



US012086828B2

(12) **United States Patent**
Aggarwal et al.

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 12,086,828 B2**
(45) **Date of Patent:** ***Sep. 10, 2024**

(54) **CADENCE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM FOR CONSUMER PROMOTIONS**

(71) Applicant: **ByteDance Inc.**, Wilmington, DE (US)

(72) Inventors: **Amit Aggarwal**, Sunnyvale, CA (US);
David Thacker, Burlingame, CA (US);
Sean O'Brien, Fremont, CA (US)

(73) Assignee: **BYTEDANCE INC.**, Wilmington, DE (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 343 days.

This patent is subject to a terminal disclaimer.

(21) Appl. No.: **17/302,482**

(22) Filed: **May 4, 2021**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2021/0326925 A1 Oct. 21, 2021

Related U.S. Application Data

(63) Continuation of application No. 16/397,333, filed on Apr. 29, 2019, now Pat. No. 11,030,647, which is a (Continued)

(51) **Int. Cl.**
G06Q 30/0251 (2023.01)
G06Q 30/02 (2023.01)
G06Q 30/0242 (2023.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**
CPC **G06Q 30/0251** (2013.01); **G06Q 30/02** (2013.01); **G06Q 30/0242** (2013.01); (Continued)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**
CPC G06Q 30/0251; G06Q 30/02; G06Q 30/0242; G06Q 30/0254; G06Q 30/0255; G06Q 30/0264; G06Q 30/0271 (Continued)

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,212,231 A * 7/1980 Penick G09F 1/06 493/379
6,029,195 A * 2/2000 Herz H04N 21/25891 348/E7.071

(Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

WO 02/41209 A1 5/2002
WO 2014/004810 A2 1/2014

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Analysis_of_the_Actual_Response_Rates_in_Mobile_Advertising (Year: 2006).*

(Continued)


Primary Examiner — Gautam Ubale

(74) Attorney, Agent, or Firm — Alston & Bird LLP

(57) **ABSTRACT**

Systems and methods are presented for managing the cadence (e.g., frequency or rate) that electronic promotion correspondence is sent to a consumer. A system may access a target cadence indicator associated with a consumer that indicates of a target rate for sending electronic promotion correspondence to the consumer. The system may also determine an actual cadence indicator for the consumer over a predetermined period of time and analyze a potential electronic promotion correspondence for sending to the consumer. The system determines whether to send the electronic correspondence to the consumer based on the target cadence indicator, the actual cadence indicator, and the analysis of the electronic promotion correspondence.

17 Claims, 8 Drawing Sheets



Related U.S. Application Data					
	continuation of application No. 13/838,711, filed on Mar. 15, 2013, now Pat. No. 10,325,284.	2006/0090185	A1 *	4/2006	Zito H04N 21/44224 348/E7.073
		2006/0135233	A1	6/2006	Willis et al.
		2006/0136545	A1	6/2006	Reistad et al.
		2006/0178932	A1 *	8/2006	Lang G06Q 30/02 705/14.73
(60)	Provisional application No. 61/666,556, filed on Jun. 29, 2012.	2007/0055565	A1	3/2007	Baur et al.
		2007/0055569	A1	3/2007	Subramanian et al.
		2007/0061195	A1	3/2007	Liu et al.
		2007/0083433	A1	4/2007	Lawe
		2007/0112920	A1	5/2007	Hay
		2007/0150342	A1	6/2007	Law et al.
		2008/0015936	A1 *	1/2008	Bibelnieks G06Q 30/0277 705/14.43
(52)	U.S. Cl. CPC G06Q 30/0254 (2013.01); G06Q 30/0255 (2013.01); G06Q 30/0264 (2013.01); G06Q 30/0271 (2013.01)	2008/0015938	A1	1/2008	Haddad et al.
		2008/0027787	A1	1/2008	Malsbenden et al.
(58)	Field of Classification Search USPC 705/14 See application file for complete search history.	2008/0033811	A1 *	2/2008	Brown G06Q 30/02 705/14.66
		2008/0103909	A1 *	5/2008	Huang G06Q 30/02 705/14.27
(56)	References Cited	2008/0154714	A1 *	6/2008	Liu G06Q 30/0236 705/14.36
	U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS	2008/0160956	A1	7/2008	Jackson et al.
		2008/0201643	A1 *	8/2008	Nagaitis G06Q 30/0255 715/738
	6,336,099 B1 1/2002 Barnett et al.	2008/0202889	A1 *	8/2008	Breitenbach G07F 17/42 194/217
	6,415,262 B1 * 7/2002 Walker G06Q 30/0239 705/14.27	2008/0208787	A1 *	8/2008	Luchene G06Q 30/0224 706/47
	6,915,271 B1 * 7/2005 Meyer G06Q 30/02 705/14.35	2008/0229244	A1	9/2008	Markus et al.
	6,922,672 B1 7/2005 Hailpern et al.	2008/0262928	A1 *	10/2008	Michaelis G06Q 30/02 705/14.39
	7,225,143 B1 * 5/2007 Kepecs G06Q 30/0235 705/14.27	2009/0030798	A1 *	1/2009	Otto G06Q 30/06 705/26.1
	7,251,617 B1 * 7/2007 Walker G06Q 30/0231 705/16	2009/0047946	A1	2/2009	Sato et al.
	7,809,601 B2 10/2010 Shaya et al.	2009/0048926	A1 *	2/2009	Salesky G06Q 20/10 705/14.13
	7,899,866 B1 * 3/2011 Buckingham H04L 51/212 709/206	2009/0063274	A1 *	3/2009	Dublin, III G06Q 30/02 705/14.1
	8,271,328 B1 9/2012 Baltz et al.	2009/0125321	A1 *	5/2009	Charlebois G06Q 30/0281 705/346
	8,359,238 B1 1/2013 Kauchak et al.	2009/0138328	A1 *	5/2009	Higgins G06Q 30/0207 705/14.1
	8,583,484 B1 11/2013 Chalawsky et al.	2009/0144201	A1 *	6/2009	Gierkink G06Q 30/0246 705/40
	8,666,812 B1 * 3/2014 Gandhi G06Q 30/02 705/14.52	2009/0150218	A1 *	6/2009	Brunner G06Q 30/0261 705/14.58
	8,738,434 B1 * 5/2014 Rodriguez G06Q 30/0282 705/7.31	2009/0164296	A1	6/2009	Phan
	8,762,201 B1 * 6/2014 Noonan G06Q 30/0241 705/14.41	2009/0216579	A1 *	8/2009	Zen G06Q 30/0207 705/14.1
	9,231,897 B1 1/2016 Liden	2009/0265229	A1 *	10/2009	Sidhu G06Q 30/02 705/26.1
	10,535,076 B1 1/2020 Thacker et al.	2009/0265763	A1 *	10/2009	Davies H04L 51/224 709/206
	11,030,647 B2 * 6/2021 Aggarwal G06Q 30/0255	2009/0271266	A1 *	10/2009	Regmi G06Q 30/0244 707/999.107
	11,080,754 B1 8/2021 Han et al.	2009/0313109	A1 *	12/2009	Bous G06Q 30/02 705/26.1
	2001/0032247 A1 10/2001 Kanaya	2010/0004997	A1 *	1/2010	Mehta G06Q 30/0269 705/14.66
	2002/0007356 A1 * 1/2002 Rice G06N 5/04 706/47	2010/0057586	A1 *	3/2010	Chow G06Q 30/0623 705/26.1
	2002/0032602 A1 * 3/2002 Lanzillo, Jr. G06Q 30/006 705/14.66	2010/0076832	A1 *	3/2010	Cha G06Q 30/0225 705/14.36
	2002/0062245 A1 * 5/2002 Niu G06Q 30/02 705/14.51	2010/0088157	A1	4/2010	Wilson
	2002/0161664 A1 10/2002 Shaya et al.	2010/0091677	A1 *	4/2010	Griff H04L 41/22 370/252
	2002/0161779 A1 10/2002 Brierley et al.	2010/0250359	A1	9/2010	Gillenson et al.
	2003/0018780 A1 1/2003 Kawashima	2010/0262461	A1 *	10/2010	Bohannon G06Q 40/03 705/26.1
	2003/0055721 A1 * 3/2003 Beery, II G06Q 30/0601 705/26.1	2010/0299209	A1	11/2010	Mehta et al.
	2003/0105664 A1 * 6/2003 Van Luchene G07C 15/005 705/14.13	2010/0318407	A1	12/2010	Leff et al.
	2003/0208399 A1 11/2003 Basak et al.	2010/0318418	A1	12/2010	Wertheimer et al.
	2004/0032393 A1 * 2/2004 Brandenburg G06F 16/9535 707/E17.11	2011/0016161	A1 *	1/2011	Loeb G06F 16/9535 715/745
	2004/0054574 A1 * 3/2004 Kaufman G06Q 30/0258 705/14.56	2011/0029363	A1 *	2/2011	Gillenson G06Q 30/0231 705/14.15
	2004/0088363 A1 5/2004 Doemling et al.				
	2004/0103024 A1 * 5/2004 Patel G06Q 30/0275 705/14.53				
	2004/0122730 A1 6/2004 Tucciarone et al.				
	2004/0123314 A1 6/2004 Bova				
	2005/0075932 A1 * 4/2005 Mankoff G06Q 30/0258 705/14.57				
	2005/0228719 A1 * 10/2005 Roberts G06Q 30/0261 705/14.66				

(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

2011/0040609 A1* 2/2011 Hawkins G06Q 30/02
705/1.1

2011/0055001 A1 3/2011 Mattern et al.

2011/0066692 A1* 3/2011 Ciancio-Bunch H04L 51/234
709/206

2011/0078026 A1* 3/2011 Durham G06Q 30/02
705/14.66

2011/0184813 A1* 7/2011 Barnes G06F 16/9535
705/14.66

2011/0184937 A1 7/2011 Jin

2011/0191176 A1* 8/2011 Merriman G06Q 30/0257
705/14.49

2011/0208578 A1 8/2011 Bergh et al.

2011/0208585 A1 8/2011 Daboll et al.

2011/0225034 A1 9/2011 Bayat

2011/0231321 A1* 9/2011 Milne G06Q 30/00
705/14.66

2011/0238501 A1 9/2011 Almeida

2011/0246297 A1 10/2011 Buchalter et al.

2011/0246306 A1* 10/2011 Blackhurst G06Q 30/0261
705/14.66

2011/0276373 A1 11/2011 Juszczak et al.

2011/0282964 A1* 11/2011 Krishnaswamy G06Q 30/02
709/217

2011/0288921 A1* 11/2011 King G06Q 30/02
705/347

2011/0302025 A1* 12/2011 Hsiao G06Q 30/0242
705/14.42

2011/0313840 A1* 12/2011 Mason H04W 4/029
705/14.35

2012/0022944 A1* 1/2012 Volpi G06Q 30/0207
705/14.1

2012/0042025 A1 2/2012 Jamison et al.

2012/0054020 A1 3/2012 Jacobs

2012/0054031 A9 3/2012 Walker et al.

2012/0101881 A1 4/2012 Taylor et al.

2012/0116840 A1 5/2012 Omer

2012/0130817 A1 5/2012 Bousaleh et al.

2012/0143666 A1* 6/2012 Carrion G06Q 30/02
705/14.23

2012/0158494 A1 6/2012 Reis et al.

2012/0158875 A1 6/2012 Almeida

2012/0166267 A1* 6/2012 Beatty G06Q 30/0219
705/14.46

2012/0166433 A1 6/2012 Tseng

2012/0179551 A1* 7/2012 Georgakis G06Q 30/00
705/14.64

2012/0191530 A1* 7/2012 Williams G06Q 30/0277
705/14.42

2012/0209673 A1* 8/2012 Park G06Q 30/02
705/14.15

2012/0209686 A1* 8/2012 Horowitz G06Q 30/0224
705/14.26

2012/0259702 A1 10/2012 Zhang et al.

2012/0265597 A1 10/2012 Saha et al.

2012/0265646 A1 10/2012 Ghadialy et al.

2012/0278172 A1* 11/2012 Mercuri G06Q 30/02
705/14.58

2012/0290383 A1* 11/2012 Busch G06Q 30/02
705/14.58

2012/0316949 A1* 12/2012 Chen G06Q 30/02
705/14.26

2012/0330735 A1 12/2012 Gasparine

2012/0330741 A1* 12/2012 Cruz G06Q 30/0214
705/14.31

2013/0013545 A1* 1/2013 Agarwal G06F 16/9537
706/46

2013/0024298 A1 1/2013 Irvine et al.

2013/0073381 A1* 3/2013 Binkley G06Q 30/02
705/14.48

2013/0073388 A1* 3/2013 Heath G06Q 50/01
705/14.53

2013/0085804 A1 4/2013 Leff et al.

2013/0124299 A1 5/2013 Montgomery et al.

2013/0132183 A1 5/2013 Klein et al.

2013/0132365 A1 5/2013 Chang et al.

2013/0159192 A1* 6/2013 Partridge G06Q 30/02
705/14.1

2013/0173393 A1 7/2013 Calman et al.

2013/0179264 A1 7/2013 Wilson

2013/0238425 A1* 9/2013 Saldanha G06Q 30/02
705/14.71

2013/0290141 A1* 10/2013 Bhaskar G06Q 30/0603
705/26.61

2013/0290503 A1 10/2013 Rajkumar et al.

2013/0332277 A1 12/2013 Faith et al.

2013/0346170 A1* 12/2013 Epstein G06Q 30/0226
705/14.14

2014/0006129 A1 1/2014 Heath

2014/0006139 A1 1/2014 Aggarwal et al.

2014/0040007 A1* 2/2014 Relyea, Jr. G06Q 30/0242
705/14.57

2014/0095324 A1 4/2014 Cabral et al.

2014/0120864 A1 5/2014 Manolarakis et al.

2014/0122238 A1 5/2014 Lewis et al.

2014/0171039 A1* 6/2014 Bjontegard H04L 67/535
455/414.1

2014/0180817 A1 6/2014 Zilkha

2018/0012195 A1* 1/2018 Nagaraj G06Q 10/1095

2020/0290467 A1* 9/2020 Gao H04B 5/79

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Collective Attention and the Dynamics of Group Deals (Year: 2011).*

P3-coupon_A_probabilistic_system_for_Prompt_and_Privacy-preserving_electronic_coupon_distribution (Year: 2011).*

Forecasting_and_analysis_of_marketing_data_using_neural_networks_a_case_of_advertising_and_promotion_impact (Year: 1995).*

To_Send_or_Not_to_Send_An_Empirical_Assessment_of_Error_Reporting_Behavior (Year: 2008).*

A Multimethod Approach to Evaluating Social Media Campaign Effectiveness (Year: 2013).

Periodic Email Prompts to Re-Use an Internet-Delivered Computer-Tailored Lifestyle Program: Influence of Prompt Content and Timing (Year: 2013).

Targeted Advertising for the Communication Service Provider (Year: 2010).

Anonymous, Advertisement System, Method and Computer Program Product, ip.com, Published Jul. 24, 2006.

Anshin, Peter et al., "Developing an Index for Measuring the Engagement of Internet Media"; 2008 IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man and Cybernetics (SMC 2008); dated (2008); pp. 2182-2190.

Authors et al., "WI-OC-P Just-In-Time Promotion with Dynamic Adjustments Responsive to Customer Behavior", IP.com, dated (Mar. 6, 2009).

Byers et al., "Daily Deals Prediction, Social Diffusion, and Reputational Ramifications" dated (Sep. 7, 2011).

Dow et al., A Location-based Mobile Advertisement Publishing System for vendors 2011 Eighth International Conference on Information Technology: New Generations, Feng Chia Univ., Taichung, Taiwan (downloaded May 11, 2021).

Drossos et al., "An Empirical Assessment of Factors that Influence the Effectiveness of SMS Advertising", Proceedings of the 40th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences, dated (2007).

Extended European Search Report from corresponding European Patent Application No. 13739541.4 dated Dec. 11, 2015.

International Search Report and Written Opinion for Application No. PCT/US2013/048154 dated Dec. 1, 2014.

Jun et al., Design of Tag Match Advertising System and the evaluation of the business model, IEEE Asisa-Pacific Services Computing Conference, Feb. 2008.

PCT International Preliminary Report on Patentability for application PCT/US2013/048154 dated Dec. 31, 2014.

Rapleaf "The Consumer Data Marketplace" www.rapleaf.com/under-the-hood/ retrieved on May 7, 2013. (Year: 2013).

(56)

References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Supplementary European Search Report from corresponding European Patent Application No. 13739541.4 dated Jan. 8, 2016.

U.S. Application entitled "Sales Enhancement System", U.S. Appl. No. 13/460,745.

U.S. Patent Application filed Jan. 31, 2013, In re: Thacker entitled "entitled Pre-Feature Promotion System", U.S. Appl. No. 13/756,145.

U.S. Patent Application filed Mar. 15, 2013, In re: Aggarwal entitled "Cadence Management System for Consumer Promotions", U.S. Appl. No. 13/838,711.

U.S. Patent Application filed Mar. 15, 2013, In re: Li entitled "Promotion Offering System Analyzing Collection of Promotions", U.S. Appl. No. 13/838,415.

U.S. Patent Application filed Mar. 15, 2013, In re: Trimble entitled "Customization of Message Delivery Time Based on Consumer Behavior", U.S. Appl. No. 13/839,102.

U.S. Patent Application filed Mar. 2, 2012, In re: O'Brien entitled "Relevance System for Consumer Deals", U.S. Appl. No. 13/411,502.

U.S. Provisional Application entitled "Promotion Offering System Analyzing Collections of Promotions", U.S. Appl. No. 61/663,508.

* cited by examiner




Figure 1

200 ↗




Figure 2

300
↙




Figure 3

400 →





Figure 4



500 ↗

Figure 5

600 →




Figure 6

700
↘




Figure 7

800
↓




Figure 8

CADENCE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM FOR CONSUMER PROMOTIONS

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application is a continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 16/397,333, filed Apr. 29, 2019, which is a continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 13/838,711, filed Mar. 15, 2013, which claims the benefit of priority of U.S. Provisional Application No. 61/666,556, filed Jun. 29, 2012, the entireties of which are hereby incorporated by reference herein.

TECHNICAL FIELD

The present description relates to offering promotions associated with a product or a service. This description also relates to determining whether to send electronic promotion correspondence to a consumer according to a target electronic correspondence cadence.

BACKGROUND

Merchants typically offer promotions to consumers from time to time in order to generate more business. The promotions offered may be in the form of discounts, deals, rewards or the like. Often times, a promotion, or a number of promotions, may be presented to a consumer in the form of an electronic correspondence. When a multitude of electronic correspondences are generated for a consumer, it may be difficult to determine which electronic correspondence to present to the consumer.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

Systems and methods for determining whether to send electronic promotion correspondence to a consumer according to a target electronic correspondence cadence are disclosed.

In a first aspect, a method includes accessing a target cadence indicator associated with a consumer, where the target cadence indicator is indicative of a target rate for sending electronic promotion correspondence to the consumer; determining an actual cadence indicator, where the actual cadence indicator is indicative of an actual rate that previous electronic promotion correspondence was sent to the consumer; accessing a first electronic promotion correspondence including a first promotion; analyzing the first electronic promotion correspondence; and determining whether to send the first electronic correspondence to the consumer based on the target cadence indicator, the actual cadence indicator, and the analyzing of the first electronic promotion correspondence.

In another aspect, a system includes a memory storing processor-executable instructions and a processor in communication with the memory. The processor is configured to execute the processor-executable instructions to access a cadence threshold value associated with a consumer, the cadence threshold value indicative of a rate which electronic promotion correspondence is sent to the consumer; access a first electronic promotion correspondence including a first promotion; determine a cadence score for the consumer based on the first promotion of the first electronic promotion correspondence; and determine whether to send the first electronic correspondence to the consumer by comparing the cadence threshold value associated with the consumer with the cadence score for the consumer.

In another aspect, a method includes determining an electronic correspondence cadence for a consumer, the electronic correspondence cadence indicative of a rate that electronic promotion correspondence including one or more promotions is sent to the consumer; and at a time when the electronic correspondence cadence specifies sending an electronic promotion correspondence to the consumer: accessing a first electronic promotion correspondence; and determining whether to send the first electronic promotion correspondence to the consumer when the first electronic promotion correspondence satisfies a cadence criterion.

Other systems, methods, and features will be, or will become apparent to one with skill in the art upon examination of the following figures and detailed description. It is intended that all such additional systems, methods, and features included within this description, be within the scope of the disclosure, and be protected by the following claims.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The present invention may be better understood with reference to the following drawings and description. Non-limiting and non-exhaustive descriptions are described with reference to the following drawings. The components in the figures are not necessarily to scale, emphasis instead being placed upon illustrating principles. In the figures, like referenced numerals may refer to like parts throughout the different figures unless otherwise specified.

FIG. 1 shows an example of a system for managing the cadence of electronic promotion correspondence sent to one or more consumers.

FIG. 2 shows an example of logic for determining a target cadence for a consumer.

FIG. 3 shows an example of logic for determining whether to send an electronic promotion correspondence to a consumer.

FIG. 4 shows an example of a system for managing the cadence of electronic promotion correspondence sent to one or more consumers.

FIG. 5 shows an example of logic for determining whether to send an electronic promotion correspondence to a consumer using a cadence threshold value.

FIG. 6 shows an example of logic for determining whether to send an electronic promotion correspondence to a consumer.

FIG. 7 shows an example of logic for determining whether to send an electronic promotion correspondence to a consumer according to an electronic correspondence cadence for the consumer.

FIG. 8 shows an example of logic for determining whether to send an electronic promotion correspondence to a consumer according to an electronic correspondence cadence for the consumer.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

The present invention as described herein may be embodied in a number of different forms. Not all of the depicted components may be required, however, and some implementations may include additional, different, or fewer components from those expressly described in this disclosure. Variations in the arrangement and type of the components may be made without departing from the spirit or scope of the claims as set forth herein.

The description below refers to cadence or electronic correspondence cadence. Cadence may refer to a rate at which electronic promotion correspondence is sent to a

consumer. Cadence may represent, for example, a desired, target, or actual rate. Cadence can be expressed in multiple ways or take any number of forms, several of which are described below. For example, electronic correspondence cadence for a consumer may be expressed as a frequency over a period of time (e.g., once every 3 days, twice a day, 3.1 correspondences sent per week, etc.) Cadence may be expressed as a numerical value indicative of the rate at which electronic promotion correspondence is sent to the consumer, for instance where increased cadence values indicate an increased frequency of electronic promotion correspondence sent to the consumer. Cadence may also be expressed as a frequency range or range of rates. The description below includes systems and methods for managing the cadence of electronic promotion correspondence sent to a consumer or set of consumers.

FIG. 1 shows an example of a system **100** for managing the cadence of electronic promotion correspondence sent to one or more consumers. The system **100** includes a promotion program offering system **102**, which communicates via one or more networks **122** with one or more consumers, such as consumer **1** **124**, consumer **N** **126**, and more. The promotion program offering system **102** may send promotions to a consumer through any means or communication channel accessible by the consumer. For example, the promotion program offering system **102** may communicate with consumers by sending electronic promotion correspondence directly to a consumer device, such as a laptop computer used by consumer **1** **124**, a mobile telephone used by consumer **N** **126**, or any other electronic device that can receive electronic promotion correspondence. The promotion program offering system **102** may also send electronic promotion correspondence to a consumer to an e-mail account or other information access point accessible by the consumer, e.g., via a consumer device. The promotion program offering system **102** may communicate with one or more merchants, such as the merchants labeled in FIG. 1 as merchant **1** **118** and merchant **M** **120**.

The promotion program offering system **102** includes an analytical model **104** that is in communication with databases **110**, **112**, **114**, **116**. The analytical model **104** may include one or more components, logic, or circuitry for grouping a number of promotions. The analytical model **104** may further include one or more components, logic, or circuitry for generating electronic promotion correspondence that includes one or more promotions.

A promotion may include any type of reward, discount, coupon, credit, deal, voucher or the like used toward part or all of the purchase of a product or a service. The promotion may be offered as part of a larger promotion program, or the promotion may be offered as a stand-alone one time promotion. In an effort to better distinguish and identify the promotion, the promotion may include one or more attributes, such as the merchant offering the promotion (e.g., merchant **1** **118**, which may be identified as “XYZ coffee shop”), the redemption location of the promotion, the amount of the promotion, the category of the promotion (such as a restaurant promotion, a spa promotion, a travel promotion, a local promotion, etc.), the subcategory of the promotion (such as a sushi restaurant), or the like. A promotion may be characterized by a promotion score. The analytical model **104** may generate a promotion score for a promotion, including a consumer-specific promotion score based on one or more attributes, historical data, or other characteristics of the consumer and/or the promotion. In one implementation, the promotion score of a promotion may be

a probability indicator of estimation that the particular consumer accepting (e.g., purchasing) the promotion.

To generate promotion scores, the analytical model **104** may access data with respect to a particular consumer, a particular promotion, or both. The analytical model **104** may communicate with multiple databases of the promotion program offering system **102** such as a promotion program database **110**, consumer profiles database **112**, historical data database **114** and dynamic data database **116**. With respect to the particular consumer, the analytical model **104** may access the databases **110**, **112**, **114** and **116** in order to obtain specific attribute information on the particular consumer and the various promotions being scored. Various attributes may be associated or assigned to a promotion and a consumer in the promotion system **100**. The analytical model **104** may use obtained attribute information to generate promotion scores for each promotion. An example of scoring promotions is disclosed in U.S. application Ser. No. 13/411,502, incorporated by reference herein in its entirety. An example for scoring a grouping of promotions is disclosed in U.S. patent application Ser. No. 13/838,415, title “Promotion Offering System Analyzing Collections of Promotions,” and filed on Mar. 15, 2013, hereby incorporated by reference herein in its entirety.

The promotion programs database **110** may store data detailing various promotions and promotion programs available for offer in the promotion program offering system **102**. In order to input promotion program information into the promotions program database **110**, merchants (e.g., merchant **1** **118**) may communicate through the communication networks **122** with the promotion program offering system **102** to input the information detailing the various promotion program offerings.

The consumer profiles database **112** may store consumer profiles for consumers, such as consumer **1** **124** and consumer **N** **126**. A consumer profile stored in consumer profiles database **112** may indicate any attributes or data with respect to the consumer, including, for instance, the name, age, gender, addresses (e.g., home, work, addresses of interest), occupation, educational background, previously accepted promotion program offerings, previously rejected promotion program offerings, and the like. The analytical model **104** may use one, some, or all of the attributes of the consumer in managing the electronic correspondence cadence of the consumer and/or determining whether to send an electronic promotion correspondence to the consumer.

The historical data database **114** may store data of previously offered promotion programs, such as performance detailing the past performance of promotion program offerings presented by the promotion program system **102**. The historical data database **114** may include, as examples, rates of acceptances of specific promotion programs, attributes of consumers that accepted or rejected specific promotion programs, and the like.

The dynamic data database **116** may store data of presently active promotion programs, such as performance data of a promotion program offering that is currently active in the promotion offering system **102**. While a promotion program referenced in the dynamic data database **116** is currently active, the data stored in the dynamic data database **116** may pertain to performance data of the active promotion program from a previous time period.

Although FIG. 1 has been illustrated to show separate databases **110**, **112**, **114** and **116**, FIG. 1 has been illustrated for demonstrative purposes only, and it is contemplated to have the databases **110**, **112**, **114** and **116** arranged in any combination of one or more memories/storage units.

In operation and as described in greater detail below, the promotion program offering system **102**, through the analytical model **104**, manages the cadence of electronic promotion correspondence sent to a consumer or set of consumers. For example, the analytical model **104** may maintain a target cadence for a particular consumer or set of consumers sharing a common consumer attribute. The analytical model **104** may adjust or control the rate at which electronic promotion correspondence is sent to the particular consumer based on the target cadence for the particular consumer along with various additional factors.

FIG. 2 shows an example of logic **200** for determining a target cadence for a consumer. The logic **200** may be implemented in hardware, software, or a combination of both. For example, the analytical model **104** may implement the logic **200** as software to determine or adjust a target cadence.

The analytical model **104** accesses a target cadence indicator for a consumer (**202**). The target cadence indicator may refer to any indicator that specifies a target cadence for a consumer or indicates a target rate for sending electronic promotion correspondence to the consumer. In one implementation, the target cadence indicator is a numerical value specifying a target frequency at which electronic promotion correspondence is sent to the consumer. The target cadence indicator may indicate a target number of electronic promotion correspondences to send to the consumer for a given time period, such as 3.2 per week (this example indicating a targeted average frequency over time). In one example, the analytical model **104** accesses the target cadence indicator for a consumer by retrieving the target cadence indicator from a memory of the analytical model **104** or from an accessible database, e.g., the consumer profiles database **112**.

The analytical model **104** may assign an initial target cadence for a consumer. When a consumer initially elects to receive electronic promotion correspondence from the promotion program offering system **102** (e.g., enrolling in an e-mail service), the analytical model **104** may lack sufficient data to effectively determine a target cadence for the consumer.

In one implementation, the analytical model **104** maintains or receives feedback data from other consumers to determine an initial target cadence for the consumer. For example, the analytical model **104** may apply multiple electronic correspondence cadences to a set of consumers, where each of the multiple electronic correspondence cadences was applied to a different portion of the set of consumers. The analytical model **104** may extract a specific portion of the feedback that corresponds to a consumer attribute of the consumer, such as a specific portion of the feedback for consumers sharing a common age, gender, location, interests, occupation, or other consumer attribute with the consumer. Then, the analytical model **104** may determine the initial target cadence for the consumer using the specific portion of the feedback, such as selecting the applied cadence to the different portions of the set of consumers that resulted in the highest level of engagement or according to any other target cadence determination criterion or goal.

The target cadence for a consumer may account for any number of factors. Accordingly, the analytical model **104** may adjust a target cadence indicator for the consumer in various ways. The analytical model may obtain target cadence adjustment data (**204**) and adjust the target cadence indicator for the consumer based on the adjustment data

(**206**). Several examples of target cadence adjustment factors and data are presented next.

The analytical model may adjust the target cadence for a consumer based on a past engagement level of the consumer (**211**). The engagement level of the consumer may refer to any response data indicating the degree or level to which the consumer accessed previously sent electronic promotion correspondence. The analytical model **104** may determine, as examples, a number of previously sent electronic promotion correspondence accessed by the consumer (e.g., e-mails opened), a number of previously presented promotions accessed by the consumer (e.g., webpages of promotions accessed), a number of previously presented promotions purchased by the consumer, a total, average, or maximum value of promotions purchased by the consumer, and more. The analytical model **104** may use the past engagement level data or measure the past engagement level of the user for a predetermined or configurable time period, e.g., the last two weeks, the last 6 months, time in which a particular number of electronic promotion correspondences were sent to the consumer, etc.

The analytical model **104** may measure past engagement level values for one or multiple past engagement level metrics and adjust the target cadence indicator of the consumer accordingly. The analytical model **104** may prioritize between different past engagement level metrics through a weighted adjustment, giving increased weights to higher priority past engagement level metrics and decreased weights to lower priority past engagement level metrics.

The analytical model **104** may adjust the target cadence indicator for a consumer according to whether the past engagement level of the consumer exceeds or falls below an engagement adjustment threshold. The analytical model **104** may specify different engagement thresholds for different past engagement level metrics. As examples, as measured for a predetermined time period, the analytical model **104** may increase the target cadence for a consumer when the consumer exceeds high engagement thresholds, such as accessing more than 90% of sent electronic promotion correspondence, purchasing more than 10 promotions, accessing more than 25% of total promotions presented through one or more electronic promotion correspondences, etc. As other examples, the analytical model **104** may decrease the target cadence for the consumer when the past engagement level of the consumer falls below low engagement thresholds, such as accessing less than 25% of sent electronic promotion correspondence, purchasing less than 2 promotions, accessing less than 5% of total presented promotions, etc.

In another variation, the analytical model **104** may categorize the past engagement level of the consumer into one of multiple engagement tiers (e.g., very low, low, normal, high, very high). The analytical model **104** may then adjust the target cadence factor of the consumer based on which tier the past engagement level of the consumer is categorized as.

The analytical model **104** may adjust the target cadence such that the higher the past engagement level of the consumer, the greater the increase in target cadence and the lower the past engagement level of the consumer, the greater the decrease in target cadence for the consumer. In a similar way, the analytical model **104** may determine not to adjust the target cadence for the consumer for certain values or value ranges of the past engagement level of the consumer.

By accounting for past engagement level, the analytical model **104** may, for example, tune the target cadence to increase the rate and/or number of electronic promotion

correspondence sent to the consumer while maintaining a target engagement level, maximizing revenue, optimizing the consumer experience, or according to any other cadence goal (more of which are discussed in detail below).

The analytical model **104** may adjust the target cadence indicator of a consumer based on a time factor (**212**). The analytical model **104** may increase the target cadence of the consumer during a particular time period, such as during a time period of increased consumer spending, including as examples “Black Friday” weekend, which refers to the weekend after the Thanksgiving holiday, the Christmas holiday season, which may include December 1-25, February 7-14 in advance of Valentine’s day, within 2 weeks of a consumer’s birthday, or other specified periods of time. The analytical model **104** may increase the target cadence by a set or consistent value throughout the time period or on a sliding scale basis (e.g., increasing the target cadence during as a particular date such as Christmas or period approaches). In adjusting the target cadence, the analytical model **104** may apply a linear increase, a plateau increase (e.g., steps), or an increase according to any other function or determination. As another example, the analytical model **104** may increase the target cadence during a time period of increased consumer engagement, such as the first 30 days after the consumer activates an account with the promotion program offering system **102**, during the first 2 months the consumer enrolls to receive electronic promotion correspondence, during summer months for consumers identified as students (e.g., via a consumer attribute), and more.

The analytical model **104** may also decrease a target cadence of a consumer according to a time factor, such as during a particular time period. Examples may include time periods of decreased consumer spending, consumer engagement level, etc.

Continuing the examples of target cadence adjustment factors, the analytical model **104** may adjust the target cadence indicator for a consumer based on one or more cadence goals (**213**). The cadence goal may be user-specified or configured, such as by an operator or administrator of the promotion program offering system **102**. A cadence goal may include business goals or rules that may be related or unrelated to a particular consumer or electronic promotion correspondence generated for the consumer. As examples, cadence goals include increasing revenue (e.g., maximizing margins on purchased promotions by a particular consumer, one or more consumer groupings, the entire set of consumers, etc.), decreasing an unsubscription probability of the consumer, increasing a number of promotions purchased by the consumer, increasing consumer engagement in a particular business unit, communication channel, promotion class, category, or subcategory, increasing consumer activations, etc.

The analytical model **104** may tune the target cadence for one or more consumers based on a particular cadence goal or adjust (increase or decrease) the target cadence for one or more consumers when changing from a first cadence goal to a second cadence goal. For example, the target cadence for a revenue maximization cadence goal (e.g., effectuated through greater rate of sending correspondence) may be higher than the target cadence for a decrease unsubscription cadence goal (e.g., effectuated ensuring correspondence sending rate does not exceed or fall within a range of an oversaturation threshold). The analytical model **104** may also account for and/or prioritize between different cadence goals by assigning weights to each cadence goal when determining or adjusting the target cadence indicator for a consumer.

The analytical model **104** may additionally or alternatively adjust the target cadence indicator for a consumer based on feedback data collected from other consumers (**214**). As discussed above, the analytical model **104** may access or obtain feedback data for other consumers. The feedback data may include cadence data with respect to other consumers sharing one or more common consumer attributes with the consumer. The feedback data may also specify engagement level of the other consumers, including correspondence access rates, promotion purchase rates, purchased promotion value, or values for any other engagement level metrics. The analytical model **104** may adjust the target cadence for the consumer to match, skew towards, or skew away from the target or actual cadence applied to one or more of the other consumers. The analytical model **104** may also predict how the consumer may respond to an adjusted target cadence based on how other similar consumers (e.g., with matching tuple of consumer attribute(s)) responded to the adjusted target cadence.

While exemplary adjustment factors were presented above, the analytical model **104** may adjust the target cadence indicator of a consumer according to any combination of additional or alternative factors. The analytical model **104** may adjust a consumer’s target cadence based on any combination of the factors discussed above on a periodic basis (e.g., every two weeks), in response to certain scenarios or conditions, upon user request (e.g., an operator of the promotion program offering system **102**), etc. The analytical model **104** may maintain separate adjustment schedules for different adjustment factors as well, e.g., a first periodic adjustment schedule for adjustments based on past engagement level of the consumer, a second aperiodic adjustment schedule for adjustments based on a time factor during an associated time period, and a third user-specified adjustment schedule for adjustments based on a changing cadence goal. The analytical model **104** may store the adjusted target cadence (**216**), e.g., for use when determining whether to send an electronic promotion correspondence to a consumer.

FIG. 3 shows an example of logic **300** for determining whether to send an electronic promotion correspondence to a consumer. The logic **300** may be implemented in hardware, software, or a combination of both. For example, the analytical model **104** may implement the logic **300** as software to send electronic promotion correspondence to the consumer based on a target cadence.

The analytical model **104** may identify a consumer (**302**) and access an electronic promotion correspondence (**304**). The electronic promotion correspondence may have been generated specifically for the consumer by the analytical model **104** or other components, logic, or circuitry of the promotion program offering system **102**. Alternatively, the electronic promotion correspondence may have been generated for a selected set, grouping, or cohort of consumers, such as a consumer grouping that shares one or more common consumer attributes.

The analytical model **104** accesses a target cadence indicator for the consumer (**306**), such as by retrieving the target cadence indicator from a memory of the analytical model **104** or an accessible database (e.g., the consumer profiles database **112**). The analytical model **104** determines an actual cadence indicator for the consumer (**308**). An actual cadence indicator may refer to any indication of an actual cadence for electronic promotion correspondence sent to the consumer. The analytical model **104** may determine the actual cadence indicator for the consumer over a predetermined period of time, such as the previous two weeks, the

previous 6 months, or the lifetime of the consumer during which the consumer received electronic promotion correspondence from the promotion program offering system 102.

In one implementation, the analytical model 104 determines the actual cadence indicator for the consumer over a specified time period to minimize variance between a target cadence and the actual cadence of the user. In one particular example, a consumer may have a target cadence of 3.0 correspondences per week. The actual cadence for the 3 year enrollment lifetime of the consumer may be 2.9 correspondences per week, as lesser variance from a target cadence may occur as the specified period of time increase. However, in a shorter time period of the past two weeks, the consumer may have received 2 total electronic promotion correspondences, thus having an actual cadence of 1.0 correspondences per week for the past two weeks. To decrease the variance of the actual cadence of the consumer from the target cadence, the analytical model 104 may use the actual cadence indicator for the past 2 weeks (e.g., 1.0 correspondences per week) in determining whether to send the consumer an electronic promotion correspondence. In one variation, the specified time period to determine the actual cadence indicator is across a multiple of the periodicity of the target cadence of the consumer (or a rounded value based on the multiple). For example, when the target cadence of a consumer can be represented as one electronic promotion correspondence sent every 3.1 days, then the analytical model may determine the actual cadence indicator for the consumer for the previous 3.1 days (or rounded down to 3 or up to 4 days), 6.2 days, 9.3 days, or rounded values thereof.

The analytical model 104 may analyze the electronic promotion correspondence (310). The analytical model 104 may determine an analysis score from analyzing the electronic promotion correspondence. In some implementations, the analytical model 104 may assess the strength or weakness of one or more promotions included in the electronic promotion correspondence, e.g., as measured according to one or more of the cadence goals. In some implementations, the analytical model 104 accesses promotion scores for one or more of the promotions included in the electronic promotion correspondence. The promotion score may be indicative of a probability that the consumer accepts (e.g., purchases) the promotion. As previously mentioned, a promotion score for a promotion may be generated according to U.S. application Ser. No. 13/411,502, incorporated by reference herein in its entirety. The analytical model 104 may determine the analysis score for the electronic promotion correspondence based on the one or more promotion scores.

When analyzing the electronic promotion correspondence, the analytical model 104 may adjust a promotion score to account for any number of promotion factors. For example, the analytical model 104 may increase or decrease the promotion score of a promotion based on remaining inventory or availability of the promotion, e.g., increase the promotion score when the remaining inventory or available promotions exceeds an inventory threshold to increase the likelihood of sending the electronic promotion correspondence including the promotion. The analytical model 104 may also similarly adjust a promotion score based on remaining time the promotion is being offered or according to other factors. In a similar way, the analytical model 104 may collectively adjust the promotion scores for multiple promotions, e.g., part of a promotion collection.

The analytical model 104 may analyze the electronic promotion correspondence and determine an analysis score

by applying any number of functions or mathematical analysis to promotion scores of the promotions included in the electronic promotion score. In one example, the analytical model 104 determines the analysis score as a weighted mean of the promotion scores (or adjusted promotion scores) for the electronic promotion correspondence. In this example, the analytical model 104 may weight the promotion scores for promotions included in an electronic promotion correspondence such that the best (e.g., highest probability) promotion score is assigned the highest weighted value, and each lower promotion score is assigned a progressively lower weighted value. Then, the analytical model 104 may determine a weighted mean score is generated by averaging the weighted promotion scores.

Any number of additional or alternative mathematical analyses of one or more promotion scores by the analytical model 104 is contemplated. For instance, the analytical model 104 may sum the promotion scores of an electronic promotion correspondence, generate a weighted sum of the promotion scores by summing each weighted promotion score, obtain a mean promotion score by averaging the promotion scores for some, or all, of the promotions included in the electronic promotion correspondence, determining a median promotion score or local maximum promotion, performing standard deviation analysis, and more. The analytical model 104 may identify any of the above-mentioned mathematical results as the analysis score.

The analytical model 104 determines whether to send the electronic correspondence to the consumer (312) based on the target cadence indicator, actual cadence indicator, and the analysis of the electronic promotion correspondence. In one variation, the analytical model 104 compares the difference between the target cadence indicator and the actual cadence indicator with the analysis of the electronic promotion correspondence. When the actual cadence indicator is less than the target cadence indicator, the analytical model 104 may increase the likelihood that the electronic promotion correspondence is sent to the consumer, for example by lowering the score threshold that the analysis score of the electronic promotion correspondence must exceed to warrant ending the electronic promotion correspondence. On the other hand, when the actual cadence indicator is greater than the target cadence indicator, the analytical model 104 may decrease the likelihood that the electronic promotion correspondence is sent to the consumer, for example by increasing the score threshold that the analysis score of the electronic promotion correspondence must exceed to warrant sending the electronic promotion correspondence. Put another way, the analytical model 104 may adjust the requisite strength (e.g., probability of acceptance for promotions in the electronic promotion correspondence) for sending the electronic promotion correspondence depending on the difference (and the degree of the difference) between a targeted and actual cadence indicator for the consumer.

The analytical model 104 may determine to send the electronic promotion correspondence to the consumer when the analysis score associated with the electronic promotion correspondence meets a cadence criterion, which may vary depending on the difference and degree of difference between the target and actual cadence indicator for the consumer. Non-limiting examples of cadence criterion include exceeding a score threshold, falling below a score threshold, falling within a predetermined range of the score threshold, or according to any other configurable cadence criterion.

The analytical model 104 sends the electronic promotion correspondence to the consumer (314) when determined to

do so. If not, the analytical model 104 foregoes sending the electronic promotion correspondence to the consumer (316). When the analytical model 104 foregoes sending the electronic promotion correspondence to the consumer, the actual cadence indicator for the consumer may decrease and accordingly increase the likelihood that a subsequent determination will result the analytical model 104 sending an electronic promotion correspondence to the consumer.

The analytical model 104 may perform the steps described in the logic 300 (or any other logic described herein) at predetermined times or in response to a performance condition. For example, the analytical model 104 may perform the logic 300 once per day, once every 12 hours, in response to identifying the availability of a generated electronic promotion correspondence for the consumer, in response to receiving a generated electronic promotion correspondence for the consumer, after a predetermined amount of time has elapsed since sending a previous electronic promotion correspondence (which may vary according to the target cadence indicator of the consumer), in response to receiving an indication that the consumer is in a predetermined location, and more.

While the logic 200 was described in connection with determining whether to send an electronic promotion correspondence to a particular consumer, similar logic may be applied to determine whether to send an electronic promotion correspondence to a consumer grouping. In that regard, the consumer grouping may share a common target cadence indicator, and the analytical model 104 may determine a consumer-group-specific cadence indicator, e.g., as an average, weighted mean, maximum, minimum, mode, sum, or other calculation for one or more consumer factors of the consumer group (e.g., number of electronic promotion correspondence sent to each consumer in the consumer group).

The analytical model 104 may control the sending of promotion correspondence for different classes of electronic promotion correspondence. A promotion class may refer to any configurable categorization of promotions set by the promotion program offering system 102. For example, the analytical model 104 may specify promotions for products or goods as belonging to a first promotion class (e.g., “goods” promotions). As another example, the analytical model 104 may specify time-sensitive promotions as belonging to particular promotion class (e.g., “deal-of-the-day” promotions) and travel-related promotions as belonging to a different promotion class (e.g., “getaway” promotions). Any number of additional or different classifications may be employed by the analytical model 104.

In some implementations, the analytical model 104 may maintain an overall target cadence for a consumer, but account for the past engagement level of the consumer for electronic promotion correspondences of particular promotion classes, categories, or subcategories. The analytical model 104 may adjust the analysis score for an electronic promotion correspondence of a particular promotion class to account for the consumer’s past engagement level (e.g., affinity) to the correspondences of that particular promotion class.

As one example, Chart 1 below shows engagement data of different promotion classes for a particular consumer as determined over a time period of one week: Table

TABLE 1

	Promotion Class 1	Promotion Class 2	Promotion Class 3	Promotion Class 4
Actual Cadence	6/7	1/7	1/7	4/7
Target Cadence	7/7	3/7	1/7	4/7
Analysis Score	1.0	1.1	0.1	0.8
Adjustment				
Initial Score	0.8	0.6	0.8	0.7
Adjusted Score	0.8	0.66	0.08	0.56

The analytical model 104 may determine an adjustment factor to the analysis score of an electronic promotion correspondence of a particular promotion class based on the past engagement level of the consumer for the particular promotion class (shown in Chart 1 as Analysis Score Adjustment). The adjustment factor may not necessarily be a linear increase or decrease with respect to the past engagement level of the consumer for the predetermined time period. The initial score may refer to an analysis score associated with a particular electronic promotion correspondence before applying an adjustment factor for a particular promotion class. The adjusted score may account for the adjustment factor (e.g., by multiplying with the promotion or analysis score of an electronic promotion correspondence by the adjustment factor). In this way, the analytical model 104 may account for the consumer’s affinity (e.g., engagement or response level) to correspondences of the particular promotion class. The analytical model 104 may compare the adjusted score with a threshold value associated with or representative of the overall target cadence of the consumer.

As other variations, the analytical model 104 may separately determine whether to send electronic promotion correspondence depending on a particular communication channel through which the electronic promotion correspondence is sent. As one example, the analytical model may maintain an e-mail target cadence indicator for promotions sent to a consumer through e-mail and a separate SMS target cadence indicator for promotions sent to the consumer through SMS. Additionally or alternatively, the analytical model 104 may maintain a target cadence for multiple communication channels, and selectively determine a particular communication channel to communicate the electronic promotion correspondence through.

FIG. 4 shows an example of a system 400 for managing the cadence of electronic promotion correspondence sent to one or more consumers. The system 400 provides one exemplary implementation of a target cadence indicator through a cadence threshold value, as presented in greater detail below.

The system 400 includes the analytical model 104, which receives an electronic promotion correspondence 402 and determines whether to send the electronic promotion correspondence 402 to the consumer using the cadence threshold value. The analytical model 104 may include a communication interface 410, system circuitry 412, and a user interface 414. The system circuitry 412 of the analytical model 104 may perform any functionality associated with the analytical model 104, including any combination of the cadence management processes and sending determinations for electronic promotion correspondence described above and below. In one implementation, the system circuitry 412 includes one or more processors 416 and a memory 420. The memory 420 may store analysis instructions 422, cadence management parameters 424, a cadence threshold value 426 for a consumer, and a cadence score 427 for the consumer.

In operation, the system circuitry **412** receives the electronic promotion correspondence **402** for a consumer, access the cadence threshold value **427**, determines a cadence score **427** for the consumer, and determines whether to send the electronic promotion correspondence **402** to the consumer based on a comparison of the cadence threshold value **426** and the cadence score **427** for the consumer. The cadence management parameters **424** may specify any parameters and/or cadence criteria used by the analytical model **104** to access, determine, or adjust the cadence threshold value **426**, the cadence score **427** for a consumer, or both. As described in greater detail below, the analytical model **104** may implement the cadence threshold value **426** to be a numerical value and as threshold to exceed in order to send the electronic promotion correspondence **402** to the consumer. The cadence score **427** may incorporate the actual cadence indicator of a consumer, analysis score associated with the electronic promotion correspondence **402**, or both.

FIG. 5 shows an example of logic **500** for determining whether to send an electronic promotion correspondence to a consumer using a cadence threshold value. The logic **500** may be implemented in hardware, software, or a combination of both. For example, the analytical model **104** may implement some or all of the steps described in the logic **500** as software.

The analytical model **104** accesses an electronic promotion correspondence **402** for a consumer (**502**). For example, the analytical model **104** may receive the electronic promotion correspondence **402** from other logic, circuitry, or components of the analytical model **104** or the promotion program offering system **102**. The analytical model **104** also accesses a cadence threshold value **426** and obtains a cadence score **427** for a consumer (**504**). In doing so, the analytical model **104** may employ any of the above-described methods or processes discussed with respect to accessing or determining a target cadence indicator, an actual cadence indicator, or analyzing an electronic promotion correspondence. The analytical model **104** may also employ any of the methods or processes described in U.S. Provisional Application No. 61/666,556, e.g., as described for determining an e-mail score or performing supplemental analysis.

The analytical model **104** may implement the cadence threshold value **426** and the cadence score **427** in various ways, which may be specified by the cadence management parameters **424**. In a first example, the cadence threshold value **426** specifies a global threshold that must be exceeded for before the analytical model **104** determines to send an electronic promotion correspondence. The global threshold may correspondence to an overall cadence of electronic promotion correspondence sent to the consumer. The analytical model **104** may implement the cadence score **427** to account for all other factors relevant to an electronic promotion correspondence, including actual cadence, the consumer's past engagement level, including the consumer's specific engagement level for previously sent correspondences including particular promotion category or subcategory, of a particular promotion class, or other categorization of the electronic promotion correspondence.

As another example, the analytical model **104** may implement the cadence threshold value **426** to be indicative of a target cadence of the consumer, e.g., as described above with respect to the target cadence indicator. Accordingly, the analytical model **104** may determine or adjust the cadence threshold value **426** based on any of the target cadence adjustment factors discussed above. In this example, the analytical model **104** may then implement the cadence score

427 to be a value that accounts for the actual cadence of a consumer (e.g., through an actual cadence indicator), the promotion strength of the electronic promotion correspondence **402** (e.g., through an analysis score), or both. As a second example, the analytical model **104** may implement the cadence score **427** to be reflect the strength of the electronic promotion correspondence **402** and the cadence threshold value **426** to account for any other factors that may affect whether to send or the cadence at which electronic promotion correspondence is sent to the consumer, including target cadence, actual cadence, and more.

The analytical model **104** may implement the cadence threshold value **426** and the cadence score **427** to support a direct or indirect comparison between the values. The analytical model **104** may utilize any number of translation, normalization, implementation methods, or other data processing models or techniques to allow for a comparison between the cadence threshold value **426** and the cadence score **427**. The analytical model **104** may compare the cadence threshold value **426** with the cadence score **427** for the consumer when determining whether to send the electronic promotion correspondence **402** to the consumer. In that regard, it may be understood that for a particular comparison, the analytical model **104** may effectuate an identical change to the likelihood in sending the electronic promotion correspondence **402** through adjustment to the cadence threshold value **426** (e.g., increase of 5) or through a corresponding adjustment to the cadence score **427** (e.g., a decrease of 5). The analytical model **104** reads the cadence management parameters **224** (**506**) to determine which respective adjustment factors are applicable to or associated with the cadence threshold value **426** and the cadence score **427**.

The analytical model **104** may adjust the cadence threshold value **426**, cadence score **427**, or both (**508**). Example adjustments include the adjustments described above based on one or more promotion scores in the electronic promotion correspondence (**511**), based on a past engagement level of the consumer over a predetermined period of time (**512**), based on a number or cadence of previous electronic promotion correspondence sent to the consumer (**513**), based on a time factor (**514**), based on one or more cadence goals or cadence goal changes (**515**), based on feedback data collected from other consumers (**516**), and more.

One particular implementation of the cadence threshold value **426** and the cadence score **427** is presented next. Many others are contemplated. In this particular implementation, the analytical model **104** identifies or determines the cadence threshold value **426** as a target number of electronic promotion correspondences sent to a particular consumer in a week (e.g., a cadence threshold value **426** of 4.5 indicates a target of 4.5 correspondences sent in a week). The analytical model **104** may adjust the cadence threshold value **426** to account for any number of adjustment factors associated with a target cadence for the consumer, including based on feedback of other consumers, tuning based on the consumer's past engagement level, according to a time factor, or a cadence goal change, for example. The analytical model **104** may determine an adjusted cadence threshold value of 5.1 based on a high past engagement level of the consumer and cadence goal for increasing revenue.

Continuing description of this particular implementation, the analytical model **104** may implement the cadence score **427** of the consumer as an analysis score of an analyzed electronic promotion correspondence adjusted based on the actual cadence of the consumer for the past 7 days. The analytical model **104** may configure the determination of or

translate an analysis score to allow for comparison with the cadence threshold value **426**. The analytical model **104** may adjust the analysis score according to the number of electronic promotion correspondence sent to the consumer during the past 7 days. As one example, the analytical model **104** may increment the analysis score for each day (or other configurable time period) that electronic promotion correspondence was not sent to the consumer (thus understood to increase the likelihood of surpassing the cadence threshold value **426** and sending the electronic promotion correspondence **402** to the consumer) and decrement the analysis score for each day (or other configurable time period) that electronic promotion correspondence was sent to consumer.

The analytical model **104** may configure the increment and decrement amounts such that the net adjustment skews the cadence score **427** to increase when the actual cadence is lower than the target cadence specified by the cadence threshold value **426** and vice versa. Along similar lines, the analytical model **104** may configure the increment and decrement amounts such that the increment and decrement amounts result in a net change of 0 when the actual cadence of the consumer is equal to the target cadence. As an alternative, the analytical model **104** may map the actual cadence of the past 8 days to a particular adjustment value, which may be through a linear mapping, non-linear mapping, or by applying any other mapping function to the actual cadence of the use.

After determining the cadence threshold value **426** and the cadence score **427** for a consumer (which may include adjustments), the analytical model **104** determines whether to send the electronic promotion correspondence **402** to the consumer (**520**), including in any of the methods described above. The analytical model **104** may determine to send the electronic promotion correspondence **402** when the cadence score **427** exceeds the cadence threshold value **426**, is within a predetermined range of the cadence threshold value **426**, or according to any other configurable comparison criterion.

The analytical model **104** sends the electronic promotion correspondence to the consumer (**522**) when determined to do so. If not, the analytical model **104** foregoes sending the electronic promotion correspondence to the consumer (**524**).

FIG. 6 shows an example of logic **600** for determining whether to whether to send an electronic promotion correspondence to a consumer. The logic **600** may be implemented in hardware, software, or combination of both. For example, the analytical model **104** may implement some or all of the steps described in the logic **600** as software.

The analytical model **104** may receive a request from a consumer specifying a particular cadence (e.g., electronic promotion correspondence rate) for electronic promotion correspondence sent to the consumer. The consumer may communicate the request to the promotion program offering system **102** across any number of communication channels using one or more networks. The request may specify an electronic promotion correspondence rate or cadence for a particular class of promotions (e.g., deal-of-the-day correspondences, travel-based promotion correspondences, goods related promotion correspondences). The analytical model **104** may account for the consumer request (**604**) when determining whether to send electronic promotion correspondence to the consumer (**606**).

The analytical model **104** may account for the consumer rate request in multiple ways, which may be specified in the cadence management parameters **424**. The analytical model **104** may send electronic promotion correspondence in direct accordance with the consumer rate request. For example, when the consumer requests an electronic promotion corre-

spondence rate of one promotion mail per week, the analytical model **104** may limit sending of promotion e-mails to the consumer to a particular day of the week.

In one variation, the analytical model **104** may send electronic promotion correspondence to the consumer at maximum cadence of the consumer requested rate, e.g., as measured over the activation lifetime of the consumer or over a predetermined time period. In that regard, the analytical model **104** may send electronic promotion correspondence at a rate less than the specified consumer request. The analytical model **104**, may for example, identify a time period corresponding to the consumer requested rate has elapsed since a previous electronic promotion correspondence was sent to the consumer (e.g., 6 days have passed when the consumer requested rate is 1.0 electronic promotion correspondence per week). Then, the analytical model **104** may determine whether to send an electronic promotion correspondence to the consumer, e.g., according to any of the determination processes described above.

In another variation, the analytical model **104** may send electronic promotion correspondence to the consumer at a rate that exceeds the consumer requested rate when one or more exception criteria are met. The exception criteria may be specified in the cadence management parameters **424**. For example, the analytical model **104** may determine to send an electronic promotion correspondence that exceeds a consumer requested rate (e.g., more than once a week) when the past engagement level of the consumer exceeds or meets a particular threshold (e.g., 100% engagement level for multiple metrics), when one or more promotion scores of an electronic promotion correspondence exceed a particular score threshold, when the value of a promotion included in the electronic promotion correspondence exceeds a particular value threshold, and the like. The exception criteria may be operator specified, and/or maybe configured such that they are met only in extreme circumstances so as to avoid violating the consumer requested correspondence rate unless an exceptional circumstance arises (e.g., availability of an exception promotion for the consumer).

The analytical model **104** sends the electronic promotion correspondence to the consumer (**608**) when determined to do so. If not, the analytical model **104** foregoes sending the electronic promotion correspondence to the consumer (**610**).

FIG. 7 shows an example of logic **700** for determining whether to whether to send an electronic promotion correspondence to a consumer according to an electronic correspondence cadence for the consumer. The logic **700** may be implemented in hardware, software, or combination of both. For example, the analytical model **104** may implement some or all of the steps described in the logic **700** as software. The logic **700** presents an additional or alternative variance in managing the cadence for electronic promotion correspondence sent to a consumer.

The analytical model **104** may determine the electronic correspondence cadence for a consumer (**702**), which may represent a target cadence for the consumer. The analytical model **104** may access the cadence from a memory or database, determine the cadence based on feedback data from other consumers, or in other ways. The analytical model **104** may also adjust the cadence according any adjustment factor, such as those presented above.

The analytical model **104** may limit when an electronic promotion correspondence sending determination is performed based on the determined electronic correspondence cadence of the consumer. In that regard, the analytical model **104** may identify an allowed time when the electronic correspondence cadence specifies or permits sending of an

electronic promotion correspondence (704), e.g., such that sending the electronic promotion correspondence will not exceed the electronic correspondence cadence.

The analytical model 104 may identify the allowed time based on whether sending the electronic promotion correspondence will violate (e.g., exceed) the electronic correspondence cadence over a predetermined period of time (e.g., over one or more periodic cycles of the cadence, over the past 7 days, over the past 6 months, over the time since the previous one or any other determined number of electronic promotion correspondence was sent, etc.). For example, when the electronic correspondence cadence of the consumer specifies sending one electronic promotion correspondence every three days, the analytical model 104 may identify an allowed time when two or more days have elapsed since a previous electronic promotion correspondence was sent to the consumer. As another example, the analytical model 104 may determine the allowed time over two periodic cycles of the cadence (e.g., 6 days). In this example, when the analytical model 104 has previously sent electronic promotion correspondence to the consumer on day 1 and day 4, the analytical model 104 may identify day 6 as an allowed time to send an electronic promotion correspondence to the consumer, as doing so would not violate or exceed the electronic correspondence cadence for the consumer.

Upon identifying an allowed time, the analytical model 104 may access an electronic promotion correspondence 402 (706) and determine whether to send the electronic promotion correspondence 402 to the consumer (708) in any of the ways described herein. The analytical model 104 sends the electronic promotion correspondence to the consumer (710) when determined to do so. If not, the analytical model 104 foregoes sending the electronic promotion correspondence to the consumer (712).

The analytical model 104 may perform the steps described in the logic 700 (or any other logic described herein) at predetermined times or in response to a performance condition. For example, the analytical model 104 may perform the logic 700 once per day, once every 12 hours, in response to identifying the availability of a generated electronic promotion correspondence for the consumer, in response to receiving a generated electronic promotion correspondence for the consumer, after a predetermined amount of time has elapsed since sending a previous electronic promotion correspondence, and more. In one variation, the analytical model 104 performs the logic 700 at a higher rate or increased frequency in response to or after foregoing sending the electronic promotion correspondence 402 to the consumer than after sending the electronic promotion correspondence to the consumer.

FIG. 8 shows an example of logic 800 for determining whether to whether to send an electronic promotion correspondence to a consumer according to an electronic correspondence cadence for the consumer. The logic 800 may be implemented in hardware, software, or combination of both. For example, the analytical model 104 may implement some or all of the steps described in the logic 800 as software. The logic 800 presents an additional or alternative variance in managing the cadence for electronic promotion correspondence sent to a consumer.

The analytical model 104 may determine the electronic correspondence cadence for a consumer (802) and identify an allowed time for sending an electronic promotion correspondence (804) in a consistent manner as described above. Upon identifying an allowed time, the analytical model 104 may access an electronic promotion correspondence (806)

and send the electronic promotion correspondence (808). In this regard, the analytical model 104 may send electronic promotion correspondence directly in accordance with the electronic correspondence cadence. For example, when the electronic correspondence cadence specifies sending an electronic promotion correspondence every 3.0 days, then the analytical model 104 may do so.

The analytical model 104 may perform the logic 800 at predetermined times that may affect whether analytical model 104 can directly comply with the electronic correspondence cadence. For example, when the analytical model 104 performs the logic 800 once a day and the electronic correspondence cadence specifies sending an electronic promotion correspondence once every 3.2 days, the analytical model 104 may identify the time to comply with (e.g., not exceed) the electronic correspondence cadence over a time period (e.g., over 7 days or, e.g., over 32 days, which represents 10 periodic cycles of the electronic promotion cadence).

Methods and systems for managing the cadence of electronic promotion correspondence sent to one or consumers are presented herein. The present disclosure contemplates any combination of any portion of the disclosed methods, including the score determination processes, supplemental analysis processes, and disclosure of U.S. Provisional Application No. 61/666,556.

The present disclosure contemplates a computer-readable medium that includes instructions or receives and executes instructions responsive to a propagated signal, to implement one or more of the systems and methods described herein. Further, the instructions can be transmitted or received over the network via a communication interface. The communication interface can be a part of the processor or can be a separate component. The communication interface can be created in software or can be a physical connection in hardware. The communication interface can be configured to connect with a network, external media, the display, or any other components in system, or combinations thereof. The connection with the network can be a physical connection, such as a wired Ethernet connection or can be established wirelessly as discussed below. In the case of a service provider server, the service provider server can communicate with users through the communication interface.

The computer-readable medium can be a single medium, or the computer-readable medium can be a single medium or multiple media, such as a centralized or distributed database, or associated caches and servers that store one or more sets of instructions. The term "computer-readable medium" can also include any medium that can be capable of storing, encoding or carrying a set of instructions for execution by a processor or that can cause a computer system to perform any one or more of the methods or operations disclosed herein.

The computer-readable medium can include a solid-state memory such as a memory card or other package that houses one or more non-volatile read-only memories. The computer-readable medium also may be a random access memory or other volatile re-writable memory. Additionally, the computer-readable medium may include a magneto-optical or optical medium, such as a disk or tapes or other storage device to capture carrier wave signals such as a signal communicated over a transmission medium. A digital file attachment to an email or other self-contained information archive or set of archives may be considered a distribution medium that may be a tangible storage medium. The computer-readable medium is preferably a tangible storage medium. Accordingly, the disclosure may be considered to

include any one or more of a computer-readable medium or a distribution medium and other equivalents and successor media, in which data or instructions can be stored.

Alternatively or in addition, dedicated hardware implementations, such as application specific integrated circuits, programmable logic arrays and other hardware devices, may be constructed to implement one or more of the systems and methods described herein. Applications that may include the apparatus and systems of various embodiments may broadly include a variety of electronic and computer systems. One or more embodiments described herein may implement functions using two or more specific interconnected hardware modules or devices with related control and data signals that may be communicated between and through the modules, or as portions of an application-specific integrated circuit. Accordingly, the present system may encompass software, firmware, and hardware implementations.

The methods described herein may be implemented by software programs executable by a computer system. Further, implementations may include distributed processing, component/object distributed processing, and parallel processing. Alternatively or in addition, virtual computer system processing may be constructed to implement one or more of the methods or functionality as described herein.

Although components and functions are described that may be implemented in particular embodiments with reference to particular standards and protocols, the components and functions are not limited to such standards and protocols. For example, standards for Internet and other packet switched network transmission (e.g., TCP/IP, UDP/IP, HTML, and HTTP) represent examples of the state of the art. Such standards are periodically superseded by faster or more efficient equivalents having essentially the same functions. Accordingly, replacement standards and protocols having the same or similar functions as those disclosed herein are considered equivalents thereof.

The illustrations described herein are intended to provide a general understanding of the structure of various embodiments. The illustrations are not intended to serve as a complete description of all of the elements and features of apparatus, processors, and systems that utilize the structures or methods described herein. Many other embodiments can be apparent to those of skill in the art upon reviewing the disclosure. Other embodiments can be utilized and derived from the disclosure, such that structural and logical substitutions and changes can be made without departing from the scope of the disclosure. Additionally, the illustrations are merely representational and cannot be drawn to scale. Certain proportions within the illustrations may be exaggerated, while other proportions may be minimized. Accordingly, the disclosure and the figures are to be regarded as illustrative rather than restrictive.

The above disclosed subject matter is to be considered illustrative, and not restrictive, and the appended claims are intended to cover all such modifications, enhancements, and other embodiments, which fall within the true spirit and scope of the description. Thus, to the maximum extent allowed by law, the scope is to be determined by the broadest permissible interpretation of the following claims and their equivalents, and shall not be restricted or limited by the foregoing detailed description.

What is claimed is:

1. An apparatus for improving electronic correspondence transmission efficiency, the apparatus comprising a processor and a non-transitory memory storing program instruc-

tions, wherein the non-transitory memory and the program instructions are configured to, with the processor, cause the apparatus to:

determine a target cadence indicator indicative of a target rate for transmitting electronic correspondences associated with a first client profile, wherein the non-transitory memory and the program instructions are configured to, with the processor, cause the apparatus to:

retrieve an initial target cadence indicator associated with the first client profile,

determine feedback data based at least in part on the first client profile, and

calculate the target cadence indicator, via application of an analytical model, based at least in part on adjusting the initial target cadence indicator based on the feedback data;

determine an actual cadence indicator, wherein the actual cadence indicator is indicative of an actual rate of previously transmitted electronic promotion correspondences associated with the first client profile, and wherein the non-transitory memory and the program instructions are configured to, with the processor, cause the apparatus to:

calculate a plurality of past cadence indicators associated with the first client profile over a past cadence time period;

calculate a plurality of cadence variances between each of the plurality of past cadence indicators and the target cadence indicator; and

based at least in part on the plurality of cadence variances, determine one of the plurality of past cadence indicators as the actual cadence indicator;

retrieve a first electronic correspondence associated with the first client profile; and

in response to determining that the target rate indicated by the target cadence indicator satisfies the actual rate indicated by the actual cadence indicator, transmit the first electronic correspondence to a client device associated with the first client profile.

2. The apparatus of claim 1, wherein the feedback data is associated with a second client profile, wherein both the first client profile and the second client profile comprise at least one common attribute.

3. The apparatus of claim 1, wherein, when determining the target cadence indicator, the non-transitory memory and the program instructions are configured to, with the processor, cause the apparatus to:

adjust the initial target cadence indicator based at least in part on past engagement level data associated with the first client profile.

4. The apparatus of claim 1, wherein, when determining the target cadence indicator, the non-transitory memory and the program instructions are configured to, with the processor, cause the apparatus to:

adjust the initial target cadence indicator based at least in part on a time factor.

5. The apparatus of claim 1, wherein, when determining the target cadence indicator, the non-transitory memory and the program instructions are configured to, with the processor, cause the apparatus to:

adjust the initial target cadence indicator based at least in part on a cadence goal.

6. The apparatus of claim 1, wherein the non-transitory memory and the program instructions are configured to, with the processor, cause the apparatus to:

determine a maximum cadence variance from the plurality of cadence variances, and select a past cadence indicator from the plurality of past cadence indicators that corresponds to the maximum cadence variance as the actual cadence indicator.

7. A computer-implemented method comprising: determining a target cadence indicator indicative of a target rate for transmitting electronic correspondences associated with a first client profile, wherein the computer-implemented method further comprises: retrieving an initial target cadence indicator associated with the first client profile, determining feedback data based at least in part on the first client profile, and calculating the target cadence indicator, via application of an analytical model, based at least in part on adjusting the initial target cadence indicator based on the feedback data;

determining an actual cadence indicator, wherein the actual cadence indicator is indicative of an actual rate of previously transmitted electronic promotion correspondences associated with the first client profile, and wherein the computer-implemented method further comprises:

calculating a plurality of past cadence indicators associated with the first client profile over a past cadence time period;

calculating a plurality of cadence variances between each of the plurality of past cadence indicators and the target cadence indicator; and

based at least in part on the plurality of cadence variances, determining one of the plurality of past cadence indicators as the actual cadence indicator;

retrieving a first electronic correspondence associated with the first client profile; and

in response to determining that the target rate indicated by the target cadence indicator satisfies the actual rate indicated by the actual cadence indicator, transmitting the first electronic correspondence to a client device associated with the first client profile.

8. The computer-implemented method of claim 7, wherein the feedback data is associated with a second client profile, wherein both the first client profile and the second client profile comprise at least one common attribute.

9. The computer-implemented method of claim 7, wherein determining the target cadence indicator further comprises:

adjusting the initial target cadence indicator based at least in part on past engagement level data associated with the first client profile.

10. The computer-implemented method of claim 7, wherein determining the target cadence indicator further comprises:

adjusting the initial target cadence indicator based at least in part on a time factor.

11. The computer-implemented method of claim 7, wherein determining the target cadence indicator further comprises:

adjusting the initial target cadence indicator based at least in part on a cadence goal.

12. The computer-implemented method of claim 7, further comprising:

determining a maximum cadence variance from the plurality of cadence variances, and

selecting a past cadence indicator from the plurality of past cadence indicators that corresponds to the maximum cadence variance as the actual cadence indicator.

13. A computer program product comprising at least one non-transitory computer-readable storage medium having computer-readable program code portions stored therein, the computer-readable program code portions comprising an executable portion configured to:

determine a target cadence indicator indicative of a target rate for transmitting electronic correspondences associated with a first client profile, wherein the computer-readable program code portions comprise the executable portion configured to:

retrieve an initial target cadence indicator associated with the first client profile,

determine feedback data based at least in part on the first client profile, and

calculate the target cadence indicator, via application of an analytical model, based at least in part on adjusting the initial target cadence indicator based on the feedback data;

determine an actual cadence indicator, wherein the actual cadence indicator is indicative of an actual rate of previously transmitted electronic promotion correspondences associated with the first client profile, and wherein the computer-readable program code portions comprise the executable portion configured to:

calculate a plurality of past cadence indicators associated with the first client profile over a past cadence time period;

calculate a plurality of cadence variances between each of the plurality of past cadence indicators and the target cadence indicator; and

based at least in part on the plurality of cadence variances, determine one of the plurality of past cadence indicators as the actual cadence indicator;

retrieve a first electronic correspondence associated with the first client profile; and

in response to determining that the target rate indicated by the target cadence indicator satisfies the actual rate indicated by the actual cadence indicator, transmit the first electronic correspondence to a client device associated with the first client profile.

14. The computer program product of claim 13, wherein the feedback data is associated with a second client profile, wherein both the first client profile and the second client profile comprise at least one common attribute.

15. The computer program product of claim 13, wherein, when determining the target cadence indicator, the computer-readable program code portions comprise the executable portion configured to:

adjust the initial target cadence indicator based at least in part on past engagement level data associated with the first client profile.

16. The computer program product of claim 13, wherein, when determining the target cadence indicator, the computer-readable program code portions comprise the executable portion configured to:

adjust the initial target cadence indicator based at least in part on a time factor.

17. The computer program product of claim 13, wherein, when determining the target cadence indicator, the computer-readable program code portions comprise the executable portion configured to:

adjust the initial target cadence indicator based at least in part on a cadence goal.