



(51) International Patent Classification:

H04L 9/28 (2006.01)

(21) International Application Number:

PCT/CN2017/071735

(22) International Filing Date:

19 January 2017 (19.01.2017)

(25) Filing Language:

English

(26) Publication Language:

English

(30) Priority Data:

15/003,184 21 January 2016 (21.01.2016) US

(71) Applicant: HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD.

[CN/CN]; Huawei Administration Building, Bantian, Long-gang District, Shenzhen, Guangdong 518129 (CN).

(72) Inventors: GE, Yiqun; 944 Fletcher Circle, Kanata,

Ontario K2T 0B7 (CA). SHI, Wuxian; 944 Fletcher Circle, Kanata, Ontario K2T 0B7 (CA).

(81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every

kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM, AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BN, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DJ, DK, DM, DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT,

HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IR, IS, JP, KE, KG, KH, KN, KP, KR, KW, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PA, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, QA, RO, RS, RU, RW, SA, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, RW, SD, SL, ST, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, RU, TJ, TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, RS, SE, SI, SK, SM, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, KM, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Declarations under Rule 4.17:

— as to the applicant's entitlement to claim the priority of the earlier application (Rule 4.17(iii))

Published:


— with international search report (Art. 21(3))

(54) Title: CONCATENATED AND SLIDING-WINDOW POLAR CODING

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \begin{matrix} 100 \\ \downarrow \end{matrix} \\
 G_2 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad G_2^{\otimes 2} = \begin{bmatrix} G_2 & 0 \\ G_2 & G_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad G_2^{\otimes 3} = \begin{bmatrix} G_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ G_2 & G_2 & 0 & 0 \\ G_2 & 0 & G_2 & 0 \\ G_2 & G_2 & G_2 & G_2 \end{bmatrix} \\
 & \begin{matrix} 102 \\ \uparrow \end{matrix} \\
 G_2^{\otimes 2} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad G_2^{\otimes 3} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\
 & \begin{matrix} 104 \rightarrow \end{matrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

FIG. 1

(57) Abstract: Methods for encoding and decoding Polar codes are provided, together with apparatuses for performing the methods. An encoding method combines first and second sequences of information bits and CRC bits and a plurality of frozen bits into an input vector. The input vector is multiplied by a generator matrix for a Polar code to produce a concatenated codeword. A decoding method receives such a codeword and produces a decoded vector by generating successive levels of a decision tree. For a first number of levels of the decision tree, paths beyond a first maximum number of most probable paths are discarded. For a second number of levels of the decision tree, paths beyond a second maximum number of most probable paths are discarded. In some cases, the decoding method may have improved performance compared to some decoding methods for non-concatenated codewords.



CONCATENATED AND SLIDING-WINDOW POLAR CODING

[0001] The present application claims benefit of U.S. Non-provisional Application No. 15/003,184, filed on January 21, 2016, entitled “Concatenated and Sliding-Window Polar Coding,” which application is hereby incorporated herein by reference.

FIELD

[0002] The present application relates to Polar coding techniques and to encoders and decoders for Polar codes, for example for wireless communication applications.

BACKGROUND

[0003] Polar codes are based on Kronecker product matrices. $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{F} \otimes^m = \mathbf{F} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{F}$ is the m -fold Kronecker product of a seed matrix $\mathbf{F}$.

SUMMARY

[0004] In one aspect, there is provided a method involving processing K_1 information bits to produce a u_1 -bit error-detecting code (EDC), processing K_2 information bits to produce a u_2 -bit EDC, and producing an input vector comprising a first sequence of input bits, a second sequence of input bits, and a plurality of frozen bits for a Polar code. The first sequence of input bits includes the K_1 information bits and the u_1 -bit EDC, the second sequence of input bits includes the K_2 information bits and the u_2 -bit EDC, and the first sequence of input bits occurs in the input vector prior to the second sequence of input bits. The method involves multiplying the input vector by a generator matrix for the Polar code to produce a first codeword, and transmitting or storing the first codeword.

[0005] Optionally, the generator matrix for the Polar code is an m -fold Kronecker product matrix $\mathbf{G}_2^{\otimes m}$, where $\mathbf{G}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ or $\mathbf{G}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$.

[0006] Optionally, the u_1 -bit EDC and the u_2 -bit EDC are cyclic redundancy codes (CRC).

[0007] Optionally, the method also involves processing a sequence of K information bits to produce a u_3 -bit EDC. The produced input vector further includes a third sequence of input bits, and the third sequence of input bits includes the

sequence of K information bits and the u_3 -bit EDC. The second sequence of input bits occurs in the input vector prior to the third sequence of input bits.

[0008] Optionally, the K_1 information bits are information bits of a first message, and the K_2 information bits are leading information bits of a second message.

[0009] Optionally, the method also involves encoding the second message with a Polar encoder to produce a second codeword.

[0010] Optionally, the second message contains K_3 information bits after the K_2 information bits, and a u_3 -bit EDC generated from the K_2 and the K_3 information bits in combination.

[0011] Optionally, the method also involves transmitting the first codeword prior to transmitting the second codeword.

[0012] Optionally, the method also involves transmitting the first codeword in temporal proximity to transmitting the second codeword.

[0013] Optionally, the method also involves transmitting, at a first power level, the first codeword; and transmitting, at a second power level, the second codeword. The first power level is higher than the second power level.

[0014] Optionally, the method is performed at a first base station in communication with a user equipment (UE) device. The method also involves receiving the K_2 information bits from a second base station in communication with the UE device, and transmitting the first codeword by the first base station to the UE device.

[0015] Optionally, the first base station receives the K_2 information bits over a backhaul connection between the first base station and the second base station.

[0016] In another aspect, there is provided a method involving receiving a first word, where the first received word is based on a first codeword. The first codeword has a plurality of bits produced by multiplying an input vector by a Polar code generator matrix, the input vector has a first sequence of input bits, a second sequence of input bits, and a plurality of frozen bits for the Polar code. The first sequence of input bits has K_1 information bits and a u_1 -bit error-detecting code (EDC), the second sequence of input bits has K_2 information bits and a u_2 -bit EDC, and the first sequence of input bits occurs in the input vector prior to the second sequence of input bits. The method then involves decoding the first received word.

[0017] Optionally, the Polar code generator matrix is an m -fold Kronecker product matrix $\mathbf{G}_2^{\otimes m}$, where $\mathbf{G}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ or $\mathbf{G}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$.

[0018] Optionally, the u_1 -bit EDC and the u_2 -bit EDC are cyclic redundancy codes (CRC).

[0019] Optionally, decoding the first received word involves processing the first received word to produce a first decoded vector. The first decoded vector is produced by generating successive levels of a binary decision tree, each level corresponding to a decision on a respective bit, where each path in the decision tree represents a possible partial decoded non-frozen bit sequence and has a corresponding likelihood. For a first $K_1 + u_1$ levels of the decision tree after the root, when a number of paths in the decision tree grows beyond a threshold L_1 , all but the most probable L_1 paths are discarded. At level $K_1 + u_1$ of the decision tree, the u_1 -bit EDC represented in each respective surviving path is used to determine a path of the surviving paths representing a first sequence of K_1 decoded bits for the first decoded vector, and all other paths are discarded. For a second $K_2 + u_2$ levels of the decision tree, when a number of paths in the decision tree grows beyond a threshold L_2 , all but the most probable L_2 paths are discarded. At level $K_1 + u_1 + K_2 + u_2$ of the decision tree, the u_2 -bit EDC represented in each respective surviving path is used to determine a path of the surviving paths representing a second sequence of K_2 decoded bits, and all other paths are discarded.

[0020] Optionally, the method also involves including the second sequence of K_2 decoded bits in the first decoded vector.

[0021] Optionally, the input vector also includes a third sequence of input bits having K_3 information bits and a u_3 -bit EDC, and the second sequence of input bits occurs in the input vector prior to the third sequence of input bits. The method also involves processing the first received word to produce the first decoded vector. This involves, for a third $K_3 + u_3$ levels of the decision tree, when a number of paths in the decision tree grows beyond a threshold L_3 , discarding all but the most probable L_3 paths. At level $K_1 + u_1 + K_2 + u_2 + K_3 + u_3$ of the decision tree, the u_3 -bit EDC represented in each respective surviving path is used to determine a path of the surviving paths representing a third sequence of K_3 decoded bits. The third sequence of K_3 decoded bits is included in the first decoded vector.

[0022] Optionally, the method also includes receiving a second word based on a second codeword. The second codeword includes a plurality of bits produced by multiplying a second input vector by a Polar code generator matrix. The second input vector includes a third sequence of input bits and a plurality of frozen bits for the Polar code. The third sequence of input bits includes the K_2 information bits followed by K_3 information bits and a u_3 -bit EDC. The method also includes using the u_2 -bit EDC, determining whether the K_2 information bits were successfully decoded. If the K_2 information bits were successfully decoded, the second received word is processed to produce a second decoded vector. The processing includes using the K_2 information bits as the initial K_2 bits for the second decoded vector. Successive levels of a second binary decision tree are generated, each level corresponding to a decision on a respective bit, where each path in the second decision tree represents a possible partial decoded non-frozen bit sequence and has a corresponding likelihood. The K_2 information bits are treated as frozen bits. For $K_3 + u_3$ levels of the decision tree after the root, when a number of paths in the decision tree grows beyond a threshold L_3 , all but the most probable L_3 paths are discarded. At level $K_3 + u_3$ of the decision tree, the u_3 -bit EDC represented in each respective surviving path is used to determine a path of the surviving paths representing a third sequence of K_3 decoded bits. The third sequence of K_3 decoded bits is included in the second decoded vector.

[0023] Optionally, at least one of L_1 , L_2 , or L_3 depends on a power level at which the first message was transmitted or a power level at which the second message was transmitted.

[0024] Optionally, decoding the first received word includes processing the first received word to produce a decoded vector. The decoded vector is produced by generating, at least partly in parallel, successive levels of a first binary decision tree and successive levels of a second binary decision tree, where each path in each of the first and second decision trees represents a possible partial decoded non-frozen bit sequence and has a corresponding likelihood. For a first $K_1 + u_1$ levels of the first decision tree after the root, when a number of paths in the first decision tree grows beyond a threshold L_1 , all but the most probable L_1 paths are discarded. At level $K_1 + u_1$ of the first decision tree, the u_1 -bit EDC represented in each respective surviving path in the first decision tree is used to determine a path of the surviving paths representing a first sequence of K_1 decoded bits for the decoded vector. For a first R_1 levels of the second decision tree after the root, when a number of paths in the second

decision tree grows beyond a threshold L_2 , all but the most probable L_2 paths are discarded. For a subsequent R_2 levels of the second decision tree, when a number of paths in the decision tree grows beyond a threshold L_3 , all but the most probable L_3 paths are discarded. At level $K_1 + u_1 + K_2 + u_2$ of the second decision tree, the u_2 -bit EDC represented in each respective surviving path of the second decision tree is used to determine a path of the surviving paths representing a second sequence of K_2 bits after the first sequence of K_1 bits for the decoded vector. R_1 is less than $K_1 + u_1$ and L_1 is greater than L_2 .

[0025] Optionally, at level $K_1 + u_1$ of the second decision tree, the u_1 -bit EDC represented in each respective surviving path of the second decision tree is used to determine whether one of the surviving paths of the second decision tree represents a correct decoding of the first sequence of K_1 decoded bits for the decoded vector. If the first decision tree is still being generated and if one of the surviving paths of the second decision tree represents a correct decoding of the first sequence of K_1 decoded bits for the decoded vector, generation of the first decision tree is terminated.

[0026] In yet another aspect, there is provided an apparatus including a processor configured to produce a first codeword by processing K_1 information bits to produce a u_1 -bit error-detecting code (EDC), processing K_2 information bits to produce a u_2 -bit EDC, producing an input vector comprising a first sequence of input bits, a second sequence of input bits, and a plurality of frozen bits for a Polar code. The first sequence of input bits includes the K_1 information bits and the u_1 -bit EDC, the second sequence of input bits includes the K_2 information bits and the u_2 -bit EDC, and the first sequence of input bits occurs in the input vector prior to the second sequence of input bits. The input vector is multiplied by a generator matrix for the Polar code to produce the first codeword. The apparatus also includes a transmitting device for transmitting the first codeword.

[0027] In still another aspect, there is provided an apparatus including a processor configured to implement a method described above or below to produce a first codeword, and a transmitting device for transmitting the first codeword.

[0028] In a further aspect, there is provided an apparatus including a receiving device for receiving a first word and a processor configured to decode the first received word. The first received word is based on a first codeword, where the first codeword includes a plurality of bits produced by multiplying an input vector by a Polar code generator matrix. The input vector includes a first sequence of input bits, a

second sequence of input bits, and a plurality of frozen bits for the Polar code. The first sequence of input bits includes K_1 information bits and a u_1 -bit error-detecting code (EDC), the second sequence of input bits includes K_2 information bits and a u_2 -bit EDC. The first sequence of input bits occurs in the input vector prior to the second sequence of input bits.

[0029] In still a further aspect, there is provided an apparatus including a receiving device for receiving a first received word based on a first codeword, and a processor configured to implement a method described above or below to decode the first received word.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0030] Embodiments of the invention will be described in greater detail with reference to the accompanying drawings, in which:

[0031] FIG. 1 is a diagram showing how a Kronecker product matrix can be produced from a seed matrix;

[0032] FIG. 2 is a diagram showing an example use of a Polar code generator matrix for producing codewords and a schematic illustration of an example Polar encoder;

[0033] FIG. 3 is a flow diagram of a method for encoding information using a Polar code;

[0034] FIG. 4 is a schematic illustration of an example Polar encoder;

[0035] FIG. 5 is a diagram showing a portion of an example decision tree used in a List-type Polar decoder;

[0036] FIG. 6 is an illustration of two sequences of input bits being independently encoded into two codewords for transmission;

[0037] FIG. 7 is an illustration of two sequences of input bits being concatenated and encoded into a combined codeword for transmission;

[0038] FIG. 8 is a flow diagram of a method for Polar encoding to produce a combined codeword as shown in FIG. 7;

[0039] FIG. 9 is a graph showing computed values of the reliability of some synthetic channels of a Polar code for transmitting an example codeword encoded according to the method of FIG. 8;

[0040] FIG. 10 is an illustration of three sequences of input bits being concatenated and encoded into a combined codeword for transmission;

[0041] FIG. 11 is a diagram showing an example decision tree for decoding a codeword produced by concatenated Polar encoding;

[0042] FIG. 12A is a flow diagram of a method for Polar decoding;

[0043] FIG. 12B is a flow diagram of a method for Polar decoding that involves generating a decision tree as shown in FIG. 11;

[0044] FIG. 13 is a flow diagram of a method for Polar decoding that generates decision trees in parallel;

[0045] FIG. 14A is an illustration of four sequences of input bits for encoding into a combined codeword;

[0046] FIG. 14B is an illustration of sequentially decoding a codeword that was encoded based on the sequence of input bits of FIG. 14A;

[0047] FIG. 14C is an illustration of parallel decoding of a codeword that was encoded based on the sequence of input bits of FIG. 14A;

[0048] FIG. 14D is an illustration of parallel decoding, with early termination, of a codeword that was encoded based on the sequence of input bits of FIG. 14A;

[0049] FIG. 15 is an illustration of two sequences of input bits being encoded into two consecutive codewords for transmission, the first sequence including a subset of input bits copied from the second sequence;

[0050] FIG. 16 is a schematic illustration of two base stations in communication with a user equipment (UE) device;

[0051] FIG. 17 is a flow diagram of a method for Polar decoding of a second message based on a second codeword encoded with the method illustrated in FIG. 14;

[0052] FIG. 18A is a schematic illustration of an apparatus for encoding and transmitting a codeword; and

[0053] FIG. 18B is a schematic illustration of an apparatus for receiving and decoding a codeword.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

[0054] FIG. 1 shows how a Kronecker product matrix can be produced from a seed matrix $\mathbf{G}_2$ 100, where $\mathbf{G}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. Shown in FIG. 1 are the 2-fold Kronecker product matrix $\mathbf{G}_2^{\otimes 2}$ 102 and the 3-fold Kronecker product matrix $\mathbf{G}_2^{\otimes 3}$ 104. This approach can be continued to produce m -fold Kronecker product matrix $\mathbf{G}_2^{\otimes m}$.

[0055] A Polar code can be formed from a Kronecker product matrix based on matrix $\mathbf{G}_2$. For a Polar code having codewords of length $N = 2^m$, the generator matrix for the Polar code is $\mathbf{G}_2^{\otimes m}$. An example using Kronecker product matrix $\mathbf{G}_2^{\otimes 3}$ to produce codewords of length 8 is depicted in FIG. 2. A codeword $\mathbf{x}$ is formed by the product of an input vector $\mathbf{u}$ as a row vector and the Kronecker product matrix $\mathbf{G}_2^{\otimes 3}$ 204 as indicated at 200. (Alternatively, codeword $\mathbf{x}$ may be formed by the product of a Kronecker product matrix $\mathbf{G}_2^{T \otimes 3}$ and input vector $\mathbf{u}$ as a column vector.) The input vector $\mathbf{u}$ is composed of frozen bits and information bits. In the specific example, $N=8$, so the input vector $\mathbf{u}$ is an 8 bit vector, and the codeword $\mathbf{x}$ is an 8-bit vector. The input vector has frozen bits in positions 0, 1, 2, and 4, and has information bits at positions 3, 5, 6, and 7. An example implementation of an encoder that generates codewords is indicated at 212, where the frozen bits are all set to 0. In the example encoder 212, the circle plus symbol represents modulo 2 addition. For the example of Figure 2, an $N=8$ bit input vector is formed from $K=4$ information bits and $(N - K)=4$ frozen bits. Codes of this form are referred to as Polar codes and the encoder is referred to as a Polar encoder. Decoders for decoding Polar codes are referred to as Polar decoders.

[0056] In “Channel Polarization: A Method for Constructing Capacity-Achieving Codes for Symmetric Binary-Input Memoryless Channels” by E. Arıkan, *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 55, no. 7 (July 2009) [Arıkan], a theory relating to “channel polarization” of Polar codes was proved in section IV.

Channel polarization is an operation which produces N “synthetic” channels from N independent copies of a binary-input discrete memoryless channel (B-DMC) such that, with increasing values of N , the new synthetic channels are polarized in the sense that their mutual information is either close to 0 (completely noisy channels) or close to 1 (perfectly noiseless channels). In other words, some bit positions of an input vector provided to an encoder will experience a completely noisy channel, *i.e.*, have a relatively low reliability/low possibility to be correctly decoded when considered independently of other synthetic channels. Some bit positions of an input vector provided to an encoder will experience a very clean channel, *i.e.*, have high possibility/high reliability to be correctly decoded when considered independently of other synthetic channels. In some cases, the reliability of a synthetic channel when considered independently of other synthetic channels may be referred to as the “capacity” of the synthetic channel. A specific example of a Polar code was described earlier in which the code is based on the m -fold Kronecker product of a specific matrix $\mathbf{G}_2$. The use of this generator matrix results in channel polarization. More generally, any generator matrix that produces a channel polarization effect will be referred to herein as a Polar code generator matrix.

[0057] In Polar code construction, an attempt is made to put the information bits in the more “reliable” positions of an input vector, and to put frozen bits (*i.e.*, bits already known to both encoder and decoder) in the more “unreliable” positions of the input vector. However, when information is transmitted over a physical channel, the reliability of a given bit position is also a function of the characteristics of the physical channel, such as the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) and the bit error rate of the physical channel. In most applications, the frozen bits can be set to any value so long as the frozen bits sequence is known to both the encoder and the decoder. In conventional applications, the frozen bits are all set to zero.

[0058] Error-detecting code (EDC) bits can be included in the input vector to assist in decoding. In exemplary embodiments, a cyclic redundancy check (CRC) code is used as the EDC code. However, it should be understood that other EDC codes may also be used in some embodiments, such as Fletcher checksums, and that error-correcting codes may be used as EDCs. For descriptive simplicity, the

embodiments described in this specification will be described using a CRC code as the EDC code.

[0059] CRC bits are generated based on the information bits being transmitted. CRC bits are generally placed in the more reliable positions in the input vector, although CRC bits may also be placed in other positions in the input vector. CRC bits may be added to improve Polar code performance for short to moderate codeword lengths. For a very long codeword length Polar encoder, CRC bits may not be needed to further improve Polar code reliability, but are included to aid in decoding. During encoding, an N -bit input vector is formed from K information bits, a u -bit CRC, and $(N - K - u)$ frozen bits. An example is depicted in FIG. 3. Starting with K information bits 300, a u -bit CRC is appended at 302 to produce, at 304, a set of input bits comprising the K information bits and the u -bit CRC. At 306, the $(N - K - u)$ frozen bits are inserted to produce an N -bit input vector, at 308, with the K information bits, the u -bit CRC, and the $(N - K - u)$ frozen bits, where N is a power of 2. The input vector 308 is then multiplied by a Kronecker product matrix consisting of a generator matrix for a Polar code at 310 to produce an N -bit codeword 312.

[0060] An example implementation of a Polar encoder including CRC bits is depicted in schematic form in FIG. 4, where the circle plus symbol represents modulo 2 addition. The encoder produces a codeword 404 from an input vector 402. In the example shown, $N=16$, $K=8$, $u=2$, and there are six frozen bits for a code rate of 0.5. The frozen bits, which are illustrated as having been set to zero, are inserted at positions 0, 1, 2, 4, 9, and 12 of the input vector 402. Information bits, denoted as $Info[0]$ through $Info[7]$, are provided at positions 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, and 13, respectively, of the input vector 402. Two CRC bits, denoted as $CRC[0]$ and $CRC[1]$, are provided at positions 14 and 15, respectively, of the input vector 402.

[0061] The input vector used by an encoder to produce a codeword is sometimes referred to as a message. A codeword may be transmitted over a channel, and a receiver may, in turn, receive a received word. Due to channel effects such as the transmitted codeword being subjected to noise, the received word may not be identical to the transmitted codeword. A decoder attempts to decode the received word to determine information bits in the originally transmitted message.

[0062] During decoding of a codeword encoded from an input vector, the locations and values of frozen bits in the input vector are treated as known. For descriptive simplicity, bits of the input vector that are not known to the decoder in advance will be referred to as “unknown” bits. For example, the information bits and the CRC bits are unknown bits. A characteristic of some Polar decoders is that the unknown bits are decoded sequentially, for example the Polar decoding algorithm may be based on successive cancellation. Once a particular decision has been made regarding how an unknown bit is to be decoded, that bit does not, in such Polar decoders, have the chance to be changed or corrected, and the decoder moves on to decoding the next unknown bit. In other words, there is “no going back”. A bit that was set at step i cannot be changed at step $j > i$. In addition, knowledge of the value of subsequent frozen bits is not taken into account, *i.e.*, subsequent frozen bits, even though known to the decoder, will not help decode the current unknown bit.

[0063] In *Arikan*, a successive-cancellation algorithm is described for decoding Polar codes. Another type of Polar decoding algorithm with greater space efficiency and lower time complexity, referred to as a List decoder, is described in “List Decoding of Polar Codes” by Tal and Vardy, *Proceedings of the 2011 IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory*, pp. 1–5 (July 2011). In a List decoder, successive levels of a binary decision tree are generated, each level corresponding to a decision on a respective unknown bit. Each path in the decision tree from the root node to leaf nodes represents a possible partial decoded sequence of unknown bits and has a corresponding likelihood. The decision tree is generated in a breadth-first manner. During generation of the decision tree, at each level of the decision tree where the number of paths grows beyond a set threshold L , the L paths having the highest likelihood are identified, and the remaining paths are discarded. If the codeword includes encoded CRC bits for the previous information bits, once the decision tree is generated, each of the surviving paths that correspond to the decoded information bits is checked against the CRC bits represented in each of the surviving paths. The decoder then outputs as a decoded vector the information bits in the surviving path that passes the CRC check. If more than two paths pass the CRC check, the decoder selects for output the path that passes the CRC check and has the highest likelihood, that is, the survivor that passes the CRC check with the highest likelihood according to a metric. If no path passes the CRC check, or if the codeword does not

include encoded CRC bits, the decoder selects for output the path that has the highest likelihood that is, the survivor with the highest likelihood according to a metric.

[0064] FIG. 5 is a diagram showing a portion of an example decision tree used in a List-type Polar decoder, where the value of L is set to 4. Five levels 502, 504, 506, 508, 510 of the decision tree are illustrated, which are referred to as levels 0, 1, 2, 3, and 4, respectively. Although five levels are illustrated, it should be understood that a decision tree to decode M unknown bits would have $M + 1$ levels. The child nodes of root node 520 represent possible choices for a first unknown bit, and subsequent child nodes represent possible choices for subsequent bits. Leaf node 530a, for example, represents the following possible partial decoded unknown bit sequence: 0, 1, 0, 0. At level 3 (508), the number of possible paths is greater than L , so L paths having the highest likelihood have been identified, and the remaining paths have been discarded. At level 4 (510), the number of possible paths is again greater than L , so L paths having the highest likelihood have been identified, and the remaining paths will again be discarded. In the example shown, the paths terminating in leaf nodes 530a, 530b, 530c, and 530d represent the highest likelihood paths. Each of these highest likelihood paths is drawn with thick lines. The paths terminating in leaf nodes 540a, 540b, 540c, 540d are the lower likelihood paths which will be discarded.

[0065] In some communication applications, codewords are transmitted over a channel in units referred to as blocks. Blocks may be transmitted sequentially or in parallel. Some example block sizes are 1024 bits (1K), 2048 bits (2K), 4096 bits (4K), and 8192 bits (8K), although other block sizes are possible. In some communication applications, it is desirable for block sizes to not exceed certain sizes due to processing latency issues.

[0066] FIG. 6 is an illustration of two sequences of inputs bits 650, 652 being independently encoded into two codewords 622, 624 for transmission. The first sequence of input bits 650 consists of K_1 information bits 602 and a u_1 -bit CRC 612 computed based on the K_1 information bits 602. The second sequence of input bits 652 consists of K_2 information bits 604 and a u_2 -bit CRC 614 computed based on the K_2 information bits 604.

[0067] The first sequence of input bits 650 is processed by a Polar encoding process to generate a first codeword 622 of length N . The second sequence of input bits 652 is processed by a Polar encoding process to generate a second codeword 624 of length N . The Polar encoding process for the first sequence of input bits 650 comprises a step 640 of inserting frozen bits into the first sequence of input bits 650 to produce a first input vector 690 of length N , and then multiplying 660 the first input vector 690 by a Polar code generator matrix, as described above with respect to FIG. 3, steps 306 and 310. The polar encoding process for the second sequence of input bits 652 comprises applying the same sequence of operations with respect to the second sequence of input bits 652. That is, a step 642 of inserting frozen bits into the second sequence of input bits 652 is performed to produce a second input vector 692 of length N , and then multiplying 662 the second input vector 692 by a Polar code generator matrix. Frozen bits need not be inserted into the same positions in the first input vector and the second input vector. The first sequence of input bits 650 and second sequence of input bits 652 are encoded independently to each other, that is, the Polar encoding processes for each sequence of input bits do not depend on one another.

[0068] FIG. 7 is an illustration of two sequences of input bits 750, 752 being encoded into a combined codeword 720 for transmission. The first sequence of input bits 750 consists of K_1 information bits 702 and a u_1 -bit CRC 712 computed based on the K_1 information bits 702. The second sequence of input bits 752 consists of K_2 information bits 704 and a u_2 -bit CRC 714 computed based on the K_2 information bits 704. Although the u_1 -bit CRC 712 is shown appended to the K_1 information bits 702, and the u_2 -bit CRC 714 is shown appended to the K_2 information bits 704, in some embodiments, the CRC bits and the information bits within each sequence 750, 752 may be arranged in a different order. The first sequence of input bits 750 and the second sequence of input bits 752 are concatenated to form a sequence 754 of size $K_1+u_1+K_2+u_2$.

[0069] The concatenated sequence 754 is processed by a Polar encoding process to generate a codeword 720 of length N . The Polar encoding process comprises a step 740 of inserting frozen bits into the concatenated sequence 754 to produce an input vector 790 of length N , and then multiplying 760 the input vector by

a Polar code generator matrix, as described below with respect to FIG. 8, step 808. It should be understood that the value of N representing the length of codeword 720 illustrated in FIG. 7 may be different than the value of N referred to in the above discussion of FIG. 6. For example, if each of the first sequence of input bits 650 and the second sequence of input bits 652 in FIG. 6 are the same length as the first sequence of input bits 750 and the second sequence of input bits 752 in FIG. 7, codeword 720 will be twice as long as each of first codeword 622 and second codeword 624 in FIG. 6.

[0070] In some embodiments, K_1 and K_2 are equal and u_1 and u_2 are equal. In such embodiments, the Polar encoding is referred to herein as symmetric concatenated Polar coding, or alternatively as symmetric block-combined Polar coding. The codeword 720 is referred to herein as a symmetric concatenated codeword, or alternatively as a symmetric block-combined codeword. Although FIG. 7 illustrates two sequences of input bits 750, 752 being concatenated and encoded, it should be understood that in other embodiments more sequences of input bits may be concatenated and encoded to produce the codeword 720.

[0071] FIG. 8 is a flow diagram of a method for Polar encoding to produce a codeword as shown in FIG. 7. For the purpose of generality, the steps of FIG. 8 are illustrated as generating EDCs, although as explained previously, it should be understood that many embodiments will make use of CRCs as a particular form of EDC. At step 800, K_1 information bits are processed to produce a u_1 -bit EDC, and at step 802, K_2 information bits are processed to produce a u_2 -bit EDC. In some embodiments, K_1 is equal to K_2 .

[0072] The method then proceeds to step 804, where an input vector of size N , where N is a power of 2, is produced by combining all of the following: a first sequence of input bits that includes the K_1 information bits and the u_1 -bit EDC, a second sequence of input bits that includes the K_2 information bits and the u_2 -bit EDC, and a plurality of frozen bits for a Polar code. The input vector is produced so that the first sequence of input bits occurs in the input vector prior to the second sequence of input bits. The positions of the bits of the u_1 -bit EDC within the first sequence of input bits, the positions of the bits of the u_2 -bit EDC within the second sequence of input bits, and the locations of the frozen bits are design choices that must be known

to both the encoder and the decoder. In some embodiments, the positions of the u_1 -bit EDC and the u_2 -bit EDC are predetermined or selected based on one or more computations of transmission reliability of synthetic channels of the Polar code corresponding to individual bit positions of the input vector. The computations may be made based on one or more assumptions about expected physical channel characteristics, such as assumed SNRs and/or assumed erasure probabilities in a BEC channel model. As such, the bit positions selected based on these computations may not be optimal for an actual physical channel being used for communication. In some embodiments, the EDCs are placed in individual bit positions of the input vector that correspond to synthetic channels meeting a reliability criterion. For example, in some embodiments, one or more of the EDCs are placed in individual bit positions of the input vector that correspond to synthetic channels computed to have at least a specified level of reliability under one or more assumed physical channel conditions. In some embodiments, the information bits are also placed in individual bit positions of the input vector that meet a reliability criterion. For example, the information bits may be placed in individual bit positions of the input vector that correspond to synthetic channels computed to have the highest remaining reliability under the one or more assumed physical channel conditions after the EDC bits have been placed. The frozen bits may be placed in individual bit positions of the input vector that are computed to have a low level of reliability under the assumed physical channel conditions.

[0073] At step 808, the input vector is multiplied by a Polar code generator matrix to produce a codeword of length N . Finally, the codeword is either transmitted over a physical channel or stored at step 810.

[0074] FIG. 9 is a graph showing computed values of the reliability of some synthetic channels of a Polar code for transmitting an example codeword encoded according to the method of FIG. 8, where a CRC is employed as the EDC. For this example, to compute the reliability of the synthetic channels, the performance of a physical channel in transmitting the example codeword is modeled as a memoryless binary erasure channel (BEC) with an erasure probability of 0.25. (Such a BEC channel model may be regarded as an approximation of an additive white Gaussian

noise (AWGN) channel model.) The example codeword has length $N=4096$, and $K_1+u_1=K_2+u_2=1024$, resulting in a code rate of 0.5.

[0075] The x-axis of the graph of FIG. 9 represents each individual information (non-frozen) bit position in the input vector used to produce the example codeword, and the y-axis of the graph represents the computed transmission reliability of a synthetic channel of the Polar code corresponding to a given individual bit position in the input vector. As explained earlier, the reliability of a synthetic channel may also be referred to as the “capacity” of the synthetic channel.

[0076] A point is plotted on the graph of FIG. 9 at each bit position of a non-frozen bit in the input vector. Each of the plotted points shows the computed transmission reliability of a synthetic channel of the Polar code for the synthetic channel’s respective bit position in the input vector. A first group of plotted points 902 contains 1024 (*i.e.*, K_1+u_1) points corresponding to the locations of the K_1 information bits and the u_1 CRC bits in the input vector. A second group of plotted points 904 contains 1024 (*i.e.*, K_2+u_2) points corresponding to the locations of the K_2 information bits and the u_2 CRC bits in the input vector over a 4096-bit (*i.e.*, $(K_1+u_1)/R_1 + (K_2+u_2)/R_2$, where R_1 and R_2 represent the code rate of 0.5) codeword

[0077] In the example depicted in FIG. 9, the non-frozen bit positions in the input vector were selected by computing the reliability of each of the bit positions in the input vector, and then identifying the most reliable 2048 bit positions. The most reliable 2048 bit positions were then sequentially partitioned into a first group of bit positions corresponding to the first group of plotted points 902 and a second group of bits positions corresponding to the second group of plotted points 904. The K_1 information bits and the u_1 CRC bits were placed in the first group of bit positions. The K_2 information bits and the u_2 CRC bits were placed in the second group of bit positions. More particularly, in the specific example illustrated, the u_1 CRC bits were placed in bit positions corresponding to plotted points 912, and the u_2 CRC bits were placed in bit positions corresponding to plotted points 914, because these bit positions satisfy a desired reliability criterion, namely they are among the plotted points with the highest computed reliabilities. Frozen bits were placed in the remaining bit positions in the input vector. As can be seen in FIG. 9, the first group of plotted points 902 and second group of plotted points 904 are distributed unevenly along the x-axis.

This is because, due to the channel polarization principle described earlier, synthetic channels at increasingly higher bit positions tend to have a higher reliability. In other words, reliability is unequally distributed among the bit positions of the input vector. In the example provided, the synthetic channels corresponding to the first group of plotted points 902 have an average reliability of 28.7%, while the synthetic channels corresponding to the second group of plotted points 904 have an average reliability of 71.3%.

[0078] As noted previously, more than two sequences of input bits may be concatenated and then encoded into a combined codeword. FIG. 10 is an illustration of three sequences of input bits 1050, 1052, 1054 being concatenated into a concatenated sequence 1056 and encoded into a combined codeword 1020 for transmission. The first sequence of input bits 1050 consists of K_1 information bits 1002 and a u_1 -bit CRC 1012 computed based on the K_1 information bits 1002. The second sequence of input bits 1052 consists of K_2 information bits 1004 and a u_2 -bit CRC 1014 computed based on the K_2 information bits 1004. The third sequence of input bits 1054 consists of K_3 information bits 1006 and a u_3 -bit CRC 1016 computed based on the K_3 information bits 1006. The three sequences of input bits 1050, 1052, 1054 are concatenated to form a sequence 1056 of size $K_1+u_1+K_2+u_2+K_3+u_3$.

[0079] The concatenated sequence 1056 is processed by a Polar encoding process to generate a codeword 1020 of length N . The Polar encoding process comprises a step 1040 of inserting frozen bits into the concatenated sequence 1056 to produce an input vector 1090 of length N , and then multiplying 1060 the input vector 1090 by a Polar code generator matrix, as described previously above, for example with respect to FIG. 8, step 808.

[0080] In some embodiments, not all of K_1 , K_2 , and K_3 are equal. In such embodiments, the Polar encoding is referred to herein as asymmetric concatenated Polar coding, or alternatively as asymmetric block-combined Polar coding. The codeword 1020 produced is referred to herein as an asymmetric concatenated codeword, or alternatively as an asymmetric block-combined codeword. In some embodiments, K_1 differs from K_2 . In some embodiments, K_1 , K_2 , and K_3 all differ from each other. In some embodiments, $K_1 + K_2$ is equal to K_3 and $u_1 + u_2$ is equal to u_3 . In an example embodiment where $K_1 + K_2$ is equal to K_3 , the first sequence of

input bits 1050 and the second sequence of input bits 1052 is generated by partitioning a sequence of $K_1 + K_2$ information bits prior to calculating CRCs 1012 and 1014.

[0081] In some cases, asymmetric concatenated Polar coding may facilitate distributing CRC bits in bit positions in the input vector that better satisfy a reliability criterion than symmetric concatenated Polar coding. Although FIG. 10 illustrates three sequences of input bits 1050, 1052, 1054 being concatenated and encoded, it should be understood that in other embodiments fewer or more sequences of input bits may be concatenated and encoded for output as the codeword 1020.

[0082] FIG. 11 is a diagram showing an example decision tree for decoding a concatenated codeword, where the codeword was sequentially encoded by combining a sequence of K_1 information bits with a u_1 -bit CRC and a sequence of K_2 information bits with a u_2 -bit CRC. The decision tree has $K_1 + u_1 + K_2 + u_2 + 1$ levels, which are referred to as levels 0 through $K_1 + u_1 + K_2 + u_2$, respectively. The decision tree was generated in a breadth-first manner, with each level of the decision tree corresponding to a decision on a respective unknown bit. Each path in the decision tree from the root node to leaf nodes represents a possible partial decoded sequence of unknown bits and has a corresponding likelihood.

[0083] During generation of the decision tree, for each of the first $K_1 + u_1 + 1$ levels 1150 beginning with root node 1102, when the number of possible paths has grown greater than $L_1 = 32$, the L_1 paths having the highest likelihood have been identified, and the remaining paths have been discarded. At level $K_1 + u_1$ (1110), the sequence of K_1 information bits represented in each of the surviving paths has been evaluated against the u_1 -bit CRC in that path. The last node in the surviving path that passed this CRC check is shown as node 1112. Accordingly, the path from root node 1102 to node 1112 contains the decoded sequence of K_1 information bits. The other paths at level $K_1 + u_1$ (1110) are discarded.

[0084] For each of the subsequent $K_2 + u_2$ levels 1160 beginning with node 1112, when the number of possible paths has grown greater than $L_2 = 16$, the L_2 paths having the highest likelihood have been identified, and the remaining paths have been discarded. At level $K_1 + u_1 + K_2 + u_2$ (1120), the sequence of K_2 information bits

represented in each of the surviving paths has been evaluated against the u_2 -bit CRC in that path. The last node in the surviving path that passed this CRC check is shown as node 1122. Accordingly, the path from node 1112 to node 1122 contains the decoded sequence of K_2 information bits.

[0085] It should be understood that the values of L_1 and L_2 illustrated in FIG. 11 are merely exemplary, and that other values may be chosen for decoding a concatenated codeword. In some embodiments, L_1 and L_2 are equal. In some embodiments, L_2 is less than L_1 . Using a value for L_2 that is less than the value of L_1 may reduce computational and space requirements for generating the decision tree, and therefore may improve the performance, such as the throughput, of a decoder that generates the decision tree. Because reliability of synthetic channels corresponding to individual bit positions tends to increase along the length of an encoded input vector, some values for L_2 that are less than the value of L_1 may improve the throughput of a decoder that generates the decision tree with minimal impact on the overall block error rate of the decoder.

[0086] FIG. 12A is flow diagram of a method 1200 for Polar decoding. For the purpose of generality, the steps of FIG. 12A are illustrated as involving a received word using EDCs, although as explained previously, it should be understood that many embodiments will make use of CRCs as a particular form of EDC. At step 1250, a first word is received. The first received word is based on a first codeword, where the first codeword contains a plurality of bits produced by multiplying an input vector by a Polar code generator matrix, where the input vector contained a first sequence of input bits, a second sequence of input bits, and a plurality of frozen bits for the Polar code. The first sequence of input bits used for producing the codeword contained K_1 information bits and a u_1 -bit EDC, and the second sequence of input bits contained K_2 information bits and a u_2 -bit EDC. The first sequence of input bits occurred in the input vector prior to the second sequence of input bits. The positions of the bits of the u_1 -bit EDC within the first sequence of input bits, the positions of the bits of the u_2 -bit EDC within the second sequence of input bits, and the locations of the frozen bits are known to both the encoder and the decoder. At step 1252, the first received word is decoded.

[0087] FIG. 12B is a flow diagram of a method 1202 for Polar decoding the first received word of FIG. 12A, the method involving generating a decision tree as shown in FIG. 11, where CRCs are employed as the particular form of EDC.

[0088] At step 1204, successive levels of a binary decision tree are generated, each level corresponding to a decision on a respective bit, where each path in the decision tree represents a possible partial decoded non-frozen bit sequence and has a corresponding likelihood.

[0089] During the decision tree generation, at step 1206, for a first $K_1 + u_1$ levels of the decision tree after the root, when a number of paths in the decision tree grows beyond a threshold L_1 , all but the most probable L_1 paths are discarded. At step 1208, when level $K_1 + u_1$ of the decision tree has been generated, the u_1 -bit CRC represented in each respective surviving path is used to determine a path of the surviving paths representing a first sequence of K_1 decoded bits to include in a decoded vector, and all other paths are discarded.

[0090] In step 1210, for a second $K_2 + u_2$ levels of the decision tree, when a number of paths in the decision tree grows beyond a threshold L_2 , all but the most probable L_2 paths are discarded. At step 1212, when level $K_1 + u_1 + K_2 + u_2$ of the decision tree has been generated, the u_2 -bit CRC represented in each respective surviving path is used to determine a path of the surviving paths representing a second sequence of K_2 decoded bits to include in the decoded vector, and all other paths are discarded.

[0091] In some embodiments, L_1 and L_2 are equal. In some embodiments, L_1 is greater than L_2 . In some embodiments, K_1 is equal to K_2 .

[0092] In some embodiments, where the input vector used for encoding the codeword also included a third sequence of input bits having K_3 information bits and a u_3 -bit CRC, and where the second sequence of input bits occurred in the input vector prior to the third sequence of input bits, the decoding method may continue generating the decision tree. For a third $K_3 + u_3$ levels of the decision tree, when a number of paths in the decision tree grows beyond a threshold L_3 , all but the most probable L_3 paths are discarded. When level $K_1 + u_1 + K_2 + u_2 + K_3 + u_3$ of the decision tree has been generated, the u_3 -bit CRC represented in each respective surviving path is used

to determine a path of the surviving paths representing a third sequence of K_3 decoded bits to include in the decoded vector.

[0093] In some embodiments, L_1 is equal to L_2 and L_2 is greater than L_3 . In some embodiments, L_1 is greater than L_2 and L_2 is greater than L_3 .

[0094] Some decoder embodiments output the decoded vector once the received word has been fully decoded. Other embodiments output each portion of the decoded vector as soon as each portion has been determined. For example, the first sequence of K_1 decoded bits may be output after level $K_1 + u_1$ of the decision tree has been generated and the CRC check for that level has been performed. Likewise, the second sequence of K_2 decoded bits may be output after level $K_1 + u_1 + K_2 + u_2$ of the decision tree has been generated and the CRC check for that level has been performed.

[0095] In some embodiments, some decoding steps are performed in parallel. FIG. 13 is a flow diagram of a method 1300 for Polar decoding that generates decision trees in parallel, potentially reducing the latency required to fully decode a received word compared to the method of FIG. 12B. The illustrated method processes a received word based on a codeword of the same type described with respect to step 1250 in FIG. 12A is received, where CRCs are employed as a particular form of EDC.

[0096] At step 1304, the received word is processed by generating first and second binary decision trees. The first and second binary decision trees are generated at least partly in parallel. In particular, at least a portion of the steps 1306 to 1308 and the steps 1310 to 1314 described below are performed in a manner that overlaps at least partly in time.

[0097] During generation of the first decision tree, at step 1306, for a first $K_1 + u_1$ levels of the first decision tree after the root of the first decision tree, when a number of paths in the first decision tree grows beyond a threshold L_1 , all but the most probable L_1 paths are discarded. At step 1308, when level $K_1 + u_1$ of the decision tree has been generated, the u_1 -bit CRC represented in each respective surviving path is used to determine a path of the surviving paths representing a first sequence of K_1 decoded bits to include in a decoded vector.

[0098] During generation of the second decision tree, at step 1310, for a first R_1 levels of the second decision tree after the root of the second decision tree, when a number of paths in the second decision tree grows beyond a threshold L_2 , all but the most probable L_2 paths are discarded. At step 1312, for a subsequent R_2 levels of the second decision tree, when a number of paths in the decision tree grows beyond a threshold L_3 , all but the most probable L_3 paths are discarded. In some embodiments, at level $K_1 + u_1$, the u_1 -bit CRC represented in each surviving path of the second decision tree is used to discard surviving paths not passing a CRC check with the u_1 -bit CRC, provided that at least one surviving path passes the CRC check. At step 1314, when level $K_1 + u_1 + K_2 + u_2$ of the second decision tree has been generated, the u_2 -bit CRC represented in each respective surviving path of the second decision tree is used to determine a path of the surviving paths representing a second sequence of K_2 bits to include in the decoded vector after the first sequence of K_1 bits.

[0099] In the method shown in FIG. 13, R_1 is less than $K_1 + u_1$ and L_1 is greater than L_2 . Accordingly, for at least an initial portion of the generation of the second decision tree, fewer paths in the second decision tree are retained as survivors than are retained as survivors while generating the first decision tree. This allows the initial levels of the second decision tree to be generated more rapidly than the same levels of the first decision tree.

[00100] In some embodiments, L_2 is less than L_3 . That is, the second decision tree generation process can be said to start by retaining fewer survivors at early levels of the second decision tree and then “ramp up” to retaining more survivors at later levels. In some embodiments, R_2 is equal to $(K_2 + u_2 - R_1)$. That is, the “ramp up” occurs for the levels of the second decision tree that represent decisions for the K_2 information bits and the u_2 -bit CRC.

[00101] In some embodiments, the “ramp up” is performed in several stages. For example, the process of generating the second decision tree may begin with $L=2$, then transition to $L=4$, then transition to $L=8$. In some embodiments, a Polar decoder generates more than two decision trees in parallel, with the decision trees having differing configurations of “ramp up” stages.

[00102] FIGs. 14A to 14D serve to illustrate some ways in which “ramp up” decoding performed in stages may improve the processing latency of a decoder. FIG. 14 is an illustration of a concatenated sequence 1460 of input bits consisting of four sequences of input bits 1450, 1542, 1544, and 1456. The first sequence of input bits 1450 consists of K_1 information bits 1402 and a u_1 -bit CRC 1412 computed based on the K_1 information bits 1402. The second sequence of input bits 1452 consists of K_2 information bits 1404 and a u_2 -bit CRC 1414 computed based on the K_2 information bits 1404. The third sequence of input bits 1454 consists of K_3 information bits 1406 and a u_3 -bit CRC 1416 computed based on the K_3 information bits 1406. The fourth sequence of input bits 1456 consists of K_4 information bits 1408 and a u_4 -bit CRC 1418 computed based on the K_4 information bits 1408. The concatenated sequence 1460 may be encoded using a Polar encoding technique, for example as described above with respect to FIG. 8, into a single combined codeword (not shown) for storage or transmission.

[00103] FIG. 14B illustrates an example of sequentially decoding a codeword that was encoded based on the concatenated sequence of input bits 1460 of FIG. 14A. During the decoding process, a List-type Polar decoding technique, for example as discussed above with respect to FIG. 12, is used. Successive levels of a binary decision tree are generated, each level corresponding to a decision on a respective bit, where each path in the decision tree represents a possible partial decoded non-frozen bit sequence and has a corresponding likelihood.

[00104] In a first stage 1422, the K_1 information bits 1402 are decoded by generating the binary decision tree with a breadth threshold of $L=8$. That is, for a first $K_1 + u_1$ levels of the decision tree after the root, when a number of paths in the decision tree grows beyond a threshold $L=8$, all but the most probable L paths are discarded. When level $K_1 + u_1$ of the decision tree has been generated, the u_1 -bit CRC represented in each respective surviving path is used to determine a path of the surviving paths representing the K_1 decoded bits to include in a decoded vector, and all other paths are discarded.

[00105] In a subsequent stage 1424, the decoder continues in the same manner to decode the K_2 information bits 1404 by generating successive levels of the binary decision tree with a breadth threshold of $L=4$. The decoder then continues on in the

same manner in a subsequent stage 1426 to decode the K_3 information bits 1406 by generating successive levels of the binary decision tree with a breadth threshold of $L=4$. Finally, the decoder then continues on in the same manner in a subsequent stage 1428 to decode the K_4 information bits 1408 by generating successive levels of the binary decision tree with a breadth threshold of $L=2$. In the illustrated example of sequential decoding, the processing latency 1470 for decoding all of the encoded information bits includes the time to perform stages 1422, 1424, 1426, and 1428 in sequence. It should be understood that the specific values of L selected for stages 1422, 1424, 1426, and 1428 are design choices, and that other values may be selected, for example based on desired accuracy and/or latency characteristics for the decoder.

[00106] FIG. 14C is an illustration of decoding, by generating decision trees in parallel, of a codeword that was encoded based on the sequence of input bits of FIG. 14A. During the decoding process, a parallel List-type Polar decoding technique, for example as discussed above with respect to FIG. 13, is used. Successive levels of four binary decision tree are generated in parallel, each level corresponding to a decision on a respective bit, where each path in the decision tree represents a possible partial decoded non-frozen bit sequence and has a corresponding likelihood.

[00107] In a first set of operations 1432, the K_1 information bits 1402 are decoded by generating a first binary decision tree with a breadth threshold of $L=8$. That is, for a first $K_1 + u_1$ levels of the decision tree after the root, when a number of paths in the first decision tree grows beyond a threshold $L=8$, all paths except the most probable L paths are discarded. When level $K_1 + u_1$ of the first decision tree has been generated, the u_1 -bit CRC represented in each respective surviving path is used to determine a path of the surviving paths representing the K_1 decoded bits to include in a decoded vector.

[00108] In a second set of operations 1434, the K_1 information bits 1402 are decoded in a ramp-up stage 1480 by generating a second binary decision tree with a breadth threshold where L is less than 4 for at least a portion of the first $K_1 + u_1$ levels of the decision tree after the root. The second binary decision tree generation continues after the u_1 -bit CRC for the K_1 information bits is checked and a surviving path is selected. The K_2 information bits 1404 are subsequently decoded with the second decision tree using a breadth threshold of $L=4$. When level $K_1 + u_1 + K_2 + u_2$

of the second decision tree has been generated, the u_2 -bit CRC represented in each respective surviving path is used to determine a path of the surviving paths representing the K_2 decoded bits to include in the decoded vector.

[00109] In a third set of operations 1436, the K_1 information bits 1402 and the K_2 information bits 1404 are decoded in a ramp-up stage 1482 by generating a third binary decision tree with a breadth threshold where L is less than 4 for at least a portion of the first $K_1 + u_1 + K_2 + u_2$ levels of the decision tree after the root. The third binary decision tree generation continues after the u_2 -bit CRC for the K_2 information bits is checked and a surviving path is selected. The K_3 information bits 1406 are subsequently decoded with the third decision tree using a breadth threshold of $L=4$. When level $K_1 + u_1 + K_2 + u_2 + K_3 + u_3$ of the second decision tree has been generated, the u_3 -bit CRC represented in each respective surviving path is used to determine a path of the surviving paths representing the K_3 decoded bits to include in the decoded vector.

[00110] In a fourth set of operations 1438, the K_1 information bits 1402, the K_2 information bits 1404, and the K_3 information bits 1406 are decoded in a ramp-up stage 1484 by generating a fourth binary decision tree with a breadth threshold where L is less than 2 for at least a portion of the first $K_1 + u_1 + K_2 + u_2 + K_3 + u_3$ levels of the decision tree after the root. The fourth binary decision tree generation continues after the u_3 -bit CRC for the K_3 information bits is checked and a surviving path is selected. The K_4 information bits 1408 are subsequently decoded with the third decision tree using a breadth threshold of $L=2$. When level $K_1 + u_1 + K_2 + u_2 + K_3 + u_3 + K_4 + u_4$ of the second decision tree has been generated, the u_4 -bit CRC represented in each respective surviving path is used to determine a path of the surviving paths representing the K_4 decoded bits to include in the decoded vector.

[00111] In the illustrated example of parallel decoding, the processing latency 1472 for decoding all of the encoded information bits includes the time to perform operations 1432, 1434, 1436, and 1438 in parallel. In the illustrated example, the processing latency 1472 is less than the processing latency 1470 shown in FIG. 14B. It should be understood that the specific values of L selected for operations 1432, 1434, 1436, and 1438 are design choices, and that other values may be selected, for example based on desired accuracy and/or latency characteristics for the decoder. In

particular, several values of L may be used during the ramp-up stages 1480, 1482, and 1484. For example, during ramp-up stage 1482, a value of $L=1$ may initially be used, which transitions at some point during generation of the third decision tree to $L=2$, and then at a later point during generation of the third decision tree to $L=4$.

[00112] FIG. 14D illustrates a variation of the parallel decoding technique shown in FIG. 14C in which early termination may be used to potentially improve performance, for example by improving throughput and/or power consumption. A parallel List-type Polar decoding technique is used to decode a codeword that was encoded based on the sequence of input bits of FIG. 14A. During the decoding process, successive levels of four binary decisions trees are generated in parallel by separate threads.

[00113] First, second, third, and fourth threads perform a first set of operations 1932, a second set of operations 1934, a third set of operations 1936, and a fourth set of operations 1938, respectively. If early termination is not performed, the sets of operations 1932, 1934, 1936, 1938 proceed as discussed above with respect to operations 1432, 1434, 1436, and 1438, respectively, of FIG. 14C.

[00114] However, in some instances, early termination of some threads may be possible. This is because some information bits are decoded by more than one thread, some threads performing List-type decoding for the same information bits at different levels of complexity. For example, in the illustrated example, the first thread attempts to decode the K_1 information bits 1402 using a breadth threshold of $L=8$. Attempts are also made to decode the K_1 information bits 1402 by the second thread using $L=4$, by the third thread using $L=2$, and by the fourth thread using $L=1$. A particular thread may be early terminated by one of the higher numbered threads if any one of the higher numbered threads performs a CRC check that indicates that information bits being decoded by the particular thread have been successfully decoded.

[00115] For example, if the fourth thread performs the CRC check 1996 and determines that the K_1 information bits 1402 have been successfully decoded by the fourth thread, the fourth thread may cause the first thread to be terminated at time 1946. If the third thread performs the CRC check 1994 and determines that the K_1 information bits 1402 have been successfully decoded by the third thread, the third

thread may cause the first thread to be terminated at time 1944. If the second thread performs the CRC check 1992 and determines that K_1 information bits 1402 have been successfully decoded by the second thread, the second thread may cause the first thread to be terminated at time 1942. If no early termination is performed, the decoded bits to include in the decoded vector corresponding to the K_1 information bits 1402 are not determined until CRC check 1990 has been completed by the first thread.

[00116] Although specific examples of early termination are shown in FIG. 14D, it should be understood that more generally, any lower numbered thread may be early terminated by any higher numbered thread that passes a CRC check for information bits being decoded by the lower numbered thread with lower cost, for example due to the use of a smaller breadth threshold L . In some cases, early termination may improve overall processing latency 1972 and/or decoding throughput because some threads are terminated early. In some cases, early termination may also reduce the overall average power consumption of the decoding process by reducing the total number of computations necessary for decoding a given codeword.

[00117] In some embodiments, certain information bits may be repeated across more than one message being encoded into more than one codeword. In other words, another type of concatenation of information bits involves concatenating information bits across more than one message, thereby resulting in concatenating information bits across more than one codeword. By repeating certain information bits, it may be possible to reduce the error rate when communicating over a channel, improve the performance of a decoder, and/or reduce the complexity of the decoder.

[00118] FIG. 15 is a specific example of this approach, depicting an illustration of two sequences of input bits 1554, 1552 being encoded into two codewords 1530, 1532 for transmission, the first sequence 1554 including a subset of input bits 1520 from the second sequence 1552. For the purpose of decoding, a received word based on codeword 1532 is decoded after a received word based on codeword 1530. However, the codewords 1530, 1532 may not necessarily be transmitted in succession, and the received words based on the codewords 1530, 1532 may also not necessarily be received in succession. In some embodiments, the first codeword 1530 is transmitted prior to transmitting the second codeword 1532. In some embodiments,

the first codeword 1530 is transmitted simultaneously with, or otherwise in temporal proximity to, the second codeword 1532.

[00119] The first sequence of input bits 1554 comprises an original sequence of input bits 1550, the original sequence consisting of K_1 information bits 1502 and a u_1 -bit CRC 1512 computed based on the K_1 information bits 1502. The first sequence of input bits 1554 also comprises copied information bits 1520. The copied information bits 1520 are a duplicate of a subset 1506 of the second sequence of information bits 1504. The copied information bits 1520 consist of K_2 information bits. Finally, the first sequence of input bits also comprises a u_2 -bit CRC 1522 computed based on the K_2 information bits.

[00120] The second sequence of input bits 1552 consists of $K_2 + K_3$ information bits 1504 and a u_3 -bit CRC 1514 computed based on the $K_2 + K_3$ information bits 1504. The K_2 leading information bits 1506 of the $K_2 + K_3$ information bits 1504 are identical to the copied information bits 1520. K_3 information bits 1508 occur after the K_2 leading information bits 1506 in the $K_2 + K_3$ information bits 1504.

[00121] In other words, the leading K_2 information bits of the second sequence of input bits 1552 have been copied into the first sequence of input bits 1554. An encoder which copies a subset of information bits to be encoded in a successor codeword to a sequence of information bits to be encoded in a predecessor codeword is referred to herein as a sliding-window Polar encoder. Codes encoded with such an encoder are referred to herein as sliding-window Polar codes.

[00122] The first sequence of input bits 1554 is processed by a Polar encoding process to generate a first codeword 1530 of length N . The second sequence of input bits 1552 is processed by a Polar encoding process to generate a second codeword 1532 of length N . The Polar encoding process for the first sequence of input bits 1554 comprises a step 1540 of inserting frozen bits into the first sequence of input bits 1554 to produce a first input vector 1590 of length N , and then multiplying 1560 the first input vector 1590 by a Polar code generator matrix to produce the first codeword 1530. The Polar encoding process for the second sequence of input bits 1552 comprises a step 1542 of inserting frozen bits into the second sequence of input bits 1552 to produce a second input vector 1592 of length N , and then multiplying 1562

the second input vector 1592 by a Polar code generator matrix to produce the second codeword 1532.

[00123] In an example embodiment, K_1 is equal to $K_2 + K_3$ and u_1 is equal to u_3 . In such an embodiment, more information bits are encoded in codeword 1530 than codeword 1532, resulting in a lower coding rate for codeword 1530. To compensate, in some embodiments, a power level at which codeword 1530 is transmitted is higher relative to a baseline power level and/or relative to a power level at which codeword 1532 is transmitted. Because some information bits encoded into codeword 1532 are also encoded in codeword 1530, an error rate for a given SNR for codeword 1532 after a decoding process may be improved, as explained below with respect to FIG. 17. Due to the improved error rate, in some embodiments, a power level at which codeword 1532 is transmitted is lowered relative to a baseline power level and/or relative to a power level at which codeword 1530 is transmitted.

[00124] In some embodiments, the first codeword 1530 and the second codeword 1532 are generated by the same apparatus, for example a base station in communication with a user equipment (UE) device. However, the first codeword 1530 and the second codeword 1532 may also be generated by different apparatuses. FIG. 16 is a schematic illustration of a first base station 1610 and a second base station 1612 in communication with a UE device 1602, where the first base station 1610 generates a first codeword and the second base station 1612 generates a second codeword.

[00125] In the example use case illustrated in FIG. 16, the UE device 1602 is located within a first cell 1680 and near the boundary of a second cell 1682. The first base station 1610 and the second base station 1612 are coordinating to facilitate handover of the UE device 1602 to the second cell 1682. The first base station 1610 aims to transmit at least a first sequence of K_1 information bits to the UE device 1602 in a first transmission 1620 consisting of the first codeword. The second base station 1612 aims to transmit a second sequence of $K_2 + K_3$ information bits to the UE device 1602 in a second transmission 1622 consisting of the second codeword.

[00126] The second base station 1612 transmits, over a backhaul connection to the first base station 1610, the leading K_2 information bits of the sequence of $K_2 + K_3$

information bits. The first base station 1610 then encodes the K_1 and K_2 information bits into the first codeword in the manner described above with respect to the first codeword 1530 of FIG. 15, and then transmits the first codeword to the UE. The second base station encodes the $K_2 + K_3$ information bits into the second codeword in the manner illustrated with respect to the second codeword 1532 of FIG. 15, and then transmits the second codeword to the UE.

[00127] Turning now to decoding, suppose that first and second received words based on first and second sliding-window Polar coded codewords, respectively, are received, where the second codeword is successor of the first codeword. The first received word may be decoded by the methods explained above and illustrated, for example, in FIGs. 12 and 13. FIG. 17 is a flow diagram of a method 1700 for sliding-window Polar decoding of the second received word. For descriptive simplicity, method 1700 will be described according to the premise that method 1700 continues after the method 1202 explained above with respect to FIG. 12B has been performed.

[00128] At step 1702, a second received word based on a second codeword is received, the second codeword containing a plurality of bits produced by multiplying a second input vector by a Polar code generator matrix, where the second input vector contained a third sequence of input bits and a plurality of frozen bits for the Polar code. The third sequence of input bits used for producing the second codeword contained the K_2 information bits followed by K_3 information bits and a u_3 -bit CRC. The positions of the bits of the u_3 -bit CRC within the third sequence of input bits and the locations of the frozen bits are known to both the encoder and the decoder.

[00129] At step 1704, the u_2 -bit CRC is used to determine whether the K_2 information bits in the first received word were successfully decoded. If the K_2 information bits in the first received word were not successfully decoded, then the first received word is discarded and the second received word is decoded with a conventional Polar decoding technique, for example as described in steps 1204, 1206, and 1208 of FIG. 12. At step 1706, if the K_2 information bits in the first received word were successfully decoded, then the K_2 information bits are included as the initial K_2 bits for a second decoded vector.

[00130] At step 1708, successive levels of a second binary decision tree are generated, each level corresponding to a decision on a respective bit, where each path in the second decision tree represents a possible partial decoded non-frozen bit sequence and has a corresponding likelihood. During the generation of the second binary decision tree, the K_2 information bits are treated as frozen bits.

[00131] During the generation of the second binary decision tree, at step 1710, for $K_3 + u_3$ levels of the second decision tree after the root, when a number of paths in the decision tree grows beyond a threshold L_3 , all but the most probable L_3 paths are discarded. At step 1712, when level $K_3 + u_3$ of the second decision tree has been generated, the u_3 -bit CRC represented in each respective surviving path is used to determine a path of the surviving paths representing a third sequence of K_3 decoded bits, which are then included at step 1714 as the K_3 bits after the initial K_2 bits in the second decoded vector. All other paths are discarded.

[00132] Since the decoding technique for the second received word makes use of information from the first received word, the decoder is referred to herein as a sliding-window Polar decoder.

[00133] Because the described sliding-window Polar decoding technique may be able to treat the K_2 information bits as frozen bits, and because bit positions further along an input vector tend to correspond to synthetic channels having higher reliability, in some cases a smaller value of L_3 may be used in a sliding-window Polar decoder (compared to some Polar decoders that do not make use of information from the first received word) without substantially reducing the decoder's block error rate. In some cases, smaller values of L_3 may result in improved decoding latency and/or improved decoding efficiency. In some embodiments, L_1 is greater than L_2 . In some embodiments, L_1 is greater than L_3 . In some embodiments, differing value of least one of L_1 , L_2 , and/or L_3 may be used in the decoder depending on a power level at which the first codeword was transmitted and/or a power level at which the second codeword was transmitted.

[00134] Turning now to example apparatuses for implementing the methods described above, FIG. 18A is a schematic illustration of an apparatus 1800 for encoding and transmitting a codeword. Apparatus 1800 comprises an encoder 1804

coupled to a transmitting device 1806. In the illustrated embodiment, the transmitting device 1806 has an antenna 1808 for transmitting signals over a wireless channel. In some embodiments, the transmitting device 1806 includes a modulator, amplifier, and/or other components of a radio frequency (RF) transmit chain. The encoder 1804 receives input 1802 comprising information bits and is configured to implement a method described above to encode the information bits into a codeword, which is provided to the transmitting device 1806 for transmission via the antenna 1808.

[00135] FIG. 18B is a schematic illustration of an apparatus 1810 for receiving and decoding a received word. Apparatus 1810 comprises a receiving device 1814 coupled to a decoder 1816. In the illustrated embodiment, the receiving device 1814 has an antenna 1812 for receiving signals from a wireless channel. In some embodiments, the receiving device 1814 includes a demodulator, amplifier, and/or other components of a radio frequency (RF) receive chain. The receiving device 1814 receives a signal carrying a received word based on a codeword via the antenna 1812. The received word is provided to the decoder 1816. The decoder 1816 is configured to implement a method described above to decode the received word into an output vector consisting of information bits, which is provided as output 1818 from the decoder.

[00136] In some embodiments, a non-transitory computer readable medium comprising instructions for execution by a processor may be provided to control the operation of encoder 1804 in FIG. 18A or decoder 1816 in FIG. 18B, and/or to otherwise control the execution of methods described above. In some embodiments, the processor being controlled may be a component of a general-purpose computer hardware platform. In other embodiments, the processor may be a component of a special-purpose hardware platform. For example, the processor may be an embedded processor, and the instructions may be provided as firmware. Some embodiments may be implemented by using hardware only. In some embodiments, the instructions for execution by a processor may be embodied in the form of a software product. The software product may be stored in a non-volatile or non-transitory storage medium, which can be, for example, a compact disc read-only memory (CD-ROM), universal serial bus (USB) flash disk, or a removable hard disk.

[00137] The previous description of some embodiments is provided to enable any person skilled in the art to make or use an apparatus, method, or processor readable medium according to the present disclosure. Various modifications to these embodiments will be readily apparent to those skilled in the art, and the generic principles of the methods and devices described herein may be applied to other embodiments. Thus, the present disclosure is not intended to be limited to the embodiments shown herein but is to be accorded the widest scope consistent with the principles and novel features disclosed herein.

What is claimed is:

1. A method comprising:
 - processing K_1 information bits to produce a u_1 -bit error-detecting code (EDC);
 - processing K_2 information bits to produce a u_2 -bit EDC;
 - producing an input vector comprising a first sequence of input bits, a second sequence of input bits, and a plurality of frozen bits for a Polar code, wherein
 - the first sequence of input bits comprises the K_1 information bits and the u_1 -bit EDC,
 - the second sequence of input bits comprises the K_2 information bits and the u_2 -bit EDC, and
 - the first sequence of input bits occurs in the input vector prior to the second sequence of input bits;
 - multiplying the input vector by a generator matrix for the Polar code to produce a first codeword; and
 - transmitting or storing the first codeword.
2. The method of claim 1, wherein the generator matrix for the Polar code is an m -fold Kronecker product matrix $\mathbf{G}_2^{\otimes m}$, where

$$\mathbf{G}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ or } \mathbf{G}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$
3. The method of claim 1, wherein the u_1 -bit EDC and the u_2 -bit EDC are cyclic redundancy codes (CRC).
4. The method of claim 1, further comprising:
 - processing a sequence of K information bits to produce a u_3 -bit EDC,
 - wherein the produced input vector further comprises a third sequence of input bits, the third sequence of input bits comprises the sequence of K information bits and the u_3 -bit EDC, and the second sequence of input bits occurs in the input vector prior to the third sequence of input bits.
5. The method of claim 1, wherein
 - the K_1 information bits are information bits of a first message, and
 - the K_2 information bits are leading information bits of a second message.

6. The method of claim 5, wherein the second message contains K_3 information bits after the K_2 information bits, and a u_3 -bit EDC generated from the K_2 and the K_3 information bits in combination.
7. The method of claim 5, further comprising encoding the second message with a Polar encoder to produce a second codeword.
8. The method of claim 7, further comprising transmitting the first codeword prior to transmitting the second codeword or transmitting the first codeword in temporal proximity to transmitting the second codeword.
9. The method of claim 7, further comprising:
transmitting, at a first power level, the first codeword; and
transmitting, at a second power level, the second codeword,
wherein the first power level is higher than the second power level.
10. The method of claim 5, wherein the method is performed at a first base station in communication with a user equipment (UE) device, the method further comprising:
receiving the K_2 information bits from a second base station in communication with the UE device; and
transmitting the first codeword by the first base station to the UE device.
11. The method of claim 10, wherein the first base station receives the K_2 information bits over a backhaul connection between the first base station and the second base station.
12. A method comprising:
receiving a first word, wherein the first received word is based on a first codeword, the first codeword comprising a plurality of bits produced by multiplying an input vector by a Polar code generator matrix, the input vector comprising a first sequence of input bits, a second sequence of input bits, and a plurality of frozen bits for the Polar code, wherein the first sequence of input bits comprises K_1 information

bits and a u_1 -bit error-detecting code (EDC), the second sequence of input bits comprises K_2 information bits and a u_2 -bit EDC, and the first sequence of input bits occurs in the input vector prior to the second sequence of input bits; and

decoding the first received word.

13. The method of claim 12, wherein the Polar code generator matrix is an m -fold Kronecker product matrix $\mathbf{G}_2^{\otimes m}$, where

$$\mathbf{G}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ or } \mathbf{G}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

14. The method of claim 12, wherein the u_1 -bit EDC and the u_2 -bit EDC are cyclic redundancy codes (CRC).

15. The method of claim 12, wherein decoding the first received word comprises processing the first received word to produce a first decoded vector by:

generating successive levels of a binary decision tree, each level corresponding to a decision on a respective bit, where each path in the decision tree represents a possible partial decoded non-frozen bit sequence and has a corresponding likelihood;

for a first $K_1 + u_1$ levels of the decision tree after the root, when a number of paths in the decision tree grows beyond a threshold L_1 , discarding all but the most probable L_1 paths;

at level $K_1 + u_1$ of the decision tree, using the u_1 -bit EDC represented in each respective surviving path to determine a path of the surviving paths representing a first sequence of K_1 decoded bits for the first decoded vector, and discarding all other paths;

for a second $K_2 + u_2$ levels of the decision tree, when a number of paths in the decision tree grows beyond a threshold L_2 , discarding all but the most probable L_2 paths; and

at level $K_1 + u_1 + K_2 + u_2$ of the decision tree, using the u_2 -bit EDC represented in each respective surviving path to determine a path of the surviving paths representing a second sequence of K_2 decoded bits, and discarding all other paths.

16. The method of claim 15, further comprising including the second sequence of K_2 decoded bits in the first decoded vector.

17. The method of claim 15,

wherein the input vector further comprises a third sequence of input bits comprising K_3 information bits and a u_3 -bit EDC, and the second sequence of input bits occurs in the input vector prior to the third sequence of input bits, and

processing the first received word to produce the first decoded vector further comprises:

for a third $K_3 + u_3$ levels of the decision tree, when a number of paths in the decision tree grows beyond a threshold L_3 , discarding all but the most probable L_3 paths;

at level $K_1 + u_1 + K_2 + u_2 + K_3 + u_3$ of the decision tree, using the u_3 -bit EDC represented in each respective surviving path to determine a path of the surviving paths representing a third sequence of K_3 decoded bits; and

including the third sequence of K_3 decoded bits in the first decoded vector.

18. The method of claim 15, further comprising:

receiving a second word, wherein the second received word is based on a second codeword, the second codeword comprising a plurality of bits produced by multiplying a second input vector by a Polar code generator matrix, the second input vector comprising a third sequence of input bits and a plurality of frozen bits for the Polar code, wherein the third sequence of input bits comprises the K_2 information bits followed by K_3 information bits and a u_3 -bit EDC;

using the u_2 -bit EDC, determining whether the K_2 information bits were successfully decoded; and

if the K_2 information bits were successfully decoded, processing the second received word to produce a second decoded vector, the processing comprising:

using the K_2 information bits as the initial K_2 bits for the second decoded vector;

generating successive levels of a second binary decision tree, each level corresponding to a decision on a respective bit, where each path in the second decision tree represents a possible partial decoded non-frozen bit

sequence and has a corresponding likelihood, and wherein the K_2 information bits are treated as frozen bits;

for $K_3 + u_3$ levels of the decision tree after the root, when a number of paths in the decision tree grows beyond a threshold L_3 , discarding all but the most probable L_3 paths; and

at level $K_3 + u_3$ of the decision tree, using the u_3 -bit EDC represented in each respective surviving path to determine a path of the surviving paths representing a third sequence of K_3 decoded bits; and

including the third sequence of K_3 decoded bits in the second decoded vector.

19. The method of claim 18, wherein at least one of L_1 , L_2 , or L_3 depends on a power level at which the first message was transmitted or a power level at which the second message was transmitted.

20. The method of claim 12, wherein decoding the first received word comprises processing the first received word to produce a decoded vector by:

generating, at least partly in parallel, successive levels of a first binary decision tree and successive levels of a second binary decision tree, where each path in each of the first and second decision trees represents a possible partial decoded non-frozen bit sequence and has a corresponding likelihood;

for a first $K_1 + u_1$ levels of the first decision tree after the root, when a number of paths in the first decision tree grows beyond a threshold L_1 , discard all but the most probable L_1 paths;

at level $K_1 + u_1$ of the first decision tree, use the u_1 -bit EDC represented in each respective surviving path in the first decision tree to determine a path of the surviving paths representing a first sequence of K_1 decoded bits for the decoded vector;

for a first R_1 levels of the second decision tree after the root, when a number of paths in the second decision tree grows beyond a threshold L_2 , discard all but the most probable L_2 paths;

for a subsequent R_2 levels of the second decision tree, when a number of paths in the decision tree grows beyond a threshold L_3 , discard all but the most probable L_3 paths; and

at level $K_1 + u_1 + K_2 + u_2$ of the second decision tree, use the u_2 -bit EDC represented in each respective surviving path of the second decision tree to determine a path of the surviving paths representing a second sequence of K_2 bits after the first sequence of K_1 bits for the decoded vector,

wherein R_1 is less than $K_1 + u_1$ and L_1 is greater than L_2 .

21. The method of claim 20, further comprising:

at level $K_1 + u_1$ of the second decision tree, using the u_1 -bit EDC represented in each respective surviving path of the second decision tree to determine whether one of the surviving paths of the second decision tree represents a correct decoding of the first sequence of K_1 decoded bits for the decoded vector;

if the first decision tree is still being generated and if one of the surviving paths of the second decision tree represents a correct decoding of the first sequence of K_1 decoded bits for the decoded vector, terminating generation of the first decision tree.

22. An apparatus comprising:

a processor configured to produce a first codeword by implementing actions in a method in accordance with any one of claims 1 to 11.

23. An apparatus comprising:

a receiving device in association with a processor to implement a method in accordance with any one of claims 12 to 21.

100
↓

$$G_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$G_2^{\otimes 2} = \begin{bmatrix} G_2 & 0 \\ G_2 & G_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$G_2^{\otimes 3} = \begin{bmatrix} G_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ G_2 & G_2 & 0 & 0 \\ G_2 & 0 & G_2 & 0 \\ G_2 & G_2 & G_2 & G_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$G_2^{\otimes 2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

↑
102

$$G_2^{\otimes 3} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

104 →

FIG. 1

2/19





FIG. 2

**FIG. 3**

4/19




FIG. 4




FIG. 5




FIG. 6






FIG. 7

7/19

**FIG. 8**

**FIG. 9**




FIG. 10

10/19





FIG. 11

11/19

**FIG. 12A**

12/19




FIG. 12B

13/19




FIG. 13




FIG. 14A




FIG. 14B

15/19




FIG. 14C

16/19






FIG. 14D

17/19

**FIG. 15****FIG. 16**

18/19






FIG. 17

**FIG. 18A****FIG. 18B**

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/CN2017/071735

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

H04L 9/28(2006.01)i

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

H04L; H04W; H04Q

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

CNPAT,WPI,EPODOC,CNKI,IEEE,GOOGLE,3GPP:polar,code,CRC,encoding,decoding,information,frozen,bit?,kronecker

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 2014365842 A1 (HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD.) 11 December 2014 (2014-12-11) description, paragraphs [0003]-[0012], [0029]-[0032], figures 1-5	1-23
A	CN 105262494 A (SOUTHEAST UNIVERSITY) 20 January 2016 (2016-01-20) the whole document	1-23
A	CN 104219019 A (HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD.) 17 December 2014 (2014-12-17) the whole document	1-23
A	LI, Chun et al. "Modified Successive Cancellation List Decoding Algorithm for Polar Codes" <i>Communications Technology</i> , Vol. 48, No. 1, 31 January 2015 (2015-01-31), the whole document	1-23
A	TAL, Ido et al. "List Decoding of Polar Codes" <i>2011 IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory Proceedings</i> , 31 July 2011 (2011-07-31), the whole document	1-23



Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.



See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

24 February 2017

Date of mailing of the international search report

22 March 2017

Name and mailing address of the ISA/CN

STATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OFFICE OF THE
P.R.CHINA
6, Xitucheng Rd., Jimen Bridge, Haidian District, Beijing
100088
China

Facsimile No. (86-10)62019451

Authorized officer

ZHAO,Xinlei

Telephone No. (86-10)62413250

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT
Information on patent family members

International application No.

PCT/CN2017/071735

Patent document cited in search report			Publication date (day/month/year)	Patent family member(s)			Publication date (day/month/year)
US	2014365842	A1	11 December 2014	CN	103220001	A	24 July 2013
				WO	2013107140	A1	25 July 2013
				EP	2802080	A1	12 November 2014
CN	105262494	A	20 January 2016	None			
CN	104219019	A	17 December 2014	WO	2014190915	A1	04 December 2014
				US	2016079999	A1	17 March 2016