

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization  
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date  
13 November 2003 (13.11.2003)

(10) International Publication Number  
WO 03/092589 A2

PCT

(51) International Patent Classification<sup>7</sup>:

A61K

(81) Designated States (national): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, OM, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(21) International Application Number: PCT/US03/13133

(22) International Filing Date: 28 April 2003 (28.04.2003)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(84) Designated States (regional): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, RO, SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

(30) Priority Data:  
10/135,857 30 April 2002 (30.04.2002) US

(71) Applicant: BRAINTREE LABORATORIES, INC.  
[US/US]; 60 Columbian Street, Braintree, MA 02185  
(US).

Published:

— without international search report and to be republished upon receipt of that report

(72) Inventor: CLEVELAND, Mark, vB., 10 Cordwood Path,  
Duxbury, MA 02332 (US).

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

(74) Agents: RIGBY, Robert, E., Jr. et al.; Cesari and  
McKenna, LLP, 88 Black Falcon Avenue, Boston, MA  
02210 (US).

WO 03/092589 A2

(54) Title: SALT SOLUTION FOR COLON CLEANSING

(57) Abstract: The field of colonic diagnostic and surgical procedures is hampered by the lack of optimal means available to cleanse the colon. A compromise between convenient, distasteful, solid or low volume, hyperosmotic solutions which cause considerable fluid and electrolyte imbalances in patients and large volume, difficult to consume, iso-osmotic solutions has had to be made heretofore. This invention describes a low volume, hyperosmotic solution consisting of sulfate salts with and without polyethylene glycol. Unlike prior art, this composition is useful for the cleansing of the bowel and, in lower volumes, as a laxative, without producing clinically significant changes in bodily function.

## SALT SOLUTION FOR COLON CLEANSING

### BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

#### *Field of the Invention*

I have found a new improved concentrated colonic purgative formulation made by combining inorganic salts and polyethylene glycol (PEG) in a small volume of water. This formulation is effective to produce colonic purging to prepare the colon for surgical or diagnostic procedures and surprisingly does not cause clinically significant changes in electrolyte balance.

#### 10 **Background Information**

In sigmoidoscopy, colonoscopy, radiographic examination, preparation for patients undergoing bowel surgery, and other medical or diagnostic procedures on the colon, it is important that the colon be thoroughly purged and cleaned. In particular, it is essential that as much fecal matter as possible be removed from the colon to permit 15 adequate visualization of the intestinal mucosa. This is important prior to, for example, diagnostic procedures such as flexible sigmoidoscopy or colonoscopy, diagnostic examinations widely performed to screen patients for diseases of the colon. In addition, it is important that the intestines be cleansed thoroughly in order to obtain satisfactory radiographs of the colon. The same condition also applies when the colon is preoperatively prepared for surgery, where removal of fecal waste materials is critically important 20 for patient safety.

Large volume orally administered compositions have been developed for use as gastrointestinal washes for diagnostic purposes or for use as cathartic laxatives. Such orally administered preparations are usually formulated as dilute or isotonic solutions 25 of electrolytes such as sodium sulfate, sodium bicarbonate, sodium chloride and potassium chloride. These orally administered compositions are useful in the rapid cleansing of the colon for diagnostic purposes. These formulations may include other agents such as polyethylene glycol. These formulations have generally been administered in a

- 2 -

quantity of about four liters as isotonic solutions. One example composition is Go-LYTEL Y® formulated according to the following: polyethylene glycol 59 g, sodium sulfate 5.68 g, sodium bicarbonate 1.69 g, sodium chloride 1.46 g, potassium chloride 0.745 g and water to make up one liter (Davis et al. Gastroenterology 1980;78:991-995).

Commercially available products embodying these formulations sometimes utilize polyethylene glycol, a non-absorbable osmotic agent, with an isotonic mixture of electrolytes for replenishment, so that patients do not become dehydrated or experience clinically significant electrolyte shifts. Because the solutions are isotonic, patients are required to ingest a significant amount of volume of these solutions, up to one eight ounce glass every ten minutes for a total of one gallon of fluid, to achieve effective purging

Sodium sulfate and phosphate salts have been used as laxatives when diluted in a small volume (~300 mL) concentrated solution and taken in tablespoon sized (15ml) daily doses. An example of this use is Glauber's Salt's (containing sodium sulfate). However, because of their small volumes, when used in this fashion they do not sufficiently clean the colon for diagnostic or surgical procedures. Also these small volume preparations do not contain polyethylene glycol. Sodium sulfate combined with polyethylene glycol and various other salts, administered in large volumes (1 gallon) over a short period of time is an effective gastrointestinal lavage, which cleanses the colon prior to colonoscopy or surgical procedures as described above.

The large volume required for effective use of this type of formulation for lavage is frequently associated with distention, nausea, vomiting and significant patient discomfort. Thus, while these formulations are generally effective, they are not well tolerated.

Another drawback of these prior art preparations is their unpleasant, bitter, saline taste. This can promote nausea and vomiting in sensitive patients—thereby preventing ingestion. It is difficult to overcome this unpleasant taste, even the most common natural sweeteners such as glucose, fructose, saccharose, and sorbitol could change the osmolarity of these orally administered solutions resulting in potentially dangerous electrolyte imbalances.

In an attempt to avoid the problems associated with the high volume types of preparations, other investigators have utilized ingestible preparations which consist of aqueous solutions of concentrated phosphate salts. The aqueous phosphate salt concentrate produces a tremendous osmotic effect on the intra-luminal contents of the bowel and therefore, evacuation of the bowel occurs with a large influx of water and electrolytes into the colon from the body. These phosphate salt preparations have been developed for the purpose of decreasing the volume required in colonic purgations. One such preparation basically is comprised of 480 grams per liter monobasic sodium phosphate and 180 grams per liter dibasic sodium phosphate in stabilized buffered aqueous solution and is sold under the brand name Fleets Phospho-Soda.TM. Patients are typically required to take two (2) three ounce doses of this preparation, separated by a three to 12 hour interval for a total of six ounces (180 ml), which is a significant reduction compared to the large 1 gallon volumes required by the high volume preparations. Additionally, non-aqueous tablet or capsule formulations of sodium phosphates and sulfates have been used (US Patents 5,997,906, 6,162,464, and 5,616,346).

These small volume sulfate/phosphate solutions and non-aqueous formulations have been shown to cause massive electrolyte and fluid shifts that are clinically significant to the patient (US Food and Drug Administration, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research, September 17, 2001; 2002 Physician's Desk Reference, prescribing information for Fleet's Phospho Soda and InKine Pharmaceutical's Visicol ®). The terms "clinically significant" as used herein are meant to convey alterations in blood chemistry that are outside the normal upper or lower limits of their normal range or other untoward effects. These solutions are hyperosmotic; that is the electrolyte concentration of the solution is much higher than the electrolyte concentration in the human body. Available products, as Fleet's Phospho-Soda, and the solid dosage form such as Visicol tablets (sodium phosphate salts) are examples of small volume electrolyte preparations. All of these products have been seen to cause clinically significant electrolyte disturbances and fluid shifts, and disturbances in cardiac and renal function when administered to patients (US Food and Drug Administration, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research, September 17, 2001).

To overcome the risks and electrolyte disturbances that occur with the small volume laxative preparations, large volume "lavage" solutions were developed to be

- 4 -

isotonic. Preparing a patient for a surgical or diagnostic procedure on the colon with such an isotonic lavage would result in only minimal fluid and electrolyte shifts in the patient. GoLyte®, NuLyte®, and CoLyte® are examples of such large volume lavages. Because these lavages are isotonic, the patient experiences minimal, non-clinically significant fluid and electrolyte shifts, if any, upon their administration.

From the foregoing, it can be seen that the two approaches to colonic lavage have significant drawbacks that have not been resolved by prior attempts. The isotonic solutions, while not causing clinically significant fluid or electrolyte shifts, are, of necessity, of large volume, and difficult for patient ingestion. The hypertonic solutions or concentrated non-aqueous formulations are sometimes inadequate to prepare the colon and more importantly, can cause clinically significant electrolyte and fluid shifts, which have been known to cause deaths. Thus, it is desirable to have a small volume orally administered colonic purgative formulation which may be easily and conveniently administered and which avoids the clinically significant problems and objectionable tastes of known formulations. It can also be seen that it is desirable to have such a purgative formulation which may be administered without the large volumes necessary in conventional formulations and which avoids other potentially irritant chemicals or chemicals which could effect osmolality. In the nearly 20 years since the advent of large volume colonic lavage solutions, there has not been success in discovering an effective small volume gastrointestinal cleansing preparation that minimized fluid or electrolyte shifts. Concentrating the large volume lavages into smaller volumes does not achieve the same effectiveness, and is not as safe. This is because the components are not soluble in the small volumes necessary and because the concentrations are such that dangerous electrolyte shifts could occur. One purpose of the present research was to develop a safe, effective, and well tolerated small volume solution made up of a high concentration of poorly absorbable salts that induce a colon cleansing catharsis after oral ingestion without clinically significant alteration of sodium, chloride, bicarbonate, potassium, calcium, and phosphate level and balance or other untoward effects on the recipient.

30

#### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

I now disclose easily and conveniently administered dosage formulations of effective colonic purgatives.

The disclosed colonic purgative formulations provide safe and effective purgative activity at lower dosages of salt than prior art sodium phosphate tablets, solutions of phosphates and sulfates, or combinations thereof. In addition, a lower volume of fluid is ingested and there are no clinically significant changes in body electrolyte chemistry.

This colonic purgative can be administered with a minimum amount of patient discomfort and is better tolerated than prior art purgatives.

The colonic purgative may include an effective amount of one or more sulfate salts,  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ ,  $\text{MgSO}_4$ , and  $\text{K}_2\text{SO}_4$  have been used. Polyethylene glycol may also be advantageously added to the colonic purgative composition.

#### **DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF AN ILLUSTRATIVE EMBODIMENT**

There are two currently used methods used for colonic lavage. These are: (1) gastrointestinal lavage with 4 liters of a balanced solution that causes negligible net water or electrolyte absorption or secretion or (2) oral ingestion of small volumes of concentrated (hypertonic) sulfate or sodium phosphate solutions, e.g. Fleet Phospho-Soda, or the non-aqueous tablet formulations of phosphates or salts, all of which cause clinically significant effects on bodily chemistry.

Clinical trials have shown use of the 4 liter balanced solution to be safe and efficacious. However, compliance is poor because of the large volume of solution that must be rapidly ingested. Additionally, these large volume solutions are not well tolerated by patients.

Use of the hypertonic sodium phosphate solutions is also efficacious in cleansing the colon. However, use of hypertonic sodium phosphate has been shown to cause upset in electrolyte balance including: hyperphosphatemia, hypocalcemia, positive sodium balance, and negative potassium balance. For example, in one published study the average serum phosphate concentration rose from 2.8 to 6.5 mg/dL (Kolts et al., Am. J. Gastroenterology, 88:1218-1223, 1993), and in another some patients developed serum phosphate concentrations as high as 11.6 mg/dL (Vanner et al., Am. J. Gastroenterology 85:422-427, 1990). The normal range for serum phosphate is generally considered to be 2.6 to 4.5 mg/dL. Also, serum potassium fell to as low as 2.9 mEq/L, while the normal range is 3.4 to 5.4. In a third published study, the  $\text{Ca} \times \text{P}$  product rose

- 6 -

from 35 to as high as 104, while the normal range is generally 22-47 (DiPalma et al., *Digestive Diseases and Sciences*, 41:749-753, 1996).

Hypertonic phosphate gastrointestinal cleansing solutions have also been associated with hypokalemia and hypocalcemia in some patients, resulting in serious injury and even death (Ahmed et al. *Am. J. Gastro.* 1998;91:1261-1262).

While Fleet Phospho-Soda preparation, and other hypertonic phosphate colonic lavages are generally considered safe for most healthy adults, they pose significant risks for adverse reactions in patients with renal, cardiac or hepatic diseases, and elderly patients in whom excess sodium absorption might be dangerous. Because of these risks of severe adverse reactions, renal and cardiac function should be evaluated and serum phosphate and serum calcium should be carefully monitored in all patients using hypertonic phosphate gastric lavage composition (Fleet and Visicol labeling). This monitoring is inconvenient, adds to expense and is infrequently performed resulting in dangerous incidents (Chan et al. *Can. J. Gastro* 1997; 11:334-338).

I have found a safe and effective small volume colonic purgative formulation that avoids the problems of the prior art, using poorly absorbable sulfate salts with a small quantity of polyethylene glycol. In performing this research, my objective was to find a well tolerated orally administered colonic purgative that was as effective as the well known hypertonic phosphate lavages, that avoided the risks of upset of electrolyte balance in patients.

I have found that hypertonic solutions of non-phosphate salts are effective in producing colonic purgation. Addition of an osmotic laxative agent such as polyethylene glycol improves the results in improved purgation and reduces the amounts of salts required. Because it is administered in small volumes, these formulations are better tolerated than formulations now used. These formulations are as effective as colonic purgatives now used, with a lower risk of adverse reactions.

Mixtures of sulfate salts that omit phosphates (which are avidly absorbed) can be effective to produce colonic purgation. In particular, formulations comprising effective amounts of one or more of the following sulfate salts  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ ,  $\text{MgSO}_4$ , and  $\text{K}_2\text{SO}_4$  are effective. Dosage amounts of  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$  from about 0.01 g to about 40.0 g can be effective to produce purgation. Doses of from about 0.1 g to about 20.0 g may be advantageously used. Dosages of 1.0 to 10.0 g may be preferred. Dosage amounts of

MgSO<sub>4</sub> from about 0.01 g to about 40.0 g can be effective to produce purgation. Doses of from about 0.1 g to about 20.0 g may be advantageously used. Dosages of 1.0 to 10.0 g may be preferred. Dosage amounts of K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> from about 0.01 g to about 20.0 g can be effective to produce purgation. Doses of from about 0.1 g to about 10.0 g may 5 be advantageously used. Dosages of about 0.5 to about 5.0 g may be preferred. The formulation is advantageously a mixture of the foregoing salts.

Addition of an osmotic laxative agent, such as polyethylene glycol (PEG) improves the effectiveness of the above salt mixtures. Doses of PEG from about 1.0 to about 100 g are effective to produce laxation. Doses from about 10.0 g to about 50 g of 10 PEG have been shown to be effective. A dose of about 34 g of PEG has been used.

For ease of administration, the above mixture of salts can be dissolved in a convenient volume of water. A volume of less than one liter of water is well tolerated by most patients. The mixture can be dissolved in any volume of water, and volumes of between 100 and 500 ml are often convenient. Any volume may be administered. Optimally, the effective dose may be divided and administered, to the patient in two, or 15 more administrations over an appropriate time period. Generally, 2 doses administered of equal portions of the effective dose, separated by 6 to 24 hours produce satisfactory purgation

### **EXAMPLES**

20 Subjects were otherwise healthy adults between the ages of 18 and 55. There were no preferences or exclusions based on gender or ethnic background.

#### **Dietary Preparation and Ingestion of Salt Solution**

Each experiment began at midnight on the first day of a two day study period, and was completed at noon on the next day. The subjects did not consume any food or 25 beverages after midnight on day 1. From 6 a.m. until 6 p.m. on day 1 the subjects consumed a clear liquid diet. Clear liquids included strained fruit juices without pulp (apple, white grape, lemonade), water, clear broth or bouillon, coffee or tea (without milk or non-dairy creamer), carbonated and non-carbonated soft drinks, Kool-Aid® (or other fruit flavored drinks), Jell-O® gelatin (without added fruits or toppings), and ice Pop-Sicles® fruit bars. Solid foods, milk, and milk products are not allowed. The subjects 30 kept a record of exactly what they consumed on day 1, and they were asked to consume

- 8 -

the same liquids at the same time if and when they did subsequent studies with a different solution.

Subjects reported to the laboratory at 6 p.m. on day 1. At 7 p.m. they ingested the first dose of concentrated salt solution, either Fleet Phospho-Soda or the experimental solution, followed by 8 ounces of water. Eight ounces of water was also ingested at 8, 9, and 10 p.m.

At 5 a.m. on day 2, a second dose of the concentrated salt solution was ingested, followed by 8 ounces of water.

**Formulation of Concentrated Salt Solutions:**

10 Fleet Phospho-Soda (C. S. Fleet Co., Inc., Lynchburg, VA 24506), 90 mL, was added to 240 mL of water, for a volume of 330 mL. One half of this diluted solution was ingested by the subjects on two occasions, at 7 p.m. on day 1 and again at 5 a.m. on day 2. Based on the manufacturer label, the 330 mL of ingested Phospho-Soda solution contained  $\text{NaH}_2\text{PO}_4 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$  (43.2 g) and  $\text{Na}_2\text{HPO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (16.2 g).

15 The ingested experimental solutions were also 330 mL in volume, and their composition is shown in the tables below. All salts were obtained from Mallinckrodt (Paris, KY 40361) and Polyethylene glycol (PEG) was obtained from J. T. Baker (Phillipsburg, NJ 08865). One half of each experimental solution was ingested by the subjects on two occasions, at 7 p.m. on day 1 and at 5 a.m. on day 2.

20 **TABLE 1**

The dosage of ingested salts (mmoles) were as follows:

Experimental Solutions						
	Fleet	A	B	C	D	E
NaH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> .H <sub>2</sub> O	313	0	0	157	0	0
25 Na <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O	60	0	0	30	0	0
Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	0	100	125	142.5	142.5	142.5
MgSO <sub>4</sub>	0	100	125	0	142.5	142.5
K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	0	0	12.5	23.75	23.75	20
KCl	0	5	0	0	0	
30 KHCO <sub>3</sub>	0	5	0	0	0	

- 9 -

**TABLE 2**

The concentration of the salts expressed in millequivalents was:

		Experimental Solutions					
		Fleet	A	B	C	D	E
5	Na	433	200	250	502	285	285
	K	0	10	25	48	48	40
	Mg	0	200	250	0	285	285
	SO <sub>4</sub>	0	400	525	333	618	610
	PO <sub>4</sub>	11.6	0	0	5.8	0	0
10	Cl	0	5	0	0	0	0
	HCO <sub>3</sub>	0	5	0	0	0	0

Solution E also contained 34g of Polyethylene glycol (PEG).

**Observations and Measurements:**

Body weight was measured at 6:45 p.m. on day 1, and at noon on day 2. Blood pressure (lying and after standing for 30 seconds) was measured every two hours, starting at 6:45 p.m. on day 1 and finishing at 11:45 a.m. on day 2. Blood was drawn at 6:45 p.m. on day 1 and at 6 a.m., 8 a.m., 10 a.m. and 12 noon on day 2. Blood was analyzed for calcium, sulfate, magnesium, phosphate, sodium, chloride, potassium, bicarbonate, osmolality, albumin, total protein, BUN, creatinine, and hematocrit.

Each stool was quantitatively collected in separate containers and its weight and consistency were measured. The degree to which the stool contained fecal material was graded, using a scale from 0-5 (0 would be similar to urine, 5 would be a large amount of solid fecal material). Stools collected from 7 p.m. (day 1) until 5 a.m. (day 2) were pooled: this pool represents the effects of the first dose of salts. Stools collected from 5 a.m. until 12 noon were pooled; this pool represents the effect of the second dose of salts. The electrolyte composition of the two pooled specimens was measured (osmolality, Na, K, Cl, HCO<sub>3</sub>, PO<sub>4</sub>, SO<sub>4</sub>, Ca and Mg ).

Urine was quantitatively collected from 6 a.m. until 6 p.m. on day 1 (prior to ingestion of salts), from 7 p.m. on day 1 until 5 a.m. on day 2, and from 5 a.m. on day 2 until 12 noon on day 2. Urine was analyzed for sulfate, phosphate, calcium, magnesium and monovalent electrolytes.

- 10 -

### Results

Study results are shown in tables 3 and 4.

**Table 3 Fecal And Urine Analysis**

		FECAL		URINE
<b>Volume (mL)</b>	Intake	Output	Change	Output (mL)
Phospho-Soda Experimental Solution	1530	2403	-873	902
A	1530	1510	20	832
B	1530	2209	-679	789
C	1530	1868	-338	779
D	1530	2202	-672	639
E	1530	2729	-1199	780
<b>Sodium (mEq)</b>				
Phospho-Soda Experimental Solution	437	397	40	-80
A	200	198	2	89
B	200	302	-102	109
C	502	360	142	169
D	285	331	-46	132
E	285	369	-84	95
<b>Potassium (mEq)</b>				
Phospho-Soda Experimental Solution	0	54	-54	29
A	10	30	-20	19
B	20	41	-21	21
C	48	34	14	44
D	48	44	4	28
E	40	42	-2	24

- 11 -

TABLE 3 (CONT.)

		FECAL	URINE
<b>Chloride (mEq)</b>			
Phospho-Soda	0	41	-41
Experimental Solution			42
A	5	36	-31
B	0	71	-71
C	0	21	-21
D	0	71	-71
E	0	81	-81
<b>Bicarbonate (mEq)</b>			
Phospho-Soda	0	19	-19
Experimental Solution			
A	5	38	-33
B	0	61	-61
C	0	16	-16
D	0	89	-89
E	0	72	-72
<b>Phosphorous (g)</b>	Intake	Output	Change
Phospho-Soda	10.6	6.5	4.1
Experimental Solution			1.7
A	0	0.1	-0.1
B	0	0.2	-0.2
C	5.8	2.3	3.5
D	0	ND	0
E	0	0.13	-0.1
<b>Calcium (mEq)</b>			
Phospho-Soda	0	5	-5
Experimental Solution			1.7
A	0	9	-9
B	0	11	-11
C	0	3	-3
D	0	8	-8
E	0	17	-17
			6

- 12 -

TABLE 3 (CONT.)

		FECAL	URINE
<b>Magnesium (mEq)</b>			
Phospho-Soda	0	9	-9
Experimental Solution			1.8
A	200	156	44
B	200	193	7
C	0	3	-3
D	285	187	98
E	285	239	46
<b>Sulfate (mEq)</b>			
Phospho-Soda	0	12	-12
Experimental Solution			11
A	400	285	115
B	420	370	50
C	333	210	123
D	618	433	185
E	610	478	132
<b>PEG (g)</b>			
Phospho-Soda	0	0	0
Experimental Solution	0		
A	0	0	
B	0	0	0
C	0	0	0
D	0	0	0
E	34	29.1	4.9

Table 4 Serum Electrolyte and Mineral Data

	645 PM	600 AM	800AM	10AM	1200 PM
<b>Sodium (mEq/L)</b>					
Phospho-Soda	138	141	142	143	143
Experimental Solution					
A	138	139	140	ND	ND
B	140	142	141	142	142
C	141	142	144	144	144
D	136	139	138	138	138
E	140	141	142	141	142

-13-

TABLE 4 (CONT.)

	645 PM	600 AM	800AM	10AM	1200 PM
<b>Potassium (mEq/L)</b>					
Phospho-Soda	4.9	3.7	3.9	4.0	3.9
Experimental Solution					
A	5.4	4.0	4.2	ND	ND
B	5.7	4.4	4.7	4.9	4.4
C	5.5	4.2	4.6	4.6	4.5
D	7.3	4.2	4.6	4.2	4.2
E	4.6	4.0	4.3	4.4	4.3
<b>Chloride (mEq/L)</b>					
Phospho-Soda	103	105	107	107	107
Experimental Solution					
A	107	104	106	ND	ND
B	107	106	108	108	107
C	106	107	109	110	109
D	108	106	107	107	106
E	105	105	107	107	107
<b>Bicarbonate (mEq/L)</b>					
Phospho-Soda	23	23	21	22	23
Experimental Solution					
A	21	23	23	ND	ND
B	20	21	19	21	20
C	23	22	22	22	23
D	24	23	21	21	21
E	23	24	23	22	23
<b>Sulfate(mEq/L)</b>					
Phospho-Soda	1.63	1.68	1.52	1.75	1.70
Experimental Solution					
A	1.16	1.79	1.84	ND	ND
B	1.92	1.75	1.83	1.58	1.83
C	1.38	1.86	1.54	1.70	1.78
D	0.88	1.30	1.62	1.46	1.30
E	1.36	1.85	2.01	1.87	1.62
<b>Phosphorous(mg/dL)</b>					
Phospho-Soda	3.3	6.5	7.9	6.3	5.4
Experimental Solution					
A	2.6	3.1	2.8	ND	ND
B	2.8	3.1	2.8	2.8	2.9
C	3.1	5.9	6.6	5.8	4.4
D	3.2	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.8
E	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.2	3.2

-14-

TABLE 4 (CONT.)

	645 PM	600 AM	800AM	10AM	1200 PM
<b>Calcium (mg/dL)</b>					
Phospho-Soda	9.2	9.1	8.9	9.0	9.1
Experimental Solution					
A	9.2	9.3	9.5	ND	ND
B	9.4	9.6	9.4	9.5	9.5
C	9.4	9.3	9.3	9.2	9.5
D	8.9	9.1	8.8	9.0	8.7
E	9.3	9.5	9.7	9.6	9.6
<b>Ca x P</b>					
Phospho-Soda	30.2	59.7	70.7	56.5	48.9
Experimental Solution					
A	23.9	28.8	26.6	ND	ND
B	26.3	29.8	26.3	26.6	27.6
C	29.1	54.9	61.4	53.4	41.8
D	28.5	24.6	23.8	24.3	24.4
E	30.9	31.5	32.2	30.4	30.3
<b>Magnesium (mg/dL)</b>					
Phospho-Soda	2.0	2.1	2.1	2.2	2.2
Experimental Solution					
A	2.3	2.6	2.6	ND	ND
B	2.3	2.7	2.6	2.7	2.7
C	2.3	2.4	2.3	2.3	2.4
D	1.8	2.0	1.9	1.9	1.9
E	2.0	2.3	2.4	2.5	2.4
<b>Hematocrit</b>					
Phospho-Soda	40.0	42.3	41.8	43.8	43.1
Experimental Solution					
A	38.5	39.8	39.3	ND	ND
B	37.8	41.1	39.8	39.5	39.5
C	35.3	36.8	37.0	36.7	37.2
D	37.1	39.7	40.1	40.2	40.8
E	38.8	40.8	41.7	42.8	42.9

As indicated in table 3, stool volume averaged 2403 mL in three subjects who ingested the standard dose of Phospho-Soda. Table 4 shows that this was associated with a clinically significant rise in serum phosphate, a clinically significant fall in serum calcium, a clinically significant rise in serum calcium x phosphate product (Ca x P), and a large net gastro intestinal potassium loss of 54 mEq. Serum potassium also fell, but generally stayed in the normal range. However, all subjects had a net negative

- 15 -

balance in potassium. Serum phosphorus increased markedly, well outside of the normal range.

Solution A contained 100 mmoles of  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$  and 100 mmoles of  $\text{MgSO}_4$ , as well as small amounts of  $\text{KCl}$  and  $\text{KHCO}_3$  to replace anticipated  $\text{K}$ ,  $\text{Cl}$ , and  $\text{HCO}_3$  losses. After ingestion of solution A, stool output (1500) was short of the Phospho-Soda output benchmark (2403 ml).

For solution B  $\text{K}_2\text{SO}_4$  was substituted for  $\text{KCl}$  and  $\text{KHCO}_3$ ; the  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$  and  $\text{MgSO}_4$  contents were each increased to 125 mmoles. Fecal output rose with solution B, to 2209 mL, but as shown in table 4 the potassium losses were unacceptably high.

The effect of adding phosphate salts was investigated in solution C which contained one half of the amount of phosphate in the Fleet Phospho-Soda protocol, and 142.5 mmoles of  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ . This solution resulted in 1868 mL of fecal output. However, there was substantial net sodium absorption from this solution, and the serum  $\text{Ca} \times \text{P}$  product increased dramatically due to absorbed phosphate. We therefore decided that phosphate should be excluded completely from further experimental solutions.

Solution D contained 142.5 mmoles of both  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$  and  $\text{MgSO}_4$ , and 23.75 mmoles of  $\text{K}_2\text{SO}_4$ . This solution resulted in a stool volume of 2202 mL, which was slightly (180 mL) short of benchmark. Electrolyte changes were clinically insignificant with this formulation. A further increase in the ingested amounts of salts would likely be effective but, we were concerned about taste problems.

For solution E, PEG 3350 was added and the  $\text{K}_2\text{SO}_4$  content reduced slightly as compared to solution D. In two subjects, solution E produced an average fecal output that slightly exceeded the Phospho-Soda benchmark, and the taste was acceptable. This solution caused no increase in  $\text{Ca} \times \text{P}$  product, and its effect on potassium balance appeared to be close to zero. A small clinically insignificant change, was seen for magnesium, which stayed within the normal range of 1.4 to 3.1 mg/dL. Changes in sodium, chloride, sulfate and bicarbonate balance with this solution were considered to be of no clinical significance.

There are two ways to estimate the degree to which the poorly absorbable solutes were absorbed by the intestine. The first involves subtraction of fecal output from oral intake. This method assumes that anything not excreted in the stool by the end of

- 16 -

the experiment was absorbed. Using this method, the absorption of phosphate after ingesting of Fleet Phospho-soda was 4.0 grams, or 38% of the ingested phosphate load.

The absorption of sulfate after ingestion of solution E was 165 mEq, or 27% of the ingested load. However, the serum sulfate concentration remained well below the level at which calcium sulfate precipitates form, therefore calcium levels remained unchanged. The absorption of magnesium after ingestion of solution E was 66 mEq, or 23% of the ingested load. The second method that can be used involves changes in urine output of the solutes. When a phosphate-free solution was ingested (solution E), urine phosphate excretion was 0.4g, whereas when 10.6g of phosphate were ingested (Fleet Phospho-Soda), urine phosphate excretion was 2.1g ( = 1.7g); thus, 16% of the ingested phosphate appeared in the collected urine. By a similar calculation, 10% of ingested sulfate and 2% of ingested magnesium appeared in the collected urine. By both methods, the intestinal absorption of the ingested electrolytes occurred in the following order of magnitude: P > SO<sub>4</sub> > Mg.

The volume of fecal fluid output, the quality of colonic cleansing, side effects, and weight loss were similar with Fleet Phospho-Soda and Solutions D and E. Both solutions were unpleasant to ingest, but neither had a bad aftertaste. The highest observed Ca x P product varied from 62 to 76 with Phospho-Soda which is well in excess of the level at which calcium-phosphate precipitates form. For solution E CaXP was from 30 to 37. The Phospho-Soda preparation caused a net gastrointestinal loss of 54 mEq of potassium, whereas solutions D and E caused essentially no loss or gain of potassium.

The serum phosphate concentration increased more than 2-fold after ingestion of Phospho-Soda, whereas the serum sulfate concentration rose only slightly after ingestion of solution E. There were no significant changes in serum magnesium concentration.

Solution E contains three sulfate salts (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and MgSO<sub>4</sub>) as well as polyethylene glycol. Sulfate, magnesium and polyethylene glycol are poorly absorbed, and ingestion of this solution therefore induces osmotic diarrhea. The sodium content of solution E is less than the sodium content of Phospho-Soda, and solution E contains potassium whereas Phospho-Soda does not. Solution E and Fleet Phospho-Soda appear to provide equivalent colonic cleansing. However, in contrast to Phospho-Soda, solu-

tion E does not cause serum phosphate concentration to rise and does not cause a net gastrointestinal loss of potassium.

Both solutions were associated with approximately 2.5 kg loss in body weight which can be explained by higher water output (in both stool and in urine) than water 5 intake by mouth. To prevent this weight loss, the subjects would need to ingest an additional 2.5 kg of water, which would increase total water intake to approximately 4 liters. This might be advisable for protection of body fluid volume, but it might make the method of cleansing less attractive and less convenient. There were no changes in the vital signs of our subjects, indicating that the observed body water losses caused by 10 ingestion of the two solutions are well tolerated by normal people.

The Phopho-Soda phosphate solution and solutions D and E produce similar volumes of osmotic diarrhea, and the quality of colon cleansing (as judged by examination of fecal fluid) with the two solutions were similar. Presumably, both solutions will be associated with some residual colonic fluid, which is not a problem during 15 colonoscopy since such fluid is readily aspirated via the suction lumen of the colonoscope. However, for virtual colonoscopy it is desirable that the colon be dry, and to this end of Ducolax suppository is often employed shortly before CT scanning is performed.

The foregoing description is illustrative of the preferred embodiments shown. It 20 is not intended to limit the present invention to the specific formulations shown and described, but instead it will be appreciated that adaptations and modifications will become apparent from the present disclosure and are intended to be within the scope of the claims. For example, small amounts of sodium chloride, potassium chloride and or bicarbonate may be added to consider patient needs.

**CLAIMS**

1. 1. A composition for inducing purgation of the colon of a patient which produces  
2 no clinically significant electrolyte shifts, comprising a small volume of an aqueous  
3 solution which comprises an effective amount of one or more salts selected from the  
4 group consisting of  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ ,  $\text{MgSO}_4$ , and  $\text{K}_2\text{SO}_4$ , and an effective amount of PEG.
1. 2. A composition according to claim 1 wherein the solution comprises an effective  
2 amount of  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ , an effective amount of  $\text{MgSO}_4$ , an effective amount of  $\text{K}_2\text{SO}_4$ , and  
3 an effective amount of PEG.
1. 3. A composition according to claim 2 wherein the solution comprises between  
2 about 2 grams and about 40 grams of  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ , between about 2 grams and about 20  
3 grams of  $\text{MgSO}_4$ , between about 1 and about 10 grams of  $\text{K}_2\text{SO}_4$ , and between about  
4 0.1 and about 50 grams of PEG.
1. 4. A composition for inducing purgation of the colon of a patient according to  
2 claim 1 wherein the composition comprises about 20 grams of  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ , about 20 grams  
3 of  $\text{MgSO}_4$ , about 3 grams of  $\text{K}_2\text{SO}_4$ , and about 34 grams of PEG.
1. 5. A composition for inducing purgation of the colon of a patient according to  
2 claim 4 wherein the aqueous solution has a volume of less than 500 ml.
1. 6. A composition for inducing purgation of the colon of a patient comprising an  
2 aqueous solution consisting essentially of an effective amount of one or more salts se-  
3 lected from the group consisting of  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ ,  $\text{MgSO}_4$ , and  $\text{K}_2\text{SO}_4$ , and an effective  
4 amount of PEG.
1. 7. A composition for inducing purgation of the colon of a patient according to  
2 claim 6 wherein the composition consists essentially of about 20 grams of  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ ,  
3 about 20 grams of  $\text{MgSO}_4$ , about 3 grams of  $\text{K}_2\text{SO}_4$ , and about 34 grams of PEG in  
4 about 330 ml of water.

- 1 8. A method for inducing colonic purgation in a patient comprising the steps of:
  - 2 (a) preparing a composition for inducing colonic purgation in a patient comprising an aqueous solution comprising an effective amount of one or more salts selected from the group consisting of  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ ,  $\text{MgSO}_4$ , and  $\text{K}_2\text{SO}_4$  and an effective amount of PEG;
  - 6 (b) orally administering an effective amount of the composition to a patient; and
  - 7 (c) allowing said orally administered composition to induce colonic purgation.
- 1 9. A method for inducing colonic purgation according to claim 8 wherein the composition for inducing colonic purgation consists essentially of about 20 grams of  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ , about 20 grams of  $\text{MgSO}_4$ , about 3 grams of  $\text{K}_2\text{SO}_4$ , and about 34 grams of PEG in about 330 ml of water.
- 1 10. A method for inducing colonic purgation in a patient according to claim 8 where, in step (b) the effective amount of the composition is administered in two or more doses within a treatment period.
- 1 11. A composition for inducing purgation of the colon of a patient comprising a small volume of an aqueous solution which produces no clinically significant electrolyte shifts and which comprising an effective amount of one or more salts selected from the group consisting of  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ ,  $\text{MgSO}_4$ , and  $\text{K}_2\text{SO}_4$ .
- 1 12. A composition according to claim 11 wherein the solution comprises an effective amount of  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ , an effective amount of  $\text{MgSO}_4$ , an effective amount of  $\text{K}_2\text{SO}_4$ .
- 1 13. A composition according to claim 12 wherein the solution comprises between about 2 grams and about 40 grams of  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ , between about 2 grams and about 20 grams of  $\text{MgSO}_4$ , between about 1 and about 10 grams of  $\text{K}_2\text{SO}_4$ .

-20-

1 14. A composition for inducing purgation of the colon of a patient comprising an  
2 aqueous solution consisting essentially of an effective amount of one or more salts se-  
3 lected from the group consisting of  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ ,  $\text{MgSO}_4$ , and  $\text{K}_2\text{SO}_4$ .

1 15. A method for inducing colonic purgation in a patient comprising the steps of:  
2 (a) preparing a composition for inducing colonic purgation in a patient com-  
3 prising an aqueous solution comprising an effective amount of one or more  
4 salts selected from the group consisting of  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ ,  $\text{MgSO}_4$ , and  $\text{K}_2\text{SO}_4$ ;  
5 (b) orally administering an effective amount of the composition to a patient; and  
6 (c) allowing said orally administered composition to induce colonic purgation.