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SYNTHETIC GENES ENCODING CRY1AC

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

This invention relates to the field of molecular biology. Provided are novel nucleotide sequences that encode pesticidal proteins. These proteins and the nucleic acid sequences that encode them are useful in preparing pesticidal formulations and in the production of transgenic pest-resistant plants.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

*Bacillus thuringiensis* is a Gram-positive spore forming soil bacterium characterized by its ability to produce crystalline inclusions that are specifically toxic to certain orders and species of insects, but are harmless to plants and other non-targeted organisms. For this reason, compositions including *Bacillus thuringiensis* strains or their insecticidal proteins can be used as environmentally-acceptable insecticides to control agricultural insect pests or insect vectors for a variety of human or animal diseases.

Since commercialization in 1996, transgenic cotton plants containing a modified form of the *cry1Ac* gene from the soil bacterium, *Bacillus thuringiensis* Berliner, (Bt) (Bollgard®, Monsanto Co., St. Louis, MO) have been used extensively to manage lepidopteran pests. However, some heliothines are not adequately controlled with this technology (Bacherel and Mott (1997) In: Dugger P, Richter D, eds. *Beltwide Cotton Conference Proceedings*, pp. 858–861. Memphis: National Cotton Council; Smith (1998) In: Dugger P, Richter D, eds. *Beltwide Cotton Conference Proceedings*, pp. 965–966. Memphis: National Cotton Council), and the difference has been attributed to varying levels of Cry1Ac.

**SUMMARY OF INVENTION**

Compositions and methods for conferring pesticidal activity to bacteria, plants, plant cells, tissues and seeds are provided. Compositions include synthetic nucleic acid molecules encoding Cry1Ac pesticidal and insecticidal polypeptides, vectors comprising those nucleic acid molecules, and host cells comprising the vectors. The nucleotide sequences can be used in DNA constructs or expression cassettes for transformation and expression in organisms, including microorganisms and plants. The synthetic nucleotide sequences are designed for expression in an organism including, but not limited to, a microorganism or a plant. Compositions also comprise transformed bacteria, plants, plant cells, tissues, and seeds.

In particular, isolated synthetic nucleic acid molecules are provided that encode a Cry1Ac pesticidal protein. In particular, the present invention provides for an isolated nucleic acid molecule comprising the nucleotide sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO:1, 2, 3, 4, or 5. Nucleotide sequences that are complementary to a nucleotide sequence of the invention, or that hybridize to a sequence of the invention are also encompassed.

Methods are provided for producing the polypeptides of the invention, and for using those polypeptides for controlling or killing a lepidopteran pest. Methods and kits for detecting the nucleic acids and polypeptides of the invention in a sample are also included.

The compositions and methods of the invention are useful for the production of organisms with enhanced pest resistance or tolerance by improving the expression level of the Cry1Ac protein in the organism. These organisms and compositions comprising the organisms are desirable for agricultural purposes. The compositions of the invention are
also useful for generating altered or improved proteins that have pesticidal activity, or for
detecting the presence of pesticidal proteins or nucleic acids in products or organisms.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

5 The present invention is drawn to compositions and methods for regulating pest
resistance or tolerance in organisms, particularly plants or plant cells. By “resistance” is
intended that the pest (e.g., insect) is killed upon ingestion or other contact with the
polypeptides of the invention. By “tolerance” is intended an impairment or reduction in
the movement, feeding, reproduction, or other functions of the pest.

10 The methods involve transforming organisms with a synthetic nucleotide sequence
encoding a Cry1Ac pesticidal protein of the invention. Several reports discuss problems
with expression and/or toxicity of native cry1Ac as well as various modified versions
encoding Cry1Ac. See, for example, Barton et al. (1987) Plant Physiol. 85:1103-1109,
6,121,014, each of which is herein incorporated by reference in its entirety. Provided
herein are nucleotide sequences useful for preparing plants and microorganisms that
possess pesticidal activity. Thus, transformed bacteria, plants, plant cells, plant tissues and
seeds are provided. The sequences find use in the construction of expression vectors for
subsequent transformation into organisms of interest, as probes for the isolation of other
homologous (or partially homologous) genes, and for the generation of altered pesticidal
proteins by methods known in the art, such as domain swapping or DNA shuffling. The
proteins find use in controlling or killing lepidopteran pest populations and for producing
compositions with pesticidal activity.

25 Isolated Nucleic Acid Molecules

One aspect of the invention pertains to synthetic or recombinant nucleic acid
molecules comprising nucleotide sequences encoding Cry1Ac proteins and polypeptides,
as well as nucleic acid molecules sufficient for use as hybridization probes to identify
nucleic acid molecules encoding proteins with regions of sequence homology. As used
herein, the term “nucleic acid molecule” is intended to include DNA molecules (e.g.,
recombinant DNA, cDNA or genomic DNA) and RNA molecules (e.g., mRNA) and
analogs of the DNA or RNA generated using nucleotide analogs. The nucleic acid
molecule can be single-stranded or double-stranded, but preferably is double-stranded
DNA.
Nucleotide sequences encoding the proteins of the present invention include the sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO:1, 2, 3, 4, or 5, and complements thereof. By “complement” is intended a nucleotide sequence that is sufficiently complementary to a given nucleotide sequence such that it can hybridize to the given nucleotide sequence to thereby form a stable duplex. The corresponding amino acid sequence for the pesticidal protein encoded by these nucleotide sequences is set forth in SEQ ID NO:6.

Nucleic acid molecules that are fragments of these nucleotide sequences encoding Cry1Ac pesticidal proteins are also encompassed by the present invention. By “fragment” is intended a portion of the nucleotide sequence encoding a pesticidal protein. A fragment of a nucleotide sequence may encode a biologically active portion of a pesticidal protein, or it may be a fragment that can be used as a hybridization probe or PCR primer using methods disclosed below. Nucleic acid molecules that are fragments of a nucleotide sequence encoding a pesticidal protein comprise at least about 50, 100, 200, 300, 400, 500, 600, 700, 800, 900, 1000, 1100, 1200, 1300, 1350, 1400 contiguous nucleotides, or up to the number of nucleotides present in a full-length nucleotide sequence encoding the Cry1Ac protein disclosed herein, depending upon the intended use. By “contiguous” nucleotides is intended nucleotide residues that are immediately adjacent to one another. Fragments of the nucleotide sequences of the present invention will encode protein fragments that retain the biological activity of the pesticidal protein and, hence, retain pesticidal activity. By “retains activity” is intended that the fragment will encode a protein that has at least about 30%, at least about 50%, at least about 70%, 80%, 90%, 95% or higher of the pesticidal activity of the pesticidal protein. In one embodiment, the pesticidal activity is Lepidopteran activity. Methods for measuring pesticidal activity are well known in the art. See, for example, Czapla and Lang (1990) J. Econ. Entomol. 83:2480-2485; Andrews et al. (1988) Biochem. J. 252:199-206; Marrone et al. (1985) J. of Economic Entomology 78:290-293; and U.S. Patent No. 5,743,477, all of which are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety.

A fragment of a nucleotide sequence encoding a Cry1Ac pesticidal protein that encodes a biologically active portion of a protein of the invention will encode at least about 15, 25, 30, 50, 75, 100, 125, 150, 175, 200, 250, 300, 350, 400, 450 contiguous amino acids, or up to the total number of amino acids present in a full-length Cry1A protein.

In various embodiments, the synthetic cry1Ac nucleotide sequences described herein result in the production of a level of Cry1Ac in an organism that is at least about
5% higher, at least about 10% higher, at least about 20%, at least about 30%, at least about 40%, at least about 50% higher, at least about 60%, at least about 70%, at least about 80%, at least about 90% higher, at least about 100%, at least about 150%, at least about 200%, or higher, or at least about 3-fold, at least about 4-fold, at least about 5-fold, at least about 6-fold, at least about 7-fold, at least about 8-fold, at least about 9-fold, at least about 10-fold, or higher, than the level of Cry1Ac protein produced in an organism expressing the native cry1Ac gene (GENBANK Accession No. BTU87793; SEQ ID NO:8).

Preferred pesticidal proteins of the present invention are encoded by a nucleotide sequence sufficiently identical to the nucleotide sequence of SEQ ID NO:1, 2, 3, 4, or 5. By “sufficiently identical” is intended an amino acid or nucleotide sequence that has at least about 60% or 65% sequence identity, about 70% or 75% sequence identity, about 80% or 85% sequence identity, about 90%, 91%, 92%, 93%, 94%, 95%, 96%, 97%, 98%, 99% or greater sequence identity compared to a reference sequence using one of the alignment programs described herein using standard parameters. One of skill in the art will recognize that these values can be appropriately adjusted to determine corresponding identity of proteins encoded by two nucleotide sequences by taking into account codon degeneracy, amino acid similarity, reading frame positioning, and the like.

To determine the percent identity of two amino acid sequences or of two nucleic acids, the sequences are aligned for optimal comparison purposes. The percent identity between the two sequences is a function of the number of identical positions shared by the sequences (i.e., percent identity = number of identical positions/total number of positions (e.g., overlapping positions) x 100). In one embodiment, the two sequences are the same length. The percent identity between two sequences can be determined using techniques similar to those described below, with or without allowing gaps. In calculating percent identity, typically exact matches are counted.

The determination of percent identity between two sequences can be accomplished using a mathematical algorithm. A nonlimiting example of a mathematical algorithm utilized for the comparison of two sequences is the algorithm of Karlin and Altschul (1990) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 87:2264, modified as in Karlin and Altschul (1993) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 90:5873-5877. Such an algorithm is incorporated into the BLASTN and BLASTX programs of Altschul et al. (1990) J. Mol. Biol. 215:403. BLAST nucleotide searches can be performed with the BLASTN program, score = 100, wordlength = 12, to obtain nucleotide sequences homologous to pesticidal-like nucleic acid molecules of the invention. BLAST protein searches can be performed with the
BLASTX program, score = 50, wordlength = 3, to obtain amino acid sequences homologous to pesticidal protein molecules of the invention. To obtain gapped alignments for comparison purposes, Gapped BLAST (in BLAST 2.0) can be utilized as described in Altschul et al. (1997) Nucleic Acids Res. 25:3389. Alternatively, PSI-Blast can be used to perform an iterated search that detects distant relationships between molecules. See Altschul et al. (1997) supra. When utilizing BLAST, Gapped BLAST, and PSI-Blast programs, the default parameters of the respective programs (e.g., BLASTX and BLASTN) can be used. Alignment may also be performed manually by inspection.

Another non-limiting example of a mathematical algorithm utilized for the comparison of sequences is the ClustalW algorithm (Higgins et al. (1994) Nucleic Acids Res. 22:4673-4680). ClustalW compares sequences and aligns the entirety of the amino acid or DNA sequence, and thus can provide data about the sequence conservation of the entire amino acid sequence. The ClustalW algorithm is used in several commercially available DNA/amin acid analysis software packages, such as the ALIGNX module of the Vector NTI Program Suite (Invitrogen Corporation, Carlsbad, CA). After alignment of amino acid sequences with ClustalW, the percent amino acid identity can be assessed. A non-limiting example of a software program useful for analysis of ClustalW alignments is GENEDOC™. GENEDOC™ (Karl Nicholas) allows assessment of amino acid (or DNA) similarity and identity between multiple proteins. Another non-limiting example of a mathematical algorithm utilized for the comparison of sequences is the algorithm of Myers and Miller (1988) CABIOS 4:11-17. Such an algorithm is incorporated into the ALIGN program (version 2.0), which is part of the GCG Wisconsin Genetics Software Package, Version 10 (available from Accelrys, Inc., 9685 Scranton Rd., San Diego, CA, USA). When utilizing the ALIGN program for comparing amino acid sequences, a PAM120 weight residue table, a gap length penalty of 12, and a gap penalty of 4 can be used.

Unless otherwise stated, GAP Version 10, which uses the algorithm of Needleman and Wunsch (1970) J. Mol. Biol. 48(3):443-453, will be used to determine sequence identity or similarity using the following parameters: % identity and % similarity for a nucleotide sequence using GAP Weight of 50 and Length Weight of 3, and the nwsgapdna.cmp scoring matrix; % identity or % similarity for an amino acid sequence using GAP weight of 8 and length weight of 2, and the BLOSUM62 scoring program. Equivalent programs may also be used. By “equivalent program” is intended any sequence comparison program that, for any two sequences in question, generates an alignment having identical nucleotide residue matches and an identical percent sequence
identity when compared to the corresponding alignment generated by GAP Version 10.

The invention also encompasses variant nucleic acid molecules. “Variants” of the pesticidal protein encoding nucleotide sequences include those sequences that encode the pesticidal proteins disclosed herein but that differ conservatively because of the degeneracy of the genetic code as well as those that are sufficiently identical as discussed above. Naturally occurring allelic variants can be identified with the use of well-known molecular biology techniques, such as polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and hybridization techniques as outlined below. Variant nucleotide sequences also include synthetically derived nucleotide sequences that have been generated, for example, by using site-directed mutagenesis but which still encode the pesticidal proteins disclosed in the present invention as discussed below. Variant proteins encompassed by the present invention are biologically active, that is they continue to possess the desired biological activity of the native protein, that is, pesticidal activity. By “retains activity” is intended that the variant will have at least about 30%, at least about 50%, at least about 70%, or at least about 80% of the pesticidal activity of the native Cry1Ac protein. Methods for measuring pesticidal activity are well known in the art. See, for example, Czapla and Lang (1990) J. Econ. Entomol. 83: 2480-2485; Andrews et al. (1988) Biochem. J. 252:199-206; Marrone et al. (1985) J. of Economic Entomology 78:290-293; and U.S. Patent No. 5,743,477, all of which are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety.

The skilled artisan will further appreciate that changes can be introduced by mutation of the nucleotide sequences of the invention thereby leading to changes in the amino acid sequence of the encoded pesticidal proteins, without altering the biological activity of the proteins. Thus, variant isolated nucleic acid molecules can be created by introducing one or more nucleotide substitutions, additions, or deletions into the corresponding nucleotide sequence disclosed herein, such that one or more amino acid substitutions, additions or deletions are introduced into the encoded protein. Mutations can be introduced by standard techniques, such as site-directed mutagenesis and PCR-mediated mutagenesis. Such variant nucleotide sequences are also encompassed by the present invention.

For example, conservative amino acid substitutions may be made at one or more, predicted, nonessential amino acid residues. A “nonessential” amino acid residue is a residue that can be altered from the wild-type sequence of a pesticidal protein without altering the biological activity, whereas an “essential” amino acid residue is required for biological activity. A “conservative amino acid substitution” is one in which the amino
acid residue is replaced with an amino acid residue having a similar side chain. Families of amino acid residues having similar side chains have been defined in the art. These families include amino acids with basic side chains (e.g., lysine, arginine, histidine), acidic side chains (e.g., aspartic acid, glutamic acid), uncharged polar side chains (e.g., glycine, asparagine, glutamine, serine, threonine, tyrosine, cysteine), nonpolar side chains (e.g., alanine, valine, leucine, isoleucine, proline, phenylalanine, methionine, tryptophan), beta-branched side chains (e.g., threonine, valine, isoleucine) and aromatic side chains (e.g., tyrosine, phenylalanine, tryptophan, histidine).

Delta-endotoxins generally have five conserved sequence domains, and three conserved structural domains (see, for example, de Maagd et al. (2001) Trends Genetics 17:193-199). The first conserved structural domain consists of seven alpha helices and is involved in membrane insertion and pore formation. Domain II consists of three beta-sheets arranged in a Greek key configuration, and domain III consists of two antiparallel beta-sheets in “jelly-roll” formation (de Maagd et al., 2001, supra). Domains II and III are involved in receptor recognition and binding, and are therefore considered determinants of toxin specificity.

Amino acid substitutions may be made in nonconserved regions that retain function. In general, such substitutions would not be made for conserved amino acid residues, or for amino acid residues residing within a conserved motif, where such residues are essential for protein activity. Examples of residues that are conserved and that may be essential for protein activity include, for example, residues that are identical between all proteins contained in an alignment of similar or related toxins to the sequences of the invention. Examples of residues that are conserved but that may allow conservative amino acid substitutions and still retain activity include, for example, residues that have only conservative substitutions between all proteins contained in an alignment of similar or related toxins to the sequences of the invention. However, one of skill in the art would understand that functional variants may have minor conserved or nonconserved alterations in the conserved residues.

Alternatively, variant nucleotide sequences can be made by introducing mutations randomly along all or part of the coding sequence, such as by saturation mutagenesis, and the resultant mutants can be screened for ability to confer pesticidal activity to identify mutants that retain activity. Following mutagenesis, the encoded protein can be expressed recombinantly, and the activity of the protein can be determined using standard assay techniques.

In a hybridization method, all or part of the synthetic cry1Ac nucleotide sequence can be used to screen cDNA or genomic libraries. Methods for construction of such cDNA and genomic libraries are generally known in the art and are disclosed in Sambrook and Russell, 2001, supra. The so-called hybridization probes may be genomic DNA fragments, cDNA fragments, RNA fragments, or other oligonucleotides, and may be labeled with a detectable group such as 32P, or any other detectable marker, such as other radioisotopes, a fluorescent compound, an enzyme, or an enzyme co-factor. Probes for hybridization can be made by labeling synthetic oligonucleotides based on the known synthetic cry1Ac nucleotide sequences disclosed herein. Degenerate primers designed on the basis of conserved nucleotides or amino acid residues in the nucleotide sequence or encoded amino acid sequence can additionally be used. The probe typically comprises a region of nucleotide sequence that hybridizes under stringent conditions to at least about 12, at least about 25, at least about 50, 75, 100, 125, 150, 175, or 200 consecutive nucleotides of the synthetic cry1Ac nucleotide sequences of the invention or a fragment or variant thereof. Methods for the preparation of probes for hybridization are generally known in the art and are disclosed in Sambrook and Russell, 2001, supra herein incorporated by reference.

For example, an entire pesticidal protein sequence disclosed herein, or one or more portions thereof, may be used as a probe capable of specifically hybridizing to corresponding pesticidal protein-like sequences and messenger RNAs. To achieve specific hybridization under a variety of conditions, such probes include sequences that are unique and are preferably at least about 10 nucleotides in length, or at least about 20 nucleotides in length. Such probes may be used to amplify corresponding pesticidal sequences from a chosen organism by PCR. This technique may be used to isolate additional coding sequences from a desired organism or as a diagnostic assay to determine the presence of coding sequences in an organism. Hybridization techniques include hybridization screening of plated DNA libraries (either plaques or colonies; see, for

Hybridization of such sequences may be carried out under stringent conditions. By “stringent conditions” or “stringent hybridization conditions” is intended conditions under which a probe will hybridize to its target sequence to a detectably greater degree than to other sequences (e.g., at least 2-fold over background). Stringent conditions are sequence-dependent and will be different in different circumstances. By controlling the stringency of the hybridization and/or washing conditions, target sequences that are 100% complementary to the probe can be identified (homologous probing). Alternatively, stringency conditions can be adjusted to allow some mismatching in sequences so that lower degrees of similarity are detected (heterologous probing). Generally, a probe is less than about 1000 nucleotides in length, preferably less than 500 nucleotides in length.

Typically, stringent conditions will be those in which the salt concentration is less than about 1.5 M Na ion, typically about 0.01 to 1.0 M Na ion concentration (or other salts) at pH 7.0 to 8.3 and the temperature is at least about 30°C for short probes (e.g., 10 to 50 nucleotides) and at least about 60°C for long probes (e.g., greater than 50 nucleotides). Stringent conditions may also be achieved with the addition of destabilizing agents such as formamide. Exemplary low stringency conditions include hybridization with a buffer solution of 30 to 35% formamide, 1 M NaCl, 1% SDS (sodium dodecyl sulphate) at 37°C, and a wash in 1X to 2X SSC (20X SSC = 3.0 M NaCl/0.3 M trisodium citrate) at 50 to 55°C. Exemplary moderate stringency conditions include hybridization in 40 to 45% formamide, 1.0 M NaCl, 1% SDS at 37°C, and a wash in 0.5X to 1X SSC at 55 to 60°C. Exemplary high stringency conditions include hybridization in 50% formamide, 1 M NaCl, 1% SDS at 37°C, and a wash in 0.1X SSC at 60 to 65°C. Optionally, wash buffers may comprise about 0.1% to about 1% SDS. Duration of hybridization is generally less than about 24 hours, usually about 4 to about 12 hours.

Specificity is typically the function of post-hybridization washes, the critical factors being the ionic strength and temperature of the final wash solution. For DNA-DNA hybrids, the *T*<sub>m</sub> can be approximated from the equation of Meinkoth and Wahl (1984) *Anal. Biochem.* 138:267-284: 

\[ T_m = 81.5^\circ C + 16.6 (\log M) + 0.41 (\%GC) - 0.61 (\% form) - 500/L; \]

where M is the molarity of monovalent cations, %GC is the percentage of guanosine and cytosine nucleotides in the DNA, % form is the percentage of formamide in the hybridization solution, and L is the length of the hybrid in base pairs. The *T*<sub>m</sub> is the temperature (under defined ionic strength and pH) at which 50% of a complementary
target sequence hybridizes to a perfectly matched probe. $T_m$ is reduced by about 1°C for each 1% of mismatching; thus, $T_m$, hybridization, and/or wash conditions can be adjusted to hybridize to sequences of the desired identity. For example, if sequences with $\geq 90\%$ identity are sought, the $T_m$ can be decreased 10°C. Generally, stringent conditions are selected to be about 5°C lower than the thermal melting point ($T_m$) for the specific sequence and its complement at a defined ionic strength and pH. However, severely stringent conditions can utilize a hybridization and/or wash at 1, 2, 3, or 4°C lower than the thermal melting point ($T_m$); moderately stringent conditions can utilize a hybridization and/or wash at 6, 7, 8, 9, or 10°C lower than the thermal melting point ($T_m$); low stringency conditions can utilize a hybridization and/or wash at 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, or 20°C lower than the thermal melting point ($T_m$). Using the equation, hybridization and wash compositions, and desired $T_m$, those of ordinary skill will understand that variations in the stringency of hybridization and/or wash solutions are inherently described. If the desired degree of mismatching results in a $T_m$ of less than 45°C (aqueous solution) or 32°C (formamide solution), it is preferred to increase the SSC concentration so that a higher temperature can be used. An extensive guide to the hybridization of nucleic acids is found in Tijssen (1993) *Laboratory Techniques in Biochemistry and Molecular Biology—Hybridization with Nucleic Acid Probes*, Part I, Chapter 2 (Elsevier, New York); and Ausubel et al., eds. (1995) *Current Protocols in Molecular Biology*, Chapter 2 (Greene Publishing and Wiley-Interscience, New York). See Sambrook et al. (1989) *Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual* (2d ed., Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, New York).

**Isolated Proteins and Variants and Fragments Thereof**

Variant Cry1Ac proteins are also encompassed within the present invention. By “variant Cry1Ac protein” is intended a biologically-active variant or fragment of the amino acid sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO:6. “Fragments” or “biologically active portions” include polypeptide fragments comprising amino acid sequences sufficiently identical to the amino acid sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO:6, and that exhibit pesticidal activity. A biologically active portion of a Cry1Ac protein can be a polypeptide that is, for example, 10, 25, 50, 100, 150, 200, 250 or more amino acids in length. Such biologically active portions can be prepared by recombinant techniques and evaluated for pesticidal activity. Methods for measuring pesticidal activity are well known in the art. See, for example, Czapla and Lang (1990) *J. Econ. Entomol.* 83:2480-2485; Andrews et al. (1988)
Biochem. J. 252:199-206; Marrone et al. (1985) J. of Economic Entomology 78:290-293; and U.S. Patent No. 5,743,477, all of which are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety. As used here, a fragment comprises at least 8 contiguous amino acids of SEQ ID NO:6. The invention encompasses other fragments, however, such as any fragment in the protein greater than about 10, 20, 30, 50, 100, 150, 200, 250, or 300 amino acids.

By “variants” is intended proteins or polypeptides having an amino acid sequence that is at least about 60%, 65%, about 70%, 75%, about 80%, 85%, about 90%, 91%, 92%, 93%, 94%, 95%, 96%, 97%, 98% or 99% identical to the amino acid sequence of NO:5. Variants also include polypeptides encoded by a nucleic acid molecule that hybridizes to the nucleic acid molecule of SEQ ID NO:1, 2, 3, 4, or 5, or a complement thereof, under stringent conditions. Variants include polypeptides that differ in amino acid sequence due to mutagenesis. Variant proteins encompassed by the present invention are biologically active, that is they continue to possess the desired biological activity of the native protein, that is, retaining pesticidal activity. Methods for measuring pesticidal activity are well known in the art. See, for example, Czapla and Lang (1990) J. Econ. Entomol. 83:2480-2485; Andrews et al. (1988) Biochem. J. 252:199-206; Marrone et al. (1985) J. of Economic Entomology 78:290-293; and U.S. Patent No. 5,743,477, all of which are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety.

Bacterial genes, such as the synthetic cry1Ac genes of this invention, quite often possess multiple methionine initiation codons in proximity to the start of the open reading frame. Often, translation initiation at one or more of these start codons will lead to generation of a functional protein. These start codons can include ATG codons. However, bacteria such as Bacillus sp. also recognize the codon GTG as a start codon, and proteins that initiate translation at GTG codons contain a methionine at the first amino acid.

Furthermore, it is not often determined a priori which of these codons are used naturally in the bacterium. Thus, it is understood that use of one of the alternate methionine codons in the synthetic nucleotide sequences disclosed herein may also lead to generation of pesticidal proteins.

Antibodies to the polypeptides of the present invention, or to variants or fragments thereof, are also encompassed. Methods for producing antibodies are well known in the art (see, for example, Harlow and Lane (1988) Antibodies: A Laboratory Manual, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, NY; U.S. Patent No. 4,196,265).
Altered or Improved Variants

It is recognized that synthetic cry1Ac nucleotide sequences disclosed herein may be further altered by various methods, and that these alterations may result in DNA sequences encoding proteins with amino acid sequences different than the native Cry1Ac protein. This protein may be altered in various ways including amino acid substitutions, deletions, truncations, and insertions of one or more amino acids of NO:5, including up to about 2, about 3, about 4, about 5, about 6, about 7, about 8, about 9, about 10, about 15, about 20, about 25, about 30, about 35, about 40, about 45, about 50, about 55, about 60, about 65, about 70, about 75, about 80, about 85, about 90, about 100, about 105, about 110, about 115, about 120, about 125, about 130, about 135, about 140, about 145, about 150, about 155, or more amino acid substitutions, deletions or insertions. Methods for such manipulations are generally known in the art. For example, amino acid sequence variants of Cry1Ac can be prepared by mutations in the DNA. This may also be accomplished by one of several forms of mutagenesis and/or in directed evolution. In some aspects, the changes encoded in the amino acid sequence will not substantially affect the function of the protein. Such variants will possess the desired pesticidal activity. However, it is understood that the ability of a pesticidal protein to confer pesticidal activity may be improved by the use of such techniques upon the compositions of this invention. For example, one may express a pesticidal protein in host cells that exhibit high rates of base misincorporation during DNA replication, such as XL-1 Red (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA). After propagation in such strains, one can isolate the DNA (for example by preparing plasmid DNA, or by amplifying by PCR and cloning the resulting PCR fragment into a vector), culture the pesticidal protein mutations in a non-mutagenic strain, and identify mutated genes with pesticidal activity, for example by performing an assay to test for pesticidal activity. Generally, the protein is mixed and used in feeding assays. See, for example Marrone et al. (1985) J. of Economic Entomology 78:290-293. Such assays can include contacting plants with one or more pests and determining the plant’s ability to survive and/or cause the death of the pests. Examples of mutations that result in increased toxicity are found in Schnepf et al. (1998) Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 62:775-806.

Alternatively, alterations may be made to the protein sequence of many proteins at the amino or carboxy terminus without substantially affecting activity. This can include insertions, deletions, or alterations introduced by modern molecular methods, such as PCR, including PCR amplifications that alter or extend the protein coding sequence by virtue of inclusion of amino acid encoding sequences in the oligonucleotides utilized in the
PCR amplification. Alternatively, the protein sequences added can include entire protein-coding sequences, such as those used commonly in the art to generate protein fusions. Such fusion proteins are often used to (1) increase expression of a protein of interest (2) introduce a binding domain, enzymatic activity, or epitope to facilitate either protein purification, protein detection, or other experimental uses known in the art (3) target secretion or translation of a protein to a subcellular organelle, such as the periplasmic space of Gram-negative bacteria, or the endoplasmic reticulum of eukaryotic cells, the latter of which often results in glycosylation of the protein.

Variant nucleotide and amino acid sequences of the present invention also encompass sequences derived from mutagenic and recombinogenic procedures such as DNA shuffling. With such a procedure, one or more different pesticidal protein coding regions can be used to create a new pesticidal protein possessing the desired properties. In this manner, libraries of recombinant polynucleotides are generated from a population of related sequence polynucleotides comprising sequence regions that have substantial sequence identity and can be homologously recombined in vitro or in vivo. For example, using this approach, sequence motifs encoding a domain of interest may be shuffled between a synthetic cry1Ac sequence of the invention and other known pesticidal genes to obtain a new gene coding for a protein with an improved property of interest, such as an increased insecticidal activity. Strategies for such DNA shuffling are known in the art.

Vectors

A pesticidal sequence of the invention may be provided in an expression cassette for expression in a plant of interest. By “plant expression cassette” is intended a DNA construct that is capable of resulting in the expression of a protein from an open reading frame in a plant cell. Typically these contain a promoter and a coding sequence. Often, such constructs will also contain a 3’ untranslated region. Such constructs may contain a “signal sequence” or “leader sequence” to facilitate co-translational or post-translational transport of the peptide to certain intracellular structures such as the chloroplast (or other plastid), endoplasmic reticulum, or Golgi apparatus.

By “signal sequence” is intended a sequence that is known or suspected to result in cotranslational or post-translational peptide transport across the cell membrane. In eukaryotes, this typically involves secretion into the Golgi apparatus, with some resulting glycosylation. Insecticidal toxins of bacteria are often synthesized as protoxins, which are protolytically activated in the gut of the target pest (Chang (1987) Methods Enzymol. 153:507-516). In some embodiments of the present invention, the signal sequence is located in the native sequence, or may be derived from a sequence of the invention. By “leader sequence” is intended any sequence that when translated, results in an amino acid sequence sufficient to trigger co-translational transport of the peptide chain to a subcellular organelle. Thus, this includes leader sequences targeting transport and/or glycosylation by passage into the endoplasmic reticulum, passage to vacuoles, plastids including chloroplasts, mitochondria, and the like.

By “plant transformation vector” is intended a DNA molecule that is necessary for efficient transformation of a plant cell. Such a molecule may consist of one or more plant expression cassettes, and may be organized into more than one “vector” DNA molecule. For example, binary vectors are plant transformation vectors that utilize two non-contiguous DNA vectors to encode all requisite cis- and trans-acting functions for transformation of plant cells (Hellens and Mullineaux (2000) Trends in Plant Science 5:446-451). “Vector” refers to a nucleic acid construct designed for transfer between different host cells. “Expression vector” refers to a vector that has the ability to incorporate, integrate and express heterologous DNA sequences or fragments in a foreign cell. The cassette will include 5’ and 3’ regulatory sequences operably linked to a sequence of the invention. By “operably linked” is intended a functional linkage between a promoter and a second sequence, wherein the promoter sequence initiates and mediates transcription of the DNA sequence corresponding to the second sequence. Generally,
operably linked means that the nucleic acid sequences being linked are contiguous and, where necessary to join two protein coding regions, contiguous and in the same reading frame. The cassette may additionally contain at least one additional gene to be cotransformed into the organism. Alternatively, the additional gene(s) can be provided on multiple expression cassettes.

“Promoter” refers to a nucleic acid sequence that functions to direct transcription of a downstream coding sequence. The promoter together with other transcriptional and translational regulatory nucleic acid sequences (also termed “control sequences”) are necessary for the expression of a DNA sequence of interest.

Such an expression cassette is provided with a plurality of restriction sites for insertion of the pesticidal sequence to be under the transcriptional regulation of the regulatory regions.

The expression cassette will include in the 5'-3' direction of transcription, a transcriptional and translational initiation region (i.e., a promoter), a DNA sequence of the invention, and a translational and transcriptional termination region (i.e., termination region) functional in plants. The promoter may be native or analogous, or foreign or heterologous, to the plant host and/or to the DNA sequence of the invention. Additionally, the promoter may be the natural sequence or alternatively a synthetic sequence. Where the promoter is “native” or “homologous” to the plant host, it is intended that the promoter is found in the native plant into which the promoter is introduced. Where the promoter is “foreign” or “heterologous” to the DNA sequence of the invention, it is intended that the promoter is not the native or naturally occurring promoter for the operably linked DNA sequence of the invention.

The termination region may be native with the transcriptional initiation region, may be native with the operably linked DNA sequence of interest, may be native with the plant host, or may be derived from another source (i.e., foreign or heterologous to the promoter, the DNA sequence of interest, the plant host, or any combination thereof). Convenient termination regions are available from the Ti-plasmid of A. tumefaciens, such as the octopine synthase and nopaline synthase termination regions. See also Guerineau et al. (1991) Mol. Gen. Genet. 262:141-144; Proudfoot (1991) Cell 64:671-674; Sanfacon et al. (1991) Genes Dev. 5:141-149; Mogen et al. (1990) Plant Cell 2:1261-1272; Munroe et al. (1990) Gene 91:151-158; Ballas et al. (1989) Nucleic Acids Res. 17:7891-7903; and Joshi et al. (1987) Nucleic Acid Res. 15:9627-9639.
In one aspect of the invention, synthetic DNA sequences are designed for a given polypeptide, such as the synthetic cry1Ac DNA sequences described herein. Expression of the open reading frame of the synthetic DNA sequence in a cell results in production of the polypeptide of the invention. Synthetic DNA sequences can be useful to simply remove unwanted restriction endonuclease sites, to facilitate DNA cloning strategies, to alter or remove any potential codon bias, to alter or improve GC content, to remove or alter alternate reading frames, and/or to alter or remove intron/exon splice recognition sites, polyadenylation sites, Shine-Delgarno sequences, unwanted promoter elements and the like that may be present in a native DNA sequence. It is also possible that synthetic DNA sequences may be utilized to introduce other improvements to a DNA sequence, such as introduction of an intron sequence, creation of a DNA sequence that in expressed as a protein fusion to organelle targeting sequences, such as chloroplast transit peptides, apoplast/vacuolar targeting peptides, or peptide sequences that result in retention of the resulting peptide in the endoplasmic reticulum. Synthetic genes can also be synthesized using host cell-preferred codons for improved expression, or may be synthesized using codons at a host-preferred codon usage frequency. See, for example, Campbell and Gowri (1990) Plant Physiol. 92:1-11; U.S. Patent Nos. 6,320,100; 6,075,185; 5,380,831; and 5,436,391, U.S. Published Application Nos. 20040005600 and 20010003849, and Murray et al. (1989) Nucleic Acids Res. 17:477-498, herein incorporated by reference.

In one embodiment, the pesticidal protein is targeted to the chloroplast for expression. In this manner, where the pesticidal protein is not directly inserted into the chloroplast, the expression cassette will additionally contain a nucleic acid encoding a transit peptide to direct the pesticidal protein to the chloroplasts. Such transit peptides are known in the art. See, for example, Von Heijne et al. (1991) Plant Mol. Biol. Rep. 9:104-126; Clark et al. (1989) J. Biol. Chem. 264:17544-17550; Della-Cioppa et al. (1987) Plant Physiol. 84:965-968; Romer et al. (1993) Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 196:1414-1421; and Shah et al. (1986) Science 233:478-481.

The pesticidal gene to be targeted to the chloroplast may be optimized for expression in the chloroplast to account for differences in codon usage between the plant nucleus and this organelle. In this manner, the nucleic acids of interest may be synthesized using chloroplast-preferred codons. See, for example, U.S. Patent No. 5,380,831, herein incorporated by reference.
Plant Transformation

Methods of the invention involve introducing a nucleotide construct into a plant. By “introducing” is intended to present to the plant the nucleotide construct in such a manner that the construct gains access to the interior of a cell of the plant. The methods of the invention do not require that a particular method for introducing a nucleotide construct to a plant is used, only that the nucleotide construct gains access to the interior of at least one cell of the plant. Methods for introducing nucleotide constructs into plants are known in the art including, but not limited to, stable transformation methods, transient transformation methods, and virus-mediated methods.

By “plant” is intended whole plants, plant organs (e.g., leaves, stems, roots, etc.), seeds, plant cells, propagules, embryos and progeny of the same. Plant cells can be differentiated or undifferentiated (e.g. callus, suspension culture cells, protoplasts, leaf cells, root cells, phloem cells, pollen).

“Transgenic plants” or “transformed plants” or “stably transformed” plants or cells or tissues refers to plants that have incorporated or integrated exogenous nucleic acid sequences or DNA fragments into the plant cell. These nucleic acid sequences include those that are exogenous, or not present in the untransformed plant cell, as well as those that may be endogenous, or present in the untransformed plant cell. “Heterologous” generally refers to the nucleic acid sequences that are not endogenous to the cell or part of the native genome in which they are present, and have been added to the cell by infection, transfection, microinjection, electroporation, microprojection, or the like.

Transformation of plant cells can be accomplished by one of several techniques known in the art. The pesticidal gene of the invention may be modified to obtain or enhance expression in plant cells. Typically a construct that expresses such a protein would contain a promoter to drive transcription of the gene, as well as a 3' untranslated region to allow transcription termination and polyadenylation. The organization of such constructs is well known in the art. In some instances, it may be useful to engineer the gene such that the resulting peptide is secreted, or otherwise targeted within the plant cell. For example, the gene can be engineered to contain a signal peptide to facilitate transfer of the peptide to the endoplasmic reticulum. It may also be preferable to engineer the plant expression cassette to contain an intron, such that mRNA processing of the intron is required for expression.

Typically this “plant expression cassette” will be inserted into a “plant transformation vector”. This plant transformation vector may be comprised of one or more
DNA vectors needed for achieving plant transformation. For example, it is a common practice in the art to utilize plant transformation vectors that are comprised of more than one contiguous DNA segment. These vectors are often referred to in the art as “binary vectors”. Binary vectors as well as vectors with helper plasmids are most often used for Agrobacterium-mediated transformation, where the size and complexity of DNA segments needed to achieve efficient transformation is quite large, and it is advantageous to separate functions onto separate DNA molecules. Binary vectors typically contain a plasmid vector that contains the cis-acting sequences required for T-DNA transfer (such as left border and right border), a selectable marker that is engineered to be capable of expression in a plant cell, and a “gene of interest” (a gene engineered to be capable of expression in a plant cell for which generation of transgenic plants is desired). Also present on this plasmid vector are sequences required for bacterial replication. The cis-acting sequences are arranged in a fashion to allow efficient transfer into plant cells and expression therein. For example, the selectable marker gene and the pesticidal gene are located between the left and right borders. Often a second plasmid vector contains the trans-acting factors that mediate T-DNA transfer from Agrobacterium to plant cells. This plasmid often contains the virulence functions (Vir genes) that allow infection of plant cells by Agrobacterium, and transfer of DNA by cleavage at border sequences and vir-mediated DNA transfer, as is understood in the art (Hellens and Mullineaux (2000) Trends in Plant Science 5:446-451). Several types of Agrobacterium strains (e.g. LBA4404, GV3101, EHA101, EHA105, etc.) can be used for plant transformation. The second plasmid vector is not necessary for transforming the plants by other methods such as microprojection, microinjection, electroporation, polyethylene glycol, etc.

In general, plant transformation methods involve transferring heterologous DNA into target plant cells (e.g. immature or mature embryos, suspension cultures, undifferentiated callus, protoplasts, etc.), followed by applying a maximum threshold level of appropriate selection (depending on the selectable marker gene) to recover the transformed plant cells from a group of untransformed cell mass. Explants are typically transferred to a fresh supply of the same medium and cultured routinely. Subsequently, the transformed cells are differentiated into shoots after placing on regeneration medium supplemented with a maximum threshold level of selecting agent. The shoots are then transferred to a selective rooting medium for recovering rooted shoot or plantlet. The transgenic plantlet then grows into a mature plant and produces fertile seeds (e.g. Hiei et al. (1994) The Plant Journal 6:271-282; Ishida et al. (1996) Nature Biotechnology 14:745-
Explants are typically transferred to a fresh supply of the same medium and cultured routinely. A general description of the techniques and methods for generating transgenic plants are found in Ayres and Park (1994) *Critical Reviews in Plant Science* 13:219-239 and Bommineni and Jauhar (1997) *Maydica* 42:107-120. Since the transformed material contains many cells; both transformed and non-transformed cells are present in any piece of subjected target callus or tissue or group of cells. The ability to kill non-transformed cells and allow transformed cells to proliferate results in transformed plant cultures. Often, the ability to remove non-transformed cells is a limitation to rapid recovery of transformed plant cells and successful generation of transgenic plants.

Transformation protocols as well as protocols for introducing nucleotide sequences into plants may vary depending on the type of plant or plant cell, i.e., monocot or dicot, targeted for transformation. Generation of transgenic plants may be performed by one of several methods, including, but not limited to, microinjection, electroporation, direct gene transfer, introduction of heterologous DNA by *Agrobacterium* into plant cells (*Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation), bombardment of plant cells with heterologous foreign DNA adhered to particles, ballistic particle acceleration, aerosol beam transformation (U.S. Published Application No. 20010026941; U.S. Patent No. 4,945,050; International Publication No. WO 91/00915; U.S. Published Application No. 2002015066), Lec1 transformation, and various other non-particle direct-mediated methods to transfer DNA.


Following integration of heterologous foreign DNA into plant cells, one then applies a maximum threshold level of appropriate selection in the medium to kill the untransformed cells and separate and proliferate the putatively transformed cells that survive from this selection treatment by transferring regularly to a fresh medium. By continuous passage and challenge with appropriate selection, one identifies and
proliferates the cells that are transformed with the plasmid vector. Molecular and biochemical methods can then be used to confirm the presence of the integrated heterologous gene of interest into the genome of the transgenic plant.

The cells that have been transformed may be grown into plants in accordance with conventional ways. See, for example, McCormick et al. (1986) Plant Cell Reports 5:81-84. These plants may then be grown, and either pollinated with the same transformed strain or different strains, and the resulting hybrid having constitutive expression of the desired phenotypic characteristic identified. Two or more generations may be grown to ensure that expression of the desired phenotypic characteristic is stably maintained and inherited and then seeds harvested to ensure expression of the desired phenotypic characteristic has been achieved. In this manner, the present invention provides transformed seed (also referred to as “transgenic seed”) having a nucleotide construct of the invention, for example, an expression cassette of the invention, stably incorporated into their genome.

Evaluation of Plant Transformation

Following introduction of heterologous foreign DNA into plant cells, the transformation or integration of heterologous gene in the plant genome is confirmed by various methods such as analysis of nucleic acids, proteins and metabolites associated with the integrated gene.

PCR analysis is a rapid method to screen transformed cells, tissue or shoots for the presence of incorporated gene at the earlier stage before transplanting into the soil (Sambrook and Russell (2001) Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY). PCR is carried out using oligonucleotide primers specific to the gene of interest or Agrobacterium vector background, etc.

Plant transformation may be confirmed by Southern blot analysis of genomic DNA (Sambrook and Russell, 2001, supra). In general, total DNA is extracted from the transformant, digested with appropriate restriction enzymes, fractionated in an agarose gel and transferred to a nitrocellulose or nylon membrane. The membrane or “blot” is then probed with, for example, radiolabeled $^{32}$P target DNA fragment to confirm the integration of introduced gene into the plant genome according to standard techniques (Sambrook and Russell, 2001, supra).
In Northern blot analysis, RNA is isolated from specific tissues of transformant, fractionated in a formaldehyde agarose gel, and blotted onto a nylon filter according to standard procedures that are routinely used in the art (Sambrook and Russell, 2001, supra). Expression of RNA encoded by the pesticidal gene is then tested by hybridizing the filter to a radioactive probe derived from a pesticidal gene, by methods known in the art (Sambrook and Russell, 2001, supra).

Western blot, biochemical assays and the like may be carried out on the transgenic plants to confirm the presence of protein encoded by the pesticidal gene by standard procedures (Sambrook and Russell, 2001, supra) using antibodies that bind to one or more epitopes present on the pesticidal protein.

**Pesticidal Activity in Plants**

In another aspect of the invention, one may generate transgenic plants expressing a pesticidal protein that has pesticidal activity. Methods described above by way of example may be utilized to generate transgenic plants, but the manner in which the transgenic plant cells are generated is not critical to this invention. Methods known or described in the art such as *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation, biolistic transformation, and non-particle-mediated methods may be used at the discretion of the experimenter. Plants expressing a pesticidal protein may be isolated by common methods described in the art, for example by transformation of callus, selection of transformed callus, and regeneration of fertile plants from such transgenic callus. In such process, one may use any gene as a selectable marker so long as its expression in plant cells confers ability to identify or select for transformed cells.

A number of markers have been developed for use with plant cells, such as resistance to chloramphenicol, the aminoglycoside G418, hygromycin, or the like. Other genes that encode a product involved in chloroplast metabolism may also be used as selectable markers. For example, genes that provide resistance to plant herbicides such as glyphosate, bromoxynil, or imidazolinone may find particular use. Such genes have been reported (Stalker *et al.* (1985) *J. Biol. Chem.* 263:6310-6314 (bromoxynil resistance nitrilase gene); and Sathasivan *et al.* (1990) *Nucl. Acids Res.* 18:2188 (AHAS imidazolinone resistance gene). Additionally, the genes disclosed herein are useful as markers to assess transformation of bacterial or plant cells. Methods for detecting the presence of a transgene in a plant, plant organ (e.g., leaves, stems, roots, etc.), seed, plant
cell, propagule, embryo or progeny of the same are well known in the art. In one embodiment, the presence of the transgene is detected by testing for pesticidal activity.

Fertile plants expressing a pesticidal protein may be tested for pesticidal activity, and the plants showing optimal activity selected for further breeding. Methods are available in the art to assay for pest activity. Generally, the protein is mixed and used in feeding assays. See, for example Marrone et al. (1985) *J. of Economic Entomology* 78:290-293.

The present invention may be used for transformation of any plant species, including, but not limited to, monocots and dicots. Examples of plants of interest include, but are not limited to, corn (maize), sorghum, wheat, sunflower, tomato, crucifers, peppers, potato, cotton, rice, soybean, sugarbeet, sugarcane, tobacco, barley, and oilseed rape, *Brassica* sp., alfalfa, rye, millet, safflower, peanuts, sweet potato, cassava, coffee, coconut, pineapple, citrus trees, cocoa, tea, banana, avocado, fig, guava, mango, olive, papaya, cashew, macadamia, almond, oats, vegetables, ornamentals, and conifers.

Vegetables include, but are not limited to, tomatoes, lettuce, green beans, lima beans, peas, and members of the genus *Curcumin* such as cucumber, cantaloupe, and musk melon. Ornamentals include, but are not limited to, azalea, hydrangea, hibiscus, roses, tulips, daffodils, petunias, carnation, poinsettia, and chrysanthemum. Preferably, plants of the present invention are crop plants (for example, maize, sorghum, wheat, sunflower, tomato, crucifers, peppers, potato, cotton, rice, soybean, sugarbeet, sugarcane, tobacco, barley, oilseed rape., etc.).

“Pest” includes but is not limited to, insects, fungi, bacteria, nematodes, mites, ticks, and the like. Insect pests include insects selected from the orders *Coleoptera*, *Diptera*, *Hymenoptera*, *Lepidoptera*, *Mallow* *Homo* *ptera*, *Hemiptera*, *Orthoptera*, *Thysanoptera*, *Dermaptera*, *Isoptera*, *Anoplura*, *Siphonaptera*, *Trichoptera*, etc., particularly *Coleoptera*, *Lepidoptera*, and *Diptera*.

The order *Coleoptera* includes the suborders *Adephaga* and *Polyphaga*. Suborder *Adephaga* includes the superfamilies *Caraboidea* and *Gyrinoidea*, while suborder *Polyphaga* includes the superfamilies *Hydrophioloidea*, *Staphylineoidea*, *Cantaroidae*, *Cleroidea*, *Elateroidea*, *Dascilloidea*, *Dryopoidea*, *Byrrhoidea*, *Cucuoidea*, *Meloeidea*, *Mordelloidea*, *Tenebrinoidea*, *Bostrichoidea*, *Scarabaeoidea*, *Cerambycoidea*, *Chrysomeloidea*, and *Curculionoidea*. Superfamily *Caraboidea* includes the families *Cicindelidae*, *Carabidae*, and *Dytiscidae*. Superfamily *Gyrinoidea* includes the family *Gyrinidae*. Superfamily *Hydrophioloidea* includes the family *Hydrophilidae*. Superfamily
Staphylinioidea includes the families Silphidae and Staphylinaeida. Superfamily Cantharoidea includes the families Cantharidae and Lampyridae. Superfamily Cleroidea includes the families Cleridae and Dermentidae. Superfamily Elateroidea includes the families Elateridae and Buprestidae. Superfamily Cucujoidea includes the family Coccinellidae. Superfamily Meloidea includes the family Meloidae. Superfamily Tenebrionoidea includes the family Tenebrionidae. Superfamily Scarabaeoidea includes the families Passalidae and Scarabaeidae. Superfamily Cerambycidae includes the family Cerambycidae. Superfamily Chrysomeloidea includes the family Chrysomelidae. Superfamily Curculionoidea includes the families Curculionidae and Scolytidae.

The order Diptera includes the Suborders Nematocera, Brachycera, and Cyclorrhapha. Suborder Nematocera includes the families Tipulidae, Psychodidae, Culicidae, Ceratopogonidae, Chironomidae, Simuliidae, Bibionidae, and Cecidomyiidae. Suborder Brachycera includes the families Stratiomyidae, Tabanidae, Therioidea, Asilidae, Mydidae, Bombyliidae, and Dolichopodidae. Suborder Cyclorrhapha includes the Divisions Aschiza and Aschiza. Division Aschiza includes the families Phoridae, Syrphidae, and Conopidae. Division Aschiza includes the Sections Acalyptratae and Calyptratae. Section Acalyptratae includes the families Otitidae, Tephritidae, Agromyzidae, and Drosophilidae. Section Calyptratae includes the families Hippoboscidae, Oestridae, Tachinidae, Anthomyiidae, Muscidae, Calliphoridae, and Sarcophagidae.

The order Lepidoptera includes the families Papilionidae, Pieridae, Lycaenidae, Nymphalidae, Danaidae, Satyridae, Hesperidae, Sphingidae, Saturniidae, Geometridae, Arctiidae, Noctuidae, Lyonchidae, Sesidiidae, and Tineidae.

Insect pests of the invention for the major crops include: Maize: Ostrinia nubilalis, European corn borer; Agrotis ipsilon, black cutworm; Helicoverpa zea, corn earworm; Spodoptera frugiperda, fall armyworm; Diatraea grandiosella, southwestern corn borer; Elasmopalpus lignosellus, lesser cornstalk borer; Diatraea saccharalis, surgarcane borer; Diabrotica virgifera, western corn rootworm; Diabrotica longicornis barberi, northern corn rootworm; Diabrotica undecimpunctata howardi, southern corn rootworm; Melanotus spp., wireworms; Cyclocephala borealis, northern masked chafer (white grub); Cyclocephala immaculata, southern masked chafer (white grub); Popillia japonica, Japanese beetle; Chaetocnema pulicaria, corn flea beetle; Sphenophorus maidis, maize billbug; Rhopalosiphum maidis, corn leaf aphid; Anuraphis maidiradicis, corn root aphid; Blissus leucopterus leucopterus, chinch bug; Melanoplus femurrubrum, redlegged
grasshopper; Melanoplus sanguinipes, migratory grasshopper; Hylemya platura, seedcorn maggot; Agromyza parvicornis, corn blot leafminer; Anaphothrips obscurus, grass thrips; Solenopsis milesta, thief ant; Tetranynchus urticae, twospotted spider mite; Sorghum: Chilo partellus, sorghum borer; Spodoptera frugiperda, fall armyworm; Helicoverpa zea, corn earworm; Elasmopalpus lignosellus, lesser cornstalk borer; Feltia subterranea, granulate cutworm; Phyllophaga crinita, white grub; Eleodes, Conoderus, and Aeolus spp., wireworms; Oulema melanopus, cereal leaf beetle; Chaetocnema pulicaria, corn flea beetle; Sphenophorus maidis, maize billbug; Rhopalosiphum maidis, corn leaf aphid; Sipha flava, yellow sugarcane aphid; Blissus leucopterus leucopterus, chinch bug; Contarinia sorghicola, sorghum midge; Tetranynchus cinnabarinus, carmine spider mite; Tetranynchus urticae, twospotted spider mite; Wheat: Pseudoletia unipunctata, army worm; Spodoptera frugiperda, fall armyworm; Elasmopalpus lignosellus, lesser cornstalk borer; Agrotis orthogonia, western cutworm; Elasmopalpus lignosellus, lesser cornstalk borer; Oulema melanopus, cereal leaf beetle; Hypera punctata, clover leaf weevil; Diabrotica undecimpunctata howardi, southern corn rootworm; Russian wheat aphid; Schizaphis graminum, greenbug; Macrosiphum avenae, English grain aphid; Melanoplus femurrubrum, redlegged grasshopper; Melanoplus differentialis, differential grasshopper; Melanoplus sanguinipes, migratory grasshopper; Mayetiola destructor, Hessian fly; Sitodiplosis mosellana, wheat midge; Meromyza americana, wheat stem maggot; Hylemya coarctata, wheat bulb fly; Frankliniella fusca, tobacco thrips; Cephus cinctus, wheat stem sawfly; Aceria tulipae, wheat curl mite; Sunflower: Saleima helianthana, sunflower bud moth; Homoeosoma electellum, sunflower moth; zygonogramma exclamationis, sunflower beetle; Bothrys gibbosus, carrot beetle; Neolasioidoptera murtfeldtiana, sunflower seed midge; Cotton: Heliothis virescens, cotton budworm; Helicoverpa zea, cotton bollworm; Spodoptera exigua, beet armyworm; Pectinophora gossypiella, pink bollworm; Anthonomus grandis, boll weevil; Aphis gossypii, cotton aphid; Pseudatomoscelis seriatus, cotton fleahopper; Trialeurodes abutilonea, bandedwinged whitefly; Lygus lineolaris, tarnished plant bug; Melanoplus femurrubrum, redlegged grasshopper; Melanoplus differentialis, differential grasshopper; Thrips tabaci, onion thrips; Frankliniella fusca, tobacco thrips; Tetranynchus cinnabarinus, carmine spider mite; Tetranynchus urticae, twospotted spider mite; Rice: Diatraea saccharalis, sugarcane borer; Spodoptera frugiperda, fall armyworm; Helicoverpa zea, corn earworm; Colaspis brunnea, grape colaspis; Lissorhoptrus oryzophilus, rice water weevil; Sitophilus oryzae, rice weevil; Nephrotettix nigrispinus, rice leafhopper; Blissus leucopterus leucopterus,
chinch bug; *Acrosternum hilare*, green stink bug; **Soybean**: *Pseudoplusia includens*, soybean looper; *Anticarsia gemmatalis*, velvetbean caterpillar; *Plathypena scabra*, green cloverworm; *Ostrinia nubilalis*, European corn borer; *Agrotis ipsilon*, black cutworm; *Spodoptera exigua*, beet armyworm; *Heliothis virescens*, cotton budworm; *Helicoverpa zea*, cotton bollworm; *Epilachna varivestis*, Mexican bean beetle; *Myzus persicae*, green peach aphid; *Empoasca fabae*, potato leafhopper; *Acrosternum hilare*, green stink bug; *Melanoplus femurrubrum*, redlegged grasshopper; *Melanoplus differentialis*, differential grasshopper; *Hylemya platura*, seedcorn maggot; *Sericotherps variabilis*, soybean thrips; *Thrips tabaci*, onion thrips; *Tetranychus turkestani*, strawberry spider mite; *Tetranychus urticae*, twospotted spider mite; **Barley**: *Ostrinia nubilalis*, European corn borer; *Agrotis ipsilon*, black cutworm; *Schizaphis graminum*, greenbug; *Blissus leucopterus leucopterus*, chinch bug; *Acrosternum hilare*, green stink bug; *Euschistus servus*, brown stink bug; *Delia platura*, seedcorn maggot; *Mayetiola destructor*, Hessian fly; *Petrobia latens*, brown wheat mite; **Oil Seed Rape**: *Brevicoryne brassicae*, cabbage aphid; *Phyllotreta cruciferae*, flea beetle; *Mamestra configurata*, Bertha armyworm; *Plutella xylostella*, Diamond-back moth; *Delia* ssp., Root maggots.

Nematodes include parasitic nematodes such as root-knot, cyst, and lesion nematodes, including *Heterodera* spp., *Meloidogyne* spp., and *Globodera* spp.; particularly members of the cyst nematodes, including, but not limited to, *Heterodera glycines* (soybean cyst nematode); *Heterodera schachtii* (beet cyst nematode); *Heterodera avenae* (cereal cyst nematode); and *Globodera rostochiensis* and *Globodera pallida* (potato cyst nematodes). Lesion nematodes include *Pratylenchus* spp.

Methods for increasing plant yield

Methods for increasing plant yield are provided. The methods comprise introducing into a plant or plant cell a polynucleotide comprising a synthetic *cry1Ac* nucleotide sequence disclosed herein. As defined herein, the “yield” of the plant refers to the quality and/or quantity of biomass produced by the plant. By “biomass” is intended any measured plant product. An increase in biomass production is any improvement in the yield of the measured plant product. Increasing plant yield has several commercial applications. For example, increasing plant leaf biomass may increase the yield of leafy vegetables for human or animal consumption. Additionally, increasing leaf biomass can be used to increase production of plant-derived pharmaceutical or industrial products. An increase in yield can comprise any statistically significant increase including, but not
limited to, at least a 1% increase, at least a 3% increase, at least a 5% increase, at least a 10% increase, at least a 20% increase, at least a 30%, at least a 50%, at least a 70%, at least a 100% or a greater increase in yield compared to a plant not expressing the synthetic cry1Ac nucleotide sequence.

The following examples are offered by way of illustration and not by way of limitation.

EXPERIMENTAL

Example 1. Synthetic nucleotide sequences encoding Cry1Ac

Synthetic nucleotide sequences encoding Cry1Ac (SEQ ID NO:6) were designed. These sequences are represented herein by SEQ ID NO:1 (synFLCry1Ac), SEQ ID NO:2 (synCry1Ac-variant1), SEQ ID NO:3 (synCry1AcB), SEQ ID NO:4 (synCry1AcC), and SEQ ID NO:5 (optCry1Acv02).

Example 2. Expression of synthetic cry1Ac sequences in Bacillus

The synthetic cry1Ac sequence is amplified by PCR and cloned into the Bacillus expression vector pAX916 by methods well known in the art. The resulting clone is assayed for expression of Cry1Ac protein after transformation into cells of a cry(-) Bacillus thuringiensis strain. A Bacillus strain containing the synCry1Ac clone and expressing the Cry1Ac insecticidal protein is grown in, for example, CYS media (10 g/l Bacto-casitone; 3 g/l yeast extract; 6 g/l KH₂PO₄; 14 g/l K₂HPO₄; 0.5 mM MgSO₄; 0.05 mM MnCl₂; 0.05 mM FeSO₄), until sporulation is evident by microscopic examination. Samples are prepared, and analyzed by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE).

Example 3. Assays for Pesticidal Activity

The synthetic cry1Ac nucleotide sequences of the invention can be tested for their ability to produce pesticidal proteins. The ability of a pesticidal protein to act as a pesticide upon a pest is often assessed in a number of ways. One way well known in the art is to perform a feeding assay. In such a feeding assay, one exposes the pest to a sample containing either compounds to be tested, or control samples. Often this is performed by placing the material to be tested, or a suitable dilution of such material, onto a material that the pest will ingest, such as an artificial diet. The material to be tested may be composed of a liquid, solid, or slurry. The material to be tested may be placed upon the
surface and then allowed to dry. Alternatively, the material to be tested may be mixed with a molten artificial diet, then dispensed into the assay chamber. The assay chamber may be, for example, a cup, a dish, or a well of a microtiter plate.

Assays for sucking pests (for example aphids) may involve separating the test material from the insect by a partition, ideally a portion that can be pierced by the sucking mouth parts of the sucking insect, to allow ingestion of the test material. Often the test material is mixed with a feeding stimulant, such as sucrose, to promote ingestion of the test compound.

Other types of assays can include microinjection of the test material into the mouth, or gut of the pest, as well as development of transgenic plants, followed by test of the ability of the pest to feed upon the transgenic plant. Plant testing may involve isolation of the plant parts normally consumed, for example, small cages attached to a leaf, or isolation of entire plants in cages containing insects.

Other methods and approaches to assay pests are known in the art, and can be found, for example in Robertson and Preisler, eds. (1992) Pesticide bioassays with arthropods, CRC, Boca Raton, FL. Alternatively, assays are commonly described in the journals Arthropod Management Tests and Journal of Economic Entomology or by discussion with members of the Entomological Society of America (ESA).

Example 4. Vectoring of *syncryl Ac* Genes for Plant Expression

The coding regions of the invention are connected with appropriate promoter and terminator sequences for expression in plants. Such sequences are well known in the art and may include the rice actin promoter or maize ubiquitin promoter for expression in monocots, the *Arabidopsis* UBQ3 promoter or CaMV 35S promoter for expression in dicots, and the nos or PinII terminators. Techniques for producing and confirming promoter – gene – terminator constructs also are well known in the art.

In one aspect of the invention, synthetic DNA sequences are designed and generated. These synthetic sequences have altered nucleotide sequence relative to the parent sequence, but encode proteins that are essentially identical to the parent Cry1Ac protein (e.g., SEQ ID NO:1, 2, 3, 4, or 5).

In another aspect of the invention, modified versions of the synthetic genes are designed such that the resulting peptide is targeted to a plant organelle, such as the endoplasmic reticulum or the apoplast. Peptide sequences known to result in targeting of fusion proteins to plant organelles are known in the art. For example, the N-terminal
region of the acid phosphatase gene from the White Lupin *Lupinus albus* (GENEBANK® ID GI:14276838, Miller *et al.* (2001) *Plant Physiology* 127: 594-606) is known in the art to result in endoplasmic reticulum targeting of heterologous proteins. If the resulting fusion protein also contains an endoplasmic reticulum retention sequence comprising the peptide N-terminus-lysine-aspartic acid-glutamic acid-leucine (i.e., the “KDEL” motif (SEQ ID NO:7)) at the C-terminus, the fusion protein will be targeted to the endoplasmic reticulum. If the fusion protein lacks an endoplasmic reticulum targeting sequence at the C-terminus, the protein will be targeted to the endoplasmic reticulum, but will ultimately be sequestered in the apoplast.

Thus, this gene encodes a fusion protein that contains the N-terminal thirty-one amino acids of the acid phosphatase gene from the White Lupin *Lupinus albus* (GENEBANK® ID GI:14276838, Miller *et al.*, 2001, *supra*) fused to the N-terminus of the Cry1Ac sequence, as well as the KDEL sequence at the C-terminus. Thus, the resulting protein is predicted to be targeted the plant endoplasmic reticulum upon expression in a plant cell.

The plant expression cassettes described above are combined with an appropriate plant selectable marker to aid in the selection of transformed cells and tissues, and ligated into plant transformation vectors. These may include binary vectors from *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation or simple plasmid vectors for aerosol or biolistic transformation.

**Example 5. Vectoring of *syncry1Ac* genes for Plant Expression**

The coding region DNA of the *syncry1Ac* genes of the invention are operably connected with appropriate promoter and terminator sequences for expression in plants. Such sequences are well known in the art and may include the rice actin promoter or maize ubiquitin promoter for expression in monocots, the *Arabidopsis* UBQ3 promoter or CaMV 35S promoter for expression in dicots, and the nos or PinII terminators. Techniques for producing and confirming promoter – gene – terminator constructs also are well known in the art.

The plant expression cassettes described above are combined with an appropriate plant selectable marker to aid in the selections of transformed cells and tissues, and ligated into plant transformation vectors. These may include binary vectors from *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation or simple plasmid vectors for aerosol or biolistic transformation.
Example 6. Transformation of Maize Cells with the pesticidal protein genes described herein

Maize ears are best collected 8-12 days after pollination. Embryos are isolated from the ears, and those embryos 0.8-1.5 mm in size are preferred for use in transformation. Embryos are plated scutellum side-up on a suitable incubation media, such as DN62A5S media (3.98 g/L N6 Salts; 1 mL/L (of 1000x Stock) N6 Vitamins; 800 mg/L L-Asparagine; 100 mg/L Myo-inositol; 1.4 g/L L-Proline; 100 mg/L Casamino acids; 50 g/L sucrose; 1 mL/L (of 1 mg/mL Stock) 2,4-D). However, media and salts other than DN62A5S are suitable and are known in the art. Embryos are incubated overnight at 25°C in the dark. However, it is not necessary per se to incubate the embryos overnight.

The resulting explants are transferred to mesh squares (30-40 per plate), transferred onto osmotic media for about 30-45 minutes, then transferred to a beaming plate (see, for example, PCT Publication No. WO/0138514 and U.S. Patent No. 5,240,842).

DNA constructs designed to the genes of the invention in plant cells are accelerated into plant tissue using an aerosol beam accelerator, using conditions essentially as described in PCT Publication No. WO/0138514. After beaming, embryos are incubated for about 30 min on osmotic media, and placed onto incubation media overnight at 25°C in the dark. To avoid unduly damaging beamed explants, they are incubated for at least 24 hours prior to transfer to recovery media. Embryos are then spread onto recovery period media, for about 5 days, 25°C in the dark, then transferred to a selection media. Explants are incubated in selection media for up to eight weeks, depending on the nature and characteristics of the particular selection utilized. After the selection period, the resulting callus is transferred to embryo maturation media, until the formation of mature somatic embryos is observed. The resulting mature somatic embryos are then placed under low light, and the process of regeneration is initiated by methods known in the art. The resulting shoots are allowed to root on rooting media, and the resulting plants are transferred to nursery pots and propagated as transgenic plants.
Materials

DN62A5S Media

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Components</th>
<th>Per Liter</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chu’s N6 Basal Salt Mixture (Prod. No. C 416)</td>
<td>3.98 g/L</td>
<td>Phytotechnology Labs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chu’s N6 Vitamin Solution (Prod. No. C 149)</td>
<td>1 mL/L (of 1000x Stock)</td>
<td>Phytotechnology Labs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-Asparagine</td>
<td>800 mg/L</td>
<td>Phytotechnology Labs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myo-inositol</td>
<td>100 mg/L</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-Proline</td>
<td>1.4 g/L</td>
<td>Phytotechnology Labs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casamino acids</td>
<td>100 mg/L</td>
<td>Fisher Scientific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sucrose</td>
<td>50 g/L</td>
<td>Phytotechnology Labs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,4-D (Prod. No. D-7299)</td>
<td>1 mL/L (of 1 mg/mL Stock)</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The pH of the solution is adjusted to pH 5.8 with 1N KOH/1N KCl, Gelrite (Sigma) is added at a concentration up to 3g/L, and the media is autoclaved. After cooling to 50°C, 2 ml/L of a 5 mg/ml stock solution of silver nitrate (Phytotechnology Labs) is added.

Example 7. Transformation of the _syncrylA_c genes of the invention in Plant Cells by _Agrobacterium_-Mediated Transformation

Ears are best collected 8-12 days after pollination. Embryos are isolated from the ears, and those embryos 0.8-1.5 mm in size are preferred for use in transformation.

Embryos are plated scutellum side-up on a suitable incubation media, and incubated overnight at 25°C in the dark. However, it is not necessary _per se_ to incubate the embryos overnight. Embryos are contacted with an _Agrobacterium_ strain containing the appropriate vectors for Ti plasmid mediated transfer for about 5-10 min, and then plated onto co-cultivation media for about 3 days (25°C in the dark). After co-cultivation, explants are transferred to recovery period media for about five days (at 25°C in the dark). Explants are incubated in selection media for up to eight weeks, depending on the nature and characteristics of the particular selection utilized. After the selection period, the resulting callus is transferred to embryo maturation media, until the formation of mature somatic embryos is observed. The resulting mature somatic embryos are then placed under low light, and the process of regeneration is initiated as known in the art.
Example 8. Pesticidal activity against Lepidopteran pests

Transgenic corn events containing constructs developed to reduce damage caused by Lepidopteran insect pests were evaluated in a series of field trials to determine their level of resistance to the European corn borer (Ostrinia nubilalis Hübner) and corn earworm (Helicoverpa zea Boddie). Leaf damage ratings and stalk tunneling measurements were used to evaluate events for resistance to ECB. An ear damage rating was used to quantify the amount of ear feeding damage by corn earworm.

T2 events generated from two constructs were evaluated in field trials. Construct A contain the synCry1Ac-variant1 gene (SEQ ID NO:2) driven by the TripPro5 promoter (US Patent Publication No. 20060218662). Construct B contained the synFLCry1Ac gene (SEQ ID NO:1) driven by the TrpPro5B promoter (US Patent Publication No. 20060218662). Both Hi-II and a susceptible hybrid were used as negative controls. The seed was packeted and arranged into randomized block design experiments in an isolated field site in Iowa. The trials were planted in ground that was fallow the previous year.

Agronomic practices used were representative of those used for corn production in the U.S. Corn Belt except that no insecticides were used. The plot area was disked and harrowed prior to planting to establish the seed bed. Liquid fertilizer was applied prior to planting. Weeds were controlled by use of both a pre-emerge application of and a post-emerge application of commercial herbicides, as well as spot treatment to control late emerging weeds.

**European Corn Borer (ECB) Trial.** For the first generation evaluations, all plants in the ECB trials were infested with ECB larvae on two separate dates. Plants were infested into the whorl of each plant using a field infestor that was calibrated to deliver 25 larvae per dose. Each plant was infested with 2 doses on each infestation date for a total infestation of 100 larvae per plant. Plots were evaluated for 1st generation damage using the Guthrie Leaf Rating Scale (Guthrie et al. (1960) Leaf and sheath feeding resistance to the European corn borer in eight inbred lines of dent corn. *Ohio Agric. Res. Dev. Cent. Res. Bull.* 860). Negative segregants were removed before rating of the the plots. All remaining plants were infested with ECB larvae for the second generation evaluation. Larvae were prepared as described for the 1st generation evaluations. In total, 150 larvae were applied to each plant over the three dates. Plants were evaluated for second generation damage by splitting the plant longitudinally from 3 nodes above the primary ear to the ground. The
amount of tunneling in inches was measured for each stalk. The primary ear shank was also split and all tunnels were measured and added to the total. Any tunnels extending into the cob were also measured.

5 **Corn Earworm Trial.** Plants were infested with 50 ECB larvae during the whorl stage to identify positive and negative plants in each row. Corn earworm flights were monitored with a pheromone trap. A significant flight of corn earworm occurred in the area of this trial from mid to late August when plants were attractive to female moths. Through subsequent evaluations of individual ears in the trial, it was determined that the natural infestation was sufficient for corn earworm evaluations. Ear damage was scored at the appropriate time using a 1-9 visual scale (described in Table 1). First, positive and negative plants were identified by first generation ECB leaf damage. Ratings were taken on both positive and negative plants within each row. An average rating for the positive plants within the row was then calculated.

10 **Results and Discussion.** The infestations of ECB larvae produced sufficient levels of damage during both the 1st and 2nd generation. The negative controls had severe leaf damage. For corn earworm, the damage was more variable due to the natural infestation, but the majority of the negative ears had at least minor kernel damage.

20 In summary, the tested events exhibited commercially viable levels of Lepidopteran resistance; and many showed no visible damage or tunneling. Furthermore, it is clear that activity against corn earworm is present conferred by the synthetic *cry1Ac* constructs expressed in the transgenic lines.
Table 1. European corn borer and Corn earworm data from field trials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construct</th>
<th>Line</th>
<th>Leaf Rating (1-9)</th>
<th>Inches of Tunneling per plant</th>
<th>Corn Earworm Ear Damage Rating (1-9)²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hi-II</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5.18</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Susc. Hybrid</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Lines in red are selected for advancement

CEW Rating Scale: 1 = no damage, 2 = slight damage to silks, husks, and ear tip but no kernel damage, 3 = 1 – 2 kernels damaged, 4 = 0.1 – 1.0 cm of kernels damaged, 5 = 1.1 – 2.0 cm damaged, 6 = 2.1 – 3.0 cm damaged, 7 = 3.1 – 4.0 cm damaged, 8 = 4.1 – 5 cm damaged, 9 = >5.1 cm damaged

All publications and patent applications mentioned in the specification are indicative of the level of skill of those skilled in the art to which this invention pertains. All publications and patent applications are herein incorporated by reference to the same extent as if each individual publication or patent application was specifically and individually indicated to be incorporated by reference.

Although the foregoing invention has been described in some detail by way of illustration and example for purposes of clarity of understanding, it will be obvious that certain changes and modifications may be practiced within the scope of the appended claims.
THAT WHICH IS CLAIMED:

1. An isolated nucleic acid molecule comprising a nucleotide sequence selected from the group consisting of the nucleotide sequence of SEQ ID NO: 5, 1, 2, 3, or 4.

2. The isolated nucleic acid molecule of claim 1, wherein said nucleotide sequence is a synthetic sequence that has been designed for expression in a plant.

3. A vector comprising the nucleic acid molecule of claim 1.

4. The vector of claim 3, further comprising a nucleic acid molecule encoding a heterologous polypeptide.

5. A host cell that contains the vector of claim 3.

6. The host cell of claim 5 that is a bacterial host cell.

7. The host cell of claim 5 that is a plant cell.

8. A transgenic plant comprising the host cell of claim 7.

9. The transgenic plant of claim 8, wherein said plant is selected from the group consisting of maize, sorghum, wheat, cabbage, sunflower, tomato, crucifers, peppers, potato, cotton, rice, soybean, sugarbeet, sugarcane, tobacco, barley, and oilseed rape.

10. A transgenic seed comprising the nucleic acid molecule of claim 1.

11. A plant having stably incorporated into its genome a DNA construct comprising a nucleotide sequence that encodes a protein having pesticidal activity, wherein said nucleotide sequence is selected from the group consisting of the nucleotide sequence of SEQ ID NO: 5, 1, 2, 3, or 4.
12. The plant of claim 11, wherein said plant is a plant cell.

13. A method for protecting a plant from a pest, comprising introducing into said plant or cell thereof at least one expression vector comprising a nucleotide sequence that encodes a pesticidal polypeptide, wherein said nucleotide sequence is selected from the group consisting of the nucleotide sequence of SEQ ID NO:5, 1, 2, 3, or 4.

14. The method of claim 13, wherein said plant produces a pesticidal polypeptide having pesticidal activity against a lepidopteran pest.