



(12) UK Patent (19) GB (11) 2 230 536 (13) B

(54) Title of Invention

A corrosion and wear resistant cobalt-base alloy

(51) INT CL⁶; C22C 19/07

(21) Application No
9008236.3

(22) Date of filing
11.04.1990

(30) Priority Data

(31) 340814

(32) 17.04.1989

(33) US

(43) Application published
24.10.1990

(45) Patent published
28.04.1993

(72) Inventor(s)
Paul Crook
Aziz I Asphahani
Stephen J Matthews

(73) Proprietor(s)
Haynes International Inc
(Incorporated in USA -
Delaware)

P.O. Box 9013
1020 West Park Avenue
Kokomo
Indiana 46904-9013
United States of America

(74) Agent and/or
Address for Service
Brookes, Martin & Wilson
Prudential Buildings
5 St Philip's Place
Birmingham
B3 2AF
United Kingdom

(52) Domestic classification
(Edition L)
C7A AA23X AA23Y AA230
AA231 AA25Y AA250 AA28Y
AA280 AA289 AA290 AA293
AA296 AA299 AA30Y AA303
AA305 AA307 AA309 AA31X
AA33Y AA335 AA337 AA339
AA34Y AA340 AA341 AA343
AA345 AA347 AA349 AA35Y
AA356 AA358 AA36Y AA360
AA37Y AA370 AA375 AA377
AA379 AA38X AA381 AA383
AA385 AA387 AA389 AA39Y
AA390 AA394 AA396 AA398
AA40Y AA400 AA402 AA404
AA406 AA409 AA41Y AA422
AA425 AA428 AA43X AA432
AA435 AA437 AA439 AA44Y
AA453 AA455 AA457 AA459
AA48Y AA505 AA507 AA509

(continued on next page)

GB 2 230 536 B – continuation

- (52) Domestic classification
(Edition L) (contd)
AA529 AA53Y AA541 AA543
AA545 AA547 AA55Y AA56X
AA562 AA565 AA568 AA57Y
AA571 AA574 AA577 AA579
AA58Y AA587 AA589 AA59X
AA591 AA593 AA595 AA60Y
AA601 AA603 AA605 AA61Y
AA615 AA617 AA619 AA62X
AA621 AA623 AA625 AA627
AA67X AA671 AA673 AA674
AA675 AA677 AA679 AA68X
AA68Y AA681 AA683 AA685
AA686 AA687 AA689 AA69X
AA693 AA695 AA697 AA699
AA70X A71X A711 A752 A782
- (56) Documents cited
None
- (58) Field of search

As for published application
2230536 A viz:
UK CL(Edition J) **C7A**
INT CL* **C22C**
updated as appropriate

A CORROSION AND WEAR RESISTANT COBALT-BASE ALLOY

This invention relates to an alloy that is uniquely corrosion resistant and wear resistant and, more specifically, to a cobalt-base alloy containing critical
5 contents of carbon and nitrogen.

There are many distinctive industries within the metals art. Entire industries are based on various metallurgical products: high temperature resistant alloys (superalloys), corrosion-resistant alloys, wear-resistant
10 alloys, and the like. These products are not readily interchangeable because each has a certain set of inherent properties that are not found in other products. For example, superalloys are strong at high temperature but are notoriously subject to wear. Corrosion-resistant
15 alloys have excellent resistance to wet corrosion exposure but are generally subject to wear and low in strength. Wear-resistant alloys are superior under erosion and wear conditions but are generally brittle.

By way of composition, superalloys may be nickel
20 and/or cobalt base; corrosion-resistant alloys are generally nickel base; and wear-resistant alloys are usually cobalt base.

Furthermore, the metallurgical structures of these alloys generally vary depending upon the required properties. Superalloys are known to have a strong solid solution matrix which may be dispersed with gamma prime.

5 Corrosion-resistant alloys generally have a solid solution matrix and are free of precipitates, i.e., carbides. Wear-resistant alloys must depend upon a high content of precipitates, especially carbides, to provide the wear properties.

10 Much research has been directed toward the improvement of cobalt-base alloys. The pioneer invention of cobalt-base superalloys was disclosed by Elwood Haynes in U.S. Patent No. 873,745 (Dec. 17, 1907) followed by his subsequent U.S. Patents Nos. 1,057,423; 1,057,828;
15 and 1,150,113. These alloys were generally used as cutting tools, utensils and the like implements. Later, cobalt-base alloys were modified by Austenal Laboratories under the now Howmedica trademark VITALLIUM[®] for use as cast dentures as taught in U.S. Patents Nos. 1,958,446,
20 2,135,600, and 4,514,359 and also for use as components of gas turbine engines as taught in U.S. Patent 2,381,459.

A wrought or cast cobalt-base alloy was disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 2,704,250. The alloy known in the art as

Alloy 25, has adequate corrosion resistance but has relatively poor wear (erosion) resistance. U.S. Patents Nos. 3,865,585 and 3,728,495 disclose a nickel-free alloy with high nitrogen and carbon contents for use as dental
5 prostheses articles. U.S. Patent No. 2,486,578 relates to a novel heat-treating process for cobalt-base alloys. Disclosed are several cobalt chromium alloys containing manganese, nickel and molybdenum. U.S. Patent No. 3,237,441 discloses a cobalt-base alloy for use as a tube
10 rolling mill plug. The alloy has a high carbon content and is nitrogen free.

The Pfizer Hospital Products Group Inc. has recently made improvements in the VITALLIUM[®] alloys mentioned hereinabove. The alloys are made by an oxide dispersion
15 process as disclosed in U.S. Patents Nos. 4,714,468; 4,668,290, 4,631,290 corresponding to European Patent Application No. 0-195,513.

The patents mentioned above, of course, are only a small portion of the extensive research and development
20 of cobalt-base alloys over the past 75 years. Each invention provided improvements in a limited number of engineering properties in strength, corrosion resistance and/or wear resistance. In the present industrial world, there is an urgent need for alloys with higher strength,

capable of operating under more severe corrosive and wear conditions.

In the present art, there is no single alloy that has the unique combination of all the diverse properties: strength, corrosion and wear resistance, as mentioned above.

Therefore, it is a principal object of this invention to provide an alloy with high strength and excellent corrosion and wear resistance.

It is another object of this invention to provide an alloy that is readily produced at a competitive cost.

The above objects and other benefits of this invention that may be discerned by those skilled in the art are provided by the alloy described in Table 1.

Subsequent data herein will show that, within a specific range of Co-Cr-Mo-W alloys, a critical combination of carbon and nitrogen each effectively adjusted to provide an unexpected improvement in the art. The alloy of this invention is characterised by an enhanced corrosion resistance and also an enhanced resistance to cavitation erosion. These characteristics are normally not found in a single cobalt-base alloy in the present art.

DISCUSSION OF TESTING RESULTS

Pitting Tests

To evaluate their resistance to pitting, all the experimental alloys were immersed in Green Death (7v/o
5 H₂SO₄ + 3 v/o HCl + 1 w/o FeCl₃ + 1 w/o CuCl₂), following ASTM G31 procedures. For comparative purposes alloys 6B 21 and 25 were also tested.

For each alloy, the critical pitting temperature (i.e., the lowest temperature at which pitting occurs
10 within a 24 hour test period) was determined by running tests at several temperatures. To attain temperatures above boiling point, an autoclave was used. Two samples of each alloy were tested at each temperature.

After test, the samples were examined using a
15 binocular microscope. The presence of just one pit on one sample was considered a negative result.

Stress Corrosion Cracking Tests

The susceptibility of the experimental alloys and of alloys 6B, 21 and 25 to stress corrosion cracking was
20 determined by testing in boiling solutions of 45% and 30% magnesium chloride, according to the procedures described in ASTM Standard G30. The two stage method of stressing the U-bend samples was used, all samples being prepared from 3.175mm (0.125 inch) thick annealed material.

Three samples of each material were tested in each of the two media, and inspection of the samples was at specific time intervals (1, 6, 24, 168, 336, 504, 672, 840, 1008 hours).

5 Cavitation Erosion Tests

To determine the resistance to cavitation erosion of the materials, the vibratory cavitation erosion test described in ASTM Standard G32 was utilized. Essentially, the test apparatus comprises a transducer (the source of
10 the vibrations), a tapered cylindrical member, to amplify the oscillations, and a temperature controlled container, in which the test liquid is held.

The specimens, which were prepared from annealed plate of thickness 19mm (0.75 inch) were shaped as
15 cylindrical buttons of diameter 14.0mm, with a 6.4mm threaded shank, and were screwed into a threaded hold in the end of the tapered cylinder for test purposes. Some samples were tested for 48 hours, and others for 96 hours, in distilled water [maintained at a temperature of
20 15.5°C (60°F)] at a frequency of 20 KHZ and an amplitude of 0.05mm (2 mils), a measure of the weight loss being taken at intervals of 24 hours. By measuring the density of the test materials independently, a mean depth of erosion was calculated. Two samples of each alloy were
25 tested.

The alloys of this invention were tested together with commercially known cobalt alloys as described in Table 2. For about 80 years Elwood Haynes' Alloy 6B has been the well-known cobalt-base alloy with outstanding wear-resistant properties and relatively low corrosion resistance. Alloys No. 21 and 25, marketed by Haynes International, Inc. under their registered trademark HAYNES[®], are well known cobalt-base alloys with fairly good corrosion resistance or relatively low wear resistance. Nickel-base Alloy C-22 marketed by Haynes International, Inc. under their trademark HASTELLOY[®] is especially known for its resistance to pitting.

Table 3 presents the compositions of seven experimental alloys that were prepared for testing together with the known alloys described in Table 2.

The test specimens for the various testing were prepared in a fairly routine manner for alloys of this class. The alloys were melted as 24.97 Kg. (fifty pound) heats by the vacuum induction process, then electroslag remelted (ESR). The ESR products were forged, then hot rolled at 1204.4°C (2200°F) into 19mm (3/4 inch) plate and finally solution annealed. One half of the annealed 19mm (3/4 inch) plate was further hot rolled at 1204.4 (2200°F) into 3.175mm (1/8 inch) sheet and then solution

annealed. The cavitation erosion test was made with 19mm (3/4 inch) plate and all other testing was made with 3.175mm (1/8 inch) sheet.

5 The ease of melting, casting and processing the experimental alloys clearly suggests the alloys of this invention may be readily made in the form of castings, wrought products (sheet, tubing, wire etc.), powder metal (sintering, spraying etc.), welding materials and the like.

10 The compositions in Table 1 contain cobalt plus impurities as balance. In the production of cobalt alloys of this class, impurities from many sources are found in the final product. These so-called "impurities" are not necessarily always harmful and some may actually be
15 beneficial or have an innocuous effect.

Some of the "impurities" may be present as residual elements resulting from certain processing steps, or be adventitiously present in the charge materials, or they may be deliberately added for benefits known in the art;
20 for example, calcium, magnesium, vanadium, titanium, aluminium, zirconium, manganese, rare earth metals such as cerium, lanthanum, yttrium and the like.

As is known in the art, certain elements, (vanadium, columbium, tantalum, hafnium, titanium and the like) may
25 be present up to eight percent and preferably less than

five percent in total content as so called "carbide formers" to tie-up carbon and/or nitrogen that may be present in excessive contents in the melt.

It is well known in the art that molybdenum and tungsten are interchangeable in many alloy systems. In the alloy of this invention, these elements may be interchanged, but only in part. Because of the economic advantages and the fact that it was found to be more effective in imparting to alloys of this type resistance to reducing acids, molybdenum is preferred. Thus, molybdenum must be present in the alloy of this invention at not less than 3% for optimum economic and technical benefits. It is well known in the art that a composition adjustment must be made because of the difference in the atomic weights of these elements, defined as about $Mo = 1/2 W$. For example, to obtain the equivalent of 6.0 molybdenum, it is necessary to have 5% molybdenum and 2.0 tungsten. Because of the possible interchange, molybdenum plus tungsten may total up to 15% in the alloy of this invention. It is generally found in this art that, for whatever reasons, molybdenum is preferred in nickel alloys and tungsten is preferred in cobalt alloys. The cobalt alloys of this invention in contradistinction, requires molybdenum to be preferred and dominant over tungsten.

Boron may be present in the alloy of this invention in a small but effective trace content as low as about .001% and up to about .015% to obtain certain benefits as is known in the art.

5 Nickel must be present in the alloy of this invention to provide a valuable combination of desirable engineering characteristics. Mechanical, physical, and processing properties are improved. The nickel content may be varied from 4 to 16% dependent upon the requirements of
10 certain specific uses. For example, nickel contents 7 to 10% and preferably 8.5% yield alloys that have outstanding corrosion and wear properties together with resistance to cavitation erosion, "Green Death" pitting, and also resistant to fusion zone cracking. As test data
15 herein will show, this is an eminently unexpected combination of properties. The art usually finds that, in general, these properties are often mutually exclusive.

 At the heart of this invention is the discovery that,
20 within certain ranges, a combination of carbon and nitrogen enhances considerably the corrosion resistance of Co-Cr-Mo alloys, and that the resistance to cavitation erosion of these carbon and nitrogen-containing materials approximately equals that of a cobalt alloy containing an
25 abundance of carbide precipitates.

In the course of this discovery, several experimental alloys of varying carbon and nitrogen contents were melted, processed into wrought sheet and plate, and tested. These alloys are listed in Table 3. In alloy 46, 5 carbon and nitrogen were kept as low as possible. In alloys 48 and 49, these two elements were increased independently, to levels believed to be close to the solubility limits (additions beyond these limits, it was thought, would cause considerable precipitation, which 10 would be deleterious in a corrosion sense). Finally, in alloys 89, 90 and 91, carbon and nitrogen were added in combination at levels which would facilitate processing (having found that nitrogen at 0.19 wt. % causes cracking problems during processing) and limit sensitization 15 during welding. Alloy 92 contains excessive nitrogen plus carbon.

The well known cobalt alloys 6B, 21 and 25 were tested for comparison.

Study of Tables 4 and 5 reveals the extent of the 20 improvement in corrosion resistance brought about by a combination of carbon and nitrogen. With regard to resistance to stress corrosion cracking (Table 4), an improvement with increasing carbon content within the soluble range was anticipated, since it is known to 25 stabilize the face centred cubic form of cobalt and,

in turn, would be expected to increase stacking fault energy, hence resistance to transgranular failure. The role of carbon was found to be more complex, however, since the early failure of alloy 46 (low carbon and nitrogen) was intergranular in nature. Unexpected also were the positive influence of nitrogen and the powerful influence of carbon and nitrogen in combination (a combined carbon and nitrogen level of 0.19 wt. % being much more effective than a nitrogen content of 0.19 wt. % with low carbon). Thus the gist of the invention lies in the criticality of both carbon and nitrogen present in substantially equal contents.

With regard to pitting resistance, some improvement with increasing nitrogen content could have been anticipated, based on work with Ni-Cr-Mo alloys. The positive influence of carbon within this alloy system and the beneficial effects of carbon and nitrogen in combination were unanticipated, however.

Prior information concerning cavitation erosion of the cobalt-based alloys suggests that within the soluble range carbon should be deleterious due to its influence on stacking fault energy (the requirements for cavitation erosion resistance being opposite to those for resistance to stress corrosion cracking in a microstructural sense). Beyond the soluble range, carbon is known to be beneficial

up to about 0.25 wt. % and then relatively innocuous in the approximate range 0.25 to 1.4 wt. %. The effects of nitrogen were previously unknown.

As is evident from Table 6, an unexpected positive
5 influence of carbon upon cavitation erosion resistance was encountered during this discovery (comparing alloys 46 and 48). Furthermore, the resistance of 48 (containing 0.06 wt. % carbon) is approximately equal to that of alloy 6B (containing about 1.1 wt. % carbon). The positive
10 influence of nitrogen, alone, and in combination with carbon, was also unanticipated.

Comparing the test results for alloys 89 and 90, it may be ascertained that nickel, also a known stabilizer of the face centred cubic form of cobalt, does not have a
15 powerful influence on properties in the range 5.3 to 9.8 wt. %.

With regard to the standard cobalt alloys used for comparison, the compositions of which are given in Table 2, it is evident that alloys 6B and 21, although very
20 resistant to cavitation erosion, possesses much poorer corrosion resistance than the alloys of this invention. Conversely, alloy 25 possesses good corrosion properties but inferior resistance to cavitation erosion. Only in

the alloys of this invention are good resistance to both corrosion and cavitation erosion found in combination.

Wet corrosion tests were made on selected alloys as disclosed in Table 8. The testing was conducted by ASTM
5 G31 standard testing practices. The results show the wet corrosion resistance of the alloys of this invention are generally clearly superior over the prior art alloys, except for C-22™ alloy. However, C-22 alloy does not have adequate cavitation erosion resistance. Alloy 92 has
10 good corrosion resistance but, here also, the alloy has inadequate cavitation erosion resistance. Note the corrosion resistance to boiling acids of the alloys of this invention is superior over the cobalt-base alloy 25 which does not have the features of this invention.

TABLE 1

ALLOY OF THIS INVENTION

		Composition in Weight Percent		
		<u>Broad</u>	<u>Preferred Range</u>	<u>Preferred Alloy</u>
5	Chromium	22.0 - 30.0	24.0 - 27.0	25.5
	Nickel	4.0 - 16.0	7.0 - 10.0	8.5
	Iron	Up to 7	2.0 - 4.0	3.0
	Ni + Fe	Up to 20	9.0 - 14.0	11.5
10	Molybdenum*	3.0 - 10.0	4.5 - 5.5	5.0
	Tungsten	Up to 5.0	1.5 - 2.5	2.0
	Silicon	0.05 - 2.0	0.30 - 0.50	0.40
	Manganese	0.05 - 2.0	0.50 - 1.00	0.75
	Carbon	0.02 - 0.11	0.04 - 0.08	0.06
15	Nitrogen	0.03 - 0.12	0.06 - 0.10	0.08
	C + N	0.06 - 0.20	0.10 - 0.18	0.14
	Copper	Up to 3	Up to 3	-
	"Carbide Formers"	Up to 8	Up to 5	-
	Cobalt Plus Impurities	Balance	Balance	Balance

20 * Molybdenum must always exceed tungsten.

TABLE 2
PRIOR ART ALLOYS
Composition, Percent by Weight

<u>Alloy No.</u>	<u>6B</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>C-22</u>
5 Chromium	30.0	27.9	20.0	22
Nickel	2.5	3.1	10.0	Bal.
Iron	-	0.3	2.3	3
Molybdenum	1.0	5.4	-	13
Tungsten	4.0	0.1*	14.8	3
10 Silicon	0.7	0.8	0.2	-
Manganese	1.4	0.8	1.5	-
Carbon	1.1	0.24	0.11	-
Nitrogen	-	0.007	-	-
Cobalt Plus Impurities	Bal	Bal	Bal	-

15 * = Less than

TABLE 3

EXPERIMENTAL ALLOY COMPOSITIONSPercent by Weight

<u>Alloy No.</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>89</u>	<u>90</u>	<u>91</u>	<u>92</u>
5 Chromium	25.7	25.4	25.1	25.5	25.4	25.4	25.9
Nickel	5.4	5.4	6.1	5.3	9.8	9.6	14.7
Iron	2.1	2.1	1.8	3.0	3.2	2.9	3.0
Molybdenum	4.9	4.9	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.8	5.0
Tungsten	1.4	1.5	1.5	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.9
10 Silicon	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4
Manganese	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8
Carbon	0.004	0.06	0.005	0.09	0.07	0.07	0.08
Nitrogen	0.002*	0.006	0.19	0.10	0.10	0.06	0.13
Cobalt Plus Impurities	Bal	Bal	Bal	Bal	Bal	Bal	Bal

15 * = Less than

Alloys 89, 90 and 91 are alloys of this invention.

TABLE 4

STRESS CORROSION CRACKING DATA30% Magnesium Chloride at 118°C

	<u>Alloy</u>	<u>Time to Failure</u>
5	46	1
	48	72
	49	336
	89	1008*
	90	1008*
10	6B**	-
	21	24
	25	1008*

* = No Cracking

** = Unable to Bend into U-Shape

TABLE 5

PITTING TEST DATA

Media: 7 v/o H_2SO_4 + 3 v/o HCl + 1 w/o FeCl_3 + 1 w/o CuCl_2

24 Hr. Period

5	<u>Alloy</u>	Pitting Temperature
		<u>(Degree C)</u>
	46	110
	48	120
	49	115
10	89	130
	90	125
	6B	45
	21	85
	25	110

TABLE 6

CAVITATION EROSION TEST DATA

	<u>Alloy</u>	<u>Mean Depth at 48 Hr, MM</u>
5	46	0.0429
	48	0.0231
	49	0.0266
	89	0.0186
	90	0.0242
10	6B	0.0236
	21	0.0169
	25	0.0536

TABLE 7

CAVITATION EROSION TEST RESULTS

		<u>Mean Depth of Erosion - MM</u>			
<u>Alloy</u>		<u>24 Hr.</u>	<u>48 Hr.</u>	<u>72 Hr.</u>	<u>96 Hr.</u>
5	89*	0.0048	0.0186	0.0332	0.0495
	90*	0.0067	0.0242	0.0412	0.0605
	91*	0.0068	0.0234	0.0410	0.0582
	92	0.0153	0.0392	0.0625	0.0877
	25	0.0244	0.0536	0.0856	0.1151
10	6B	0.0084	0.0236	0.0361	0.0495
	C-22	0.1122	0.1935	0.2499	0.2965

* Alloys of this invention

TABLE 8

WET CORROSION TESTING OF SELECTED ALLOYSCorrosion Rates, mm Per Year [(Mils Per Year)]

5	<u>Alloy</u>	Boiling	Boiling	Boiling	Boiling
		<u>1% HCl</u>	<u>2% HCl</u>	<u>10% H₂SO₄</u>	<u>65% HNO₃</u>
10	89	0.0254 (1.0)	8.98 (353.5)	1.52 (60.0)	0.211 (8.3)
	90	0.132 (5.2)	15.04 (592.0)	1.54 (60.6)	0.213 (8.4)
	91	0.117 (4.6)	11.53 (454.0)	1.407 (55.4)	0.234 (9.2)
	92	0.0254 (0.1)	16.15 (636.0)	1.65 (65.0)	0.206 (8.1)
	25	5.73 (225.5)	61.75 (2431.5)	3.43 (130.5)	0.787 (30.8)
	6B	4.29 (169.5)	143.97 (5668.0)	7.8 (307.5)	137.99 (5433.0)
	C-22	0.076 (3.0)	1.55 (61.0)	0.279 (11.0)	1.346 (53.0)

CLAIMS

1. An alloy consisting essentially of, in weight percent, 22 to 30 chromium, 4 to 16 nickel, up to 7 iron, up to 20 Ni + Fe, 3 to 10 molybdenum, up to 5.0 tungsten,
5 .05 to 2.0 silicon, .05 to 2.0 manganese, .02 to .11 carbon, .03 to .12 nitrogen, .06 to .20 C + N, copper up to 3.0, carbide formers up to 8 and the balance cobalt plus impurities.
2. The alloy of claim 1 containing 24 to 27 chromium, 7
10 to 10 nickel, 2 to 4 iron, 9 to 14 Ni + Fe, 4.5 to 5.5 molybdenum, 1.5 to 2.5 tungsten, 0.30 to 0.5 silicon, 0.50 to 1.0 manganese, 0.04 to 0.08 carbon, 0.06 to 0.10 nitrogen, 0.10 to 0.18 C + N and carbide formers up to 5.
3. The alloy of claim 1 containing about 25.5 chromium,
15 about 8.5 nickel, about 3.0 iron, about 5.0 molybdenum, about 2.0 tungsten, about 0.4 silicon, about 0.75 manganese, about 0.06 carbon, and about 0.08 nitrogen.
4. The alloy of claim 1 wherein the molybdenum exceeds the tungsten content.
- 20 5. The alloy of claim 1 in the form of cast, wrought or powder products.

REGISTER ENTRY FOR GB2230536 /

Form 1 Application No GB9008236.3 filing date 11.04.1990

Priority claimed:

17.04.1989 in United States of America - doc: 340814

Title A CORROSION AND WEAR RESISTANT COLBALT-BASE ALLOY

Applicant/Proprietor

HAYNES INTERNATIONAL INC / Incorporated in USA - Delaware, P.O.Box 9013, *Address s/p*
1020 West Park Avenue, Kokomo, Indiana 46904-9013, United States of
America [ADP No. 05584834001]

Inventors

PAUL CROOK, 1409 Greenacres Drive, Kokomo, IN 46902, United States of
America [ADP No. 05584867001]

AZIZ I ASPHAHANI, 517 Tumbleweed, Kokomo, Indiana 46901, United States of
America [ADP No. 02570307001]

STEPHEN J MATTHEWS, 62 Hidden Acres, Greentown, Indiana 46936, United
States of America [ADP No. 01418870001]

Classified to

C7A

C22C

Address for Service

BROOKES, MARTIN & WILSON, Prudential Buildings, 5 St Philip's Place,
BIRMINGHAM, B3 2AF, United Kingdom [ADP No. 00001875001]

Publication No GB2230536 dated 24.10.1990

Examination requested 28.03.1991

Patent Granted with effect from 28.04.1993 (Section 25(1)) with title A
CORROSION AND WEAR RESISTANT COLBALT-BASE ALLOY /

04.03.1995 Notification of change of Address For Service name and address of
BROOKES, MARTIN & WILSON, Prudential Buildings, 5 St Philip's
Place, BIRMINGHAM, B3 2AF, United Kingdom [ADP No. 00001875001]
to
BARKER, BRETTELL & DUNCAN, 138 Hagley Road, Edgbaston, BIRMINGHAM,
B16 9PW, United Kingdom [ADP No. 00000232001]
dated 13.02.1995. Official evidence filed on GB2275505

Entry Type 7.1 Staff ID. PH Auth ID. AO

**** END OF REGISTER ENTRY ****

OA80-01
FG

OPTICS - PATENTS

30/11/95

08:11:59
PAGE: 1

RENEWAL DETAILS

PUBLICATION NUMBER

GB2230536

PROPRIETOR(S)

Haynes International Inc, Incorporated in USA - Delaware, P.O.Box
9013, 1020 West Park Avenue, Kokomo, Indiana 46904-9013, United
States of America

DATE FILED

11.04.1990

DATE GRANTED

28.04.1993

DATE NEXT RENEWAL DUE

11.04.1996

DATE NOT IN FORCE

DATE OF LAST RENEWAL

28.03.1995

YEAR OF LAST RENEWAL

06

STATUS

PATENT IN FORCE

**** END OF REPORT ****