



- (51) **International Patent Classification:**
A61K 39/04 (2006.01)
- (21) **International Application Number:**
PCT/EP2015/057423
- (22) **International Filing Date:**
2 April 2015 (02.04.2015)
- (25) **Filing Language:** English
- (26) **Publication Language:** English
- (30) **Priority Data:**
1405921.6 2 April 2014 (02.04.2014) GB
- (71) **Applicant:** **GLAXOSMITHKLINE BIOLOGICALS S.A.** [BE/BE]; rue de l'Institut 89, B-1330 Rixensart (BE).
- (72) **Inventors:** **BALLOU JR, William Ripley**; GlaxoSmithKline Biologicals s.a., rue de l'Institut 89, B-1330 Rixensart (BE). **DEMOITIE, Marie-Ange**; GlaxoSmithKline Biologicals s.a., rue de l'Institut 89, B-1330 Rixensart (BE). **DONNER, Marie-Noelle Renelle**; GlaxoSmithKline Biologicals s.a., rue de l'Institut 89, B-1330 Rixensart (BE). **OUAKED, Nadia**; GlaxoSmithKline Biologicals s.a., rue de l'Institut 89, B-1330 Rixensart (BE). **TEMMERMAN, Stephane Theophile**; GlaxoSmithKline Biologicals s.a., rue de l'Institut 89, B-1330 Rixensart (BE).
- (74) **Agents:** **VAN DEN HAZEL, Hendrik Bart** et al.; GlaxoSmithKline, 980 Great West Road, Brentford Middlesex TW8 9GS (GB).
- (81) **Designated States** (*unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available*): AE, AG, AL, AM, AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BN, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM,

DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IR, IS, JP, KE, KG, KN, KP, KR, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PA, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, QA, RO, RS, RU, RW, SA, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.

- (84) **Designated States** (*unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available*): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, RW, SD, SL, ST, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, RU, TJ, TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, RS, SE, SI, SK, SM, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, KM, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Declarations under Rule 4.17:

- *as to applicant's entitlement to apply for and be granted a patent (Rule 4.17(ii))*
- *as to the applicant's entitlement to claim the priority of the earlier application (Rule 4.17(iii))*
- *of inventorship (Rule 4.17(iv))*

Published:

- *with international search report (Art. 21(3))*
- *before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of receipt of amendments (Rule 48.2(h))*
- *with sequence listing part of description (Rule 5.2(a))*



WO 2015/150567 A1

(54) **Title:** NOVEL METHODS FOR INDUCING AN IMMUNE RESPONSE

(57) **Abstract:** Methods and uses are provided for inducing an immune response comprising at least two administrations of an immunogenic composition comprising an M72 related antigen and wherein a subsequent administration is delayed.

NOVEL METHODS FOR INDUCING AN IMMUNE RESPONSE

TECHNICAL FIELD

The present invention relates to methods for inducing an immune response, in particular
5 methods for immunisation comprising at least two administrations of an adjuvanted
immunogenic composition wherein a subsequent administration is delayed.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Vaccination is one of the most effective methods for preventing infectious diseases.
10 However, a single administration of an antigen is often not sufficient to confer optimal immunity
and/or a long-lasting response. Approaches for establishing strong and lasting immunity to
specific pathogens include addition of adjuvants to vaccines and/or repeated vaccination, i.e.
boosting an immune response by administration of one or more further doses of antigen. Such
further administrations may be performed with the same vaccine (homologous boosting) or with
15 a different vaccine (heterologous boosting). The most common approach for homologous
boosting is not only to administer the same vaccine, but also to administer it in the same dose
as the earlier administration.

Tuberculosis (TB) is a chronic infectious disease caused by infection with
Mycobacterium tuberculosis and other *Mycobacterium* species. It is a major disease in
20 developing countries, as well as an increasing problem in developed areas of the world.

The protein antigens Mtb72f and M72 (described, for example, in international patent
applications WO2006/117240 and WO2012/080369 which are incorporated herein by
reference) or fragments or derivatives thereof are protein antigens of potential benefit for the
treatment or prevention of tuberculosis. Previous investigations have led to M72 being
25 administered in humans in conjunction with the immunostimulants 3-O-deacylated
monophosphoryl lipid A (3D-MPL) and QS21 in a liposomal formulation and in a 0,1 month
schedule using 10 ug M72, 25 ug 3D-MPL and 25 ug QS21 (Leroux-Roels et al Vaccine 2013
31 2196-2206, Montoya et al *J. Clin. Immunol.* 2013 33(8): 1360-1375).

A candidate vaccine utilising the antigen M72 is currently in a Phase IIB trial
30 (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT01755598) to evaluate the protective efficacy of two doses
against pulmonary TB, as compared to placebo, in adults aged 18 - 50 living in TB endemic
countries.

There remains a need for novel methods of immunising against diseases, including
tuberculosis, which are highly efficacious, safe, cost-effective, long-lasting and induce a broad
35 spectrum of cross-reactive immune responses.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

It has now surprisingly been found that, in a multi-dose method of immunisation using an adjuvanted M72 vaccine, the immunisation was more effective when a subsequent dose (booster dose) was delayed as compared to an earlier dose (primer dose). The adjuvant used
5 comprised a TLR4 agonist, 3D-MPL, and an immunologically active saponin fraction, QS21.

Accordingly, in a first aspect of the invention, there is provided a method for inducing an immune response in a subject comprising administration of a first immunogenic composition comprising an M72 related antigen and a first adjuvant to the subject, followed by administration of a second immunogenic composition comprising an M72 related antigen to the subject,
10 wherein the first adjuvant comprises a TLR agonist and/or an immunologically active saponin and wherein the interval between the first and second administrations is between two months and five years.

Optionally, the second immunogenic composition comprises a second adjuvant wherein the second adjuvant comprises a TLR agonist and/or an immunologically active saponin.
15 Suitably, the second adjuvant comprises a TLR agonist and/or an immunologically active saponin and has at least one of these two components in common with the first adjuvant.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE FIGURES

- Figure 1: CD4 T cell responses from mice administered M72 in standard and delayed
20 regimes
- Figure 2: CD8 T cell responses from mice administered M72 in standard and delayed regimes
- Figure 3: CD4 T cell cytokine profile from mice administered M72 in standard and delayed regimes
- 25 Figure 4: CD8 T cell cytokine profile from mice administered M72 in standard and delayed regimes
- Figure 5: Anti M72 serology from mice administered M72 in standard and delayed regimes

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEQUENCE IDENTIFIERS

- 30 SEQ ID No. 1: polypeptide sequence of M72
- SEQ ID No. 2: polypeptide sequence of M72 protein with two N-terminal His residues
- SEQ ID No. 3: polypeptide sequence of Mtb72f

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

35 Tuberculosis (TB) is a chronic infectious disease caused by infection with *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and other *Mycobacterium* species. It is a major disease in

developing countries, as well as an increasing problem in developed areas of the world. More than 2 billion people are believed to be infected with TB bacilli, with about 9 million new cases of TB and 1.5 million deaths each year (World Health Organisation *Tuberculosis Facts* 2014). 10% of those infected with TB bacilli will develop active TB, each person with active TB infecting an average of 10 to 15 others per year.

Mycobacterium tuberculosis infects individuals through the respiratory route. Alveolar macrophages engulf the bacterium, but it is able to survive and proliferate by inhibiting phagosome fusion with acidic lysosomes. A complex immune response involving CD4+ and CD8+ T cells ensues, ultimately resulting in the formation of a granuloma. Central to the success of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* as a pathogen is the fact that the isolated, but not eradicated, bacterium may persist for long periods, leaving an individual vulnerable to the later development of active TB.

Fewer than 5% of infected individuals develop active TB in the first years after infection. The granuloma can persist for decades and is believed to contain live *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* in a state of dormancy, deprived of oxygen and nutrients. However, recently it has been suggested that the majority of the bacteria in the dormancy state are located in non-macrophage cell types spread throughout the body (Locht et al, *Expert Opin. Biol. Ther.* 2007 7(11):1665-1677). The development of active TB occurs when the balance between the host's natural immunity and the pathogen changes, for example as a result of an immunosuppressive event (Anderson P *Trends in Microbiology* 2007 15(1):7-13; Ehlers S *Infection* 2009 37(2):87-95).

A dynamic hypothesis describing the balance between latent TB and active TB has also been proposed (Cardana P-J *Inflammation & Allergy – Drug Targets* 2006 6:27-39; Cardana P-J *Infection* 2009 37(2):80-86).

Although an infection may be asymptomatic for a considerable period of time, the active disease is most commonly manifested as an acute inflammation of the lungs, resulting in tiredness, weight loss, fever and a persistent cough. If untreated, serious complications and death typically result.

Tuberculosis can generally be controlled using extended antibiotic therapy, although such treatment is not sufficient to prevent the spread of the disease. Actively infected individuals may be largely asymptomatic, but contagious, for some time. In addition, although compliance with the treatment regimen is critical, patient behaviour is difficult to monitor. Some patients do not complete the course of treatment, which can lead to ineffective treatment and the development of drug resistance.

Multidrug-resistant TB (MDR-TB) is a form which fails to respond to first line medications. 3.3% of all TB cases are MDR-TB, with an estimated 440,000 new MDR-TB cases occurring each year. Extensively drug-resistant TB (XDR-TB) occurs when resistance to

second line medications develops on top of resistance to first line medications. The virtually untreatable XDR-TB has been confirmed in 58 countries (World Health Organisation *Tuberculosis Facts* 2010).

5 Even if a full course of antibiotic treatment is completed, infection with *M. tuberculosis* may not be eradicated from the infected individual and may remain as a latent infection that can be reactivated. In order to control the spread of tuberculosis, an effective vaccination programme and accurate early diagnosis of the disease are of utmost importance.

10 Currently, vaccination with attenuated live bacteria is the most widely used method for inducing protective immunity. The most common *Mycobacterium* employed for this purpose is *Bacillus Calmette-Guerin* (BCG), an avirulent strain of *M. bovis* which was first developed over 60 years ago. It is administered at birth in TB endemic regions. However, the safety and efficacy of BCG is a source of controversy - while protecting against severe disease manifestation in children, the efficacy of BCG against disease is variable. Additionally, some
15 countries, such as the United States, do not vaccinate the general public with this agent.

Several of the proteins which are strongly expressed during the early stages of *Mycobacterium* infection have been shown to provide protective efficacy in animal vaccination models. However, vaccination with antigens which are highly expressed during the early stages of infection may not provide an optimal immune response for dealing with later stages of
20 infection. Adequate control during latent infection may require T cells which are specific for the particular antigens which are expressed at that time. Post-exposure vaccines which directly target the dormant persistent bacteria may aid in protecting against TB reactivation, thereby enhancing TB control, or even enabling clearance of the infection. A vaccine targeting latent TB could therefore significantly and economically reduce global TB infection rates.

25 Subunit vaccines based on late stage antigens could also be utilised in combination with early stage antigens to provide a multiphase vaccine. Alternatively, early and/or late stage antigens could be used to complement and improve BCG vaccination (either by boosting the BCG response or through the development of advanced recombinant BCG strains).

Mtb72f and M72 are protein antigens of potential benefit for the treatment or prevention
30 of tuberculosis. Mtb72f has been shown to provide protection in a number of animal models (see, for example: Brandt et al *Infect. Immun.* 2004 72(11):6622-6632; Skeiky et al *J. Immunol.* 2004 172:7618-7628; Tsenova et al *Infect. Immun.* 2006 74(4):2392-2401). Mtb72f has also been the subject of clinical investigations (Von Eschen et al 2009 *Human Vaccines* 5(7):475-482). M72 is an improved antigen which incorporates a single serine to alanine mutation relative
35 to Mtb72f, resulting in improved stability characteristics. M72 related antigens have also been shown to be of value in a latent TB model (international patent application WO2006/117240, incorporated herein by reference). Previous clinical investigations have led to M72 being

administered in humans in conjunction with the immunostimulants 3-O-deacylated monophosphoryl lipid A (3D-MPL) and QS21 in a liposomal formulation and in a 0,1 month schedule using 10 ug M72, 25 ug 3D-MPL and 25 ug QS21 (see, for example, Leroux-Roels et al Vaccine 2013 31 2196-2206, Montoya et al *J. Clin. Immunol.* 2013 33(8): 1360-1375).

5 A candidate vaccine utilising the antigen M72 is currently in a Phase IIB trial (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT01755598) to evaluate the protective efficacy of two doses against pulmonary TB, as compared to placebo, in adults aged 18 - 50 living in TB endemic countries. Nevertheless, a need for improved vaccination approaches remains.

10 In a first aspect, there is provided a method for inducing an immune response in a subject comprising administration of a first immunogenic composition comprising an M72 related antigen and a first adjuvant to the subject, followed by administration of a second immunogenic composition comprising an M72 related antigen to the subject, wherein the first adjuvant comprises a TLR agonist and/or an immunologically active saponin and wherein the interval between the first and second administrations is between two months and five years.

15 As used herein, administration of a first composition "followed by" administration of a second composition indicates that a time interval has elapsed between administration of the first composition and administration of the second composition.

20 Also provided is a first immunogenic composition comprising an M72 related antigen and a first adjuvant, wherein the first adjuvant comprises a TLR agonist and/or an immunologically active saponin, for use in a method for inducing an immune response in a subject, said method comprising administration of the first immunogenic composition to the subject, followed by administration of a second immunogenic composition comprising an M72 related antigen to the subject, and wherein the interval between the first and second administrations is between two months and five years.

25 Similarly, there is provided a second immunogenic composition comprising an M72 related antigen, for use in a method for inducing an immune response in a subject, said method comprising administration of a first immunogenic composition comprising an M72 related antigen and a first adjuvant, wherein the first adjuvant comprises a TLR agonist and/or an immunologically active saponin, to a subject, followed by administration of the second
30 immunogenic composition to the subject, and wherein the interval between the first and second administrations is between two months and five years.

35 Further, there is provided the use of a first immunogenic composition comprising an M72 related antigen and a first adjuvant, wherein the first adjuvant comprises a TLR agonist and/or an immunologically active saponin, in the manufacture of a medicament for use in a method of inducing an immune response in a subject, said method comprising administration of the first immunogenic composition to the subject, followed by administration of a second immunogenic

composition comprising an M72 related antigen to the subject, and wherein the interval between the first and second administrations is between two months and five years.

5 Additionally, there is provided the use of a second immunogenic composition, in the manufacture of a medicament for use in a method of inducing an immune response in a subject, said method comprising administration of a first immunogenic composition comprising an M72 related antigen and a first adjuvant, wherein the first adjuvant comprises a TLR agonist and/or an immunologically active saponin to the subject, followed by administration of the second immunogenic composition comprising an M72 related antigen to the subject, and wherein the
10 interval between the first and second administrations is between two months and five years.

Optionally, the second immunogenic composition comprises a second adjuvant wherein the second adjuvant comprises a TLR agonist and/or an immunologically active saponin. Suitably, the second adjuvant comprises a TLR agonist and/or an immunologically active saponin and has at least one of these two components in common with the first adjuvant.

15 Suitably, the subject is a human.

Typically, the aim of the method of the invention is to induce a protective immune response, i.e. immunise or vaccinate the subject against a related pathogen. The invention may therefore be applied for the prophylaxis, treatment or amelioration of infection by mycobacteria, such as infection by *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. In particular the invention may be provided
20 for the purpose of:

- prophylaxis of active tuberculosis due to infection or reactivation, such as by administering to a subject who is uninfected, or alternatively a subject who has a latent infection;
- prophylaxis of latent tuberculosis, such as by administering to a subject who is uninfected;
- 25 - treating latent tuberculosis;
- preventing or delaying reactivation of tuberculosis, especially the delay of TB reactivation, for example by a period of months, years or indefinitely; or
- treating active tuberculosis.

The term "active infection" refers to an infection, e.g. infection by *M. tuberculosis*, with
30 manifested disease symptoms and/or lesions, suitably with manifested disease symptoms.

The terms "inactive infection", "dormant infection" or "latent infection" or "latent tuberculosis" refer to an infection, e.g. infection by *M. tuberculosis*, without manifested disease symptoms and/or lesions, suitably without manifested disease symptoms. A subject with latent infection will suitably be one which tests positive for infection, e.g. by PPD or T cell based
35 assays, but which has not demonstrated the disease symptoms and/or lesions which are associated with an active infection.

The term "primary tuberculosis" refers to clinical illness, e.g., manifestation of disease symptoms, directly following infection, e.g. infection by *M. tuberculosis*. See, *Harrison's Principles of Internal Medicine*, Chapter 150, pp. 953-966 (16th ed., Braunwald, et al., eds., 2005).

5

The terms "secondary tuberculosis" or "postprimary tuberculosis" refer to the reactivation of a dormant, inactive or latent infection, e.g. infection by *M. tuberculosis*. See, *Harrison's Principles of Internal Medicine*, Chapter 150, pp. 953-966 (16th ed., Braunwald, et al., eds., 2005).

10

The term "tuberculosis reactivation" refers to the later manifestation of disease symptoms in an individual that tests positive for infection (e.g. in a tuberculin skin test, suitably in an *in vitro* T cell based assay) test but does not have apparent disease symptoms. Suitably the individual will not have been re-exposed to infection. The positive diagnostic test indicates that the individual is infected, however, the individual may or may not have previously manifested active disease symptoms that had been treated sufficiently to bring the tuberculosis into an inactive or latent state.

15

Suitably the immunogenic compositions are administered to a subject who is uninfected or who has a latent infection by mycobacteria, such as infection by *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*.

20

In some embodiments, the subject has previously been vaccinated with BCG.

In some embodiments, the subject has previously been infected with *M. tuberculosis*.

Antigens of use in the invention.

25

As used herein the term 'M72 related antigen' refers to the M72 protein provided in SEQ ID No: 1 or an immunogenic derivative thereof. As used herein the term "derivative" refers to an antigen that is modified relative to the reference sequence. Immunogenic derivatives are sufficiently similar to the reference sequence to retain the immunogenic properties of the reference sequence and remain capable of allowing an immune response to be raised against the reference sequence. A derivative may, for example, comprise a modified version of the reference sequence or alternatively may consist of a modified version of the reference sequence.

30

The M72 related antigen may for example contain fewer than 1500 amino acid residues, such as fewer than 1200 amino acid residues, in particular less than 1000 amino acid residues, especially fewer than 800 amino acid residues.

35

T cell epitopes are short contiguous stretches of amino acids which are recognised by T cells (e.g. CD4+ or CD8+ T cells). Identification of T cell epitopes may be achieved through epitope mapping experiments which are known to the person skilled in the art (see, for example,

Paul, *Fundamental Immunology*, 3rd ed., 243-247 (1993); Beißbarth et al *Bioinformatics* 2005 21(Suppl. 1):i29-i37). In a diverse out-bred population, such as humans, different HLA types mean that particular epitopes may not be recognised by all members of the population. As a result of the crucial involvement of the T cell response in tuberculosis, to maximise the level of recognition and scale of immune response, an immunogenic derivative of M72 is desirably one which contains the majority (or suitably all) T cell epitopes intact.

The skilled person will recognise that individual substitutions, deletions or additions to the M72 protein which alters, adds or deletes a single amino acid or a small percentage of amino acids is an "immunogenic derivative" where the alteration(s) results in the substitution of an amino acid with a functionally similar amino acid or the substitution/deletion/addition of residues which do not substantially impact the immunogenic function.

Conservative substitution tables providing functionally similar amino acids are well known in the art. In general, such conservative substitutions will fall within one of the amino acid groupings specified below, though in some circumstances other substitutions may be possible without substantially affecting the immunogenic properties of the antigen. The following eight groups each contain amino acids that are typically conservative substitutions for one another:

- 1) Alanine (A), Glycine (G);
 - 2) Aspartic acid (D), Glutamic acid (E);
 - 20 3) Asparagine (N), Glutamine (Q);
 - 4) Arginine (R), Lysine (K);
 - 5) Isoleucine (I), Leucine (L), Methionine (M), Valine (V);
 - 6) Phenylalanine (F), Tyrosine (Y), Tryptophan (W);
 - 7) Serine (S), Threonine (T); and
 - 25 8) Cysteine (C), Methionine (M)
- (see, e.g., Creighton, *Proteins* 1984).

Suitably such substitutions do not occur in the region of an epitope, and do not therefore have a significant impact on the immunogenic properties of the antigen.

Immunogenic derivatives may also include those wherein additional amino acids are inserted compared to the reference sequence. Suitably such insertions do not occur in the region of an epitope, and do not therefore have a significant impact on the immunogenic properties of the antigen. One example of insertions includes a short stretch of histidine residues (e.g. 2-6 residues) to aid expression and/or purification of the antigen in question.

Immunogenic derivatives include those wherein amino acids have been deleted compared to the reference sequence. Suitably such deletions do not occur in the region of an epitope, and do not therefore have a significant impact on the immunogenic properties of the antigen.

The skilled person will recognise that a particular immunogenic derivative may comprise substitutions, deletions and additions (or any combination thereof).

The terms "identical" or percentage "identity," in the context of two or more polypeptide sequences, refer to two or more sequences or sub-sequences that are the same or have a specified percentage of amino acid residues that are the same (i.e., 70% identity, optionally 5 75%, 80%, 85%, 90%, 95%, 98% or 99% identity over a specified region), when compared and aligned for maximum correspondence over a comparison window, or designated region as measured using one of the following sequence comparison algorithms or by manual alignment and visual inspection. This definition also refers to the complement of a test sequence. 10 Optionally, the identity exists over a region that is at least 500 amino acids in length, such as at least 600 amino acids or at least 700 amino acids. Suitably, the comparison is performed over a window corresponding to the entire length of the reference sequence (as opposed to the derivative sequence).

For sequence comparison, one sequence acts as the reference sequence, to which the 15 test sequences are compared. When using a sequence comparison algorithm, test and reference sequences are entered into a computer, subsequence coordinates are designated, if necessary, and sequence algorithm program parameters are designated. Default program parameters can be used, or alternative parameters can be designated. The sequence comparison algorithm then calculates the percentage sequence identities for the test sequences 20 relative to the reference sequence, based on the program parameters.

A "comparison window", as used herein, refers to a segment in which a sequence may be compared to a reference sequence of the same number of contiguous positions after the two sequences are optimally aligned. Methods of alignment of sequences for comparison are well-known in the art. Optimal alignment of sequences for comparison can be conducted, e.g., by 25 the local homology algorithm of Smith & Waterman, *Adv. Appl. Math.* 2:482 (1981), by the homology alignment algorithm of Needleman & Wunsch, *J. Mol. Biol.* 48:443 (1970), by the search for similarity method of Pearson & Lipman, *Proc. Nat'l. Acad. Sci. USA* 85:2444 (1988), by computerised implementations of these algorithms (GAP, BESTFIT, FASTA, and TFASTA in the Wisconsin Genetics Software Package, Genetics Computer Group, 575 Science Dr., 30 Madison, WI), or by manual alignment and visual inspection (see, e.g., *Current Protocols in Molecular Biology* (Ausubel *et al.*, eds. 1995 supplement)).

One example of a useful algorithm is PILEUP. PILEUP creates a multiple sequence alignment from a group of related sequences using progressive, pairwise alignments to show relationship and percent sequence identity. It also plots a tree or dendrogram showing the 35 clustering relationships used to create the alignment. PILEUP uses a simplification of the progressive alignment method of Feng & Doolittle, *J. Mol. Evol.* 35:351-360 (1987). The method used is similar to the method described by Higgins & Sharp, *CABIOS* 5:151-153 (1989).

The program can align up to 300 sequences, each of a maximum length of 5,000 nucleotides or amino acids. The multiple alignment procedure begins with the pairwise alignment of the two most similar sequences, producing a cluster of two aligned sequences. This cluster is then aligned to the next most related sequence or cluster of aligned sequences. Two clusters of sequences are aligned by a simple extension of the pairwise alignment of two individual sequences. The final alignment is achieved by a series of progressive, pairwise alignments. The program is run by designating specific sequences and their amino acid coordinates for regions of sequence comparison and by designating the program parameters. Using PILEUP, a reference sequence is compared to other test sequences to determine the percent sequence identity relationship using the following parameters: default gap weight (3.00), default gap length weight (0.10), and weighted end gaps. PILEUP can be obtained from the GCG sequence analysis software package, e.g., version 7.0 (Devereaux *et al.*, *Nuc. Acids Res.* 12:387-395 (1984)).

Another example of algorithm that is suitable for determining percent sequence identity and sequence similarity are the BLAST and BLAST 2.0 algorithms, which are described in Altschul *et al.*, *Nuc. Acids Res.* 25:3389-3402 (1977) and Altschul *et al.*, *J. Mol. Biol.* 215:403-410 (1990), respectively. Software for performing BLAST analyses is publicly available through the National Center for Biotechnology Information (website at www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/). This algorithm involves first identifying high scoring sequence pairs (HSPs) by identifying short words of length W in the query sequence, which either match or satisfy some positive-valued threshold score T when aligned with a word of the same length in a database sequence. T is referred to as the neighbourhood word score threshold (Altschul *et al.*, *supra*). These initial neighbourhood word hits act as seeds for initiating searches to find longer HSPs containing them. The word hits are extended in both directions along each sequence for as far as the cumulative alignment score can be increased. Cumulative scores are calculated using, for nucleotide sequences, the parameters M (reward score for a pair of matching residues; always > 0) and N (penalty score for mismatching residues; always < 0). For amino acid sequences, a scoring matrix is used to calculate the cumulative score. Extension of the word hits in each direction are halted when: the cumulative alignment score falls off by the quantity X from its maximum achieved value; the cumulative score goes to zero or below, due to the accumulation of one or more negative-scoring residue alignments; or the end of either sequence is reached. The BLAST algorithm parameters W , T , and X determine the sensitivity and speed of the alignment. The BLASTN program (for nucleotide sequences) uses as defaults a wordlength (W) of 11, an expectation (E) or 10, $M=5$, $N=-4$ and a comparison of both strands. For amino acid sequences, the BLASTP program uses as defaults a wordlength of 3, and expectation (E) of 10, and the BLOSUM62 scoring matrix (see Henikoff & Henikoff, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 89:10915 (1989)) alignments (B) of 50, expectation (E) of 10, $M=5$, $N=-4$, and a comparison of both strands.

The BLAST algorithm also performs a statistical analysis of the similarity between two sequences (*see, e.g.,* Karlin & Altschul, *Proc. Nat'l. Acad. Sci. USA* 90:5873-5787 (1993)). One measure of similarity provided by the BLAST algorithm is the smallest sum probability (P(N)), which provides an indication of the probability by which a match between two nucleotide
5 or amino acid sequences would occur by chance. For example, a nucleic acid is considered similar to a reference sequence if the smallest sum probability in a comparison of the test nucleic acid to the reference nucleic acid is less than about 0.2, more preferably less than about 0.01, and most preferably less than about 0.001.

In any event, immunogenic derivatives of a polypeptide sequence will have essentially
10 the same activity as the reference sequence. By essentially the same activity is meant at least 50%, suitably at least 75% and especially at least 90% activity of the reference sequence in an *in vitro* restimulation assay of PBMC or whole blood with specific antigens (e.g. restimulation for a period of between several hours to up to two weeks, such as up to one day, 1 day to 1 week or 1 to 2 weeks) that measures the activation of the cells via lymphoproliferation, production of
15 cytokines in the supernatant of culture (measured by ELISA, CBA etc) or characterisation of T and B cell responses by intra and extracellular staining (e.g. using antibodies specific to immune markers, such as CD3, CD4, CD8, IL2, TNF-alpha, IFN-gamma, CD40L, CD69 etc) followed by analysis with a flowcytometer. Suitably, by essentially the same activity is meant at least 50%, suitably at least 75% and especially at least 90% activity of the reference sequence
20 in a T cell proliferation and/or IFN-gamma production assay.

Particular derivatives of the M72 protein include those with additional His residues at the N-terminus (e.g. two His residues, as provided in SEQ ID No: 2; or a polyhistidine tag of five or particularly six His residues, which may be used for nickel affinity purification). Mtb72f (SEQ ID No: 3) which contains the original serine residue that has been mutated in M72, is a further
25 derivative of M72, as are Mtb72f proteins with additional His residues at the N-terminus (e.g. two His residues; or a polyhistidine tag of five or particularly six His residues, which may be used for nickel affinity purification).

Suitably an M72 related antigen will comprise, such as consist of, a sequence having at least 70% identity to SEQ ID No. 1, such as at least 80%, in particular at least 90%, especially
30 at least 95%, such as at least 98%, for example at least 99%.

Typical M72 related antigens will comprise, such as consist of, an immunogenic derivative of SEQ ID No: 1 or 2 having a small number of deletions, insertions and/or substitutions. Examples are those having deletions of up to 5 residues at 0-5 locations, insertions of up to 5 residues at 0-5 five locations and substitutions of up to 20 residues.

Other immunogenic derivatives of M72 are those comprising, such as consisting of, a
35 fragment of SEQ ID No: 1 or 2 which is at least 300 amino acids in length, such as at least 350 amino acids in length, such as at least 400 amino acids in length, such as at least 500 amino

acids in length, such as at least 600 amino acids in length or at least 700 amino acids in length. As M72 is a fusion protein derived from two individual antigens, any fragment of at least 300 residues will comprise a plurality of epitopes from the full length sequence (Skeiky et al *J. Immunol.* 2004 172:7618-7628; Skeiky *Infect. Immun.* 1999 67(8):3998-4007; Dillon *Infect. Immun.* 1999 67(6):2941-2950). In some embodiments the immunogenic derivative of M72 comprises at least 300 residues from Mtb39A.

In particular embodiments the M72 related antigen will comprise residues 2-723 of SEQ ID No. 1, for example comprise (or consist of) SEQ ID No. 1 or 2.

M72 related antigens may be prepared by methods previously described (WO2006/117240) or methods analogous thereto.

The immunogenic compositions may comprise one or more further antigenic components. Additional antigenic components may be intended to strengthen or complement the immune responses solicited by the M72 related antigen in the field of tuberculosis prevention and therapy or additional antigens could be associated with other pathogens and are intended for administration with the M72 related antigen for reasons of convenience. Where a number of antigenic components are present within the formulation, these may be provided in the form of individual polypeptides or fusion proteins. In some circumstances additional antigenic components may be provided as a polynucleotide (or polynucleotides).

The antigen is a *M. tuberculosis* antigen, such as the M72 antigen, e.g. the antigen described in WO2006/117240, which granted as US Pat. No. 8,470,338 and which is incorporated by reference for the purpose of describing suitable proteins for use in the present invention.

Typically for administration to humans the first and second immunogenic compositions will comprise between 1 ug and 100 ug of M72 related antigen, such as between 1 ug and 50 ug. Suitably the first immunogenic composition will contain between 1 ug and 50 ug of M72 related antigen (such as between 5 ug and 50 ug), especially between 1 ug and 20 ug (such as between 5 ug and 20 ug) and in particular around or exactly 10 ug.

In some embodiments the second immunogenic composition will contain the same amount of M72 related antigen as the first immunogenic composition. For example, the second immunogenic composition will contain between 1 ug and 50 ug of M72 related antigen (such as between 5 ug and 50 ug), especially between 1 ug and 20 ug (such as between 5 ug and 20 ug) and in particular around or exactly 10 ug.

In other embodiments the second immunogenic composition will contain a reduced amount of M72 related antigen relative to the first immunogenic composition. For example, the second immunogenic composition will contain between 1 ug and 40 ug of M72 related antigen

(such as between 2 ug and 40 ug), especially between 1 ug and 16 ug (such as between 2 ug and 16 ug) and in particular less than 10 ug (such as 1 to 8 ug).

In one embodiment, the lower amount of the M72 related antigen in the second immunogenic composition is an at least 10% lower, such as an at least 25% lower, e.g. an at least two fold lower, such as an at least three fold lower, e.g. an at least four fold lower, such as an at least five fold lower, e.g. an at least six fold lower, such as an at least seven fold lower, e.g. an at least eight fold lower, such as an at least nine fold lower, e.g. an at least ten fold lower, amount than in the first immunogenic composition.

The amount of the M72 related antigen in the second immunogenic composition is typically between 5/4 (i.e. 125%) and 1/10 (i.e. 10%) of that in the first immunogenic composition.

In one embodiment of the invention, the first and second immunogenic compositions contain the same M72 related antigen.

In some embodiments all antigens in the first and second immunogenic compositions are the same.

Adjuvants for use in the method of the invention

As described above, in one aspect of the invention, the first adjuvant comprises a TLR agonist and/or an immunologically active saponin.

Thus, in one embodiment, the first adjuvant comprises a TLR agonist. In another embodiment, the first adjuvant comprises an immunologically active saponin. In yet another embodiment, the first adjuvant comprises a TLR agonist and an immunologically active saponin.

In another aspect, the first adjuvant and second adjuvant comprise a TLR agonist and/or an immunologically active saponin and have at least one of these two components in common.

Thus, in one embodiment, the first adjuvant and second adjuvant both comprise a TLR agonist. In another embodiment, the first adjuvant and second adjuvant both comprise an immunologically active saponin. In yet another embodiment, the first adjuvant and second adjuvant both comprise a TLR agonist and an immunologically active saponin.

In one embodiment, the first adjuvant and the second adjuvant consist of the same components. Thus, in such an embodiment, the components of both adjuvants are the same, although not necessarily in the same relative proportions. For example, the first adjuvant and the second adjuvant may both consist of a TLR agonist and a saponin in a liposomal formulation, but the ratio of TLR agonist to saponin may be 5:1 in the first adjuvant and 1:1 in the second adjuvant, 4:1 in the first adjuvant and 1:1 in the second adjuvant, 3:1 in the first adjuvant and 2:1 in the second adjuvant, 1:1 in the first adjuvant and 1:1 in the second adjuvant.

In another embodiment, the first adjuvant and second adjuvant consist of the same components and the relative proportions of these components are the same. However, in such

an embodiment, while the relative proportions of the adjuvant components are the same, the absolute amounts of these components may differ between the first and second immunogenic compositions. For example the absolute amounts of all components in the second adjuvant may e.g. be one fifth of the absolute amounts of all components in the first adjuvant.

5 As described above, in one embodiment, the second adjuvant contains a lower amount of the common component (i.e. a lower amount of the TLR agonist or a lower amount of the saponin or a lower amount of both) than the first adjuvant.

10 In one embodiment, the lower amount of the common component in the second adjuvant is an at least 10% lower, such as an at least 25% lower, e.g. an at least two fold lower, such as an at least three fold lower, e.g. an at least four fold lower, such as an at least five fold lower, e.g. an at least six fold lower, such as an at least seven fold lower, e.g. an at least eight fold lower, such as an at least nine fold lower, e.g. an at least ten fold lower, such as an at least 15 fold lower, e.g. an at least 20 fold lower amount than in the first adjuvant.

15 In another embodiment, the lower amount of the common component in the second adjuvant is a between 2 and 50 fold lower, such as a between 2 and 20 fold lower, e.g. a between 2 and 15 fold lower, such as a between 2 and 10 fold lower, e.g. a between 3 and 7 fold lower, such as a between 4 and 6 fold lower amount than in the first adjuvant.

20 The amount of the common adjuvant component (such as all common adjuvant components) in the second immunogenic composition is typically between 5/4 (i.e. 125%) and 1/10 (i.e. 10%) of that in the first immunogenic composition.

25 As described above, in one embodiment, the first adjuvant and second adjuvant comprise a TLR (Toll-like receptor) agonist. The use of TLR agonists in adjuvants is well-known in art and has been reviewed e.g. by Lahiri et al. (2008) *Vaccine* 26:6777. TLRs that can be stimulated to achieve an adjuvant effect include TLR2, TLR4, TLR5, TLR7, TLR8 and TLR9. TLR2, TLR4, TLR7 and TLR8 agonists, particularly TLR4 agonists, are preferred.

30 Suitable TLR4 agonists include lipopolysaccharides, such as monophosphoryl lipid A (MPL) and 3-O-deacylated monophosphoryl lipid A (3D-MPL). US patent 4,436,727 discloses MPL and its manufacture. US patent 4,912,094 and reexamination certificate B1 4,912,094 discloses 3D-MPL and a method for its manufacture. Another TLR4 agonist is glucopyranosyl lipid adjuvant (GLA), a synthetic lipid A-like molecule (see, e.g. Fox et al. (2012) *Clin. Vaccine Immunol* 19:1633). In a further embodiment, the TLR4 agonist may be a synthetic TLR4 agonist such as a synthetic disaccharide molecule, similar in structure to MPL and 3D-MPL or may be synthetic monosaccharide molecules, such as the aminoalkyl glucosaminide phosphate (AGP) compounds disclosed in, for example, WO9850399, WO0134617, WO0212258, 35 WO3065806, WO04062599, WO06016997, WO0612425, WO03066065, and WO0190129. Such molecules have also been described in the scientific and patent literature as lipid A mimetics. Lipid A mimetics suitably share some functional and/or structural activity with lipid A,

and in one aspect are recognised by TLR4 receptors. AGPs as described herein are sometimes referred to as lipid A mimetics in the art. In a preferred embodiment, the TLR4 agonist is 3D-MPL. TLR4 agonists, such as 3-O-deacylated monophosphoryl lipid A (3D-MPL), and their use as adjuvants in vaccines has e.g. been described in WO 96/33739 and WO2007/068907 and reviewed in Alving et al. (2012) *Curr Opin in Immunol* 24:310.

In a further embodiment of the method of the invention, the first adjuvant and the second adjuvant comprise an immunologically active saponin, such as an immunologically active saponin fraction, such as QS21.

Adjuvants comprising saponins have been described in the art. Saponins are described in: Lacaille-Dubois and Wagner (1996) *A review of the biological and pharmacological activities of saponins. Phytomedicine* vol 2:363. Saponins are known as adjuvants in vaccines. For example, Quil A (derived from the bark of the South American tree *Quillaja Saponaria* Molina), was described by Dalsgaard et al. in 1974 ("Saponin adjuvants", *Archiv. fur die gesamte Virusforschung*, Vol. 44, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 243) to have adjuvant activity. Purified fractions of Quil A have been isolated by HPLC which retain adjuvant activity without the toxicity associated with Quil A (Kensil et al. (1991) *J. Immunol.* 146: 431. Quil A fractions are also described in US 5,057,540 and "Saponins as vaccine adjuvants", Kensil, C. R. , *Crit Rev Ther Drug Carrier Syst*, 1996, 12 (1-2):1-55.

Two such fractions, suitable for use in the present invention, are QS7 and QS21 (also known as QA-7 and QA-21). QS21 is a preferred immunologically active saponin fraction for use in the present invention. QS21 has been reviewed in Kensil (2000) *In O'Hagan: Vaccine Adjuvants: preparation methods and research protocols*. Homana Press, Totowa, New Jersey, Chapter 15. Particulate adjuvant systems comprising fractions of Quil A, such as QS21 and QS7, are e.g. described in WO 96/33739, WO 96/11711 and WO2007/068907.

In addition to the other components, the adjuvant preferably comprises a sterol. The presence of a sterol may further reduce reactogenicity of compositions comprising saponins, see e.g. EP0822831. Suitable sterols include beta-sitosterol, stigmasterol, ergosterol, ergocalciferol and cholesterol. Cholesterol is particularly suitable. Suitably, the immunologically active saponin fraction is QS21 and the ratio of QS21:sterol is from 1:100 to 1:1 w/w, such as from 1:10 to 1:1 w/w, e.g. from 1:5 to 1:1 w/w.

In a preferred embodiment of the method of the invention, the TLR4 agonist is 3D-MPL and the immunologically active saponin is QS21.

In some embodiments, the adjuvant is presented in the form of an oil-in-water emulsion, e.g. comprising squalene, alpha-tocopherol and a surfactant (see e.g. W095/17210) or in the form of a liposome. A liposomal presentation is preferred.

The term "liposome" when used herein refers to uni- or multilamellar (particularly 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, or 10 lamellar depending on the number of lipid membranes formed) lipid structures

enclosing an aqueous interior. Liposomes and liposome formulations are well known in the art. Liposomal presentations are e.g. described in WO 96/33739 and WO2007/068907. Lipids which are capable of forming liposomes include all substances having fatty or fat-like properties. Lipids which can make up the lipids in the liposomes may be selected from the group comprising

5 glycerides, glycerophospholipides, glycerophosphinolipids, glycerophosphonolipids, sulfolipids, sphingolipids, phospholipids, isoprenolides, steroids, stearines, sterols, archeolipids, synthetic cationic lipids and carbohydrate containing lipids. In a particular embodiment of the invention the liposomes comprise a phospholipid. Suitable phospholipids include (but are not limited to):

10 phosphocholine (PC) which is an intermediate in the synthesis of phosphatidylcholine; natural phospholipid derivatives: egg phosphocholine, egg phosphocholine, soy phosphocholine, hydrogenated soy phosphocholine, sphingomyelin as natural phospholipids; and synthetic phospholipid derivatives: phosphocholine (didecanoyl-L- α -phosphatidylcholine [DDPC], dilauroylphosphatidylcholine [DLPC], dimyristoylphosphatidylcholine [DMPC], dipalmitoyl phosphatidylcholine [DPPC], Distearoyl phosphatidylcholine [DSPC], Dioleoyl phosphatidylcholine, [DOPC], 1-palmitoyl, 2-oleoylphosphatidylcholine [POPC], Dielaidoyl phosphatidylcholine [DEPC]), phosphoglycerol (1,2-Dimyristoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoglycerol [DMPG], 1,2-dipalmitoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoglycerol [DPPG], 1,2-distearoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoglycerol [DSPG], 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoglycerol [POPG]), phosphatidic acid (1,2-dimyristoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphatidic acid [DMPA], dipalmitoyl phosphatidic acid [DPPA], distearoyl-phosphatidic acid [DSPA]), phosphoethanolamine (1,2-dimyristoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine [DMPE], 1,2-Dipalmitoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine [DPPE], 1,2-distearoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine [DSPE], 1,2-Dioleoyl-sn-Glycero-3-Phosphoethanolamine [DOPE]), phosphoserine, polyethylene glycol [PEG] phospholipid.

25 Liposome size may vary from 30 nm to several μ m depending on the phospholipid composition and the method used for their preparation. In particular embodiments of the invention, the liposome size will be in the range of 50 nm to 500 nm and in further embodiments 50 nm to 200 nm. Dynamic laser light scattering is a method used to measure the size of liposomes well known to those skilled in the art.

30 In a particularly suitable embodiment, liposomes used in the invention comprise DOPC and a sterol, in particular cholesterol. Thus, in a particular embodiment, compositions of the invention comprise QS21 in any amount described herein in the form of a liposome, wherein said liposome comprises DOPC and a sterol, in particular cholesterol.

Preferably, the first adjuvant and second adjuvant comprise 3D-MPL and QS21 in a

35 liposomal formulation.

In one embodiment, the first adjuvant comprises between 12.5 and 75 micrograms of 3D-MPL and between 12.5 and 75 micrograms of QS21 in a liposomal formulation, and the

second adjuvant comprises between 12.5 and 75 micrograms of 3D-MPL and between 12.5 and 75, micrograms of QS21 in a liposomal formulation.

In another embodiment, the first adjuvant comprises between 12.5 and 37.5, such as between 20 and 30 micrograms (for example about or exactly 25 micrograms), of 3D-MPL and
5 between 12.5 and 37.5, such as between 20 and 30 micrograms (for example about or exactly 25 micrograms) of QS21 in a liposomal formulation and the second adjuvant comprises between 12.5 and 37.5, such as between 20 and 30 micrograms (for example about or exactly 25 micrograms), of 3D-MPL and between 12.5 and 37.5, such as between 20 and 30 micrograms (for example about or exactly 25 micrograms), of QS21 in a liposomal formulation.
10 Suitably in first and second adjuvants the amount of 3D-MPL is the same as the amount of QS21.

In another embodiment, the first adjuvant comprises between 12.5 and 37.5, such as between 20 and 30 micrograms (for example about or exactly 25 micrograms) 3D-MPL and between 12.5 and 37.5, such as between 20 and 30 micrograms (for example about or exactly
15 25 micrograms) QS21 in a liposomal formulation and the second adjuvant comprises between 2.5 and 7.5, such as 5 micrograms, of 3D-MPL and between 2.5 and 7.5, such as 5 micrograms of QS21 in a liposomal formulation.

In another embodiment, the first adjuvant comprises between 12.5 and 37.5, such as between 20 and 30 micrograms (for example about or exactly 25 micrograms) of 3D-MPL and
20 between 12.5 and 37.5, such as between 20 and 30 micrograms (for example about or exactly 25 micrograms) of QS21 in a liposomal formulation and the second adjuvant comprises a reduced amount of 3D-MPL or QS21, such as between 2.5 and 20, such as between 2.5 and 10 micrograms (for example about or exactly 5 micrograms) of 3D-MPL and such as between 2.5 and 20, such as between 2.5 and 10 micrograms (for example about or exactly 5 micrograms)
25 of QS21 in a liposomal formulation. Suitably in first and second adjuvants the amount of 3D-MPL is the same as the amount of QS21.

It is well known that for parenteral administration solutions should be physiologically isotonic (*i.e.* have a pharmaceutically acceptable osmolality) to avoid cell distortion or lysis. A pharmaceutically acceptable osmolality will generally mean that solutions will have an
30 osmolality which is approximately isotonic or mildly hypertonic. Suitably the immunogenic compositions of the present invention will have an osmolality in the range of 250 to 750 mOsm/kg, for example, the osmolality may be in the range of 250 to 550 mOsm/kg, such as in the range of 280 to 500 mOsm/kg. Osmolality may be measured according to techniques known in the art, such as by the use of a commercially available osmometer, for example the
35 Advanced® Model 2020 available from Advanced Instruments Inc. (USA). An "isotonicity agent" is a compound that is physiologically tolerated and imparts a suitable tonicity to a formulation (*e.g.* immunogenic compositions of the invention) to prevent the net flow of water across cell

membranes that are in contact with the formulation. Aqueous adjuvant compositions are known which contain 100 mM sodium chloride or more, for example adjuvant system A (ASA) in WO 2005/112991 and WO2008/142133 or the liposomal adjuvants disclosed in WO2007/068907.

5 In some embodiments, the isotonicity agent used for the composition is a salt. In other
embodiments, however, the composition comprises a non-ionic isotonicity agent and the
concentration of sodium chloride or the ionic strength in the composition is less than 100 mM,
such as less than 80 mM, e.g. less than 30 mM, such as less 10 mM or less than 5 mM. In a
preferred embodiment, the non-ionic isotonicity agent is a polyol, such as sorbitol. The
10 concentration of sorbitol may e.g. between about 3% and about 15% (w/v), such as between
about 4% and about 10% (w/v). Adjuvants comprising an immunologically active saponin
fraction and a TLR4 agonist wherein the isotonicity agent is salt or a polyol have been described
in WO2012/080369 which is incorporated herein by reference.

In a further embodiment, first adjuvant and/or the second adjuvant does not comprise
aluminium.

15 The pH of the immunogenic compositions should be suitable for parenteral
administration. Typically the pH will be in the range 7.0 to 9.0, especially 7.25 to 8.75, such as
7.5 to 8.5, in particular pH 7.75 to 8.25. A pH of about 8.0 is of particular interest.

Immunisation regimes, target populations and modes of administration

20 In one embodiment the subject receives two doses of immunogenic compositions
comprising an M72 antigen within a two year period or alternatively within a five year period. In
a second embodiment the subject receives three doses of immunogenic compositions
comprising an M72 antigen within a two year period or alternatively within a five year period.

When the subject receives two doses of immunogenic compositions comprising an M72
25 antigen within a five year period, this will be the first immunogenic composition and the second
immunogenic composition. In one embodiment, the time interval between administration of the
first composition and administration of the second composition is between 2 months and 5
years, such as between 3 months and 5 years, such as between 3 months and 24 months, e.g.
between 3 and 18 months, such as between 3 and 14 months. In some embodiments the time
30 interval between the administration of the first composition and administration of the second
composition is between 3 and 10 months, e.g. between 3 and 9 months, such as between 3 and
8 months. In some embodiments the time interval between the administration of the first
composition and administration of the second composition is between 4 and 14 months, e.g.
between 4 and 9 months, such as between 4 and 8 months.

35 When the subject receives three doses of immunogenic compositions comprising an
M72 antigen within a five year period, this may be (a) two doses of the first immunogenic
composition and one dose of the second immunogenic composition or it may be (b) may be one

dose of the first immunogenic composition and two doses of the second immunogenic composition.

In one embodiment of (a), the time interval between initial administration of the first composition and administration of the second composition is between 3 months and 5 years, such as between 3 months and 24 months, e.g. between 3 and 18 months, such as between 3 and 14 months. In some embodiments the time interval between the initial administration of the first composition and administration of the second composition is between 3 and 10 months, e.g. between 3 and 9 months, such as between 3 and 8 months. In some embodiments the time interval between the initial administration of the first composition and administration of the second composition is between 4 and 14 months, e.g. between 4 and 9 months, such as between 4 and 8 months. In another embodiment of (a), the time interval between final administration of the first composition and administration of the second composition is between 2 months and 5 years, such as between 3 months and 5 years, such as between 3 months and 24 months, e.g. between 3 and 18 months, such as between 3 and 14 months. In some embodiments the time interval between the final administration of the first composition and administration of the second composition is between 3 and 10 months, e.g. between 3 and 9 months, such as between 3 and 8 months. In some embodiments the time interval between the final administration of the first composition and administration of the second composition is between 4 and 14 months, e.g. between 4 and 9 months, such as between 4 and 8 months.

In one embodiment of (b), the time interval between administration of the first composition and final administration of the second composition is between 3 months and 5 years, such as between 3 months and 24 months, e.g. between 3 and 18 months, such as between 3 and 14 months. In some embodiments the time interval between the administration of the first composition and final administration of the second composition is between 3 and 10 months, e.g. between 3 and 9 months, such as between 3 and 8 months. In some embodiments the time interval between the administration of the first composition and final administration of the second composition is between 4 and 14 months, e.g. between 4 and 9 months, such as between 4 and 8 months. In another embodiment of (b), the time interval between administration of the first composition and initial administration of the second composition is between 2 months and 5 years, such as between 3 months and 5 years, such as between 3 months and 24 months, e.g. between 3 and 18 months, such as between 3 and 14 months. In some embodiments the time interval between the administration of the first composition and final administration of the second composition is between 3 and 10 months, e.g. between 3 and 9 months, such as between 3 and 8 months. In some embodiments the time interval between the administration of the first composition and final administration of the second composition is between 4 and 14 months, e.g. between 4 and 9 months, such as between 4 and 8 months.

Where the subject is administered the first composition twice, the time interval between initial administration of the first composition and further administration of the first composition may be between 2 weeks and 2 months.

5 Where the subject is administered the second composition twice, the time interval between initial administration of the second composition and further administration of the second composition may be between 3 months and 5 years, such as between 3 months and 24 months, such as between 6 and 14 months.

10 In a further embodiment, the second composition could e.g. be given as a recurrent yearly booster, e.g. for 1-5 years or more. In one embodiment, at a time interval of at least 12, at least 13, at least 14, at least 15, at least 16, at least 17, at least 18, at least 19, or at least 20, or more months after administration of the second composition, the second composition is administered one or more further times.

15 The subject to be treated using the method of the invention may be of any age. In one aspect of the invention, the subject is human.

In one embodiment the subject is an adult human (typically aged 18-60).

The first and second compositions may be administered via various suitable routes, including parenteral, such as intramuscular or subcutaneous administration.

20 In one particular embodiment, the second composition is administered intradermally. The term intradermally as used herein is intended to refer to the application of antigens into the dermis and/or epidermis of human skin. Intradermal application of an immunogenic composition may be performed by using any cutaneous method known to the skilled person including, but not limited to, delivery using a short needle device (a device comprising a microneedle that is between about 0.2 and about 0.6 mm in length) or delivery using a skin patch. Suitable devices
25 for use with the cutaneous vaccines described herein include short needle devices such as those described in US 4,886,499, US5,190,521, US 5,328,483, US 5,527,288, US 4,270,537, US 5,015,235, US 5,141,496, US 5,417,662 and EP1092444. Cutaneous vaccines may also be administered by devices which limit the effective penetration length of a needle into the skin, such as those described in WO99/34850. Also suitable are jet injection devices which deliver
30 liquid vaccines to the dermis via a liquid jet injector or via a needle. Also suitable are ballistic powder/particle delivery devices which use compressed gas to accelerate vaccine in powder form through the outer layers of the skin to the dermis. Skin patches will generally comprise a backing plate which includes a solid substrate. Patches deliver the antigen and adjuvant used in the invention to the dermis or epidermis. In particular embodiment, the patches useful in the
35 present invention comprise a plurality of microprojections. The microprojections may be of any shape suitable for piercing the stratum corneum, epidermis and/or dermis and delivery and

antigen and adjuvant to the epidermis or dermis. In a particular embodiment, microprojections are biodegradable and comprise a biodegradable polymer.

Immunogenic compositions used in the invention may be made by admixing the antigen(s) and the adjuvant. The antigen(s) may be provided in a lyophilized form or in a liquid formulation. For each composition, a kit may be provided comprising a first container comprising the antigen and a second container comprising the adjuvant.

Suitably, the immunogenic compositions according to the present invention have a human dose volume of between 0.05 ml and 1 ml, such as between 0.1 and 0.5 ml, in particular a dose volume of about 0.5 ml, or 0.7 ml. The volume of the second immunogenic composition may be reduced, and e.g. be between 0.05 ml and 0.5 ml, such as between 0.1 and 0.2 ml. The volumes of the compositions used may depend on the delivery route with smaller doses being given by the intradermal route.

The teaching of all references in the present application, including patent applications and granted patents, are herein fully incorporated by reference. A composition or method or process defined as "comprising" certain elements is understood to encompass a composition, method or process (respectively) consisting of those elements. The invention will be further described by reference to the following, non-limiting, example:

EXAMPLE 1: Vaccination Using M72 and Adjuvant AS01

The impact of delayed and reduced dosages of the tuberculosis antigen M72 2-his (SEQ ID No. 2) was investigated in a mouse model.

Material and methods

Animal model

Female mouse C57BL/6JOlaHsd - 6 weeks old - 12 mice per group - were injected by the intramuscular route with 50µl at days 0-14 and 28 or 98 as indicated in table below.

Group	Dose 1 D0	Dose 2 D14	Dose3 D28	Dose3 D98
G1	0.25ug M72 AS01E	0.25ug M72 AS01E	0.25ug M72 AS01E	
G2			0.05ug M72 1/5 th AS01E	
G3			0.01ug M72 1/25 th AS01E	

Group	Dose 1 D0	Dose 2 D14	Dose3 D28	Dose3 D98
G4				0.25ug M72 AS01E
G5				0.05ug M72 1/5 th AS01E
G6				0.01ug M72 1/25 th AS01E
G7				0.25ug M72 alone

AS01E adjuvant contained the immunostimulants 3D-MPL[®] (GlaxoSmithKline Biologicals, Montana, USA) and QS21 (2.5 ug of each) in a formulation with liposomes. Dilutions were performed using the adjuvant buffer.

5

Read-out:

Whole Blood ICS at

day 21 - 7 days Post-II (G1-7);

day 35 - 7 days Post-III (G1-3);

day 105 - 77 days Post-III (G1-3) and 7 days Post-III (G4-7)

10

Serology anti-M72 IgTot at

day 28 -14 days Post-II (G1-7)

day 42 -14 days Post-III (G1-3)

day 112 - 84 days Post III (G1-3) and 14 days Post III (G4-7)

15

In order to have sufficient volume, the whole blood of 4 pools of 3 mice for groups was collected at days 21, 35 and 105. Individual sera were collected at days 28, 42 and 112.

The mice were individually identified in order to link PII and PIII results for ICS and serology.

20

Read-out(s) description

Cellular immune response-Intracellular cytokine staining (ICS)

Leukocyte isolation

At each time point, blood was collected from each mouse and subsequently pooled (5 pools of 3 mice). Blood was collected in tubes containing, RPMI/additives (RPMI 1640, supplemented with Glutamine, Penicillin/streptomycin, Sodium Pyruvate, non-essential amino-acids and 2-

25

mercaptoethanol) containing heparin (1/10). Ten volumes of Lysing buffer were added to the whole blood and tubes were incubated at room temperature (RT) for 10 min. After centrifugation (335g, 10 min at RT), the pellet was harvested in RPMI/additives and filtered (Cell strainer 100µm). Cells were pelleted again (335g, 10 min at RT) and resuspended in Complete Medium (RPMI 1640, supplemented with Glutamine, Penicillin/streptomycin, Sodium Pyruvate, non-essential amino-acids and 2-mercaptoethanol, and 5% Heat inactivated Fetal Calf Serum).

In vitro stimulation of fresh leukocytes

Leukocytes were plated in round bottom 96-well plates at approximately 1 million cells per well. Leukocytes were then stimulated for 6 hours (37°C, 5% CO₂) with anti-CD28 (clone 9C10 (MFR4.B) and anti-CD49d (clone 37.51) at 1µg/ml, with or without 1µg/ml of peptides covering the M72 sequence. After a 2 hour- stimulation, Brefeldin A diluted 1/200 in complete medium was added for 4 additional hours. Plates were then transferred at 4°C, overnight.

15 ICS

Cells were stained and analyzed using a 5-colour ICS assay.

Cells were transferred to V-bottom 96-well plates, centrifuged at 189g for 5 min at 4°C after wash with 200µl Flow Buffer (PBS 1X, 1% FCS), resuspended the cells in 50µl Flow Buffer containing anti- CD16/32 (clone 2.4G2) diluted 1/50, for 10min at 4°C. Then, 50 µl Flow Buffer containing anti-CD4-V450 (clone RM4-5, diluted 1/50) and anti-CD8-PerCp-Cy5.5 (clone 53-6.7, diluted 1/50) antibodies and Live&Death PO (diluted 1/500) was added for 30 min at 4°C. Cells were centrifuged (189g for 5 min at 4°C) and washed with 200µl Flow Buffer.

Leukocytes were fixed and permeabilized by adding 200µl of Cytofix/Cytoperm solution (Becton Dickinson commercial buffer) for 20 min at 4°C. Cells were centrifuged (189g for 5 min at 4°C) and washed with 200µl Perm/Wash buffer (Becton Dickinson commercial buffer diluted 1:10 in distilled water). After an additional centrifugation step, cells were stained in 50µl Perm/Wash buffer with anti-IL2-FITC (clone JES6-5H4, diluted 1/400), anti- IFN_γ-APC (clone XMG1.2, diluted 1/50) and anti-TNF_α-PE (clone MP6-XT22, diluted 1/700) antibodies, for 1 hour at 4°C. Cells were washed twice with the Perm/Wash buffer resuspended in 220µl BD Stabilizing Fixative solution. Stained cells were analyzed by flow cytometry using a LSRII and the FlowJo software.

Humoral response- Anti-M72 Ig tot Serology by Elisa

96-well Elisa plates were coated with the recombinant antigen M72 at 0.25µg/ml in PBS and incubated overnight at 4°C. Sera from vaccinated mice at Post II and Post III were diluted at 1/10000, in PBS (0.2%)-BSA and then a 2 fold serial dilution is performed from well 1 to 12 and

incubated. Serial dilutions of the standard and control material were used to calculate the anti-M72 antibody standard titers of tested sera and to ensure validity of the test. Plates were washed with PBS 0.1% tween20 buffer after each incubation step. A biotinylated goat antibody specific for mice Ig is then added and the antigen-antibody complex is revealed by incubation with a streptavidin-peroxidase complex and a peroxidase substrate ortho-phenylenediamine dihydrochlorid/H₂O₂. The Optical densities (O.D.) were recorded at 490-620 nm. The anti-M72 antibody titer of each individual mouse serum is determined from the standard curve of the ELISA using a regression model and expressed in ELISA unit (EU)/ml. Geometric Mean Titers (GMT) are then calculated for each group of mice.

10

Results

T cell responses

A. Kinetics of the M72-specific CD4 T & CD8 T cells responses

15

To evaluate a potential benefit of the fractional and/or or delayed third dose on the CD4 T and CD8 T cell response, mice were immunized with a maximal dose of 0.25ug M72 in the current study in order to be in the dynamic range of the CD4 T cell response while inducing a detectable CD8 T cell response.

20

As shown in Figure 1, giving a fractional third dose in the standard schedule (D0-D14-D28) did not provide an improved CD4 T cell response as comparable boosts were observed from 7PII to 7PIII in groups receiving a full dose, 1/5th and 1/25th of the dose.

25

However, despite some variability of the M72 specific CD4 T cell response between pools, a greater boost was observed 7 days after a delayed third dose of 0.25ug of M72 as compared to the standard schedule. Furthermore, the level of M72 specific CD4 T cell response in mice receiving a delayed and fractional third dose or a delayed and unadjuvanted third dose was comparable to the levels observed in group immunized with the full dose in the standard schedule. This suggests a benefit of a delayed schedule in terms of the level of the CD4 T cell response.

30

Low levels of M72-specific CD8 T cells response were detected in mice that received 0.25ug M72 dose in the standard schedule and the third immunization dose failed to boost the M72-specific CD8 T cell response (Figure 2)

35

A decreased M72-specific CD8 T cell response was observed in mice that received a fractional third dose in the standard schedule. This is in line with previous data (not shown) where the CD8 T cell response was largely affected by the dose range of M72 protein used for immunizing the mice and where higher dose of M72 (1ug or 8ug) induced a higher level of response than
5 0.1ug or 0.25ug of M72.

In mice that received a delayed third dose of 0.25ug of M72, a boost of the M72 specific CD8 T cell response was seen from 7PII to 7PIII in all tested pools. However, medians of the CD8 T cell response showed variability between groups at 7PII (from 0.231 to 0.817) despite the fact
10 that all groups received 2 doses of 0.25ug of M72/AS01E.

B. Cytokine profile of the M72-specific CD4 & CD8 T cells responses

Similar CD4 T cytokine expression profiles was observed in groups receiving a full dose, 1/5th
15 and 1/25th of the dose in the standard schedule at both 7PII and 7PIII. The M72-specific CD4 T cell response included triple (IL2/IFNg/TNFa) and double (IFNg/TNFa) after 2 immunizations. The third immunization dose failed to support the progression of polyfunctional CD4 Th1 cells and instead increased the double (IL2/IFNg) and single (IFNg only) producing CD4 T cells (Figure 3).

20 Giving a delayed third dose seems to support the progression of polyfunctional CD4 Th1 cells as the M72-specific CD4 T cell response is mostly composed of IL2/IFNg/TNFa and IFNg/TNFa producing CD4 T cells (Figure 3). AS01 further enhanced the progression of polyfunctional T cells as reduced level of IL2/IFNg/TNFa and IFNg/TNFa and increased levels of IFNg only
25 producing CD4 T cells were observed in mice that received a delayed and unadjuvanted third dose.

Even though the level of M72 specific CD4 T cell response in mice receiving a delayed and fractional third dose is similar to what is observed with the benchmark, the cytokine profile is
30 slightly different and altogether these data suggests an improved progression of the polyfunctional CD4 Th1 cells in a delayed immunization schedule.

The magnitude and quality of multifunctional CD4 T cells has been shown to be a correlate of protection in mice (Derrick et al 2011 *Vaccine* 29:2902-2909).

35 Similar M72-specific CD8 T cell cytokine profiles were observed across all groups at both 7PII and 7PIII (Figure 4). The M72-specific CD8 T cell responses were mostly composed of double

(IFN γ /TNF α) and single (IFN γ only) producing CD8 T cells. Very low levels of IL2/IFN γ /TNF α and TNF α producing CD8 T cell were also detected.

Antibody responses

5

A. Anti-M72 Ig tot serology

As shown in Figure 5, a boost of the anti M72 serology response was observed between 14PII and 14 PIII in groups receiving a full dose, 1/5th and 1/25th of the dose in the standard schedule. 10 A trend of a dose-range effect was observed with the highest dose giving the highest M72 specific serology response. The persistence of the response decreased over time as shown by the lower serology response at 84PIII.

In mice that received a delayed third immunization, a higher magnitude of the response was 15 observed. Similar levels of M72 specific Ig were seen in the presence and absence of AS01E, suggesting that the M72 alone is sufficient to induce a high serology response after a delayed third immunization.

20

CLAIMS

1. A method for inducing an immune response in a subject comprising:
 - (i) administration of a first immunogenic composition comprising an M72 related antigen and a first adjuvant, wherein the first adjuvant comprises a TLR agonist and/or an immunologically active saponin, to the subject; followed by
 - (ii) administration of a second immunogenic composition comprising an M72 related antigen to the subject;and wherein the interval between the first and second administrations is between two months and five years.
2. A first immunogenic composition comprising an M72 related antigen and a first adjuvant, wherein the first adjuvant comprises a TLR agonist and/or an immunologically active saponin, for use in a method for inducing an immune response in a subject, said method comprising administration of the first immunogenic composition to the subject, followed by administration of a second immunogenic composition comprising an M72 related antigen to the subject, and wherein the interval between the first and second administrations is between two months and five years.
3. A second immunogenic composition comprising an M72 related antigen, for use in a method for inducing an immune response in a subject, said method comprising administration of a first immunogenic composition comprising an M72 related antigen and a first adjuvant, wherein the first adjuvant comprises a TLR agonist and/or an immunologically active saponin, to a subject, followed by administration of the second immunogenic composition to the subject, and wherein the interval between the first and second administrations is between two months and five years.
4. The use of a first immunogenic composition comprising an M72 related antigen and a first adjuvant, wherein the first adjuvant comprises a TLR agonist and/or an immunologically active saponin, in the manufacture of a medicament for use in a method of inducing an immune response in a subject, said method comprising administration of the first immunogenic composition to the subject, followed by administration of a second immunogenic composition comprising an M72 related antigen to the subject, and wherein the interval between the first and second administrations is between two months and five years.

5. The use of a second immunogenic composition, in the manufacture of a medicament for use in a method of inducing an immune response in a subject, said method comprising administration of a first immunogenic composition comprising an M72 related antigen and a first adjuvant, wherein the first adjuvant comprises a TLR agonist and/or an immunologically active saponin to the subject, followed by administration of the second immunogenic composition comprising an M72 related antigen to the subject, and wherein the interval between the first and second administrations is between two months and five years.
6. The method, composition or use according to any one of claims 1 to 5, wherein the subject receives a total of two doses within 5 years.
7. The method, composition or use according to any one of claims 1 to 5, wherein the subject receives a total of two doses within 2 years.
8. The method, composition or use according to any one of claims 1 to 7, wherein the time interval between the administration of the first composition and administration of the second composition is between 3 months and 5 years, such as between 3 months and 24 months, between 3 and 18 months, between 3 and 14 months, between 3 and 10 months, between 3 and 9 months or between 3 and 8 months.
9. The method, composition or use according to any one of claims 1 to 7, wherein the time interval between the administration of the first composition and administration of the second composition is between 4 and 14 months, between 4 and 9 months, or between 4 and 8 months.
10. The method, composition or use according to any one of claims 1 to 5, wherein the subject receives a total of three doses within 5 years.
11. The method, composition or use according to any one of claims 1 to 5, wherein the subject receives a total of three doses within 2 years.
12. The method, composition or use according to either claim 10 or 11, wherein the subject receives two doses of the first composition and one dose of the second composition.
13. The method, composition or use according to claim 12, wherein the time interval between the initial administration of the first composition and administration of the

- second composition is between 3 months and 5 years, such as between 3 months and 24 months, between 3 and 18 months, between 3 and 14 months, between 3 and 10 months, between 3 and 9 months or between 3 and 8 months.
14. The method, composition or use according to claim 12, wherein the time interval between the initial administration of the first composition and administration of the second composition is between 4 and 14 months, between 4 and 9 months, or between 4 and 8 months.
 15. The method, composition or use according to any one of claims 12 to 14, wherein the time interval between the final administration of the first composition and administration of the second composition is between 3 months and 5 years, such as between 3 months and 24 months, between 3 and 18 months, between 3 and 14 months, between 3 and 10 months, between 3 and 9 months or between 3 and 8 months.
 16. The method, composition or use according to any one of claims 12 to 14, wherein the time interval between the final administration of the first composition and administration of the second composition is between 4 and 14 months, between 4 and 9 months, or between 4 and 8 months.
 17. The method, composition or use according to either claim 10 or 11, wherein the subject receives one dose of the first composition and two doses of the second composition.
 18. The method, composition or use according to claim 17, wherein the time interval between the administration of the first composition and final administration of the second composition is between 3 months and 5 years, such as between 3 months and 24 months, between 3 and 18 months, between 3 and 14 months, between 3 and 10 months, between 3 and 9 months or between 3 and 8 months.
 19. The method, composition or use according to claim 17, wherein the time interval between the administration of the first composition and final administration of the second composition is between 4 and 14 months, between 4 and 9 months, or between 4 and 8 months.
 20. The method, composition or use according to any one of claims 17 to 19, wherein the time interval between the administration of the first composition and initial administration of the second composition is between 3 months and 5 years, such as between 3 months

- and 24 months, between 3 and 18 months, between 3 and 14 months, between 3 and 10 months, between 3 and 9 months or between 3 and 8 months.
21. The method, composition or use according to any one of claims 17 to 19, wherein the time interval between the administration of the first composition and initial administration of the second composition is between 4 and 14 months, between 4 and 9 months, or between 4 and 8 months.
 22. The method, composition or use according to any one of claims 1 to 21, wherein the M72 related antigen comprises a sequence having at least 90% identity to SEQ ID No. 1.
 23. The method, composition or use according to claim 22, wherein the M72 related antigen comprises residues 2-723 of SEQ ID No. 1.
 24. The method, composition or use according to claim 23, wherein the M72 related antigen comprises SEQ ID No. 1.
 25. The method, composition or use according to claim 23, wherein the M72 related antigen comprises SEQ ID No. 2.
 26. The method, composition or use according to claim 23, wherein the M72 related antigen consists of SEQ ID No. 2.
 27. The method, composition or use according to any one of claims 1 to 22, wherein the M72 related antigen comprises a fragment of SEQ ID No: 1 which is at least 300 amino acids in length.
 28. The method, composition or use according to any one of claims 1 to 27, wherein the M72 related antigen contains fewer than 1500 amino acid residues, such as fewer than 1200 amino acid residues, in particular less than 1000 amino acid residues, especially fewer than 800 amino acid residues.
 29. The method, composition or use according to any one of claims 1 to 28, wherein the amount of M72 related antigen in the first and second immunogenic compositions is the same.

30. The method, composition or use according to any one of claims 1 to 29, wherein the second immunogenic composition contains a reduced amount of M72 related antigen relative to the first immunogenic composition.
31. The method, composition or use according to any one of claims 1 to 30, wherein the first and/or the second immunogenic compositions comprise between 1 ug and 100 ug of M72 related antigen.
32. The method, composition or use according to any one of claims 1 to 31, wherein the first immunogenic composition comprises between 5 ug and 50 ug of M72 related antigen.
33. The method, composition or use according to claim 32, wherein the first immunogenic composition comprises between 5 ug and 20 ug of M72 related antigen.
34. The method, composition or use according to any one of claims 1 to 33, wherein the second immunogenic composition comprises between 5 ug and 50 ug of M72 related antigen.
35. The method, composition or use according to claim 34, wherein the second immunogenic composition comprises between 5 ug and 20 ug of M72 related antigen.
36. The method, composition or use according to any one of claims 1 to 35, wherein the second immunogenic composition comprises between 1 ug and 8 ug of M72 related antigen.
37. The method, composition or use according to any one of claims 1 to 36, wherein the first and second immunogenic compositions contain the same M72 related antigen.
38. The method, composition or use according to any one of claims 1 to 37, wherein the first and/or the second immunogenic compositions comprise one or more further antigenic components.
39. The method, composition or use according to any one of claims 1 to 38, wherein all antigens in the first and second immunogenic compositions are the same.

40. The method, composition or use according to any one of claims 1 to 39, wherein the first and/or the second immunogenic compositions comprise between 1 ug and 100 ug of protein antigen in total.
41. The method, composition or use according to any one of claims 1 to 40, wherein the first composition comprises a TLR4 agonist.
42. The method, composition or use according to claim 41, wherein the TLR4 agonist is 3D-MPL.
43. The method, composition or use according to any one of claims 1 to 42, wherein the first composition comprises an immunologically active saponin.
44. The method, composition or use according to claim 43, wherein the immunologically active saponin is QS21.
45. The method, composition or use according to any one of claims 1 to 44, wherein the second immunogenic composition comprises a second adjuvant and wherein the second adjuvant comprises a TLR agonist and/or an immunologically active saponin.
46. The method, composition or use according to claim 45, wherein the second adjuvant comprises a TLR agonist and/or an immunologically active saponin and has at least one of these two components in common with the first adjuvant.
47. The method, composition or use according to any one of claims 1 to 46, wherein the second composition comprises a TLR4 agonist.
48. The method, composition or use according to claim 47, wherein the TLR4 agonist is 3D-MPL.
49. The method, composition or use according to any one of claims 1 to 48, wherein the second composition comprises an immunologically active saponin.
50. The method, composition or use according to claim 49, wherein the immunologically active saponin is QS21.


51. The method, composition or use according to any one of claims 45 to 50, wherein the first and second adjuvants consist of the same components in different relative proportions.
52. The method, composition or use according to any one of claims 45 to 50, wherein the first and second adjuvants consist of the same components in the same relative proportions.
53. The method, composition or use according to any one of claims 45 to 50, wherein the first and second adjuvants consist of the same components in the same amounts.
54. The method, composition or use according to any one of claims 45 to 50, wherein the second adjuvant comprises a reduced amount of at least one of the common components relative to the first adjuvant.
55. The method, composition or use according to claim 54, wherein the second adjuvant comprises a reduced amount of all common components relative to the first adjuvant.
56. The method, composition or use according to any one of claims 1 to 55, wherein the first (and if present) and second adjuvants are provided as liposomal formulations.
57. The method, composition or use according to any one of claims 1 to 55, wherein the first adjuvant comprises between 12.5 and 75 micrograms, of 3D-MPL and between 12.5 and 75, micrograms of QS21 in a liposomal formulation.
58. The method, composition or use according to claim 57, wherein the first adjuvant comprises between 12.5 and 37.5 micrograms, such as between 20 and 30 micrograms (for example about or exactly 25 micrograms), of 3D-MPL and between 12.5 and 37.5 micrograms, such as between 20 and 30 micrograms (for example about or exactly 25 micrograms) of QS21 in a liposomal formulation.
59. The method, composition or use to any one of claims 1 to 58, wherein and the second adjuvant (if present) comprises between 12.5 and 75 micrograms, of 3D-MPL and between 12.5 and 75, micrograms of QS21 in a liposomal formulation.
60. The method, composition or use according to claim 59, wherein the second adjuvant comprises between 12.5 and 37.5 micrograms, such as between 20 and 30 micrograms

(for example about or exactly 25 micrograms), of 3D-MPL and between 12.5 and 37.5 micrograms, such as between 20 and 30 micrograms (for example about or exactly 25 micrograms) of QS21 in a liposomal formulation.

61. The method, composition or use according any one of claims 1 to 58, wherein the second adjuvant (if present) comprises between 2.5 and 7.5, such as 5 micrograms, of 3D-MPL and between 2.5 and 7.5, such as 5 micrograms of QS21 in a liposomal formulation.
62. The method, composition or use according any one of claims 1 to 60, wherein the first and second immunogenic compositions are the same.
63. The method, composition or use according any one of claims 1 to 61, wherein the amount of the M72 related antigen in the second immunogenic composition is between 5/4 and 1/10 of that in the first immunogenic composition.
64. The method, composition or use according any one of claims 1 to 61 or 63, wherein the amount of the adjuvant component in the second immunogenic composition is between 5/4 and 1/10 of that in the first immunogenic composition.
65. The method, composition or use according any one of claims 1 to 61 or 64 for the prophylaxis, treatment or amelioration of infection by mycobacteria, such as infection by *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*.
66. The method, composition or use according claim 65 for:
 - treating active tuberculosis;
 - prophylaxis of active tuberculosis due to infection or reactivation, such as by administering to a subject who is uninfected, or alternatively a subject who has a latent infection;
 - treating latent tuberculosis;
 - prophylaxis of latent tuberculosis, such as by administering to a subject who is uninfected; or
 - preventing or delaying reactivation of tuberculosis, especially the delay of TB reactivation, for example by a period of months, years or indefinitely.
67. The method, composition or use according to any one of claims 1 to 65 wherein the subject is human.

68. The method, composition or use according to any one of claims 1 to 67 wherein the immunogenic compositions are administered intramuscularly.

Figure 5



INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No
PCT/EP2015/057423

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
INV. A61K39/04
ADD.

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED
Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)
A61K

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)
EPO-Internal, BIOSIS, Sequence Search, WPI Data

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	<p>CHERYL L. DAY ET AL: "Induction and Regulation of T-Cell Immunity by the Novel Tuberculosis Vaccine M72/AS01 in South African Adults", AMERICAN JOURNAL OF RESPIRATORY AND CRITICAL CARE MEDICINE, vol. 188, no. 4, 15 August 2013 (2013-08-15), pages 492-502, XP55191677, ISSN: 1073-449X, DOI: 10.1164/rccm.201208-13850C page 493</p> <p style="text-align: center;">----- -/--</p>	1-68

Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.

See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search 15 September 2015	Date of mailing of the international search report 21/09/2015
--	--

Name and mailing address of the ISA/ European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Authorized officer Scheffzyk, Irmgard
--	--

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No
PCT/EP2015/057423

C(Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	ISABEL LEROUX-ROELS ET AL: "Improved CD4+ T cell responses to Mycobacterium tuberculosis in PPD-negative adults by M72/AS01 as compared to the M72/AS02 and Mtb72F/AS02 tuberculosis candidate vaccine formulations: A randomized trial", VACCINE, vol. 31, no. 17, 1 April 2013 (2013-04-01), pages 2196-2206, XP55191673, ISSN: 0264-410X, DOI: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2012.05.035 cited in the application page 2197	1-68
Y	----- JAIME MONTOYA ET AL: "A Randomized, Controlled Dose-Finding Phase II Study of the M72/AS01 Candidate Tuberculosis Vaccine in Healthy PPD-Positive Adults", JOURNAL OF CLINICAL IMMUNOLOGY, vol. 33, no. 8, 20 October 2013 (2013-10-20), pages 1360-1375, XP55191672, ISSN: 0271-9142, DOI: 10.1007/s10875-013-9949-3 cited in the application page 1360	1-68
A	----- WO 2012/080369 A1 (GLAXOSMITHKLINE BIOLOG SA [BE]; GODART STEPHANE ANDRE GEORGES [BE]; LA) 21 June 2012 (2012-06-21) the whole document	1-68
X	----- H. MCSHANE: "Tuberculosis vaccines: beyond bacille Calmette-Guerin", PHILOSOPHICAL TRANSACTIONS. ROYAL SOCIETY OF LONDON. B: BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES., vol. 366, 1 January 2011 (2011-01-01), pages 2782-2789, XP55191669, GB ISSN: 0962-8436, DOI: 10.1098/rstb.2011.0097 page 2784	1-68

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No

PCT/EP2015/057423

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO 2012080369	A1	21-06-2012	AR 084285 A1 08-05-2013
			AU 2011343367 A1 11-07-2013
			AU 2011343368 A1 11-07-2013
			CA 2819297 A1 21-06-2012
			CA 2819298 A1 21-06-2012
			CN 103249431 A 14-08-2013
			CN 103260642 A 21-08-2013
			CO 6751287 A2 16-09-2013
			CO 6751288 A2 16-09-2013
			EA 201390630 A1 30-12-2013
			EA 201390631 A1 28-11-2014
			EP 2651436 A1 23-10-2013
			EP 2651437 A1 23-10-2013
			JP 2013545783 A 26-12-2013
			JP 2014505036 A 27-02-2014
			KR 20130124525 A 14-11-2013
			KR 20140029376 A 10-03-2014
			SG 190731 A1 31-07-2013
			SG 190937 A1 31-07-2013
			TW 201305192 A 01-02-2013
			US 2013280289 A1 24-10-2013
			US 2013287809 A1 31-10-2013
			UY 33802 A 31-07-2012
			WO 2012080369 A1 21-06-2012
			WO 2012080370 A1 21-06-2012
