



(19) **United States**

(12) **Patent Application Publication**

Warren et al.

(10) **Pub. No.: US 2004/0065821 A1**

(43) **Pub. Date: Apr. 8, 2004**

(54) **INTERMITTENT CONTACT IMAGING UNDER FORCE-FEEDBACK CONTROL**

Publication Classification

(76) Inventors: **Oden L. Warren**, London (CA); **John F. Graham**, London (CA); **Peter R. Norton**, London (CA)

(51) **Int. Cl.⁷** **G01B 5/28; H01J 5/16**
(52) **U.S. Cl.** **250/234; 73/105**

Correspondence Address:
PATENT ADMINSTRATOR
KATTEN MUCHIN ZAVIS ROSENMAN
525 WEST MONROE STREET
SUITE 1600
CHICAGO, IL 60661-3693 (US)

(57) **ABSTRACT**


An interfacial force microscope includes a differential-capacitance displacement sensor having a tip mounted on an oscillating member. The sensor generates displacement signals in response to oscillations of the member. A scanner is adjacent the sensor and supports a sample to be imaged. The scanner is actuatable to move the sample relative to the sensor to bring the tip into intermittent contact with said sample as the member oscillates. A controller is in communication with the sensor and the scanner. The controller includes a sensor feedback circuit receiving the displacement signals and an AC setpoint signal. The AC setpoint signal has a frequency generally equal to the frequency at the peak of the displacement versus frequency curve of the sensor. The output of the sensor feedback circuit is applied to the sensor to oscillate the member. The controller also provides output to the scanner in response to the displacement signals to control the separation distance between the sensor and the sample.

(21) Appl. No.: **10/673,266**

(22) Filed: **Sep. 30, 2003**

Related U.S. Application Data

(63) Continuation of application No. 10/158,826, filed on Jun. 3, 2002, which is a continuation of application No. 09/188,567, filed on Nov. 10, 1998, now abandoned.






FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3




FIG. 4




FIG. 5




FIG. 6






FIG. 7



FIG. 8





FIG. 10





FIG. 11


Air



Hexadecane (3.34 cP)



Glycerol (1490 cP)



Height

Error

Phase

FIG. 12

INTERMITTENT CONTACT IMAGING UNDER FORCE-FEEDBACK CONTROL

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

[0001] The present invention relates generally to imaging and in particular to a method and apparatus for intermittent contact imaging.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

[0002] Atomic-force microscopy has become widely used to image surfaces of samples on a microscopic scale. Its popularity to a large extent is due to the fact that an atomic-force microscope (AFM) measures the force or force gradient between a sharp tip disposed on a cantilever and a sample surface at a picoNewton (pN) level as opposed to the tunneling current measured with a scanning tunneling microscope (STM). This of course allows the AFM to image insulating as well as conducting samples.

[0003] AFMs can be operated in either contact or intermittent contact modes. When operating in a contact mode, the deflection of a weak cantilever is kept constant while servoing the vertical extension of a piezoelectric scanner supporting the sample being imaged. The piezoelectric scanner is also rastered in an x-y plane to scan the surface of the sample. A map of the vertical extension of the piezoelectric scanner at various x,y coordinates of the sample surface, which is assumed to be proportional to a change in voltage on the piezoelectric scanner, reflects the topography of the sample surface. Unfortunately, problems exist in that soft samples are often damaged by the plowing action of the tip on the sample as the sample is rastered by the piezoelectric scanner in the x-y plane.

[0004] When operating in an intermittent contact mode, the base of a stiff cantilever is driven by a piezoelectric element which induces an oscillation at the free end of the cantilever. By driving the cantilever near its resonant frequency, an oscillation amplitude ranging from 20 to 100 nm at the free end of the cantilever can be achieved. This amplitude range is sufficient to inhibit the tip from sticking to the sample surface during each contact. To generate the image, the vertical extension of the piezoelectric scanner is servoed to maintain a constant drop in the oscillation amplitude. The piezoelectric scanner is also rastered in an x-y plane to scan the surface of the sample. In order to achieve high sensitivity, a high quality factor (Q) is necessary. Tapping mode cantilevers typically have Q values ranging from 100 to 1000 in air.

[0005] To enhance the measurement of force displacement curves, a modified form of atomic-force microscopy, referred to as interfacial force microscopy, has been developed. Interfacial force microscopes (IFMs) replace the cantilever with a differential-capacitance displacement sensor. Feedback is used to servo the net electrostatic torque of the sensor such that it cancels the torque resulting from tip-sample forces. As a consequence, the tip support remains at its rest position throughout the force profile. This feature eliminates the snap-to-contact instability which plagues weak cantilevers in the attractive force regime and correlates the tip-sample deformation directly to the vertical extension of the piezoelectric scanner in nanoindentation studies.

[0006] Feedback attempts to inhibit the common plate of the displacement sensor from actually deflecting which leads

to rapid restabilization of the displacement sensor after hard collisions with pronounced surface features. However, this places considerable demands on the rate at which force signals drift since it is often necessary to image a large field of view at a slow lateral scan. Generally, a contact force in the range of 200 nN corresponds to a force signal in the order of 10 mV. As will be appreciated, the force signal has very little room to drift over the scan duration. A slow drift in the attractive force direction results in a slight increase in the contact force applied to the sample over the scan. Drifts in the repulsive force direction can pull the piezoelectric scanner completely out of feedback. Accordingly, improved imaging techniques are desired.

[0007] It is therefore an object of the present invention to provide a novel method and apparatus for intermittent imaging.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

[0008] According to one aspect of the present invention there is provided an apparatus for intermittent contact imaging comprising:

[0009] a sensor to contact intermittently a sample to be imaged and generating displacement signals during oscillation thereof;

[0010] a scanner adjacent said sensor and supporting said sample to be imaged, said scanner being actuable to move said sample relative to said sensor to bring said sensor into intermittent contact with said sample; and

[0011] a controller in communication with said sensor and said scanner, said controller including a sensor feedback circuit receiving said displacement signals and an AC setpoint signal, said AC setpoint signal having a frequency generally equal to the frequency at the peak of the displacement versus frequency curve of said sensor, the output of said sensor feedback circuit being applied to said sensor to oscillate the same, said controller further providing output to said scanner in response to said displacement signals to control the separation distance between said sensor and said sample.

[0012] According to another aspect of the present invention there is provided an interfacial force microscope comprising:

[0013] a differential-capacitance displacement sensor having a tip mounted on an oscillating member, said sensor generating displacement signals during oscillation of said member;

[0014] a scanner adjacent said sensor and supporting a sample to be imaged, said scanner being actuable to move said sample relative to said sensor to bring said tip into intermittent contact with said sample and to move said sample relative to said sensor to raster said sensor over said sample; and

[0015] a controller in communication with said sensor and said scanner, said controller including a sensor feedback circuit receiving said displacement signals and an AC setpoint signal, said AC setpoint signal having a frequency generally equal to the frequency at the peak of the displacement versus

frequency curve of said sensor, the output of said sensor feedback circuit being applied to said sensor to oscillate said member, said controller further providing output to said scanner in response to said displacement signals to control the separation distance between said sensor and said sample.

[0016] According to still yet another aspect of the present invention there is provided a method of imaging a sample surface comprising the steps of:

- [0017] oscillating a sensor at a driven setpoint frequency to cause said sensor to intermittently contact a sample to be imaged;
- [0018] generating displacement signals in response to oscillations of said sensor;
- [0019] moving the sample relative to said sensor to maintain the separation distance between said sensor and sample; and
- [0020] rastering said sensor over the sample surface, wherein said driven setpoint frequency is generally equal to the frequency at the peak of the frequency versus displacement curve of said sensor.

[0021] The present invention provides advantages in that soft samples can be imaged on a microscopic level using a highly damped sensor while reducing the shear forces applied to the sample as the sample is scanned.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0022] An embodiment of the present invention will now be described more fully with reference to the accompanying drawings in which:

[0023] FIG. 1 is a schematic block diagram of an interfacial force microscope under force-feedback control configured to operate in an intermittent contact mode;

[0024] FIG. 2 is another schematic block diagram of the interfacial force microscope of FIG. 1 showing further detail;

[0025] FIG. 3 is yet another schematic block diagram of the interfacial force microscope of FIG. 1 showing further detail;

[0026] FIG. 4 is an enlarged, exploded perspective view of a differential-capacitance displacement sensor forming part of the interfacial force microscope of FIG. 1;

[0027] FIG. 5 is a schematic block diagram of a force-feedback controller forming part of the interfacial force microscope of FIG. 1;

[0028] FIG. 6 is a block circuit diagram of a PID controller forming part of the force-feedback controller of FIG. 5;

[0029] FIG. 7 shows a 1 kHz intermittent contact image of Kevlar fiber-epoxy taken using the interfacial force microscope of FIG. 1;

[0030] FIG. 8 shows another 1kHz intermittent contact image of Kevlar fiber-epoxy taken using the interfacial force microscope of FIG. 1 highlighting a damaged area; and

[0031] FIG. 9 shows graphs illustrating the magnitude and phase of the relationship between the ratio of V_{demod} and

V_{aux} as a function of frequency when the differential-capacitance displacement sensor is operated in air;

[0032] FIG. 10 shows graphs illustrating the magnitude and phase of the relationship between the ratio of V_{PID} and V_{aux} as a function of frequency when the differential-capacitance displacement sensor is operated in air;

[0033] FIG. 11 shows graphs illustrating the magnitude and phase of the relationship between the open loop gain GOL as a function of frequency when the differential-capacitance displacement sensor is operated in air with the curves of FIG. 8 superimposed thereon; and

[0034] FIG. 12 shows intermittent contact images of the surfaces of Hexadecane (3.34 cP) and Glycerol (1490 cP).

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

[0035] Referring now to FIG. 1, an interfacial force microscope (IFM) under force-feedback control and configured to operate in an intermittent contact mode to generate microscopic images of a sample under observation in accordance with the present invention is shown and is generally indicated to by reference numeral 20. As can be seen, the IFM 20 includes a differential-capacitance displacement (DCD) sensor 22 to contact intermittently the sample to be imaged. A controller 24 is coupled to the DCD sensor 22 as well as to a piezoelectric scanner 26 positioned adjacent the DCD sensor 22 and supporting the sample. The piezoelectric scanner 26 is responsive to the controller 24 to move the sample in a vertical direction to alter the sensor-sample separation. The piezoelectric scanner 26 is also actuatable to move the sample laterally in an x-y plane to raster the DCD sensor 22 over the sample at a rate equal to about 2 to 3 $\mu\text{m/s}$. An imager 27 such as a NanoScope IIIa MultiMode manufactured by Digital Instruments receives output from the controller 24 and generates surface images of the sample. The controller 24 drives the DCD sensor 22 such that it operates in an intermittent contact mode while maintaining a high quality factor Q. The quality factor Q is of a value to achieve sufficient sensor output displacement signal contrast between out of contact and contact conditions of the DCD sensor 22 and the sample even though the DCD sensor is highly damped in air. Further details of the IFM 20 and in particular, the force-feedback control will now be described.

[0036] FIGS. 2 and 3 better illustrate the IFM 20. As can be seen, the controller 24 includes a force-feedback (FFB) controller 24a and an image feedback (IFB) controller 24b. FFB controller 24a is responsible for driving the DCD sensor 22 and includes a feedback circuit tuned to establish a well defined peak within the displacement-frequency spectrum of the DCD sensor 22 sufficient to achieve the desired high quality factor Q. The IFB controller 24b is responsible for servoing the vertical extension of the piezoelectric scanner 26 to control the sensor-sample separation at each x,y coordinate of the sample being intermittently contacted by the DCD sensor 22. The FFB controller 24b is connected to the DCD sensor 22 directly as well as through a preamplifier 28. The preamplifier 28 has a high input impedance to inhibit excessive loading on the DCD sensor 22.

[0037] An amplitude and phase detector 30, a function generator 32, an oscillator 34 and optionally a volt meter 36 are also connected to the FFB controller 24a. The IFB

controller **24b** is connected to the amplitude and phase detector **30** and provides output to the piezo-controller **26a** of the piezoelectric scanner **26**. The piezocontroller **26a** in turn drives the piezoelectric tube **26b** of the piezoelectric scanner in the z-direction to alter the sensor-sample separation.

[0038] A multi-channel analog to digital converter (ADC) **44** is connected to the IFB controller **24b**, the FFB controller **24a** and the amplitude and phase detector **30**. The ADC provides the output to the imager **27**. An optional oscilloscope **46** is connected to the FFB and IFB controllers **24a** and **24b** respectively.

[0039] Turning to FIGS. 2 to 4, the DCD sensor **22** is better illustrated. As can be seen, the DCD sensor **22** includes a stainless steel or Beryllium-Copper (BeCu) common plate **50**. A common plate **52** having a pair of torsion bars **54** extending in opposite directions from its sides is defined by a cut **56** in the common plate **50**. The common plate **52** is disposed above a pair of gold or chromium electrodes **58** mounted on a quartz substrate **60**. A tip **62** is attached to the common plate **52** by way of a conductive adhesive to help to reduce the mass of the DCD sensor **22**. The tip **62** is fashioned from a wire having a diameter equal to about 0.125mm by electrochemical etching and has a parabolic profile. The common plate **52** is connected to the input of the preamplifier **28**.

[0040] The electrodes **58** are dc biased and are driven by RF driving signals output by the FFB controller **24a**. The RF driving signals are 180 degrees out of phase and have a frequency well beyond the mechanical bandwidth of the DCD sensor **22** (i.e. 1 MHz) to establish an RF capacitance bridge defined by the electrodes **58** and common plate **52** that is sensitive to changes in capacitance at an aF level. The electrodes **58** are also driven by an AC setpoint signal generally in the range of from about 1 kHz to 1.5 kHz which causes the common plate **52** to oscillate as will be described. The frequency of the AC setpoint signal is a function of the mechanical properties of the DCD sensor material, its dimensions etc.

[0041] When the tip **62** encounters the sample supported by the piezoelectric scanner **26**, a force is applied to the tip **62** resulting in torque being applied to the common plate **52**. The applied torque causes the common plate **52** to rotate. Rotation of the common plate **52** changes the capacitance between the electrodes **58** and the common plate **52** and is detected by the RF capacitance bridge. When the common plate **52** rotates by a small angle $\theta \approx \delta L$ where δ is the change in the average gap between the common plate **52** and one of the electrodes **58** and L is the distance between the mid-point of the torsion bar axis and the tip **62**, a displacement signal appears on the common plate **52**. The displacement signal has an amplitude equal to $2\Delta C V_{ac}/C_{Total}$ where ΔC is the change in capacitance, V_{ac} is the amplitude of the RF driving signals and C_{Total} is equal to two times the capacitance between the common plate **52** and one electrode **58** plus any stray capacitance in parallel with the DCD sensor **22**. The displacement signal has a frequency equal to the frequency of the RF driving signals and a phase dependent on the direction of rotation of the common plate **52**. The displacement signal on the common plate **52** is picked up by the preamplifier **28**, amplified and conveyed to the FFB controller **24a**.

[0042] FIG. 5 better illustrates the FFB controller **24a**. As is shown, FFB controller **24a** includes a demodulator **70** receiving the displacement signal output of the preamplifier **28** and the RF signal output of the function generator **32**. The demodulator **70** demodulates and low pass filters the displacement signal output of the preamplifier **28** to generate demodulator amplitude signal output V_{demod} . The demodulator signal output V_{demod} is applied to the amplitude and phase detector **30** and to a PID controller **72**. The PID controller **72** also receives the AC setpoint signal output of the oscillator **34** and generates V_{PID} and $-V_{PID}$ feedback signals. The $-V_{PID}$ feedback signal is conveyed to one of the channels of ADC **44** and to the oscilloscope **46** while the V_{PID} feedback signal is conveyed to an RF PID controller **74**. The RF PID controller **74** also receives a DC voltage and the RF signal output of the function generator **32** from the demodulator **70** and supplies the RF driving signals to each of the electrodes **58**.

[0043] The PID controller **72** is better illustrated in FIG. 6 and as can be seen, it includes a summing amplifier **80** having unity gain. The summing amplifier receives the demodulator signal output V_{demod} as well as the AC setpoint signal V_{aux} from oscillator **34**. The sum output of the summing amplifier **80** is therefore $-(V_{aux} + V_{demod})$ and represents the error signal for the DCD sensor feedback loop. The sum output of the amplifier **80** is applied to a proportional-integral-derivative (PID) control block **82**. The PID control block **82** has a good low frequency response and provides output proportional to the combination of its input, the time integral of its input and the time rate of change of its input. The output of the PID control block **82** is conveyed to a pair of summing amplifiers **84** and **86** functioning as high-pass filters, one amplifier **84** of which generates the V_{PID} feedback signal and the other amplifier **86** of which generates the $-V_{PID}$ feedback signal. Since the summing amplifier **80** has unity gain, the gain G_{PID} from the input of the PID control block **82** to the output of the summing amplifier **84** can be expressed as:

$$G_{PID} = -(G_P + G_I + G_D)$$

[0044] where:

[0045] G_P is the proportional gain and is equal to -1 ;

[0046] G_I is the integrator gain and is equal to $1/j\omega T_I$;

[0047] G_D is the derivative gain and is equal to $G_D = -\Gamma [j\omega T_D / (1 + j\omega T_D)]$ over the frequency range of interest;

[0048] T_I is the time constant of the integrator;

[0049] T_D is the time constant of the differentiator; and

[0050] Γ represents the gain at the end of the high-pass filter circuit.

[0051] The gain term G_{PID} allows the frequency response of the DCD sensor feedback loop to be tailored.

[0052] If the frequency of the AC setpoint signal V_{aux} is near dc, the setpoint signal V_{aux} acts as the driven setpoint of the DCD sensor causing the DCD sensor **22** to oscillate physically such that the resulting feedback signal V_{demod} output by demodulator **70** cancels the setpoint signal V_{aux} . In this case, the resulting error signal $-(V_{aux} + V_{demod})$ is basically equal to zero. When the AC setpoint signal V_{aux} is

moved to higher frequencies, the feedback system is unable to offset the AC setpoint signal V_{aux} resulting in error signals which can be quite large.

[0053] Prior to imaging, the electronic gains of the integrator and differentiator of the PID controller 72 are adjusted such that the sensor displacement signal is nearly in-phase with the AC setpoint signal V_{aux} at the frequency where the open loop gain falls to one (1). In other words, the feedback system has very little phase margin before it becomes unstable. However, the feedback system exhibits a much higher quality factor Q than if operated without feedback. The PID controller gain adjustments are chosen to obtain a quality factor Q high enough to be sensitive to perturbations caused by the tip striking the sample but not so large that noise becomes an issue. Noise becomes an issue when the quality factor Q is increased to a point where the phase margin becomes so small that the feedback system edges too close to the brink of instability.

[0054] Once tuning of the PID controller 72 has been completed, the displacement vs. frequency plot of the DCD sensor 22 is examined to find the frequency of maximum displacement. The frequency of the setpoint signal V_{aux} is then set to the frequency of maximum displacement so that the DCD sensor 22 oscillates at this frequency. At this time, the piezoelectric scanner 26 is actuated to bring the sample towards the DCD sensor 22 so that the tip 62 intermittently contacts the sample as the common plate 52 oscillates. When the tip 62 contacts the sample, the amplitude of the DCD displacement signal decreases.

[0055] The amplitude and phase detector 30 applies the amplitude signal V_{demod} to the IFB controller 24b which in turn outputs a magnitude signal to the piezo-controller 26a controlling the piezoelectric tube 26b. The imaging set point is set about 3% lower than the initial output of the amplitude and phase detector 30. The piezoelectric scanner 26 in turn moves the sample towards the DCD sensor 22 to control the separation between the tip 62 and the sample such that the displacement signal of the DCD sensor 22 is constant but lower than the in-air case. During this process, the piezoelectric scanner 26 is rastered in an x-y plane to image the surface of the sample under observation.

[0056] The error signals of the piezoelectric scanner feedback loop are applied to the ADC 44 which also receives phase input from the amplitude and phase detector 30 and the V_{PID} feedback signal from the FFB controller 24a. The digital output of the ADC 44 is conveyed to the imager 27 to allow images to be formed. The error signals of the piezoelectric scanner feedback loop provide edge-contrast information of the sample surface topography while the phase of the displacement signal provides information related to energy dissipation during tip and sample contact.

[0057] By keeping the mass of the DCD sensor 22 low and establishing a well defined peak in V_{demod} , a high quality factor is maintained. This allows the DCD sensor to be operated in an intermittent contact mode while ensuring sufficient contrast between out of contact and contact displacement signals generated by the DCD sensor. As a result, high quality images of the sample under observation can be generated at a microscopic level.

[0058] For a test sample, a fiber composite comprised of Kevlar 49 fibers imbedded in an epoxy matrix (the fiber

volume fraction is reported to be 50%) was imaged using the IFM 20. Prior to imaging, the sample was polished. FIG. 7 shows a 1 kHz intermittent contact IFM image (all images: 180x180 points, plane subtraction, no filtering) of the fiber composite sample. The ~50 nm deep polishing grooves are clearly evident, in spite of a maximum height difference of ~740 nm. The piece of debris at the left edge remained undisturbed by the imaging procedure. The total imaging time was 11 min, corresponding to 20 contact cycles per point.

[0059] FIG. 8 shows a 1 kHz intermittent contact IFM image of a badly damaged area on the Kevlar sample. The massive surface upheaval results in a maximum height difference approaching 3 μm , which deaccentuates the shallow polishing grooves in the unblemished fiber regions. In spite of the upheaval, the intermittent contact mode technique had little difficulty in tracking the surface topography, although optimum imaging required doubling the number of contact cycles per point.

[0060] To determine the peak contact force during imaging, the separation between the tip 62 and a single Kevlar 49 fiber was narrowed until the first evidence of intermittent contact was observed, and then the piezoelectric tube 26b was advanced until the set point was reached. After dividing the amplitude and phase detector output by the appropriate gain terms, it was estimated that the oscillation amplitude of the common plate 52 was reduced from 18.97 nm in air to 18.36 nm during intermittent contact (amplitudes being expressed as peak-to-peak values). The distance that the piezoelectric tube 26b advanced to reach the set point was 9.9 \AA , which is to be compared to the 6.1 \AA reduction in the common plate oscillation amplitude.

[0061] FIG. 12 shows intermittent contact images of the surfaces of Hexadecane (3.34 cP) and Glycerol (1490 cP). As will be appreciated, the DCD sensor 22 can be controlled under force-feedback to image soft as well as hard samples.

[0062] In the intermittent contact mode, the motion of the common plate 52 decays only during the contact portion of the cycle. Therefore, the difference between the advancement of the piezoelectric tube 26b and the reduction in common plate oscillation amplitude is a reasonable estimate of the maximum tip-sample deformation, which is about 3.8 \AA .

[0063] To estimate the peak contact force, the Hertz equation for elastic contact which is appropriate for axis symmetric parabolic bodies is used:

$$F = \frac{4}{3} E^* \sqrt{R} * D^{3/2}$$

[0064] where:

$$R = (1/R_1 + 1/R_2)^{-1}$$

[0065]

$$E^* = [(1 - \nu_1^2)/E_1 + (1 - \nu_2^2)/E_2]^{-1}$$

[0066] and is the reduced modulus;

[0067] D is the combined deformation of the tip and sample;

[0068] v_t, v_s refer to Poisson's ratio;

[0069] E_t, E_s indicate Young's modulus;

[0070] R_t, R_s represent parabolic radii of curvature; and

[0071] t, s denote tip and sample.

[0072] To test the sensor feedback loop, the ratio $V_{\text{demod}}/V_{\text{aux}}$, and the ratio $V_{\text{PID}}/V_{\text{aux}}$ as a function of frequency were measured with the DCD sensor 22 operating in air. It is easy to show that theoretically $V_{\text{demod}}/V_{\text{aux}}=G_{\text{OL}}/(1-G_{\text{OL}})$ and $V_{\text{PID}}/V_{\text{aux}}=G_{\text{PID}}/(1-G_{\text{OL}})$, where $G_{\text{OL}}=-G_{\text{PID}}G_{\text{force}}G_{\text{mech}}G_{\text{bridge}}G_{\text{preamp}}G_{\text{demod}}$ is the open loop gain, the minus sign being a result of the summing amplifier 80. FIGS. 9 and 10 show how these two ratios vary with frequency when the DCD sensor 22 operates under the following set of conditions:

$$T_1=4.3 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}; T_D=3.6 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}; \Gamma=2.4; \text{ and } G_{\text{demod}}=4.1$$

[0073] G_{demod} is referenced to the peak-to-peak amplitude of the preamplifier output. The solid line passing through the experimental points is the theoretical result. The level of agreement between theory and experiment ranges from good to excellent.

[0074] Of note in the magnitude plots is the presence of the well-defined peak occurring around 660 Hz signifying that the sensor feedback loop behaves much like a second-order low-pass filter. The origin of this behavior is rooted in Barkhausen's criterion for feedback stability. In other words, the term $1/(1-G_{\text{OL}})$ tends to infinity if the magnitude of G_{OL} approaches unity and the corresponding phase approaches zero.

[0075] FIG. 11 shows the calculated frequency dependence of G_{OL} with the magnitude plot for $V_{\text{demod}}/V_{\text{aux}}$ superimposed. As can be seen, the magnitude of G_{OL} is roughly 0 dB (or 1) and the corresponding phase is about 0.08π at the peak frequency for demodulator output signal V_{demod} . The phase margin is small enough to obtain a strong resonance response, but large enough to prevent the sensor feedback loop from going into self-oscillation. It is important to note that a peak in the demodulator output signal V_{demod} does not mean mechanical resonance. In the example shown, the peak frequency for the demodulator output signal V_{demod} is in fact 60 Hz lower than $\omega_0/2\pi$, the mechanical resonant frequency of the DCD sensor 22. Nevertheless, a maximum in the demodulator output signal V_{demod} does mean a maximum in the displacement amplitude, but this is not achieved in the usual way. Looking at the magnitude plot for $V_{\text{PID}}/V_{\text{aux}}$, it can be seen that the applied force varies over the frequency range, and reaches a maximum in the vicinity of the peak frequency for the demodulator output signal V_{demod} .

[0076] A comparison between the phase plots shows that the phase of the displacement (or V_{demod}) lags the phase of the force (or V_{PID}) by an angle reasonably close to $\pi/2$. This, along with the fact that a 60 Hz difference in frequency is not very large, suggests that ω_0 does play an important role in obtaining a strong peak, which can be understood in the following way. In order to obtain a strong peak and still

maintain feedback stability, one must make G_1 the dominant electronic gain term because it is the only electronic gain that rolls off its response with increasing frequency, which means that the phase lag due to the electronics is not far removed from $\pi/2$ over the frequency range of interest. The mechanical phase lag eventually reaches $\pi/2$ at ω_0 , which yields an overall phase lag in the neighborhood of the π phase lag required to bring the phase of G_{OL} to zero.

[0077] As will be appreciated, the present invention provides advantages in that samples can be imaged on a microscopic level without damaging the samples. This makes the present imaging technique particularly suited to imaging soft samples including emulsions and liquids. Images can be taken for several hours without removing the varying dc offset in the force signal which is required during contact mode imaging to ensure minimal contact force between the tip and the sample.

[0078] Although the present invention has been described with specific reference to interfacial force microscopy and use of a differential-capacitance displacement sensor, those of skill in the art will appreciate that the feedback control used in the preset imaging technique can be used with other heavily-damped displacement sensors. It will also be appreciated by those of skill in the art, that variations and modifications may be made to the present invention without departing from the spirit and scope thereof as defined by the appended claims.

We claim:

1. An apparatus for intermittent contact imaging comprising:

a sensor to contact intermittently a sample to be imaged and generating displacement signals during oscillation thereof;

a scanner adjacent said sensor and supporting said sample to be imaged, said scanner being actuable to move said sample relative to said sensor to bring said sensor into intermittent contact with said sample; and

a controller in communication with said sensor and said scanner, said controller including a sensor feedback circuit receiving said displacement signals and an AC setpoint signal, said AC setpoint signal having a frequency generally equal to the frequency at the peak of the displacement versus frequency curve of said sensor, the output of said sensor feedback circuit being applied to said sensor to oscillate the same, said controller further providing output to said scanner in response to said displacement signals to control the separation distance between said sensor and said sample.

2. An apparatus as defined in claim 1 wherein said sensor feedback circuit is adjusted such that said displacement signals are generally in-phase with said AC setpoint signal at the frequency where the open loop gain of said sensor feedback circuit falls generally to one.

3. An apparatus as defined in claim 2 wherein said sensor feedback circuit includes a summing junction summing said AC setpoint signal and said displacement signals, a control block having a good low frequency response receiving the output of said summing junction and generating feedback signals and a high-pass junction to supply said feedback signals to said sensor.

4. An apparatus as defined in claim 3 wherein said summing junction is constituted by a summing amplifier and wherein said control block is a proportional-integral-derivative controller.

5. An apparatus as defined in claim 4 wherein during adjustment of said sensor feedback circuit, electronic gains of the integrator and differentiator of said proportional-integral-derivative controller are adjusted.

6. An apparatus as defined in claim 5 wherein oscillations of said sensor are detected by an RF capacitance bridge established at said sensor, said capacitance bridge generating said displacement signals.

7. An apparatus as defined in claim 6 wherein said sensor includes a common plate supported by oppositely extending torsion bars above a pair of electrodes and carrying a tip to contact said sample, said electrodes being driven by high frequency signals to establish said RF capacitance bridge and being driven by said feedback signals to cause said common plate to oscillate.

8. An apparatus as defined in claim 7 wherein said tip is secured to said common plate by conductive adhesive and has a generally parabolic configuration.

9. An apparatus as defined in claim 4 further including a demodulator to demodulate and low pass filter said displacement signals before said displacement signals are conveyed to said summing amplifier.

10. An apparatus as defined in claim 9 further including a preamplifier disposed between said sensor and said demodulator.

11. An apparatus as defined in claim 10 further including an amplitude and phase detector and an image feedback controller, said amplitude and phase detector receiving the output of said demodulator and providing output signals to said image feedback controller, said image feedback controller being responsive to said amplitude and phase detector and controlling the actuation of said scanner to maintain the oscillation displacement of said sensor generally at a constant.

12. An apparatus as defined in claim 11 further including an analog to digital converter receiving said feedback signals, phase signals from said amplitude and phase detector and error signals from a scanner feedback circuit and providing output to an imager, said imager generating images of said sample in response to said signals.

13. An apparatus as defined in claim 2 wherein the frequency of said AC setpoint signal has a frequency equal to the frequency at the peak.

14. An apparatus as defined in claim 13 wherein said feedback circuit behaves similar to a second-order, low-pass filter.

15. An interfacial force microscope comprising:

a differential-capacitance displacement sensor having a tip mounted on an oscillating member, said sensor generating displacement signals during oscillation of said member;

a scanner adjacent said sensor and supporting a sample to be imaged, said scanner being actuatable to move said sample relative to said sensor to bring said tip into intermittent contact with said sample and to move said sample relative to said sensor to raster said sensor over said sample; and

a controller in communication with said sensor and said scanner, said controller including a sensor feedback

circuit receiving said displacement signals and an AC setpoint signal, said AC setpoint signal having a frequency generally equal to the frequency at the peak of the displacement versus frequency curve of said sensor, the output of said sensor feedback circuit being applied to said sensor to oscillate the same, said controller further providing output to said scanner in response to said displacement signals to control the separation distance between said sensor and said sample.

16. An interfacial force microscope as defined in claim 15 wherein said sensor feedback circuit is adjusted such that said displacement signals are generally in-phase with said AC setpoint signal at the frequency where the open loop gain of said sensor feedback circuit falls generally to one.

17. An interfacial force microscope as defined in claim 16 wherein said displacement sensor includes a common plate supported by oppositely extending torsion bars above a pair of electrodes and carrying a tip to contact said sample, said electrodes being driven by high frequency signals to establish said RF capacitance bridge and being driven by said feedback signals to cause said common plate to oscillate, said RF capacitance bridge detecting changes in capacitance between said common plate and electrodes and generating said displacement signals.

18. An interfacial force microscope as defined in claim 17 wherein said feedback circuit sums said low frequency signal and said displacement signal to generate an error signal, said error signal being used to generate the feedback signals to cancel rotational tendencies of said common plate.

19. An interfacial force microscope as defined in claim 18 wherein said sensor feedback circuit includes a summing junction summing said low frequency signal and said displacement signal, a control block having a good low frequency response receiving the output of said summing junction and generating said feedback signals and a high-pass junction to supply said feedback signals to said sensor.

20. An apparatus as defined in claim 19 wherein during adjustment of said sensor feedback circuit, electronic gains of the integrator and differentiator of said proportioned-integral-derivative controller are adjusted.

21. An interfacial force microscope as defined in claim 15 wherein the frequency of said AC setpoint signal has a frequency equal to the frequency at the peak.

22. An interfacial force microscope as defined in claim 21 wherein said feedback circuit behaves similar to a second-order, low-pass filter.

23. A method of imaging a sample surface comprising the steps of:

oscillating a sensor at a driven setpoint frequency to cause said sensor to intermittently contact a sample to be imaged;

generating displacement signals in response to oscillations of said sensor;

moving the sample relative to said sensor to maintain the separation distance between said sensor and sample; and

rastering said sensor over the sample surface, wherein said driven setpoint frequency is generally equal to the frequency at the peak of the frequency versus displacement curve of said sensor.