

(19) **DANMARK**



Patent- og
Varemærkestyrelsen

(12)

Oversættelse af europæisk patentskrift

(10) **DK/EP 2670169 T3**

-
- (51) Int.Cl.: **H 04 R 25/00 (2006.01)** **H 04 R 29/00 (2006.01)**
- (45) Oversættelsen bekendtgjort den: **2016-01-11**
- (80) Dato for Den Europæiske Patentmyndigheds bekendtgørelse om meddelelse af patentet: **2015-12-02**
- (86) Europæisk ansøgning nr.: **13174503.6**
- (86) Europæisk indleveringsdag: **2008-08-28**
- (87) Den europæiske ansøgnings publiceringsdag: **2013-12-04**
- (30) Prioritet: **2007-08-29 US 968700 P** **2008-08-12 US 190582**
- (62) Stamansøgningsnr: **08163218.4**
- (84) Designerede stater: **AT BE BG CH CY CZ DE DK EE ES FI FR GB GR HR HU IE IS IT LI LT LU LV MC MT NL NO PL PT RO SE SI SK TR**
- (73) Patenthaver: **University of California, Office of Technology Licensing, Berkeley, CA 94709, USA**
- (72) Opfinder: **Wessel, David, 6703 Cutting Blvd, El Cerrito, El Cerrito, CA California 94530, USA**
Schmeder, Andrew, 1046 61st Street, Oakland, CA California 94720, USA
Fitz, Kelly, 7362 Paulsen Drive, Eden Prairie, MN Minnesota 55346, USA
Edwards, Brent, 1306 29th Avenue, San Francisco, CA California 94122, USA
Battenberg, Eric, 1750 Arch Street, Berkeley, CA California 94709, USA
- (74) Fuldmægtig i Danmark: **PLOUGMANN & VINGTOFT A/S, Rued Langgaards Vej 8, 2300 København S, Danmark**
- (54) Benævnelse: **Fremgangsmåde til tilpasning af et høreapparat og bearbejdning baseret på subjektiv rumrepræsentation**
- (56) Fremdragne publikationer:
EP-A2- 0 917 398
EP-A2- 1 194 005
EP-A2- 2 031 900
DE-A1-102007 046 020
US-A1- 2004 071 304
US-A1- 2007 076 909
CARR J C ET AL: "RECONSTRUCTION AND REPRESENTATION OF 3D OBJECTS WITH RADIAL BASIS FUNCTIONS", COMPUTER GRAPHICS. SIGGRAPH 2001. CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS. LOS ANGELES, CA, AUG. 12 - 17, 2001; [COMPUTER GRAPHICS PROCEEDINGS. SIGGRAPH], NEW YORK, NY : ACM, US, 12 August 2001 (2001-08-12), pages 67-76, XP001049875, ISBN: 978-1-58113-374-5

DESCRIPTION

BACKGROUND

[0001] Advances in modern digital hearing aid technology focus almost entirely on improving the intelligibility of speech in noisy environments. The effects of hearing aid processing on musical signals and on the perception of music receive very little attention, despite reports that hardness of hearing is the primary impediment to enjoyment of music in older listeners, and that hearing aid processing is frequently so damaging to musical signals that hearing aid wearers often prefer to remove their hearing aids when listening to music.

[0002] Though listeners and musicians who suffer hearing impairment are no less interested in music than normal hearing listeners, there is evidence that the perception of fundamental aspects of (Western) musical signals, such as the relative consonance and dissonance of different musical intervals, is significantly altered by hearing impairment (J. B. Tufts, M. R. Molis, M. R. Leek, Perception of dissonance by people with normal hearing and sensorineural hearing loss, *Acoustical Society of America Journal* 118 (2005) 955-967). Measures such as the Articulation Index and the Speech Intelligibility Index (American National Standards Institute, New York, NY, ANSI S3.5-1997, Methods for the calculation of the speech intelligibility index (1997)) can be used to predict intelligibility from the audibility of speech cues across all frequencies, and a variety of objective tests of speech comprehension are used to measure hearing aid efficacy, but there is no standard metric for measuring a patient's perception of music. Moreover, hearing impaired listeners are less consistent in their judgments about what they hear than are normal hearing listeners (J. L. Punch, Quality judgments of hearing aid-processed speech and music by normal and otopathologic listeners, *Journal of the American Audiology Society* 3 (1978), no. 4 179-188), and individual differences in performance among listeners having similar audiometric thresholds make it difficult to predict the perceptual effects of hearing aid processing (C. C. Crandell, Individual Differences in Speech Recognition Ability: Implications for Hearing Aid Selection, *Ear and Hearing* 12 (1991), no. 6 Supplement 100S-108S). These factors, combined with the differences in the acoustical environments in which different styles of music are most often presented, underline the importance of individual preferences in any study of the effects of hearing aid processing on the perception of music. There have been studies on the effect of reduced bandwidth on the perceived quality of music (J. R. Franks, Judgments of Hearing Aid Processed Music, *Ear and Hearing* 3 (1982), no. 1 18-23), but no systematic evaluation of the effects of dynamic range compression, the most ubiquitous form of gain compensation in digital hearing aids.

[0003] U.S. Patent Application Publication 2007/0076909A1 relates to a hearing device that operates in a fitting mode to receive audio test signals and converts the audio test signals into signals to be perceived by a user, and in response, receives and stores parameter settings through a user interface, and in a listening mode corrects audio signals using the parameter settings.

[0004] European Patent No. EP1194005A2 relates to setting a transfer characteristic for an electronic circuit, such as a hearing aid, by presenting the transfer characteristic as a three-dimensional graphic on a screen of a computer and altering the graphically represented transfer characteristic in response to movement of a mouse of the computer as controlled by an acoustician.

[0005] U.S. Patent Application Publication 2004/0071304 relates to fitting of a hearing device using a graphical interface that visually represents and controls values of parameters with a common reference axis for multiple parameters related by a programmable constraint to convey information to a user about the interactions of the parameters and the limits of the parameters, and allows the user to make appropriate adjustment to remain within the limits while programming the hearing device for improved performance.

[0006] There is a need in the art for an improved system for programming hearing assistance devices which incorporates the listener's preferences and provides the listener a convenient interface to subjectively tailor sound processing of a hearing assistance device. There is also a need in the art for a system for hearing assistance devices that allows for better appraisal of the processing of music. Such a system will provide benefit for the fitting of other sound processing technology in hearing assistant devices for which the fitting to hearing loss diagnostics is unknown but for which fitting can be made based on assessment of subjective preference.

[0007] In order to solve the above problems, the invention provides a method of configuring signal processing parameters of a hearing assistance apparatus according to claim 1 and a cell phone according to claim 8.

GENERAL

[0008] This application may provide a subjective, listener-driven system for programming parameters in a hearing assistance device, such as a hearing aid. In one embodiment, the listener may control a simplified system interface to organize according to perceived sound quality a number of presets based on parameter settings spanning parameter ranges of interest. By such organization, the system can generate a mapping of spatial coordinates of an N-dimensional space to the plurality of parameters using interpolation of the presets organized by the user. In various embodiments, a graphical representation of the N-dimensional space may be used.

[0009] In one embodiment, a two-dimensional plane may be provided to the listener in a graphical user interface to "click and drag" a preset in order to organize the presets by perceived sound quality; for example, presets that are perceived to be similar in quality could be organized to be spatially close together while those that are perceived to be dissimilar are organized to be spatially far apart. The resulting organization of the presets is used by an interpolation mechanism to associate the two-dimensional space with a subspace of parameters associated with the presets. The listener can then move a pointer, such as PC mouse, around the space and alter the parameters in a continuous manner. If the space and associated parameters are connected to a hearing assistance device that has parameters corresponding to the ones defined by the subspace, then the parameters in the hearing device are also adjusted as the listener moves a pointer around the space; if the hearing device is active, then the listener hears the effect of the parameter change caused by the moving pointer. In this way, the listener can move the pointer around the space in an orderly and intuitive way until they determine one or more points or regions in the space where they prefer the sound processing that they hear.

[0010] In one embodiment, a radial basis function network may be used as a regression method to interpolate a subspace of parameters. The listener may navigate this subspace in real time using an N-dimensional graphical interface and is able to quickly converge on his or her personally preferred sound which translates to a personally preferred set of parameters.

[0011] One of the advantages of this listener-driven approach may be to provide the listener a relatively simple control for several parameters.

[0012] This Summary is an overview of some of the teachings of the present application and is not intended to be an exclusive or exhaustive treatment of the present subject matter. Further details about the present subject matter are found in the detailed description and the appended claims. The scope of the present invention is defined by the appended claims and their legal equivalents.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE FIGURES

[0013]

FIG. 1A demonstrates one example of a programming system 10 for hearing aids, according to one embodiment of the present subject matter.

FIG. 1B demonstrates another example of a programming system 20 for hearing aids, according to one embodiment of the present subject matter.

FIG. 2A demonstrates another example of a programming system 30 for hearing aids, according to one embodiment of the present subject matter.

FIG. 2B demonstrates another example of a programming system 40 for hearing aids, according to one embodiment of the present subject matter.

FIG. 3 demonstrates a block diagram of the present signal processing system, according to one embodiment of the present subject matter.

FIG. 4 demonstrates an overview of the various modes of a system, according to one embodiment of the present subject matter.

FIG. 5 demonstrates a process for the programming mode, according to one embodiment of the present subject matter.

FIG. 6 shows a navigation mode according to one embodiment of the present subject matter.

FIG. 7A shows a random arrangement of presets on a screen, according to one embodiment of the present subject matter.

FIG. 7B shows an organization of presets by listener, according to one embodiment of the present subject matter.

FIG. 8 demonstrates a radial basis function network including two input nodes, a plurality of hidden radial basis nodes, and a plurality of linear output nodes, according to one embodiment of the present subject matter.

FIG. 9 shows a radial basis function network flow diagram, according to one embodiment of the present subject matter.

DESCRIPTION OF PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

[0014] The following detailed description of the present invention refers to subject matter in the accompanying drawings which show, by way of illustration, specific aspects and embodiments in which the present subject matter may be practiced. These embodiments are described in sufficient detail to enable those skilled in the art to practice the present subject matter. References to "an", "one", or "various" embodiments in this disclosure are not necessarily to the same embodiment, and such references contemplate more than one embodiment. The following detailed description is, therefore, not to be taken in a limiting sense, and the scope is defined only by the appended claims, along with the full scope of legal equivalents to which such claims are entitled.

[0015] This application provides a subjective, listener-driven system for programming parameters in a hearing assistance device, such as a hearing aid. In one embodiment, the listener controls a simplified system interface to organize according to perceived sound quality a number of presets based on parameter settings spanning parameter ranges of interest. By such organization, the system can generate a mapping of spatial coordinates of an N-dimensional space to the plurality of parameters using interpolation of the presets organized by the user. In various embodiments, a graphical representation of the N-dimensional space is used.

[0016] In one embodiment, a two-dimensional plane is provided to the listener in a graphical user interface to "click and drag" a preset in order to organize the presets by perceived sound quality; for example, presets that are perceived to be similar in quality could be organized to be spatially close together while those that are perceived to be dissimilar are organized to be spatially far apart. The resulting organization of the presets is used by an interpolation mechanism to associate the two-dimensional space with a subspace of parameters associated with the presets. The listener can then move a pointer, such as PC mouse, around the space and alter the parameters in a continuous manner. If the space and associated parameters are connected to a hearing assistance device that has parameters corresponding to the ones defined by the subspace, then the parameters in the hearing device are also adjusted as the listener moves a pointer around the space; if the hearing device is active, then the listener hears the effect of the parameter change caused by the moving pointer. In this way, the listener can move the pointer around the space in an orderly and intuitive way until they determine one or more points or regions in the space where they prefer the sound processing that they hear.

[0017] In one embodiment, a radial basis function network is used as a regression method to interpolate a subspace of parameters. The listener navigates this subspace in real time using an N-dimensional graphical interface and is able to quickly converge on his or her personally preferred sound which translates to a personally preferred set of parameters.

[0018] One of the advantages of this listener-driven approach is to provide the listener a relatively simple control for several parameters.

DIMENSIONALITY REDUCTION VIA A SUBJECTIVE SPACE APPROACH BASED ON PERCEPTUAL DISSIMILARITY

[0019] Characterizing perceptual dissimilarity as distance in a geometric representation has provided auditory researchers with a rich set of robust methods for studying the structure of perceptual attributes (R. N. Shepard, Multidimensional Scaling, Tree-Filling, and Clustering, *Science* 210 (1980), no. 4468 390 - 398). Examples include spaces for vowels and consonants (R. N. Shepard, *Psychological Representation of Speech Sounds*, E. David, P. B. Denes, eds., Human Communication a United View, McGraw-Hill, New York, NY (1972) 67-113), timbres of musical instruments, rhythmic patterns, and musical chords (A. Momeni, D. Wessel, Characterizing and controlling musical material intuitively with geometric models, *Proceedings of the 2003 Conference on New Interfaces for Musical Expression*, Montreal, Canada (2003) 54-62). The most common method for generating a spatial representation is the *multidimensional scaling* (MDS) of pairwise dissimilarity judgments (I. Borg, P. J. F. Groenen. *Modern Multidimensional Scaling: Theory and Applications*. Springer, New York, NY (2005)). In this method, subjects typically rate the dissimilarity for all pairs in a set of stimuli. The stimuli are treated as points in a low dimensional space, often two-dimensional, and the MDS method finds the spatial layout that maximizes the correlation between distances in the representation and subjective

dissimilarity ratings among the stimuli. As an alternative to the MDS method we (A. Momeni, D. Wessel, Characterizing and controlling musical material intuitively with geometric models, Proceedings of the 2003 Conference on New Interfaces for Musical Expression, Montreal, Canada (2003) 54-62) and Wessel (1979) "Timbre space as a musical control structure," Computer Music Journal, 3(2):45-52) and others (R. L. Goldstone, An efficient method for obtaining similarity data, Behavior Research Methods, Instruments, & computers 26 (1994), no. 4 381-386) have found that directly arranging the stimuli in a subjectively meaningful spatial layout provides representations comparable in quality to MDS.

[0020] The present subject matter provides a system having a user interface that allows a listener to organize a number of presets that are designed to span a parameter range of interest. The listener is able to subjectively organize the preset settings in an N-dimensional space. The resulting organization provides the system a relation of the preset parameters that is processed to generate a mapping of spatial coordinates of an N-dimensional space to the plurality of parameters using interpolation of the presets. The listener can then "navigate" through the N-dimensional mapping using the interface while listening to sound processed according to the interpolated parameters and find one or more preferred settings. This system allows a user to control a relatively large number of parameters with a single control and to find one or more preferred settings using the interface. Parameters are interpolated in real time, as the listener navigates the space, so that the listener can hear the effects of the continuous variation in the parameters.

[0021] The following description will demonstrate a process for an application using hearing aids, however, it is understood that the present teachings may be used for a variety of other applications, including, but not limited to, listening to music with headphones.

[0022] FIG. 1A demonstrates one example of a programming system 10 for hearing aids, which does not form part of the present invention, but which is helpful to understand the present invention. Computer 2 communicates with hearing aids 8 via programmer 6. Communications may be conducted over link 7 either using wired or wireless connections. Communications 1 between programmer 6 and hearing aids 8 may be conducted over wired, wireless or combinations of wired and wireless connections. It is further understood that hearing aids 8 are shown as completely-in-the-canal (CIC) hearing aids, but that any type of devices, including but not limited to, in-the-ear (ITE), behind-the-ear (BTE), receiver-in-the-canal (RIC), cochlear implants, headphones, and hearing assistance devices generally as may be developed in the future may be used without departing from the scope of the present subject matter. It is further understood that a single hearing aid may be programmed and thus, the present subject matter is not limited to dual hearing aid applications. Computer 2 is shown as a desktop computer, however, it is understood that computer 2 may be any variety of computer, including, but not limited to, a laptop, a tablet personal computer, or other type of computer as may be developed in the future. Computer 2 is shown as having a screen 4. The screen 4 is demonstrated as a cathode ray tube (CRT), but it is understood that any type of screen may be used without departing from the scope of the present subject matter. Computer 2 also has an input device 9, which is demonstrated as a mouse; however, it is understood that input device 9 can be any input device, including, but not limited to, a touchpad, a joystick, a trackball, or other input device.

[0023] FIG. 1B demonstrates another example of a programming system 20 for hearing aids, which does not form part of the present invention. In FIG. 1B, computer 3 has internal programming electronics 5 which are native to the computer 3. For like-numbered components, the discussion above is incorporated by reference. Communications 1 between computer 3 and hearing aids 8 may be conducted over wired, wireless or combinations of wired and wireless connections. Computer 3 is shown as a desktop computer, however, it is understood that computer 3 may be any variety of computer, including, but not limited to, a laptop, a tablet personal computer, or other type of computer as may be developed in the future.

[0024] FIG. 2A demonstrates another example of a programming system 30 for hearing aids, according to one embodiment of the present subject matter. The handheld device 12 communicates with hearing aids 8 via programmer 16. Communications are conducted over link 17 using wireless connections. Communications 1 between programmer 16 and hearing aids 8 may be conducted over wired, wireless or combinations of wired and wireless connections. It is further understood that hearing aids 8 are shown as completely-in-the-canal (CIC) hearing aids, but that any type of devices, including but not limited to, in-the-ear (ITE), behind-the-ear (BTE), receiver-in-the-canal (RIC), cochlear implants, headphones, and hearing assistance devices generally as may be developed in the future may be used without departing from the scope of the present subject matter. It is further understood that a single hearing aid may be programmed and thus, the present subject matter is not limited to dual hearing aid applications. Handheld device 12 is a cell phone.

[0025] Handheld device 12 is shown as having a screen 14. The screen 14 is demonstrated as a liquid crystal display (LCD), but it is understood that any type of screen may be used without departing from the scope of the present subject matter. Computer 2 also has various input devices 9, including buttons and/or a touchpad; however, it is understood that any input device, including, but not limited to, a joystick, a trackball, or other input device may be used without departing from the present subject matter.

[0026] FIG. 2B demonstrates another example of a programming system 40 for hearing aids, according to one embodiment of the present subject matter. In FIG. 2B, handheld device 13 has internal programming electronics 15 which are native to the handheld device 13. For like-numbered components, the discussions above are incorporated by reference. Communications 1 between handheld device 13 and hearing aids 8 is conducted wireless. Handheld device 13 is a cell phone.

[0027] FIG. 3 demonstrates a block diagram of the present signal processing system, according to one embodiment of the present subject matter. It is understood that the aspects of FIG. 3 can be realized in any of the foregoing embodiments, 10, 20, 30, and/or 40, and their equivalents. It is also understood that the aspects of FIG. 3 can be realized in hardware, software, firmware, and in combinations of two or more thereof. It is further understood that the controller 51 and signal processor 52 can be embodied in one device or in different devices, in various embodiments. The input device 9 is adapted to move a cursor on screen 4 to a coordinate in an N-dimensional space displayed on screen 4. The N coefficients of the position of the cursor are provided to the controller 51 which converts them into P parameters for signal processor 52. These P parameters are provided to a signal processing algorithm executing on signal processor 52 which processes the sound input and provides a processed sound signal to be played to the listener. The controller 51 can use a variety of methods for mapping the N coefficients to the P parameters. In various embodiments, an interpolation algorithm is employed. In various embodiments interpolation within a subspace is performed using a radial basis function network as provided herein. In various embodiments, the radial basis function network includes a radial basis hidden layer and a linear output layer as discussed herein. In one embodiment, $N=2$, and so the screen 4 provides an X-Y plane for the user to "navigate" to control the P parameters. In the example shown in FIGS. 7A and 7B, $N=2$

[0028] FIG. 4 demonstrates an overview of the various modes of a system, according to one embodiment of the present subject matter. In various embodiments, the system 50 is "programmed" in a first mode 41 and "navigated" in a second mode 42. The programming mode 41 includes a process by which a user can provide subjective organization of predetermined parameter settings or "presets" using the input device 9 and screen 4. The resulting organization is used to construct a mapping of coordinates of the N-dimensional space to a plurality of parameters Z. The mapping represents a weighting or interpolation of the presets organized in the programming mode. The user can then "navigate" 42 through the N-dimensional space to provide interpolated parameters Z to the signal processing algorithm and select one or more preferred listening settings as sound is played through the signal processor 52.

[0029] FIG. 5 demonstrates a process for the programming mode, according to one embodiment of the present subject matter. In various embodiments, the system or user may select certain parameters of the digital signal processing algorithm to be controlled 61. For example, in hearing aid applications, the parameters may be one or more of thresholds, time constants, gains, attacks, decays, limits, to name a few. The parameters may be frequency dependent. Thus, the system may involve a substantial number of parameters to be controlled.

[0030] Once the parameters to be controlled are selected, the system can optionally provide a choice of a special nonlinear function to be applied to one or more parameters. For example, the nonlinear function can be a logarithmic function. One demonstrative example is that sometimes signal volume is better processed as the log of the signal volume. Other types of nonlinear functions may be optionally applied without departing from the scope of the present subject matter.

[0031] Once the parameters are selected a number of presets can be selected 62. The presets can be chosen to span a parameterization range of interest. The preset parameter values could be selected by an audiologist, an engineer, or could be done automatically using software. Such presets could be based on a listener's particular audiogram. For example, a person with high frequency hearing loss could have presets with a variety of audio levels in high frequency bands to assist in a diverse parameterization for that particular listener. In various embodiments, the presets could be selected based on population data. For example, predetermined presets could be used for listeners with a particular type of audiogram feature. Such settings may be developed based on knowledge of the signal processing algorithm. Such settings may also be determined empirically.

[0032] In various embodiments, the presets are selected to provide a diverse listening experience for the particular listener. Interpolations of similar parameter settings generally yield narrow interpolated parameter ranges. Thus, the presets need not be ones determined to sound "good," but rather should be diverse.

[0033] The presets are then arranged on the display 63 for the listener. Such arrangements may be random, as demonstrated by FIG. 7A. The display depicts the "subjective space" which the listener will use to organize the presets. The subjective space can be a plane ($N=2$; X and Y coordinates) or higher order space, such as a three dimensional shape ($N=3$; e.g., any orthogonal coordinates, including, but not limited to, Cartesian coordinates, spherical coordinates, cylindrical coordinates).

[0034] Sound is played to the listener using the signal processor 64. The parameters fed to the signal processing algorithm are those of the preset selected. Sound played to the listener can be via headphones. In hearing aid applications, the sound played to the listener can be made directly by hearing aids in one or both ears of the listener. In various embodiments, the sound is generated by the computer and/or programmer. In various embodiments the sound is natural ambient sound picked up by one or more microphones of the one or more hearing aids. Regardless, the signal processor 52 receives parameters Z from the Controller 51 based on the selected preset and plays processed sounds according to the selected preset parameters. It is understood that in various embodiments, the computer 2 or 3 or handheld device 12 or 13 could be implementing the controller 51. In various embodiments, the handheld device 12, 13 includes the controller 51, the signal processor 52, and the input device 9. In various embodiments, a hearing aid 8 is implementing the signal processor 52. In various embodiments, the hearing aid 8 implements the signal processor 52 and the controller 51. Other embodiments are possible without departing from the scope of the invention as defined in the appended claims.

[0035] The listener organizes the presets in the subjective space depending on sound 65. In one embodiment, the listener is listening to sound played using different presets and uses a graphical user interface on screen 4 to drag the preset icons to different places in the subjective space. In various embodiments, the listener is encouraged to organize things that sound similar closely in the subjective space and things that sound different relatively far apart in the subjective space. In various embodiments the listener is encouraged to use as much of the subjective space as possible. FIG. 7B demonstrates one such organization where the presets organized in the vicinity A are substantially different than the presets organized in the vicinity B by the listener. The preset in vicinity C is judged substantially different from all other presets, including those in vicinity A and vicinity B. Thus, the listener can generate his or her subjective organization of the sound played at each of the preset settings. The resulting interpolations will be based on this subjective organization of presets by the listener.

[0036] In various embodiments, the organization of presets in the subjective space is performed by an audiologist, an engineer, or other expert. In various embodiments, the organization of presets is performed according to population data, or according to the listener's audiogram or other attributes. In various embodiments, the listener participates in the programming and navigation modes of operation. In various embodiments, the listener participates only in the navigation mode of operation. Other variations of process are possible without departing from the scope of the present subject matter, and those provided herein are not intended to be exclusive or limiting.

[0037] Once the organization is complete, the computer constructs an interpolation scheme that maps every coordinate of the subjective space to an interpolated set of parameters according to the organization of the presets 66. In various embodiments, the organization is interpolated using distance-based weighting (e.g., Euclidean distance and weighted average). In various embodiments, the organization of presets is interpolated using a two-dimensional Gaussian kernel. In various embodiments, a radial basis function network is created to interpolate the organization of the presets. Other interpolation schemes are possible without departing from the scope of the present subject matter.

[0038] FIG. 6 shows a navigation mode according to one embodiment of the present subject matter. Continuous generation of parameters Z from the coordinates of the entire subjective space can be performed for a continuous traversal of the subjective space. Sound is played to the listener as the listener navigates his or her cursor about the subjective space 71. The coordinates of the cursor provide inputs to the controller 51 for generation of the parameters Z according to the interpolation scheme which are subsequently used by the signal processor 52 to adjust the sound played to the listener. The listener can move the cursor on display 4 and thereby adjust the coordinates of the cursor in the subjective space 72, which results in the recalculation 73 of interpolated parameters Z used by the signal processor 52. This process can be repeated until the listener determines a "preferred" sound 74. The parameters used to generate that preferred sound can be stored. One or more sets of preferred settings can be made. Such settings can be stored for different sound environments.

[0039] In various embodiments, the presets can be hidden during the navigation phase so as to not distract the listener from navigating the subjective space.

[0040] In some embodiments, a radial basis function network, such as the one demonstrated by FIG. 8, creates different parameters Z for the signal processor 52 as the cursor is moved around. FIG. 8 demonstrates a radial basis function network 81 including two input nodes ($N=2$) 82, a plurality of hidden radial basis nodes 83, equal in number to the number of presets, and a plurality of linear output nodes 84. The signal processing algorithm receives parameters from the linear output nodes 84 which perform a smooth and continuous interpolation of parameters as the user drags the cursor around the subjective space the listener created. FIG. 9 shows a signal diagram including calculations for a radial basis hidden layer and a linear output layer. The input is an N-dimensional input ($N=2$ in this example) and the output is a P-dimensional vector of interpolated parameters. The radial basis algorithm is described in further detail below.

[0041] In varying embodiments, the process is repeated for different sound environments. In various embodiments, artificial sound environments are generated to provide speech babble and other commonly encountered sounds for the listener. In various embodiments, measurements are performed in quiet for preferred quiet settings. In various embodiments a plurality of settings are stored in memory. Such settings may be employed by the listener at his or her discretion. In various embodiments, the subjective organization of the presets is analyzed for a population of subject listeners to provide a diagnostic tool for diagnosing hearing-related issues for listeners. It is understood that in various embodiments, the navigation mode may or may not be employed.

[0042] In applications involving hearing assistance devices, the interface provides a straightforward control of potentially a very large number of signal processing parameters. In cases where the hearing assistance devices are hearing aids, the system provides information that can be used in "fitting" the hearing aid to its wearer. Such applications may use a variety of presets based on information obtained from an audiogram or other diagnostic tool. The presets may be selected to have different parameterizations based on the wearer's particular hearing loss. Thus, the parameter range of interest for the presets may be obtained from an individual's specific hearing or from a group demographic. Such applications may also involve the use of different acoustic environments to perform fitting based on environment. Hearing assistance devices can include memory for storing preferred parameter settings that may be programmed and/or selected for different environments. Yet another application is the use of the present system by a wearer of one or more hearing aids who wants to find an "optimal" or preferred setting for her/his hearing aid for listening to music. Other benefits and uses not expressly mentioned herein are possible from the present teachings.

INTERPOLATION USING A RADIAL BASIS FUNCTION NETWORK

[0043] In various embodiments, interpolation of the parameter presets may be performed using a radial basis function network 81 composed of a radial basis hidden layer 83 and a linear output layer 84 as shown in FIG. 8. This simple two layer neural network design performs smooth, continuous parameter interpolation.

[0044] The specifics of the system are shown in FIG. 9. To begin, the neural network takes the two dimensional input vector $\mathbf{I}$ and measures its distance from each of the q preset locations which are stored as the columns of a matrix $\mathbf{L}$. The output of this distance measure is a q -dimensional vector which is then scaled by a constant a and then passed through the Gaussian radial basis function. The constant a affects the spread of the Gaussian function and ultimately controls the smoothness of the interpolation space. The output of the radial basis function is a q -dimensional vector of preset weights. For example, if the input location corresponds to one of the preset locations, then the weight corresponding to that preset would be 1. The radial basis weight vector is now the input to the linear output layer.

[0045] The linear layer consists of a mapping from the q -dimensional weight vector to the P -dimensional parameter space. This linear transformation is carried out using a matrix $\mathbf{T}$, that left multiplies the weight vector $\mathbf{w}$, and a constant vector $\mathbf{b}$ which is summed with the resulting matrix product $\mathbf{T}\mathbf{w}$. If $\mathbf{Z}$ is the P -dimensional output vector of interpolated parameters, we have

$$\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{w} + \mathbf{b}. \quad (\text{Eq. 1})$$

[0046] The training of the network is simple and does not require complex iterative algorithms. This allows the network to be retrained in real-time, so that the user can instantly experience the effects of moving presets within the space. The network is trained so that each preset location elicits an output equal to the exact parameter set corresponding to that preset.

[0047] The values that must be determined by training are the preset location matrix $\mathbf{L}$, the linear transformation matrix $\mathbf{T}$, and the vector $\mathbf{b}$. The matrix $\mathbf{L}$ is trivially constructed by placing each two-dimensional preset location in a separate column of the matrix. The matrix $\mathbf{T}$ and vector $\mathbf{b}$ are chosen so that if the input location lies directly on a preset, then the output will be the parameters corresponding to that preset. To solve for these, we can set up a linear system of equations. We can place $\mathbf{T}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ together in a matrix

$$\mathbf{T}' = [\mathbf{T} \mid \mathbf{b}]. \quad (\text{Eq. 2})$$

[0048] Then we place the weight vectors corresponding to each preset location into a matrix $\mathbf{W}$ and append a row vector of ones, $\mathbf{1}_{1 \times q}$, so that

$$\mathbf{W}' = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{W} \\ \mathbf{1}_{1 \times q} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (\text{Eq. 3})$$

[0049] Let the matrix $\mathbf{V}$ be the target matrix composed of columns of the parameters corresponding to each preset. Now our linear system of equations can be represented by the single matrix equation

$$\mathbf{T}^T \mathbf{W} = \mathbf{V} \quad (\text{Eq.4})$$

[0050] Because there are more degrees of freedom in the system than constraints, the system is underdetermined and has infinitely many solutions. We choose the solution, $\mathbf{T}^*$ with the lowest norm by right multiplying by the pseudo-inverse of $\mathbf{W}^T$. The solution with lowest norm was chosen to prevent the system from displaying erratic behavior and to keep any one weight from dominating the output. After we have solved for $\mathbf{T}$ and $\mathbf{b}$, the training is complete. Compared to other neural network training procedures, such as back propagation, this method is extremely fast and still produces the desired results.

[0051] We have implemented a prototype listener-driven interactive system for adjusting the high dimensional parameter space of hearing aid signal processing algorithms. The system has two components. The first allows listeners to organize a two dimensional space of parameter settings so that the relative distances in the layout correspond to the subjective dissimilarities among the settings. The second performs a nonlinear regression between the coordinates in the subjective space and the underlying parameter settings thus reducing the dimensionality of the parameter adjustment problem. This regression may be performed by a radial basis function neural network that trains rapidly with a few matrix operations. The neural network provides for smooth real-time interpolation among the parameter settings. Those knowledgeable in the art will understand that there are many other ways of interpolating between the presets other than using radial basis functions or neural networks.

[0052] The two system components may be used individually, or in combination. The system is intuitive for the user. It provides real-time interactivity and affords non-tedious exploration of high dimensional parameter spaces such as those associated with multiband compressors and other hearing aid signal processing algorithms. The system captures rich data structures from its users that can be used for understanding individual differences in hearing impairment as well as the appropriateness of parameter settings to differing musical styles.

[0053] It is understood that in various embodiments, the apparatus and processes set forth herein may be embodied in digital hardware, analog hardware, and/or combinations thereof.

[0054] The present subject matter includes hearing assistance devices, including, but not limited to, cochlear implant type hearing devices, hearing aids, such as behind-the-ear (BTE), in-the-ear (ITE), in-the-canal (ITC), or completely-in-the-canal (CIC) type hearing aids. It is understood that behind-the-ear type hearing aids may include devices that reside substantially behind the ear or over the ear. Such devices may include hearing aids with receivers associated with the electronics portion of the behind-the-ear device, or hearing aids of the type having receivers in-the-canal. It is understood that other hearing assistance devices not expressly stated herein may fall within the scope of the present subject matter.

[0055] Although the embodiments of the invention described hereinabove comprise apparatus and processes performed in apparatus, the invention also extends to computer programs, particularly computer programs on or in a carrier, adapted for putting the invention into practice. The program may be in the form of source or object code or in any other form suitable for use in the implementation of the processes according to the invention. The carrier can be any entity or device capable of carrying the program.

[0056] For example, the carrier may comprise a storage medium, such as a ROM, for example a CD ROM or a semiconductor ROM, or a magnetic recording medium, for example a floppy disc or hard disk. Further, the carrier may be a transmissible carrier such as an electrical or optical signal which may be conveyed via electrical or optical cable or by radio or other means.

[0057] When a program is embodied in a signal which may be conveyed directly by a cable or other device or means, the carrier may be constituted by such cable or other device or means.

[0058] Alternatively, the carrier may be an integrated circuit in which the program is embedded, the integrated circuit being adapted for performing, or for use in the performance of, the relevant processes.

[0059] This application is intended to cover adaptations and variations of the present subject matter. It is to be understood that the above description is intended to be illustrative, and not restrictive. The scope of the present invention should be determined with reference to the appended claim.

REFERENCES CITED IN THE DESCRIPTION

This list of references cited by the applicant is for the reader's convenience only. It does not form part of the European patent document. Even though great care has been taken in compiling the references, errors or omissions cannot be excluded and the EPO disclaims all liability in this regard.

Patent documents cited in the description

- [US20070076909A1 \[0003\]](#)
- [EP1194005A2 \[0004\]](#)
- [US20040071304A \[0005\]](#)

Non-patent literature cited in the description

- **J. B. TUFTS**, **M. R. MOLISM**, **R. LEEK** Perception of dissonance by people with normal hearing and sensorineural hearing loss *Acoustical Society of America Journal*, 2005, vol. 118, 955-967 [\[0002\]](#)
- Methods for the calculation of the speech intelligibility index *ANSI S3.5-1997*, 1997, [\[0002\]](#)
- **J. L. PUNCH** Quality judgments of hearing aid-processed speech and music by normal and otopathologic listeners *Journal of the American Audiology Society*, 1978, vol. 3, 4179-188 [\[0002\]](#)
- **C. C. CRANDELL** Individual Differences in Speech Recognition Ability: Implications for Hearing Aid Selection, *Ear and Hearing*, 1991, vol. 12, 6100S-108S [\[0002\]](#)
- **J. R. FRANKS** Judgments of Hearing Aid Processed Music, *Ear and Hearing*, 1982, vol. 3, 118-23 [\[0002\]](#)
- **R. N. SHEPARD** Multidimensional Scaling, Tree-Filling, and Clustering *Science*, 1980, vol. 210, 4468390-398 [\[0019\]](#)
- Psychological Representation of Speech Sounds **R. N. SHEPARD** *Human Communication a United View* McGraw-Hill 1972 000067-113 [\[0019\]](#)
- **A. MOMENID**, **WESSEL** Characterizing and controlling musical material intuitively with geometric models *Proceedings of the 2003 Conference on New Interfaces for Musical Expression*, Montreal, Canada, 2003, 54-62 [\[0019\]](#) [\[0019\]](#)
- **I. BORGP**, **J. F. GROENEN** *Modern Multidimensional Scaling: Theory and Applications* Springer 2005 0000 [\[0019\]](#)
- **WESSEL** Timbre space as a musical control structure *Computer Music Journal*, 1979, vol. 3, 245-52 [\[0019\]](#)
- **R. L. GOLDSTONE** An efficient method for obtaining similarity data *Behavior Research Methods, Instruments, & computers*, 1994, vol. 26, 4381-386 [\[0019\]](#)

Patentkrav

1. Fremgangsmåde til konfigurerings af signal-bearbejdningsparametre af et hørehjælpeapparat (8) til en hører som bruger en mobiltelefon (13),

5 fremgangsmåden omfattende:

at vælge (61) en flerhed af signal-bearbejdningsparametre til styring;

at vælge (62) en flerhed af forvalg, herunder en indstilling for hver af flerheden af signal-bearbejdningsparametre, mindst én parameter af flerheden af signal-bearbejdningsparametre valgt til at dække mindst et
10 parameterrum af interesse;

at vise flerheden af forvalg på et N-dimensionalt rum på en skærm (14) af mobiltelefonen (13);

at optage hørerens organisering af flerheden af forvalg i det N-dimensionale rum baseret på lyd hørt af høreren fra hørehjælpeapparatet bearbejdet ifølge signal-bearbejdningsparametrene ved hvert forvalg;
15

at konstruere (66) en afbildning af koordinater af et N-dimensionalt rum til flerheden af signal-bearbejdningsparametre under anvendelse af interpolation af forvalgene ifølge hørerens organisering i det N-dimensionale rum;

20 at generere interpolerede signal-bearbejdningsparametre fra koordinater forbundet med en cursorposition i det N-dimensionale rum ifølge afbildningen; og

tilvejebringe de interpolerede signal-bearbejdningsparametre til hørehjælpeapparatet (8) trådløst fra mobiltelefonen (13).

25

2. Fremgangsmåden ifølge krav 1, yderligere omfattende:

at opdatere (73) de interpolerede signalbearbejdningsparametre idet høreren bevæger (72) en cursor i det N-dimensionale rum på skærmen (14), hvor de opdaterede signalbearbejdningsparametre ændrer hvordan
30 hørehjælpeapparatet (8) bearbejder lyd til at forårsage ændringer hørbare for høreren fra bearbejdning ved anvendelse af de opdaterede signalbearbejdningsparametre.

- 3.** Fremgangsmåden ifølge et hvilket som helst af de foregående krav, som inkluderer at lagre en foretrukken indstilling af interpolerede parametre baseret på brugerpræference.
- 5 **4.** Fremgangsmåden ifølge et hvilket som helst af de foregående krav, som inkluderer at lagre foretrukne parameterindstillinger som kan programmeres og/eller vælges i forskellige omgivelser.
- 5.** Fremgangsmåden ifølge et hvilket som helst af de foregående krav, hvor en indstilling til at lytte til musik bestemmes baseret på detekterede hørerbevægelser af en cursor på skærmen (14).
- 10 **6.** Fremgangsmåden ifølge et hvilket som helst af de foregående krav, hvor genereringen inkluderer at bruge et radiale basisfunktionsnetværk til at generere de interpolerede parametre.
- 15 **7.** Fremgangsmåden ifølge et hvilket som helst af de foregående krav, hvor et subjektivt rum (72) vises på skærmen (14) af mobiltelefonen (13), en cursor er bevægelig af høreren på skærmen (14) til at justere koordinaterne af cursoren i det subjektive rum, og de interpolerede parametre omberegnes.
- 20 **8.** Mobiltelefon (13) indrettet til at konfigurere signalbearbejdningsparametre af et hørehjælpeapparat (8) til en hører, mobiltelefonen (13) omfattende:
interne programmeringselektronikker (15) programmerede til at:
- 25 vælge (61) en flerhed af signalbearbejdningsparametre til styring;
 vælge (62) en flerhed af forvalg, som inkluderer en indstilling for hver af flerheden af signalbearbejdningsparametre, mindst en parameter af flerheden af signalbearbejdningsparametre valgt til at dække mindst et parameterrum af interesse;
- 30 vise flerheden af forvalg på et N-dimensionalt rum;
 optage hørerens organisering af flerheden af forvalg i det N-dimensionale rum baseret på lyd hørt af høreren fra hørehjælpeapparatet bearbejdet ifølge signalbearbejdningsparametrene ved hvert forvalg;

- konstruere (66) en afbildning af koordinater af det N-dimensionale rum til flerheden af signalbearbejdningsparametre under anvendelse af interpolation af forvalgene ifølge hørerens organisering i det N-dimensionale rum;
- 5 generere interpolerede signalbearbejdningsparametre fra koordinater forbundet med en cursorposition i det N-dimensionale rum ifølge afbildningen; og tilvejebringe de interpolerede signalbearbejdningsparametre til hørehjælpeapparatet (8) trådløst fra mobiltelefonen (13).
- 10
- 9.** Mobiltelefonen (13) ifølge krav 8, hvor hørehjælpeapparatet (8) er et høreapparat (8).
- 10.** Mobiltelefonen (13) ifølge krav 8 eller krav 9, hvor de interne
- 15 programmeringselektronikker (15) er programmerede til at bruge et radiale basisfunktionsneuralnetværk til at generere de interpolerede signalbearbejdningsparametre.
- 11.** Mobiltelefonen (13) ifølge et hvilket som helst af kravene 8 til 10, yderligere
- 20 omfattende hukommelse til at gemme foretrukne indstillinger.
- 12.** Mobiltelefonen (13) ifølge et hvilket som helst af kravene 8 til 11, yderligere omfattende en skærm (14) til at vise et subjektivt rum (72) for at tillade høreren at bevæge en cursor på skærmen (14) til at justere koordinater af cursoren i det
- 25 subjektive rum (72) til en omberegning af interpolerede parametre.

DRAWINGS




Fig. 1A






Fig. 1B






Fig. 3






Fig. 4

*Fig. 5*

*Fig. 6*




Fig. 7A




Fig. 7B




Fig. 8

81




Fig. 9