## WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION International Bureau



## INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification <sup>6</sup> :		(11) International Publication Number: WO 96/18678
C08L 23/06	A1	(43) International Publication Date: 20 June 1996 (20.06.96)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/US  (22) International Filing Date: 12 December 1995 (		DK, ES, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE).
(30) Priority Data: 08/354,393 12 December 1994 (12.12.9	94) (	With international search report. US
(71) Applicant: EXXON CHEMICAL PATENTS INC. 5200 Bayway Drive, Bayton, TX 77520 (US).	[US/U	JS];
(72) Inventors: BEST, Steven, Arthur, 16802 Doverwood, Houston, TX 77058 (US). DANILUK, Thomas, 24651 Nodding Flower Court, Barrington, IL 600	Samy	yon;
(74) Agents: MILLER, Douglas, W. et al.; Exxon Company, P.O. Box 2149, Baytown, TX 77522-2	Chemie 149 (U	ucal US).
(54) Title: HIGH MOLECULAR WEIGHT HIGH DEN	SITY P	POLYETHYLENE WITH IMPROVED TEAR RESISTANCE

#### (57) Abstract

Articles fabricated from certain high molecular weight high density polyethylenes (HMW-HDPE) combined with a second component, exhibit superior puncture propagation tear resistance and puncture propagation tear length. The second component may be an elastomer such as styrene-butadiene-styrene, styrene-isoprene-styrene, EPR, EPDM, butyl rubber, metallocene-calatyzed polyethylene, and combinations thereof. The second component or components will be present in a fabricated article from 1 to 15 weight percent. Articles include films, bags made from films, and extrusion blow-molded articles.

#### FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT	Austria	GB	United Kingdom	MR	Mauritania
AU	Australia	GE	Georgia	MW	Malawi
BB	Barbados	GN	Guinea	NE	Niger
BE	Belgium	GR	Greece	NL	Netherlands
BF	Burkina Faso	HU	Hungary	NO	Norway
BG	Bulgaria	IE	Ireland	NZ	New Zealand
BJ	Benin	IT	Italy	PL	Poland
BR	Brazil	JP	Japan	PT	Portugal
BY	Belarus	KE	Kenya	RO	Romania
CA	Canada	KG	Kyrgystan	RU	Russian Federation
CF	Central African Republic	KP	Democratic People's Republic	SD	Sudan
CG	Congo		of Korea	SE	Sweden
CH	Switzerland	KR	Republic of Korea	SI	Slovenia
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KZ	Kazakhstan	SK	Slovakia
CM	Cameroon	LI	Liechtenstein	SN	Senegal
CN	China	LK	Sri Lanka	TD	Chad
CS	Czechoslovakia	LU	Luxembourg	TG	Togo
CZ	Czech Republic	LV	Latvia	TJ	Tajikistan
DE	Germany	MC	Monaco	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
DK	Denmark	MD	Republic of Moldova	UA	Ukraine
ES	Spain	MG	Madagascar	US	United States of America
FI	Finland	ML	Mali	UZ	Uzbekistan
FR	France	MN	Mongolia	VN	Viet Nam
GA	Gabon				

# HIGH MOLECULAR WEIGHT HIGH DENSITY POLYETHYLENE WITH IMPROVED TEAR RESISTANCE

5

10

20

25

#### **TECHNICAL FIELD**

This invention relates generally to high molecular weight high density polyethylene compositions with improved physical properties. More specifically this invention relates to high molecular weight (HMW) high density polyethylene (HDPE) that when combined with a second component and made into a film or a container will exhibit improved puncture propagation tear resistance improved puncture propagation tear length. Such can result in improved impact strength and improved tensile strength.

#### 15 BACKGROUND

Linear polyethylenes in general, and high density polyethylenes in particular, and high molecular weight high density polyethylenes more particularly, have gained wide use, for instance, as grocery (shoppers) retail sacks (also known as tee-shirt bags) and extrusion blow molded bottles or containers. However one of the problems that has continued to be bothersome in most of these applications is the linear high density polyethylene material's tendency to be "splitty". This splittiness is generally thought to be due to the fact that a linear high density polyethylene has very few branches, certainly few, if any, long chain branches off of the polymer back bone. Such branching might permit entanglement which could, in certain instances, prevent a tear from being propagated once initiated, and/or retard the growth of a tear. Accordingly, once a tear or puncture is initiated in such substantially linear polyethylenes, if there is a load on the polyethylene film, a bag made from the film, or a container it will tend to "zipper" or split at a rapid rate causing failure of the bag and possible evacuation and damage of the contents.

30

35

Many approaches to solving this zippering or splittiness problem have been attempted. Usually the inclusion of an amount, for instance 5 to 10 weight percent of linear low density polyethylene (density in the range of from 0.915 to 0.930 g/cm<sup>3</sup>) has been moderately successful perhaps due to the branching provided by the LLDPE (more branching in general is observed for LLDPE than HDPE). The linear low density polyethylene (LLDPE) while providing some improvement in

dart drop impact and puncture propagation tear also causes unacceptable stretching or elongation at or near the heat seal under an applied load. Such stretching can cause a bag, for instance a heavily laden grocery bag, to deform generally rendering the bag unacceptable, or at least less functional for its intended purpose.

Conventional low density polyethylene (LDPE) has been blended with HMW HDPE and also gives some relief from the splitting or zippering problem, but again, bags made from films based on such a blend are generally unacceptably stretchy as well.

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

However, while both of these solutions to the splitting problem are used in the industry, both of these solutions present an inherent difficulty. That difficulty is that the HMW-HDPE is relatively high in melt viscosity while in general, both LDPE and LLDPE are relatively much lower in melt viscosity. This leads to inhomogeneity in the melt and in the resulting film, leading to areas of good performance and areas of poor performance, which is a similarly unacceptable solution to the zippering problem. Attempts to homogenize such a mixture to improve the dispersion, thereby improving the overall physical properties have generally met with poor success as well, because while getting the lower viscosity materials more uniformly dispersed, the higher molecular weight or higher viscosity materials (HMW-HDPE) tend to be subjected to heat and sheer causing some cross-linking and thereby a generally unacceptable diminution of bulk film properties.

Ways to gauge the improvement or lack of improvement in tear properties include standard tests in the film industry such as tear or Elmendorf tear, where generally a nick or cut is placed in the film to be tested. While these tests are of value in HDPE film testing, a more realistic commercial indicator of film properties, especially for HMW-HDPE, is the puncture propagation tear (PPT) and the puncture propagation tear length (PPTL). These two tests are indicative of a tear that would be similar to one initiated in an everyday situation by the puncture of a film, or a bag based on the film, and the subsequent length of the tear for a specific puncture.

Another way to improve the tear and puncture propagation tear properties of an HMW-HDPE material might be to extrude, adjacent to the HDPE, for instance in a coextrusion, a more highly branched polymer material. This, however, is a more expensive method of solving this problem and is therefore generally commercially unacceptable.

Also, in the category of solutions to the tear problem, are certain machine or extruder manipulations that can improve the tear strength. Because of the very high melt strength of HMW-HDPE, the film is generally fabricated using a high stalk configuration. This configuration allows for the melt to relax prior to blowing up to the full lay-flat width desired for the finished product. To achieve more relaxation which in turn improves tear and impact properties, film fabricators attempt to maximize the stalk height and the blow-up ratio. Typically the stalk height is six to eight times the die diameter and the blow-up ratio ranges from three to five times the die diameter. These parameters are limited by the stability of the bubble at reasonable production rates.

U.S. Patent 5,110,685 discloses blends of high density polyethylene with elastomers to produce low friction, abrasion resistant coatings. Specifically this document discloses a multi part blend:

a) a high density PE blend of

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

- i) high molecular weight HDPE present from 10 to 80 percent by weight;
- ii) medium molecular weight HDPE present from 20 to 70 percent by weight; and
- iii) low molecular weight HDPE making up the balance of the HDPE blend;
- b) an EPDM elastomer.

Various blends of a) and b) with carbon black and optionally talc, are tested to determine their abrasion resistance and, as a measure of low friction, the coefficient of friction. Such a blend of different MW HDPEs would yield a film that would generally be unsuitable for consumer bags because of dilution of the MW of HMW-HDPE products generally leads to reduced impact properties. This phenomenon is well known to those of ordinary skill in the art regarding performance of medium MW products relative to performance of HMW products. Dart impact (another important physical property of films) of medium MW properties are typically 50 % less than those achieved from HMW based products.

There is therefore a need to produce a high molecular weight high density polyethylene film that can be fabricated into, for instance, tee-shirt bags, that have superior resistance to puncture propagation tear and improved puncture propagation tear length and are generally commercially practicable from an economic and fabrication standpoint.

#### **SUMMARY**

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

With the present invention, and in particular with a preferred embodiment, the above mentioned problems are substantially overcome resulting in an article fabricated from a HMW-HDPE composition that has superior puncture propagation tear resistance, superior puncture propagation tear length, and improved J-tear. The HMW-HDPE composition will include a majority component (HMW-HDPE) and a minority component (a material to improve tear properties). When well dispersed, such a minority component will generally be useful in improving these test results.

In accordance with an embodiment of the present invention, we provide a film (and/or an extrusion blow molded article) comprising (a) in the range of from 85 to 99 weight percent of a HMW-HDPE (first component) having a density in the range of from 0.940 to 0.970 g/cc, the HMW-HDPE will be either a homopolymer or a copolymer. If it is a copolymer, generally it will be a copolymer of ethylene and an alpha-olefin. The alpha-olefin content will be present up to 2 mole percent based on the total moles of the HMW-HDPE, said HMW-HDPE having a melt index in the range of from 0.02 to 1.0 dg/min.; and (b) in the range of from 1 to 15 weight percent of a second component selected from the group consisting of styrene-butadiene-styrene (SBS), styrene-isoprene-styrene (SIS), ethylene-propylene rubber (EPR) ethylene-propylene-diene-monomer rubber (EPDM), butyl rubber, a metallocene-catalyzed LLDPE and combinations thereof; where said film has a PPT (MD) above 2.0 KgF, a PPT KgF (TD) above 2.2, a PPTL (TD) below 92 mm and a PPTL (MD) up to 100 mm.

Preferably the film will have in the range of from 90 to 99 weight percent of an HMW-HDPE and in the range of from 10 to 1 weight percent of the second component. More preferably, the film will have in the range of from 93 to 99 weight percent of HMW-HDPE, and in the range of from 1 to 7 weight percent of the second component, all weight percentages based on the total weight of the composition.

These and other features, aspects, and advantages of the present invention will become better understood with reference to the following description and appended claims.

## **DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS**

In an embodiment of our invention, certain HMW-HDPE compositions and applications based on these compositions are disclosed. These polyethylene compositions have unique properties which make them particularly well suited for use in applications that require resistance to puncture propagation tear and improvement of puncture propagation tear length in films and impact strength and tensile strength in extrusion blow molded articles. These compositions have combinations of properties that render them superior to compositions previously available for many uses such as tee-shirt shoppers bags, retail bags, produce bags, extrusion blow molded articles and other uses known to those of skill in the art.

Following is a detailed description of certain preferred HMW-HDPE compositions within the scope of an embodiment of the present invention, preferred methods of producing these compositions and preferred applications of these compositions. Those skilled in the art will appreciate that numerous modifications of these preferred embodiments can be made without departing from the scope of our invention. For example, although the properties of the composition are exemplified in film applications, they have numerous other uses. To the extent, our description is specific, this is solely for the purpose of illustrating preferred embodiments of our invention and should not be taken as limiting the present invention to these specific embodiments. Values given in the text and claims are determined as follows; using ASTM test methods of common use to measure film performance;

Dart Drop Impact: ASTM D-1709

25 Tensile Strength: ASTM D-882

5

10

15

20

30

Elmendorf Tear (MD and TD): ASTM D 1922

**Elongation: ASTM D 882** 

Puncture Propagation Tear: ASTM D 2582-93

PP Tear Length (mm) MD and TD: ASTM D-2582-93

35 HMW-HDPE Resin

The polyethylene composition of an embodiment of the present invention for use in film or extrusion blow molded articles may be made from an ethylene homopolymer or ethylene copolymer or a blend of an ethylene copolymer with an ethylene homopolymer. The density of the preferred materials are generally in the range of from 0.940 to 0.970 g/cc. In a preferred embodiment the density ranges from 0.948 to 0.965 g/cc. More preferred density in the range of from 0.950 g/cc to 0.960 g/cc. The HMW-HDPE will have a melt index as measured according to the ASTM D-1238 in the range of from 0.02 to 5 dg/min. Preferably the melt index will be in the range of from 0.02 to 1 dg/min., most preferred 0.03 to 0.1 dg/min. If the ethylene polymer is a copolymer it will be generally an ethylene alpha-olefin copolymer, the alpha-olefin utilized to make the copolymer will be selected from the group consisting of butene-1, pentene-1, 4-methyl-1-pentene, hexene-1, and octene-1. The alpha-olefin will be present in the copolymer in the range of up to 2 moles/mole of copolymer.

The HMW-HDPE may be made by a number processes including but not limited to gas phase, fluidized bed, slurry or solution processes. Catalysts used for polymerization are generally selected from the traditional Ziegler-Natta type, zirconium-type or metallocene-type catalyst systems. Such catalysts and their products are well known.

20

25

30

35

15

5

10

#### **Second Components**

The second component may be chosen from the group consisting of SBS, SIS, EPR, EPDM, butyl rubber, metallocene-catalyzed LLDPEs, and combinations thereof. Preferred are the SBS elastomers or the metallocene-catalyzed LLDPEs. SBS and SIS materials are available, for instance, from Dexco Corporation under the trade name Vector®. The approximate physical properties of these SBS elastomers are as follows:

- Melt flow rate (ASTM D-1238) @ 200 °C, 15 Kg =20 to above 0.1, preferred 12 to above 0.1 dg/min., more preferred 8 to above 0.1 dg/min.
- Molecular weight (weight average molecular weight) 20,000 to 100,000 preferred 40,000 to 100,000, more preferred 80,000 to 100,000. Styrene content in the range of from 10 to 50 percent, preferably, in the range of from 20 to 40 percent, more preferably in the range of from 25 to 35 percent. EPR, EPDM and butyl rubber are well known polymers and are widely available from a number of commercial sources.

Where the second component is a metallocene-catalyzed LLDPE the specific resin chosen optionally will have a melt index below 2 dg/min., and a density in the range of from 0.915 to 0.925 g/cc. In any case, the choice of a second component, as recognized by those of ordinary skill in the art, will be controlled in that substantially no diminution of the end product properties occur.

#### The Polyethylene Film Composition

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

The composition of the film in a preferred embodiment of the present invention, has in the range of from 85 to 99 weight percent of an HMW-HDPE polyethylene and in the range of from 1 to 15 weight percent of a second component selected from the group consisting of SBS, SIS, EPR EPDM, butyl rubber, metallocene-catalyzed LLDPE, and combinations thereof. Preferably the HMW-HDPE will be present in the range of from 90 to 99 weight percent and the second component will be present in the range of from 10 to 1 weight percent. More preferably, the HMW-HDPE will be present in the range of from 93 to 97 weight percent and elastomer will be present in the range of from 7 to 3 weight percent. All weight percents based on the total weight of the film composition. If the second component is employed in the blend excess of 15% by weight, the film will still have useful properties, but the expense of such a quantity of second component in the blend will likely make the resulting fabricated product too expensive to be commercially viable, or the properties of the film would make it generally unsuitable for shopper's bags and the like. At less than 1 percent by weight, the positive effect of the second component on the properties of the bulk film will diminish below a generally beneficial point.

In certain embodiments of the present invention, additives of the type normally used to inhibit oxidation, U-V inhibitors, acid scavengers, U-V absorbers, anti-static materials, colorants, slip agents, and the like may be included in the bulk film composition without substantially changing the desirable properties described in the present application.

\*\*

#### Uses of the Film

There are many uses for films made from compositions representing certain embodiments of our invention. Various kinds of bags are one such use. Among bags there are many types as well such as grocery bags, often called "tee-shirt bags", general merchandise bags, bags used to line refuse containers (industrial,

commercial and residential) (commonly known as "can liners"), and the like. Those of ordinary skill in the art will recognize that the nominal thickness of a film, bag or other article will have an effect on some gross mechanical properties of the article. Grocery sacks generally are made in nominal 0.5 mil (12.7  $\mu$ m) thickness. Thicker cross sections would likely result in higher puncture force even without the teachings of our invention. Application of the teachings of our invention to such thicker cross section will generally further improve puncture force, PPT and PPTL.

### **Evaluation of Film Materials**

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

In the evaluation of polymer films in general, many parameters are of importance. However, in the evaluation of linear polyethylene, more specifically high molecular weight high density polyethylene films, the measurements of importance to manufactures of shoppers' bags, retail bags and can liners will be; dart drop impact, which is generally a measure of the amount of force taken to burst a specific thickness of film, in grams; the Elmendorf tear which is an indication of the materials' ability to resist tear; and the TD/MD tear ratio is useful as an indicator of orientation balance. Generally, films with a lower TD/MD ratio, indicative of improved tear with better balance, tend to be less splitty than those with higher ratios.

However, in high molecular weight high density polyethylene films, a test that approximates real world performance where sharp and/or heavy objects may be contained in a film or a bag made from a film, the puncture propagation tear in kilograms of force in both the machine and transverse directions (MD and TD) is an important measurement. Films which require greater force to tear tend to be less splitty and have more of a J-tear character. J-tear refers to that type of tear which results in the film not tearing in a straight line, but one in which the tears make a sharp turn and changes direction and resembles the letter "J". This is particularly critical in shopper's bags since straight line tears often lead to catastrophic failure. These results compliment tear properties measured by the Elmendorf tear test. A further refinement of the puncture propagation tear test is the puncture propagation tear length under a given set of conditions. This will be an indication of whether or not a specific film not only has a resistance to puncture propagation tear, but under given conditions how far such a tear will propagate.

#### **Examples**

#### Examples 1-5

5

10

15

20

25

30

This set of examples demonstrates that in the extrusion of HMW-HDPE into film, certain second components (minority components) blended into the HMW-HDPE will yield a film with a dramatic improvement in PPT and PPTL over an HMW-HDPE without any additives (except for stabilizers, anti-oxidants, and the like). The minority component blends also show an improvement over blends of HMW-HDPE with more traditional blend partners, such as LLDPEs.

All runs were made on an Alpine extruder with a 160 mm (coextrusion die) equipped with internal bubble cooling (IBC) having a die gap of 1.5 mm. Blowup ratio was 4.7, stalk height ratio 6. Film gauge averaged a nominal 0.5 mils ( $13\mu m$ ). Example parameters and film physical properties are shown in Table 1.

Example 1 was performed using an HMW-HDPE Grade 7000 F (available from Exxon Chemical Co.) which is a bimodal HMW-HDPE with a density of 0.952 g/cc, and a MI of 0.04 dg/m.

In Example 2, 5 weight percent of the HMW-HDPE was replaced with a 1.0 MI, 0.918 g/cc density LLDPE (traditional Ziegler-Natta catalyzed) (Grade LL-1001 available from Exxon Chemical Co.)

In Examples 3 and 4, 5, and 10 weight percent respectively of the HMW-HDPE was replaced with a 1.0 MI, 0.918 g/cc density metallocene-catalyzed LLDPE available from Exxon Chemical Co.

In example 5, 5 weight percent of the HMW-HDPE was replaced with Vector® 8508 (available from Dexco Polymers).

In comparing the substantially 100 percent HMW-HDPE (Example 1) and the 95 percent HMW-HDPE, 5 percent Ziegler-Natta catalyzed LLDPE (Example 2) with Examples 3 and 5 (5 weight percent metallocene-catalyzed LLDPE and 5 weight percent Vector SBS respectively), the following observations on specific physical properties are made:

MD/TD ratio; while an improvement of 60+% is observed from Example 1 to Example 2, a ±300 % improvement is observed from Example 1 to Examples 3 or 5;

PPT MD; substantially no difference is observed between Examples 1 and 2, but a 20 percent improvement is observed between Example 1 and Examples 3 or 5;

PPTL MD; a slight decrease is noted from Example 1 to Example 2 while a substantial reduction (±2<sup>5</sup> percent) comparing Example 1 to Examples 3 or 5;

PPTL TD; a less than 10 percent reduction is seen Example 2 to Example 1, while a 20+ percent reduction is seen Examples 3 or 5 to Example 1.

5

10

Although the present invention has been described in considerable detail with reference to certain preferred versions thereof, other versions are possible. For example, while films and bags have been exemplified, other uses such as for instance extrusion blow molding, are contemplated. Therefore, the spirit and scope of the appended claims should not be limited to the description of the preferred versions contained therein.

Table 1

	EXAMPLE 1	EXAMPLE 2	EXAMPLE 3	EXAMPLE 4	EXAMPLE 5
Die diameter (mm)	160	160	160	160	160
Die GAP (mm)	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5
Blow-Up Ratio	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
Stalk Height Ratio	6	6	6	6	6
Output Rate (lb/hr) (kg/hr)	280 (127)	280 (127)	280 (127)	280 (127)	280 (127)
Film Gauge, ave. (mil) (microns)	0.51 (13)	0.51 (13)	0.52 (13)	0.502 (12)	0.53 (14)
Film Gauge, low (mil) (microns)	0.42 (11)	0.42 (11)	0.38 (10)	0.37 (10)	0.31 (8)
Film Gauge, high (mil) (microns)	0.63 (16)	0.64 (16)	0.65 (16)	0.73 (18)	0.68 (17)
Gauge Variation, %	20.6	21.6	26	35	35
Dart Drop (g)	160	160	160	116	158
Dart Drop (g/mil) (g/microns)	314 (12.3)	314 (12.3)	309 (12.3)	231 (9.7)	300 (11.3)
Elmendorf Tear (g), MD	7.2	7.4	5.2	4.6	4.6
Elmendorf Tear (g/mil)	13.6 (0.55)	14.8 (0.57)	9.7 (0.40)	9.4 (0.38)	9 (0.33)
(g/microns), MD					
Elmendorf Tear (g), TD	35.8	64	86.8	132.2	67.6
Elmendorf Tear (g/mil)	71.4 (2.75)	121.5 (4.92)	162.9 (6.68)	254.6 (11.02)	127.5 (4.83)
(g/microns), TD					
TD/MD Ratio	5	8.6	16.7	28.7	14.7
Tensile @ Yield (psi) (MPa), MD	5720 (39.8)	5542 (38.6)	6166 (42.9)	5206 (36.2)	6133 (42.7)
Tensile @ Yield (psi) (MPa), TD	4686 (32.6)	4968 (34.6)	4797 (33.4)	4644 (32.3)	4816 (33.5)
Elongation @ Yield (%), MD	4.8	4.99	5.1	5.1	5.3
Elongation @ Yield (%), TD	4.38	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.4
Ultimate Tensile (psi) (MPa), MD	13552 (94.4)	13174 (91.7)	14324 (99.7)	14416 (100.4)	15941 (111.0)
Ultimate Tensile (psi) (MPa), TD	9178 (63.9)	10145 (70.6)	7690 (53.6)	7029 (48.9)	7451 (51.9)
Ultimate Elongation (%), MD	316	297	226	262	246
Ultimate Elongation (%), TD	383	416	402	472	327
PPTear (kgF), MD	1.82	1.89	2.22	2.25	2.32
PPTear (kgF), TD	2.12	2.22	2.49	2.56	2.5
PPTear Length (mm), MD	126.4	121.4	89.6	88	83.4
PPTear Length (mm), TD	97.2	90	74.2	71	73.6

#### **CLAIMS:**

We claim:

10

25

#### 5 1. A film comprising:

- a) a first component present in the range of from 85 to 99 weight percent preferably in the range of from 90 to 99 weight percent, said first component is an HMW-HDPE said HMW-HDPE having;
- i) a density in the range of from 0.940 to 0.970 g/cc preferably in the range of from 0.950 to 0.960 g/cc;
- ii) an alpha-olefin comonomer content up to 2 mole percent based on the total moles of the HMW-HDPE;
- iii) melt index in the range of from 0.02 to 2.0 dg/min., preferably in the range of from 0.3 to 0.1 dg/min.; and
- b) in the range of from 1 to 15 weight percent, preferably in the range of from 1 to 10 weight percent of a second component selected from the group consisting of SBS, SIS, EPR, EPDM, butyl rubber, metallocene-catalyzed LLDPE, and combinations thereof; and wherein said film has a PPT (MD) above 2 KgF, preferably above 2.1 KgF more preferably above 2.2 KgF, and a PPTL (MD) up to 100 mm, preferably up to 95 mm, more preferably up to 90 mm.

#### 2. A film comprising:

- a) a first component present in the range of from 93 to 97 weight percent, said first component is an HMW-HDPE, said HMW-HDPE having a density in the range of from 0.950 to 0.960 g/cc, an α-olefin content up to 2 mole percent based on the total moles of said first component, and a melt index in the range of from 0.02 to 0.1 dg/min.; and
- b) a second component present in the range of from 7 to 3 weight percent of a second component, said second component selected from the group consisting of SBS, and metallocene-catalyzed LLDPE said weight percent based on the total weight of said film; wherein said film has a PPT MD above 2.2 KgF and a PPTL MD up to 90 mm.
- 35 3. A tee-shirt bag comprising a film, said film including

a) a majority component present in the range of from 93 to 97 weight percent, said majority component being an HMW-HDPE, having a density in the range of from 0.948 to 0.965 g/cc, an  $\alpha$ -olefin content up to 2 mole percent, and a melt index in the range of from 0.02 to 0.1 dg/min.; and

b) a minority component present in the range of from 7 to 3 weight percent, said minority component being selected from the group consisting of SBS, EPR, EPDM, and metallocene-catalyzed LLDPE; said weight percent based on the total weight of the tee-shirt bag;

wherein said film has a PPT MD above 2.2 and a PPTL MD up to 90 mm.

10

5

- 4. A HMW-HDPE composition comprising:
- a) a first component present in the range of from 85 to 99 weight percent, said first component is an HMW-HDPE said HMW-HDPE having;
  - i) a density in the range of from 0.940 to 0.970 g/cc;
- ii) an alpha-olefin comonomer content up to 2 mole percent based on the total moles of the HMW-HDPE;
  - iii) melt index in the range of from 0.02 to 2.0 dg/min.; and
  - b) in the range of from 1 to 15 weight percent of a component selected from the group consisting of SBS, SIS, EPR, EPDM, butyl rubber, metallocenecatalyzed LLDPE, and combinations thereof; and wherein said film has a PPT (MD) above 2 KgF, and a PPTL (MD) up to 100 mm.
  - 5. Use of the composition of Claim 4as a film, or molded article.

25

20

- 6. Use of the film of claim 5 as a bag, preferably wherein said bag is one of a tee-shirt bag or a can liner.
- 7. Use of the composition of Claim 4 as an extrusion blow-molded.

30

8. Use of the film of Claim 1 or 2 as a bag.

### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Inter: al Application No PCT/US 95/15960

PCT/US 95/15960 A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC 6 C08L23/06 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC **B. FIELDS SEARCHED** Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) IPC 6 C08L Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used) C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category ° Relevant to claim No. Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages 4,5,7 WO,A,91 19763 (EXXON CHEMICAL PATENTS INC) X 26 December 1991 see claims; page 9, table II; page 14, table V, blend B-3 and B-4 1,2,4,5, X US,A,5 153 039 (PORTER JAY P ET AL) 6 October 1992 see claims; columns 3 and 4, examples 1 to US,A,4 770 912 (FURRER WILLIAM W ET AL) 1-8 Α

	-/
X Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.	Patent family members are listed in annex.
*Special categories of cited documents:  A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance.  E earlier document but published on or after the international filing date.  L document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified).  O document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means.  P document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed.	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention.  "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone.  "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.  "&" document member of the same patent family
Date of the actual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international search report 2 1. 03. 96
15 March 1996	

Authorized officer

Mergoni, M

Form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) (July 1992)

Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo ni,

13 September 1988 see claims; figure1

see claims

Α

EP,A,O 339 990 (SHELL OIL CO ;MITSUI

PETROCHEMICAL IND (JP)) 2 November 1989

1-8

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Inten al Application No
PCT/US 95/15960

	DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT uon of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.		
i . !	EP,A,O 517 222 (HOECHST AG) 9 December 1992 see claims	1-8		
		.45		

#### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

Intern. .al Application No PCT/US 95/15960

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)		Publication date	
WO-A-9119763	26-12-91	NONE		····	
US-A-5153039	06-10-92	NONE			
US-A-4770912	13-09-88	NONE			
EP-A-0339990	02-11-89	DE-D- 6 DE-T- 6	3372489 8910373 8910373 1301735	02-11-89 09-12-93 11-05-94 05-12-89	
EP-A-0517222	09-12-92		2070470 5338589	06-12-92 16-08-94	