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This invention relates to wave transmission networks and, more particularly, to networks having frequency selective transmission characteristics. upper path, and 11, 12, in the lower path. Resistances of value $R$ are connected between terminals 1, 2 and 3, 4, and also between two additional pairs of terminals 5, 6 and 1, 8, located between networks 9 and 10 and networks II and 12, respectively.

The networks 9, 10, 11 and 12 are of the symmetrical lattice type and are similar in pairs, networks 9 and 1 | being alike and also networks 10 and 12. The line and the lattice branch impedances of networks 9 and II have values A and B , respectively, and the corresponding branch impedances of networks 10 and 12 have values $C$ and D, respectively. These impedances are preferably pure reactances and may be chosen to give
each network a desired type of transmission characteristic. For example 9 and 11 may have low-pass characteristics while 10 and 12 may be of the high-pass type.

The order of the component networks is reversed in the one path with respect to the other, but otherwise the two paths have the same composition and therefore have equal transfer constants. There is also a reversal in the interconnection of the two paths at terminals 3 and 4 with respect to the connections at 1 and 2 , the effect of which is equivalent to the addition of a phase shift of 180 degrees in one or other of the two paths.

This phase reversal, together with the similarity between the two paths gives rise to a condition of conjugacy between the resistance paths at terminals 1,2 and 3,4 , respectively. This may be demonstrated as follows: Consider the resistance $R$ between terminals 3 and 4 to be replaced by a short circuit and a voltage $E_{0}$ applied to terminals 1 and 2. Under this condition the total current through the short circuit will be the resultant of the separate transmissions through the individual paths, the output from the one path being unable to pass beyond the short circuit into the other path. From the principle of reciprocity it follows that the current in the short circuit from either one of the paths alone will not be changed if the path is turned end for end. Since such a reversal in one path would make the two paths exactly alike, it follows that the output currents in the short circuit will be of equal magnitude and will completely neutralize each other because of the relative reversal of phase due to the circuit connections. Since no current flows in the short circuit an impedance of any magnitude may be inserted therein without disturbing the conjugacy. Similar considerations will show that the resistance paths between terminals 5 and 6 and between terminals 7 and 8 are also conjugate. In this case the two paths include networks 10, 11 and 9, 12 respectively, with intermediate bridging resistances, and are similar in composition to the two paths considered in the previous case. A phase reversal is also present in one path with respect to the other due to the interconnections at the intermediate terminals 1, 2 and 3, 4.
When the four pairs of terminals are bridged by equal resistances of value $R$ it is possible, by proper choice of the impedances of the component networks, to make the input impedances of the whole network at each of the four pairs of terminals equal to the resistance $R$ at all frequencies. This is the same thing as giving the system a constant resistance image impedance of value $R$ at all four oî its pairs of terminals, the image impedances of a transmission network being defined as the impedances measured at each
of the several pairs of terminals when the other pairs are closed through impedances which produce zero reflection effects at all frequencies; that is when they are closed through their respective 5 image impedances. In symmetrical systems the image impedances are equal and are equal to the characteristic impedance. The necessary relationships between the component network impedances to achieve this constant resistance characteristic may be found as follows:
Consider the input impedance at terminals i and 2. Since the resistance path between 3 and 4 is conjugate to the path between 1 and 2 , the input impedance will not be affected by the value of the resistance in the branch 3, 4. The terminals 3 and 4 may therefore be assumed to be short-circuited in which case the input impedance at 1 and 2 is simply the impedance of the two transmission paths in parallel. Let $Z_{1}$ denote the impedance of the path including networks 9 and 10 with the output terminals of 10 short-circuited and let $\mathrm{Z}_{2}$ denote the impedance of the other transmission path also short-cir cuited at the output end, then, if $Z$ denote the total input impedance at 1 and 2,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{Z}=\frac{1}{Z_{1}}+\frac{1}{Z_{2}} \tag{i}
\end{equation*}
$$

The values of $Z_{1}$ and $Z_{2}$ may be determined from the open circuit and short circuit impedances of the component networks. Since each of these networks is symmetrical its open and short circuit impedances will be the same at both ends. Networks 9 and 11, being similar, will have the same impedances and networks 10 and 12 likewise. Let the open circuit and short circuit impedances of 9 and 11 be denoted $Z_{o}$ and $Z_{s}$ respectively and let the corresponding impedances of networks 10 and 12 be denoted by $Y_{0}$ and $Y_{s}$.
The impedance $Z_{1}$ is that of the network 9 terminated by an impedance made up of the parallel combination of resistance $R$ and the short circuit impedance of network 10 and has the value
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{1}=Z_{o} Z_{r 1}+Z_{8} Z_{r 1}+Z_{o} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
Z_{r 1}=\frac{R Y_{s}}{R+Y_{s}}
$$

50 substituting the value of $Z_{r 1}$ in Equation 2 and inverting, gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{Z_{1}}=\frac{1}{Z_{o}}\left[\frac{R Y_{s}+Z_{o}\left(R+Y_{s}\right)}{R\left(Y_{s}+Z_{s}\right)+Y_{s} Z_{s}}\right] \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$ In a like manner the value of $Z_{2}$ is found to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{Z_{2}}=\frac{1}{Y_{0}}\left[\frac{R Z_{s}+Y_{0}\left(R+Z_{s}\right)}{R\left(Y_{\mathrm{s}}+Z_{s}\right)+Y_{\mathrm{s}} Z_{s}}\right] \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the input impedance at terminals $I, 2$ is to be

$$
\frac{1}{R}=\frac{1}{Z_{1}}+\frac{1}{Z_{2}}
$$
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$$
\begin{array}{r}
\frac{1}{R}=\frac{1}{Z_{o}}\left[\frac{R Y_{s}+Z_{o}\left(R+Y_{s}\right)}{R\left(Y_{s}+Z_{s}\right)+Y_{s} Z_{s}}\right]+ \\
\frac{1}{Y_{0}}\left[\frac{R Z_{s}+Y_{o}\left(R+Z_{s}\right)}{R\left(Y_{s}+Z_{s}\right)+Y_{s} Z_{3}}\right]
\end{array}
$$

70 from which, by simplification, the relationship is obtained

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{R^{2}}=\frac{1}{Z_{o} Z_{s}}+\frac{2}{Y_{s} Z_{s}}+\frac{1}{Y_{o} Y_{s}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

A, B, C and D, which make up the networks 9 to 12, Equation 6 becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{R^{2}}=\frac{1}{A B}+\frac{1}{C D}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{A}+\frac{1}{B}\right)\left(\frac{1}{C}+\frac{1}{D}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations 6 and 7 set forth relationships of the network impedances, and of the branch impedances when lattice type networks are used, which must be fulfilled in order that the constant resistance requirement may be met. A procedure which may be followed in choosing the impedances $A, B, C$ and $D$ in accordance with these requirements will be described later. If will be sufficient at this point to note that the requirements can always be met with physical impedance elements and that these may be selected and proportioned to provide desired transmission characteristics between adjacent pairs of terminals.

In the network of Figure 1 the two transmission paths are of the balanced type and the network is therefore suited for direct connection to transmission lines having both sides balanced to ground. A modified form of the invention in which the two transmission paths are of the unbalanced type is shown in Figure 2. In this network the component networks are designated $9^{\prime}, 10^{\prime}$, 11', and 12' and consist of series-shunt impedance combinations with the series impedances inserted in only one line of each path. Terminals 2, 4, 6 and 8 are connected together and to ground. To permit the grounding of one side of each path a transformer $T_{1}$ is included at one end of the upper path and a second transformer T2 at the other end of the lower path. These transformers should be similar in their characteristics and should have unity transformation ratios. If they are similarly poled the relative phase shifts of the two paths will not be affected by their inclusion and the conjugacy of the opposite pairs of terminals will not be impaired. The component networks in Figure 2 are shown as symmetrical $T$ networks, $g^{\prime}$ and $11^{\prime}$ having series impedances $F$ and shunt impedances $G$ and $10^{\prime}$ and $12^{\prime}$ having corresponding impedances $H$ and $K$. Single section networks only are shown, but it will be understood that as many sections as desired may be used, the showing being intended to represent simply the unbalanced equivalents of the generalized lattices of Figure 1. It should be noted, however, that the component networks are of the series terminated type. This is necessary where the resistances $R$ are connected in shunt to the component network terminals, as in Figures 1 and 2 . Otherwise, a shunt path would be provided at each pair of terminals which would have zero impedance at some frequency and would therefore prevent the realization of the constant resistance characteristic.

Another form of the invention is shown in Figure 3 which differs from that shown in Figures 1 and 2 in that the resistances $R$ are connected in series between the component networks instead of in shunt. The component networks 9,10 , 11 and 12, are shown as symmetrical lattices as in Figure 1, but the unbalanced equivalents of these lattices may also be used as in Fligure 2 . In the latter case the lower line of the upper path and the upper line of the lower path would form the common grounded conductor. Transformers $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ inserted as in Figure 2 permit the grounding to be effected and, with appropriate poling, maintain the conjugacy of the opposite pairs of terminals. When the unbal-
anced networks are used they should be of the shunt terminated type for reasons converse to those dictating the use of series terminations in the network of Figure 2.

From considerations similar to those applied to the analysis of the circuit of Figure 1 it may be shown that the relationship required in this case for securing a constant resistance impedance characteristic at each of the four pairs of 10 0 terminals is expressed by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{2}=Z_{o} Z_{s}+2 Y_{o} Z_{0}+Y_{\iota} Y_{s} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, in terms of the lattice impedances $\mathbf{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathbf{C}$ and 15 D

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{2}=A B+\frac{1}{2}(A+B)(C+D)+C D \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

An example of the use of the invention is illustrated in Figure 4 which shows its application the single amplifier type shown in U. S. Pat. $1,874,492$ issued Aug. 30, 1932, to A. G. Ganz. The network illustrated corresponds to that of Figure 1 in which the resistances are bridged across the terminals of the component networks. The two portions 14 and 15 of a transmission line in which the repeater is inserted are connected to terminals 1, 2 and 3, A, respectively and furnish the requisite bridging resistances. An
amplifier 13 is connected between terminals 5,6 and 7, 8. The bridging resistances being provided by suitable elements associated with the input and output circuits of the amplifier.
In a multiplex carrier transmission system it 35 is customary to include all channels transmitting in one direction in a low frequency group and those transmitting in the opposite direction in a separate high frequency group. By making networks 9 and 11 of the character of low-pass fil40 ters a path through the repeater from line is to line 15 is provided for the low frequency channels, this path including filter 9 , amplifier 13 and filter 11. By making networks 10 and 12 of the character of high pass filters a corresponding 45 path through the repeater from line 15 to line 14 is provided for the high frequency channels. These paths may be made mutually exclusive by the proper choice of the filter cut-off frequencies and by providing adequate attenuation in the fil50 ters.

The conjugacy existing between the opposite pairs of terminals because of the reversal of the interconnections at terminals 3 and 4 with respect to those at terminals 1 and 2 not only permits the constant resistance characteristic to be obtained but also minimizes the possibility of singing in the amplifier by the reduction or elimination of feed-back from the amplifier output terminals 7,8 to the input terminals $5,6$.

The general requirements for the constant resistance condition of the networks have been set forth in Equations 6, 7, 8 and 9 . It remains to be shown how the individual impedances of the networks may be determined in accordance with these requirements. This will be done by developing design formulae for a network of the type of Figure 1, the general procedure outline being applicable to the other forms of network.

Equation 7 which expresses the requirement for the constant resistance condition in terms of the branch impedances is general to the extent that it does not depend on the character of the impedances, which may be resistive or reactive or may include both resistance and reactance. However, since it is desirable in practice that the sys-
tem should have definite selective properties the component networks will preferably be composed of substantially pure reactances and will have individual selective characteristics corresponding to the prescribed requirements of the system. With this in mind the illustrative example will correspond to the arrangement shown in Figure 4 in Which networks 9 and 11 have low-pass transmission characteristics and networks 10 and 12 have high-pass characteristics.

Assuming the lattice branches to be substantially pure reactances and writing in place of the impedances $A, B, C$ and $D$ the corresponding reactances $X_{a}, X_{b}, X_{c}$, and $X_{d}$, Equation 7 can be transformed to
$\left(\frac{1}{X_{a}}-\frac{1}{X_{b}}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{1}{X_{c}}-\frac{1}{X_{d}}\right)^{2}=$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{1}{X_{a}}+\frac{1}{X_{b}}+\frac{1}{X_{c}}+\frac{1}{X_{d}}\right)^{2}+\frac{4}{R^{2}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}^{2}+F_{2}^{2}=\left(\frac{1}{X_{a}}+\frac{1}{\bar{X}_{b}}+\frac{1}{\bar{X}_{c}}+\frac{1}{X_{d}}\right)^{2}+\frac{4}{R^{2}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
and

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}=\left(\frac{1}{X_{a}}-\frac{1}{X_{b}}\right) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$
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$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{2}=\left(\frac{1}{X_{c}}-\frac{1}{X_{d}}\right) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is to be noted that the right hand side of Equation 11 is always positive and ranges in value between $4 / R^{2}$ and infinity, infinite values occurring at each of the resonances of the reactances $\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{a}}$, $X_{b}$ etc. To meet the constant resistance requirements the reactances must be so proportioned that the sum $F_{1}{ }^{2}+F_{2}{ }^{2}$ varies in the same manner as the right hand side of the equation.
It may be shown by ordinary network analysis that the insertion loss between terminals $\mathbf{I}, 2$ and 5,6 is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{2 \alpha_{1}}=1+\left(\frac{F_{2}}{F_{1}}\right)^{2} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that between terminals I, 2 and 7,8 by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{e}^{2 \alpha_{2}}=1+\left(\frac{F_{1}}{F_{2}}\right)^{2} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ are the respective insertion losses.
Since it is desired that the path through network 9 have a low-pass characteristic and that through network 12 a high-pass characteristic, it is apparent from Equations 12 and 13 that the ratio $\mathrm{F}_{2} / \mathrm{F}_{1}$ must be small in the low-pass range and large in the high-pass range. That is, $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ must be small in the range where $F_{1}$ is large and vice versa.
The character of the frequency variations of $\mathrm{F}_{1}{ }^{2}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{2}{ }^{2}$ may readily be determined in any particular case. A suitable form for the low-pass networks 9 and 11 is illustrated in Figure 5 in which $X_{a}$ is characterized by a single finite resonance and $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{b}}$ by two finite resonances. From considerations of ordinary filter theory the resonance of $X_{a}$ will occur at a frequency lying between the two resonance frequencies of $\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{e}}$.
In terms of the resonance frequencies $\mathrm{Fr}_{1}{ }^{2}$ may be expressed by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}{ }^{2}=\left[\frac{A_{0}}{\omega}-\frac{A_{2} \omega}{\omega^{2}-\omega_{12}{ }^{2}}+\frac{A_{4} \omega}{\omega^{2}-\omega_{22^{2}}}-\frac{A_{6} \omega}{\omega^{2}-\omega_{32}{ }^{2}}\right]^{2} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega$ denotes $2 \pi$ times frequency, $\omega_{12}$, $\omega_{22}$, and $\omega_{32}$ correspond to the three resonance frequencies, and $A_{0}, A_{2}, A_{4}$ and $A_{6}$ are constants. The res-
onance frequencies all occur in the low-pass transmission range and at these frequencies $\mathrm{F}_{1}{ }^{2}$ become infinite.

Equation 14 may be transformed by ordinary

These frequencies, if real, all lie above the lowpass range, but in the general case certain of them may be imaginary or complex.
The general expression for $F_{1}$ in the factorial form of Equation 15 may be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}=\frac{C_{1}}{\omega} \Pi \frac{s=m}{s=\frac{\left(\omega^{2}-\omega_{s 1}{ }^{2}\right)}{1}\left(\omega^{2}-\omega_{s 2^{2}}\right)} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

follo $I$ indicates a product of terms of the type following it and $m$ is the number of factors in $\mathrm{Fl}_{1}{ }^{2}$, that is, the number of poles or the number of zeros.

By a proper choice of critical frequencies de-

## fining the zeros and poles in Equations 15 and 16

 the frequency variation of $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ may be made to take the form illustrated by the curve in Fig. 6 which is characterized by a series of equal minima between the poles in the low frequency range and a corresponding series of equal maxima between the zeros in the high frequency range. Moreover the values of $\mathrm{Fl}^{2}$ in the high frequency range may be made so small as to be completely negligible.The design proceeds by determining the critical frequencies and the element values of the lowpass network so that the minima of $F_{1}{ }^{2}$ in the low frequency range are equal to $4 / R^{2}$ and so that the descending portion of the $\mathrm{Fr}_{1}{ }^{2}$ characteristic in the range between the high and the low values passes through the value $2 / \mathrm{R}^{2}$ at a predetermined frequency marking the division of the ranges.
A complementary characteristic is next determined for $\mathrm{F}_{2}{ }^{2}$ having minima in the high frequency range likewise equal to $4 / \mathrm{R}^{2}$ and having its descending portion intersect that of $\mathrm{F}_{1}{ }^{2}$ at the predetermined dividing frequency, its slope at this point being equal that of $\mathrm{Fi}^{2}$ but of opposite sign. This ensures a minimum at the dividing frequency the value of which will be $4 / R^{2}$.

Since the values of $\mathrm{Fr}^{2}$ in the high frequency range are negligible and those of $\mathrm{F}_{2}{ }^{2}$ in the low frequency range are likewise negligible the sum of the two quantities gives a series of minima each of value $4 / \mathrm{R}^{2}$ and alternating in the frequency scale with a series of infinite values as required by Equation 11. It develops also that the form of $F_{1}{ }^{2}+F_{2}^{2}$ agrees with that of the right hand side of Equation 11 and hence that the constant resistance requirement is met. I have found that the neglect of the low values of $\mathrm{Fl}^{2}$ in the high frequency range and of the corresponding values of $\mathrm{F}_{2}{ }^{2}$ affects the resistance of the system to an extent of less than one part in 5000 in practical cases.
The choice of the critical frequencies of $\mathrm{Fi}^{2}$ to give the required frequency variation is based on the following expansion theorems for elliptic functions which follow from relationships given in Elliptic Functions, by A. Cayley, published by
G. Bell and Sons, London, England, second edition, 1895, pages 265 and 267.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \begin{array}{l}
C 4 \sqrt{k_{1} S n\left(n u K_{1}, k_{1}\right)=C_{3} \sqrt{k} s n(u K, k)} \quad\left[\begin{array}{l}
s=m \\
\left.1+\sum_{s=1} 1-k^{2} s n^{2}\left(\frac{2 s}{n} K, k\right)\right)^{2} 2 U_{s} V_{s}(u K, k)
\end{array}\right]
\end{array}  \tag{17}\\
& =C_{2} \sqrt{k s n}(u K, k) \Pi=m\left[\frac{k s^{2}\left(\frac{2 s}{n} K, k\right)-k \operatorname{sn}^{2}(u K, k)}{1-k^{2} \operatorname{sn}^{2}\left(\frac{2 s}{n} K, k\right) \operatorname{sn}^{2}(u K, k)}\right] 10 \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Wherein the following notations are used:
Expressions of the form $s n(y, a)$ denote elliptic sines of modulus $a$ and argument $y$, the modulus being a positive numeric of value less than unity;
K is the complete elliptic integral of the first kind, of modulus $k$;
$\mathrm{K}_{1}$ is the complete elliptic integral of the first kind, of modulus $k_{1}$;

$$
\begin{gather*}
U_{s}=\sqrt{1-s^{2}\left(\frac{2 s}{n} K, k\right)}  \tag{19}\\
V_{s}=\sqrt{1-k^{2} s^{2}\left(\frac{2 s}{n} K, k\right)}  \tag{25}\\
n=2 m+1 \tag{30}
\end{gather*}
$$

$\mathrm{C}_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ are numerical constants and $s n(u K, k)$ is the variable parameter.
The quantities $K, K_{1}, \dot{k}, k_{1}$, are related in the following manner.

Let $K^{\prime}$ and $K_{1}^{\prime}$ denote the complete elliptic integrals of the first kind, of moduli

$$
\sqrt{1-k^{2}}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{1-k_{1}^{2}} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively, and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=e^{-\frac{K^{\prime}}{\bar{K}}} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{1}=e^{-\pi \frac{K_{1}^{\prime}}{K}} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ are such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{K_{1}^{\prime}}{K_{1}}=n \frac{K^{\prime}}{K} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{1}=q^{n} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Knowing $q$ and $n$ the value of $q_{1}$ is determined and the corresponding value of $k_{1}$ can be found from standard tables of elliptic functions. For the cases of interest in connection with the present invention $k_{1}$ is small and may be determined with great accuracy from the approximate relationship

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{1}=4 \sqrt{q_{1}} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The value of $K_{1}$ follows from its definition.
From Equation 18 it will be seen that the quantity

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{4} \sqrt{k_{1}} \operatorname{sn}\left(n u K_{1}, k_{1}\right) \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

expressed as a function of $s n(u, K, k)$ has $m$ poles, or infinite values, corresponding to the zero values of the denominator factors and has an equal number of related zeros which occur in a different range of values of the argument sn ( $u, K, k$ ). In accordance with the known principles of elliptic functions the square of the above indicated quantity goes through a series of equal minima between the poles and a series of equal maxima between the zeros.

The values of the minima are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { and the maxima by } \frac{C_{4}^{2}}{k_{1}} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{4}{ }^{2} k_{1} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

the ratio of the maxima to the minima being equal to $k_{1}{ }^{2}$.

The constants $C_{3}$ and $C_{4}$ are found by com10 paring the expressions in which they appear as sn ( $u K, k$ ) approaches infinity. This gives rise to the relationship

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{C_{3}}{K \sqrt{k}}=\frac{C_{4}}{n K_{1} \sqrt{k_{1}}} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the variation of the function

$$
C_{4} \sqrt{k_{1}} \operatorname{sn}\left(\pi u K_{1}, k_{1}\right)
$$

corresponds to the desired variation of the quan-
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.p_{s}=\sqrt{k} \operatorname{sn}\left(\frac{2 s}{n} K, k\right)\right]_{s=1}^{s=m} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the variable frequency ratio $\omega_{0} / \omega$ is identified with

$$
\sqrt{k} \operatorname{sn}(u K, k)
$$

The value of the modulus $K$ is chosen with reffo the degree of discrimination required of the network. As the value of the modulus approaches unity the poles and zeros of $\mathrm{F}_{1}{ }^{2}$ move close to $\omega 0$ and the characteristic is marked by low minima and high maxima. Reducing the 45 value of the modulus separates the critical frequencies and at the same time increases the ratio of the minima to the maxima. In the case of a network of small degree of complexity, for example one having two poles and two zeros, a 50 modulus value of 0.9 will ensure a satisfactorily sharp discrimination by the network and will result in a ratio of the minima to the maxima greater than 5000. For more complex networks higher values of the modulus may be taken and
because of the larger number of poles and zeros both the sharpness of discrimination and the ratio of the minima to the maxima are greatly increased.

In the case of the network illustrated in Fig. 5, for which the function $F_{1}{ }^{2}$ has three poles and three zeros, Equations 26 and 27 give the following values for the critical frequencies

$$
\begin{gathered}
\omega_{11}=\omega_{0} \div \sqrt{k} \operatorname{sn}\left(\frac{2}{7} K, k\right)=\omega_{0} / p_{1} \\
\omega_{21}=\omega_{0} \div \sqrt{k} \operatorname{sn}\left(\frac{4}{7} K, k\right)=\omega_{0} / p_{2} \\
\omega_{31}=\omega_{0} \div \sqrt{k} \operatorname{sn}\left(\frac{6}{7} K, k\right)=\omega_{0} / p_{3} \\
\omega_{12}=\omega_{0}^{2} / \omega_{11} \\
\omega_{22}=\omega_{0}^{2} / \omega_{21}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

Substituting these values in the right hand side of Equation 18 and identifying this with $F_{1}$ gives

(28)
which agrees with Equation 15 when

$$
C_{2}=\frac{C_{1}}{\omega_{0}} \frac{1}{p_{1}^{2} p_{2}^{2} p_{3}^{2}}
$$

Substitution of the same values in the right hand 10 side of Equation 17 gives

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
F_{1}=C_{3}\left[\frac{\omega_{0}}{\omega}-\frac{2 \omega \omega_{0} \sqrt{\left(1-k p_{1}^{2}\right)\left(1-p_{1}^{2} / k\right)}}{\omega^{2}-\omega_{12}^{2}}+\right. \\
& \frac{2 \omega \omega_{0} \sqrt{\left(1-k p_{2}^{2}\right)\left(1-p_{2}^{2} / k\right)}}{\omega^{2}-\omega_{22}^{2}}- \\
\frac{2 \omega \omega_{0} \sqrt{\left(1-k p_{3}^{2}\right)\left(1-p_{3}^{2} / k\right)}}{\omega^{2}-\omega_{32}^{2}} \tag{29}
\end{array}\right]
$$

This equation corresponds to Equation 14 and enables the constants $A_{0}, A_{2}$, etc. in that equation to be determined in terms of the chosen elliptic function parameters so that the desired variation of $\mathrm{F}_{1}{ }^{2}$ will be secured. Since each term in Equation 14 represents the susceptance of a branch path containing only a simple inductance or the combination of an inductance and capacity in series the determinations of the A's leads directly to the element values.

The required value of $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ follows from Equations 25 and 26 . Equation 25 gives the value of the minima of $\mathrm{Fl}^{2}$ between the poles, which, in accordance with the design requirements must be equal to $4-R^{2}$. Accordingly

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{4}=\frac{2 \sqrt{k_{1}}}{R} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence, from Equation 26

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{3}=\frac{2 \sqrt{k} K}{R n K_{1}} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the cases of interest, that is where the net- 4 works have relatively sharp discrimination and high attenuation, the value of $K_{1}$ will not differ sensibly from $\pi / 2$ and the approximation for $C_{3}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{3}=\frac{4 \sqrt{k} K}{R n \pi} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

may be used.
The high pass network, for which the function $\mathrm{F}_{2}{ }^{2}$ has to be complementary to $\mathrm{F}_{1}{ }^{2}$, has to meet the requirement that its function $\mathrm{F}_{2}{ }^{2}$ must have negligibly small values in the low pass range, have minima equal to $4 \div R^{2}$ in the high pass range, and must have the rising part of its characteristic intersect the descending part of $F_{1}{ }^{2}$ at the point $2 \div R^{2}$ with a slope equal to that of $F^{4}{ }^{2}$ and opposite in sign.
These requirements are most readily met by making the schematic form of the network such that $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ has the same number of poles and zeros as $F_{1}$ and locating the poles and zeros symmetrically with the corresponding poles and zeros of $F_{1}$ with respect to the cross over frequency, which will be denoted by $\omega c \div 2 \pi$. The poles of $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ will thus occur in pairs having $\omega_{c}$ as their geometric mean and the zeros will likewise occur in similarly related pairs.

The cross over point wo will not be the same as wo which appears in the formulae for $F_{1}$ but will be slightly lower. The mathematical determination of this point is rather lengthy and only the

$$
\text { . } 26
$$

$$
\text { sensibly from } \pi / 2 \text { and the approximation for } C_{3}
$$

explicit formula for the ratio of $\omega_{0}$ to $\omega_{0}$ will be given here.
order with respect to the networks in said first path, and means for reversing the phase of the currents in one of said paths with respect to the currents in the other whereby said pairs of terminals are made to be conjugate to each other.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\omega_{c}}{\omega_{0}}=\frac{\sqrt{k}}{\left.\sqrt{1-\left(1-k^{2}\right)\left[\operatorname{sn}^{2 \log (1+\sqrt{2)}} K^{\prime}\right.}, \sqrt{1-k^{2}}\right]^{2}} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

In design practice the value $\omega_{c}$ will generally be assigned. Equation 33 then permits the value $\omega_{0}$ for the function $F_{1}$ to be determined and also, from the required symmetry of the two systems, a corresponding value which will be denoted by $\omega 0^{\prime}$ for the function $F_{2}$. The values of $\omega_{0}$ and $\omega_{0}{ }^{\prime}$ are related to $\omega_{c}$ by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{0} \omega_{0}^{\prime}=\omega_{c}{ }^{2} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the same principles as discussed above formulae for $F_{2}$ corresponding to Equations 28 and 29 can be developed, but it is simpler to determine $F_{2}$ directly from Equation 29 taking advantage of the symmetry of the $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ characteristics, For each value of $\omega$ above $\omega_{c}$ the function $\mathrm{F}_{2}{ }^{2}$ will have the same value as $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ at the proportionality lower frequency $\boldsymbol{\omega c}^{2} \div \omega$. $\mathbf{F}_{2}$ may therefore be derived from Equation 29 by the simple expedient of replacing $\omega$ therein by - $-c^{2} \div \omega$. The negative sign appears in this transformation to take account of the difference in sign of the reactances of coils and of condensers which represent complementary impedances.

The terms of the expression thus found for $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ will include two kinds; one set will directly represent physically realizable susceptances and the remainder will represent physically realizable susceptances when the sign is changed. Those representing directly realizable susceptances are identified with $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{c}}$ and the others with $\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{d}}$. The schematic form of the high-pass network thus obtained is illustrated in Fig. 7. The reactance $X_{c}$ comprises a capacity and a resonant circuit connected in parallel and the reactance $X_{d}$ comprises two simple resonant circuits connected in parallel.

Fig. 8 shows the curves of the two functions $\boldsymbol{F}_{1}{ }^{2}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{2}{ }^{2}$ and their sum plotted against the logarithm of frequency. Curve 10 represents $F_{1}{ }^{2}$, curve 11 represents $F_{2}^{2}$ and the looped portions of the two curves together with dotted curve 12 represents their sum. The cross over frequency is designated by $f_{\mathrm{c}}$, the poles of $\mathrm{FI}^{2}$ by $f_{12}, f_{22}$, and $f_{32}$, and the poles of $\mathrm{F}_{2}{ }^{2}$ by the inversely related frequencies $f^{\prime} 12, f^{\prime} 22$, and $f^{\prime} 32$. The symmetry of the two characteristics about $f_{c}$ is clearly shown by this figure.

While the foregoing procedures give the desired networks as symmetrical lattices, these may be reduced by known procedures to unbalanced types of networks such as bridged-T or ladder networks suitable for use in the unbalanced type of network shown in Fig. 2.

What is claimed is:

1. A wave transmission network comprising two paths connected in parallel between a first pair of terminals and a second pair of terminals, a pair of symmetrical frequency selective networks having complementary transmission bands connected in tandem in one of said paths, a pair of respectively similar networks connected in tanrespectively similar networks connected in tan2. A wave transmission network comprising two
paths connected in parallel between a first pair of terminals and a second pair of terminals, a pair of symmetrical frequency selective networks having complementary transmisison bands con-
nected in tandem in one of said paths, a pair of respectively similar networks connected in tandem in the other of said paths, but in reverse order with respect to the networks in the said first paths, said networks having open circuit and short circut impedances related in accordance 10 with the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{R^{2}}=\frac{1}{Z_{o} Z_{3}}+\frac{2}{Y_{s} Z_{s}}+\frac{1}{Y_{o} Y_{s}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z_{0}$ and $Z_{s}$ are respectively the open circuit and the short circuit impedances of the one pair of similar networks, $Y_{0}$ and $Y_{s}$ are the corresponding values for the other pair of similar networks, and $R$ is an arbitrarily assigned resistance.
3. A wave transmission network comprising two paths comnected in parallel between a first - pair of terminals and a second pair of terminals, a pair of symmetrical frequency selective networks having complementary transmission bands connected in tandem in one of said paths, a pair of respectively similar networks connected in tandem in the other of said paths, but in reverse order with respect to the networks in the said first paths, said networks having open circuit and short circuit impedances related in accordance with the equation

$$
R^{2}=Z_{o} Z_{s}+2 Y_{o} Y_{s}+Y_{o} Y_{s}
$$

where $Z_{0}$ and $Z_{s}$ are respectively the open circuit and the short circuit impedances of the one pair of similar networks, $Y_{o}$ and $Y_{s}$ are the corresponding values for the other pair of similar networks, and $R$ is an arbitrarily assigned resistance.
4. A transmission network comprising two paths extending in parallel between a pair of input terminals and a pair of output terminals, two frequency selective networks having substantially complementary transmission bands disposed in tandem in one of said paths, and two respectively similar networks disposed in tandem in reverse order in the other of said paths, the networks of one of the similar pairs being defined by two frequency characteristics $f_{1}(\omega)$ and $f_{2}(\omega)$ which jointly determine their transmission properties, and the networks of the other similar pair being likewise defined by frequency characteristics $f_{3}(\omega)$ and $f_{4}(\omega)$, and the said networks being so proportioned that the quantity

$$
\left[f_{1}(\omega)-f_{2}(\omega)\right]^{-2}+\left[f_{3}(\omega)-f_{4}(\omega)\right]^{2}
$$

has all of its minimum values equal.
5. A transmission network comprising two paths connected in parallel between a pair of input terminals and a pair of output terminals, two frequency selective networks having substantially complementary transmission bands disposed in tandem in one of said paths, and two respectively similar networks disposed in tandem in reverse order in the other of said paths, said networks being composed of substantially pure reactance elements, the networks of one of the similar pairs being each characterized by two reactances $X_{a}$ and $X_{b}$ each having a plurality of critical frequencies, and the networks of the other similar pair being likewise characterized by reactances $X_{c}$ and $X_{d}$, the magnitude of said
reactances and the values of their critical frequencies being so proportioned that the quantity
has a like plurality of infinite values at frequencies in an adjacent range and has minimum values equal to those of the first mentioned quantity.
8. A transmission network comprising two paths connected in parallel between a pair of input terminals and a pair of output terminals, two frequency selective networks having substantially complementary transmission bands disposed in tandem in one of said paths, and two respectively similar networks disposed in tandem in reverse order in the other of said paths, said networks being composed of substantially pure reactance elements, the networks of one of the similar pairs being each characterized by two reactances $X_{a}$ and $X_{b}$ each having a plurality of critical frequencies, and the networks of the other similar pair being likewise characterized by reactances $X_{c}$ and $X_{d}$, the magnitude of said reactances and the values of their critical frequencies being so proportioned that the quantity

$$
\left(X_{a}-X_{b}\right)^{2}
$$

uniform minimum values in a prescribed frequency range, and the quantity

$$
\left(X_{c}-X_{d}\right)^{2}
$$

has a like plurality of infinite values at frequencies in an adjacent range and has minimum values equal to those of the first mentioned quantity.
9. A transmission network comprising two paths connected in parallel between a pair of input terminals and a pair of output terminais, two frequency selective networks having substantially complementary transmission bands disposed in tandem in one of said paths, and two respectively similar networks disposed in tandem in reverse order in the other of said paths, said networks being composed of substantially pure reactance elements, the networks of one of the similar pairs being each characterized by two reactances $X_{a}$ and $X_{b}$ each having a plurality of critical frequencies, and the networks of the other similar pair being likewise characterized by reactances $X_{c}$ and $X_{d}$, the said reactances $X_{a}$ and $X_{b}$ having poles alternately at frequencies in a prescribed range having the values

$$
f_{o} \sqrt{k} \operatorname{sn}\left(\frac{2 s}{2 m+1} K, k\right)
$$

wherein $f_{0}$ is a frequency marking one end of the prescribed range, $m$ is the total number of poles, and $s$ is an integer taking the successive values 1 to $m$, and the said reactances $X_{c}$ and $X_{d}$ have a like number of poles alternately at frequencies in an adjacent range having values inversely related by a common constant to those of reactances $X_{a}$ and $\mathbf{X}_{\text {b }}$.
10. A transmission network comprising two paths connected in parallel between a pair of input terminals and a pair of output terminals, two frequency selective networks having substantially complementary transmission bands disposed in tandem in one of said paths, and two respectively similar networks disposed in tandem in reverse order in the other of said paths, said networks being composed of substantially pure reactance elements, the networks of one of the similar pairs being each characterized by two reactances $X_{a}$ and $X_{b}$ each having a plurality of critical frequencies, and the networks of the other similar pair being likewise characterized by reactances $\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{c}}$ and $\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{d}}$, the susceptances

$$
\frac{1}{X_{a}}
$$

and

$$
\frac{1}{X_{b}}
$$

having poles alternately at frequencies in a prescribed range having the values

$$
f_{0} \sqrt{k} \operatorname{sn}\left(\frac{2 s}{2 m+1} K, k\right)
$$

wherein $f_{0}$ is a frequency marking one end of the prescribed range, $m$ is the total number of poles, and $s$ is an integer taking the successive values
1 to $m$, and the susceptances 1 to $m$, and the susceptances

$$
\frac{1}{X_{c}}
$$

and
versely related by a common constant to those susceptances

$$
\frac{1}{X_{a}}
$$

25 lie within a prescribed frequency range and occur at frequencies having substantially the values

$$
f_{0} \sqrt{k} \sin \left(\frac{2 s}{2 m+1} K, k\right)
$$

wherein $f_{0}$ is a frequency marking one end of the prescribed range, $m$ is the total number of poles, and $s$ is an integer taking the successive values 1 to $m$, and the quantity

$$
\left[f_{3}(\omega)-f_{4}(\omega)\right]^{2}
$$

has a like number of poles in an adjacent range occurring at frequencies inversely related by a common constant to those of the first mentioned quantity.
12. A constant resistance network combination comprising a pair of symmetrical frequency selective networks having substantially complementary transmission bands, one pair of termi-
nals of each of-said networks being connected to a common pair of input terminals, equal resistances connected to the other pairs of terminals of said networks respectively, and additional terminating impedances connected in paraliel with said resistances, the additional impedance connected to each network being equal to the shortcircuit impedance of the other network.
13. A constant resistance system in accordance with claim 12 in which the said frequency selective networks are proportioned in accordance with the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{R^{2}}=\frac{1}{Z_{o} Z_{s}}+\frac{2}{Y_{s} Z_{s}}+\frac{1}{Y_{o} Y_{s}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R$ is the value of the resistances connected to the network terminals, $Z_{o}$ and $Z_{s}$ are respectively the open circuit and short-circuit impedances of one network and $Y_{o}$ and $Y_{s}$ are respectively the open circuit and short-circuit impedances of the other network.
14. A wave transmission network having six terminals arranged in thiree pairs, comprising three transmission paths arranged to interconnect each pair of terminals with each other pair, frequency selective networks having substantially complementary transmission bands included respectively in two of said paths and a network comprising two tandem connected portions corresponding to said complementary networks included in the third of said paths, said complementary networks being of symmetrical structure and being proportioned so that

$$
\frac{1}{R^{2}}=\frac{1}{Z_{o} Z_{s}}+\frac{2}{Y_{s} Z_{s}}+\frac{1}{Y_{o} Y_{s}}
$$

wherein $R$ is an arbitrarily assigned resistance, $Z_{o}$ and $\mathrm{Zs}_{\mathrm{s}}$ are respectively the open circuit and short-circuit impedances of one complementary network and $Y_{0}$ and $Y_{s}$ are the corresponding impedances of the other complementary network.
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