation is possible, and the existing state of the art is far from this goal. Indeed, all quadruplet decoding tRNAs used for NCAAs involve just eight quadruplet codons: UAGN, AGGN; hardly an expanded codon set. All quadruplet decoding tRNAs that have been used for quadruplet decoding engineering in bacteria are based on just five distinct tRNA scaffolds: Serine from *E. coli* 4; Tyrosine [Wang, L., et al., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 122, 5010–5011 (2000)] and Leucine; [Wang, L., et al., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 122, 5010–5011 (2000)18] from *M. jannaschii* ; Pyrrolysine from *M. mazei* or *M. barkeri* [Nozawa, K. et al. *Nature* 457, 1163–1167 (2009); Fekner, T. & Chan, M. K. *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.* 15, 387–391 (2011)], and a consensus Archaeal Lysine based on *P. horikoshii* [Anderson, J. C. et al. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 101, 7566–7571 (2004)]. In comparison, stop codon suppressor engineering has been comprehensively studied [Kleina, L. G., et al., *J. Mol. Biol.* 213, 705–717 (1990); Normanly, J., et al., *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 83, 6548–6552 (1986); Normanly, J., et al., *J. Mol. Biol.* 213, 719–726 (1990); and Hoesl, M. G. & Budisa, N. *Biotechnol.* 23, 751–757 (2012)], and is generally higher efficiency, making it preferred for NCAA incorporation today. It is thus important to determine whether the challenges of inefficiency, context dependence, and potentially heterogeneous mechanism can be overcome, or if they cause quadruplet decoding to be unsuitable for engineering applications.

Summary of the Invention

According to one aspect of the invention, a composition is provided, the composition including a suppressor tRNA, wherein the suppressor tRNA is encoded by a sequence set forth as any one of SEQ ID NOs: 1-21, 28-67, 88-97, and 131-145. In certain embodiments, the composition also includes a detectable label. In some embodiments, the suppressor tRNA includes the detectable label.

According to an aspect of the invention; a cell is provided that includes the composition of any one of the aforementioned embodiments of the composition aspect of the invention. In some embodiments, the cell is a bacterial cell. In some embodiments, the cell is a mammalian cell, and optionally is a human cell. In certain embodiments, the cell is an engineered cell.

According to an aspect of the invention a composition is provided, the composition including a polynucleotide molecule, the sequence of which is set forth as one of SEQ ID NOs: 1-21, 28-67, 88-97, and 131-145. In some embodiments, the composition also includes a vector sequence. In certain embodiments, the polynucleotide molecule is part of a vector molecule.

According to an aspect of the invention, a cell is provided, the cell including at least one polynucleotide molecule, the sequence of which is set forth as one of SEQ ID NOs: 1-21, 28-67, 88-97, and 131-145. In some embodiments, the cell is a bacterial cell. In some embodiments, the cell is a mammalian cell, and optionally is a human cell. In certain embodiments, the cell is an engineered cell.

According to an aspect of the invention, a vector is provided, the vector including at least one sequence set forth as one of SEQ ID NOs: 1-21, 28-67, 88-97, and 131-145.

According to an aspect of the invention, a cell is provided, the cell including an embodiment of the aforementioned vector. In some embodiments, the cell is a bacterial cell. In some embodiments, the cell is a mammalian cell, and optionally is a human cell. In some embodiments, the cell is an engineered cell.

According to another aspect of the invention, a composition is provided, the composition including a variant LuxAB reporter molecule encoded with an independently selected quadruplet codon at one or more residues of a LuxAB protein sequence. In certain embodiments, the variant LuxAB reporter protein sequence is encoded by the sequence set forth as SEQ ID NO: 26. In some embodiments, the variant LuxAB reporter molecule is encoded with an independently selected quadruplet codon at one or both of residue 164 and residue 357 of the LuxAB protein sequence. In certain embodiments, the one or more

residues of the LuxAB protein sequence include one or both residues corresponding to residues 164 and 357, respectively, of the LuxAB protein sequence set forth as SEQ ID NO: 26. In some embodiments, the variant LuxAB reporter protein sequence is encoded by the sequence set forth as SEQ ID NO: 27.

5 According to another aspect of the invention, an assay method is provided, the assay method including the composition of any aforementioned aspects of the invention.

 According to another aspect of the invention, a method of engineering an evolved quadruplet decoding suppressor tRNA is provided, the method including: engineering an evolved quadruplet decoding suppressor tRNA from a preselected quadruplet decoding
10 suppressor tRNA, wherein a means of the engineering comprises a phage-assisted continuing evolution (PACE) method. In certain embodiments, the PACE method comprises a bacteriophage-based directed evolution method. In some embodiments, the PACE method includes determining the presence of a successfully evolved quadruplet decoding suppressor tRNA. In some embodiments, a means for determining the presence of the successfully
15 evolved quadruplet decoding suppressor tRNA includes detecting a phage propagation reporter, wherein successful quadruplet decoding by the evolved suppressor tRNA results in phage propagation. In certain embodiments, a means for determining the presence of the successful evolved quadruplet decoding suppressor tRNA comprises: (a) challenging (i) an essential phage protein encoded with one or more quadruplet codons and (ii) an evolved
20 quadruplet decoding suppressor tRNA encoded on a phage from which the essential phage protein has been deleted, to infect a plurality of *E. coli*; and (b) detecting a functional phage produced in the challenged *E. coli*, wherein the functional phage produced indicates the presence of one or more successful quadruplet decoding(s) by the evolved quadruplet decoding suppressor tRNA. In some embodiments, the essential phage protein is a pIII phage
25 protein.

 According to another aspect of the invention, a composition is provided, the composition including a nucleic acid molecule set forth as SEQ ID NO: 25, or a functional variant thereof. In certain embodiments, the nucleic acid sequence is in a vector.

 According to another aspect of the invention, a vector is provided, the vector
30 including the composition of any embodiment of the aforementioned composition comprising a nucleic molecule set forth as SEQ ID NO: 25 or a variant thereof.

 According to another aspect of the invention, a cell is provided, the cell comprising any embodiment of the aforementioned vector that includes a nucleic molecule set forth as SEQ ID NO: 25 or a variant thereof. In some embodiments, the cell is a bacterial cell. In

certain embodiments, the cell is a mammalian cell, and optionally is a human cell. In some embodiments, the cell is an engineered cell.

According to another aspect of the invention, a method of generating a suppressor tRNA is provided. The method including: initiating transcription of a nucleic acid sequence
5 encoding a suppressor tRNA with a PproK-lacO promoter sequence set forth as SEQ ID NO: 25, or functional variant thereof.

According to another aspect of the invention, a suppressor tRNA is provided, wherein the suppressor tRNA is encoded by a sequence set forth as any one of SEQ ID NOs: 1-21, 28-97 and 131-145.

10 According to another aspect of the invention, a cell that includes the suppressor tRNA of any embodiment of an aforementioned aspect of the invention is provided. In some embodiments, the cell is a bacterial cell. In certain embodiments, the cell is a mammalian cell, and optionally is a human cell. In some embodiments, the cell is an engineered cell.

According to another aspect of the invention, a method of protein translation is
15 provided, the method including: preparing a DNA sequence that includes a quadruplet codon encoding one or more amino acids, decoding the quadruplet codon with a suppressor tRNA encoded by a sequence set forth as any one of SEQ ID NOs: 1-21, 28-67, 88-97, and 131-145; and translating the DNA sequence to produce a protein comprising the encoded amino acids. In certain embodiments, the protein translation includes both triplet and quadruplet
20 codons. In some embodiments, the protein translation is an all-quadruplet protein translation. In some embodiments, the method also includes assessing one or more of the structure and function of the translated protein product. In certain embodiments, the decoding is performed in a cell. In some embodiments, the cell is a bacterial cell. In some embodiments, the cell is a mammalian cell, and optionally is a human cell. In certain embodiments, the cell is an
25 engineered cell.

According to yet another aspect of the invention, a method of translating a single DNA sequence into one or more distinct protein products is provided, the method including: decoding a DNA sequence with one or more suppressor tRNAs encoded by a sequence set forth as any one of SEQ ID NOs: 1-21, 28-68, 88-97, and 131-145 wherein the presence of
30 the one or more suppressor tRNAs determines the translated protein product of the contacted DNA sequence; and translating the decoded DNA sequence into the translated protein product. In some embodiments, the method also includes assessing one or more of the structure and function of the translated protein product. In some embodiments, the DNA sequence includes one or more quadruplet codons encoding canonical amino acids. In certain

is a graph showing quadruplet decoding efficiency of naïve TAGA suppressors. The graph provides measurements of quadruplet decoding tRNAs that decode TAGA. Fig. 4F provides a group of quadruplet decoding efficiency of naïve XYZZ suppressors. The graph provides measurements of quadruplet decoding tRNAs that decode XYZZ, where XYZ was the original codon corresponding to each tRNA scaffold. Induction of 7 of these tRNA results in high toxicity, as indicated by X. Fig. 4G provides a table identifying minimally functional suppressors showing that the experimental results of studies revealed at least one naïve quadruplet decoding tRNA with detectable activity for 13/20 canonical amino acids. Fig. 4H-I provide graphs of results of tests of functionality and toxicity levels of engineered set of UAGA and XYZZ suppressors. Fig. 4H shows results with UAGA quad codon. Fig. 4I shows results with XYZZ quad codon.

Figure 5A-D provides schematic diagrams, images, and a graph illustrating an M13 phage propagation reporter of quadruplet decoding. Fig 5A illustrates elements of a construct that was designed for phage propagation reporter. Fig. 5B shows schematic of the reporter activity. Fig. 5C shows images of validation study results. Fig. 5D shows luminescence reporter readout trends with phage reporter with the graphs showing page enrichment versus % wild type luminescence.

Figure 6A-F provides graphs and diagrams of results of experiments relating to continuous directed evolution of quadruplet decoding tRNAs. Fig. 6A shows results including graphs showing phage titers (left axis, solid lines) and population dilution rate (right axis, dashed lines). Fig. 6B provides bar graphs showing results indicating that phage plaquing improved with evolution. Fig. 6C provides diagrams showing sequences of certain evolved tRNA variants. Evolved tRNA variant Q-CAGG Evo1 is represented by SEQ ID NO: 98, Q-TAGA Evo1 by SEQ ID NO: 99, Q-TAGA Evo2 by SEQ ID NO: 100, Y-TAGA Evo by SEQ ID NO: 101, R-TAGA Evo1 by SEQ ID NO: 102, R-TAGA Evo2 by SEQ ID NO: 103, and R-TAGA Evo3 by SEQ ID NO: 104. Fig. 6D is a graph showing comparison of % efficiency of naïve versus evolved UAGA suppressors. Fig. 6E-F shows a schematic diagram and bar graph illustrating aspects of developed selection circuit that links activity to virus propagation and results of the selection. Fig. 6E provides diagram of frameshift and Fig. 6F provides bar graph showing phage titer results.

Figure 7A-E provides graphs and diagrams of strategy and results of continuous directed evolution of S-UAGA tRNA. Fig. 7A is a graph of results of continuous evolution of Serine-TAGA. Fig. 7B shows results indicating that plaquing of S-TAGA phage improved with evolution. Fig. 7C provides diagrams of sequences of evolved variants of the SerU-UAGA tRNA. WT tRNA SerU is represented by SEQ ID NO: 105. SEQ ID NO: 106 is the loop portion shown in the box labeled Naïve, SEQ ID NO: 107 is the loop portion shown in the boxes labeled Evolved 1 and Evolved 2, and SEQ ID NO: 108 is the loop portion shown in the box labeled Evolved 3. Fig. 7D provides a graph showing results of evolved serine suppressors indicating % translation efficiency resulting in the indicated tests. Fig. 7E provides a graph of results of crosstalk analysis of Serine Evolved 3.

Figure 8 provides four graphs indicating process and results of a luminescence reporter development. The left, top row graph shows results obtained from experiments that examined: permissive residue identification. The right, top row graph shows results obtained from experiments that examined inducible tRNA promoter. The left, bottom row graph shows results obtained from experiments that examined frameshift propensity. The right, bottom row graph shows results obtained from experiments that examined reporter stringency modulation.

Figure 9A-C shows additional novel sequences encoding suppressor tRNA sequences. SEQ ID NOs: 28-97 are novel sequences that were identified using methods as described herein. Fig. 9A shows sequences (SEQ ID NOs: 28-67), each of which encodes either a minimally functional (< 1% of WT activity) or functional (> 1% of WT activity) suppressor tRNA. Fig. 9B shows (1) sequences encoding suppressor tRNAs that were either extremely toxic (SEQ ID Nos: 68-72) or (2) nonfunctional ($\leq 0\%$ of WT activity; SEQ ID NOs: 73-87). Fig. 9B also shows 25 evolved tRNA encoding sequences that were identified (SEQ ID NOs: 88-97 and 131-145). Sequences in Fig. 9A and 9B are naïve sequences, except for SEQ ID NOs: 88-97 and 131-145, all 25 of which are evolved sequences. Fig. 9C provides plasmid information for the sequences as indicated.

Figure 10 shows a graphical representation of the results from codon reassignment studies. Key for boxes is: (#1) identifies extremely toxic, (#2) identifies toxic, (#3) identifies nonfunctional, (#4) identifies minimally functional (<1% of wild type) and (#5) identifies

functional (>1% of wild type). Note one box is marked “4-5” to indicate result midway between minimally functional and functional.

Figure 11A-D provides schematic diagrams and tables showing a strategy of codon reassignment with rational engineering. Fig. 11A is a schematic diagram showing luciferase reporter for quadruplet decoding. SEQ ID NOs: 109 is an amino acid sequence transcribed from the example sequence (SEQ ID NO: 110) in the absence of quadruplet decoding, whereas SEQ ID NO: 111 is an amino acid sequence transcribed in the presence of quadruplet decoding. Fig. 11B is a graph illustrating validation of luciferase reporter. Fig. 11C is a table of codon reassignment to TAGA. Fig. 11D is a table of codon reassignment based on original codon.

Figure 12A-G provides graphs and tables illustrating a strategy for reporter development and rationally engineering quad tRNAs, also referred to herein as: qtRNAs. Fig. 12A shows result from inducible tRNA promoter. Fig. 12B shows results of luxAB residue 357 permissivity test. Fig. 12C shows graph of results of reporter stringency testing. Fig. 12D shows graph of results of a study examining % translation efficiency compared to triplet codon. Fig. 12E-G show % translation efficiency of various quadruplet codons.

Figure 13A-E provides schematic diagrams, images and graphs of results from studies including codon reassignment with pIII library selection. Fig. 13A is a schematic diagram showing pIII selection for functional qtRNAs that decode a specific codon. Fig. 13B provides photos of results if pIII selection validation - plaquing methods. Fig. 13C provides a graph or results from pIII selection validation – enrichment. Fig. 13D is a schematic diagram showing selections performed with pIII reporter. Fig. 13E is a plot showing representative pIII selection results for AGGG reporter.

Figure 14A-C provides a diagram and tables showing strategy for an M13-based library selection for efficient qtRNAs. Fig. 14A shows possible cheater quad codons. SEQ ID NOs: 112 and 113 show forward and reverse strands, respectively, of a reporter sequence comprising a quadruplet codon and encoding amino acid sequence SEQ ID NO: 114. Fig. 14B shows results of cross of library of tRNAs for every canonical amino acid with eight different M13-selection reporters. Fold enrichment or de-enrichment relative to the input

phage titer for each selection is shown. Fig. 14C shows results of Sanger sequencing of two plaques to determine the anticodon identity.

Figure 15A-C provides schematic diagrams and graphs of a strategy for directed evolution of qtRNAs demonstrating that the directed evolution improves quadruplet decoding. Fig. 15A is a schematic diagram showing repeated application of pIII reporter results in continuous directed evolution. SEQ ID NOs: 115 and 116 show forward and reverse strands, respectively, of a reporter sequence comprising a quadruplet codon and encoding amino acid sequence SEQ ID NO: 117. Fig. 15B is a graph showing that the produced evolved qtRNAs are an order of magnitude more efficient versus their pre-evolved efficiencies. Fig. 15C illustrates that additional evolution on a harder goal resulted in further improvement.

Figure 16A-E provides graphs and diagrams of various continuous directed evolution experiments. Fig. 16A shows graphs of results of evolving five tRNA qtRNAs in continuous culture. Fig. 16B provides bar graphs showing comparison of initial and final tRNA variants against a ladder of phage activity reports of increasing difficulty ("med." is medium). Fig. 16C is a graph of results of continuous evolution of Serine-TAGA. WT tRNA SerU is represented by SEQ ID NO: 105. SEQ ID NO: 106 is the loop portion shown in first upper box, SEQ ID NO: 107 is the loop portion shown in the second and third upper boxes, and SEQ ID NO: 108 is the loop portion shown in the fourth upper box. Fig. 16D is a bar graph comparing initial and final qtRNA variants of S-TAGA against a ladder of phage activity reporters of increasing difficulty. Fig. 16E provides diagrams showing the sequences of the evolved qtRNAs from the experiments. Evolved tRNA variant Q-CAGG Evo1 is represented by SEQ ID NO: 98, Q-TAGA Evo1 by SEQ ID NO: 99, Q-TAGA Evo2 by SEQ ID NO: 100, Y-TAGA Evo by SEQ ID NO: 101, R-TAGA Evo1 by SEQ ID NO: 102, R-TAGA Evo2 by SEQ ID NO: 103, and W-TAGA Evo1 by SEQ ID NO: 118.

Figure 17A-C shows schematic diagrams of an example analysis of qtRNA-TAGA charging. Fig. 17A shows a diagram of a pipeline for quantifying qtRNA charging. SEQ ID NO: 119 is an amino acid sequence from sfGFP wherein the second Y residue (open downward arrow) was provided using a qtRNA-TAGA scaffold. Fig. 17B shows mischarging evolution of W-TAGA. Fig. 17C provides an image showing analysis of W-TAGA identity elements, shown by SEQ ID NO: 120.

Figure 18A-B illustrates data from experiments measuring crosstalk. Fig. 18A illustrates crosstalk profiles of evolved qtRNAs and shows results of measured crosstalk between evolved variants and fourth-base mismatch codons, or the amber stop codon. Fig. 18B shows results from assessing crosstalk between a set of five different qtRNAs and illustrates that qtRNAs are orthogonal. Values are in units of luminescence counts (AU); the induced tRNA is subtracted from the background suppressed tRNA value.

Figure 19A-D provides charts, graphs, and a schematic diagram depicting a strategy for converting a representative tRNA scaffold for every canonical amino acid into a qtRNA. Fig. 19A provides a chart showing representative scaffolds for all 20 canonical amino acids can support four-base codon translation. Fig. 19B is a diagram illustrating translation of a linker entirely encoded with adjacent quadruplet codons. Experimental results showed that the evolved serine qtRNA can translate a linker of 5 adjacent quadruplet codons inserted at luxAB-357 and the efficiency of translation scales exponentially with length. Fig. 19C shows methods using a three plasmid system to perform translation through the orthogonal ribosome and constructs for utilizing qtRNAs together with an orthogonal ribosome. SEQ ID NO: 122 is an example sequence comprising two quadruplet codons and encoding the example amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 121. Fig. 19D is a graph illustrating luciferase reporter translation through orthogonal ribosome and shows experimental results indicating that evolved qtRNAs can be used to suppress multiple quadruplet codons during translation through the orthogonal ribosome. Expression of the orthogonal-rRNA (O-rRNA) and qtRNA results in translation of a luciferase. Positive control is a WT luxAB encoded entirely with triplet codons.

Figure 20A-B provides a graph and illustration of an example of adjacency testing. Fig. 20A shows results of test of efficiency impact of encoding two quadruplet adjacent to one another in contrast to separation from each other by one or more triplet codons. Fig. 20B shows reporter design strategy used in some studies. Fig. 20B top box shows zero triplet codons in between the two quadruplets (shown in capital letters in sequence); second box from top shows one triplet codon in between the two quadruplets (shown in capital letters in sequence); third box from top shows two triplet codons in between the two quadruplets (shown in capital letters in sequence); and fourth box from top shows three triplet codons in between the two quadruplets (shown in capital letters in sequence). Fig. 20B shows examples of reporter designs used in certain adjacency tests and encoded by SEQ ID NOs: 123-130.

Brief Description of Certain Sequences

SEQ ID NO: 1-15 shown in Fig. 1.

5 SEQ ID NO: 16-21 shown in Fig. 2.

SEQ ID NO: 22 and 23 shown in Fig. 1.

SEQ ID NO: 24 shown in Fig. 2.

10

SEQ ID NO: 25: ProK-lacO promoter Sequence (lacO site shown in uppercase letters):

tgtgtcttctcaaatgcctgaggccagtttctcaggctctccccgtggaggtataattgacgatgatcagtgccaggctaactaagc
ggcctgtgactttctcgccgatcaaaaggcattttgctattaagggttgacgagggcgatctgcgcagtaagatAATTGTGA
GCGGATAACAATT.

15

SEQ ID NO: 26: encodes xLuxAB sequence [which is also referred to herein as LuxAB, see
Nat Chem Biol. 2014 Mar; 10(3): 216–222)]:

atgaaatttgaaactttttgcttacataccaacctcccaattttcccaaacagaggtaatgaaacgtttggttaaattaggctgcacatctctg
aggagtgtggtttgataccgtatggttactggagcatcattcacggagtttgggttggttaacccttatgtcgtgctgcatatttactt
20 ggcgcgactaaaaaattgaatgtaggaactgccgtattgttctccacagcccatccagtacgccaactgaagatgtgaattattgg
atcaaatgtcaaaaggacgatttcggtttggtatttgccgagggctttacaacaaggactttcgcgatttcggaacagatatgaataacag
tcgcgccttagcggaatgctgtgtacgggctgataaagaatggcatgacagagggatataatggaagctgataatgaacatatcaagttc
cataaggtaaaagtaaaccccgccgctatagcagaggtggcgaccgggttatgtgtggctgaatcagcttcgacgactgagtgg
gctgtctcaatttggcctaccgatgatattaagttggattataaataactaacgaaaagaaagcacaacttgagctttataatgaagtggctca
25 agaatatgggcacgatattcataatatcgaccattgcttatcataataacatctgtagatcatgactcaattaaagcgaaagagatttgc
ggaaatttctggggcattggtatgattcttatgtgaatgctacgactattttgatgattcagaccaacaagaggttatgattcaataaag
ggcagtgccgtgactttgtattaaaaggacataaagataactaatcgccgtattgattacagttacgaaatcaatcccggtgggaacgcgc
aggaatgtattgacataattcaaaaagacattgatgtacaggaatatcaaatatttgttggtgattgaagctaattgaacagtagacga
aattattgcttccatgaagctcttccagctgatgtcatgccatttctaaagaaaaacaacgttcgctattatattggcggtggcggttag
30 cggcggtggcggttagcggcggtggcggttagcggcggtggcggttagcgaatttggattgttcttccctaacttcatcaattcaacaactg
ttcaagaacagagtatagttcgcatgcaggaaataacggagtagttgataagttgaattttgaacagattttagtgatgaaaatcattttc
agataatggtgtgtcgcgctcctctgactgtttctgggtttctgctcggtttaacagagaaaattaaattggttcattaaatcacatcatta
caactcatcatcctgtccgatagcggaggaagcttgcttattggatcagtttaagtgaaaggagattttttagggttagtgattgcgaa
aaaaaagatgaaatgcattttttaatcgcccggtgaatatcaacagcaactattgaagagtggtatgaaatcattaacgatgctttaaca

acaggctattgtaatccagataacgattttatagcttccctaaaatatctgtaaatcccatgcttatacggcaggcgacctcggaata
 tgtaacagcaaccagtcacatattgttgagtgggcgccaaaaaggtattcctctcatcttaagtgggatgattctaagtgtagat
 atgaatatgctgaaagatataaagccgttcgggataaatatgacgttgacctatcagagatagaccatcagtaatgatattagtaactat
 aacgaagatagtaataaagctaaacaagagacgcgtgcatttattagtgattatgttcttgaaatgcaccctaataaaaattcgaaaataa
 5 acttgaagaataattgcagaaaacgctgcggaaattatacggagtgataactgcggctaagttggcaattgaaaagtgtggtgcga
 aaagtgtattgctgctcttgaaccaatgaatgattgatgagccaaaaaatgtaataatattgttgatgataatattaagaagtaccaca
 cggaatatacctaa.

SEQ ID NO: 27 encodes a modified *xluxAB* sequence (*UAGA* reporter at residue 357, shown
 10 in uppercase letters):

atgaaattggaaacttttgcctacataccaacctcccaattttcccaaacagaggaatgaaacgttggtaaattaggtcgcatctctg
 aggagtggtgtttgataccgtatggttactggagcatcattcacggagttgggttgccttgtaacccttatgtcgctgctgcatatttactt
 ggcgcgactaaaaaattgaatgtaggaactgcgctattgttctccacagcccatccagtacgccaactgaagatgtgaattattgg
 atcaaatgtcaaaaggacgatttcggttgggtatttgcggagggtttacaacaaggactttcgctattcggaacagatatgaataacg
 15 tcgcgcttagcggaatgctggtacgggctgataaagaatggcatgacagaggatataatggaagctgataatgaacatatcaagttc
 cataaggtaaaagtaaaccccgcggtatagcagaggtggcgaccgggttatgtggtggctgaatcagcttcgacgactgagtg
 gctgctcaatttggcctaccgatgatattaagttggattataataactaacgaaaagaaagcacaacttgagctttataatgaagtggctca
 agaatatgggcacgatattcataatcgaccattgcttatcataataacatctgtagatcatgactcaattaaagcgaaagagatttgc
 ggaaatttctggggcattggtatgattcttatgtgaatgctacgactattttgatgattcagaccaacaagaggttatgattcaataaag
 20 ggagtggtgactttgtattaaaaggacataaagataactaatcgccgtattgattacagttacgaaatcaatcccggtgggaacgccc
 aggaatgtattgacataattcaaaaagacattgatgctacaggaatatcaaatattgttggattgaagctaattggaacagtagacga
 aattattgcttccatgaagctctccagctgatgcatgccatttcttaagaaaaaacg**TAG**Aactattatattatggcgggtggcg
 gtagcggcggtggcggtagcggcggtggcggtagcggcggtggcggtagcaatttggattgttcttctaacttcatcaattcaaca
 actgttcaagaacagagtatagttcgcatgcaggaaataacggagtgatgttgataagttgaatttgaacagattttagtgatgaaaatca
 25 ttttcagataatggtgtgtcggcgctcctctgactgtttctggtttctgctcggttaacagagaaaaattaaaattggttcattaaatcacat
 cattacaactcatcatcctgtccgcatagcggaggaagcttgcttattggatcagttaagtgaaggagatttatttaggtttagtgattg
 cgaaaaaaagatgaaatgcatttttaacgcccgttgaaatcaacagcaactatttgaagagtgattgaaatcattaacgatgcttt
 aacaacaggctattgtaatccagataacgattttatagcttccctaaaatatctgtaaatcccatgcttatacggcaggcgacctcgga
 aatatgtaacagcaaccagtcacatattgttgagtgggcgccaaaaaggtattcctctcatcttaagtgggatgattctaagtgatgta
 30 gatatgaatatgctgaaagatataaagccgttcgggataaatatgacgttgacctatcagagatagaccatcagtaatgatattagttaac
 tataacgaagatagtaataaagctaaacaagagacgcgtgcatttattagtgattatgttcttgaaatgcaccctaataaaaattcgaaaa
 taaactgaagaataattgcagaaaacgctgcggaaattatacggagtgataactgcggctaagttggcaattgaaaagtgtggtgc
 gaaaagtgtattgctgctcttgaaccaatgaatgattgatgagccaaaaaatgtaataatattgttgatgataatattaagaagtacca
 cacggaatatacctaa.

SEQ ID NOs: 28-67 shown in Fig. 9A.

SEQ ID Nos: 68-97 and 131-145 shown in Fig. 9B.

5

Detailed Description

The invention, in part, includes novel suppressor tRNA molecules and methods of using same. The invention also includes reporters and assays with which to verify function of designed suppressor tRNA molecules, and the invention, in part includes methods to identify, design, and evolve efficient and effective suppressor tRNA molecules, which are also referred to herein as “tRNA suppressor molecules.”

10

To explore the feasibility of efficient quadruplet codon translation, studies were undertaken to engineer quadruplet decoding tRNA variants for every *E. coli* tRNA. To identify starting points, known suppressors were tested, and a number of rationally engineered suppressors using two different codon reassignment strategies were prepared and tested. To improve upon the resulting minimally functional quadruplet decoding tRNAs, aspects of the invention included use of rapid continuous directed evolution methods to evolve suppressors with improved quadruplet decoding efficiency for different tRNA scaffolds and different quadruplet codons. In every case, tRNA variants arose that exhibited substantial improvements in quadruplet decoding. The most efficient of the suppressors that were evolved using methods of the invention was determined to be capable of efficiently translating a protein that contained four frameshifts.

15

20

The invention, in part, includes quadruplet decoding tRNAs based on a representative *E. coli* tRNA scaffold for each of the 20 canonical amino acids. Information disclosed herein demonstrates that any tRNA can be converted to decode a four-base codon. Although quadruplet codon translation is generally much less efficient than triplet codon translation, directed evolution of the quadruplet tRNAs can result in substantial improvements. Many quadruplet tRNAs continue to be selectively charged by the cognate amino acid of the parent scaffold. Results of studies such as those disclosed herein indicate feasibility of creating a synthetic genetic code based entirely on quadruplet codons.

25

30

Embodiments of methods of the invention were used to prepare a catalog of 63 novel naïve suppressors, which constitute the most comprehensive effort to engineer quadruplet decoding tRNAs to date. Methods of the invention were also used to determine that the anticodon loop sides frequently contributed to improved quadruplet decoding, and to

demonstrate the extent to which codon size was controlled at the tRNA level. Embodiments of methods of the invention have been used to confirm that the ready access to higher activity variants that decode quadruplet codons in multiple contexts reveals inherent three-versus four-base promiscuity in translation machinery. Thus, methods of the invention offer a tractable strategy for genetic code expansion. As used herein the terms: “quad” and “quadruplet” may be used interchangeably. Quad tRNAs are also referred to herein qtRNAs herein and are named as “amino acid identity of tRNA scaffold-codon”; for example a serine tRNA with the 5'-TCTA-3' anticodon is referred to as “S-TAGA”.

Methods of the invention include a novel strategy to overcome codon conflict by implementing an orthogonal translation apparatus in *E. coli* that exclusively and unambiguously translates four-base-codons, and cannot translate triplet codons. This apparatus requires a full complement of tRNAs that decode canonical amino acids using four-base codons. Methods of the invention have been used to prepare exclusive quadruplet-codon translation by engineering quadruplet-tRNAs for all of the 20 canonical amino acids. In these methods, the anticodon was manipulated in a representative *E. coli* tRNA scaffold corresponding to each of the 20 canonical amino acids and it was determined that all 20 can support four-base translation. Methods of the invention also include application of directed evolution to optimize the tRNA scaffold to contain the new anticodon. Mutations to the bases flanking the anticodon significantly improved quadruplet codon translation efficiency, usually with minimal impact on amino acid charging fidelity. It has now been shown that these engineered tRNAs can be used together with an orthogonal ribosome to translate a protein containing quadruplet codons. These results suggest that a dedicated quadruplet-codon translation apparatus as a feasible approach for genetic code expansion. See Rackham, O. & Chin, J. *Nat Chem Biol* **1**, 159–166 (2005) for additional information on orthogonal RNAs.

Certain embodiments of methods of the invention were designed to be used to compare different suppressor tRNAs. Thus, some embodiments of the invention include a quantification technique that was designed to be capable of independently quantifying toxicity and quadruplet decoding efficiency. In certain embodiments of the invention reporter assays are provided. Certain embodiments of reporter assays of the invention can be used for one or more of reporting and quantifying toxicity and quadruplet decoding efficiency. Methods of the invention may include use of a frameshift-dependent bacterial luciferase reporter, which in some embodiments is prepared by integrating a quadruplet codon within *xluxAB* [xLuxAB is version of LuxAB that is covalently linked with short

(GGGGS)₄ linker, (see Nat Chem Biol. 2014 Mar; 10(3): 216–222), the sequence of xLuxAB that encodes the polypeptide, is set forth as SEQ ID NO: 26]. Failure to decode this codon leads to premature termination, versus in the event of successful frameshift suppression – luminescence results. In some embodiments of the reporter assay, luciferases are used.

5 Luciferases are catalytic and do not experience maturation lag, which allows them to act as fast reporters that are especially sensitive in the low-activity range. Certain embodiments of the invention comprise a two-plasmid reporter system in which a suppressor tRNA is inducibly expressed from one plasmid and luciferase transcript is constitutively expressed from a second plasmid. In order to robustly compare toxic and non-toxic tRNAs in certain
10 embodiments of the invention, luminescence measurements were measured kinetically, and internally standardized to the growth rate of the host bacteria. The reporter of the invention was validated using a previously reported engineered suppressor, and results confirmed that luminescence relied on codon-anticodon interactions and the presence of a quadruplet decoding tRNA, thus validating the reporter and methods of its use in assays such as those set
15 forth herein. In a non-limiting example, certain embodiments of reporter assays of the invention have been used to identify that certain sequences encode “suppressors” that are one or both of toxic and lacking function, such as SEQ ID NOs: 68-87 set forth in Fig. 9B.

Additional aspects of the invention include designing and confirming methods of comprehensively engineering quadruplet decoding tRNAs through rational design and
20 directed evolution. Methods of the invention in part, included identifying suppressor tRNAs naturally occurring *E. coli* scaffolds, and methods of evolving these and other minimally functional suppressor tRNAs and improving their efficiency to decode quadruplet codons. Certain aspects of the invention also comprise a bacteriophage-based continuous directed evolution methods for use in methods of evolving translation components for improved
25 quadruplet decoding translation. Use of such methods of the invention have at least several benefits. One, the use of phage activity reporters containing frameshifts in multiple locations reduced the risk of evolving transcript sequence-context specific cheaters. Also, it was identified that several evolved variants exhibit stem-recoding, confirming that this method is capable of evolving functional RNA that depends on secondary structure. Finally, certain
30 embodiments of methods of the invention can be used to avoid the requirement of discrete library creation and screen steps. Methods of the invention can be used for tRNA evolution, and have shown they can be used to prepare suppressor tRNA variants with substantially improved quadruplet decoding efficiency.

Suppressor tRNAs of the invention and their encoding sequences can be used to translate a single DNA sequence that contains a quadruplet codon or codons into several distinct protein products by manipulating the presence of suppressor tRNAs rather than the DNA sequence. Methods of using suppressor tRNAs of the invention and their encoding sequences include, but are not limited to preparing molecular sensors, circuits, and reporters. Prior methods used in genetic code expansion suffer from low incorporation efficiency and impure translated protein products due to promiscuity between triplet and quadruplet codon codes. Suppressor tRNAs of the invention can be used as the basis for encoding canonical amino acids in an exclusively quadruplet codon code, eliminating crosstalk between canonical and expanded genetic code and enabling high-fidelity all-quadruplet protein translation. Use of suppressor tRNAs of their encoding molecules permits expansion to an exclusively-quadruplet codon code and thus makes available an additional 235 free codons that can be assigned to non-canonical amino acids using additional exogenous tRNA/aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase (aaRS) pairs.

Transfer RNA (tRNA)

The terms “transfer RNA” or tRNA as used herein refer to a small RNA chain that transfers a specific amino acid to a growing peptide chain at the ribosomal site of protein synthesis during translation. A tRNA has a 3' terminal site for amino acid attachment. This covalent linkage is catalyzed by an aminoacyl tRNA synthetase. The tRNA also comprises a three-base region called the anticodon that can base-pair to the corresponding three base codon region on mRNA. In certain embodiments of the invention, a tRNA is a suppressor tRNA.

Four base codon code and suppressor tRNAs and variants thereof

The canonical genetic code uses three-base codons to encode proteins containing the 20 canonical amino acids. Synthetic biologists have proposed switching over to a four-base codon code, which could accommodate up to 255 different amino acids. This could enable addition of up to 235 non-canonical amino acids (NCAAs), which have been shown to be useful for creating protein therapeutics with enhanced properties. Achieving this system requires a complete set of tRNAs that incorporate the 20 canonical amino acids using four base codons (“Frameshift suppressors”). Existing frameshift suppressors were inefficient and incomplete and new frameshift suppressors are provided herein that have been prepared and tested.

A suppressor tRNA, also referred herein as a “frameshift suppressor” is a tRNA with a sequence element in the anticodon that allows it to recognize a stop codon and insert an amino acid in its place. Embodiments of the invention comprise use of suppressors having four-base codons. Generally, four-base (or Quadruplet) suppressor tRNAs have an expanded
5 anticodon loop that is not a substrate for an endogenous synthetase and it decodes a quadruplet versus triplet codon. The four-base codon does not code for one of the natural amino acids.

A suppressor tRNA is a tRNA that alters the reading of a messenger RNA (mRNA) in a given translation system, for instance, by providing a mechanism for incorporating an
10 amino acid into a peptide chain in response to a selector codon. For example, a suppressor tRNA of the invention can read a four-base codon. Exemplary modified suppressor tRNAs are provided herein including those encoded by sequences set forth as SEQ ID NOs: 1-21, 28-67, 88-97, and 131-145.

In some embodiments of the invention, a suppressor tRNA molecule is a variant of a
15 suppressor tRNA molecule disclosed herein. In a non-limiting example, a variant suppressor tRNA of the invention may be a fragment, homolog, or fusion sequence that retains an ability to transfer the amino acid cysteine to a growing polypeptide chain. In certain embodiments of the invention, a variant of a suppressor tRNA molecule has at least 80%, 81%, 82%, 83%, 84%, 85%, 86%, 87%, 88%, 89%, 90%, 91%, 92%, 93%, 94%, 95%, 96%, 97%, 98%, or
20 99% identity to the sequence of a suppressor tRNA molecule set forth herein, which may also be referred to herein as the “parent” sequence of its variant. In variants of a sequence encoding a tRNA molecule set forth here, the anticodons are not varied from the parent to the variant. In certain embodiments of the invention, a variant of a sequence encoding a suppressor tRNA molecule has at least 80%, 81%, 82%, 83%, 84%, 85%, 86%, 87%, 88%,
25 89%, 90%, 91%, 92%, 93%, 94%, 95%, 96%, 97%, 98%, or 99% identity to the sequence of the sequence encoding a suppressor tRNA molecule set forth herein, which may also be referred to herein as the “parent” sequence of its variant.

In some embodiments of the invention, a nucleic acid molecule encoding a suppressor tRNA molecule is a variant of a suppressor tRNA encoding molecule disclosed herein. In a
30 non-limiting example, a variant suppressor tRNA encoding molecule of the invention may be a fragment, homolog, or fusion sequence that retains an ability encode a tRNA that is able to transfer the amino acid cysteine to a growing polypeptide chain. In certain embodiments of the invention, a variant of a suppressor tRNA-encoding molecule has at least 80%, 81%, 82%, 83%, 84%, 85%, 86%, 87%, 88%, 89%, 90%, 91%, 92%, 93%, 94%, 95%, 96%, 97%,

98%, or 99% identity to the sequence of a suppressor tRNA-encoding molecule set forth herein, which may also be referred to herein as the “parent” sequence of its variant. In variants of a sequence encoding a tRNA molecule set forth here, the anticodons are not varied from the parent to the variant. In certain embodiments of the invention, a variant of a sequence encoding a suppressor tRNA molecule has at least 80%, 81%, 82%, 83%, 84%, 85%, 86%, 87%, 88%, 89%, 90%, 91%, 92%, 93%, 94%, 95%, 96%, 97%, 98%, or 99% identity to the sequence of the sequence encoding a suppressor tRNA molecule set forth herein, which may also be referred to herein as the “parent” sequence of its variant.

10 *tRNA structure and anticodons*

Transfer RNA has a primary structure, a secondary structure (referred to as a cloverleaf structure), and a tertiary structure (an L-shaped three-dimensional structure that allows the tRNA to fit into appropriate sites of the ribosome). In a quadruplet suppressor tRNA an anticodon is a unit made up of four nucleotides that correspond to the four bases of the mRNA codon. Each quad tRNA contains a specific anticodon quad sequence that can base-pair to one or more quad codons for an amino acid. For example, one quadruplet codon for lysine is UCUA; the anticodon tRNA might be TAGA.

Suppressor tRNA molecules

20 Some embodiments of the invention include compositions that include a suppressor tRNA molecule encoded by a sequence set forth as one of SEQ ID NOs: 1-21, 28-67, 88-97, and 131-145. A composition may include one or more independently selected suppressor tRNA-encoding sequences and a composition of the invention may include one or more suppressor tRNAs of the invention. In certain embodiments, a composition comprising a suppressor tRNA or its encoding sequence may also include a detectable label. In some embodiments, the suppressor tRNA, or its encoding sequence comprises a detectable label. Non-limiting examples of detectable label polypeptides that may be included in a composition of the invention fluorescent labels, luminescent labels, or other suitable detectable art-known labels.

30 In some embodiments of the invention, a sequence encoding a suppressor tRNA of the invention, for example a sequence set forth as one of: SEQ ID NOs: 1-21, 28-67, 88-97, and 131-145, is included in a vector. In certain embodiments more than one encoding sequence may be included in the vector. A vector used to generate a suppressor tRNA may also include a promoter sequence. A non-limiting example of a promoter that may be included is

a PproK-lacO promoter sequence, or a functional variant thereof. A PproK-lacO promoter sequence is set forth herein as SEQ ID NO: 25. A skilled artisan will recognize other promoters suitable for use in a vector used in an embodiment of the invention.

5 *LuxAB Reporter*

According to another aspect of the invention, compositions are provided that include a variant LuxAB reporter molecule encoded with an independently selected quadruplet codon at one or more residues of a LuxAB protein sequence. The LuxAB reporter protein sequence is encoded by the sequence set forth as SEQ ID NO: 26. In certain embodiments, the variant
10 LuxAB reporter molecule is encoded by a Lux reporter molecule that also includes an independently selected quadruplet codon at one or both of residue 164 and residue 357 of the LuxAB protein sequence. SEQ ID NO: 27 is an example of a variant LuxAB reporter molecule that includes the sequence set forth as SEQ ID NO: 26 with inclusion of a quadruplet codon at residue corresponding to 357 of expressed Lux. In some aspects of the
15 invention an assay method is provided, the method comprising any embodiment of an aforementioned variant LuxAB composition.

Vectors/promoters/engineering elements

Various vectors, cells, and other elements are used in certain embodiments of methods
20 and compositions of the invention. As used herein, the term “vector” refers to a nucleic acid molecule capable of transporting between different genetic environments another nucleic acid to which it has been operatively linked. The term “vector” also refers to a virus or organism that is capable of transporting the nucleic acid molecule. One type of vector is an episome, i.e., a nucleic acid molecule capable of extra-chromosomal replication. Some useful vectors
25 are those capable of autonomous replication and/or expression of nucleic acids to which they are linked. Vectors capable of directing the expression of genes to which they are operatively linked are referred to herein as “expression vectors”. Other useful vectors, include, but are not limited to viruses such as lentiviruses, retroviruses, adenoviruses, and phages. Vectors useful in some methods of the invention can genetically insert a mutant light-activated ion
30 channel polypeptides and variants thereof of the invention, into dividing and non-dividing cells and can insert mutant light-activated ion channel polypeptides and variants thereof of the invention to cells that are *in vivo*, *in vitro*, or *ex vivo* cells. The terms: “protein” and “polypeptide” may be used interchangeably herein.

Vectors useful in methods of the invention may include additional sequences including, but not limited to one or more signal sequences and/or promoter sequences, or a combination thereof. Expression vectors and methods of their use are well known in the art. Non-limiting examples of suitable expression vectors and methods for their use are provided
5 herein. In certain embodiments of the invention, a vector may be a lentivirus comprising the gene for a mutant light-activated ion channel or variant thereof of the invention. A lentivirus is a non-limiting example of a vector that may be used to create stable cell line. The term “cell line” as used herein is an established cell culture that will continue to proliferate given the appropriate medium.

10 Promoters that may be used in methods and vectors of the invention include, but are not limited to, cell-specific promoters or general promoters. Methods for selecting and using cell-specific promoters and general promoters are well known in the art. A non-limiting example of a general purpose promoter that allows expression of a mutant light-activated ion channel polypeptide or variant thereof in a wide variety of cell types – thus a promoter for a
15 gene that is widely expressed in a variety of cell types, for example a “housekeeping gene” can be used to express a mutant light-activated ion channel polypeptide, or variant thereof, in a variety of cell types. Non-limiting examples of general promoters are provided elsewhere herein and suitable alternative promoters are well known in the art.

In certain embodiments of the invention, a promoter may be an inducible promoter,
20 examples of which include, but are not limited to a PproK-lacO promoter, as described herein.

Engineering evolved suppressor tRNAs

Methods of the invention also include engineering evolved quadruplet decoding
25 suppressor tRNAs. Engineering methods of the invention include, in some embodiments, engineering an evolved quadruplet decoding suppressor tRNA from a preselected quadruplet decoding suppressor tRNA. In certain embodiments of the invention a method of evolving quadruplet decoding suppressor tRNA include a phage-assisted continuing evolution (PACE) method, which in certain embodiments of the invention, is a bacteriophage-based directed
30 evolution method. In some embodiments of the invention a method of evolving suppressor tRNAs includes a means of determining the presence of a successfully evolved quadruplet decoding suppressor tRNA. A non-limiting example of a means for determining the presence of the successfully evolved quadruplet decoding suppressor tRNA includes detecting a phage propagation reporter, wherein successful quadruplet decoding by the evolved suppressor

tRNA results in phage propagation. Examples of the preparation and use of phage propagation reporter are provide elsewhere herein, including in the Examples section and Figures. In certain embodiments of the invention, a means for determining the presence of the successful evolved quadruplet decoding suppressor tRNA includes: (a) challenging (i) an essential phage protein encoded with one or more quadruplet codons and (ii) an evolved quadruplet decoding suppressor tRNA encoded on a phage from which the essential phage protein has been deleted, to infect a plurality of *E. coli*. The challenging may then be followed by detecting a functional phage produced in the challenged *E. coli*, wherein the functional phage produced indicates the presence of one or more successful quadruplet decoding(s) by the evolved quadruplet decoding suppressor tRNA. In some embodiments, the essential phage protein is a pIII phage protein.

Methods of the invention include in some embodiments, Phage Assisted Continuous Evolution (PACE). PACE methods may be used in methods of the invention which to evolve a suppressor tRNA. In one embodiment, a sample to be evolved may include one or more suppressor tRNA molecules of interest to evolve. A sample in a receptacle in a system or method of the invention may also include one or more of a cell, fluid, an inducer, and other components appropriate for PACE methods. Additional general and specific examples of components that may be included in samples are provided elsewhere herein and additional art-known molecules are suitable to include in samples in embodiments of systems and methods of the invention. For additional details regarding PACE set up and use that can be used in conjunction with methods of the invention, see: Esvelt, K. M., et al., *Nature* 472, 499–503 (2011); Bryson, D.I., et al., (2017). *Nat. Chem. Biol.* Dec;13(12):1253-1260; Packer, M. S., et al., *Nat. Commun.* 8, 956 (2017); Dickinson, B. C., et al., *Nat. Commun.* 5, 5352 (2014); Wang, T., et al., *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 14 , 972–980 (2018); Zinkus-Boltz, J. & Dickinson, B. C. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 13, 432–438 (2017); and Hu, J.H., et al., (2018). *Nature* Apr 5;556(7699):57-63. *Nature* Apr 5;556(7699):57-63. *Nature* Apr 5;556(7699):57-63, each of which is incorporated herein in its entirety by reference].

Samples and Cells

Cells included in certain embodiments of systems and methods of the invention may be interchangeably referred to herein as “cells” or “host cells.” In certain embodiments of the invention, a host cell is a bacterial cell, a non-limiting example of which is a bacterial cell that can be infected with M13. Continuous evolution procedures in embodiments of systems and methods of the invention comprise F+ bacteria that are amenable to M13 infection. As is

understood in the art, F⁺ bacteria possess F factor as a plasmid independent of the bacterial genome. A non-limiting example of a host cell that is used in some embodiments of methods and systems of the invention is an E. coli cell. Additional bacterial cells that are amenable to M13 infection are known in the art and are suitable for use in methods and systems of the invention. Bacteria can be used as cells and host cells in certain embodiments of the invention, including but not limited to embodiments comprising use of phage-assisted continuous evolution (PACE) methods. Additional information about and examples of bacteria suitable for use in methods and systems of the invention are known in the art, see for example, US Patent No. 9,394,537, the teaching of which is incorporated by reference herein in its entirety. It will be understood that in certain embodiments of the invention cells may include non-PACE methods and in such embodiments cells other than bacterial cells may be used. For example though not intended to be limiting, in some embodiments of the invention, non-bacterial cells can be used in other types of continuous directed evolution and tRNAs evolved using a similar approach to that disclosed herein. See for example, for yeast [Ravikumar, A. et al, (2014) Nature Chemical Biology, Vol. 10, March 2014, 175-177 and online methods: doi:10.1038/nchembio.143]) and mammalian cells (English, J.G. et al. (2019), Cell Vol. 178, 748–761, July 25, 2019 and online methods). In some embodiments of the invention a cell is a eukaryotic cell. In some embodiments of the invention a cell is an invertebrate cell. In some embodiments of the invention, a cell is a vertebrate cell. In certain embodiments of the invention, a cell is an engineered cell, and is not a naturally occurring cell.

A host cell included in a system or method of the invention may be a cell comprising a horizontally transferable nucleic acid. In some embodiments, a host cell is provided that comprises at least one viral gene encoding a protein required for the generation of infectious viral particles under the control of a conditional promoter. As a non-limiting example, a host cell may comprise an accessory plasmid comprising a gene required for the generation of infectious phage particles, for example, M13 gIII, wherein the required gene is under the control of a conditional promoter, as described elsewhere herein. In some embodiments of the invention a conditional promoter is inserted into the genome of a host cell, wherein the genome of the host cell also includes a gene required to produce infectious viral particles.

Continued directed evolution systems and methods of the invention may include use of a phage, a viral vector, or naked DNA (e.g., a mobilization plasmid) for delivery or transfer of a gene of interest into a cell, or between cells, respectively. Transfer of a gene of interest from one host cell to a second host cell may be accomplished in a number of ways.

For example, though not intended to be limiting, a transfer may occur using a transfer vector that is a virus that infects a cell, such as, but not limited to a bacteriophage or a retroviral vector. In certain embodiments, a viral vector is a phage vector that infects bacterial host cells. In certain embodiments of continuous evolution methods, a transfer vector is a
5 conjugative plasmid transferred from one bacterial cell to a second bacterial cell. It will be understood that in some aspects of the invention, transfer of a gene of interest from one cell to a second cell is dependent on an activity of the gene of interest.

Protein Production

10 In some embodiments of the invention, suppressor tRNAs of the invention and/or their encoding sequences can be used in methods to prepare a plurality of different proteins from the same DNA sequence. As used herein the term “plurality” means more than one, for example, at least: 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 10, or more. Certain embodiments of methods of the invention include using a plurality of different suppressor tRNA sequences to decode the
15 same DNA sequence. In this way, the sequence of each of the plurality of suppressor tRNA may decode the DNA sequence, thereby directing translation of the DNA sequence. The different decoding of the DNA sequence by the plurality of suppressor tRNAs, produces a plurality of different protein products. Certain embodiments of the invention include a composition comprising a plurality of selected suppressor tRNAs of the invention that are
20 expressed and decode DNA sequences also present in the composition. It will be understood that embodiments of methods of the invention may be carried out under conditions suitable for one or more of: tRNA expression, decoding, and translation.

Methods to prepare multiple different proteins from the same DNA are possible because different suppressor tRNA molecules of the invention result in different translated
25 protein products. In a non-limiting example, a method of the invention may include preparing a DNA sequence that includes a quadruplet codon encoding one or more amino acids, decoding the quadruplet codon with a suppressor tRNA of the invention, for example, a tRNA encoded by a sequence set forth as any one of SEQ ID NOs: 1-21, 28-67, 88-97, and 131-145. Following the decoding, the DNA sequence is translated to produce a protein
30 comprising the encoded amino acids. In some embodiments, the protein product that is produced includes both triplet and quadruplet codons, and in certain embodiments of methods of the invention, the protein translation is an all-quadruplet protein translation.

In another non-limiting example, a method of the invention includes translating a single DNA sequence into one or more distinct protein products. In such methods, if one

suppressor tRNA of the invention is used, the single DNA sequence will be translated into one distinct protein product. If a plurality of suppressor tRNAs of the invention are used to translate a single DNA sequence, the single DNA sequence may be translated into a plurality of protein products. Examples of suppressor tRNAs that may be used in methods of the invention include, but are not limited to suppressor tRNAs encoded by one of SEQ ID NOs: 1-21, 28-68, 88-97, and 131-145. The specific suppressor tRNA(s) expressed determine the amino acids sequence of proteins produced by translating the DNA sequence.

It will be understood that methods of the invention that include expressing tRNAs, decoding DNA sequences, and translating DNA sequences are performed under suitable conditions for the expression, decoding, and translation, respectively. Conditions suitable for expression, decoding, and translation are described herein and additional art-known conditions may be used. In some embodiments of the invention, the decoding is performed in a cell, which may be, for example, though not intended to be limiting, a bacterial cell, an invertebrate cell, a plant cell, a yeast cell, a vertebrate cell, or other suitable cell type. It will be understood that in some embodiments the decoding is performed in a non-natural, or engineered cell. Certain methods of the invention also include assessing a protein produced for characteristics such as, but not limited to: function of the protein produced and the structure of the protein product produced. Means to assess protein characteristics, non-limiting examples of which are: structure, function, stability, etc. are well known and routinely practiced in the art, and can be used to assess proteins produced using methods of the invention. In some embodiments of methods of the invention for translating a DNA sequence, the protein product includes both triplet and quadruplet codons, and in certain embodiments of methods of the invention, the protein product is an all-quadruplet protein translation.

Examples

Example 1-8

Examples 1-8 describe experiments and studies performed to produce and test engineered tRNAs that support quadruplet codon translation. From the studies sequences encoding suppressors were generated and tested, and included sequences set forth herein as: SEQ ID NOs: 1-21, for sequences see Fig. 1 and Fig. 2. SEQ ID NOs: 1-15 are non-evolved and SEQ ID NOs: 16-21 are evolved sequences.

General Materials and Methods for Examples 1-8.

Materials

Antibiotics (Gold Biotechnology) were used at the following working concentrations: carbenicillin, 50 µg/mL; spectinomycin, 100 µg/mL; chloramphenicol, 40 µg/mL; kanamycin, 30 µg/mL; tetracycline, 10 µg/mL; streptomycin, 50 µg/mL. Water was purified using a MilliQ purification system (Millipore). Phusion U Hot Start DNA polymerase (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was used for all PCRs. Plasmids and bacteriophage were cloned by USER assembly. tRNA genes were amplified directly from *E. coli* genomic DNA. Plasmids were cloned and amplified using either Mach1 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) or Turbo (New England BioLabs) cells. Unless otherwise noted, plasmid or bacteriophage DNA was amplified using the Illustra TempliPhi 100 Amplification Kit (GE Healthcare Life Sciences) before Sanger sequencing. Information is provided in Fig. 9C about plasmids used in certain embodiments of the invention.

Preparation and transformation of chemically competent cells

Strain S2060 was used in all luciferase, phage propagation, and plaque assays, as well as in all PACE experiments. To prepare competent cells, an overnight culture was diluted 1,000-fold into 50 mL of 2XYT media (United States Biologicals) supplemented with appropriate antibiotics and grown at 37°C with shaking at 230 r.p.m. to OD₆₀₀ ~0.4–0.6. Cells were pelleted by centrifugation at 6,000g for 10 min at 4°C. The cell pellet was then resuspended by gentle stirring in 5 mL of TSS (LB media supplemented with 5% v/v DMSO, 10% w/v PEG 3350, and 20 mM MgCl₂). The cell suspension was stirred to mix completely, aliquoted and frozen on dry ice, and stored at –80°C until use. To transform cells, 100 µL of competent cells thawed on ice. To this, plasmid (2 µL each; up to two plasmids per transformation) and 100 µL KCM solution (100 mM KCl, 30 mM CaCl₂, and 50 mM MgCl₂ in H₂O) were added and stirred gently with a pipette tip. The mixture was incubated on ice for 10 min and heat shocked at 42°C for 90s before 850 µL of 2XYT media was added. Cells were allowed to recover at 37°C with shaking at 230 r.p.m. for 0.75 h, streaked on 2XYT media + 1.5% agar (United States Biologicals) plates containing the appropriate antibiotics, and incubated at 37°C for 16–18 h.

Kinetic luminescence assay

S2060 cells were transformed with the luciferase-based activity reporter and tRNA expression plasmids of interest as described above. Overnight cultures of single colonies grown in DRM media supplemented with maintenance antibiotics were diluted 500-fold into

DRM media with maintenance antibiotics in a 96-well deep well plate, with or without IPTG inducer. The plate was sealed with a porous sealing film and grown at 37 °C with shaking at 230 r.p.m. for 1 h. 175 µL of cells were transferred to a 96-well black-walled clear-bottom plate (Costar), and then 600 nm absorbance and luminescence were read using an

5 ClarioSTAR (BMG Labtech) over the course of 8 h, during which the cultures were incubated at 37°C. Calculation of %WT occurs as follows. Begin with three values, the luminescence at OD = 0.3 of the WT luciferase positive control (P), the induced tRNA (i), and the suppressed tRNA (s). %WT = (P-s)/(i-s).

10 *Phage cloning.*

To clone bacteriophage, PCR fragments were assembled as usual using USER assembly. The annealed fragments are transformed into competent *E. coli* S2060 cells that already bear a plasmid containing pIII under control of the phage shock promoter, pJC175e. Transformants were recovered overnight, centrifuged at 8,000 g for 2 min and supernatant

15 filtered through a 0.22 µm PVDF Ultrafree centrifugal filter (Millipore). The filtered supernatant containing phage was plaqued, and clonal plaques were expanded overnight and Sanger sequenced.

Phage plaque assays

20 S2060 cells were transformed with the phage activity reporters of interest as described above. Overnight cultures of single colonies grown in 2XYT media supplemented with maintenance antibiotics were diluted 1,000-fold into fresh 2XYT media with maintenance antibiotics and grown at 37°C with shaking at 230 r.p.m. to OD₆₀₀ ~0.6–0.8 before use. Bacteriophage were serially diluted 100-fold (4 dilutions total) in H₂O. 100 µL of cells were

25 added to 100 µL of each phage dilution, and to this 0.85 mL of liquid (70°C) top agar (2XYT media + 0.6% agar) supplemented with 2% Bluo-gal (Gold Biotechnology) was added and mixed by pipetting up and down once. This mixture was then immediately pipetted onto one quadrant of a quartered Petri dish already containing 2 mL of solidified bottom agar (2xYT media + 1.5% agar, no antibiotics). After solidification of the top agar, plates were incubated

30 at 37 °C for 16–18 h.

Phage enrichment assays

S2060 cells were transformed with the phage activity reporters of interest as described above herein. Overnight cultures of single colonies grown in 2XYT media supplemented

with maintenance antibiotics were diluted 1,000-fold into DRM media with maintenance antibiotics and grown at 37°C with shaking at 230 r.p.m. to OD₆₀₀ ~0.4–0.6. Cells were then infected with bacteriophage at a starting titer of 10⁵ pfu/mL. Cells were incubated for another 16–18 h at 37°C with shaking at 230 r.p.m., then centrifuged at 8,000 g for 2 min and
5 filtered through a 0.22 µm PVDF Ultrafree centrifugal filter (Millipore). The filtered supernatant containing phage was removed and stored at 4°C. The phage titer of these samples was measured in an activity-independent manner using a plaque assay containing *E. coli* bearing pJC175e.

10 *Continuous flow PACE*

Unless otherwise noted, PACE apparatus, including host cell strains, lagoons, chemostats, and media, were all used as previously described. Chemically competent S2060s were transformed with the phage propagation reporter and MP6 as described above, plated on 2XYT media + 1.5% agar supplemented with 25 mM glucose (to prevent induction of
15 mutagenesis) in addition to maintenance antibiotics, and grown at 37°C for 18–20 h. Four colonies were picked into 1 mL DRM each in a 96-well deep-well plate, and this was diluted five-fold eight times serially into DRM. The plate was sealed with a porous sealing film and grown at 37°C with shaking at 230 r.p.m. for 16–18 h. Dilutions with OD₆₀₀ ~0.4–0.8 were then used to inoculate a turbidostat containing 300 mL DRM. The turbidostat maintains the
20 growing culture at OD₆₀₀ ~0.7–0.8.

Prior to bacteriophage infection, lagoons were continuously diluted with culture from the turbidostat at 1 lagoon vol/h and pre-induced with 10 mM arabinose for at least 45 minutes. Samples (500 µL) of the SP population were taken at indicated times from lagoon waste lines. These were centrifuged at 8,000g for 2 min, and the supernatant was passed
25 through a 0.22 µm PVDF Ultrafree centrifugal filter (Millipore) and stored at 4°C. Lagoon titers were determined by plaque assays using S2060 cells transformed with pJC175e.

Example 1

Suppressor tRNA sequences (see materials and methods above herein)

30 Procedures were carried out to engineer quadruplet decoding tRNA variants for every *E. coli* tRNA. To identify starting points, suppressor tRNAs reported in the literature were tested. Also tested were rationally engineered suppressors using two different codon reassignment strategies, and a library-based selection method was also developed and used.

Functional suppressor tRNAs were identified, and further steps were carried out to increase their function.

To improve upon the identified functional quadruplet decoding tRNAs, rapid continuous directed evolution was used and successfully evolved suppressors with improved quadruplet decoding efficiency for five different tRNA scaffolds and two different quadruplet codons. In every case, tRNA variants arose that exhibited substantial improvements in quadruplet decoding. The most efficient evolved suppressor is capable of efficiently translating a protein that contains four frameshifts, breaking the existing three-frameshift record.

The resulting catalog of 21 novel suppressors constitute the most comprehensive effort to engineer quadruplet decoding tRNAs to date, reveal that the anticodon loop sides frequently contribute to improved quadruplet decoding, and demonstrate the extent to which codon size is controlled at the tRNA level. The ready access to higher activity variants that decode quadruplet codons in multiple contexts reveals inherent three-versus four-base promiscuity in translation machinery that offers a tractable strategy for genetic code expansion.

Suppressor tRNAs

A number of suppressor tRNAs were developed, engineered, and tested. Sequences that encode certain of the identified suppressor tRNAs were identified and their nucleic acid sequences are set forth herein as SEQ ID NOs: 1-21, (see Figs. 1 and 2)

Thirty-four suppressor tRNA-encoding sequences that were assessed for toxicity and function were determined to be non-functional, toxic, or extremely toxic when tested, see Table 1, and Fig. 10.

Table 1. Non-functional, toxic, and extremely toxic suppressor tRNAs

Non-functional		Toxic	Extremely toxic
D-TAGA	E-AGGC	D-GACC	A-GCCC 5
I-TAGA	Y-CAAG	E-GAAA	C-TGCC
K-TAGA	Y-CCAT		H-CACC
L-TAGA	Y-CATG		M-ATGG
N-TAGA	Y-CCCT		V-GTCC
P-TAGA	Y-CCTC		W-TGGG
T-TAGA	Y-CCCC		Y-TACC
V-TAGA	Y-AAGC		10
F-TTCC	Y-CTGC		
I-ATCC	Y-CCAG		
K-AAAA	D-CACC		
L-TTGG	H-CAAC		
N-AACC			

15 Example 2

Assays – Luciferase Reporter: Design and Validation Studies

(See materials and methods above herein)

A luminescent assay system was prepared and tested. Experiments performed included preparing constructs for a two-plasmid luminescence reporter system of quadruplet
20 decoding.

(a) As illustrated in Fig. 4A, one plasmid was prepared that constitutively expressed a luciferase that had been encoded using a quadruplet codon at permissive residue(s) 164 or 357. A second plasmid was prepared from which a tRNA was expressed from a using an IPTG inducible promoter.

25

(b) Successful decoding of the quadruplet codon resulted in translation of full-length luciferase and thereby luminescence. Failure to decode the quadruplet codon resulted in a frameshift, premature termination, and a truncated, non-functional luciferase. A schematic diagram of the reporter process is shown in Fig. 4B.

30

(c) This reporter was validated using two previously reported engineered quadruplet decoding tRNAs, Ser-AGGG and Ser-AGGA, [Magliery, T. et al., (2001) J. Bol. Vol. 307:755-769, the content of which is incorporated herein in its entirety by reference]. Fig. 4C shows results of the validation of the Ser-AGGA (first data point). When induced, both tRNAs could decode
35 the quadruplet codon in the matching reporter, but not a reporter containing a mismatching

quadruplet codon (Fig. 4C, second data point). As another negative control, expressing a wild type Serine tRNA did not result in luminescence (Fig. 4C, third data point).

(d) Additional experiments were performed to measure quadruplet decoding tRNAs reported in the literature. Several had no measurable activity in this assay, or resulted in high toxicity. Results are shown in Fig. 4D.

(e) Measurements were taken of quadruplet decoding tRNAs that decode TAGA. Results shown in Fig. 4E indicate the % translation efficiency (Y axis) for a number of decoding tRNAs that decode TAGA, which are shown on the X axis.

(f) Measurements were taken of quadruplet decoding tRNAs that decode XYZZ, where XYZ was the original codon corresponding to each tRNA scaffold. Results shown in Fig. 4F indicate the % translation efficiency (Y axis) for a number of decoding tRNAs that decode XYZZ, which are shown on the X axis. Induction of seven of these tRNAs, results in high toxicity, as indicated on graph with “X”.

(g) Together, these approaches revealed at least one naive quadruplet decoding tRNA with detectable activity for 13/20 canonical amino acids. These naive tRNAs can be used as starting points for directed evolution. General results are shown in Fig. 4G.

Example 3

Evolving Suppressor tRNAs - (see materials and methods above herein)

A major challenge in any directed evolution campaign is identifying starting components with sufficient initial activity to begin evolution. For simplicity of the resulting system, the initial experiments were focused on suppressor tRNAs that could be charged endogenously in *E. coli*. A first step was to measure the efficiency of known quadruplet decoding tRNAs using the luciferase reporter (see Example 3). Seven naturally occurring suppressor tRNAs have previously been reported in bacteria: *sufD* (incorporates Gly, GGGG anticodon) [Riddle, D. L. & Carbon, J. *Nat. New Biol.* 242, 230–234 (1973); Yourno, J. & Tanemura, S. *Nature* 225, 422–426 (1970).], *hopR* (Val, GUNA) [O’Connor, M., et al., *EMBO J.* 8, 4315–4323 (1989)], *sufB* (Pro, CCCC) [Sroga, G. E., et al., *Nucleic Acids Res.* 20, 3463–3469 (1992)], *sufJ* (Thr, ACCN) [Bossi, L. & Smith, D. M. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 81, 6105–6109 (1984)], *sufI6* (Gly, GGGG) [Gaber, R. F. & Culbertson, M. R.

Gene 19 , 163–172 (1982)], *sufG* (Gln, CAAA) [O'Connor, M. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 30 , 1985–1990 (2002)], *su6* (Leu, UAGG) [Moore, B., et al., *J. Mol. Biol.* 298 , 195–209 (2000)], and *su7* (Gln, UAGG) [Curran, J. F. & Yarus, M. *Science* 238 , 1545–1550 (1987)]. These seven suppressor tRNAs were measured in S2060 *E. coli* using the luciferase reporter (Fig 4D).

5 Many of these suppressors are toxic and nonfunctional in the assay. In total, a search of the literature identified minimally functional suppressor tRNAs for 4/20 canonical amino acids (Fig 4G). (The content of each reference indicated in this paragraph is incorporated by reference herein in its entirety.)

To expand the catalog of minimally functional suppressor tRNAs to more
10 comprehensively cover the canonical amino acids, studies were performed using rational engineering. A straightforward approach to converting a naturally occurring triplet-decoding tRNA into a quadruplet decoding one is as simple as replacing the anticodon. Two strategies for codon reassignment were tested.

Previous efforts to engineer a complete set of amber suppressor tRNAs were largely
15 successful [Magliery, T. J., et al., Edited by M. Gottesman. *J. Mol. Biol.* 307, 755–769 (2001) and Lee, B. S., et al., *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1861, 3016–3023 (2017)]. TAGA, a quadruplet codon that begins with the amber stop codon, has been used successfully several times previously. TAGA suppressors compete with the amber stop codon TAG, the lowest-usage codon in *E. coli*'s genome [Zhang, S. P., et al., *Gene* 105, 61–72 (1991)], potentially
20 explaining TAGA suppressor efficiency. Experiments were performed and a complete set of 20 *E. coli* TAGA suppressors were engineered by replacing the anticodon in each tRNA with 5'-TCTA-3'. The efficiency and toxicity of these suppressors was measured using the luciferase reporter (Fig 4E). 11/20 TAGA suppressors showed detectable efficiency. (The content of each reference indicated in this paragraph is incorporated by reference herein in its
25 entirety.)

It was observed that duplication of the third base of the codon is a common motif in naturally occurring quadruplet decoding tRNAs (if XYZ is the original codon, XYZZ is the quadruplet codon) [Curran, J. F. & Yarus, M. *Science* 238 , 1545–1550 (1987); Riddle, D. L. & Carbon, J. *Nat. New Biol.* 242 , 230–234 (1973); Yourno, J. & Tanemura, S. *Nature* 225 ,
30 422–426 (1970); Sroga, G. E., et al., *Nucleic Acids Res.* 20, 3463–3469 (1992); Gaber, R. F. & Culbertson, M. R. *Gene* 19 , 163–172 (1982); O'Connor, M. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 30 , 1985–1990 (2002); and Moore, B., et al., *J. Mol. Biol.* 298 , 195–209 (2000)]. 18/20 synthetases must charge tRNAs that decode codons with different third bases [Sonneborn, T. M. In *Evolving Genes and Proteins* (eds. Bryson, V. & Vogel, H. J.) 377–397 (Academic Press,

1965)], potentially explaining plasticity in this area of tRNA identity elements. Given that this strategy for codon reassignment minimally disrupts the anticodon, a complete set of “XYZZ suppressors” were engineered. 6/20 XYZZ showed detectable efficiency with the luciferase reporter (Fig 4F). Additionally, 7/20 XYZZ suppressors were more toxic than
5 could be quantified using the doubling time assay. (The content of each reference in this paragraph is incorporated by reference herein in its entirety.)

It was also identified that not all quadruplet codons could be successfully integrated into all tRNA scaffolds. This rational engineering approach required the user to guess-and-check individual tRNA scaffold/quadruplet codon pairs to discover successful codon
10 reassignments. In addition, these mutated tRNAs might no longer have been specifically charged with a single amino acid. Overall, the methods to rationally engineer suppressors resulted in 12/20 starting points for directed evolution (Fig 4G).

It was observed that certain attempts to perform codon reassignment resulted in a nonfunctional or toxic suppressor for several potential reasons: (1) 17/20 AARSs identify
15 their tRNA by recognizing bases in the anticodon loop 45 and may be unable to recognize or charge a tRNA with a quadruplet anticodon; (2) the new anticodon must be compatible with the bases in the tRNA scaffold [Kleina, L. G., et al., *J. Mol. Biol.* 213 , 705–717 (1990) and Yarus, M. *Science* 218, 646–652 (1982)]; (3) mutated tRNAs may act as a competitive inhibitor for endogenous tRNAs for AARSs; (4) functional frameshift suppressor tRNAs may
20 disrupt translation of the host proteome and thereby exhibit high toxicity; and (5) EF-Tu checks anticodon/AA before allowing translation [Shepherd, J. & Ibba, M. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 39, 280–300 (2015), the contents of which is incorporated herein by reference in its entirety]. Studies were performed to determine whether any one of these effects were correlated with trends in the success or failure of the suppressor engineering. Based on the
25 results of studies performed, the following observations were made:

(a) *E. coli* AARSs for alanine and leucine do not interact with the anticodon loop [Giegé, R., et al., *Nucleic Acids Res.* 26, 5017–5035 (1998); the contents of which is incorporated herein by reference in its entirety]. However, functional suppressors were not
30 able to be obtained for these amino acids. Additionally, the attempts did not generate toxic tRNAs. This indicated that item (1) (above) was not the only factor limiting discovery of suppressor tRNAs based on diverse scaffolds

(b) Tests were performed to assess codon reassignment strategies. Fig. 4H shows results of % transcription efficiency (versus triplet codon) for UAGA Quad Codons, indicating functional and toxic results. Fig. 4I provides results of tests indicating that among

the codon reassignment strategies tested, the XYZZ suppressors were more likely to exhibit very high toxicity.

All the suppressors discovered to this point are referred to as “naïve” suppressors. It is possible that the remaining seven canonical amino acids are not amenable to being charged endogenously, and will require evolution of the *E. coli* AARS, or that a tRNA/AARS pair be imported from another organism.

Example 4

Directed Evolution of suppressor tRNAs – M13 Phage Propagation Reporter

(see materials and methods above herein).

(a) A construct was designed for phage propagation reporter. The reporter plasmid was prepared that encoded pIII, an essential phage protein, which was encoded with one or more quadruplet codons at permissive residue(s) 29 or 34. The quadruplet decoding tRNA was encoded on an M13 phage from which pIII deleted. (See Fig. 5A).

(b) When challenged to infect *E. coli* bearing the reporter plasmid, the Selection Phage entered the cell. Successful decoding of the quadruplet codon resulted in translation of full-length pIII and thereby infectious phage progeny. Failure to decode the quadruplet codon resulted in a frameshift, premature termination, and a truncated, non-functional pIII. (See Fig. 5B).

(c) This reporter was validated using two highly active quadruplet decoding tRNAs that had been identified: R-CGTT-naïve and R-TAGA-naïve. Phage bearing both these suppressors were capable of forming plaques when challenged to infect *E. coli* bearing a phage propagation reporter with a matching quadruplet codon, but not with a non-matching quadruplet codon. Both phage could plaque in *E. coli* that supply pIII in response to phage infection (PSP-pIII). M13 phage from which pIII had not be deleted could form plaques regardless of phage propagation reporter. (See Fig. 5C).

(d) To determine the relationship between the luciferase and phage propagation reporter results, nine functional TAGA suppressors were tested with a TAGA phage propagation reporter. Suppressors that measured at least 1% wild type (WT) activity in the luminescence assay reliably supported phage propagation at levels sufficient for directed evolution. (See Fig. 5D).

Results

To evolve the identified minimally functional quadruplet decoding tRNAs studies were performed using an M13-bacteriophage based directed evolution technique [Esvelt, K. M., et al., *Nature* 472, 499–503 (2011)]. First, a phage propagation reporter was prepared that tied successful quadruplet decoding to M13 phage propagation. In this system, an essential phage protein pIII was encoded with one or more quadruplet codons. A quadruplet decoding tRNA was encoded on an M13 phage from which pIII has been deleted (Fig 5A). When challenged to infect *E. coli* bearing the pIII-containing reporter plasmid, functional phage were produced in proportion to quadruplet decoding activity (Fig 5B). Previously another approach had been used to tie phage propagation to three-base codon amber suppression integrated at permissive residue pIII-P29 [Bryson, D.I., et al., (2017). *Nat. Chem. Biol.* Dec;13(12):1253-1260, the contents of which is incorporated herein by reference in its entirety]. Studies were performed and this reporter was validated in the quadruplet codon context by integrating a CGTT or TAGA quadruplet codon at pIII-29 and then verifying that phage bearing the R-CGTT-naive or R-TAGA-naive suppressors shows phage propagation in the form of plaquing (Fig 5C); control experiments with mismatched quadruplet anticodons or lacking the suppressor tRNA confirm the requirements for cognate codon/anticodon interactions for phage propagation. These experiments confirmed that phage propagation was tied to quadruplet decoding activity.

Example 5

Continuous directed evolution of quadruplet decoding tRNAs

(see materials and methods above herein)

(a) Five tRNA suppressors were evolved in continuous culture. Fig. 6A shows results included graphs showing phage titers (left axis, solid lines) and population dilution rate (right axis, dashed lines). Phage titers generally remained flat or rose over the course of evolution. In two instances, Q-NNNN and R-NNNN, the experiments were seeded with phage bearing a randomized anticodon loop rather than a clonal variant; in both cases the final population was overtaken by phage bearing the expected anticodon.

(b) To determine the results of evolution, initial and final tRNA variants were compared against a ladder of phage activity reporters of increasing difficulty. Phage were initially capable of efficiently producing infectious progeny that require production of pIII that contains 1 quadruplet codon; in contrast, the evolved phage are capable of relying on a pIII that requires 3 quadruplet codons. Results of some studies are shown in Fig. 6B.

(c) Sequences of evolved tRNA variants. Of the 5 variants, 4 of them involved mutations to the sides of the anticodon loop. These set include deletions (Q-TAGA-Evo2), insertion (Q-CAGG-Evo1), and recoding of base-paired regions (R-TAGA-Evo2). Results of some studies are shown in Fig. 6C.

5 (d) To measure the properties of these tRNA variants independent of potential changes in the phage backbone, the tRNA variants were subcloned into the inducible tRNA expression plasmid and measured using the luminescence reporter. Efficiency of the evolved variants were measured relative to their naive evolutionary starting points. The efficiency of each evolved suppressor was higher than the original, showing generally about an order of
10 magnitude improvement. (Results certain studies are shown in Fig. 6D).

Results

The existing catalog of naive quadruplet decoding tRNAs span a wide range of suppression efficiencies, from 0.1% to 20% of WT. The stringency of the phage propagation
15 reporter was manipulated by changing the copy number of the plasmid [Peterson, J. & Phillips et al., *Plasmid* 59, 193–201 (2008)], the strength of the promoter [Davis, J. H., et al., *Nucleic Acids Res.* 39, 1131–1141 (2011)], and the number of frameshifts (SI: phage propagation); together, these modifications allowed reporters to be generated that challenged suppressors at a wide range of efficiencies. To establish a comparison between luminescence
20 and phage propagation reporters, activity-dependent phage enrichment and plaquing was tested for the nine most efficient naive TAGA suppressors (Fig 5D), using two most lenient reporters. There was good agreement between the results, and phage bearing suppressors around 1% of WT activity showed activity-dependent phage propagation (Fig 5D). (The content of each reference recited in this paragraph is incorporated herein by reference in its
25 entirety.)

To evolve the quadruplet decoding tRNA, procedures were carried out to repeatedly enrich populations of phage for functional variants using the phage propagation reporter. As the phage genome replicates, mutations arise, some of which may be in the quadruplet decoding tRNA. The studies employed an inducible mutagenesis plasmid [Badran, A. H. &
30 Liu, D. R. *Nat. Commun.* 6, 8425 (2015)] to elevate this mutation rate to levels that rival that of traditional *in vitro* mutagenesis used in directed evolution. Thus, repeated enrichment of phage in *E. coli* carrying a phage propagation reporter construct resulted in directed evolution of the tRNA. This technique, known as Phage Assisted Continuous Evolution (PACE) [Esvelt, K. M., et al., *Nature* 472, 499–503 (2011)], has been used to evolve protease

substrate specificity [Packer, M. S., et al., *Nat. Commun.* 8, 956 (2017) and Dickinson, B. C., et al., *Nat. Commun.* 5, 5352 (2014)], aminoacyl tRNA synthetases [Bryson, D.I., et al., (2017). *Nat. Chem. Biol.* Dec;13(12):1253-1260], antibody solubility [Wang, T., Badran, et al., *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 14, 972–980 (2018)], biosensors [Pu, J., Zinkus-Boltz, J. & Dickinson, B. C. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 13 , 432–438 (2017)], and broad-PAM specificity Cas9 variants [Hu, J.H., et al., (2018). *Nature* Apr 5;556(7699):57-63] , amongst other applications. PACE biologically automates the traditional directed evolution workflow, compressing the multi-day process of library creation and screening into the rapid 20-minute lifecycle of a bacteriophage. (The content of each reference recited in this paragraph is incorporated herein by reference in its entirety.)

Studies performed included culturing phage bearing a Q-NNNN suppressor library continuous flow, challenging the population to propagate in bacteria bearing the MP6 mutagenesis plasmid and a phage propagation reporter containing pIII-29-CAGG. The expectation was that the Q-CAGG-naive suppressor, a member of the inoculating library, would be enriched. Additionally, evolved variants of Q-CAGG-naive might also emerge. Indeed, both events occurred after 45 hours of continuous evolution. A one-mutant of Q-CAGG-naive, Q-CAGG-Evo1, overtook the population (Fig 6A). Studies were performed to compare the starting and ending fitness of the phage using enrichment assays, and it was determined that the evolved phage bearing Q-CAGG-Evo1 exhibits a substantially higher ability to decode quadruplet codons; it is capable of supporting phage propagation using a copy of pIII containing three frameshifts (Fig. 6B).

Studies were performed to assess frameshift suppression. Fig. 6E is a schematic diagram of strategy showing an accessory plasmid (top) with frameshifts indicated and a selection phage schematic (bottom). Fig. 6F illustrates results of phage enrichment and confirmed that propagation relied on quadruplet decoding.

Example 6

Continuous directed evolution of S-UAGA tRNA

(see materials and methods above herein)

(a) Studies were performed in which the Serine-UAGA tRNA was evolved in continuous culture, challenging it to decode one quadruplet codon for the first 24 hours and then to decode three quadruplet codons for the final 30 hours. Results show in in Fig. 7A. Total phage titer (solid) generally fell and then rose over the course of each segment of the

evolution. The population dilution rate (dashed) was used to increase selection pressure during the course of evolution.

(b) Studies were performed to compare the initial and final tRNA variants against a ladder of phage activity reporters of increasing difficulty. Evolved phage are capable of
5 relying on a pIII that requires 4 quadruplet codons (see Fig. 7B).

(c) Sequences of evolved variants of the SerU-UAGA tRNA. Evolved 3 contains a stem-re-coding mutation (see Fig. 7C).

(d) These tRNA variants were subcloned into the inducible tRNA expression backbone and measured using the luminescence reporter. The efficiency of evolved
10 suppressors has improved, as demonstrated by a kinetic luminescence assay. The most evolved suppressor variants are on-par with the Mj-Tyr tRNA/AARS pair in decoding UAG codons (see Fig. 7D).

(e) Crosstalk between evolved variants and fourth-base mismatch codons, or the amber suppressor was measured. The evolved suppressor showed significant preference for
15 decoding four-base codons with the matching fourth base. It showed measurable crosstalk with the three base codon TAG. As quadruplet decoding tRNAs are known to crosstalk with four-base codons with a mismatched fourth base [Gaber, R. F. & Culbertson, M. R. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 4, 2052–2061 (1984); Anderson, J. C., Magliery, T. J. & Schultz, P. G. *Chem. Biol.* 9, 237–244 (2002); Curran, J. F. & Yarus, M. *Science* 238, 1545–1550 (1987); and
20 Fagan, C. E., et al., *RNA* 20, 1944–1954 (2014)], tests for this crosstalk were performed (Fig 7E). Additionally, the UAGA suppressors were tested for crosstalk with suppression of the amber stop codon (Fig 7E). (The content of each reference recited in this paragraph is incorporated herein by reference in its entirety.)

25 Results

Studies were performed to determine whether the success of this technique was dependent on the CAGG codon, and to obtain results for a different quadruplet codon. Five of the most functional TAGA suppressors were evolved in continuous flow using a lenient TAGA phage reporter. Two independent experiments were performed, one each for Q and R,
30 in both case one experiment was seeding with phage bearing the NNNN library, in the other with a clonal TAGA-naïve suppressor (Fig 6A, Fig 7A). Some experiments experienced phage washout or evolution of phage-pIII recombinants due to low starting activity (SI: continuous evolution experiments). All other experiments, comprising at least one experiment for every tRNA scaffold tested, evolved tRNA variants that swept the population

(Fig. 6A, Fig. 7A). Replicate experiments evolved similar mutations. Clonal phage bearing these evolved suppressors were characterized and it was found they all show improved activity, again supporting phage propagation using a pIII transcript requiring three frameshifts (Fig. 6B). Additionally, the evolved tRNAs were isolated and tested the side-by-side with their naive equivalents using the luminescence reporter; evolved variants generally show about an order of magnitude improvement in quadruplet decoding efficiency (Fig 6D).

Studies were performed to determine whether higher efficiency variants could be produced through further evolution on a more difficult evolutionary goal. Additionally, the phage activity reporters used so far for evolution all contain a single frameshift at pIII-29, and tests were performed to determine if the evolved suppressors were specific for that transcript context. These tests included evolving S-TAGA-Evo1 on a substantially more challenging three-frameshift phage propagation reporter. Over the course of an additional 30 hours of evolution, two additional sweeping variants arose in the two parallel experiments, S-TAGA-Evo2, S-TAGA-Evo3 (Fig. 7A). These variants now showed activity on a phage activity reporter requiring four frameshifts (Fig. 7B). Analysis of these variants using the luminescence reporter show that the most evolved variant decodes the UAGA quadruplet codon at the same efficiency as a UAG suppressor.

Example 7

Reporter Development Method

(see materials and methods above herein)

In order to make this reporter usable for all the canonical amino acids, two permissive residues in *luxAB* were identified: 161 and 357 (residue positions in polypeptide encoded by SEQ ID NO: 26, by generating mutants with dissimilar amino acids and confirming that luminescence was not abolished. Results show in Fig. 8.

Two inducible promoters, P_{rha} [Tate, C. G., et al., *J. Biol. Chem.* 267, 6923–6932 (1992)] and P_{trc} [Amann, E. & Brosius, J. *Gene* 40, 183–190 (1985)] were tested but a new promoter, P_{proK-lacO} was designed and used for IPTG induction because of its improved characteristics of low background and high dynamic range (SI).

It was observed that residues 164 and 357 of *luxAB* have different propensity for frameshifting (SI); consistent with frameshift suppression being dependent on sequence context [Salser, W. *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 105, 125–130 (1969); Fluck, M. M., et al., *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 151, 137–149 (1977); Salser, W., et al., *Cold Spring Harb. Symp. Quant. Biol.* 34, 513–520 (1969); Yahata, H., et al., *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 106, 208–212 (1970); Akaboshi, E., et

al, *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 149, 1–4 (1976); Feinstein, S. I. & Altman, S. *J. Mol. Biol.* 112, 453–470 (1977); Colby, D. S., et al., *Cell* Nov;9(3):449–63 (1976); Feinstein, S. I. & Altman, S. *Genetics* 88, 201–219 (1978); and Fluck, M. M. & Epstein, R. H. *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 177, 615–627 (1980); the content of each of which is incorporated herein in its entirety by
 5 reference.]

Experiments confirmed that a luciferase encoded with quadruplet codons at both residues 161 and 357 acts as a more stringent reporter.

Performance of this reporter to a GFP-based fluorescence reporter and a chloramphenicol acetyl transferase-based [Wang, K., et al., *Nat. Biotechnol.* 25, 770–777
 10 (2007), the content of which is incorporated herein in its entirety by reference] antibiotic reporter (SI), and found that the suppressor tRNAs showed identical trends, but the luciferase-based reporter had lower background and higher dynamic range. (The content of each reference recited in Example 8 is incorporated herein by reference in its entirety.)

15 Example 8

PproK-lacO promoter

A promoter sequence was designed and validated using certain methods provided in previous examples herein. The promoter, referred to herein as a PproK-lacO promoter, integrates the conventionally used PproK promoter for tRNA production. Use of the PproK-
 20 lacO promoter sequence was determined to further improve the utility of the conventional PproK promoter due at least in part to the inclusion of lacO sequence. Studies carried out using the PproK-lacO promoter demonstrated that the PproK-lacO promoter ensured lacI/IPTG-dependent tRNA transcription. The nucleic acid sequence of the PproK-lacO promoter is set forth herein as SEQ ID NO: 25.

25 Examples 9-14

Examples 9-14 describe experiments and studies performed to produce and test engineered tRNAs that support quadruplet codon translation. From the studies sequences encoding suppressors were generated and tested, and included sequences set forth herein as:
 30 SEQ ID NOs: 28-97 and 131-145, for sequences see Fig. 9A-B.

General Materials and Methods for Examples 9-14, see also Example 8.

Materials

Antibiotics (Gold Biotechnology) were used at the following working concentrations: carbenicillin, 50 µg/mL; spectinomycin, 100 µg/mL; chloramphenicol, 40 µg/mL; kanamycin, 30 µg/mL; tetracycline, 10 µg/mL; streptomycin, 50 µg/mL. Water was purified using a MilliQ purification system (Millipore). Phusion U Hot Start DNA polymerase (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was used for all PCRs. Plasmids and bacteriophage were cloned by USER assembly [Geu-Flores et al., (2007) *Nucleic Acids Research* 35, e55–e55]. tRNA genes were amplified directly from *E. coli* genomic DNA. Plasmids were cloned and amplified using either Mach1 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) or Turbo (New England BioLabs) cells. Unless otherwise noted, plasmid or bacteriophage DNA was amplified using the Illustra TempliPhi 100 Amplification Kit (GE Healthcare Life Sciences) before Sanger sequencing.

Preparation and transformation of chemically competent cells

Strain S2060 was used in all luciferase, phage propagation, and plaque assays, as well as in all PACE experiments. To prepare competent cells, an overnight culture was diluted 1,000-fold into 50 mL of 2XYT media (United States Biologicals) supplemented with appropriate antibiotics and grown at 37°C with shaking at 230 r.p.m. to OD₆₀₀ ~0.4–0.6. Cells were pelleted by centrifugation at 6,000g for 10 min at 4°C. The cell pellet was then resuspended by gentle stirring in 5 mL of TSS (LB media supplemented with 5% v/v DMSO, 10% w/v PEG 3350, and 20 mM MgCl₂). The cell suspension was stirred to mix completely, aliquoted and frozen on dry ice, and stored at –80°C until use. To transform cells, 100 µL of competent cells were thawed on ice. To this, plasmid (2 µL each of miniprep-quality plasmid prep; up to two plasmids per transformation) and 100 µL KCM solution (100 mM KCl, 30 mM CaCl₂, and 50 mM MgCl₂ in H₂O) were added and stirred gently with a pipette tip. The mixture was incubated on ice for 10 min and heat shocked at 42°C for 90s before 850 µL of 2XYT media was added. Cells were allowed to recover at 37°C with shaking at 230 r.p.m. for 0.75 h, streaked on 2XYT media + 1.5% agar (United States Biologicals) plates containing the appropriate antibiotics, and incubated at 37°C for 16–18 h.

Kinetic luminescence assay

S2060 cells were transformed with the luciferase-based activity reporter and tRNA expression plasmids interest as described above. Overnight cultures of single colonies grown in David Rich Medium (DRM) [Bryson, D.I., et al., (2017). *Nat. Chem. Biol.* Dec;13(12):1253-1260] supplemented with maintenance antibiotics were diluted 500-fold into DRM media with maintenance antibiotics in a 96-well 2mL deep well plate, with or

without IPTG inducer. The plate was sealed with a porous sealing film and grown at 37 °C with shaking at 230 r.p.m. for 1 h. 175 µl of cells were transferred to a 96-well black-walled clear-bottom plate (Costar), and then 600 nm absorbance and luminescence were read using an ClarioSTAR (BMG Labtech) over the course of 8 h, during which the cultures were
 5 incubated at 37°C.

Calculation of %WT (η).

Begin with three values, the luminescence at OD = 0.3 of the WT luciferase positive control (P), the induced tRNA (i), and the suppressed tRNA (s). $\eta = (i-s)/(P-s)$.

10

M13 selection assay

Grow an overnight culture of the bacteria containing the AP. The next day, dilute culture 1:1000 in 2XYT and aliquot 500uL each into a deep well 96-well plate. Inoculate each with 10 5 pfu/mL of phage containing the tRNA with randomized anticodon loops.

15

Grow overnight. Filter phage supernatant and plaque activity-independent using pJC175e. Rank selections from lowest ending phage titer to highest ending phage titer. Pick selections for Sanger sequencing including all selections that enrich over 10x, or additional selections as desired. Sanger sequence two plaques for each selection.

20

Phage cloning

To clone bacteriophage, PCR fragments were assembled as usual using USER assembly. The annealed fragments are transformed into competent *E. coli* S2060 cells that already bear a plasmid containing pIII under control of the phage shock promoter, pJC175e. Transformants are recovered overnight, centrifuged at 8,000 g for 2 min and supernatant
 25 filtered through a 0.22 µm centrifugal filter (Thomas Scientific or Corning). The filtered supernatant containing phage is plaqued, and clonal plaques are expanded overnight and Sanger sequenced.

Manual phage plaque assays

30

S2060 cells were transformed with the phage activity reporters of interest as described above. Overnight cultures of single colonies grown in 2XYT media supplemented with maintenance antibiotics were diluted 1,000-fold into fresh 2XYT media with maintenance antibiotics and grown at 37°C with shaking at 230 r.p.m. to OD600 ~0.6–0.8 before use. Bacteriophage were serially diluted 100-fold (4 dilutions total) in H₂O. 100 µl of cells were

added to 100 µl of each phage dilution, and to this 0.85 mL of liquid (70°C) top agar (2XYT media + 0.6% agar) supplemented with 2% Bluo-gal (Gold Biotechnology) was added and mixed by pipetting up and down once. This mixture was then immediately pipetted onto one quadrant of a quartered Petri dish already containing 2 mL of solidified bottom agar (2XYT media + 1.5% agar, no antibiotics). After solidification of the top agar, plates were incubated at 37 °C for 16–18 h.

Robotics-accelerated phage plaque assays

Follow the same procedure as above, except for plating of the plaque assays is done by a liquid handling robot by plating 20 µl of bacterial culture and 100 µl of phage dilution with 200 µl of soft agar onto a well of a 24-well plate already containing 235 µl of hard agar per well. To prevent premature cooling of soft agar, place the soft agar on the deck in a 70°C heat block.

15 Phage enrichment assays

S2060 cells were transformed with the phage activity reporters of interest as described above. Overnight cultures of single colonies grown in 2XYT media supplemented with maintenance antibiotics were diluted 1,000-fold into DRM media with maintenance antibiotics and grown at 37°C with shaking at 230 r.p.m. to OD₆₀₀ ~0.4–0.6. Cells were then infected with bacteriophage at a starting titer of 10⁵ pfu/mL. Cells were incubated for another 16–18 h at 37°C with shaking at 230 r.p.m., then centrifuged at 8,000 g for 2 min and filtered through a 0.22 µm PVDF Ultrafree centrifugal filter (Millipore). The filtered supernatant containing phage was removed and stored at 4°C. The phage titer of these samples is measured in an activity-independent using a plaque assay containing *E. coli* bearing pJC175e.

25

Continuous flow PACE

Unless otherwise noted, PACE apparatus, including host cell strains, lagoons, chemostats, and media, were all used as previously described [Dickinson, B. C., et al., *Nat. Commun.* 5, 5352 (2014)]. Chemically competent S2060s were transformed with the phage propagation reporter and MP6 as described above, plated on 2XYT media + 1.5% agar supplemented with 25 mM glucose (to prevent induction of mutagenesis) in addition to maintenance antibiotics, and grown at 37 °C for 18–20 h. Four colonies were picked into 1 mL DRM each in a 96-well deep-well plate, and this was diluted five-fold eight times serially into DRM. The plate was sealed with a porous sealing film and grown at 37 °C with shaking

at 230 r.p.m. for 16–18 h. Dilutions with OD600 ~0.4–0.8 were then used to inoculate a turbidostat containing 80 mL DRM. The turbidostat maintains the growing culture at OD600 ~0.7–0.8. Prior to bacteriophage infection, lagoons were continuously diluted with culture from the turbidostat at 1 lagoon vol/h and pre-induced with 10 mM arabinose for at least 45 minutes. Samples (500 µL) of the SP population were taken at indicated times from lagoon waste lines. These were centrifuged at 8,000g for 2 min, and the supernatant was passed through a 0.22 µm PVDF Ultrafree centrifugal filter (Millipore) and stored at 4 °C. Lagoon titers were determined by plaque assays using S2060 cells transformed with pJC175e.

10 Quantification of qtRNA charging

Each qtRNA was co-expressed with C-terminal 6xHis-tagged GFP-151-TAGA in S2060 cells. Four mL cultures were grown for 28 hours at 37°C in DRM and purified using a Ni-NTA spin column (Qiagen). The resulting product was run on a 12% Bis-Tris PAGE gel (Invitrogen).

15

Sample Preparation for Mass Spectrometry. Silver stained SDS–PAGE gel lanes were subdivided into 7 regions and cut into ~2 mm squares. These were washed overnight in 50% methanol/ water. These were washed once more with 47.5/47.5/5 % methanol/water/acetic acid for 2 hours, dehydrated with acetonitrile and dried in a speed-vac. Reduction and alkylation of disulfide bonds was then carried out by the addition of 30 µl 10 mM dithiothreitol (DTT) in 100 mM ammonium bicarbonate for 30 minutes to reduce disulfide bonds. The resulting free cysteine residues were subjected to an alkylation reaction by removal of the DTT solution and the addition of 100 mM iodoacetamide in 100 mM ammonium bicarbonate for 30 minutes to form carbamidomethyl cysteine. These were then sequentially washed with aliquots of acetonitrile, 100 mM ammonium bicarbonate and acetonitrile and dried in a speed-vac. The bands were enzymatically digested by the addition of 300 ng of trypsin (or chymotrypsin for R or K qtRNAs) in 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate to the dried gel pieces for 10 minutes on ice. Depending on the volume of acrylamide, excess ammonium bicarbonate was removed or enough was added to rehydrate the gel pieces. These were allowed to digest overnight at 37 degrees Celsius with gentle shaking. The resulting peptides were extracted by the addition of 50 µl (or more if needed to produce supernatant) of 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate with gentle shaking for 10 minutes. The supernatant from this was collected in a 0.5 ml conical autosampler vial. Two subsequent additions of 47.5/47.5/5 acetonitrile/water/formic acid with gentle shaking for 10 minutes were performed with the

25

30

supernatant added to the 0.5 ml autosampler vial. Organic solvent was removed and the volumes were reduced to 15 µl using a speed vac for subsequent analyses.

Chromatographic Separations. The digestion extracts were analyzed by reversed phase high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) using Waters NanoAcquity pumps and autosampler and a ThermoFisher Orbitrap Elite mass spectrometer using a nano flow configuration. A 20 mm x 180 micron column packed with 5 micron Symmetry C18 material (Waters) using a flow rate of 15 µl per minute for three minutes was used to trap and wash peptides. These were then eluted onto the analytical column which was a self-packed with 3.6 micron Aeris C18 material (Phenomenex) in a fritted 20 cm x 75 micron fused silica tubing pulled to a 5 micron tip. The gradient was isocratic 1% A Buffer for 1 minute 250 nl min⁻¹ with increasing B buffer concentrations to 15% B at 20.5 minutes, 27% B at 31 minutes and 40% B at minutes. The column was washed with high percent B and re-equilibrated between analytical runs for a total cycle time of approximately 53 minutes. Buffer A consisted of 1% formic acid in water and buffer B consisted of 1% formic acid in acetonitrile.

Mass Spectrometry. The mass spectrometer was operated in a dependent data acquisition mode where the 10 most abundant peptides detected in the Orbitrap Elite (ThermoFisher) using full scan mode with a resolution of 240,000 were subjected to daughter ion fragmentation in the linear ion trap. A running list of parent ions was tabulated to an exclusion list to increase the number of peptides analyzed throughout the chromatographic run. The resulting fragmentation spectra were correlated against custom databases using PEAKS Studio X (Bioinformatics Solutions). *Calculation of Limit of Detection and relative abundance.* The results were matched to a library of GFP variants with each of the 20 canonical amino acids at residue 151. Abundance of each species was quantified by calculating the area under the curve of the ion chromatogram for each peptide precursor. The limit of detection is 10⁴ [AU], the lower limit for area under the curve for a peptide on this instrument.

R2R for generating tRNA diagrams R2R was used to generate tRNA diagrams. R2R is free software available from www.bioinf.uni-leipzig.de/~zasha/R2R/.

Example 9

Rational Engineering of qtRNAs (see methods above herein)

To compare qtRNA translation efficiency, a reporter for quadruplet codon translation was prepared by integrating a single quadruplet codon within the bacterial luciferase *luxAB*. Failure to decode this quadruplet codon leads to premature termination, whereas successful four base decoding results in full-length *luxAB* decoding and luminescence. To create a reporter of quadruplet decoding, a quadruplet codon was integrated at permissive residue 357 of a bacterial luciferase, *luxAB*. In the absence of a functional qtRNA, translation of the luciferase prematurely terminates due to the quadruplet codon generated by the quadruplet codon (top translation). In the presence of a functional qtRNA, full-length luciferase and thereby luminescence is generated (bottom translation) (Fig. 11A).

The approach was validated by measuring the quadruplet decoding efficiency of a threonine-ACCA qtRNA reported in the literature. Luminescence was only generated in the presence of the qtRNA and in the presence of a codon-matching reporter. To quantify these data, the increase in luminescence upon induction of the qtRNA was measured, and reported as a fraction of luminescence generated by a wild-type *luxAB* plasmid, see Fig. 11B.

To create a broadly applicable reporter for qtRNAs that integrate chemically diverse amino acids, it was confirmed that residue 357 of *luxAB* is permissive to every canonical amino acid (Fig. 11B). Luminescence measurements were monitored kinetically, in order to robustly compare toxic and non-toxic qtRNAs, and activity was quantified using luminescence at a standard optical density (OD 600) to account for differential growth rates. Results indicated η , the increase in luminescence upon induction of the qtRNA measured relative to the luminescence of *WT-luxAB*, which is encoded entirely with triplet codons. This reporter was validated using a previously reported engineered qtRNA [Bossi, L. & Smith, D. M. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 81, 6105–6109 (1984)], which confirmed that luminescence relies on codon-anticodon interactions and the presence of a qtRNA (Fig. 11B).

Studies were performed using the luciferase reporter to measure the efficiency of known qtRNAs. qtRNAs throughout will be named as “AA identity of tRNA scaffold-codon”; for example a serine tRNA with the 5’-TCTA-3’ anticodon is referred to as “S-TAGA”. There are seven qtRNAs that have previously been reported in bacteria (Table 2) as well as engineered serine variants (Magliery, T. J., et al., (Edited by M. Gottesman). *J. Mol. Biol.* 307, 755–769 (2001).

Table 2 – qtRNAs previously reported in bacteria

Gene	qtRNA	Citation
------	-------	----------

<i>sufD</i>	G-GGGG	Riddle, D. L. & Carbon, J. <i>Nat. New Biol.</i> 242, , 230–234 (1973); Yourno, J. & Tanemura, S. <i>Nature</i> 225 , 422–426 (1970)
<i>hop R</i>	V-GTNA	O'Connor, M., et al., <i>EMBO J.</i> 8 , 4315–4323 (1989)
<i>sufB</i>	P-CCCC	Sroga, G. E., et al., <i>Nucleic Acids Res.</i> 20 , 3463–3469 (1992)
<i>sufJ</i>	T-ACCN	Bossi and Smith, <i>PNAS Sci. U. S. A.</i> 81 , 6105–6109 (1984)
<i>sufI 6</i>	G-GGGG	Gaber, R. F. & Culbertson, M. R. <i>Gene</i> 19 , 163–172 (1982)
<i>sufG</i>	Q-CAAA	O'Connor, M. <i>Nucleic Acids Res.</i> 30 , 1985–1990 (2002)
<i>su6</i>	L-TAGG	Moore, B., et al., <i>J. Mol. Biol.</i> 298 , 195–209 (2000))
<i>su7</i>	Q-TAGG	Curran, J. F. & Yarus, M. <i>Science</i> 238, 1545–1550 (1987)

Experiments were performed to measure three of these qtRNAs in S2060 *E. coli* [Hubbard, B.P., et al., (2015) *Nat. Methods* 12, 939–942], a K12 derivative optimized for directed evolution, using luciferase reporters (Fig. 12D).

5 To expand the catalog of some known qtRNAs to more comprehensively cover canonical amino acid scaffolds, experiments were conducted using rational engineering. Twenty-one representative tRNA scaffolds from the *E. coli* genome were selected, one for each of the 20 canonical amino acids as well as an initiator methionine (Table 3), and techniques were used to convert these tRNAs into functional qtRNAs.

10

Table 3 - Table of tRNA scaffolds

A	Scaffold
A	
A	Alanine tRNA(GGC) 2
C	Cystine (GCA) 1
D	Aspartic Acid (GTC) 1
E	Glu (TTC) 1

F	Phenylalanine (GAA) 1
G	Glycine tRNA (CCC) 1
H	Histidine (GTG) 1
I	Isoleucine (GAT) 1
K	LysQ: Lysine tRNA (UUU)
L	LeuX: Leucine tRNA (UAA) 5
M (initiator)	fMet (CAT) 1
M (elongation)	tRNA ⁸² Met
N	Asparagine (GTT) 1
P	ProL: Proline tRNA (GGG) 2
Q	Glutamine tRNA (CTG) 1
R	Arginine (ACG) 1
S	SerU: Serine tRNA (CGA) 2
T	ThrT: Threonine tRNA (GGU) 3
V	Val (GAC) 1
W	Trp (CAA) 1
Y	Tyr (GTA) 1

Although numerous types of mutations can cause tRNAs to disrupt triplet reading frame maintenance [Atkins, J.F. (2018). *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 115, 11121–11123], one common motif amongst qtRNAs is an expansion from a 7-base to an 8-base anticodon loop, in which the middle four bases are the new quadruplet anticodon. Two strategies for selecting a new quadruplet codon to replace the triplet codon in qtRNAs of this format were tested.

It was believed that TAGA would be a widely functional and efficient quadruplet codon because TAGA-decoding qtRNAs compete with the low-usage triplet stop codon TAG. A complete set of 21 *E. coli* TAGA-decoding qtRNAs was tested by replacing the anticodon in each native tRNA scaffold with 5'-TCTA-3', the reverse complement of TAGA. (Fig. 11C). The efficiency of these qtRNAs was measured using the luciferase reporter (Fig. 11C).

Fig. 11C shows data obtained when a TAGA anticodon was integrated into one scaffold for each of the 20 canonical amino acids and the quadruplet decoding efficiency was measured using a TAGA luciferase reporter. Some of these qtRNA showed decoding of the TAGA codon, at an efficiency of less than 3% of triplet translation. 10/20 of these engineered qtRNAs showed no detectable quadruplet decoding, as indicated by empty circles. The fMet initiator tRNAs was measured with the quadruplet codon integrated at residue 1 of luxAB. 11/21 TAGA qtRNAs showed modest ability to decode TAGA, at less than 2% the efficiency of triplet decoding. With the exception of D-TAGA, these qtRNAs did not cause measurable growth defects, indicating that expression of these qtRNAs is not toxic.

As a second strategy for codon reassignment, it was observed that duplication of the third base of the codon is a common motif in previously discovered qtRNAs (if XYZ is the original codon, XYZZ is the quadruplet codon) [Curran, J. F. & Yarus, M. *Science* 238, 1545–1550 (1987)]; Gaber, R. F. & Culbertson, M. R. *Gene* 19, 163–172 (1982); Moore, B., et al., *J. Mol. Biol.* 298, 195–209 (2000); O'Connor, M. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 30, 1985–1990 (2002); Riddle, D. L. & Carbon, J. *Nat. New Biol.* 242, 230–234 (1973); Sroga, G. E., et al., *Nucleic Acids Res.* 20, 3463–3469 (1992); Yourno, J. & Tanemura, S. *Nature* 225, 422–426 (1970). 18/21 synthetases must charge tRNAs that decode codons with different third bases [Sonneborn, T. M. In *Evolving Genes and Proteins* (eds. Bryson, V. & Vogel, H. J.) 377–397 (Academic Press, 1965)], potentially explaining plasticity in this area of tRNA identity elements. This strategy for codon reassignment minimally disrupts the anticodon because the modification is a point-insertion of a base that already occurs in that area of the tRNA. It was determined that this strategy might minimally disrupt tRNA-AARS recognition making it likely to produce charged qtRNAs. A complete set of XYZZ qtRNAs was tested against luciferase reporters bearing the corresponding codon at residue 357 (Fig. 11C). 6/21 XYZZ generated luminescence at around 2% efficiency. Additionally, 5/21 XYZZ qtRNAs were too toxic to be quantified.

These results showed that not all quadruplet codons could be successfully integrated into all tRNA scaffolds. One potential source of codon-scaffold incompatibility was that these qtRNA rely on host AARSs for aminoacylation, or “charging,” the process of attaching an amino acid to the 3' end of the tRNA. All the bacterial AARSs except for L, A, and S rely on identity elements in the anticodon loop to recognize their tRNA, and may be unable to recognize a tRNA with a modified anticodon [Giegé, R., et al., *Nucleic Acids Res.* 26, 5017–5035 (1998)]. If aminoacylation was the only barrier to creation of a functional qtRNA, then all the L, A, and S qtRNAs would be functional. They are not: A-TAGA, L-TAGA, A-

GCCC, and L-TTCC are all nonfunctional. This indicated that interaction with the AARS was not the only barrier to creation of a functional qtRNA. Indeed, adherence to AARS identity elements was not a dominant requirement for qtRNA functionality: the TAGA qtRNAs involved changes to many or all of the bases in the anticodon that were predicted as likely to be very disruptive to tRNA recognition, yet still resulted in functional qtRNAs. Together, there are no clear predictive trends that describe which codons are compatible with which scaffolds, or indeed whether the remaining 9 scaffolds are capable of supporting quadruplet codon translation at all. However, as described in studies set forth herein, testing 42 rationally engineered qtRNAs, resulted in the successful identification of functional qtRNAs based on 12 of the 21 target scaffolds.

Fig. 11D shows results obtained in experiments that tested reassigning each tRNA to a four-base codon that is related to the codon it canonically decodes through replication of the third base ($XYZ \rightarrow XYZZ$). This “XYZZ” anticodon was integrated into one scaffold for each of the 20 canonical amino acids and the quadruplet decoding efficiency was measured using the requisite luciferase reporter. Results indicated that 6/20 showed quadruplet decoding activity, 5/20 were nonfunctional (empty circles), and 9/20 exhibited cellular toxicity so high that it prevented us from quantifying the functionality (red). The fMet initiator tRNAs is measured with the quadruplet codon integrated at residue 1 of luxAB.

Example 10

Identifying and testing additional suppressor tRNA sequences - A pIII-based library selection for functional qtRNAs (see methods above herein)

Procedures were carried out to engineer quadruplet decoding tRNA variants for every *E. coli* tRNA. To identify starting points, suppressor tRNAs reported in the literature were tested. Also tested were rationally engineered suppressors using two different codon reassignment strategies, and a library-based selection method was also developed and used. Functional suppressor tRNAs were identified, and further steps were carried out to increase their function.

Overview

Steps in the identification and testing process are shown in Fig. 13A-E. To select for functional qtRNAs amongst a libraries of tRNA with randomized anticodons, a selection approach was developed based upon the M13 bacteriophage tail fiber pIII. pIII is encoded with a quadruplet codon at permissive residue 29. qtRNAs are expressed from the genome of

an M13 bacteriophage, from which pIII has been deleted. Only in the presence of a functional qtRNA can full length pIII, and thereby phage propagation, take place. See Fig. 13A.

The reporter was validated using two highly active qtRNAs that had been identified: R-CGTT and R-TAGA. Phage bearing both these qtRNAs are capable of forming plaques when challenged to infect *E. coli* bearing a phage propagation reporter with a matching quadruplet codon; control experiments with mismatched quadruplet anticodons or lacking the qtRNA confirmed the requirements for cognate nine codon/anticodon interactions for phage propagation. Controls included: pIII reporter positive control - *E. coli* that supply pIII in response to phage infection using pIII encoded under control of the phage shock promoter; pIII reporter negative control - S2060 *E. coli* lacking any plasmid bearing pIII; M13 phage positive control - a M13 phage that retains pIII on its genome; M13 negative control - M13 Δ pIII. See Fig. 13B.

Fold enrichment of clonal phage bearing the nine most efficient TAGA qtRNAs clonal phage was compared to the efficiency of each qtRNA in a luciferase assay. It was determined that translation efficiency trended with phage enrichment. See Fig. 13C.

Each of eight different clonal pIII reporters were crossed separately against each of 20 different 256-tRNA libraries, for a total of 160 separate library selections. See Fig. 13D.

Example results for the AGGG reporter are shown in Fig. 13E. In this study 20 separate tRNA-NNNN libraries were enriched against the pIII-29-AGGG reporter and sequenced plaques from libraries showing high enrichment. qtRNA hits were subcloned into the tRNA expression plasmid and validated using the luminescence reporter. Identified hits fall into three categories: qtRNAs that decode AGGG, qtRNAs that decode quadruplet codons that mismatch from the codon in the reporter at the fourth position, and qtRNAs that decode other codons nearby residue 29 (see Fig. 14 for a map of cheater locations). For results for the other 7 quadruplet codons are shown in Fig. 14.

General methods – also see methods above herein

A number of suppressor tRNAs were developed, engineered, and tested. Sequences that encode functional suppressor tRNAs were identified and are set forth herein as SEQ ID NOs: 28-67. Each of SEQ ID NOs: 28-67 was tested as described above herein for toxicity and function, and all were determined to be functional. Fig. 9A includes SEQ ID NOs: 28-67 and indicates each as: minimally functional or functional. These experiments also identified additional sequences encoding suppressor tRNAs, which are set forth herein as SEQ ID NO: 68-87. These additional sequences were tested as described above herein, and the toxicity

and/or function of each was determined. Each of SEQ ID NOs: 68-87 was determined to be extremely toxic and/or non-functional. Fig. 9B includes SEQ ID NOs: 68-87 and indicates each as: extremely toxic or nonfunctional. In addition to naïve sequences SEQ ID NOs: 28-87, ten sequences, SEQ ID NO: 88-97, were evolved sequences.

5 With 20 different tRNA scaffolds and 256 possible quadruplet codons, there are 5120 possible scaffold-codon pairs. A major limitation of the rational engineering approach is that it requires a guess-and-check approach to individual scaffold-codon assignments, many of which are nonfunctional. To more efficiently navigate the space of possible codon reassignments, studies were performed using a library-based approach in which functional
10 qtRNAs were selected using a quadruplet-dependent reporter from 20 different qtRNA-NNNN libraries, each containing a degenerate anticodon pool. This approach allowed rapid assessment of the efficiency of numerous scaffold-codon pairs.

For the studies pIII, the tail fiber of the M13 bacteriophage, was chosen as the basis for the reporter. A single quadruplet codon was integrated at permissive residue pIII-P29
15 [Bryson, D.I., et al., (2017). Nat. Chem. Biol. Dec;13(12):1253-1260], which created a reporter that tied quadruplet codon translation to M13 phage propagation (Fig. 13A). Fig. 13A, illustrates a strategy used to select for functional qtRNAs amongst a libraries of tRNA with randomized anticodons, in which a selection was developed based upon the M13 bacteriophage tail fiber pIII. pIII is encoded with a quadruplet codon at permissive residue
20 29. qtRNAs are expressed from the genome of an M13 bacteriophage, from which pIII has been deleted. Only in the presence of a functional qtRNA can full length pIII, and thereby phage propagation, take place.

Fig. 13B shows validation data obtained for this reporter using two highly active qtRNAs that were identified: R-CGTT and R-TAGA. Phage bearing both these qtRNAs
25 were determined to be capable of forming plaques when challenged to infect *E. coli* bearing a phage propagation reporter with a matching quadruplet codon; control experiments with mismatched quadruplet anticodons or lacking the qtRNA confirmed the requirements for cognate codon/anticodon interactions for phage propagation. Controls included: pIII reporter positive control - *E. coli* that supply pIII in response to phage infection using pIII encoded
30 under control of the phage shock promoter; pIII reporter negative control - S2060 *E. coli* lacking any plasmid bearing pIII; M13 phage positive control - a M13 phage that retains pIII on its genome; M13 negative control - M13 ΔpIII. The qtRNA was expressed from the M13 phage genome. When challenged to infect *E. coli* bearing the pIII reporter plasmid, functional phage were produced in proportion to quadruplet codon translation. This reporter

was validated by integrating a CGTT or TAGA quadruplet codon at pIII-29 and it was verified that phage bearing the R-CGTT or R-TAGA qtRNAs showed phage propagation in the form of plaquing, and phage lacking a functional or matching qtRNA did not plaque (Fig. 13B).

5 To further validate this approach, studies were performed using the nine most efficient TAGA qtRNAs to confirm that efficiency measured by the luminescence reporter correlated with phage enrichment (Fig. 13C). These results confirmed that M13 phage propagation was linked to qtRNA translation efficiency. Fig. 13C shows validation results obtained by comparing fold enrichment of clonal phage bearing the nine most efficient
10 TAGA qtRNAs clonal phage to the efficiency of each qtRNA in a luciferase assay. Results demonstrated that translation efficiency trended with phage enrichment.

This reporter was used in studies to identify functional codon-scaffold pairs by using it to enrich functional qtRNAs from a library. Eight quadruplet codons were selected for testing, each of which corresponds to a functional XYZZ qtRNA, which was expected to be
15 re-discovered as a positive control. For each codon, each of 20 qtRNA-NNNN phage libraries was enriched against the pIII reporter, effectively surveying $8 \times 20 = 160$ codon reassignments (Fig. 13D). Fig. 13D is a diagram showing selections performed with pIII reporter. In these studies each of eight different clonal pIII reporters was crossed separately against each of 20 different 256-tRNA libraries, for a total of 160 separate library selections.

20 Clonal phage from both high and low titer enrichments were Sanger sequenced. It was determined that high titer enrichments generally contained qtRNAs bearing anticodons that corresponded to the reporter while low titer enrichments were populated with the remnants of phage from the input library, with random anticodons (Fig. 14B-C). qtRNA hits were subcloned into the plasmid expression backbone for measurement using luciferase
25 reporters. Fig. 14B provides results of a study in which a library of tRNAs for every canonical amino acid was crossed with eight different M13-selection reporters. The fold enrichment or de-enrichment was calculated relative to the input phage titer for each selection. Fig. 14C provides results of experiments in which, for every filled square, two plaques were Sanger sequenced in order to determine the anticodon identity. Results
30 included instances in which the qtRNAs matched the reporter with four (Fig. 14C Column. AGGG: rows A, E, G, H, R, S, T, and W; Column CCGG: row Y; Column CAGG: rows A, L, Q, and Y; and Column CGGT: rows E, L, M3, R, and V) or the first three (Fig. 14C Column AGGG: rows I, L, and V; Column GGGG: rows A, G, and W; Column CAGG: row H, and Column CGGT: rows A, C, F, and S) bases, instances in which qtRNAs were

discovered that suppressed a different quadruplet codon nearby residue 29 (TACA, AGAA, GCAT), or instances in which the qtRNA anticodon was unrelated to the reporter codon (mismatch).

Fig. 13E provides example results for the AGGG reporter. In the studies, 20 separate
5 tRNA-NNNN libraries were enriched against the pIII-29-AGGG reporter and plaques from
libraries showing high enrichment were sequenced. qtRNA hits were subcloned into the
tRNA expression plasmid and validated using the luminescence reporter. Hits fell into three
categories: qtRNAs that decoded AGGG, qtRNAs that decoded quadruplet codons that
mismatch from the codon in the reporter at the fourth position, and qtRNAs that decoded
10 other codons nearby residue 29 (see Fig. 16 for a map of cheater locations). For results for
the other 7 quadruplet codons, see Fig. 16. In analyzing results, it was determined that there
were three categories of hits (Fig. 13E). First were hits where the codon and anticodon were
exactly complementary. Second were hits where the codon and anticodon mismatched at the
fourth base of the codon. These results corroborated previous reports that qtRNAs crosstalk
15 with quadruplet codons that are mismatched at the fourth base (Anderson, J. C., Magliery, T.
J. & Schultz, P. G. *Chem. Biol.* 9, 237–244 (2002); Curran, J. F. & Yarus, M. *Science* 238,
1545–1550 (1987); Fagan, C. E., et al., *RNA* 20, 1944–1954 (2014); Gaber, R. F. &
Culbertson, M. R. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 4, 2052–2061 (1984). Third, some ‘cheater’ hits were
identified that appeared to decode a four-base codon that is near residue 29 but not the
20 intended quadruplet codon (Fig. 14A). Fig. 14A illustrates certain cheater solutions that were
identified. Although the intention was for the phage to suppress the quadruplet codon located
at permissive residue 29 of pIII, in many instances the selection identified cheater solutions
that suppressed a nearby quadruplet codon instead. In some cases, the cheater hits are
functional qtRNAs based on scaffolds for which a functional qtRNA had otherwise not been
25 found, such as K-AGAA.

Studies were performed to check whether this approach had successfully re-identified
known qtRNAs from previous approaches. Each of the eight codons elected corresponded to
a known XYZZ qtRNA. 6/8 of these qtRNAs were re-identified. Re-discovery of S-TCGG
was occluded by more potent enrichment of S-GCAT. The remaining qtRNA, T-ACGG, was
30 the lowest efficiency of the eight at 0.3% of WT efficiency. These data indicate that there
may be functional qtRNAs at or below the 0.3% threshold that this assay cannot reliably
identify, but above this threshold it reliably identifies functional qtRNAs. Two qtRNAs
discovered in this method are toxic when expressed from a plasmid; this is because M13
selections favor variants that promote phage propagation, not cell viability. It was found that

AGGG-decoding qtRNAs were more efficient than the other qtRNAs that were discovered, at up to 7% of WT. In general, this approach was an effective way to rapidly identify the most functional qtRNAs from 160 unique reassignment choices, and the efforts to this point yielded functional qtRNAs based on 18 of the 21 target scaffolds.

5 The only three scaffolds for which a qtRNA had not been identified were asparagine (N), aspartic acid (D), and elongation methionine (M). It was found that AGGG created a functional aspartic acid and elongation methionine qtRNAs (Fig. 12E and Fig. 12G). Fig. 12E shows results obtained from testing three codons (TAGA, ATGG, and AGGG) against three different methionine tRNA scaffolds (fMet initiator, tRNA82 referred to as “M2” and
10 tRNA31 referred to as “M3”). Luminescence assays for fMet were performed with the quadruplet codon integrated at residue 1. Fig. 12G shows results of brute force codon reassignment for aspartic acid, which revealed AGGG to be a functional qtRNA. Additional rationally designed codons for N were screened and it was found that AGGA created a functional qtRNA in this scaffold, while all other codons tested, including TAGA
15 and AGGG, did not (Fig. 12F). Fig. 12F shows results of experiment that included brute force codon reassignment for asparagine, with the results revealing that AGGA is a functional qtRNA. In total, every tRNA scaffold tested was capable of supporting quadruplet codon translation.

Experiments described herein resulted in the identification of 63 functional novel
20 suppressors and their encoding sequences and also the identification of toxic and/or non-functional suppressors. Fig. 10 provides a chart of results generated in Examples 2 and 10. The squares in the chart identify the results of codon/amino acid combinations tested, with the squares indicating results of the functional testing result as (1) extremely toxic, (2) toxic, (3) non-functional, (4) functional <1% of wildtype, or (5) functional at >1% of wildtype. The
25 results of the studies described herein provide evidence of novel high-activity functional variants that decode quadruplet codons in multiple contexts. The novel sequences set forth herein can be used in methods of the invention, including, but not limited to: methods of genetic code expansion.

30 Example 11

Directed evolution of qtRNAs - (see methods above herein)

The majority of the qtRNAs engineered in these studies exhibited very low translation efficiency, at $\eta = 3\%$ or less when compared to a single triplet codon. Translating a peptide of length N entirely encoded with quadruplet codons should scale with ηN , compounding

this inefficiency exponentially and making it currently infeasible to use these qtRNAs in an exclusive quadruplet codon setting. For example, to translate a 70 residue protein using only quadruplet codons with 10% the yield of normal triplet translation should require $\eta = 96.8\%$.

To address this issue directed evolution of the qtRNAs was used to improve translation efficiency either by optimizing the scaffold for compatibility with the new anticodon in *cis* Kleina, L. G., et al., *J. Mol. Biol.* 213, 705–717 (1990); Yarus, M. (1982). *Science* 218, 646–652, or improving charging efficiency by one or more AARSs in *trans* [Salazar, J.C., et al., (2003) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 100, 13863–13868]. To investigate these possibilities, a directed evolution platform was created for optimizing translation components for quadruplet decoding and applied it to qtRNAs.

To evolve a qtRNA, the pIII reporter was used to repeatedly enrich populations of phage for functional variants (Fig. 15A). Fig. 15A is a schematic diagram of an example of an M13-based selection for functional qtRNAs that was used in studies described herein. pIII, the essential M13 phage tail fiber protein, was encoded with a four-base-codon at permissive residue 29. In the presence of a functional qtRNA, full-length pIII was produced. A library of qtRNAs with randomized NNNN anticodons was encoded on the genome of an M13 phage, from which the wildtype copy of pIII had been deleted. Phage were challenged to produce infectious progeny when infecting *E. coli* bearing the reporter plasmid. Successful decoding of the quadruplet codon resulted in translation of full-length pIII and thereby infectious phage progeny. Failure to decode the quadruplet codon resulted in a change of ORF, premature termination, and a truncated, non-functional pIII.

During phage infection and replication, mutations arise in the phage genome, some of which may occur in the qtRNA. Studies included use of an inducible mutagenesis plasmid [Badran, A. H. & Liu, D. R. *Nat. Commun.* 6, 8425 (2015)] to elevate this mutation rate to levels that rival that of traditional *in vitro* mutagenesis. Thus, repeated enrichment of phage in *E. coli* carrying a pIII reporter construct resulted in directed evolution of the qtRNA. This technique, known as Phage Assisted Continuous Evolution (PACE) [Esvelt, K. M., et al., *Nature* 472, 499–503 (2011)], has been used previously to evolve a wide variety of biomolecule activities including aminoacyl tRNA synthetases [Bryson, D.I., et al., (2017). *Nat. Chem. Biol.* Dec;13(12):1253-1260], biosensors [Pu, J., Zinkus-Boltz, J. & Dickinson, B. C. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 13 , 432–438 (2017)], antibody solubility [Wang, T., et al., (2018) *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 14, 972–980], broad-PAM specificity Cas9 variants [Hu, J.H., et al., (2018). *Nature* Apr 5;556(7699):57-63], and base editors [Thuronyi, B.W., et al., (2019) *Nat. Biotechnol.* Sep;37(9):1070-1079]. PACE uses a biological process to automate the

traditional directed evolution workflow, compressing the multi-day process of library creation and screening into the rapid 20-minute lifecycle of a bacteriophage.

Methods (see additional method details above herein)

5 Phage bearing a Q-NNNN 256-qtRNA library was cultured in continuous flow and the population was challenged to propagate in bacteria bearing the MP6 mutagenesis plasmid [Badran, A. H. & Liu, D. R. *Nat. Commun.* 6, 8425 (2015)] and a pIII reporter containing one CAGG quadruplet codon at permissive residue pIII-29. It was expected that the functional Q-CAGG qtRNA, a member of the inoculating library, would be enriched. Additionally, it
10 was possible that evolved variants of Q-CAGG might also emerge. After 45 hours of continuous culture (Fig. 16A), the population converged upon tRNAs bearing the expected anticodon, and an evolved variant of Q-CAGG, Q-CAGG-Evo1, overtook the population. Fig. 16A provides results of experiments in which five tRNA qtRNAs were evolved in continuous culture. Phage titers (left axis, solid lines) and population dilution rate (right axis,
15 dashed lines) are shown. Phage titers generally remained flat or rose over the course of evolution. In two instances, Q-NNNN and R-NNNN, the experiments were seeded with phage bearing a randomized anticodon loop rather than a clonal variant; in both cases the final population was overtaken by phage bearing the expected anticodon.

 The starting and ending fitness of the phage were compared using enrichment assays,
20 and it was found that the evolved phage bearing Q-CAGG-Evo1 exhibited a substantially improved ability to decode quadruplet codons and was now capable of supporting phage propagation using a copy of pIII containing three quadruplet codons (Fig. 16B). Fig. 16B shows results of testing to determine the results of evolution. In these studies the initial and final tRNA variants were compared against a ladder of phage activity reporters of increasing
25 difficulty. Phage were initially capable of efficiently producing infectious progeny that require production of pIII that contains 1 quadruplet codon; in contrast, the evolved phage were capable of relying on a pIII that requires 3 quadruplet codons. Low copy number reporters, using an SC101 backbone (~4 copies/cell), were more stringent than medium copy number reporters, using a SC101 mutant backbone [~27 E93K copies/cell (Peterson, J. &
30 Phillips et al., *Plasmid* 59, 193–201 (2008)].

 However, sequencing revealed that Q-CAGG-Evo1 acquired a point insertion at the 5' side of the anticodon loop (Fig. 16E) resulting in an 9-base anticodon loop, creating a tRNA mutant that did not adhere to the 8-base anticodon loop format under study and that may have

different properties. Expression of Q-CAGG-Evo1 from a plasmid was too toxic to permit quantification using a luminescence reporter.

Evolution of toxic biomolecules is a known failure mode of PACE, because selection favors variants that promote phage propagation, not cell viability. From these results, it was
5 conclude that the directed evolution approach is capable of generating qtRNAs with higher efficiency; however full-qtRNA optimization may result in evolved variants that disrupt frame maintenance through diverse mechanisms or exhibit other undesired properties such as high toxicity.

Next, studies were performed to evolve the five most efficient TAGA qtRNAs in
10 continuous flow using the MP6 mutagenesis plasmid and a pIII reporter containing pIII-29-TAGA (Fig. 16A). Some experiments experienced phage washout or evolution of phage-pIII recombinants due to low starting activity. All other experiments, including at least one experiment for every tRNA scaffold tested, evolved qtRNA variants that swept the population; replicate experiments evolved similar mutations. The clonal phage bearing these
15 evolved qtRNAs were characterized and it was determined they all show improved activity (Fig. 16), again supporting phage propagation using a pIII transcript requiring three quadruplet codons. Sanger sequencing revealed that all of these 11 evolved variants retained the usual 8-base anticodon loop characteristic of the format of qtRNAs that was under study (Fig. 16E).

20 Interestingly, four of the five scaffolds resulted in mutations to the sides of the anticodon loop, however these mutations were not convergent. The evolved S-TAGA variant, S-TAGA-Evo1, replicates the anticodon loop side mutations described in previous engineering of serine-based qtRNAs [Magliery, T. J., et al., (Edited by M. Gottesman). *J. Mol. Biol.* 307, 755–769 (2001)]. Another evolved variant, Y-TAGA-Evo, exhibits a mutation to the highly
25 conserved U33 base just 5' of the anticodon [Salman Ashraf, S., et al., (1999) *RNA* 5, 503–511], but continues to functionally decode quadruplet codons. The quadruplet decoding efficiency of each evolved qtRNA was compared to its pre-evolution equivalent using the luminescence reporter. Results indicated that evolved variants generally showed about an order of magnitude improvement in quadruplet decoding efficiency (Fig. 15B) without a
30 measurable increase in toxicity. Fig. 15B provides a graph of results of experiments in which evolved tRNA variants were subcloned into the inducible tRNA expression backbone and measured using the luminescence reporter. The efficiency of evolved qtRNAs has improved, as demonstrated by a kinetic luminescence assay.

Studies were performed to determine whether even higher efficiency could be achieved through further evolution on a more difficult evolutionary goal. This was examined by evolving S-TAGA-Evo1 on a substantially more challenging phage pIII reporter in which S16, S29, and S34 were all replaced with TAGA codons. S-TAGA-Evo1 was evolved in continuous flow for 30 hours in the two parallel experiments. Interestingly, it was observed that UAG codons evolved in the phage backbone within the highly expressed phage protein pVIII, suggesting that these qtRNAs crosstalk with the triplet UAG codon. In addition, two sweeping variants arose, S-TAGA-Evo2, S-TAGA-Evo3 (Fig. 16C). Fig. 16C shows results of studies in which the Serine-TAGA qtRNA was evolved in continuous culture, challenging it to decode one quadruplet codon for the first 24 hours and then to decode three quadruplet codons for the final 30 hours. Total phage titer (solid) generally fell and then rose over the course of each segment of the evolution. The population dilution rate (dashed) was used to increase selection pressure during the course of evolution. These evolved variants now showed activity on a pIII reporter requiring four quadruplet codons (Fig. 16D). Fig. 16D shows results of experiments in which the initial and final qtRNA variants were compared against a ladder of phage activity reporters of increasing difficulty. Evolved phage were capable of relying on a pIII that required 4 quadruplet codons.

Historically, translation efficiency of qtRNAs has been broadly lower than TAG-suppression, motivating the field to focus on stop codon suppression [Dumas, A., et al., (2015). Chem. Sci. 6, 50–69]. However, analysis of these variants using the luminescence reporter showed that the most evolved qtRNA variant decodes the TAGA quadruplet codon at the same efficiency that the commonly used *M. jannaschii* Y-TAG tRNA [Link, A.J., et al., (2003) Curr. Opin. Biotechnol. 14, 603–609] decodes the triplet TAG codon (Fig. 15B).

From the results of these studies it was concluded that directed evolution of the tRNAs themselves can substantially improve activity in some cases. In particular, although qtRNAs with modified anticodons alone are generally inefficient, translating at <3% the efficiency of a triplet codon, their evolved variants can translate at ~30% efficiency. Although $\eta = 30\%$ still represents a severe translation efficiency penalty relative to triplet codons, it also corresponds to an exponential improvement when using these evolved qtRNAs in an exclusively quadruplet-codon setting. These efficiency improvements may make it feasible to translate peptides entirely using quadruplet codons that can be meaningfully tied to selection in directed evolution campaigns.

Studies that included additional evolution on a harder goal were performed and results showed further improvement in the further evolved qtRNA. Fig. 15C shows results obtained

in a study in which the Serine-TAGA qtRNA was evolved in multiple rounds of evolution with increasingly challenging evolution goals. The first phase of evolution challenged phage to utilize a pIII variant with a single TAGA integrated at residue 29. The second phase of evolution challenged phage to utilize a pIII variant with TAGA codons integrated at residues 16, 29, and 34. The second phase of evolution produced additional mutations. The most evolved qtRNA variants perform on-par with the Mj-Tyr tRNA/AARS pair in decoding TAG codons.

Example 12

10 *Analysis of qtRNA charging - (see methods above herein).*

Studies were performed to investigate the mechanism by which the observed qtRNA mutations confer improved translation efficiency. One hypothesis was that these mutations allow qtRNAs to be charged promiscuously by adopting identity elements of non-cognate AARSs. To investigate this possibility, the charging of each pre- and post-evolution qtRNA was characterized. To do so, a protein with a TAGA codon at permissive residue 151 was translated in sfGFP [Young, D.D., et al., (2011) *Biochemistry* 50, 42 1894–1900] in the presence of each qtRNA of interest and the resulting amino acid occupancy of residue 151 was measured using mass spectrometry (Fig. 17A). Fig. 17A is a schematic diagram of one strategy used to characterize the amino acid that each qtRNA incorporates. In this strategy GFP-151-TAGA was translated in the presence of the qtRNA and mass spec was used to characterize the occupancy of residue 151. Relative abundance of different species was quantified using the area under the curve for each peptide in the ion chromatogram. For Y and Q qtRNAs, the translated products detected contained the expected amino acid (Table 4).

25 Table 4 - Charging of qtRNAs

qtRNA	Limit of detection	Measured sfGFP-151 occupancy
S-TAGA Pre-evolution	1.47%	100% S
S-TAGA-Evo1	0.03%	99.7% S; 0.34% D
S-TAGA-Evo2	0.07%	99.7% S; 0.3% D
R-TAGA Pre-	2.14%	100% R
R-TAGA-Evo1	0.12%	100% R
R-TAGA-Evo2	0.002%	99.7% R; 0.35% Q
Y-TAGA-Pre-	0.08%	100% Y

Y-TAGA-Evo1	0.01%	100% Y
Q-TAGA-Pre-	8.76%	100% Q
Q-TAGA-Evo1	0.05%	100% Q
Q-TAGA-Evo2	0.76%	100% Q
W-TAGA Pre-	0.29%	82% Q; 12% W; 6% Y
W-TAGA-Evo1	0.001%	99.7% Q; 0.03% Y

These results indicated that evolution of mischarging is not the evolutionary mechanism for these qtRNAs. For R and S qtRNAs, some trace mis-incorporation of Q or D, respectively, was detected at a rate of 0.3% in the evolved qtRNAs. Because a charging improvement of 0.3% would not be large enough to explain the 10x improvement in translation efficiency, this data indicated that evolution of mischarging was not the dominant evolutionary mechanism for R or S qtRNAs.

In contrast, the pre-evolution W-TAGA qtRNA was predominantly mis-charged by glutamine, while the evolved variant shows increased specificity for incorporation of glutamine (Fig. 17B). Fig. 17B illustrates mischarging evolution of W-TAGA. The W-TAGA qtRNA exhibited substantial change in its charging profile over the course of evolution. Studies were then performed to analyze tRNA identity elements that are recognized by the glutamine AARS [Giegé, R., et al., *Nucleic Acids Res.* 26, 5017–5035 (1998)]. The pre-evolution W-TAGA matched many, but not all, of these identity elements, and both mutations that occurred during evolution were found in areas where W-TAGA does not initially match the glutamine AARS identity elements (Fig. 17C). Fig. 17C shows an analysis of W-TAGA and W-TAGA-Evo (bottom-most loop UCUA) in comparison to the tRNA identity elements that are recognized by the glutamine AARS (shown adjacent to W-TAGA sequence) [Giegé, R., et al., *Nucleic Acids Res.* 26, 5017–5035 (1998)]. Identity elements required by the glutamine synthetase that were not satisfied by W-TAGA are shown adjacent to W-TAGA sequence and highlighted. Both mutations (“C” and “U” shown adjacent to identity elements) that arose during evolution occur in areas of the qtRNA with mis-matching identity elements. Y indicates a pyrimidine. The results suggested that evolution in favor of charging by a non-cognate AARS may have played a substantial role in the evolution of the W-TAGA qtRNA.

To eliminate the possibility of evolved mis-charging in the future, studies were carried out to create an amino acid specific selection. Extensive screening was carried out for amino-acid-specific residues in pIII by testing mutants of residues that were buried or whose

side-chains formed close contacts [Holliger, P., et al. (1999) *J. Mol. Biol.* Vol. 288, 649-657; Lubkowski, et al., (1998) *Nat. Struct. Biol.* 5, 140–147; and Lubkowski, J., et al., (1999) *Structure* 7, 711–722]. However, the only highly amino-acid-specific residues identified were cysteines that participate in disulfide bridges. Studies were performed to assess the residue specificity of pIII, which tested for plaquing of Δ pIII M13 phage against a plasmid bearing either WT pIII or a one-mutant of pIII. Lack of plaques indicated non-functional pIII. Only C25 and C372 were determined to always abolish plaquing.

Example 13

10 *Crosstalk analysis (see methods above herein)*

An essential feature of canonical tRNAs is their specificity for decoding only the cognate codon or specific wobble codons. Studies were performed to determine the extent to which qtRNAs prefer the expected four-base codon over other, similar codons. To do so, the crosstalk of the evolved qtRNAs was characterized (Fig. 18A). Fig. 18A shows crosstalk profiles of evolved qtRNAs. Studies were performed that included measuring crosstalk between evolved variants and fourth-base mismatch codons, or the amber stop codon. The evolved qtRNA showed significant preference for decoding four-base codons with the matching fourth base. It showed measurable crosstalk with the three base codon TAG.

As previously reported, it was determined that qtRNAs crosstalk with four-base codons containing a mismatched fourth base, corroborating results of additional studies including those described herein and the literature (Anderson, J. C., Magliery, T. J. & Schultz, P. G. *Chem. Biol.* 9, 237–244 (2002); Curran, J. F. & Yarus, M. *Science* 238, 1545–1550 (1987); Fagan et al., 2014; Gaber, R. F. & Culbertson, M. R. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 4, 2052–2061 (1984). This crosstalk depends on the identity of the fourth base, and similar trends in the fourth-base crosstalk pattern were observed among the three qtRNAs that were tested. In contrast, none of these qtRNAs exhibited any translation when the mismatch occurred at the third base of the codon. Studies were also performed to characterize crosstalk of TAGA-qtRNAs with translating the three-base TAG codon, a type of crosstalk that could occur from phage backbone evolution during stringent PACE selection (Fig. 17C). It was determined that crosstalk with this three-base codon was by far the most prevalent type of codon crosstalk, and depended on expression of the qtRNA as the base 3' of the TAG codon [Poole, E.S., et al. (1995) *EMBO J.* 14, 151–158]. These results showed that mismatch codon recognition occurred at the fourth, but not the third base of the codon. Additionally, the preference of the

translation machinery for decoding triplet codons is a more prevalent form of crosstalk relative to fourth-base mismatch.

Given these results, it was determined that qtRNAs that recognize unique sequences in the first three bases of their quadruplet codons should generally be compatible, and suitable for use together as the basis for an expanded genetic code. Five of the most efficient XYZZ qtRNAs were selected to create a set whose codons obeyed this property. Luciferase reporters were used to measure the crosstalk within this set. As expected, results indicated that these qtRNAs were highly orthogonal (Fig. 18B), confirming that it would be feasible to use these qtRNAs together. Fig. 18B shows results of studies indicating that qtRNAs are orthogonal. Studies were conducted to assess the crosstalk between a set of five different qtRNAs, and it was determined that each qtRNA was highly specific for translating its own codon.

Example 14

Assessment of qtRNAs for synthetic biology applications (see methods above herein)

With these results in hand, studies were performed to assess the prospective feasibility of creating an exclusively quadruplet-codon translation system. That all the tRNA scaffolds tested could be converted into corresponding qtRNAs (Fig. 19A) suggested that assembling a complete set of 20 should be possible. Fig. 19A shows results from studies designed to prepare an exclusive quadruplet-decoding translation system. Four different techniques were applied to explore the possibility of converting a representative tRNA scaffold for every canonical amino acid into a qtRNA. Together, a functional qtRNA based all 21 scaffolds is presented. In total, 55 qtRNAs were identified that decode a diverse 20 quadruplet codons (Fig. 10).

To determine whether quadruplet translation can be processive, studies were carried out and the results confirmed that the evolved S-TAGA-Evo2 could translate a linker of more than 5 adjacent quadruplet codons (Fig. 19B). Fig. 19B shows results from experiments showing the evolved serine qtRNA could translate a linker of 5 adjacent quadruplet codons inserted at luxAB-357. The efficiency of translation scaled exponentially with length. The evolved serine qtRNA's improved efficiency allowed it to efficiently translate a longer peptide relative to the pre-evolution serine qtRNA. Interestingly, a slight efficiency improvement was detected when quadruplet codons are encoded adjacent to one another (Fig. 20A-B). Fig. 20A shows results of adjacency testing performed to assess the efficiency impact of encoding two quadruplet adjacent to one another in contrast to separation by one or more triplet codons. Fig. 20B illustrates a report design in which the reporter included a 7-

serine linker inserted at residue 357 that is encoded using two quadruplet codons in different positions. Each TAGA quadruplet codon appeared in the same sequence context, “a.TAGA.t”.

To determine whether an orthogonal ribosome can be used to translate a transcript
5 containing quadruplet codons, an orthogonal RBS/anti-RBS pair was used, the pair had
previously been used to establish a population of synthetic rRNAs in *E. coli* [Carlson, E.D., et
al., (2019) Nat. Commun. 10, 3920; Rackham, O. & Chin, J. *Nat Chem Biol* 1, 159–166
(2005)]. In the studies, the synthetic rRNA, bearing the modified anti-oRBS, was expressed
from a plasmid, and the luciferase reporter transcript was directed to this ribosome via the
10 corresponding orthogonal ribosomal binding site (oRBS) (Fig. 19C). Fig. 19C illustrates an
embodiment of a construct for utilizing qtRNAs together with an orthogonal ribosome. For
studies that included performing translation through the orthogonal ribosome, a three plasmid
system was used. The evolved SerU-UAGA-Evo1 qtRNA was constitutively expressed and
SerU-WT was used as a negative control. Orthogonal rRNA (O-rRNA), recognizing an
15 orthogonal anti-RBS sequence, was inducibly expressed from a separate plasmid. A
luciferase reporter, encoded using the orthogonal RBS sequence and two quadruplet codons,
was expressed from a third plasmid. The S-TAGA-Evo1 qtRNA was used to translate a
luciferase bearing a TAGA codon in two locations within the luciferase through the
orthogonal ribosome, and confirmed that luminescence depended on expression of both the
20 qtRNA and the O-rRNA (Fig. 19D). Fig. 19D shows results demonstrating that evolved
qtRNAs could be used to suppress multiple quadruplet codons during translation through the
orthogonal ribosome. Expression of the O-rRNA and qtRNA resulted in translation of a
luciferase. The positive control was a WT luxAB encoded entirely with triplet codons. These
results demonstrated that the components that have been engineered in the studies described
25 herein can be used together with an orthogonal translation system.

Equivalents

It is to be understood that the methods and compositions that have been described
above are merely illustrative applications of the principles of the invention. Numerous
30 modifications may be made by those skilled in the art without departing from the scope of the
invention.

Although the invention has been described in detail for the purpose of illustration, it is
understood that such detail is solely for that purpose and variations can be made by those

skilled in the art without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention which is defined by the following claims.

The contents of all literature references, publications, patents, and published patent applications cited throughout this application are incorporated herein by reference in their entirety.

5

What is claimed is:

Claims

1. A composition comprising a polynucleotide molecule, the sequence of which is set forth as one of SEQ ID NOs: 1-21, 28-67, 88-97, and 131-145.
- 5 2. The composition of claim 1, further comprising a vector sequence.
3. A cell comprising the composition of claim 1, comprising at least one polynucleotide molecule, the sequence of which is set forth as one of SEQ ID NOs: 1-21, 28-67, 88-97, and 131-145.
- 10 4. The cell of claim 3, wherein the cell is a bacterial cell.
5. The cell of claim 3, wherein the cell is a mammalian cell, and optionally is a human cell.
- 15 6. The cell of any one of claims 3-5, wherein the cell is an engineered cell.
7. A composition comprising a suppressor tRNA, wherein the suppressor tRNA is encoded by a sequence set forth as any one of SEQ ID NOs: 1-21, 28-67, 88-97, and 131-145.
- 20 8. The composition of claim 7, wherein the composition further comprises a detectable label.
- 25 9. The composition of claim 8, wherein the suppressor tRNA comprises the detectable label.
10. A cell comprising the composition of any one of claims 7-9.
- 30 11. The cell of claim 10, wherein the cell is a bacterial cell.
12. The cell of claim 10, wherein the cell is a mammalian cell, and optionally is a human cell.

13. The cell of any one of claims 10-12, wherein the cell is an engineered cell.

14. A vector comprising at least one sequence set forth as one of SEQ ID NOs: 1-21, 28-
5 67, 88-97, and 131-145.

15. A cell comprising the vector of claim 14.

16. The cell of claim 15, wherein the cell is a bacterial cell.

10

17. The cell of claim 15, wherein the cell is a mammalian cell, and optionally is a human cell.

18. The cell of any one of claims 15-17, wherein the cell is an engineered cell.

15

19. A composition comprising a variant LuxAB reporter molecule encoded with an independently selected quadruplet codon at one or more residues of a LuxAB protein sequence.

20 20. The composition of claim 19, wherein the variant LuxAB reporter protein sequence is encoded by the sequence set forth as SEQ ID NO: 26.

21. The composition claim 19 or 20, wherein the variant LuxAB reporter molecule is encoded with an independently selected quadruplet codon at one or both of residue 164 and
25 residue 357 of the LuxAB protein sequence.

22. The composition of claim 19 or 20, wherein the one or more residues of the LuxAB protein sequence comprise one or both residues corresponding to residues 164 and 357, respectively, of the LuxAB protein sequence set forth as SEQ ID NO: 26.

30

23. The composition of claim 19, wherein the variant LuxAB reporter protein sequence is encoded by the sequence set forth as SEQ ID NO: 27.

24. An assay method comprising the composition of any one of claims 19-23.

25. A method of engineering an evolved quadruplet decoding suppressor tRNA, the method comprising:

5 engineering an evolved quadruplet decoding suppressor tRNA from a preselected quadruplet decoding suppressor tRNA, wherein a means of the engineering comprises a phage-assisted continuing evolution (PACE) method.

26. The method of claim 25, wherein the PACE method comprises a bacteriophage-based directed evolution method.

10

27. The method of claim 25 or 26, wherein the PACE method comprises determining the presence of a successfully evolved quadruplet decoding suppressor tRNA.

15 28. The method of claim 27, wherein a means for determining the presence of the successfully evolved quadruplet decoding suppressor tRNA comprises detecting a phage propagation reporter, wherein successful quadruplet decoding by the evolved suppressor tRNA results in phage propagation.

20 29. The method of claim 27 or 28, wherein a means for determining the presence of the successful evolved quadruplet decoding suppressor tRNA comprises:

(a) challenging (i) an essential phage protein encoded with one or more quadruplet codons and (ii) an evolved quadruplet decoding suppressor tRNA encoded on a phage from which the essential phage protein has been deleted, to infect a plurality of *E. coli*; and

25 (b) detecting a functional phage produced in the challenged *E. coli*, wherein the functional phage produced indicates the presence of one or more successful quadruplet decoding(s) by the evolved quadruplet decoding suppressor tRNA.

30. The method of claim 29, wherein the essential phage protein is a pIII phage protein.

30 31. A composition comprising a nucleic acid molecule set forth as SEQ ID NO: 25, or a functional variant thereof.

32. The composition of claim 31, wherein the nucleic acid sequence is in a vector.

33. A vector comprising the composition of either of claims 31 or 32.

34. A cell comprising the vector of claim 33.

5 35. The cell of claim 34, wherein the cell is a bacterial cell.

36. The cell of claim 34, wherein the cell is a mammalian cell, and optionally is a human cell.

10 37. The cell of claim 34, wherein the cell is an engineered cell.

38. A method of generating a suppressor tRNA, comprising initiating transcription of a nucleic acid sequence encoding a suppressor tRNA with a PproK-lacO promoter sequence set forth as SEQ ID NO: 25, or functional variant thereof.

15

39. A suppressor tRNA, wherein the suppressor tRNA is encoded by a sequence set forth as any one of SEQ ID NOs: 1-21, 28-97 and 131-145.

40. A cell comprising the suppressor tRNA of claim 39.

20

41. The cell of claim 40, wherein the cell is a bacterial cell.

42. The cell of claim 40, wherein the cell is a mammalian cell, and optionally is a human cell.

25

43. The cell of claim 40, wherein the cell is an engineered cell.

44. A method of protein translation, the method comprising
preparing a DNA sequence comprising a quadruplet codon encoding one or more
amino acids,

30

decoding the quadruplet codon with a suppressor tRNA encoded by a sequence set forth as any one of SEQ ID NOs: 1-21, 28-67, 88-97, and 131-145; and
translating the DNA sequence to produce a protein comprising the encoded amino acids.

45. The method of claim 44, wherein the protein translation comprises both triplet and quadruplet codons.

5 46. The method of claim 44, wherein the protein translation is an all-quadruplet protein translation.

47. The method of any one of claims 44-46, further comprising assessing one or more of the structure and function of the translated protein product.

10

48. The method of any one of claims 44-47, wherein the decoding is performed in a cell.

49. The method of claim 48, wherein the cell is a bacterial cell.

15 50. The method of claim 48, wherein the cell is a mammalian cell, and optionally is a human cell.

51. The method of claim 48, wherein the cell is an engineered cell.

20 52. A method of translating a single DNA sequence into one or more distinct protein products, the method comprising:

decoding a DNA sequence with one or more suppressor tRNAs encoded by a sequence set forth as any one of SEQ ID NOs: 1-21, 28-68, 88-97, and 131-145 wherein the presence of the one or more suppressor tRNAs determines the translated protein product of

25 the contacted DNA sequence; and

translating the decoded DNA sequence into the translated protein product.

53. The method of claim 52, further comprising assessing one or more of the structure and function of the translated protein product.

30

54. The method of claim 52, wherein the DNA sequence comprise one or more quadruplet codons encoding canonical amino acids.

55. The method of any one of claims 52-54, wherein the decoding step is under suitable conditions for translation of the DNA sequence.

56. The method of any one of claims 52-55, wherein the decoding is performed in a cell.

5

57. The method of claim 56, wherein the cell is a bacterial cell.

58. The method of claim 56, wherein the cell is a mammalian cell, and optionally is a human cell.

10

59. The method of claim 56, wherein the cell is an engineered cell.

Figure 1

Naive suppressor tRNAs

SEQ ID NO	Name	Sequence encoding suppressor (mutations capitalized)	Summary	% WT function (higher is better)
1	C-TAGA	ggcgcttaacaagcggtaagtagcggaattTCTAaatcgttagtcggttcgaactcggagacggcgtccca	Minimally functional	0.08
2	E-TAGA	gtcccttcgctagagggccagagacacggccctTCTAaaggcggaacagggttcgaatcccttagggagcgcca	Minimally functional	0.38
3	F-TAGA	ggccggatagctcagtcggtatagcaggggaattTCTAaatcccccgttccttggttcgattcggatccggggcaccga	Minimally functional	0.01
4	G-TAGA	ggcgggcgtagttcaatggtatagaacgagaggtTCTAaagcctatatacagaggttcgattcccttcggcgtccca	Minimally functional	0.11
5	H-TAGA	gtggcctatagctcaggttgatagagccctcggaattTCTAattccagtgtgtggttcggaatcccaatagaccacccca	Minimally functional	0.14
6	Q-TAGA	tggggatagcccaagcggtaagccacccgggaattTCTAattccggcattccggaggttcgaatccctgtaccaccagcca	Functional	1.40
7	R-TAGA	ggatccgtagttcagctgtagatagagtagctggctTCTAaaccgagcgggttcggaggttcgaatccctccggatgcacca	Functional	2.85
8	S-TAGA	ggagagatgcccggagcggctgaaaggagaccgggtTCTAaaccgggagtaggggcaactctaccgggggttcaaatccc ccctctccgcca	Functional	1.52
9	W-TAGA	aggggcgtagttcaattggtatagagaccgggtTCTAaaccggggtgttggttcgaggttcgagctctccggccctgcga	Minimally functional	0.45
10	Y-TAGA	gggtggggttcccggagcggccaaaggggagccagactTCTAaatctgcccgcacagacttcgaaggttcgaatcttcccc caccacca	Functional	1.96
11	P-CCGG	cggtgattggccgaagccctggtatagccgacttggTCCGGgaaggaagggttcggaggttcgaatctctataccagacca	Minimally Functional	0.32
12	Q-CAGG	tggggatagcccaagcggtaagccacccggattCCCTGatccggcattccggaggttcgaatccctgtaccaccagcca	Functional	0.86
13	R-CGTT	gcaatccgtagCTcaacttggtatagatagtagtactggctAACGaaccgagcgggttcggaggttcgaatccctccggatgcacca	Functional	1.26
14	S-TCGG	ggagagagatgccgggagcgggtggaacgggaccgggtCCGAaaaccggagtagggggcaactctaccgggggttcaaatccc ccctctccgcca	Minimally functional	0.13
15	T-ACGG	ggcgtatagctcagttgtagagagcggcaattCCGTaatccgaagggttcgtaggttcgaatctctataccagacca	Functional	0.39
22	G-GGGG	ggggcgtagttcaatgtagaacggaagctTCCCAagctctatacagaggttcgattcccttcggcgcgtccca	Functional	3.03 (a)
23	T-ACCA	gctgatagctcagttgtagagcggcaccctTGGTaaagggttaggttcggcaggttcgaatctgacctatcagcgacca	Functional	1.87 (b)

(a) and (b) previously described in the literature.

Figure 2

Evolved suppressors

SEQ ID NOS	Name	Sequence encoding suppressor tRNA (mutations capitalized)	% of WT Function	% WT function if unevolved
16	Y-UAGA-opt1	gggtgggttcccgagccgcccacaaaggggcagaACTCTAaatctgcctcaccagacttcgaaggttcgaatcctccccaccacca	10.06	2.12
17	R-UAGA-opt1	gcatccgtagCtcagctggatagagtagtactcggctTCTAaacccaTcggtcggaggttcgaatcctccggatgcacca	7.90	3.06
18	Q-UAGA-opt1	tgggggtatcgcccaagcggtaagggaaccggaCTTCTAatccgggcatccggaggttcgaatcctctgfaccgccca	11.09	1.52
19	Q-UAGA-opt2	tgggggtatcgcccaagcggtaagggaaccggaCTTCTAatccgggcatccggaggttcgaatcctctgfaccgccca	8.05	1.52
20	SerU-UAGA- "v1"	ggagagatgcccgagcggctgaaacggaccggTAITCTAACaccggagtaggggTaaactctaccgggggttcaaatccccctctctccgcca	54.0	1.63
21	SerU-UAGA- "v2"	ggagagatgcccgagcggctgaaacggaccggGATTCTAACCCcgagtagggGcaactctaccgggggttcaaatccccctctctccgcca	73	1.63
24	SerU-UAGA- Mag	ggagagatgcccgagcggctgaaacggaccggTAITCTAACaccggagtagggGcaactctaccgggggttcaaatccccctctctccgcca	26.0	1.63 (a)

(a) previously described in the literature.

Figure 3

E coli tRNA scaffold	Suppressor Name	Suppressor Organism	Suppressor genotype	Codon 5'→3'	Reference
LeuX*	Su6	E. coli	A26G + anticodon loop extension	UAGN	1
GlyU	sufD	S. Typhimurium	anticodon loop extension	GGGG/GGGN	2, 3
ValT	hopR	S. Typhimurium/E. coli	anticodon loop extension	GUUA/GUAA	4
GlnU	sufG	E. coli	anticodon loop extension	CAAA	5
LysQ	-engineered-	E. coli	anticodon loop extension	CAAA	5
ProL*	SufB	S. Typhimurium	G→A + anticodon loop extension	CCCC	6
ThrT	SufI	S. Typhimurium	anticodon loop extension	ACCN	7
SerU	-engineered-	E. coli	Various (library approach)	Various, including UAGA, AGGG	8

1. Moore, Barry, et al. (2000). Journal of Molecular Biology 298 (2): 195-209.
2. Riddle, D. L. & J. Carbon. (1973) Nature: New Biology 242 (121): 230-34.
3. Yournio, J., & S. Tanemura. (1970) Nature 225 (5231): 422-26.
4. O'Connor, M., et al., (1989) The EMBO Journal 8 (13): 4315-23.
5. O'Connor, Michael. (2002) Nucleic Acids Research 30 (9): 1985-90.
6. Sroga, Grazyna E., et al., (1992) Nucleic Acids Research 20 (13): 3463-69.
7. Bossi, L. & D. M. Smith. (1984) PNAS USA 81 (19): 6105-9.
8. Magliery, Thomas J., et al., (2001) Journal of Molecular Biology 307 (3): 755-69.

Fig. 4A




Fig. 4B




Fig. 4C




Fig. 4D




Fig. 4F




Fig. 4E

Figure 4E is a table showing the percentage of translation efficiency for various codons, relative to a triplet codon. The table has 4 rows (Literature, UAGA, XYZZ, LacZ) and 20 columns (A, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, K, L, M, N, P, Q, R, S, T, V, W, Y). The values are represented by shaded cells.

	A	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	K	L	M	N	P	Q	R	S	T	V	W	Y
Literature																				
UAGA																				
XYZZ																				
LacZ																				
Total																				

Fig. 4G

Fig. 4H









Fig. 4I



* No cell growth upon tRNA induction - extremely toxic






Fig. 6A

Fig. 6B




Fig. 6C




Fig. 6D




Fig. 6E




Fig. 6F




Fig. 7A




Fig. 7B




Fig. 7C




Fig. 7E




Fig. 7D




Figure 8




Fig. 9A

SEQ ID NO	Name	Sequence encoding suppressor (mutations capitalized)	Summary	% of WT function (higher is better)
28	I-AGGG	agggctgtgtagctcaggtggttagagcgcacccctCCCTaagggtgtaggtcgggtggttcaagttcaactcagggcctaccca	Minimally functional	0.07
29	C-CGGT	ggcggcgttaacaaagcgggttagtagcgggattACCGaatccgtctagctcgggttcgactccgggaacggcggcctcca	Minimally functional	0.08
30	M-ATGG	cggcgggggtggagcagcctgggttagctcgtcggggctCCA Taaccggaggtgctcgggttcaaatcggccccggcaacca	Minimally functional	0.09
31	M3-AGGG	ggcgccttagctcaggtggttagagcagcggcggactCCCTaatcgcttggtcggctggttcaagttcagcagggggcaccaca	Minimally functional	0.09
32	D-AGGG	gggagcgggttaggttcaggtcgggttagaataacctggcctCCCTacggcaggggggtcggcgggttcggagtcgccgttcggcca	Minimally functional	0.10
33	F-TACA	ggcgggtagatagctcagtcgggttagagcagggggattTGTAaatcccggttcgtctgggttcgattccggagtcggggcaccaca	Minimally functional	0.10
34	F-CGGT	ggcggggatAGCTCAGTCCGGTtagagcagggggattACCGaatcccggttcgtctgggttcgattccggagtcggggcggc acca	Minimally functional	0.10
35	H-TACA	gttggtctatagctcagttgggttagagccctgggtattTGTAatccagttgtcgtgggttcgaaatcccattagaccaccccca	Minimally functional	0.11
36	Y-TACA	gggtgggggttcgccggagcggcccaaggggagcggcggactTGTAaatctggccgttcacagagactcggaggttcgaaatccttccccca ccacca	Minimally functional	0.15
37	N-AGGA	tcctctgttagttcagtcgggttagaacggcggggactTCCTaatccgtatgacactgggttcgagttccaggtcagggagggcca	Minimally functional	0.17
38	D-CGGC	gggagcgggttagttcagtcgggttagaataacctggcctGCCGaacgacgggggttcggcgggttcgagttccgggttcggcca	Minimally functional	0.23
39	S-TACA	gggagggatggcggggagcggcgtggaaacgggacgggtctTGTAaaacgggaggttaggggggcaactctacccgggggttcaaatccc cctctctccggcca	Minimally functional	0.24
40	R-AGGT	ggcatccgttagttcagctgggtagtagagttactcgggtACCTTaaccggagcgggttcgggaggggttcgaaatccctccgggatggcaccaca	Minimally functional	0.33
41	W-GGGT	agggggcgttaggttcaattgggttagagcagcgggtctACCAaacgggggttggggggaggttcgggttcgccggccccggcca	Minimally functional	0.38

Fig. 9A continued

42	Y-CAGG	gggtgggttcaggagcccaaaaggagagactCCTGaattgcccacacagacttgaaggcttgaattcttcccca ccacca	Functional	0.10
43	A-CAGG	ttgggtacgtataaacgcatcgttgggtctatagctcagctggaggagcgttgcacTGTGatgcaagaggtcagcgggttgcac ccggttagctccaccaaaattcccaaccctcgttgca	Functional	0.13
44	T-AGGG	ggcgatatagctcagttggttagagcagcgcacTaaatgcgaaggctcgttaggttgcacttattattcggcaccaca	Functional	0.22
45	V-CGGC	ggctccgttagctcagttggttagagcaccacactGCCGatggtgggggtcgggtggttcgagttccactcggagcgcacca	Functional	0.27
46	A-AGGG	ttgggtacgtataaacgcatcgttgggtctatagctcagctggaggagcgttgcacTATgcaagaggtcagcgggttgcac ccggttagctccaccaaaattcccaaccctcgttgca	Functional	0.32
47	V-CGGT	ggctccgttagctcagttggttagagcaccacactAACCGatggtgggggtcgggtggttcgagttccactcggagcgcacca	Functional	0.32
48	K-AGAA	ggcaagggttcgttagctcagttggttagagcaccacactTCTTaataattggtcggcaggttcgaaatcctgcacgaccacca atgtataaaaaggcccttaaaaggcgtttt	Functional	0.35
49	V-AGGA	ggctccgttagctcagttggttagagcaccacactTCCATatggttgggggtcgggtggttcgagttccactcggagcgcacca	Functional	0.36
50	L-AGGG	ggcgaaagtggcgaaatcggtagcgcagttgattCCCTaatacaaccgttagaaatacgttccgggttcgagttccgggttcg gcacca	Functional	0.41
51	H-AGGT	gtggctatagctcagttggttagagcaccacactCCCTattccagttgtcgttgggttcgaaatccttagccacacca	Functional	0.62
52	E-AGGG	gtcccttcgtcgttagagcgcagcagcaccacccctCCCTacgggttggttaacaggttgcgaaatccttaggggacggcca	Functional	0.72
53	C-CGGC	ctgaaaggccttgaaagaaatttggcgcgtttaaacaagaagcgtttagcgttattGCGGaattcgtctagttccgggttcgactccg gaaacgcgcctccacttctccggagcccggtat	Functional	0.90
54	M-AGGG	cgcgggggttggttagcagccttggttagctcgtcgtggactCCCTaacccgaagggtcgtcgttcaaatccggcccccgaacca	Functional	0.98
55	I-AGGA	aggcttgttagctcaggttggtagagcgcacccctTCCTaagggttggttgcgttgggttcaagtccactcagggcctacca	Functional	1.07
56	G-AGGG	ggcgggcgttagttcaatggttagaacgagagactCCCTaagctctatagcaggggttcgattccttgcgcctacca	Functional	1.23
57	L-AGGA	ggcgaaagtggcgaaatcgggttagagcagttgattTCCTaatacaaccgttagaaatacgttccgggttcgagttccgggttcgg cacca	Functional	1.76

Fig. 9A continued

58	R-AGG	gcatcgtatgttcagcttgatagtagtagtactcggctCCCTaaacgagcggctcggaggttcgaatcctccgggatgcacca	Functional	1.81
59	F-CGGC	gcccggatagctcagtcggatagagcaggggattGCCGaattcccgctgctccttggttcgattccggagtcgggaccca	Functional	
60	M2-AGGG	ggctacgtatgctcagttgggttagagcacatcactCCCTaatgtaggggtcacaggttcgaatcccgctcgtagccacca	Functional	2.67
61	E-AGGA	gtcccttcgtctatgagagggccacagggacacggccctTCCTacggcgggtaacaggggggttcgaatcccttagggggacggcca	Functional	3.07
62	E-CGGT	gtcccttcgtctatgagagggccacagggacacggccctACCGacggcgggtaacaggggggttcgaatcccttagggggacggcca	Functional	3.82
63	W-AGGG	agggggcgtaggttcgaattgggttagagagcgggtctCCCTaaacgggggtgttggttggttcgaggtctctccggccctggcca	Functional	4.27
64	R-CGGT	gcatcgtatgTtcagctggatagtagtagtactcggctACCGaacggagggcgggtcggaggttcgaatcctccgggatgcacca	Functional	4.41
65	H-AGGG	gtggctatagctcagttgggttagagccctgggattCCCTattccagttgtgtgggttcgaatcccaattagccaccca	Functional	6.23
66	S-AGGG	ggagagatgcccggagggcggctggaacgggacgggtctCCCTaaacgggtagggggcaactctacgggggtttcaaatccc cctctctccggcca	Functional	6.94
67	S-CGGC	ggagagatgcccggagggcggctggaacgggacgggtctCCGaaacgggtagggggcaactctacgggggtttcaaatccc ccctctctccggcca	Functional	14.90

Fig. 9B

SEQ ID NO	Name	Sequence encoding suppressor (mutations capitalized)	Summary	% of WT function (higher is better)
68	A-GGGT	ttggtagtaaacgcatcgtggggctatagctcagctgggagagcgtctgcatACCCatgcaagaggicagcgggttcgatcccgcttagctccaccaaattccaacctcgtctgca	Extremely toxic	X
69	H-CAGT	gtggctatagctcagttggttagagcccgtggattACTGaltccagttgtgtgggttgaatccccattagccaccoccca	Extremely toxic	X
70	A-GGGG	ttggtagtaaacgcatcgtggggctatagctcagctgggagagcgtctgcatCCCCatgcaagaggicagcgggttcgatcccgcttagctccaccaaattccaacctcgtctgca	Extremely toxic	X
71	W-GGGG	agggggcgtagttcaattggtagagcaccgggtctCCCCaaaccgggttgggttgagctcgtcctccggccctggcca	Extremely toxic	X
72	L-CGGT	ggcgaagtggcgaaatcggttagacgcagttgattACCGaatcaaccgtagaaatacgtgccgggttcgagtcgccgcttcggccacca	Extremely toxic	X
73	D-GGAC	ggagcggtagttcagtcggtagaataacctgacctGTCCacgaggggggtcgggggttcgagtcgccgcttcggccacca	Nonfunctional	-0.66
74	N-AAAT	tcctctgtagttagtcaggtagaacggcggactATTaatccgtatgtcactgggttcgagtcgccagtcagaggagggcca	Nonfunctional	-0.11
75	M3-TAGA	ggcccccttagctcagtggttagagcagcggactTCTAaatcgcttggtcgtggttcgaagtccacagcaggggccacca	Nonfunctional	-0.10
76	S-GCAT	ggagagatgccggggcggcgtgaacgggaacgggactATGCaaaccggagtaggggcaactctaccgggggttcctcaaatccccctctctccggcca	Nonfunctional	-0.10
77	N-CGGT	tcctctgtagttagtcaggtagaacggcggactACCGaatccgtatgtcactgggttcgagtcgccagtcagaggagggcca	Nonfunctional	-0.07
78	N-CAGG	tcctctgtagttagtcaggtagaacggcggactCCTGaatccgtatgtcactgggttcgagtcgccagtcagaggagggcca	Nonfunctional	-0.06
79	N-AATT	tcctctgtagttagtcaggtagaacggcggactAATTaatccgtatgtcactgggttcgagtcgccagtcagaggagggcca	Nonfunctional	-0.06
80	M2-TAGA	ggctacgtagctcagttggttagagcacatcacTCTAaatgatgggggtcacacaggttcgaatcccgctcgttagccacca	Nonfunctional	-0.05
81	N-AAAC	tcctctgtagttagtcaggtagaacggcggactGTTaatccgtatgtcactgggttcgagtcgccagtcagaggagggcca	Nonfunctional	-0.05
82	N-AGGG	tcctctgtagttagtcaggtagaacggcggactCCTTaatccgtatgtcactgggttcgagtcgccagtcagaggagggcca	Nonfunctional	-0.03

Fig. 9B continued

83	D-AGGC	ggagcggtagtcagtcggtagaataacctgctGCCTaacgagggggtcgcggggttcgagtcctcgctccgccca	Nonfunctional	-0.01
84	N-TACA	tctctgtagtcagtcggtagaagcgggaactGTAAatcgtatgactggttcgagtcagtcagtagagagacca	Nonfunctional	0.00
85	M2-ATGG	ggctacgtagtcagtcagtcggtagagcacatcaactCCA Taatgatgggggtcacaggttcgaatcccgctcgtagccacca	Nonfunctional	0.00
86	M3-ATGG	ggcccccttagtcagtcagtcggtagagcaggcgactCCA Taatcgcttggtcgctggttcaagtcagcagcggggccacca	Nonfunctional	0.00
87	M-TAGA	cgcgggggtggagcagcctggtagtcgtcggggtTCTAaaccggaaggctcgtcggttcaaatccggcccccgcaacca	Nonfunctional	0.01
Evolved tRNAs Below				
SEQ ID NO	Name	Sequence encoding suppressor (mutations capitalized)	% of WT function	% WT function if un-evolved
88	R-TAGA-opt2	geatcogtagTtcagctgggatagagCactcggtTCTAaacccaTcggtcgagggttcgaatcctcctccggatgcacca	9.48	2.85
89	W-TAGA-opt1	agggcgtagtcaatggtagaAcaccgggtTCTAaTaccgggtgttggagttcgagttctctccggccccCgcca	41.7	0.45
90	S-TCGG-Evo1	ggagagatgccggagcggctgaacggagccgggtAtCCGAaCaccggagtaggggcaacictaccggggggttcaaatcccctctctccggcca	13.17	N/A
91	E-TAGA-Evo1	gtcccccttcgtctagaggccccaggacaccggccctTCTAaTggcggttaacagagggttcgaatccccctaggggagcgcca	2.72	N/A
92	F-TAGA-Evo1	gccccgtagtcagtcagtcggtagagcagggggaCtTCTAaTicccccgttccttgggttcgattccgagtcggggcacca	22.15	N/A
93	F-TAGA-Evo2	gccccgtagtcagtcagtcggtagagcagggggaAtTCTAGCtccccgtgtctcttgggttcgattccgagtcggggcacca	22.31	N/A
94	F-TAGA-Evo3	gccccgtagtcagtcagtcggtagagcagggggaGtTCTAGTtccccgtgtctcttgggttcgattccgagtcggggcacca	13.78	N/A
95	G-TAGA-Evo1	gccccgtagtcaatggtagaacgagagcCtTCTAGTgtctctatacagagggttcgattcccttcctcgcccgctcca	2.75	N/A

Fig. 9B continued

96	H-TAGA- Evo1	gtggctatagctcagttggtagagccctgggaCtTCTAattccagttgtcgtgggttcgaatcccatagccacccca	3.23	N/A
97	H-TAGA- Evo2	gtggctatagctcagttggtagagccctgggaGtTCTAattccagttgtcgtgggttcgaatcccatagccacccca	4.77	N/A
131	A-AGGG T.AG	ggggctatagctcagctgggagagcgcctgcTtCCCTAGgcaataggtcagcgggttcgatcccgcttagctccacca	15.57	N/A
132	S-AGGG C.AT	gggagagatgccggagcggctgaacggaccggctcCCTaTaccggagtaggggcaactctacccgggggttcaaatcccc ctctctccggcca	37.28	N/A
133	G-AGGG C.AT	ggcggcgtagtgaatggtagaacgagagcCtCCCTaTgctctatacagagggttcgattcccttcgcccgtctcca	29.42	N/A
134	D-CGGC G.AA	ggagcggtagttcagtcggttagaatactgcGtCCCTaAgcagggggttcggcggttcgagtcctccggttcggcca	20.92	N/A
135	F-CGGC G.AT	ggccggatagctcagtcggtagagcagagggaGtGCCGaTiccccgctccttgggttcgattccgagtcggggcacca	1.15	N/A
136	R-CGGC G.AA	gcatccgtagttcagctgggataagagtactcggGtGCCGaaccgagcgggttcggaggttcgaaatctcccggtatgcacca	8.70	N/A
137	H-TACA G.AT	gtggctatagctcagttggttagagccctgggaGtTGTaattccagttgtcgtgggttcgaaatcccatagccacccca	0.48	N/A
138	S-TACA A.AA	ggagagatgccggagcggctgaacggaccggGtTGTAAAacgggagtagggggcaactctacccgggggttcaaatcccc ctctctccggcca	0.28	N/A
139	F-TAGA A..aa	ggccggatagctcagtcggtagagcagagggaAtTCTAaatccccgtgtccttgggttcgattccgagtcggggcacca	0.86	N/A
140	F-TAGA A..aa C24T	ggccggatagctcagtcggtagagcagagggaAtTCTAaatccTcgtgtccttgggttcgattccgagtcggggcacca	1.28	N/A
141	H-TAGA Δ45	gtggctatagctcagttggttagagccctgggaCtTCTAattccagttgtcgtgggttcgaaatcccatagccacccca	1.40	N/A
142	H-TAGA C..at Δ45	gtggctatagctcagttggttagagccctgggaCtTCTAattccagttgtcgtgggttcgaaatcccatagccacccca	1.85	N/A
143	H-TAGA C..at Δ48	gtggctatagctcagttggttagagccctgggaCtTCTAattccagttgtcgtgggttcgaaatcccatagccacccca	1.67	N/A

Fig. 9B continued


144	S-TAGA c..aT	ggagagatgccggagcggtgaacggaccgggtctTCTAaTaccggagtaggggcaactctacccgggggttcaaatcccc ctctctccgcca	2.94	N/A
145	S-TAGA C40T	ggagagatgccggagcggtgaacggaccgggtctTCTAaaaTcggagtaggggcaactctacccgggggttcaaatcccc ctctctccgcca	1.28	N/A


Fig. 9C

SEQ ID NO	Name	Plasmid	SEQ ID NO	Name	Plasmid
1	C-TAGA	pED14xC-1	43	A-CAGG	pED14xA-5
2	E-TAGA	pED14xE-1	44	T-AGGG	pED14xT-3
3	F-TAGA	pED14xF-1	45	V-CGGC	pED14xV-5
4	G-TAGA	pED14xG-1	46	A-AGGG	pED14xA-3
5	H-TAGA	pED14xH-1	47	V-CGGT	pED14xV-4
6	Q-TAGA	pED14xQ-1	48	K-AGAA	pED14xK-3
7	R-TAGA	pED14xR-1	49	V-AGGA	pED14xV-3
8	S-TAGA	pED14xS-1	50	L-AGGG	pED14xL-5
9	W-TAGA	pED14xW-1	51	H-AGGT	pED14xH-4
10	Y-TAGA	pED14xY-1	52	E-AGGG	pED14xE-3
11	P-CCGG	pED14xP-2	53	C-CGGC	pED14xC-3
12	Q-CAGG	pED14xQ-2	54	M-AGGG	pED14xM-4
13	R-CGTT	pED14xR-2	55	I-AGGA	pED14xI-3
14	S-TCGG	pED14xS-2	56	G-AGGG	pED14xG-3
15	T-ACGG	pED14xT-2	57	L-AGGA	pED14xL-3
16	Y-UAGA-opt1	pED14xY-3	58	R-AGGG	pED14xR-5
17	R-UAGA-opt1	pED14xR-3	59	F-CGGC	pED14xF-4
18	Q-UAGA-opt1	pED14xQ-3	60	M2-AGGG	pED14xM2-3
19	Q-UAGA-opt2	pED14xQ-4	61	E-AGGA	pED14xE-4
20	SerU-UAGA- "v1"	pED14xS-3	62	E-CGGT	pED14xE-5
21	SerU-UAGA-"v2"	pED14xS-4	63	W-AGGG	pED14xW-4
22	G-GGGG	pED14xG-2	64	R-CGGT	pED14xR-7
23	T-ACCA	pED3xT1	65	H-AGGG	pED14xH-3
24	SerU-UAGA-Mag	pED3xS1	66	S-AGGG	pED14xS-5
28	I-AGGG	pED14xI-4	67	S-CGGC	pED14xS-7
29	C-CGGT	pED14xC-4	68	A-GGGT	pED14xA-4
30	M-ATGG	pED14xM-2	69	H-CAGT	pED14xH-6
31	M3-AGGG	pED14xM3-3	70	A-GGGG	pED14xA-6
32	D-AGGG	pED14xD-3	71	W-GGGG	pED14xW-6
33	F-TACA	pED14xF-3	72	L-CGGT	pED14xL-4
34	F-CGGT	pED14xF-6	73	D-GGAC	pED14xD-6
35	H-TACA	pED14xH-5	74	N-AAAT	pED14xN-9
36	Y-TACA	pED14xY-4	75	M3-TAGA	pED14xM3-1
37	N-AGGA	pED14xN-4	76	S-GCAT	pED14xS-6
38	D-CGGC	pED14xD-5	77	N-CGGT	pED14xN-5
39	S-TACA	pED14xS-8	78	N-CAGG	pED14xN-7
40	R-AGGT	pED14xR-6	79	N-AATT	pED14xN-8
41	W-GGGT	pED14xW-5	80	M2-TAGA	pED14xM2-1
42	Y-CAGG	pED14xY-5			

Fig. 9C continued

SEQ ID NO	Name	Plasmid	SEQ ID NO	Name	Plasmid
81	N-AACC	pED14xN-10	131	A-AGGG T.AG	pED14xA-opt3.a1
82	N-AGGG	pED14xN-3	132	S-AGGG C.AT	pED14xS-opt3.a1
83	D-AGGC	pED14xD-4	133	G-AGGG C.AT	pED14xG-opt3.a2
84	N-TACA	pED14xN-6	134	D-CGGC G.AA	pED14xD-opt4.a1
85	M2-ATGG	pED14xM2-2	135	F-CGGC G.AT	pED14xF-opt4.a1
86	M3-ATGG	pED14xM3-2	136	R-CGGC G.AA	pED14xR-opt4.a1
87	M-TAGA	pED14xM-1	137	H-TACA G.AT	pED14xH-opt5.a1
88	R-TAGA-opt2	pED14xR-4	138	S-TACA A.AA	pED14xS-opt5.a1
89	W-TAGA-opt1	pED14xW-3	139	F-TAGA A..aa	pED14f6-F
90	S-TCGG-Evo1	pED14xS-opt1	140	F-TAGA A..aa C2	pED14f7-F
91	E-TAGA-Evo1	pED14xE-opt1	141	H-TAGA Δ45	pED14f1-H
92	F-TAGA-Evo1	pED14xF-opt1	142	H-TAGA C..at Δ45	pED14f4-H
93	F-TAGA-Evo2	pED14xF-opt2	143	H-TAGA C..at Δ48	pED14f5-H
94	F-TAGA-Evo3	pED14xF-opt3	144	S-TAGA c..aT	pED14f2-S
95	G-TAGA-Evo1	pED14xG-opt1-TAGA	145	S-TAGA C40T	pED14f3-S
96	H-TAGA-Evo1	pED14xH-opt1			
97	H-TAGA-Evo2	pED14xH-opt2			





Li. 5. 11A




Fig. 11C




Fig. 11D




Fig. 12B




Fig. 12A




Fig. 12C




Fig. 12D




Fig. 12E




Fig. 12F




Fig. 12G




Fig. 13A





Fig. 13B



35/50

Fig. 13C






Fig. 13D




36/50

Fig 13E



Other possible cheater quad codons

Fig. 14A



37/50

Fig. 14B

	AGGG	CGTT	GGGG	CCGG	CAGG	TCGG	ACGG	CGGT
A	2.3	0.0003	0.2	0.0002	0.3	0.0001	0.0003	1.912
C	2.0	4.0	0.5	0.01	0.5	0.5	3.0	0.3
D	0.1	0.02	0.005	0.002	0.04	0.01	0.002	120.0
E	1.0	0.000001	0.0004	0.0004	0.01	0.002	0.001	10.0
F	2.0	3.5	1.5	1.0	0.3	0.1	0.04	2.500
G	0.1	0.0004	25.0	0.0004	0.01	0.001	0.000001	0.000001
H	10,000	1.0	0.03	0.1	1,250	0.02	0.5	10,000
I	0.001	0.000001	0.000001	0.000001	0.000001	0.0002	0.01	0.000001
K	1,250	500.0	2,500	25.0	2,500	2,500	275.0	10,000
L	1,136	0	0	0	54.5	0	0	0
M2	21.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.2
M3	1.1	0.0003	0.03	0.1	1.0	0.02	0.1	11,111
N	0	0	0.1	0	0	0	0	0
P	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Q	4,545	0	0.03	0	1,818	0.3	0	0
R	10,000	0.3	0.002	0.003	0.000002	0.002	0.002	10,000
S	10,000	4.5	2.0	1,250.0	2,500	8.5	0.5	10,000
T	0.3	0.0004	0.01	0.006	0.001	0.001	0.005	0.1
V	0.1	0.0004	0.02	0.001	0.0004	0.001	0.002	75.0
W	10,000	0.0002	2,500	0.03	0.5	0.01	0.03	10,000
Y	100	25.0	75.0	250	2,500	2,500	30.0	0.000001

Fig. 14C

	AGGG	CGTT	GGGG	CCGG	CAGG	TCGG	ACGG	CGGT
A	AGGG		GGGT		CAGG	mismatched		CGGA
C		mismatched				mismatched	mismatched	CGGC
D								
E	AGGG AGGA							CGGT
F	TACA	TACA		TACA		TACA	TACA	CGGC
G	AGGG		GGGT					
H	AGGG AGGT			TACA	CAGT	mismatched	mismatched	
I	AGGA							
K	AGAA							
L	AGGA				CAGG			CGGT
M2								
M3								CGGT
N								
P								
Q					CAGG			mismatch
R	AGGG AGGT					mismatched		CGGT
S	AGGG	TACA	TACA GCAT	GCAI		GCAI	GCAI	CGGC
T	AGGG							
V	AGGA							CGGT CGGC
W	AGGG		GGGT					
Y	TACA	TACA	TACA	CCGG	CAGG	TACA	TACA	TACA

Fig 15A

Repeated application of pIII reporter results in continuous directed evolution





Fig. 15B



50
51




Fig. 16A




Fig. 16B




Fig. 16C




Fig. 16D




Fig. 16E




Fig. 17A





Fig. 17B



46/50




Fig. 17C

47/50

80



18A
Fi 00

Fig. 19A




Fig. 19B




Fig. 19C





Fig. 19D



50/50

Fig. 20A




Fig. 20B

