



(51) International Patent Classification:

B60R 19/34 (2006.01) B21C 37/16 (2006.01)
 B21C 37/15 (2006.01) C21D 6/00 (2006.01)
 F16F 7/12 (2006.01) C21D 9/08 (2006.01)
 C21D 7/00 (2006.01) C21D 9/50 (2006.01)
 B21C 37/08 (2006.01) C21D 7/12 (2006.01)

(21) International Application Number:

PCT/EP2021/051994

(22) International Filing Date:

28 January 2021 (28.01.2021)

(25) Filing Language:

English

(26) Publication Language:

English

(30) Priority Data:

20154182.8 28 January 2020 (28.01.2020) EP

(71) Applicant: **OUTOKUMPU OYJ** [FI/FI]; Salmisaarenranta 11, 00180 HELSINKI (FI).

(72) Inventor: **LINDNER, Stefan**; Mittelstrasse 120, 47877 WILlich (DE).

(81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM, AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BN, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DJ, DK, DM, DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IR, IS, IT, JO, JP, KE, KG, KH, KN, KP, KR, KW, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PA, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, QA, RO, RS, RU, RW,

SA, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, WS, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, RW, SD, SL, ST, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, RU, TJ, TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, RS, SE, SI, SK, SM, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, KM, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Declarations under Rule 4.17:

- as to applicant's entitlement to apply for and be granted a patent (Rule 4.17(ii))
- of inventorship (Rule 4.17(iv))

Published:

- with international search report (Art. 21(3))

(54) Title: EXPANDED TUBE FOR A MOTOR VEHICLE CRASH BOX AND MANUFACTURING METHOD FOR IT




FIG. 1

(57) Abstract: The present invention relates to a motor vehicle crash box with a working direction in vehicle's longitudinal axis manufactured out of a tube which is expanded into different zones (1, 2, 3, 4) different in strength and diameter by using steel which has even after forming a homogenous austenitic microstructure with a strain hardening effect. The present invention further relates to the manufacturing method of such a component.

EXPANDED TUBE FOR A MOTOR VEHICLE CRASH BOX AND MANUFACTURING METHOD FOR IT

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

- 5 The present invention relates to a motor vehicle crash box with a working direction in the longitudinal axis of the motor vehicle. The present invention further relates to the manufacturing method of such a component.

BACKGROUND

- 10 State-of-the-art motor vehicles are equipped at each end of their length or longest dimension (front and rear ends) with energy absorption elements as crash-protection parts. Such elements are called crash-management systems or bumper systems and are typically attached to one another by one cross member connected with two state-of-the-art crash boxes, which in turn are
- 15 connected with the car body construction. Thereby, the crash boxes absorb kinetic crash energy during an impact. The crash boxes are irreversibly plastically deformed by compressing or folding. As a result, the car body itself should be protected without any structural damage or distortion.
- 20 The component crash box as one part of the motor vehicle typically has different, partially seemingly contrary properties like enabling high crash-safety while at the same time being light in weight to reduce fuel consumption and thereby reduce CO₂ emissions, and being cost-effective. Moreover, the crash box provides protection to pedestrians. Further requirements for this component
- 25 are easy assembly with the neighboring parts, optimal space utilization and the component should be easily replaceable after an impact. The "Research Council for Automobile Repairs" developed a test scenario called RCAR crash test to evaluate damage and repairing costs after rear-impact crashes and collisions at lower speed levels. The result of such tests has a direct influence
- 30 on the insurance rating of passenger vehicles and is therefore of considerable interest to end-users.

Several different geometrical shapes have been used for the design of crash boxes for motor vehicles. Circular and polygonal tubes as well as modular designs with multi-chamber profiles or box-shape constructions which can be conically tapered in the longitudinal direction of the component. While
5 homogeneous tube profiles provide constant force absorption, the resistance against cross-forces and bending torque is lower than for profiles of other geometries. Tubes present the easiest producible and therefore most cost-effective geometry for crash boxes.

10 In response to the increasing demands of crash test authorities such as Euro NCAP and U.S. NCAP extensive profile forms provided by two half-shells set together and divided into different length zones are most common today. To enable the required crash behavior, dimples or corrugations are introduced into the crash boxes by homogeneous folding. The forming of dimples or
15 corrugations in the profiles or half shells of the produced crash boxes is a further manufacturing step, increasing the component costs as a direct result.

Various state-of-the-art used solutions exist for combining lightweight and crash safety for crash box components. US patent application publication
20 US2017113638A1 describes a cross member which is made of light metal alloy and configured as a hollow profile to define an interior space and which has a top beam and a bottom beam, with the top beam and/or bottom beam having a recess defined by a marginal area in the form of a collar oriented into an interior space of the cross member. Arranged at an end of the cross member is a crash
25 box formed with a flange sized to overlap at least one region of the cross member in motor vehicle longitudinal direction. A spacer is arranged in the interior space of the cross member and has a chamfer for formfitting engagement by the collar. A fastener is configured for passage of the recess and thereby extending in a motor vehicle vertical direction through the flange of
30 each crash box and the spacer. The usage of light metal alloy hollow profiles is cost expensive and results in manufacturing an expensive component.

In US patent application 9663051B2 the crash box is divided into different length zones. The use of a conical configuration combined with the introduction of beads represents an extensive effort during manufacturing of the crash box and results in higher component costs.

5

Another example of a cost-expensive way of manufacturing a crash box can be provided in US patent application publication 2017210319A1 where a plurality of supporting walls is inserted in the longitudinal direction of the crash box. At least one of the supporting walls is designed as a vault.

10

US patent application publication 2013119705A1 discloses a crash box system in which a multiple chamber profile is used with the integration of an additional support element as well as a fastening profile. Also the integration of weakening tools and longitudinal rips, known from the US patent application publication 2013048455A1 demonstrates an additional effort during component manufacturing resulting in higher component costs.

15

US patent application 2011291431A1 describes a crash box using manganese-boron alloyed steel grade, which must be press-hardened and further annealed between 300 – 450°C. Such grades have the technical disadvantage of being brittle even in their weld zones because of their martensitic microstructure having a significantly lower energy absorption potential than grades with an austenitic, ductile microstructure. Moreover, the manufacturing process of press-hardening plus further annealing results in cost-expensive production with inefficient cycle times, high investment costs and unsatisfactory impact on life cycle assessment. The US patent application 2011291431A1 points out a yield strength level of $R_{p0.2} = 1,150\text{MPa}$ with an elongation of $A_5 = 8\%$. These values contrast with the requirements of the component being ductile, deformable and lower in strength than the passenger safety cell of the car body well known from Béla Barényi and its DE patent application 854157C for a passenger compartment. There, the strength decreases constantly or in gradual phases in

25

30

direction of the front and back end of the vehicle and since then the front compartment and rear end of the car are known as deformable zones.

Most of state-of-the-art used crash box systems are mechanical solutions in order to minimise costs during a repair or replace situation after an impact. Other systems are also available e.g. using sensors known from the international patent application publication WO 2011073049A1. Also, pneumatic or hydraulic damper solutions are generally possible from a technical point of view to fulfill the component requirements.

10

Summarizing, no state-of-the-art crash box system using a cost-effective tube design exists which fulfills the actual crash requirements as well as today's OEM's demands for lightweight by exploiting target-orientated the strain-hardening characteristic of austenitic steels. Further, there is state-of-the-art no manufacturing process known, using an expanding forming to create a crash box component.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

It is an aim of the present invention to eliminate some drawbacks of the prior art and to provide a lightweight and cost-effective motor vehicle crash box with a working direction in vehicle's longitudinal axis manufactured out of a tube, e.g. a circular tube or polygonal tube, which is expanded into different zones different in strength and diameter by using steel which has a homogenous austenitic microstructure with a strain hardening effect even after forming. Moreover, the crash box of the present invention is easy to assemble and scalable depending on the vehicle model and its dimensions.

The present invention relates to a motor vehicle crash box with a working direction in the longitudinal axis of the motor vehicle, and a manufacturing method thereof. The invention is defined by what is disclosed in the independent claims. Preferable embodiments are set out in the dependent claims.

30

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

The present invention relates to a motor vehicle crash box with a working direction in vehicle's longitudinal axis manufactured out of a tube, e.g. a circular tube or a polygonal tube, which is expanded into different zones different in strength and diameter by using steel which has even after forming a homogenous one-phase microstructure with a strain hardening effect, for example a homogenous austenitic microstructure. The present invention further relates to the manufacturing method of such a component.

- 10 Embodiments describe a motor vehicle crash box. In one embodiment the motor vehicle crash box has a working direction in a vehicle's longitudinal axis. The motor vehicle crash box is manufactured out of a tube which is expanded by a forming process into an expanded tube having at least two zones having different material strength and different geometry. Thus in one embodiment the
- 15 crash box comprises an expanded tube having at least two zones. Each of the zones has different material strength and a different shape or geometry. In one embodiment, the tube is a circular tube. In a further embodiment the tube is a polygonal tube. For the purposes of the present invention a circular tube is a tube in which both the lumen or inside space of the tube and the outer
- 20 circumference of the tube are circular and of non-fluctuating diameter. In an embodiment the inside space of the tube has a diameter which is essentially the same along the length of the tube and similarly the tube has an external diameter which is essentially the same along the length of the tube.
- 25 In a further embodiment the zones have a strength $[N/mm^2]$ to diameter $[mm]$ ratio of 6.0 – 9.0 N/mm^3 . The strengths are measured by the method according to DIN EN 10216 in which a steel tensile test of one zone of the tube is carried out under quasi-static conditions at room temperature. The hardening behavior of stable one-phase steel is known from tensile tests according to DIN EN ISO
- 30 6892-1:2017-02, which are carried out under flat sheet conditions, quasi-static conditions and a room temperature. Armed with the knowledge of the strain

hardening rate of the material in the flat sheet condition, the resulting strength after expanding the tube related to the forming degree can be calculated.

In one embodiment between the different zones is a minimum strength delta of
5 $\Delta R_m \geq 75 \text{ MPa}$, preferably $\Delta R_m \geq 120 \text{ MPa}$, measured according to the methods described above. The differences in strengths between the zones are optimized to result in crumple zones for absorbing kinetic crash energy, as described in the following embodiment in which the expanded tube with its different zones is firstly folded by the zone having the smallest diameter, followed by the others
10 depending on the respectively smallest diameter.

In other words, in one embodiment the zones have a foldability which is inversely proportional to the diameter of the zone. This means that the zone with the smallest diameter folds first and the zone with largest diameter folds
15 last on an impact.

In a further embodiment the expanded tube is configured to provide a residual safety area by reaching a block length of the system, preferably $L_B \geq 80 \text{ mm}$, more preferably $L_B \geq 100 \text{ mm}$, after impact. This limits the transfer of forces into
20 a passenger compartment of a vehicle.

In one embodiment the center in the longitudinal direction works as a mirror axis and the zones are characterized in a way that starting from the outer-sides to the center, the diameter of the zone decreases towards the center.
25

In a preferred embodiment at least one end of the expanded tube is widened to provide a flange around the circumference of the end of the tube, the flange being essentially perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the tube box and its working direction. The flange provides a surface for attaching the crash box to a
30 neighboring vehicle part, for example to a bumper or to the chassis of a car. The flange can be, for example, welded as a fillet on the lap joint or mechanically attached in lap joint condition to the neighboring vehicle parts, for

example by screws or other mechanical attachments, like rivets, nails, nuts, bolts *etc.* Such attachment means provide for easy assembly and minimize costs downstream when installing a crash box in a motor vehicle.

- 5 Further embodiments relate to a method of manufacturing a motor vehicle crash box with a working direction in a vehicle's longitudinal axis. In one embodiment the tube is manufactured as a longitudinal-welded tube, preferably by high-frequency welding. Welded tubes in general cost significantly less than cold drawn seamless tubes. Welding, in particular high-frequency welding offers the
- 10 highest production speed. Further, high-frequency welding has the lowest possible heat input. The heat input in this method is concentrated at the surfaces to be welded. This concentration of the heat input makes the method ideal for welding thin tubes, e.g. tubes having a steel thickness of $0.8\text{mm} \leq t \leq 2.5\text{mm}$. Thermal distortion is reduced and internal stresses of the tubes are
- 15 lowered.

In a further embodiment the tube is expanded by a mechanical drift expanding process in a mechanical drift expanding process machine. Mechanical drift expanding process machines are relatively inexpensive. Mechanical drift

20 expanding process machines can be used both for testing quality of weld seams and for carrying out the expanding forming step.

In a preferred embodiment the mechanical drift expanding process machine uses at least two different expanding mandrels for at least two different zones of

25 the crash box. The use of different mandrels optimizes the process.

In a suitable embodiment the mechanical drift expanding process machine has a mirrored longitudinal axis to create a symmetrical crash box.

- 30 In one embodiment the tube is manufactured out of strain-hardenable, fully austenitic steels, preferably austenitic stainless steels, having an initial yield strength $R_{p0.2} \geq 380 \text{ MPa}$ and an initial elongation $A_{80} \geq 40 \%$, measured by the

tensile test according to DIN EN ISO 6892-1:2017-02 under flat sheet conditions, quasi-static and at room temperature.

In a particular embodiment the tube has an initial thickness of $0.8\text{mm} \leq t \leq$
5 2.5mm and the ratio of the initial diameter to the thickness is $24 \leq r_d/t \leq 125$,
more preferably between $40 \leq r_d/t \leq 55$. The thickness and diameter may be
measured by various means known to those skilled in the art, e.g. with a caliper
or with a mechanical outside micrometer, a further method of measuring the
diameter could be an optical method, such as a laser distance measurement
10 method. The described thickness and internal diameter ratios are optimal for
forming crashboxes for use in passenger cars, trucks, buses or in agricultural
vehicles.

Thus, further embodiments relate to uses of expanded tubes. One embodiment
15 describes the use of an expanded tube as a crash box in a motor vehicle. In an
embodiment the motor vehicle in which the crash box is integrated is a
passenger car, a truck, a bus or an agricultural vehicle. In a further embodiment
the motor vehicle in which the crash box is integrated is a battery electric
vehicle.

20

In a further embodiment the crash box is used as an energy absorbing element
in a crash barrier, guardrail or inside a railway vehicle.

In accordance with the objective of the present invention, the expanded tube
25 crash box is manufactured out of a longitudinally welded continuous tube,
preferably a circular tube whereby the continuous manufactured tube is cut in a
first step to the later needed component length. The tube cut to the component
length is then expanded by a mechanical drift expanding process from at least
one side of the tube, preferably from both ends of the tube. To provide an
30 economically attractive component, the longitudinal welding process is
preferably a high-frequency welding process which further provides high
ductility and power transmission in the welded zones by using austenitic steels.

Alternatively, a laser beam welding process can be used to fulfill the method of the present invention.

The cut tube is expanded by a forming process, preferably by a mechanical drift
5 expanding process, into at least two zones different in material strength and geometry, especially in tube diameter. By using austenitic steels with a cold-formable strain-hardening mechanism, the higher expanded zone with a higher resulting diameter offers a higher strength level. As a resulting behavior during an impact situation in the longitudinal direction of the motor vehicle, the
10 expanded tube crash box will fold together, whereby the zone with the smallest diameter and therefore the lowest strength level will fold first. Because there is at the same time the highest elongation in this zone, the potential for energy absorption which means converting the kinetic impact energy into material-related plastic deformation is at its highest. If the impact force is not relieved by
15 the folding of the first zone, the same effect takes place in the zone with the second smallest diameter and so on. In a low energy impact the zone with the thinnest diameter that has the lowest strength will fold. In impacts with higher energy, zones with increasing diameter and increasing strength will also fold one after another so that energy can be continuously absorbed by the crash
20 box. Thus, the crash box absorbs kinetic impact energy such that the car body and particularly an occupant in the car is not affected by the.

The resistance against the impact will increase in two ways with the crash box and method of the present invention: Firstly, on a material-related way because
25 the used strain-hardening austenitic steels will increase in strength during the affecting impact because of their hardening mechanism. With this effect, a ratio of yield strength $[N/mm^2]$ to diameter of the respective zone $[mm]$ can be defined as design factor for the component engineers. For the method of the present invention with the combination of an expanded tube crash box and
30 strain-hardenable austenitic steels, the ratio is suitable between 6.0 – 9.0 N/mm^3 . The resistance against the impact will increase in a second way which is geometry related because the continuous folding of the lower diameter zone

into the higher diameter zones results in the effect that more material must be folded during the respective next folding step. At the end, a component condition is reached where a block length L_B is attained which can be defined as a residual safety area at which the maximum resistance against the impact
5 acts. Preferably, the block length of the crash box is reached at a length of $L_B \geq 80$ mm, more preferably $L_B \geq 100$ mm.

In a preferred embodiment, there are three zones (1,2,3) having three diameters (d_1 , d_2 , d_3) respectively, see Fig. 1, whereby the center in
10 longitudinal direction works as a mirror axis. The zones are characterized in a way that starting from the outer-sides to the center, the diameter of the zone decreases. To realize the desired folding behavior of the different zones, it is preferably to construct the diameter in a way that because of the expanding process of the tube, a minimum strength delta between the different zones of
15 $\Delta R_m \geq 75$ MPa, preferably $\Delta R_m \geq 120$ MPa, is performed.

With the above-described way of construction, the necessary machine to perform the expanding of the tube as a mechanical drift expanding process must be adequately adapted to the design requirements. Therefore, the
20 machine is designed with tools having at least two different expanding mandrels for at least two different zones of the crash box. It is preferable that the total mandrel tool is able to shape one side of the mirror axis with one insertion of the tube into the tool. To realize a fast and cost-effective production for high vehicle volumes, it is further preferably that the machine has a mirrored longitudinal
25 axis to create a symmetrical crash box enabling an insertion with mandrel tools from both sides of the longitudinal axis of the tube so that from both sides the tube is expanded simultaneously to its mirror axis.

To enable a connection with the neighboring parts of the crash box, at least one
30 end, but preferably both ends, of the expanded tube is widened to provide a flange around the circumference of the end of the tube, the flange being essentially perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the tube box and its working

direction. The flange provides a surface for attaching the crash box to a neighboring vehicle part, for example to a bumper or to the chassis of a car. The flange can be, for example, welded as a fillet on the lap joint or mechanically attached in lap joint condition to the neighboring vehicle parts, for example by screws or other mechanical attachments, like rivets, nails, nuts, bolts *etc.* Such attachment means provide for easy assembly and minimize costs downstream when installing a crash box in a motor vehicle.

The benefit of such design is that the position and orientation of the joints is optimized to better resist stresses during an impact from the front or rear of the vehicle.

The initial material form before tube manufacturing is a flat steel with a thickness $t \leq 3.0$ mm, preferably between $0.8 \text{ mm} \leq t \leq 2.5$ mm and is typically provided to the tube manufacturer in the form of a coil or a strip. It is further suitable to define a ratio of the initial diameter of the tube to the thickness which is $24 \leq r_d/t \leq 125$, preferably between $40 \leq r_d/t \leq 55$. It is possible to manufacture single tubes out of sheets or plates, however, the use of strips and coils as starting materials for continuous tube manufacturing provides a cost-effective and large-scaled industrial crash box manufacturing for bigger vehicle volumes.

In one embodiment cold-formable and strain-hardenable austenitic steels, preferably stainless steels, having an initial yield strength $R_{p0.2} \geq 380$ MPa and an initial elongation $A_{80} \geq 45$ % are used. In a further embodiment, stable one-phase austenitic steels are used, fully austenitic even after forming and welding offering a TWIP (Twinning induced Plasticity) strain-hardening effect.

25

To provide a lightweight crash box combined with good or optimal crash resistance, meaning safety, it is suitable to use high-strength steels with an initial strength level of $R_{p0.2} \geq 380$ MPa, more preferably $R_{p0.2} \geq 450$ MPa. Beside the strength, ductility to expand the tube is also an important property.

The ductility of the material is further needed during a crash as energy absorption potential as a key property of the component. The higher the energy absorption of the crash box, the lower the forces and accelerations forward into

passenger compartment and thereby on the occupants. Due to the ductility of the crash box material, the crash box can absorb impact energy continuously and softly reducing the forces on an occupant in the vehicle. Therefore, ductility described as elongation after fracture with a value of $A_{80} \geq 40\%$, preferably $A_{80} \geq 50\%$, is suitable for the initial material of the present invention. The needed combination of strength and elongation is given by steels with an austenitic microstructure and a strain-hardening mechanism, especially by austenitic stainless steels, having a chromium content $Cr \geq 10.5\%$. The characteristic of strain-hardening gives the vehicle engineer the possibility to reach the final strength-ductility combination after finalizing component manufacturing, in this case after expanding the tube crash box. For austenitic steels there are two different hardening mechanisms: the first hardening takes place during cold-forming including manufacturing and the second hardening takes place on crash impact during the lifetime of the component. Steels with a metastable austenitic microstructure have the hardening effect of TRIP (*Transformation Induced Plasticity*) where the austenite changes into martensite during a forming load. Preferably for the method of the present invention steels with a fully austenitic microstructure having a so-called TWIP (*Twinning Induced Plasticity*) hardening effect, which is combined with a specific stacking fault energy (SFE) between 20 and 30 mJ/m² are used. The benefit of TWIP hardening austenitic steels is that during tube expanding, the microstructure stays in the initial ductile-austenitic condition without brittle martensitic phases and therefore the component has a homogeneous microstructure.

Further, austenitic stainless steels offer a significant higher corrosion resistance than low- or unalloyed steels because of their natural and repassivating chromium-oxide-surface layer. An additional dip-coating process of the component is thus avoided and the total costs of the crash box component are therefore reduced. In addition the life cycle environmental impact can be improved. The stainless steel crash box component is completely recyclable; it can be melted in an electric arc furnace at the end of the component's life.

The expanded tube crash box can be used in every motor vehicle, preferably inside a passenger car, but also inside trucks, buses or agricultural vehicles. It is further possible to adapt the method of the present invention by changing the thicknesses and diameters to higher values to enable usage inside railway
5 vehicles. Moreover, the expanded tube crash box could be also integrated into crash barrier or guardrail systems as energy absorbing elements to protect intruding vehicles and their occupants during a collision.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The present invention is illustrated in more detail referring to the attached drawings.

- 5 Fig. 1 shows one embodiment of the invention schematically seen from the side view,
Fig. 2 shows the relation of the component strength depending to the longitudinal length of the component,
Fig. 3 shows from the side view the situation from the initial in the vehicle
10 installed component geometry (left side) and the forming behavior during an impact situation (right side),
Fig. 4 shows from the side view the behavior of the component during an impact reaching the block length,
Fig. 5 shows from the side view the welding connection of the expanded tube to
15 the neighboring vehicle parts.

EMBODIMENTS ILLUSTRATING THE INVENTION

- Fig. 1 illustrates from the side view the expanded tube crash box after manufacturing. The dotted line demonstrates the longitudinal symmetry axis. In
20 this embodiment of the present invention, there are three zones (1), (2), (3) symmetrical in transverse direction whereby the zone 1 (1) with the smallest diameter d_1 is located in the central zone. From the central to the longitudinal outsides, the zones increase in their diameter, demonstrated with the zone 2 (2) and zone 3 (3).

25

- Fig. 2 illustrates for the component showed in fig. 1 the relation of strength in longitudinal direction of the component with the different zones whereby transition zones (4) are located between the main zones (1), (2) and (3) from Fig. 1. The transversal dotted lines (5) demonstrate the starting points where a
30 change of the zone and therefore a change in diameter and strength proceed. The zone 1 with the smallest diameter d_1 has the lowest strength level. With an increased diameter, the strength level increases, too. For the embodiment of

Fig.1 results that there exist two differences in strength named ΔR_m (6). The difference in strength between each diameter is essentially the same

Fig. 3 illustrates the forming behavior of the component during an impact situation from the longitudinal side whereby the zone with the lowest diameter, (1) from fig. 1, and therefore the lowest strength level fold. The zones with the higher diameter will slide over the ones with the lower diameter depending on the particular strength levels.

10 Fig. 4 illustrates the ongoing impact from fig. 3 at its ending position called block length L_B (7) where the energy absorption of the component is exhausted. The block length L_B (7) is further equal with a residual safety area where other components can be located and will not be influenced by the impact.

15 Fig. 5 illustrates one preferred embodiment of the present invention where at least one end of the expanded tube is widened in a way that the end (8) is bent across the longitudinal axis of the tube crash box and its working direction to enable joining on the lap joint (9) to the neighboring vehicle parts (10). The joining can be executed as welding as a fillet or like mechanical joining as a lap
20 joint like screwing.

CLAIMS

1. Motor vehicle crash box with a working direction in a vehicle's longitudinal axis **characterized** in that the component is manufactured out of a tube which
5 is expanded by a forming process into an expanded tube having at least two zones having different material strength and different geometry.
2. Motor vehicle crash box according to claim 1, **characterized** in that the zones have a strength [N/mm²] to diameter [mm] ratio of 6.0 – 9.0 N/mm³.
- 10 3. Motor vehicle crash box according to claim 1 or 2, **characterized** in that between the different zones is a minimum strength delta of $\Delta R_m \geq 75\text{MPa}$, preferably $\Delta R_m \geq 120\text{MPa}$.
- 15 4. Motor vehicle crash box according to any of the preceding claims, **characterized** in that the zones have a foldability which is inversely proportional to the diameter of the zone.
5. Motor vehicle crash box according to any of the preceding claims
20 **characterized** in that the expanded tube is configured to provide a residual safety area by reaching a block length of the system, preferably $L_B \geq 80\text{ mm}$, more preferably $L_B \geq 100\text{ mm}$, after impact.
6. Motor vehicle crash box according to any of the preceding claims,
25 **characterized** in that the center in longitudinal direction works as a mirror axis and the zones are characterized in a way that starting from the outer-sides to the center, the diameter of the zone decreases towards the center.
7. Method for manufacturing a motor vehicle crash box according to any of the
30 preceding claims, **characterized** in that the tube is manufactured as a longitudinal-welded tube, preferably by high-frequency welding.

8. Method according to claim 7, **characterized** in that the tube is expanded by a mechanical drift expanding process in a mechanical drift expanding process machine.
- 5 9. Method claim 7 or 8, **characterized** in that the mechanical drift expanding process machine uses at least two different expanding mandrels for at least two different zones of the crash box.
- 10 10. Method according to any of claims 7 to 9, **characterized** in that the mechanical drift expanding process machine has a mirrored longitudinal axis to create a symmetrical crash box.
- 15 11. Method according to any of claims 7 to 10, **characterized** in that the tube is manufactured out of strain-hardenable, fully austenitic steels, preferably austenitic stainless steels, having an initial yield strength $R_{p0.2} \geq 380$ MPa and an initial elongation $A_{80} \geq 40$ %.
- 20 12. Motor vehicle crash box according to any of claims 1 to 6 **characterized** in that at least one end of the expanded tube is widened to provide a flange around the circumference of the end of the tube, the flange being essentially perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the tube crash box and its working direction, said flange providing a surface for attaching the crash box to a neighboring vehicle part.
- 25 13. Method according to any of claims 7 to 11, **characterized** in that the tube has an initial thickness of $0.8 \text{ mm} \leq t \leq 2.5 \text{ mm}$ and the ratio of the initial diameter to the thickness is $24 \leq r_d/t \leq 125$, more preferably between $40 \leq r_d/t \leq 55$.
- 30 14. Use of an expanded tube as a crash box **characterized** in that the motor vehicle in which the crash box is integrated is a passenger car, a truck, a bus or an agricultural vehicle.

15. Use of an expanded tube as a crash box **characterized** in that crash box is an energy absorbing element in a crash barrier, guardrail or inside a railway vehicle.




FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3




FIG. 4




FIG. 5

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No
PCT/EP2021/051994

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER		
INV.	B60R19/34 B21C37/16	B21C37/15 C21D6/00
	F16F7/12 C21D9/08	C21D7/00 C21D9/50
	B21C37/08 C21D7/12	
ADD.		
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC		
B. FIELDS SEARCHED		
Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) B60R B21L F16F B21C C21D		
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched		
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) EPO-Internal, WPI Data		
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	JP 2011 011661 A (HONDA MOTOR CO LTD) 20 January 2011 (2011-01-20) the whole document	1-15
X	US 6 282 769 B1 (LONGO THOMAS W [US] ET AL) 4 September 2001 (2001-09-04) column 10, line 19 - column 12, line 5; figures 1-4B	1-15
X	US 6 702 345 B1 (YOSHIDA HIROSHI [JP]) 9 March 2004 (2004-03-09) column 3, line 4 - line 17	14,15
A	EP 2 765 014 A1 (BENTELER AUTOMOBILTECHNIK GMBH [DE]) 13 August 2014 (2014-08-13) the whole document	1-15
	-/--	
☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. ☒ See patent family annex.		
* Special categories of cited documents : "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art "&" document member of the same patent family		
Date of the actual completion of the international search 16 February 2021		Date of mailing of the international search report 25/02/2021
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016		Authorized officer Busuiocescu, Bogdan

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No

PCT/EP2021/051994

C(Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US 2015/114073 A1 (KATSUMURA TATSURO [JP] ET AL) 30 April 2015 (2015-04-30) the whole document -----	1-15
A	DE 20 2006 018616 U1 (COSMA ENG EUROPE AG [AT]) 17 April 2008 (2008-04-17) the whole document -----	1-15
A	US 6 386 347 B1 (REYNAERT ALAIN [FR]) 14 May 2002 (2002-05-14) the whole document -----	1-15
A	US 2003/057720 A1 (NEES RAINER B [US] ET AL) 27 March 2003 (2003-03-27) the whole document -----	1-15
A	WO 2005/021326 A2 (SHAPE CORP [US]; GLASGOW SCOTT C [US] ET AL.) 10 March 2005 (2005-03-10) the whole document -----	1-15

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No

PCT/EP2021/051994

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
JP 2011011661	A	20-01-2011	NONE
US 6282769	B1	04-09-2001	AT 290976 T 15-04-2005 AU 4765799 A 07-02-2000 AU 4765899 A 07-02-2000 BR 9912101 A 02-05-2001 CA 2337404 A1 27-01-2000 DE 69924259 T2 23-02-2006 EP 1098807 A1 16-05-2001 US 6282769 B1 04-09-2001 UY 25610 A1 23-02-2000 WO 0003895 A1 27-01-2000 WO 0003909 A1 27-01-2000
US 6702345	B1	09-03-2004	DE 10042221 A1 09-08-2001 US 6702345 B1 09-03-2004
EP 2765014	A1	13-08-2014	DE 102013101276 A1 14-08-2014 EP 2765014 A1 13-08-2014 JP 5757671 B2 29-07-2015 JP 2014151905 A 25-08-2014 US 2014223743 A1 14-08-2014
US 2015114073	A1	30-04-2015	BR 112014029007 A2 27-06-2017 CN 104349853 A 11-02-2015 EP 2857119 A1 08-04-2015 KR 20150011836 A 02-02-2015 US 2015114073 A1 30-04-2015 WO 2013179496 A1 05-12-2013
DE 202006018616	U1	17-04-2008	CN 101610938 A 23-12-2009 DE 202006018616 U1 17-04-2008 ES 2360928 T3 10-06-2011
US 6386347	B1	14-05-2002	AT 260424 T 15-03-2004 BR 0001595 A 02-01-2001 CA 2302849 A1 15-10-2000 EP 1045165 A1 18-10-2000 FR 2792384 A1 20-10-2000 JP 2000320595 A 24-11-2000 US 6386347 B1 14-05-2002
US 2003057720	A1	27-03-2003	AU 2002337716 A2 07-04-2003 CA 2462118 A1 03-04-2003 CN 1578740 A 09-02-2005 CN 1840388 A 04-10-2006 CN 1899884 A 24-01-2007 CN 1899885 A 24-01-2007 CN 1899886 A 24-01-2007 CN 1899887 A 24-01-2007 CN 1899888 A 24-01-2007 EP 1436169 A2 14-07-2004 JP 2005510392 A 21-04-2005 MX PA04002776 A 08-04-2005 US 2003057720 A1 27-03-2003 WO 03026382 A2 03-04-2003
WO 2005021326	A2	10-03-2005	AU 2004269002 A1 10-03-2005

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No

PCT/EP2021/051994

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
		CA 2535405 A1	10-03-2005
		CN 1842448 A	04-10-2006
		CN 101259851 A	10-09-2008
		EP 1663724 A2	07-06-2006
		JP 2007503561 A	22-02-2007
		MX PA06001657 A	12-05-2006
		US 2004113443 A1	17-06-2004
		US 2005110285 A1	26-05-2005
		US 2006125251 A1	15-06-2006
		US 2007236025 A1	11-10-2007
		WO 2005021326 A2	10-03-2005
