



US00RE40531E

(19) **United States**
 (12) **Reissued Patent**
Graff et al.

(10) **Patent Number:** **US RE40,531 E**
 (45) **Date of Reissued Patent:** ***Oct. 7, 2008**

(54) **ULTRABARRIER SUBSTRATES**

(75) Inventors: **Gordon Lee Graff**, West Richland, WA (US); **Mark Edward Gross**, Pasco, WA (US); **Ming Kun Shi**, Pleasanton, CA (US); **Michael Gene Hall**, West Richland, WA (US); **Peter Maclyn Martin**, Kennewick, WA (US); **Eric Sidney Mast**, Richland, WA (US)

(73) Assignee: **Battelle Memorial Institute**, Columbus, OH (US)

(*) Notice: This patent is subject to a terminal disclaimer.

(21) Appl. No.: **10/890,437**

(22) Filed: **Jul. 12, 2004**

Related U.S. Patent Documents

Reissue of:

(64) Patent No.: **6,413,645**
 Issued: **Jul. 2, 2002**
 Appl. No.: **09/553,188**
 Filed: **Apr. 20, 2000**

U.S. Applications:

(63) Continuation-in-part of application No. 09/427,138, filed on Oct. 25, 1999, now Pat. No. 6,522,067.

(51) **Int. Cl.**
B32B 15/04 (2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.** **428/446**; 428/457; 428/469;
 428/688; 428/500; 428/411.1; 428/690; 426/126

(58) **Field of Classification Search** 428/446,
 428/457, 469, 688, 500, 690, 411.1; 426/126
 See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited****U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

2,382,432 A	8/1945	McManus et al.
2,384,500 A	9/1945	Stoll
3,475,307 A	* 10/1969	Teumer et al. 204/168
3,607,365 A	* 9/1971	Lindlof 427/213
3,941,630 A	* 3/1976	Larrabee 438/75
4,061,835 A	12/1977	Poppe et al.
4,098,965 A	* 7/1978	Kinsman 429/153
4,266,223 A	5/1981	Frame
4,283,482 A	* 8/1981	Hattori et al. 430/296
4,313,254 A	2/1982	Feldman et al.
4,426,275 A	1/1984	Meckel et al.
4,521,458 A	6/1985	Nelson
4,537,814 A	8/1985	Itoh et al.
4,555,274 A	11/1985	Kitajima et al.
4,557,978 A	12/1985	Mason
4,572,842 A	2/1986	Dietrich et al.
4,581,337 A	* 4/1986	Frey et al. 436/533
4,624,867 A	* 11/1986	Iijima et al. 427/255.6
4,695,618 A	* 9/1987	Mowrer 528/55
4,710,426 A	12/1987	Stephens
4,722,515 A	2/1988	Ham
4,768,666 A	9/1988	Kessler
4,842,893 A	* 6/1989	Yializis et al. 427/497

(Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

BE	704297	2/1968
BE	704 297	* 2/1968
CA	2353506 A1	5/2000
DE	196 03 746 A1	4/1997
DE	19603746	* 4/1997
DE	696 15 510 T2	5/2002
EP	0 147 696 B1	7/1985
EP	0 299 753	* 1/1989
EP	0 299 753 A2	1/1989
EP	0 340 935 A2	11/1989
EP	0 340 935	* 11/1989
EP	0 390 540 B1	3/1990
EP	0 390 540	* 10/1990
EP	0 468 440 A2	1/1992
EP	0 547 550 A1	6/1993
EP	0 547 550	* 6/1993
EP	0 590 467 A1	4/1994
EP	0 590 467	* 4/1994
EP	0 722 787	* 7/1996
EP	0 722 787 A2	7/1996
EP	0 777 280 B1	6/1997
EP	0 777 280 A2	6/1997
EP	0 777 281 A2	6/1997
EP	0 787 824 A2	8/1997

(Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Inoue et al., Proc. Jpn. Congr. Mater. Res., vol. 33, p. 177-9, 1990.*

Affinito, J.F., et al., "Vacuum Deposition of Polymer Electrolytes On Flexible Substrates", "Proceedings of the Ninth International Conference on Vacuum Web Coating", Nov. 1995 ed R. Bakish, Bakish Press 1995, pp. 20-36.*

Penning, F.M., Electrical Discharges in Gasses, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, 1965, Chapters 5-6, pp. 19-35, and Chapter 8, pp. 41-50.*

Akedo et al., "LP-5: Lake-News Poster: Plasma-CVD SiNx/Plasma-Polymerized CNx:H Multi-layer Passivation Films for Organic Light Emitting Diodes", SID 03 Digest. Chwang et al., "Thin Film encapsulated flexible organic electroluminescent displays", American Institute of Physics, 2003.

Wong, C.P., "Recent Advances in IC Passivation and Encapsulation: Process Techniques and Materials," Polymers for Electronic and Photonic Applications, AT&T Bell Laboratories, 1993, pp. 167-209.

(Continued)

Primary Examiner—Kuo-Liang Peng

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm*—Dinsmore & Shohl LLP

(57) **ABSTRACT**

A barrier assembly. The barrier assembly includes at least one barrier stack having at least one barrier layer and at least one polymer layer. The barrier stack has an oxygen transmission rate of less than 0.005 cc/m²/day at 23° C. and 0% relative humidity, and an oxygen transmission rate of less than 0.005 cc/m²/day at 38° C. and 90% relative humidity. The barrier stack also has a water vapor transmission rate of less than 0.005 g/m²/day at 38° C. and 100% relative humidity. A method for making a barrier assembly is also disclosed.

25 Claims, 1 Drawing Sheet

US RE40,531 E

Page 2

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS									
4,843,036	A	6/1989	Schmidt et al.	5,902,688	A	*	5/1999	Antoniadis et al.	
4,855,186	A	8/1989	Grolig et al.	5,904,958	A	*	5/1999	Dick et al.	
4,889,609	A	12/1989	Cannella	5,912,069	A	*	6/1999	Yializis et al.	
4,913,090	A	4/1990	Harada et al.	5,919,328	A		7/1999	Tropsha et al.	
4,931,158	A	6/1990	Bunshah et al.	5,920,080	A		7/1999	Jones	
4,934,315	A	6/1990	Linnebach et al.	5,922,161	A	*	7/1999	Wu et al.	
4,954,371	A	*	9/1990	Yializis	5,929,562	A	7/1999	Pichler	
4,977,013	A	12/1990	Ritchie et al.	5,934,856	A		8/1999	Asakawa et al.	
5,032,461	A	*	7/1991	Shaw et al.	5,945,174	A	*	8/1999	Shaw et al.
5,036,249	A	*	7/1991	Pike-Biegunski et al.	5,948,552	A	*	9/1999	Antoniadis et al.
5,047,131	A	9/1991	Wolfe et al.	5,952,778	A	*	9/1999	Haskal et al.	
5,059,861	A	10/1991	Littman et al.	5,955,161	A		9/1999	Tropsha	
5,124,204	A	*	6/1992	Yamashita et al.	5,965,907	A	*	10/1999	Huang et al.
5,189,405	A	*	2/1993	Yamashita et al.	5,968,620	A		10/1999	Harvey et al.
5,203,898	A	4/1993	Carpenter et al.	5,994,174	A		11/1999	Carey et al.	
5,204,314	A	4/1993	Kirlin et al.	5,996,498	A	*	12/1999	Lewis	
5,237,439	A	*	8/1993	Misono et al.	6,013,337	A		1/2000	Knors
5,260,095	A	*	11/1993	Affinito	6,040,017	A		3/2000	Mikhael et al.
5,336,324	A	8/1994	Stall et al.	6,045,864	A	*	4/2000	Lyons et al.	
5,354,497	A	*	10/1994	Fukuchi et al.	6,066,826	A		5/2000	Yializis
5,356,947	A	10/1994	Ali et al.	6,083,313	A		7/2000	Venkatraman et al.	
5,393,607	A	2/1995	Kawasaki et al.	6,083,628	A	*	7/2000	Yializis	
5,395,644	A	*	3/1995	Affinito	6,084,702	A		7/2000	Byker et al.
5,402,314	A	3/1995	Amago et al.	6,087,007	A		7/2000	Fujii et al.	
5,427,638	A	*	6/1995	Goetz et al.	6,092,269	A		7/2000	Yializis et al.
5,440,446	A	*	8/1995	Shaw et al.	6,106,627	A		8/2000	Yializis et al.
5,451,449	A	9/1995	Shetty et al.	6,117,266	A		9/2000	Horzel et al.	
5,461,545	A	10/1995	Leroy et al.	6,118,218	A		9/2000	Yializis et al.	
5,464,667	A	11/1995	Kohler et al.	6,137,221	A		10/2000	Roitman et al.	
5,510,173	A	4/1996	Pass et al.	6,146,225	A	*	11/2000	Sheets et al.	
5,512,320	A	4/1996	Turner et al.	6,146,462	A		11/2000	Yializis et al.	
5,536,323	A	*	7/1996	Kirlin et al.	6,150,187	A		11/2000	Zyung et al.
5,547,508	A	*	8/1996	Affinito	6,165,566	A		12/2000	Tropsha
5,554,220	A	*	9/1996	Forrest et al.	6,178,082	B1	*	1/2001	Farooq et al.
5,576,101	A	*	11/1996	Saitoh et al.	6,195,142	B1		2/2001	Gyotoku et al.
5,578,141	A	11/1996	Mori et al.	6,198,217	B1		3/2001	Suzuki et al.	
5,607,789	A	*	3/1997	Treger et al.	6,198,220	B1		3/2001	Jones et al.
5,620,524	A	*	4/1997	Fan et al.	6,203,898	B1		3/2001	Kohler et al.
5,629,389	A	*	5/1997	Roitman et al.	6,207,238	B1		3/2001	Affinito
5,652,192	A	7/1997	Matson et al.	6,207,239	B1		3/2001	Affinito	
5,654,084	A	*	8/1997	Egert	6,214,422	B1		4/2001	Yializis
5,660,961	A	8/1997	Yu	6,217,947	B1		4/2001	Affinito	
5,665,280	A	9/1997	Tropsha	6,224,948	B1		5/2001	Affinito	
5,681,615	A	*	10/1997	Affinito et al.	6,228,434	B1		5/2001	Affinito
5,681,666	A	*	10/1997	Treger et al.	6,228,436	B1		5/2001	Affinito
5,684,084	A	*	11/1997	Lewin et al.	6,231,939	B1		5/2001	Shaw et al.
5,686,360	A	*	11/1997	Harvey et al.	6,264,747	B1		7/2001	Shaw et al.
5,693,956	A	*	12/1997	Shi et al.	6,268,695	B1		7/2001	Affinito
5,695,564	A	12/1997	Imahashi	6,274,204	B1		8/2001	Affinito	
5,711,816	A	*	1/1998	Kirlin et al.	6,322,860	B1		11/2001	Stein et al.
5,725,909	A	3/1998	Shaw et al.	6,333,065	B1		12/2001	Arai et al.	
5,731,661	A	*	3/1998	So et al.	6,348,237	B2		2/2002	Kohler et al.
5,736,207	A	4/1998	Walther et al.	6,350,034	B1		2/2002	Fleming et al.	
5,747,182	A	*	5/1998	Friend et al.	6,352,777	B1		3/2002	Bulovic et al.
5,757,126	A	*	5/1998	Harvey et al.	6,358,570	B1		3/2002	Affinito
5,759,329	A	*	6/1998	Krause et al.	6,361,885	B1		3/2002	Chou
5,771,177	A	6/1998	Tada et al.	6,387,732	B1		5/2002	Akram	
5,771,562	A	*	6/1998	Harvey, III et al.	6,397,776	B1		6/2002	Yang et al.
5,782,355	A	7/1998	Katagiri et al.	6,413,645	B1		7/2002	Graff et al.	
5,792,550	A	*	8/1998	Phillips et al.	6,416,872	B1		7/2002	Maschwitz
5,795,399	A	8/1998	Hasegawa et al.	6,420,003	B2		7/2002	Shaw et al.	
5,811,177	A	*	9/1998	Shi et al.	6,436,544	B1		8/2002	Veyrat et al.
5,811,183	A	*	9/1998	Shaw et al.	6,460,369	B2		10/2002	Hosokawa
5,821,692	A	*	10/1998	Rogers et al.	6,465,953	B1		10/2002	Duggal
5,844,363	A	*	12/1998	Gu et al.	6,468,595	B1		10/2002	Mikhael et al.
5,869,791	A	2/1999	Young	6,469,437	B1		10/2002	Parthasarathy et al.	
5,872,355	A	*	2/1999	Hueschen	6,492,026	B1	*	12/2002	Graff et al.
5,891,554	A	4/1999	Hosokawa et al.	6,497,598	B2		12/2002	Affinito	
5,895,228	A	4/1999	Biebuyck et al.	6,497,924	B2		12/2002	Affinito et al.	
5,902,641	A	*	5/1999	Affinito et al.	6,509,065	B2		1/2003	Affinito
					6,512,561	B1		1/2003	Terashita et al.

US RE40,531 E

Page 3

6,522,067 B1	2/2003	Graff et al.	2003/0098647 A1	5/2003	Silvernail et al.
6,537,688 B2	3/2003	Silvernail et al.	2003/0124392 A1	7/2003	Bright
6,544,600 B2	4/2003	Affinito et al.	2003/0127973 A1	7/2003	Weaver et al.
6,548,912 B1 *	4/2003	Graff et al. 257/787	2003/0184222 A1	10/2003	Nilsson
6,569,515 B2	5/2003	Hebrink et al.	2003/0197197 A1	10/2003	Brown et al.
6,570,325 B2 *	5/2003	Graff et al. 313/506	2003/0218422 A1	11/2003	Park et al.
6,573,652 B1 *	6/2003	Graff et al. 313/512	2003/0235648 A1	12/2003	Affinito et al.
6,576,351 B2	6/2003	Silvernail	2004/0029334 A1	2/2004	Bijker et al.
6,592,969 B1	7/2003	Burroughes et al.	2004/0046497 A1	3/2004	Schaepkens et al.
6,597,111 B2	7/2003	Silvernail et al.	2004/0071971 A1	4/2004	Lacovangelo
6,613,395 B2	9/2003	Affinito et al.	2004/0113542 A1	6/2004	Hsiao et al.
6,614,057 B2	9/2003	Silvernail et al.	2004/0115402 A1	6/2004	Schaepkens
6,624,568 B2	9/2003	Silvernail	2004/0115859 A1	6/2004	Murayama et al.
6,627,267 B2	9/2003	Affinito	2004/0119028 A1	6/2004	McCormick et al.
6,628,071 B1	9/2003	Su	2004/0175512 A1	9/2004	Schaepkens
6,653,780 B2	11/2003	Sugimoto et al.	2004/0175580 A1	9/2004	Schaepkens
6,656,537 B2	12/2003	Affinito et al.	2004/0209090 A1	10/2004	Iwanaga
6,664,137 B2	12/2003	Weaver	2004/0219380 A1	11/2004	Naruse et al.
6,681,716 B2	1/2004	Schaepkens	2004/0229051 A1	11/2004	Schaepkens et al.
6,720,203 B2 *	4/2004	Carcia et al. 438/99	2004/0241454 A1	12/2004	Shaw et al.
6,734,625 B2	5/2004	Vong et al.	2004/0263038 A1	12/2004	Ribolzi et al.
6,743,524 B2	6/2004	Schaepkens	2005/0003098 A1	1/2005	Kohler et al.
6,749,940 B1	6/2004	Terasaki et al.	2005/0006786 A1	1/2005	Sawada
6,765,351 B2	7/2004	Forrest et al.	2005/0051094 A1	3/2005	Schaepkens et al.
6,811,829 B2	11/2004	Affinito et al.	2005/0079295 A1	4/2005	Schaepkens
6,815,887 B2	11/2004	Lee et al.	2005/0079380 A1	4/2005	Iwanaga
6,818,291 B2	11/2004	Funkenbusch et al.	2005/0093001 A1	5/2005	Liu et al.
6,835,950 B2	12/2004	Brown et al.	2005/0093437 A1	5/2005	Ouyang
6,836,070 B2	12/2004	Chung et al.	2005/0094394 A1	5/2005	Padiyath et al.
6,837,950 B1	1/2005	Berard	2005/0095736 A1	5/2005	Padiyath et al.
6,864,629 B2	3/2005	Miyaguchi et al.	2005/0112378 A1	5/2005	Naruse et al.
6,866,901 B2	3/2005	Burrows et al.	2005/0122039 A1	6/2005	Satani
6,867,539 B1	3/2005	McCormick et al.	2005/0129841 A1	6/2005	McCormick et al.
6,872,114 B2	3/2005	Chung et al.	2005/0133781 A1	6/2005	Yan et al.
6,872,248 B2	3/2005	Mizutani et al.	2005/0140291 A1	6/2005	Hirakata et al.
6,872,428 B2	3/2005	Yang et al.	2005/0146267 A1	7/2005	Lee et al.
6,878,467 B2	4/2005	Chung et al.	2005/0174045 A1	8/2005	Lee et al.
6,888,305 B2	5/2005	Weaver	2005/0212419 A1	9/2005	Vazan et al.
6,888,307 B2	5/2005	Silvernail et al.	2005/0238846 A1	10/2005	Arakatsu et al.
6,891,330 B2	5/2005	Duggal et al.	2006/0003474 A1	1/2006	Tyan et al.
6,897,474 B2	5/2005	Brown et al.	2006/0028128 A1	2/2006	Ohkubo
6,897,607 B2	5/2005	Sugimoto et al.	2006/0061272 A1	3/2006	McCormick et al.
6,905,769 B2	6/2005	Komada	2006/0062937 A1	3/2006	Padiyath et al.
6,923,702 B2 *	8/2005	Graff et al. 445/24	2006/0063015 A1	3/2006	McCormick et al.
6,936,131 B2	8/2005	McCormick et al.	2006/0246811 A1	11/2006	Winters et al.
6,975,067 B2	12/2005	McCormick et al.	2006/0250084 A1	11/2006	Cok et al.
6,994,933 B1	2/2006	Bates	2007/0009674 A1	1/2007	Okubo et al.
6,998,648 B2	2/2006	Silvernail			
7,002,294 B2	2/2006	Forrest et al.			
7,012,363 B2	3/2006	Weaver et al.			
7,015,640 B2	3/2006	Schaepkens et al.			
7,018,713 B2	3/2006	Padiyath et al.			
7,029,765 B2	4/2006	Kwong et al.			
7,033,850 B2	4/2006	Tyan et al.			
7,056,584 B2	6/2006	Iacovangelo			
7,086,918 B2	8/2006	Hsiao et al.			
7,156,942 B2	1/2007	McCormick et al.			
7,183,197 B2	2/2007	Won et al.			
2001/0015074 A1	8/2001	Hosokawa			
2001/0015620 A1	8/2001	Affinito			
2002/0022156 A1	2/2002	Bright			
2002/0025444 A1	2/2002	Hebgrink et al.			
2002/0068143 A1	6/2002	Silvernail			
2002/0069826 A1	6/2002	Hunt et al.			
2002/0102363 A1	8/2002	Affinito et al.			
2002/0102818 A1	8/2002	Sandhu et al.			
2002/0125822 A1	9/2002	Graff et al.			
2002/0139303 A1	10/2002	Yamazaki et al.			
2002/0140347 A1	10/2002	Weaver			
2003/0038590 A1	2/2003	Silvernail et al.			
2003/0085652 A1	5/2003	Weaver			
			FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS		
			EP	0 787 826 A1	8/1997
			EP	0 787 826 *	8/1997
			EP	0 915 105 A1	5/1999
			EP	0 916 394 *	5/1999
			EP	0 916 394 A2	5/1999
			EP	0 931 850 *	7/1999
			EP	0 931 850 A1	7/1999
			EP	0 977 469 *	2/2000
			EP	0 977 469 A2	2/2000
			EP	1 021 070 A1	7/2000
			EP	1 127 381 A1	8/2001
			EP	1 130 420 A2	9/2001
			EP	1 278 244 A2	1/2003
			EP	1 514 317 A1	3/2005
			JP	S63-96895	4/1988
			JP	63136316 *	6/1988
			JP	63136316	8/1988
			JP	64-18441	1/1989
			JP	S64-41192	2/1989
			JP	2-183230	7/1990
			JP	3-290375	12/1991
			JP	4-48515	2/1992

JP	4-14440	4/1992
JP	H4-267097	9/1992
JP	H5-182759	7/1993
JP	06-136159	5/1994
JP	06158305	6/1994
JP	61-79644	6/1994
JP	6-234186	8/1994
JP	07-074378	3/1995
JP	H07-147189	6/1995
JP	H7-192866	7/1995
JP	8-72188	3/1996
JP	H8-171988	7/1996
JP	8-179292	7/1996
JP	08325713	10/1996
JP	08325713	* 12/1996
JP	09059763	4/1997
JP	H9-132774	5/1997
JP	09-161967	6/1997
JP	09-232553	9/1997
JP	10-013083	1/1998
JP	H10-41067	2/1998
JP	11-017106	1/1999
JP	11-040344	2/1999
JP	11-255928	9/1999
JP	2000-058258	2/2000
JP	2002/505969	2/2002
JP	10312883	3/2002
JP	3579556 B2	10/2004
JP	2006-294780	10/2006
WO	WO 87/07848	12/1987
WO	WO 98/00337	1/1989
WO	WO 95/10117	4/1995
WO	WO 96/23217	8/1996
WO	WO 97/04885	2/1997
WO	WO 97/16053	5/1997
WO	WO 97/22631	6/1997
WO	WO 98/10116	3/1998
WO	WO 98/18852	5/1998
WO	WO 99/16557	4/1999
WO	WO 99/16931	4/1999
WO	WO 99/46120	9/1999
WO	WO 00/26973	5/2000
WO	WO 00/35603	6/2000
WO	WO 00/35604	6/2000
WO	WO 00/35993	6/2000
WO	WO 00/36661	6/2000
WO	WO 00/36665	6/2000
WO	WO 01/68360 A1	9/2001
WO	WO 01/81649 A1	11/2001
WO	WO 01/82336 A2	11/2001
WO	WO 01/82389 A1	11/2001
WO	WO 01/87825 A1	11/2001
WO	WO 01/89006 A1	11/2001
WO	WO 02/26978 A1	4/2002
WO	WO 03/016589 A1	2/2003
WO	WO 03/098716 A1	11/2003
WO	WO 2004/006199 A2	1/2004
WO	WO 2004/016992 A1	2/2004
WO	WO 2004/070840 A1	8/2004
WO	WO 2004/089620 A2	10/2004
WO	WO 2005/015655 A1	2/2005
WO	WO 2005/045947 A2	5/2005
WO	WO 2005/048368 A1	5/2005
WO	WO 2006/036492	4/2006

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

De Gryse, R. et al., "Sputtered Transparent Barrier Layers," Tenth International Conference on Vacuum Web Coating, Nov. 1996, pp. 190-198.

Shi, M.K., et al., Plasma treatment of PET and acrylic coating surfaces-I, In situ XPS measurements, Journal of Adhesion Science and Technology, Mar. 2000, 14(12), pp. 1-28.

Affinito, J.D. et al., "Vacuum Deposition of Polymer Electrolytes on Flexible Substrates" The Ninth International Conference on Vacuum Web Coating, 1995, pp. 0-16.

Affinito, J.D., Vacuum Deposited Conductive Polymer Films; The Eleventh International Conference on Vacuum Web Coatings, Nov. 9-11, 1997, pp. 1-13.

Affinito, J.D. et al, Ultra High Rate, Wide Area, Plasma Polymerized Films from High Molecular Weight/Low Vapor Pressure Liquid or Solid Monomer Precursors; 45th International Symposium of the American Vacuum Society; Nov. 2-6, 1998, pp. 0-26.

Norenberg, H. et al., Comparative Study of Oxygen Permeation Through Polymers and Gas Barrier Films, Denver, Apr. 15-20, 2000; pp. 347-351; Society of Vacuum Coaters.

Yializis, A. et al., Ultra High Barrier Films; Denver, Apr. 15-20, 2000, pp. 404-407; Society of Vacuum Coaters.

Henry, B.M. et al., Microstructural and Gas Barrier Properties of Transparent Aluminum Oxide and Indium Tin Oxide Films; Denver, Apr. 15-20, 2000; pp. 373-378; Society of Vacuum Coaters.

Tropsha et al., Combinatorial Barrier Effect of the Multilayer SiO_x Coatings on Polymer Substrates; 1997 Society of Vacuum Coaters, 40th Annual Technical Conferences Proceedings; Apr. 12-17, 1997; pp. 64-69.

Tropsha et al., Activated Rate Theory Treatment of Oxygen and Water Transport through Silicon Oxide/Poly(ethylene terphthalate) Composite Barrier Structures; J. Phys. Chem B Mar. 1997; pp. 2259-2266.

Affinito, J.D. et al., PML/Oxide/PML Barrier Layer Performance Differences Arising From Use Of UV Or Electron Beam Polymerization Of The PML Layers, SVC 40th Annual Technical Conference, Apr. 12-17, 1997, 4 pages only.

Affinito, J.D. et al., Vacuum Deposited Polymer/metal Multilayer Films for Optical Applications; Paper No. C1.13; International Conference on Metallurgical Coatings; Apr. 15-21, 1995, pp. 1-14.

Felts, J.T., Transparent Barrier Coatings Update: Flexible Substrates; Society of Vacuum Coaters; 36th Annual Technical Conference Proceedings; Apr. 25-30, 1993; pp. 324-331.

Affinito, J.D. et al., Molecularly Doped Polymer Composite Films for Light Emitting Polymer Application Fabricated by the PML Process; 41st Technical Conference of the Society of Vacuum Coaters; Apr. 1998; pp. 220-225.

Affinito, J.D. et al., Polymer/polymer, Polymer/Oxide, and Polymer/Metal Vacuum Deposited Interference Filters; Tenth International Vacuum Web Coating Conference; Nov. 1996; pp. 0-14.

Mahon, J.K. et al, Requirements of Flexible Substrates for Organic Light Emitting Devices in Flat Panel Display Applications, Society of Vacuum Coaters, 42nd Annual Technical Conference Proceedings, Apr. 1999, pp. 456-459.

Kukla, R. et al., Transparent Barrier Coatings with EB-Evaporation, an Update; Section Five; Transparent Barrier Coating Papers; Thirteenth International Conference on Vacuum Web Coating; Oct. 17-19, 1999 pp. 222-233.

Hibino, N. et al., Transparent Barrier Al₂O₃ Coating By Activated Reactive Evaporation; Thirteenth International Conference on Vacuum Web Coating; Oct. 17-19, 1999; pp. 234-246.

- Bright, Clark I., Transparent Barrier Coatings Based on ITO for Flexible Plastic Displays; Thirteenth International Conference on Vacuum Web Coating; Oct. 17–19, 1999; pp. 247–255.
- Henry, B.M. et al., Microstructural Studies of Transparent Gas Barrier Coatings on Polymer Substrates; Thirteenth International Conference on Vacuum Web Coating; Oct. 17–19, 1999; pp. 265–273.
- Affinito, J.D. et al.; Ultra High Rate, Wide Area, Plasma Polymerized Films from High Molecular Weight/Low Vapor Pressure Liquid or Liquid/Solid Suspension Monomer Precursors; MRS Conference; Nov. 29–Dec. 3, 1998; Paper No. Y12.1.
- Affinito, J. D. et al., “Vacuum Deposited Conductive Polymer Films” The Eleventh International Conference on Vacuum Web Coating, no earlier than Feb. 1998, pp. 200–212.
- Affinito, J. D. et al., “Molecularly Doped Polymer Composite Films for Light Emitting Polymer Applications Fabricated by the PML Process” 41st Technical Conference of Society of Vacuum Coaters, Apr. 1998, pp. 1–6.
- Bunshah, R. F. et al., “Deposition Technologies for Films and Coatings” Noyes Publications, Park Ridge, New Jersey, 1982, p. 339.
- Affinito, J. D., Energy Res. Abstr. 18(6), #17171, 1993.
- Graupner, W. et al.; “High Resolution Color Organic Light Emitting Diode Microdisplay Fabrication Method”, SPIE Proceedings; Nov. 6, 2000; pp. 1–9.
- Czeremuszkin, G. et al.; Permeation Through Defects in Transparent Barrier Coated Plastic Films; 43rd Annual Technical Conference Proceedings; Apr. 15, 2000; pp. 408–413.
- Vossen, J.L. et al.; Thin Film Processes; Academic Press, 1978, Part II, Chapter II–1, Glow Discharge Sputter Deposition, pp. 12–63; Part IV, Chapter IV–1 Plasma Deposition of Inorganic Compounds and Chapter IV–2 Glow Discharge Polymerization, pp. 335–397.
- G. Gustafson, et al.; Flexible light-emitting diodes made from soluble conducting polymers; Letters to Nature; vol. 357; Jun. 11, 1992; pp. 477–479.
- F.M. Penning; Electrical Discharges in Gases; 1965; pp. 1–51; Gordon and Breach, Science Publishers, New York–London–Paris.
- Affinito, J.D., et al.; High Rate Vacuum Deposition of Polymer Electrolytes: Journal Vacuum Science Technology A 14(3), May/Jun. 1996.
- Affinito, J.D. et al.; Vacuum Deposition of Polymer Electrolytes On Flexible Substrates, The Ninth International Conference on Vacuum Web Coating; pp. 20–37.
- Affinito, J.D. et al.; Ultrahigh Rate, Wide Area, Plasma Polymerized Films from High Molecular Weight/Low Vapor Pressure Liquid or Solid Monomer Precursors; Journal Vacuum Science Technology A 17(4); Jul./Aug. 1999; pp. 1974–1981; American Vacuum Society.
- Shi, M.K. et al.; In situ and real-time monitoring of plasma-induced etching PET and acrylic films, Plasmas and Polymers; Dec. 1999, 494); pp. 1–25.
- Affinito, J.D. et al.; Molecularly Doped Polymer Composite Films for Light Emitting Polymer Application Fabricated by the PML Process; 41st Technical Conference of the Society of Vacuum Coaters; 1998; pp. 220–225.
- Affinito, J.D. et al.; Vacuum Deposited Polymer/Metal Multilayer Films for Optical Application; Thin Solid Films 270, 1995; pp. 43–48.
- Felts, J.T.; Transparent Barrier Coatings Update: Flexible Substrates; pp. 324–331.
- Phillips, R.W.; Evaporated Dielectric Colorless Films on PET and Opp Exhibiting High Barriers Toward Moisture and Oxygen; Society of Vacuum Coaters; 36th Annual Technical Conference Proceedings; 1993; pp. 293–300.
- Yamada, Y. et al.; The Properties of a New Transparent and Colorless Barrier Film; 1995; pp. 28–31; Society of Vacuum Coaters.
- Chahroudi, D.; Transparent Glass Barrier Coatings for Flexible Film Packaging; 1991; pp. 130–133; Society of Vacuum Coaters.
- Krug, T. et al.; New Developments in Transparent Barrier Coatings; 1993; pp. 302–305; Society Vacuum Coaters.
- Affinito, J.D. et al.; PML/Oxide/PML Barrier Layer Performance Differences Arising From Use Of UV or Electron Beam Polymerization of the PML Layers; Thin Solid Films; Elsevier Science S.A.; vol. 308–309; Oct. 31, 1997; pp. 19–25.
- Affinito, J.D. et al.; A new method for fabricating transparent barrier layers, Thin Solid Films 290–291; 1996; pp. 63–67.
- Affinito, J.D. et al.; Polymer–Oxide Transparent Barrier Layers; SVC 39th Annual Technical Conference; Vacuum Web Coating Session; 1996; pp. 392–397.
- Hoffmann, G. et al.; Transparent Barrier Coatings by Reactive Evaporation; 1994; pp. 155–160; Society of Vacuum Coaters.
- Klemberg-Sapieha, J.E. et al.; Transparent Gas Barrier Coatings Produced by Dual-Frequency PECVD; 1993; pp. 445–449; Society of Vacuum Coaters.
- Finson, E. et al.; Transparent SiO₂ Barrier Coatings: Conversion and Production Status; 1994; pp. 139–143; Society of Vacuum Coaters.
- Yializis, A. et al.; High Oxygen Barrier Polypropylene Films Using Transparent Acrylate–Al₂O₃ and Opaque Al–Acrylate Coatings; 1995; pp. 95–102; Society of Vacuum Coaters.
- Shaw, D.G. et al.; Use of Vapor Deposited Acrylate Coatings to Improve the Barrier Properties of Metallized Film; 1994; pp. 240–244; Society of Vacuum Coaters.
- Clark I. Bright, et al., Transparent Barrier Coatings Based on ITO for Flexible Plastic Displays, Oct. 17–19, 1999, pp. 247–264, Tucson, Arizona.
- Notification of Transmittal of the International Search Report Or The Declaration, Mar. 3, 2000, PCT/US99/29853.*

* cited by examiner

FIG. 1





FIG. 2



ULTRABARRIER SUBSTRATES

Matter enclosed in heavy brackets [] appears in the original patent but forms no part of this reissue specification; matter printed in italics indicates the additions made by reissue.

This application is a continuation-in-part of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 09/427,138, filed Oct. 25, 1999, entitled "Environmental Barrier Material For Organic Light Emitting Device and Method Of Making," now U.S. Pat. No. 6,522,067, issued Feb. 18, 2003.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates generally to barrier coatings, and more particularly to barrier coatings having improved barrier properties.

Many different types of products are sensitive to gas and liquids, which can cause deterioration of the product or render it useless, including electronics, medical devices, and pharmaceuticals. Barrier coatings have been included in the packaging for these environmentally sensitive products to protect them from gas and liquid transmission. As used herein, the term environmentally sensitive means products which are subject to degradation caused by permeation of environmental gases or liquids, such as oxygen and water vapor in the atmosphere or chemicals used in the processing, handling, storage, and use of the product.

Plastics are often used in product packaging. However, the gas and liquid permeation resistance of plastics is poor, often several orders of magnitude below what is required for product performance. For example, the oxygen transmission rates for materials such as polyethylene terephthalate (PET) are as high as 1550 cc/m²/day/micron of thickness (or 8.7 cc/m²/day for 7 mil thickness PET), and the water vapor transmission rates are also in this range. Certain display applications using environmentally sensitive display devices, such as organic light emitting devices, require encapsulation that has a maximum oxygen transmission rate of 10⁻⁴ to 10⁻² cc/m²/day, and a maximum water vapor transmission rate of 10⁻⁵ to 10⁻⁶ g/m²/day.

Barrier coatings have been applied to plastic substrates to decrease their gas and liquid permeability. Barrier coatings typically consist of single layer thin film inorganic materials, such as Al, SiO_x, AlO_x, an Si₃N₄ vacuum deposited on polymeric substrates. A single layer coating on PET reduces oxygen permeability to levels of about 0.1 to 1.0 cc/m²/day, and water vapor permeability to about 0.1 to 1.0 g/m²/day, which is insufficient for many display devices.

Barrier coatings which include alternating barrier layers and polymeric layers have been developed. For example, U.S. Pat. Nos. 5,607,789 and 5,681,666 disclose a moisture barrier for an electrochemical cell tester. However, the claimed moisture barrier ranges from 2 to 15 micrograms/in²/day which corresponds to a rate of 0.003 to 0.023 g/m²/day. U.S. Pat. No. 5,725,909 to Shaw et al. discloses a coating for packaging materials which has an acrylate layer and an oxygen barrier layer. The oxygen transmission rate for the coating was reported to be 0.1 cc/m²/day at 23° C. and the water vapor transmission rate was reported to be 0.01 g/m²/day in D. G. Shaw and M. G. Langlois, Society of Vacuum Coaters, 37th Annual Technical Conference Proceedings, p. 240-244, 1994. The oxygen transmission rates for these coatings are inadequate for many display devices.

Thus, there is a need for an improved, lightweight, barrier coating, and for methods for making such a barrier coating.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention meets these needs by providing a barrier assembly and a method for making such an assembly. The barrier assembly includes at least one barrier stack having at least one barrier layer and at least one polymer layer. The barrier stack has an oxygen transmission rate of less than 0.005 cc/m²/day at 23° C. and 0% relative humidity, and an oxygen transmission rate of less than 0.005 cc/m²/day at 38° C. and 90% relative humidity. It also preferably has a water vapor transmission rate of less than 0.005 g/m²/day at 38° C. and 100% relative humidity.

Preferably, the barrier layers of the barrier stacks are substantially transparent. At least one of the barrier layers preferably comprises a material selected from metal oxides, metal nitrides, metal carbides, metal oxynitrides, metal oxyborides, and combinations thereof.

The barrier layers can be substantially opaque, if desired. The opaque barrier layers are preferably selected from opaque metals, opaque polymers, and opaque ceramics.

The barrier assembly can include a substrate adjacent to the at least one barrier stack. By adjacent, we mean next to, but not necessarily directly next to. There can be additional layers intervening between the adjacent layers. The substrate can either be flexible or rigid. It is preferably made of a flexible substrate material, such as polymers, metals, paper, fabric, and combinations thereof. If a rigid substrate is used, it is preferably a ceramic (including glasses), a metal, or a semiconductor.

The polymer layers of the barrier stacks are preferably acrylate-containing polymers. As used herein, the term acrylate-containing polymers includes acrylate-containing polymers, methacrylate-containing polymers, and combinations thereof. The polymer layers can be the same or different.

The barrier assembly can include additional layers if desired, such as polymer smoothing layers, scratch resistant layers, antireflective coatings, or other functional layers.

The present invention also involves a method of making the barrier assembly. The method includes providing a substrate, and placing at least one barrier stack on the substrate. The barrier stack includes at least one barrier layer and at least one polymer layer.

The at least one barrier stack can be placed on the substrate by deposition, preferably vacuum deposition, or by laminating the barrier stack over the environmentally sensitive device. The lamination can be performed using an adhesive, solder, ultrasonic welding, pressure, or heat.

Accordingly, it is an object of the present invention to provide a barrier assembly, and to provide a method of making such a barrier assembly.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is a cross-section of one embodiment of the barrier assembly of the present invention.

FIG. 2 is a cross-section of an encapsulated device made using the barrier assembly of the present invention.

DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

One embodiment of the barrier assembly of the present invention is shown in FIG. 1. The barrier assembly is supported by a substrate 105. The substrate 105 can be either rigid or flexible. A flexible substrate can be any flexible material, including, but not limited to: polymers, for example, polyethylene terephthalate (PET), polyethylene

naphthalate (PEN), or high temperature polymers, such as polyether sulfone (PES), polyimides, or Transphan™ (a high glass transition temperature cyclic olefin polymer available from Lofo High Tech Film, GMBH or Weil am Rhein, Germany); metal; paper; fabric; and combinations thereof. Rigid substrates are preferably glass, metal, or silicon.

There are scratch resistant layers **110** on either side of the substrate **105** to protect it. When a scratch resistant layer is included, it is preferred that both sides of the substrate have a scratch resistant layer. This helps to balance stresses and prevent deformation of a flexible substrate during processing and use.

On top of the scratch resistant layer **110**, there is a polymer smoothing layer **115**. The polymer smoothing layer decreases surface roughness, and encapsulates surface defects, such as pits, scratches, and digs. This produces a planarized surface which is ideal for subsequent deposition of layers. Depending on the desired application, there can be additional layers deposited on the substrate **105**, such as organic or inorganic layers, planarizing layers, electrode layers, antireflective coatings, and other functional layers. In this way, the substrate can be specifically tailored to different applications.

The first barrier stack **120** is adjacent to the polymer smoothing layer **115**. The first barrier stack **120** includes a barrier layer **125** and a polymer layer **130**. The first barrier layer **125** includes barrier layers **135** and **140**. Barrier layers **135** and **140** can be made of the same barrier material or of different barrier materials.

Although FIG. 1 shows a barrier stack with two barrier layers and one polymer layer, the barrier stacks can have one or more polymer layers and one or more barrier layers. There could be one polymer layer and one barrier layer, there could be one or more polymer layers on one side of one or more barrier layers, or there could be one or more polymer layers on both sides of one or more barrier layers. The important feature is that the barrier stack have at least one polymer layer and at least one barrier layer. The barrier layers and polymer layers in the barrier stack can be made of the same material or of a different material. The barrier layers are typically about 100–400 Å thick, and the polymer layers are typically about 1000–10,000 Å thick.

Although only one barrier stack is shown in FIG. 1, the number of barrier stacks is not limited. The number of barrier stacks needed depends on the substrate material used and the level of permeation resistance needed for the particular application. One or two barrier stacks should provide sufficient barrier properties for some applications. The most stringent applications may require five or more barrier stacks.

There is a transparent conductor **145**, such as an indium tin oxide layer, adjacent to the first barrier stack **120**. There can be additional overcoat layers on top of the barrier stack, such as organic or inorganic layers, planarizing layers, transparent conductors, antireflective coatings, or other functional layers, if desired. This allows the barrier assembly to be tailored to the application.

FIG. 2 shows a barrier assembly being used to encapsulate an environmentally sensitive display device. The substrate **205** has an environmentally sensitive display device **210** on it. There is a barrier stack **215** over the environmentally sensitive display device **210** encapsulating it. The barrier stack **215** includes a barrier layer **220** and a polymer layer **225**.

The environmentally sensitive display device **210** can be any display device which is environmentally sensitive. Examples of environmentally sensitive display devices

include, but are not limited to liquid crystal displays (LCDs), light emitting diodes (LEDs), light emitting polymers (LEPs), electronic signage using electrophoretic inks, electroluminescent devices (EDs), and phosphorescent devices. These display devices can be made using known techniques, such as those described in U.S. Pat. Nos. 6,025,899, 5,995,191, 5,994,174, 5,956,112 (LCDs); U.S. Pat. Nos. 6,005,692, 5,821,688, 5,747,928 (LEDs); U.S. Pat. Nos. 5,969,711, 5,961,804, 4,026,713 (E Ink); U.S. Pat. Nos. 6,023,373, 6,023,124, 6,023,125 (LEPs); and U.S. Pat. Nos. 6,023,073, 6,040,812, 6,019,654, 6,018,237, 6,014,119, 6,010,796 (EDs), which are incorporated herein by reference.

The method of making the barrier assembly will be described with reference to FIGS. 1 and 2. Any initial layers which are desired, such as scratch resistant layers, planarizing layers, electrically conductive layers, etc., can be coated, deposited, or otherwise placed on the substrate. A polymer smoothing layer is preferably included to provide a smooth base for the remaining layers. It can be formed by depositing a layer of polymer, for example, an acrylate-containing polymer, onto the substrate or previous layer. The polymer layer can be deposited in vacuum or by using atmospheric processes such as spin coating and/or spraying. Preferably, an acrylate-containing monomer, oligomer, or resin is deposited and then polymerized in situ to form the polymer layer. As used herein, the term acrylate-containing monomer, oligomer, or resin includes acrylate-containing monomers, oligomers, and resins, methacrylate-containing monomers, oligomers, and resins, and combinations thereof.

The barrier stack is then placed on the substrate. The barrier stack includes at least one barrier layer and at least one polymer layer. The barrier stacks are preferably made by vacuum deposition. The barrier layer can be vacuum deposited onto the polymer smoothing layer, the substrate, or the previous layer. The polymer layer is then deposited on the barrier layer, preferably by flash evaporating acrylate-containing monomers, oligomers, or resins, condensing on the barrier layer, and polymerizing in situ in a vacuum chamber. U.S. Pat. Nos. 5,440,446 and 5,725,909, which are incorporated herein by reference, describe methods of depositing thin film, barrier stacks.

Vacuum deposition includes flash evaporation of acrylate-containing monomer, oligomer, or resin with in situ polymerization under vacuum, plasma deposition and polymerization of acrylate-containing monomer, oligomer, or resin, as well as vacuum deposition of the barrier layers by sputtering, chemical vapor deposition, plasma enhanced chemical vapor deposition, evaporation, sublimation, electron cyclotron resonance-plasma enhanced vapor deposition (ECR-PECVD), and combinations thereof.

In order to protect the integrity of the barrier layer, the formation of defects and/or microcracks in the deposited layer subsequent to deposition and prior to downstream processing should be avoided. The barrier assembly is preferably manufactured so that the barrier layers are not directly contacted by any equipment, such as rollers in a web coating system, to avoid defects that may be caused by abrasion over a roll or roller. This can be accomplished by designing the deposition system such that the barrier layers are always covered by polymer layers prior to contacting or touching any handling equipment.

When the barrier stack is being used to encapsulate an environmentally sensitive display device, the substrate can be prepared as described above, and the environmentally sensitive display device placed on the substrate. Alternatively, the environmentally sensitive display device

can be placed directly on a substrate (or on a substrate with functional layers, such as planarizing layers, scratch resistant layers, etc.).

The environmentally sensitive display device can be placed on the substrate by deposition, such as vacuum deposition. Alternatively it can be placed on the substrate by lamination. The lamination can use an adhesive, glue, or the like, or heat to seal the environmentally sensitive display device to the substrate.

A barrier stack is then placed over the environmentally sensitive display device to encapsulate it. The second barrier stack can be placed over the environmentally sensitive display device by deposition or lamination.

The barrier layers in the first and second barrier stacks may be any barrier material. The barrier layers in the first and second barrier stacks can be made of the same material or a different material. In addition, multiple barrier layers of the same or different barrier materials can be used in a barrier stack.

The barrier layers can be transparent or opaque, depending on the design of the packaging, and application for which it is to be used. Preferred transparent barrier materials include, but are not limited to, metal oxides, metal nitrides, metal carbides, metal oxynitrides, metal oxyborides, and combinations thereof. The metal oxides are preferably selected from silicon oxide, aluminum oxide, titanium oxide, indium oxide, tin oxide, indium tin oxide, tantalum oxide, zirconium oxide, niobium oxide, and combinations thereof. The metal nitrides are preferably selected from aluminum nitride, silicon nitride, boron nitride, and combinations thereof. The metal oxynitrides are preferably selected from aluminum oxynitride, silicon oxynitride, boron oxynitride, and combinations thereof.

Opaque barrier layers can be also be used in some barrier stacks. Opaque barrier materials include, but are not limited to, metals, ceramics, polymers, and cermets. Examples of opaque cermets include, but are not limited to, zirconium nitride, titanium nitride, hafnium nitride, tantalum nitride, niobium nitride, tungsten disilicide, titanium diboride, and zirconium diboride.

The polymer layers of the first and second barrier stacks are preferably acrylate-containing monomers, oligomers, or resins. The polymer layers in the first and second barrier stacks can be the same or different. In addition, the polymer layers within each barrier stack can be the same or different.

In a preferred embodiment, the barrier stack includes a polymer layer and two barrier layers. The two barrier layers can be made from the same barrier material or from different barrier materials. The thickness of each barrier layer in this embodiment is about one half the thickness of the single barrier layer, or about 50 to 200 Å. There are no limitations on the thickness, however.

When the barrier layers are made of the same material, they can be deposited either by sequential deposition using two sources or by the same source using two passes. If two deposition sources are used, deposition conditions can be different for each source, leading to differences in microstructure and defect dimensions. Any type of deposition source can be used. Different types of deposition processes, such as magnetron sputtering and electron beam evaporation, can be used to deposit the two barrier layers.

The microstructures of the two barrier layers are mismatched as a result of the differing deposition sources/parameters. The barrier layers can even have different crystal structure. For example, Al_2O_3 can exist in different phases (alpha, gamma) with different crystal orientations. The mis-

matched microstructure can help decouple defects in the adjacent barrier layers, enhancing the tortuous path for gases and water vapor permeation.

When the barrier layers are made of different materials, two deposition sources are needed. This can be accomplished by a variety of techniques. For example, if the materials are deposited by sputtering, sputtering targets of different compositions could be used to obtain thin films of different compositions. Alternatively, two sputtering targets of the same composition could be used but with different reactive gases. Two different types of deposition sources could also be used. In this arrangement, the lattices of the two layers are even more mismatched by the different microstructures and lattice parameters of the two materials.

A single pass, roll-to-roll, vacuum deposition of a three layer combination on a PET substrate, i.e., PET substrate/polymer layer/barrier layer/polymer layer, can be more than five orders of magnitude less permeable to oxygen and water vapor than a single oxide layer on PET alone. See J. D. Affinito, M. E. Gross, C. A. Coronado, G. L. Graff, E. N. Greenwell, and P. M. Martin, Polymer-Oxide Transparent Barrier Layers Produced Using PML Process, 39th Annual Technical Conference Proceedings of the Society of Vacuum Coaters, Vacuum Web Coating Session, 1996, pages 392-397; J. D. Affinito, S. Eufinger, M. E. Gross, G. L. Graff, and P. M. Martin, PML/Oxide/PML Barrier Layer Performance Difference Arising From Use of UV or Electron Beam Polymerization of the PML Layers, Thin Solid Films, Vol. 308, 1997, pages 19-25. This is in spite of the fact that the effect on the permeation rate of the polymer multilayers (PML) layers alone, without the barrier layer (oxide, metal, nitride, oxynitride) layer, is barely measurable. It is believed that the improvement in barrier properties is due to two factors. First, permeation rates in the roll-to-roll coated oxide-only layers were found to be conductance limited by defects in the oxide layer that arose during deposition and when the coated substrate was wound up over system idlers/rollers. Asperities (high points) in the underlying substrate are replicated in the deposited inorganic barrier layer. These features are subject to mechanical damage during web handling/take-up, and can lead to the formation of defects in the deposited film. These defects seriously limit the ultimate barrier performance of the films. In the single pass, polymer/barrier/polymer process, the first acrylic layer planarizes the substrate and provides an ideal surface for subsequent deposition of the inorganic barrier thin film. The second polymer layer provides a robust "protective" film that minimizes damage to the barrier layer and also planarizes the structure for subsequent barrier layer (or environmentally sensitive display device) deposition. The intermediate polymer layers also decouple defects that exist in adjacent inorganic barrier layers, thus creating a tortuous path for gas diffusion.

The permeability of the barrier stacks used in the present invention is shown in Table 1. The barrier stacks of the present invention on polymeric substrates, such as PET, have measured oxygen transmission rate (OTR) and water vapor transmission rate (WVTR) values well below the detection limits of current industrial instrumentation used for permeation measurements (Mocon OxTran 2/20L and Permatran). Table 1 shows the OTR and WVTR values (measured according to ASTM F 1927-98 and ASTM F 1249-90, respectively) measured at Mocon (Minneapolis, Minn.) for several barrier stacks on 7 mil PET, along with reported values for other materials.

TABLE 1

Sample	Oxygen Permeation Rate (cc/m ² /day)		Water Vapor Permeation (g/m ² /day)*	
	23° C.	38° C.	23° C.	38° C.
Native 7 mil PET	7.62	—	—	—
1-barrier stack	<0.005	<0.005*	—	0.46*
1-barrier stack with ITO	<0.005	<0.005*	—	0.011*
2-barrier stacks	<0.005	<0.005*	—	<0.005*
2-barrier stacks with ITO	<0.005	<0.005*	—	<0.005*
5-barrier stacks	<0.005	<0.005*	—	<0.005*
5-barrier stacks with ITO	<0.005	<0.005*	—	<0.005*
DuPont film ¹ (PET/Si ₃ N ₄ or PEN/Si ₃ N ₄)	0.3	—	—	—
Polaroid ²	<1.0	—	—	—
PET/Al ²	0.6	—	0.17	—
PET/silicon oxide ²	0.7-1.5	—	0.15-0.9	—
Teijin LCD film (HA grade-TN/STN) ³	<2	—	<5	—

*38° C., 90% RH, 100% O₂

*38° C., 100% RH

¹P. F. Garcia, 46th International Symposium of the American Vacuum Society, October 1999²Langowski, H. C., 39th Annual Technical Conference Proceedings, SVC, pp. 398-401 (1996)³Technical Data Sheet

As the data in Table 1 shows, the barrier stacks of the present invention provide oxygen and water vapor permeation rates several orders of magnitude better than PET coated with aluminum, silicon oxide, or aluminum oxide. Typical oxygen permeation rates for other barrier coatings range from 1 to about 0.1 cc/m²/day. The oxygen transmission rate for the barrier stacks of the present invention is less than 0.005 cc/m²/day at 23° C. and 0% relative humidity, and at 38° C. and 90% relative humidity. The water vapor transmission rate is less than 0.005 g/m²/day at 38° C. and 100% relative humidity. The actual transmission rates are lower, but cannot be measured with existing equipment.

The barrier assemblies were also tested by encapsulating organic light emitting devices using the barrier stacks of the present invention. The organic light emitting devices are extremely sensitive to water vapor, and they are completely destroyed in the presence of micromole quantities of water vapor. Experimentation and calculations suggest that the water vapor transmission rate through the encapsulation film must be on the order of about 10⁻⁶ to 10⁻⁵ g/m²/day to provide sufficient barrier protection for acceptable device lifetimes. The experiments/calculations are based on the detrimental hydrolysis reaction of water vapor with the extremely thin (less than 10 nm), low work function, cathode materials (Ca, Mg, Li, LiF). Hydrolysis of the cathode leads to the formation of non-conductive reaction products (such as hydroxides and oxides) that delaminate or blister away from the electron transport layers of the organic light emitting devices, resulting in the formation of dark spots on the device.

The organic light emitting devices encapsulated in the barrier stacks of the present invention have been in operation for over six months and without measurable degradation. The extrapolated lifetime for the encapsulated devices exceeds the required 10,000 hours necessary to satisfy industry standards. The barrier stacks are extremely effective in preventing oxygen and water penetration to the underly-

ing components, substantially outperforming other thin-film barrier coatings on the market.

The preferred deposition process is compatible with a wide variety of substrates. Because the preferred process involves flash evaporation of a monomer and magnetron sputtering, deposition temperatures are well below 100° C., and stresses in the coating can be minimized. Multilayer coatings can be deposited at high deposition rates. No harsh gases or chemicals are used, and the process can be scaled up to large substrates and wide webs. The barrier properties of the coating can be tailored to the application by controlling the number of layers, the materials, and the layer design. Thus, the present invention provides a barrier stack with the exceptional barrier properties necessary for hermetic sealing of an environmentally sensitive display device, or other environmentally sensitive device. It permits the production of an encapsulated environmentally sensitive display device.

While certain representative embodiments and details have been shown for purposes of illustrating the invention, it will be apparent to those skilled in the art that various changes in the compositions and methods disclosed herein may be made without departing from the scope of the invention, which is defined in the appended claims.

What is claimed is:

1. A barrier assembly comprising:

at least one barrier stack comprising at least one barrier layer and at least one polymer layer, wherein the at least one barrier stack has an oxygen transmission rate of less than 0.005 cc/m²/day at 23° C. and 0% relative humidity.

2. The barrier assembly of claim 1 wherein the at least one barrier stack has an oxygen transmission rate of less than 0.005 cc/m²/day at 38° C. and 90% relative humidity.

3. The barrier assembly of claim 1 wherein the at least one barrier stack has a water vapor transmission rate of less than 0.005 g/m²/day at 38° C. and 100% relative humidity.

4. The barrier assembly of claim 1 further comprising a substrate adjacent to the at least one barrier stack.

5. The barrier assembly of claim 1 wherein the at least one barrier layer is substantially transparent.

6. The barrier assembly of claim 1 wherein at least one of the at least one barrier layer comprises a material selected from metal oxides, metal nitrides, metal carbides, metal oxynitrides, metal oxyborides, and combinations thereof.

7. The barrier assembly of claim 6 wherein the metal oxides are selected from silicon oxide, aluminum oxide, titanium oxide, indium oxide, tin oxide, indium tin oxide, tantalum oxide, zirconium oxide, niobium oxide, and combinations thereof.

8. The barrier assembly of claim 6 wherein the metal nitrides are selected from aluminum nitride, silicon nitride, boron nitride, and combinations thereof.

9. The barrier assembly of claim 6 wherein the metal oxynitrides are selected from aluminum oxynitride, silicon oxynitride, boron oxynitride, and combinations thereof.

10. The barrier assembly of claim 1 wherein the at least one barrier layer is substantially opaque.

11. The barrier assembly of claim 1 wherein at least one of the at least one barrier layers is selected from opaque metals, opaque polymers, opaque ceramics, and opaque cermets.

12. The barrier assembly of claim 4 wherein the substrate comprises a flexible substrate material.

13. The barrier assembly of claim 12 wherein the flexible substrate material is selected from polymers, metals, paper, fabric, and combinations thereof.

14. The barrier assembly of claim 4 wherein the substrate comprises a rigid substrate material.

15. The barrier assembly of claim **14** wherein the rigid substrate material is selected from ceramics, metals, and semiconductors.

16. The barrier assembly of claim **1** wherein at least one of the at least one polymer layers comprises an acrylate-
5 containing polymer.

17. The barrier assembly of claim **4** further comprising a polymer smoothing layer adjacent to the substrate.

18. The barrier assembly of claim **4** further comprising a scratch resistant layer adjacent to the substrate.

19. The barrier assembly of claim **4** further comprising an
10 anti-reflective coating adjacent to the substrate.

20. The barrier assembly of claim **4** further comprising an anti-fingerprint coating adjacent to the substrate.

21. The barrier assembly of claim **4** further comprising an anti-static coating adjacent to the substrate.

22. The barrier assembly of claim **1** wherein the at least one barrier layer comprises two barrier layers.

23. The barrier assembly of claim **22** wherein the two barrier layers are made of the same barrier material.

24. The barrier assembly of claim **22** wherein the two barrier layers are made of different barrier materials.

25. The barrier assembly of claim **11** wherein at least one of the at least one barrier layers is opaque cermet selected from zirconium nitride, titanium nitride, hafnium nitride, tantalum nitride, niobium nitride, tungsten disilicide, titanium diboride, and zirconium diboride.

* * * * *