



Europäisches Patentamt
European Patent Office
Office européen des brevets



(11)

EP 1 281 781 A1

(12)

EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION

(43) Date of publication:
05.02.2003 Bulletin 2003/06

(51) Int Cl.7: **C22C 21/12**

(21) Application number: **02016501.5**

(22) Date of filing: **23.07.2002**

(84) Designated Contracting States:
**AT BE BG CH CY CZ DE DK EE ES FI FR GB GR
IE IT LI LU MC NL PT SE SK TR**
Designated Extension States:
AL LT LV MK RO SI

- **Ainsworth, Paul J.**
Spokane, WA 99203 (US)
- **Lyon, Samuel C.**
Cleveland, OH 44105-3092 (US)

(30) Priority: **30.07.2001 US 916350**

(71) Applicant: **Alcoa Inc.**
Pittsburgh, PA 15212-5858 (US)

(72) Inventors:
• **Sawtell, Ralph R.**
Cleveland, OH 44105-3092 (US)

(74) Representative:
Ebner von Eschenbach, Jennifer et al
Ladas & Parry,
Dachauerstrasse 37
80335 München (DE)

(54) **Alloy composition for making blister-free aluminum forgings and parts made therefrom**

(57) An aluminum alloy, which is more resistant to high temperature oxidation-type blistering, comprises: about 0.65-0.9 wt.% silicon, about 4-4.7 wt.% copper, about 0.6-0.9 wt.% manganese, about 0.35-0.55 wt.%

magnesium, up to about 0.15 wt.% iron and a balance of aluminum, incidental elements and impurities. By reducing iron content, the invention has reduced scrap rates in some forging part lines to 0%. An improvement in fracture toughness performance was also observed.




FIGURE 1


EP 1 281 781 A1

DescriptionFIELD OF THE INVENTION

[0001] This invention relates to the field of aluminum alloys, particularly, forged parts made from such alloys. This invention further relates to forged aluminum parts, including but not limited to vehicle wheels, especially aerospace or aircraft wheels, and various aluminum brake components, including but not limited to various vehicular brake piston housings.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

[0002] It has been known for years that aluminum alloy products may be subject to degradation as a result of an oxidation process that occurs during extended exposure to high temperatures in the presence of water vapor. This process, which is called high temperature oxidation (or "HTO"), results from the introduction of hydrogen at or near the product surface, which subsequently collects and forms pores or blisters that are detrimental to the performance of that product. In a simplistic form, the chemical reaction associated with HTO can be written as:



In some cases, the pores and blisters associated with HTO need to be removed from the surface by grinding and machining which is both costly and time consuming. In still other cases, such porosity is so extensive that the parts must be scrapped.

[0003] The occurrence of HTO is especially problematic in complex forged products because the material for making those parts have to be heated many times for forging in separate dies to achieve the desired final configuration. The amount of water vapor present as atmospheric humidity is also an important factor, it being noted that HTO is often more prevailing when absolute humidity is high.

[0004] A series of experiments were run varying the composition of aluminum alloy 2014 (Aluminum Association designation), to hopefully achieve higher tensile elongation and fracture toughness properties. From those experiments, it was observed that forgings manufactured from one newly cast, set of compositions had unusually low occurrences of HTO. This invention focuses on the performance of that set of compositions for which HTO resistance, and the surface blistering associated therewith, has exhibited a significant improvement, especially over its 2014 forged counterparts.

RELEVANT ART

[0005] 2014 aluminum, as registered by the Aluminum Association ("AA"), comprises: 0.5-1.2 wt.% silicon, 3.9-5.0 wt.% copper, 0.4-1.2 wt.% manganese, 0.2-0.8 wt.% magnesium, 0.7max wt% iron, up to about 0.25 wt.% zinc, up to about 0.15 wt.% titanium, up to about 0.1 wt.% chromium, the balance aluminum. But the operational preferences for 2014 aluminum drift into the high side of that Si range. By contrast, 2214 aluminum (also AA-registered), has a lower iron content, with a maximum of 0.3 wt.% Fe. The operational limits for 2214 aluminum also "prefer" a Si maximum of about 0.9 wt.%.

[0006] In addition, there are several U.S. patents pertinent to the manufacture of Al-Cu alloys, or to the making of forged aluminum parts. Representative of these are: U.S. Patent Nos. 4,818,308; 5,032,359; 5,219,617; 5,462,712; 5,630,889; 5,652,063; 5,665,306; 5,738,735; 5,775,892; 5,800,927; 5,851,320; 5,865,911; 6,053,997 and 6,056,835. Unlike many of the foregoing compositions, the alloy composition of this invention, is also cadmium-free, lithium-free and silver-free, as well as being very low in intentional iron additions. By taking precautions to significantly reduce the iron contents of this invention alloy, to a lot less than the typical Fe content of about 0.5% iron in 2014 aluminum, and to about half the typical 0.3% Fe content for 2214 aluminum, and through the exercise of much tighter controls over the ranges for its other main alloying components, this invention achieves a totally distinct ingot structure. As a result of said structure, the alloy composition of this invention also exhibits improved fracture toughness performance over its 2014 counterparts.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

[0007] There is claimed an improved aluminum alloy with reduced susceptibility to high temperature oxidation and the blistering effects thereof. This alloy also exhibits improved fracture toughness performance. The alloy consists of: about 0.65-0.9 wt.% silicon, about 4-4.7 wt.% copper, about 0.6-0.9 wt.% manganese, about 0.35-0.55 wt.% magne-

sium, up to about 0.25 wt. % zinc, up to about 0.15% iron, up to about 0.15 wt. % titanium, up to about 0.1 wt. % chromium, and up to about 0.001 wt. % beryllium, the balance aluminum, incidental elements and other impurities. More preferably, this alloy contains about 0.7-0.85 wt. % silicon, about 4.1-4.5 wt. % copper, about 0.65-0.85 wt. % manganese, about 0.4-0.5 wt. % magnesium, about 0.14 wt. % iron or less, and a balance of aluminum, incidental elements and impurities. It is especially suitable to make aerospace wheel forgings, more particularly inboard aircraft wheels, and various brake components, like a brake piston housing, from the aforesaid alloy composition.

[0008] The essence of this invention's compositional change, over both 2014 and 2214 aluminum, is: (1) a reduction in the amount of Fe present, by purposefully reducing the iron contents thereof; and (2) a purposeful tightening of the compositional range limits for this alloy's other main alloying components. In this "higher purity" state, noticeable improvements to blistering resistance and, hence, significantly lower scrap rates are achievable, at commercial production levels. In addition, greater fracture toughness performance has been observed.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0009] Further features, objectives and advantages of this invention will become clearer when reviewing the preferred embodiments hereof made with reference to the accompanying drawings wherein:

FIGURE 1 is a photograph of a forged part made from the invention alloy (left) versus the same part made from 2014 aluminum (right), under ultraviolet light to show a fluorescent die, i.e. the speckled portions on the right forged part, that flags areas of HTO defect or blistering on said part;

FIGURE 2 is a close-up of the lower portions to the two comparative parts shown in FIGURE 1; and

FIGURE 3 is a side-by-side comparison of two micrographs (500x magnification) of sections to both parts of FIGURE 1.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

[0010] For any description of preferred alloy compositions herein, all references to percentages are by weight percent (wt. %) unless otherwise indicated. When referring to any numerical range of values, such ranges are understood to include each and every number and/or fraction between the stated range minimum and maximum. A range of about 4-4.7 wt. % copper, for example, would expressly include all intermediate values of about 4.01%, 4.03% and 4.05% all the way up to and including 4.55%, 4.65% and 4.69% Cui. The same rule applies to every other elemental range and/or property value set forth hereinbelow.

[0011] As used herein, the term "substantially-free" means having no significant amount of that component purposefully added to the alloy composition, it being understood that trace amounts of incidental elements and/or impurities may find their way into a desired end product. For example, a substantially cadmium-free alloy might contain less than about 0.1% Cd, or less than about 0.03% Cd on a more preferred basis, due to contamination from incidental additives or through contact with certain processing and/or holding equipment. All embodiments of the present invention are substantially free of lithium and silver on a most preferred basis.

[0012] In a series of experiments involving variations of alloy 2014 (Aluminum Association designation), the composition was purposefully adjusted with the intent of improving tensile elongation performance. From those experiments, it was also observed that forgings manufactured from newly cast alloy compositions had an unusually low occurrence of HTO. Upon further investigation, it was noted that the Fe-Mn-Si constituents of this new alloy composition are more highly refined as compared to the same constituents in its conventional 2014 counterpart. While the inventors do not wish to be tied to any particular theory for the improved HTO (or blister-resistance) performance of this invention, it is postulated that the refined microstructure associated with the new alloy described hereinbelow contains fewer sites for the nucleation of hydrogen-induced porosity. Thus, the lower occurrence of blistering and other objectionable surface defects on products made, especially forged, from the composition of this invention.

[0013] Additional trials were run on specific forging configurations that had been especially susceptible to HTO-related defects in past years. When the modified alloy was used for forging these same parts/configurations, there was no evidence of HTO. The alloy composition of this invention was then adopted for these most susceptible configurations. Over the course of the next 6 months, occurrences of HTO was reduced by about 93%. And, when any occurrences of HTO was observed in that six month trial period, further investigation showed that the HTO-susceptible pieces were actually manufactured from a composition OTHER THAN the invention alloy herein. Thus, it can be concluded that use of this alloy invention virtually eliminates susceptibility to HTO. A table comparing preferred embodiments of this Invention with its 2014 preferred counterpart follows.

TABLE 1

COMPARISON W/2014 ALUMINUM				
	2014		Invention Alloy	
	Min.	Max.	Min.	Max.
Si	0.5	1.2	0.7	0.85
Fe		0.7		0.14
Cu	3.9	5	4.1	4.5
Mn	0.4	1.2	0.65	0.85
Mg	0.2	0.8	0.4	0.5
T6 Fract Tough (ksi $\sqrt{\text{in}}$)	20.6		28.2	

EXPERIMENT

[0014] In order to evaluate the effect of a new alloy composition on HTO susceptibility, a comparative trial was conducted using the new alloy on a forging configuration that had historically exhibited high HTO occurrences. This particular die forging configuration, for an aircraft wheel, was manufactured using standard 2014 and the new alloy composition of this invention. After the forgings were manufactured, they were inspected using a fluorescent die penetrant per ASTM Standard No. E1417, the disclosure of which is fully incorporated by reference herein. The small blisters and surface voids characteristic of HTO, often detected using this inspection technique, also detect cracks and other objectionable surface features. These photographs, FIGURES 1 and 2 below, show the same forgings made from two distinct alloy compositions. Using an ultraviolet light, the fluorescent die-laced comparative forgings clearly display how these modifications to alloy composition (from known 2014 practices) clearly show a marked improvement in performance, i.e. significantly reduced occurrences of HTO-type "blistering", or the numerous "white spots" in the right side wheel in both FIGURES 1 and 2.

[0015] The precise compositions of the forgings depicted in FIGURES 1 and 2 are summarized in accompanying Table 2.

TABLE 2

COMPOSITIONS OF UV TESTED FORGED PARTS		
	2014 Forging	Invention Alloy
wt. % Si	0.83	0.79
Cu	4.52	4.55
Mn	0.66	0.72
Mg	0.47	0.45
Fe	0.41	0.11

Prior to the adoption of this new alloy composition, scrap rates in the manufacture of aircraft wheels from 2014 aluminum AVERAGED 16% per year for the previous 3 years. In addition to lost wheels, too far damaged to recover by rework, there were others which while not so far damaged as to require scrapping, nevertheless required additional processing steps (including sanding, repolishing) prior to release to the ultimate consumer of such goods. Through the manufacture of these more HTO- susceptible parts from the alloy composition of this invention, scrap rates are now running at 0%.

[0016] Having described the presently preferred embodiments, it is to be understood that the invention may be otherwise embodied within the scope of the appended claims.

Claims

1. An improved aluminum alloy with reduced susceptibility to high temperature oxidation, said alloy consisting essentially of: about 0.65-0.9 wt.% silicon, about 4-4.7 wt.% copper, about 0.6-0.9 wt.% manganese, about 0.35-0.55 wt.% magnesium, up to about 0.15 wt.% iron and a balance of aluminum, incidental elements and impurities.

EP 1 281 781 A1

2. An alloy as claimed in claim 1, which further contains one or more of: up to about 0.25 wt.% zinc, up to about 0.15 wt.% titanium, up to about 0.1 wt.% chromium, and up to about 0.001 wt.% beryllium.
3. An alloy as claimed in claim 1 or claim 2, which contains about 0.7 - 0.85 wt.% silicon.
4. An alloy as claimed in any of the preceding claims, which contains about 4.1 - 4.5 wt.% copper.
5. An alloy as claimed in any of the preceding claims, which contains about 0.65 - 0.85 wt.% manganese.
6. An alloy as claimed in any of the preceding claims, which contains about 0.14 wt.% iron or less.
7. An alloy as claimed in any of the preceding claims, which is suitable for manufacturing into a forged part.
8. The alloy of claim 7, wherein said forged part is a vehicle wheel.
9. The alloy of claim 7, wherein said forged part is an aerospace wheel.
10. The alloy of claim 7, wherein said forged part is an aerospace brake component.
11. The forged part of claim 7, which exhibits improved fracture toughness performance as compared to its 2014 aluminum counterpart.
12. A forged aircraft wheel having reduced susceptibility to high temperature oxidation, said wheel made of an alloy composition as claimed in any of the preceding claims.
13. The wheel of claim 12, which is an inboard wheel.
14. The wheel of claim 12, which exhibits improved fracture toughness performance as compared to its 2014 aluminum counterpart.
15. A forged vehicular brake component having reduced susceptibility to high temperature oxidation, said brake component made of an alloy composition as claimed in any of the preceding claims.
16. The brake component of claim 15, which exhibits improved fracture toughness performance as compared to its 2014 aluminum counterpart.
17. The brake component of claim 15, which is a piston housing.




FIGURE 1



FIGURE 2




FIGURE 3



European Patent
Office

EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT

Application Number
EP 02 01 6501

DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category	Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of relevant passages	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (Int.Cl.7)
X	US 2 885 315 A (ALUMINUM CO OF AMERICA) 5 May 1959 (1959-05-05)	1-11	C22C21/12
Y	* column 1, lines 17-19; column 3, lines 25-45; column 8, lines 1-24, example 7 *	12-14	
Y	--- J.R. DAVIS: "ASM Speciality Handbook, Aluminum and Aluminum Alloys", ASM INTERNATIONAL, 3-RD PRINTING 1996, USA XP002216666 ISBN: 0-87170-496-X * page 20, table 2, alloy AA2014; pages 650-651, left-hand column, paragraph "Applications *	12-14	
X	--- GB 863 051 A (ALUMINUM CO OF AMERICA) 15 March 1961 (1961-03-15) * page 2, lines 29-33; page 7, example 7 *	1-11	
X	--- GB 2 065 516 A (SHOWA ALUMINIUM IND) 1 July 1981 (1981-07-01) * page 1, lines 14-20; page 9, example 1 *	1-11	
X	PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 011, no. 069 (C-407), 3 March 1987 (1987-03-03) -& JP 61 227146 A (SUMITOMO LIGHT METAL IND LTD), 9 October 1986 (1986-10-09) * Derwent abstract * * page 2, table, example 2 *	1-11	TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (Int.Cl.7)
X	GB 843 426 A (ALUMINUM CO OF AMERICA) 4 August 1960 (1960-08-04) * page 2, lines 28-33; page 4, example 5, lines 90-118 *	1-11	C22C
The present search report has been drawn up for all claims			
Place of search MUNICH		Date of completion of the search 15 October 2002	Examiner Gavriliu, A
CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS X : particularly relevant if taken alone Y : particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category A : technological background O : non-written disclosure P : intermediate document T : theory or principle underlying the invention E : earlier patent document, but published on, or after the filing date D : document cited in the application L : document cited for other reasons & : member of the same patent family, corresponding document			

EPO FORM 1503 03.82 (P04C01)

**ANNEX TO THE EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT
ON EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION NO.**

EP 02 01 6501

This annex lists the patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned European search report.
The members are as contained in the European Patent Office EDP file on
The European Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

15-10-2002

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 2885315	A	05-05-1959	NONE	

GB 863051	A	15-03-1961	NONE	

GB 2065516	A	01-07-1981	JP 1021217 B	20-04-1989
			JP 1611953 C	30-07-1991
			JP 56069344 A	10-06-1981
			JP 1465595 C	10-11-1988
			JP 56069348 A	10-06-1981
			JP 63014059 B	29-03-1988
			JP 1465596 C	10-11-1988
			JP 56069346 A	10-06-1981
			JP 63014058 B	29-03-1988
			AU 572552 B2	12-05-1988
			AU 3271184 A	20-12-1984
			AU 576472 B2	01-09-1988
			AU 6410580 A	20-08-1981
			CA 1177679 A1	13-11-1984
			CA 1209825 A2	19-08-1986
			CA 1209826 A2	19-08-1986
			DE 3041942 A1	21-05-1981
			FR 2472618 A1	03-07-1981
			GB 2130941 A ,B	13-06-1984
			AU 569320 B2	28-01-1988
			AU 3271284 A	20-12-1984
			GB 2128914 A ,B	10-05-1984

JP 61227146	A	09-10-1986	JP 1595667 C	27-12-1990
			JP 2022132 B	17-05-1990

GB 843426	A	04-08-1960	NONE	

EPO FORM P0459

For more details about this annex : see Official Journal of the European Patent Office, No. 12/82