



⑪ Publication number : **0 469 027 B1**

⑫ **EUROPEAN PATENT SPECIFICATION**

④⑤ Date of publication of patent specification :
18.10.95 Bulletin 95/42

⑤① Int. Cl.⁶ : **G01S 13/00, F41J 5/12**

②① Application number : **90906312.5**

②② Date of filing : **20.04.90**

⑧⑥ International application number :
PCT/GB90/00602

⑧⑦ International publication number :
WO 90/13048 01.11.90 Gazette 90/25

⑤④ **SYSTEM FOR SENSING THE APPROACH OF A MOVING MISSILE TO A TARGET.**

③⑩ Priority : **22.04.89 GB 8909195**

④③ Date of publication of application :
05.02.92 Bulletin 92/06

④⑤ Publication of the grant of the patent :
18.10.95 Bulletin 95/42

⑧④ Designated Contracting States :
DE ES FR GB IT NL SE

⑤⑥ References cited :
EP-A- 0 061 941
GB-A- 2 030 416
US-A- 3 324 468
US-A- 3 380 051
US-A- 4 057 708
US-A- 4 281 239
PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN, Volume
7220 (P-226) 30 September 1983; &
JP-A-58111775 (Mitsubishi KK) 25 December
1981

⑦③ Proprietor : **CAMBRIDGE CONSULTANTS LIMITED**
Science Park
Milton Road
Cambridge CB4 4DW (GB)

⑦② Inventor : **OSWALD, Gordon, Kenneth, Andrew**
Seatonhurst
Bluntisham Road
Colne
Huntingdon PE17 3LY (GB)
Inventor : **NEAL, Christopher, Scott**
The Hall
Abbey Close
Burwell
Cambridgeshire CB5 0HN (GB)
Inventor : **RICHARDSON, Alan, Trevor**
7 Madras Road
Cambridge CB1 3PX (GB)

⑦④ Representative : **Morton, Colin David et al**
Keith W Nash & Co.
Pearl Assurance House
90-92 Regent Street
Cambridge CB2 1DP (GB)

EP 0 469 027 B1

Note : Within nine months from the publication of the mention of the grant of the European patent, any person may give notice to the European Patent Office of opposition to the European patent granted. Notice of opposition shall be filed in a written reasoned statement. It shall not be deemed to have been filed until the opposition fee has been paid (Art. 99(1) European patent convention).

Description

This invention relates to a system for sensing the approach of a moving missile to a target, and more particularly (but not exclusively) to a system for determining the trajectory and miss distance of a missile approaching a target, for testing of the system. Such systems are generally called "scoring systems". The missile can be any high speed projectile, such as an air-to-air missile, a ground-to-air missile, a sea-to-air missile, a shell or a large bullet. The target can be stationary, airborne or on water but is preferably an aircraft, tank or other moving vehicle.

Background to the Invention

Several scoring systems have been developed previously, which rely on radio transmission. These can be divided into those which require equipment on both missile and target (called cooperative systems) and those which are accommodated entirely on the target (called non-cooperative systems) where the missile behaves as a passive reflector or scatterer. The invention is concerned with non-cooperative systems.

US Patent 4057708 discloses a known non-cooperative system which measures the minimum miss distance and three dimensional coordinates of a missile trajectory with respect to a target in the form of an aircraft. This system uses pulses having a duration of 40 nanoseconds. Each transmitted pulse is derived by gating a continuously running oscillating signal so the transmitted pulse consists of several oscillations. The pulses reflected by the missile are received by a receiver on the aircraft, but accurate detection of missile presence is not possible, firstly because of multipath effects due to reflection from the aircraft skin and secondly because of the difficulty of correctly identifying the received signal with the multiple scatterers on the missile, such as nose fins or tail wings. The nature of the transmitted pulse does not enable these different features to be resolved.

In another non-cooperative system, shown in US 4281239, the detection of reflected radar signals by ground based sensors stops counters, the outputs of which are then used to determine the position of a projectile.

Disclosure of the Invention

According to the invention there is provided a system for sensing the approach of a moving missile to a target, comprising transmitting means on the target for transmitting a succession of transmit pulses, receiving means on the target for receiving the pulses reflected by the missile, gating means on the target for sampling the reflected pulses received at the receiving means during a succession of time windows each of which is delayed with respect to the time of transmission of a corresponding transmit pulse by a predetermined time delay corresponding to the pulse travelling a predetermined distance from the transmitting means to the missile and thence back to the receiving means, so that sampled signals from the receiving means are indicative of the missile entering or leaving a notional envelope surrounding the target at a range corresponding to said predetermined time delay, and processing means which are responsive to the sampled signals and which compute the approach of the missile, characterised in that each transmitted pulse consists substantially of a single radio frequency cycle and commences, from a level of no significant transmitted power in the frequency band width of the pulse, with a rapid rise to a power peak, such that the sampled signals are indicative of reflected pulses from the missile.

It will be appreciated that the envelope is an ellipsoid having the transmitting means and the receiving means as the foci.

Each transmit pulse preferably has a duration of less than 4 nanoseconds and each pulse may have, after the rapid rise to the power peak, a rapid fall, continuing to rise to a power peak of opposite polarity so that the complete pulse is substantially sinusoidal in shape.

In the simplest system according to the invention, the transmitting means comprise a single transmitter and the receiving means comprise a single receiver. This system provides a scalar result, that is it senses whether the missile has penetrated the notional envelope without giving any more information about the spatial position of the penetration. A system providing a vector result, ie giving a three dimensional indication of range requires at least three receivers located at different positions on the target. Such a system can compute missile trajectory and minimum miss distance. A practical vector system will normally have more than the minimum number of three receivers, in order to introduce some redundancy, and the preferred embodiment to be described includes two transmitters each cooperating with a corresponding group of four receivers.

The gating means preferably produce gating pulses to sample the reflected pulses at the receiving means. The gating pulses are very short duration (typically of the order of 700 picoseconds) and are accurately timed

to be produced after a predetermined time delay corresponding to a predetermined range of the missile. Direct reflections from the missile will always arrive at the receiving means before multipath signals. This, together with the fact that the sampled signals will show no response prior to the response resulting from direct reflection of the initial peak of the very short duration transmit pulse, avoids multipath problems and enables reflections from different parts of the missile to be resolved.

A system according to the invention will now be described, by way of example, with reference to Figures 1 to 16 of the accompanying drawings, in which:

Figure 1 diagrammatically illustrates the system applied to a target aircraft which has two transmitters and eight receivers,

Figure 2 shows the pulses transmitted by the two transmitters,

Figure 3 shows a standard radar pulse, for comparison with Figure 2,

Figure 4 illustrates how multipath effects originate,

Figure 5 indicates how the inventive system overcomes multipath problems,

Figure 6 is a plot of relative power against frequency for each transmitted pulse,

Figure 7 is a block circuit diagram of the parts of the system on the aircraft,

Figure 8 is a block circuit diagram illustrating one of the two transmitters and one of the eight receivers,

Figure 9 is a timing diagram illustrating range gating of the reflected pulses at a representative receiver,

Figure 10 shows how output signals from the receivers are processed,

Figure 11 shows an idealised missile "signature", ie signal reflected from the missile,

Figure 12 shows the output from four range gates for each of two receivers,

Figure 13 shows the three range gate envelopes associated with three receivers cooperating with one transmitter,

Figure 14 illustrates the part of the system on the ground,

Figure 15 illustrates a missile track intersecting a family of range gate envelopes,

Figure 16, consisting of Figure 16a to 16d is a block diagram of the system.

Description of the Preferred Embodiment

The system determines the trajectory and miss distance of a missile approaching a target aircraft 10 (Figure 1), for testing of the system. The system is non-cooperative, the aircraft 10 carrying two transmitters 12, 14 and eight receivers 16, 18, 20, 22, 24, 26, 28, 30. The transmitter 12 is mounted on the top of the aircraft fuselage and cooperates with the four receivers 16, 18, 20 and 22 to monitor the hemisphere of space above the aircraft 10. The transmitter 14 is mounted on the underside of the aircraft fuselage and cooperates with the four receivers 24, 26, 28 and 30 to monitor the hemisphere of space below the aircraft 10. Each transmitter 12 or 14 transmits a succession of electromagnetic pulses which, on approach of the missile, are reflected by the missile, the reflected pulses being detected by the corresponding group of four receivers. The signals detected by the receivers are therefore representative of the trajectory of the missile, and this information is transmitted by a telemetry transmitter 32, as indicated at 34 in Figure 1, to a ground-based telemetry receiver 36 linked by hard wiring 38 to a ground station 40.

Figure 2 shows at 42 the pulses transmitted by the transmitter 12 and at 44 the pulses transmitted by the transmitter 14. The pulses 42, 44 are identical but they are interleaved, ie they are transmitted in alternate sequence, (to avoid ambiguity about the originating transmitter). Each pulse 42 or 44 has a duration of 2 nanoseconds and there is a time T of 250 nanoseconds between successive pulses.

Each transmit pulse 42 or 44 is essentially a single cycle of sine wave and fundamentally has a power spectrum as in Figure 6 but without the discontinuity shown at 46. Individual parts of the spectrum may be filtered to avoid interference with other systems such as the drone control filtering shown at 46 in Figure 6. The pulse repetition frequency might be varied from 1MHz to 10MHz depending on the application.

The important properties of each transmitted pulse 42 or 44 are that the duration of the pulse is sufficiently short to resolve multiple scatterers (such as the nose and tail) on the missile and also sufficiently short to resolve multipath. The first peak of the waveform is very large compared to any energy (in the frequency band of the pulse) before this peak, which again distinguishes this system from a typical radar pulse (Figure 3), so any received signal is unambiguously defined as emanating from this single cycle of energy.

Figure 3 shows a typical radar pulse for contrast with Figure 2. The pulse has significant transmitted power in the region 48 before the main pulse peaks at 50. Because the transmitted pulse of Figure 3 has a series of oscillations, the received pulse would be a jumble of peaks caused by multipath interference and unresolved reflections from different parts of the missile.

Figures 4 and 5 show how the invention overcomes the problem of multipath, in the simplified example of the aircraft 10 having one transmitter 12 and one receiver 22. Transmitted pulses 52 each having the form

shown at 42 in Figure 2, are reflected from the missile 54 to form a reflected signal 56 received by the receiver 22. The broken line 58 indicates an example of a multipath, the signal from the missile being reflected by the tailplane 60 of the aircraft 10 before reaching the receiver 22. The very short duration of each pulse 42 or 44, and the fact that the pulse is a near single cycle with a rapid rise to a power peak from a level of no significant power in the bandwidth of the pulse, enables signals from multiple reflections to be resolved, and also enables reflections from different features on the missile (eg nose and tail) to be resolved.

For example, Figure 5 shows the idealised received signal in the inventive system as having significant amplitude at a first region 62 caused by reflection of a pulse from the missile nose, at a second region 64 caused by reflection of a pulse from the missile tail, and at further regions 66, 68 caused by multipath reflections from the missile nose and tail respectively.

The airborne equipment of the system, ie the equipment on the aircraft 10, is illustrated diagrammatically in Figure 7.

Associated with each of the two transmitters 12, 14 are the antennas of the four receivers 16, 18, 20, 22; 24, 26, 28, 30. An upper transceiver 70 generates the pulses transmitted by the upper transmitter 12 and processes the four waveforms received by the upper receivers 16, 18, 20, 22. A lower transceiver 72 performs a similar function for the lower transmitter 14 and lower receivers 24, 26, 28, 30.

The upper transceiver 70 includes a master clock in the timer sequencer 82 (Figure 8). The master clock produces a repetitive square wave the rising edge of which triggers the upper transmitter 12 to produce a pulse 42. The repetitive square wave is also passed to the timer sequencer of the lower transceiver 72 and the falling edge of the square wave triggers the lower transmitter 14 to produce a pulse 44.

The processed data from the two transceivers 70, 72 is passed to a data formatter 74 where it is digitised and assembled into a serial data stream which is passed to a telemetry package 76 for transmission to the ground by two telemetry antennas 78, 80 which are collectively equivalent to the transmitter 32 of Figure 1.

Figure 8 illustrates the composition of each transceiver 70 or 72.

The individual transceiver 70 or 72 is controlled by a timer-sequencer 82 which by means of a stable oscillator triggers a transmit pulse generator 84 repeatedly and regularly. The transmit pulse is filtered by a band stop filter 86 to prevent interference with aircraft systems. This filtered pulse is then transmitted by the antenna of the transmitter 12 (or 14). Each receiver (eg 16) receives a signal which is filtered to reject the drone control (in this case a 20MHz wide filter 88 at 400MHz) and then filtered to band limit signals to 300MHz to 1000MHz by high pass and low pass filters 90, 92. The signal is then amplified at 94 and sampled by gating means at precise delays after pulse transmission in respective range gates or samplers 96, 98, 100, 102. In this example there are four samplers per receiver at sampling instants defined by the timer sequencer. The sampled waveforms are then low-pass filtered in filters 104 and passed to the formatter 74.

As indicated in Figure 8, the components within the broken line boundary 106 are repeated eight times, once for each of the eight receivers.

The operation of the transceivers may be understood from Figure 9 which shows the sequence of events for a single receiver for each of the two transmitters 12, 14. The delays of the four sampling pulses after transmission depend on the aircraft installation. In this example, the range gates are at 7.5m, 15m, 22.5m and 30m nominal range corresponding to a time interval of 50 nanoseconds between each successive sampling pulse. The interval between the two transmissions is determined by the requirement to receive the signal from transmitter 12 at range 4 before the transmission from transmitter 14. The sampling process shown is repeated for each of the four receivers associated with a given transmitter. Hence, for each transmitted pulse 42 from the transmitter 12, there are four signals from each of the four receivers 16, 18, 20, 22, and for each transmitted pulse 44 from the transmitter 14 there are four signals from each of the four receivers 24, 26, 28, 30, making a total of thirty two signals.

The sampler 96 produces a first sampling pulse (shown as Range 1 in Figure 9) after a predetermined delay of 50 nanoseconds from transmission of a pulse 42 from the transmitter 12, corresponding to the pulse covering a total of 15 metres in its passage from the transmitter 12 to the missile and thence as a reflected pulse from the missile to the corresponding receiver. Hence the reception of a reflected pulse at the receiver during the time duration of this sampling pulse means that the missile has penetrated a notional envelope or shell having a shape of an ellipsoid with the transmitter and receiver as foci. The remaining sampling pulses (Range 2, Range 3, Range 4) are produced by the samplers 98, 100, 102 at longer time delays (100, 150, 200 nanoseconds) corresponding to notional envelopes or shells which are larger in size. In each case, the sampling pulse is accurately timed and is of short duration (700 picoseconds) so that the reception of a reflected pulse from the missile, during the very short time window of the sampling pulse, can be unambiguously resolved and reliably detected as the missile penetrating the predetermined envelope corresponding to the time delay (50, 100, 150 or 200 nanoseconds) of the sampling pulse after the transmitted pulse. A similar sequence of four gating pulses is produced after similar time delays from transmission of a pulse 44 by transmitter 14.

Referring to Figure 10, the 2x16 audio frequency outputs from the two transceivers 70, 72 (derived from the thirty two signals previously mentioned) are passed to the formatter and filtered and amplified by the signal conditioner so that the signal lies between ± 5 volts and the individual channels are passed to the analogue-to-digital converter and converted to 10-bit digital words. These words are then formatted into a serial bit stream for supply to the telemetry package 76 of Figure 7.

Figure 11 shows an idealised missile signature with separate scattered waveforms 108, 110 from the nose and the tail respectively. The duration and separation of the waveform depends on the length of the missile and the illustrated case is for a missile approximately 2 metres long.

Figure 12 shows the output from four range gates (5, 10, 15, 20 metres), for each of two receivers. There is a characteristic hyperbolic curve enclosing the missile approach and recede. The record illustrated shows considerable multipath echoes which the high resolution radar resolves from missile scatter. The left hand edge 61 of Figure 12 corresponds to the instant before the missile arrives in the scoring zone. The missile is first detected at 63 at Rx3 20m and is then detected subsequently at shorter ranges 65, 67, 69. Separate reflections from nose and tail can be seen at 71 and 73. The middle part of the signal corresponds to multipath 75 and the missile then leaves each range gate in turn 77, 79, 81, 83.

Figure 13 shows a simplified system with a single transmitter 12 and three receivers 16, 18, 20. Each receiver and the transmitter define respective notional envelopes or shells 112, 114, 116 of ellipsoidal shape, having the transmitter and receiver as foci. Each shell corresponds to a particular range gate at the corresponding receiver. No signal is observed at a range gate until the missile 54 penetrates the shell.

The range gates shown are augmented by range gates at other ranges and other receivers to give 32 range gate shells surrounding the aircraft which the missile will intersect in a sequence determined by its trajectory (see Figure 15).

Figure 14 shows the components of the telemetry receiver 36 and ground station 40.

The telemetry data is received at a downlink receiver 118 and its duplicate 120 and decrypted. (All the data from both receivers 118, 120 is recorded on HDDR tape 122 for later analysis). The data stream is analysed by a frame synchroniser 124, which locates the frame synchronisation word to divide the data into frames. One of the receiving channels is selected by a source selector 126 for monitoring by the real-time system health monitor 128 which gives a go/no go indication for the system. A range interface 130 multiplexes range data into the recording system such as time, firing event and voice communications.

For analysis, the data is replayed through a DMA interface controller 132 which dumps the data into the memory of a Quick Look Processor 134. The Quick Look Processor 134 prints the data out on a chart recorder 136 (a sequence of pictures as in Figure 12 for each of the eight receivers) to enable a quick assessment of the trial.

The data is then transferred to computer compatible tape 138 for detailed analysis by the track reconstruction facility.

In Figure 15 a missile track 140 is shown intersecting a family of envelopes corresponding to different range gates of the system. The important point is that the range gate intersections with respect to different receivers do not occur simultaneously. The missile trajectory is modelled as a straight line whose starting point and velocity are chosen to produce the observed range gate crossing times. Where separate features of the missile are detected (such as the nose and the tail), the different features move with a common velocity and the length between the points is constrained to a value around the physical length.

Thus the algorithm is to minimise the following function:

$$F = \sum_i [||\underline{x}_i - \underline{P}|| + ||\underline{x}_i - \underline{R}|| - d]^2$$

where:

- $\underline{x}_i$ Position of the detected missile feature at the time of detection
- $\underline{P}$ Position of the transmitter which transmitted the pulse reflected from the missile
- $\underline{R}$ Position of the receiver making the detection
- d Range of the range gate making the detection
- $|| \quad ||$ Euclidean norm of the vector

The sum is taken over all detections of the missile.

Figure 16 shows the sequence of the system in block form. Referring to Figure 16a, pulse generation 142 corresponds to the generation (at 84 in Figure 8) of the 2 nanosecond pulses at 2MHz frequency. Filtering at 144 removes part of the frequency spectrum interfering with the aircraft systems and corresponds to filter 86 in Figure 8. Transmission 146 is radiation of the sequence of pulses from the corresponding transmitter 12 or

14, and reflection 148 is reflection from the missile. Reception 150 is reception by the corresponding receiver, and filtering 152 rejects interfering sources (such as drone telecommand), corresponding to filters 88, 90, 92 in Figure 8. Amplification 154 corresponds to the process in amplifier 94 in Figure 8, and sampling 156 corresponds to the range gate sampling performed at 96, 98, 100, 102 in Figure 8.

Referring to Figure 16b, filtering 158 rejects out of band noise (corresponding to filters 104) and conversion 160 converts the signals from analogue to digital format (corresponding to the analogue to digital conversion in formatter 74). Formatting 162 involves gathering the data from the thirty two receive channels in a serial bit stream and encryption 164 prepares the data for transmission to the ground station. The signals are then modulated at 166 and amplified at 168 before being transmitted to the ground at 170, corresponding to function 34 in Figure 1.

Referring to Figure 16c, the data is received on the ground (172) by the telemetry receiver 36 of Figure 1. The remaining functions are carried out on the ground and are as indicated in the legends in the blocks of Figures 16c and 16d.

The described system also measures the pitch and yaw of the missile, which it derives from the tracks of two independent scatterers on the missile (such as the nose and tail).

The described system may be extended to measure curved trajectories by adding more range gates to the system.

Claims

1. A system for sensing the approach of a moving missile (54) to a target (10), comprising transmitting means (12, 14) on the target (10) for transmitting a succession of transmit pulses (42, 44), receiving means (16, 18, 20, 22, 24, 26, 28, 30) on the target (10) for receiving the pulses reflected by the missile (54), gating means (96, 98, 100, 102) on the target for sampling the reflected pulses received at the receiving means (16, 18, 20, 22, 24, 26, 28, 30) during a succession of time windows each of which is delayed with respect to the time of transmission of a corresponding transmit pulse by a predetermined time delay corresponding to the pulse travelling a predetermined distance from the transmitting means to the missile and thence back to the receiving means, so that sampled signals from the receiving means (16, 18, 20, 22, 24, 26, 28, 30) are indicative of the missile (54) entering or leaving a notional envelope (112, 114, 116) surrounding the target (10) at a range corresponding to said predetermined time delay, and processing means (36, 40) which are responsive to the sampled signals and which compute the approach of the missile, characterised in that each transmitted pulse consists substantially of a single radio frequency cycle and commences, from a level of no significant transmitted power in the frequency bandwidth of the pulse, with a rapid rise to a power peak, such that the sampled signals are indicative of reflected pulses from the missile.
2. A system according to claim 1, wherein each pulse has a time duration less than 4 nanoseconds.
3. A system according to either of the preceding claims, wherein the gating means (96, 98, 100, 102) produce gating pulses each having a duration corresponding to said time window.
4. A system according to claim 3, wherein each gating pulse has a duration of substantially 700 picoseconds.
5. A system according to claim 3 or 4, wherein the gating means (96, 98, 100, 102) are operative to sample the reflected pulses at the receiving means, (16, 18, 20, 22, 24, 26) at a plurality of different time delays, so that the sampled signals from the receiving means (16, 18, 20, 22, 24, 26) are indicative of the missile (54) entering or leaving a plurality of notional envelopes, having sizes corresponding to the respective time delays.
6. A system according to any of the preceding claims, wherein the transmitting means comprise a single transmitter and the receiving means comprise a single receiver.
7. A system according to claim 6, wherein the single transmitter and single receiver are located at the same position, or closely adjacent one another, so that the or each envelope is a sphere, or closely approximates to a sphere, centred on the transmitter and receiver.
8. A system according to any of claims 1 to 5, wherein the receiving means include a plurality of receivers (16, 18, 22, 24, 26) located at different positions on the target (10), each receiver being associated with

the same transmitter (12, 14) and each giving rise to a corresponding notional envelope for each time delay.

- 5 9. A system according to any of claims 1 to 5 or 8, wherein the transmitting means comprise two transmitters (12, 14) located at different positions on the target, the transmit pulses (42, 44) from the two transmitters being interleaved, so that pulses are produced from the transmitters 12, 14) in alternate sequence.

Patentansprüche

- 10 1. Ein System zum Messen der Annäherung eines sich bewegenden Geschosses (54) an ein Ziel (10) mit Sendemitteln (12, 14) auf dem Ziel (10) zum Senden einer Aufeinanderfolge von Sendeimpulsen (42, 44), mit Empfängergeräten (16, 18, 20, 22, 24, 26, 28, 30) auf dem Ziel (10) zum Empfangen der von dem Ge-
15 schoß (54) reflektierten Impulse, mit Tormitteln (96, 98, 100, 102) auf dem Ziel (10) zum Abtasten der an den Empfängergeräten (16, 18, 20, 22, 24, 26, 28, 30) empfangenen reflektierten Impulse während einer Aufeinanderfolge von Zeitfenstern, von denen jedes gegenüber der Sendezeit eines entsprechenden Sendeimpulses um eine vorbestimmte Zeitverzögerung entsprechend dem eine vorbestimmte Entfer-
20 nung von den Sendemitteln zum Geschöß und dann zurück zu den Empfängergeräten wandernden Impuls verzögert ist, so daß die abgetasteten Signale von den Empfängergeräten (16, 18, 20, 22, 24, 26, 28, 30) anzeigen, daß das Geschöß (54) in eine das Ziel (10) in einem Abstand entsprechend der vorbestimmten Zeitverzögerung umschließende imaginäre Hülle (112, 114, 116) eintritt oder diese verläßt, und mit Ver-
25 arbeitungsmitteln (36, 40), die auf die abgetasteten Signale ansprechen und die Annäherung des Ge-
schosses errechnen, dadurch gekennzeichnet, daß jeder gesendete Impuls im wesentlichen aus einem einzigen Radiofrequenzzyklus besteht und von einer Höhe von keiner bedeutenden gesendeten Energie in der Frequenzbandbreite des Impulses beginnt mit einem raschen Anstieg auf eine Energiespitze derart, daß die abgetasteten Signale vom Geschöß reflektierte Impulse anzeigen.
2. Ein System nach Anspruch 1, wobei jeder Impuls eine Zeitdauer von weniger als vier Nanosekunden auf-
30 weist.
3. Ein System nach einem der vorhergehenden Ansprüche, wobei die Tormittel (96, 98, 100, 102) Torimpulse jeder mit einer Dauer entsprechend dem Zeitfenster erzeugen.
- 35 4. Ein System nach Anspruch 3, wobei jeder Torimpuls eine Dauer von im wesentlichen 700 Picosekunden aufweist.
5. Ein System nach Anspruch 3 oder 4, wobei die Tormittel (96, 98, 100, 102) die reflektierten Impulse an den Empfängergeräten (16, 18, 20, 22, 24, 26) an einer Vielzahl von verschiedenen Zeitverzögerungen
40 abtasten, so daß die abgetasteten Signale von den Empfängergeräten (16, 18, 20, 22, 24, 26) das in eine Vielzahl von imaginären Hüllen mit Größen entsprechend den betreffenden Zeitverzögerungen eintre-
tendes oder diese verlassendes Geschöß (54) anzeigen.
6. Ein System nach irgendeinem der vorhergehenden Ansprüche, wobei die Sendermittel einen einzigen
45 Sender und die Empfängergeräten einen einzigen Empfänger umfassen.
7. Ein System nach Anspruch 6, wobei der einzige Sender und der einzige Empfänger an der gleichen Stelle oder dicht beieinander angeordnet sind, so daß die oder jede Hülle eine Kugel ist oder sich einer auf den
50 Sender und den Empfänger zentrierten Kugel eng annähert.
8. Ein System nach irgendeinem der Ansprüche 1 bis 5, wobei die Empfängergeräten eine Vielzahl von an ver-
schiedenen Stellen auf dem Ziel (10) angeordneten Empfängern (16, 18, 22, 24, 26) enthalten, jeder Emp-
fänger mit dem gleichen Sender (12, 14) zusammenwirkt und jeder zu einer entsprechenden imaginären
55 Hülle für jede Zeitverzögerung führt.
9. Ein System nach irgendeinem der Ansprüche 1 bis 5 oder 8, wobei die Sendemittel zwei an verschiedenen
Stellen auf dem Ziel angeordnete Sender (12, 14) enthalten, die Sendeimpulse (42, 44) von den beiden
Sendern miteinander verschachtelt sind, so daß die Impulse von den Sendern (12, 14) in abwechselnder
Folge erzeugt werden.

Revendications

1. Système pour détecter l'approche d'un missile (54) se déplaçant vers une cible (10), comprenant des moyens d'émission (12, 14) situés sur la cible (10) pour émettre une succession d'impulsions émises (42, 44), des moyens de réception (16, 18, 20, 22, 24, 26, 28, 30) situés sur la cible (10) pour recevoir les impulsions réfléchies par le missile (54), des moyens de déclenchement (96, 98, 100, 102) sur la cible pour échantillonner les impulsions réfléchies reçues par les moyens de réception (16, 18, 20, 22, 24, 26, 28, 30) pendant une succession de fenêtres de temps dont chacune est retardée par rapport au temps d'émission d'une impulsion émise avec un délai prédéterminé correspondant à la propagation de l'impulsion sur une distance prédéterminée entre des moyens d'émission et le missile et revenant de là vers les moyens de réception, de sorte que les signaux échantillonnés venant des moyens de réception (16, 18, 20, 22, 24, 26, 28, 30) indiquent que le missile (54) entre dans ou quitte une enveloppe fictive (112, 114, 116) entourant la cible (10) avec une distance d'approche correspondant audit délai prédéterminé, et des moyens de traitement (36, 40) sensibles aux signaux échantillonnés et qui calculent l'approche du missile, caractérisé en ce que chaque impulsion émise est constituée essentiellement d'un seul cycle de fréquence radioélectrique et commence, à partir d'un niveau de puissance émise non significatif dans la largeur de bande de fréquence de l'impulsion, avec une montée rapide vers un maximum de puissance de telle sorte que les signaux échantillonnés soient représentatifs des impulsions réfléchies à partir du missile.
2. Système selon la revendication 1, dans lequel chaque impulsion a une durée inférieure à 4 nanosecondes.
3. Système selon l'une ou l'autre des revendications précédentes dans lequel les moyens de déclenchement (86, 98, 100, 102) produisent des impulsions de déclenchement ayant chacune une durée correspondant à ladite fenêtre de temps.
4. Système selon la revendication 3, dans lequel chaque impulsion de déclenchement possède une durée proche de 700 picosecondes,
5. Système selon la revendication 3 ou 4, dans lequel les moyens de déclenchement (96, 98, 100, 102) sont opérationnels pour échantillonner les impulsions réfléchies par les moyens de réception (16, 18, 20, 22, 24, 26) avec une pluralité de différents temps de retard, de sorte que les signaux échantillonnés venant des moyens de réception (16, 18, 20, 22, 24, 26) sont indicateurs du missile (54) entrant dans ou quittant une pluralité d'enveloppes fictives, ayant des dimensions correspondant aux temps de retard respectifs.
6. Système selon l'une quelconque des revendications précédentes, dans lequel les moyens d'émission comprennent un émetteur unique et les moyens de réception comprennent un récepteur unique.
7. Système selon la revendication 6, dans lequel l'émetteur unique et le récepteur unique sont placés au même endroit, ou très près l'un de l'autre, de sorte que ou chaque enveloppe est une sphère, ou elle ressemble très étroitement à une sphère, centrée sur l'émetteur et le récepteur.
8. Système selon l'une quelconque des revendications 1 à 5, dans lequel les moyens de réception comprennent une pluralité de récepteurs (16, 18, 22, 24, 26) placés à des endroits différents sur la cible (10), chaque récepteur étant associé au même émetteur (12, 14) et chacun donnant naissance à une enveloppe fictive correspondante pour chaque temps de retard.
9. Système selon l'une quelconque des revendications 1 à 5 ou 8, dans lequel les moyens d'émission comprennent deux émetteurs (12, 14) placés à des endroits différents sur la cible, les impulsions émises (42, 44) provenant des deux émetteurs étant entrelacées, de sorte que les impulsions sont produites à partir des émetteurs (12, 14) en séquence alternée.




Fig. 1




Fig. 2






Fig. 3






Fig. 6




Fig. 7




Fig. 8




Fig. 9





Fig. 10

*Fig. 11*




Fig.12




Fig. 13




Fig. 14




Fig. 15




Fig. 16a




Fig. 16b




Fig. 16c




Fig. 16d