




INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

<p>(51) International Patent Classification ⁵ : H04N 1/46</p>	<p>A1</p>	<p>(11) International Publication Number: WO 91/06174 (43) International Publication Date: 2 May 1991 (02.05.91)</p>
<p>(21) International Application Number: PCT/US90/05491 (22) International Filing Date: 1 October 1990 (01.10.90) (30) Priority data: 419,559 10 October 1989 (10.10.89) US (71) Applicant: EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY [US/US]; 343 State Street, Rochester, NY 14650 (US). (72) Inventors: SULLIVAN, James, R. ; 64 Webster Road, Spencerport, NY 14559 (US). MILLER, Rodney, L. ; 40 Barry Road, Rochester, NY 14617 (US). WETZEL, Thomas, J. ; 67 North Hampton Circle, Rochester, NY 14612 (US). (74) Agent: CLOSE, Thomas, H.; 343 State Street, Rochester, NY 14650-2201 (US).</p>		<p>(81) Designated States: AT (European patent), BE (European patent), CH (European patent), DE (European patent)*, DK (European patent), ES (European patent), FR (European patent), GB (European patent), IT (European patent), JP, LU (European patent), NL (European patent), SE (European patent).</p> <p>Published <i>With international search report. Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.</i></p>

(54) Title: COLOR DIGITAL HALFTONING WITH VECTOR ERROR DIFFUSION



(57) Abstract

A halftoning method for creating a color binary image from a continuous tone color image or computer generated graphics signal is improved by diffusing color vector error and by including the visual color blur functions in the recursive error propagation algorithm so that perceived color vector rather than binary color error is propagated.

DESIGNATIONS OF "DE"

Until further notice, any designation of "DE" in any international application whose international filing date is prior to October 3, 1990, shall have effect in the territory of the Federal Republic of Germany with the exception of the territory of the former German Democratic Republic.

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT	Austria	ES	Spain	MG	Madagascar
AU	Australia	FI	Finland	ML	Mali
BB	Barbados	FR	France	MR	Mauritania
BE	Belgium	GA	Gabon	MW	Malawi
BF	Burkina Faso	GB	United Kingdom	NL	Netherlands
BG	Bulgaria	GR	Greece	NO	Norway
BJ	Benin	HU	Hungary	PL	Poland
BR	Brazil	IT	Italy	RO	Romania
CA	Canada	JP	Japan	SD	Sudan
CF	Central African Republic	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CG	Congo	KR	Republic of Korea	SN	Senegal
CH	Switzerland	LI	Liechtenstein	SU	Soviet Union
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	LK	Sri Lanka	TD	Chad
CM	Cameroon	LU	Luxembourg	TG	Togo
DE	Germany	MC	Monaco	US	United States of America
DK	Denmark				

COLOR DIGITAL HALFTONING WITH VECTOR ERROR DIFFUSION

Cross Reference to Related Application

Reference is hereby made to copending U.S. Patent
5 Application No. 303,205 filed February 7, 1989
"Digital Halftoning with Error Diffusion"
by James R. Sullivan

Technical Field

10 The present invention relates to the field of
digital image processing and more particularly to a
method for digital halftoning continuous-tone color
images using color-dependent error diffusion.

15 Background Art

Digital halftoning is a technique employing
digital image processing to produce a halftone output
image from a continuous-tone input image. In the
digital color image halftoning technique, a
20 continuous-tone color image is sampled, for example
with a scanner, the samples are digitized and stored
in a computer. The digitized samples or pixels
consist of three binary representations of red, green,
and blue scanned color values typically ranging from 0
25 to 255 for each color. To recreate this image on a
printer that is capable of printing dots of only one
brightness for each output color, it is necessary to
create the sensation of multi-level colors by suitably
distributing the printed dots in the output image.
30 For three color printers, e.g., those that employ
cyan, magenta, and yellow toners or inks, this is a
mapping at each pixel from three multi-level digital
input values to one of eight, i.e., 2^3 , possible
output values. Likewise for four color printers that
35 employ black toner or ink there are sixteen or 2^4
possible output values. Any binary combination of the
three or four colors can be produced at each output
pixel. One prior art method of color digital

-2-

halftoning is to use clustered-dot halftone screens, one for each color, to independently threshold the input color values to produce the output binary combinations. This is typically preceded by some
5 sort of color processing to convert the input red, green, and blue colors into continuous-tone output cyan, magenta, yellow, and black colors for screening. There are many types of screens including adaptive screens that vary with the input signal, and
10 many methods for introducing offsets or rotations among the screens to reduce low frequency color harmonics or Moire patterns in uniform image areas. At the high dot densities, e.g., 1800 dots/inch, of high quality graphics the fundamental screen patterns
15 are not visible to the unaided eye. For copiers and medium-to-low quality printers, e.g., 300-500 dots/inch, however, these patterns are visible, and increasing the screen frequency to reduce their visibility reduces the number of levels and introduces
20 false gradations or contours in the output image.

Another prior art method is to use independent error diffusion on each color. In this method, each color is processed as a separate continuous-tone signal using the techniques of error
25 diffusion to propagate binarization error to subsequent pixels producing the perception of multiple output pixels. Although superior to screening in terms of the visibility of binary noise, this technique cannot produce optimal output color quality
30 because it does not use the input color information interactively at each pixel, nor does it employ knowledge of the spatial response of the human visual system to color signals.

It is the object of the present invention to
35 provide an improved color error diffusion digital halftoning technique that avoids the problems noted above.

Summary of the Invention

The objects of the present invention are achieved by representing the input and output colors as three dimensional vectors in a preferred color space and selecting the output color at each pixel that is closest in a p-norm distance sense to the input color vector plus a weighted sum of past color errors. The preferred color space can be in terms of input colors, e.g. RGB or tristimulus values XYZ, outputs colors, e.g., RGB or CMYK, or any of the linear or non-linear transformations of these colors such as CIELUV, CIELAB, YIQ, or orthogonal color spaces such as equal energy EE developed by Cohen (see "Color and Color Mixture Scalar and Vector Fundamentals" by J.B. Cohen, Vol. 13, No. 1, Feb. 1988). The only requirement is that large area solid output colors can be represented as a vectors in this space. In addition, it is generally required that the system including the screener be calibratable over large areas so that input and output tristimulus values are equal. If the choice for the preferred color space is non-linear, the color calibration preprocessing which occurs prior to screening must take into account this non-linearity as well as the non-linearity of the printer. This can be done simply by constructing a look-up table that represents the inverse of the printer and human visual color transformation non-linearities. The distance metric or p-norm in the preferred color space at pixel (i,j) is defined as:

$$|(\vec{v}_{i,j} + \vec{e}) - \vec{w}_{i,j}^n|^p = |\vec{u}_{i,j} - \vec{w}_{i,j}^n|^p = \sum_{k=1}^3 |v_{i,j}(k) + e(k) - w_{i,j}^n(k)|^p, \quad (1)$$

where $\vec{v}_{i,j}$ is the input vector, \vec{e} is the error vector comprised of a weighted sum of past error vectors, $\vec{u}_{i,j}$ is the error diffused vector, $\vec{w}_{i,j}^n$ is the nth output color vector, and k is the color

-4-

coordinate. With eight bits of precision for each input color, there will be 2^{24} unique input color vectors. Depending on whether black toner is used or not there will be $2^3 = 8$, or $2^4 = 16$ output colors vectors. This is shown graphically for eight output colors in Figure 2, where only one of the output vectors ($\vec{w}_{1,j}$) is drawn to simplify the figure. Referring to the figure, the error diffused color vector is compared with the eight possible output color vectors $w_{1,j}^n$ to select the output that has the minimum p-norm distance. Since the output color vectors represent binary printer control signals in terms of cyan, magenta, yellow, and possibly black the chosen color is simply interpreted for printer output. The color or channel-dependent aspect of the method refers to the fact that the output color is chosen in color vector space rather than in three independent scalar spaces. One degenerate case exists when the possible output colors form a right-parallelepiped in the chosen color space with sides that are parallel to the axes of the space. In this case, the channel-dependent and channel-independent color choices will be identical. Screening in the cyan, magenta, and yellow color space of the printer which is the basis of all current dither and error diffusion techniques is degenerative and there is no difference between channel-dependent and channel-independent screening. Since the human visual system does not process cyan, magenta, and yellow information equivalently in terms of the perception of modulation, noise, or differences, as evidenced by the increased visibility of cyan-white modulation compared to yellow-white modulation, these techniques are sub-optimal.

The channel-dependent vector processing method of the present invention can be performed in a

SUBSTITUTE SHEET

-5-

uniform visual color space, such as CIELUV or LAB space, to produce a more nearly optimum appearing result. This is illustrated schematically in Fig. 3 for halftoning in the LUV color coordinate system for a color marking engine that prints cyan (c), magenta (m) and yellow (y) dots. To practice the method, the eight possible marks that can be made by the marking engine are plotted in LUV color space. The eight marks that can be made are labeled in Fig. 3 as W for white, (i.e., no color); C for cyan; CM for cyan and magenta, and so on. The error diffused vector μ is plotted in LUV space, and the mark closest to the error diffused vector (e.g., CM) is chosen for the output value at the given pixel.

In a further refinement of the invention, the human visual blur function is incorporated into the decision process at each pixel to represent the local spatial averaging performed by the low-pass filter characteristics of vision. The perceived color vector error including blur is then propagated to subsequent pixels rather than the absolute binary color vector error. In this way, high frequency binary errors that are not perceivable are ignored, and binary errors at the important spatial frequencies and orientations are emphasized. This reduces the correlated noise or "worms" of conventional error diffusion. It also allows for color-dependent blur functions making it possible to better match the observed color modulation characteristics of the human visual system. At each pixel, the blur function is used to average the past choices in each color dimension with the eight possible output colors to produce eight perceived output color vectors. This averaging process moves the eight possible output color vectors inward toward the center of the output color volume causing the p-norm distance metric to potentially select a different output color than it would with no blur. By

-6-

including the visual blur function, the eight output color vectors will be different at each pixel. This is illustrated in Figure 4. The major advantage of such processing is that high frequency brightness errors can be reduced by allowing high frequency chrominance errors that are not perceivable. In addition, the blur function eliminates the degenerate behavior of channel-dependent and channel-independent error diffusion in the color space of the printer, because the parallelepiped defined by the output colors is distorted at each pixel.

An additional refinement of the invention, is to curtail the diffusion of binary error or perceived error if a brightness or chrominance edge is observed in the signal. The motivation for this is that edges indicate a transition in signal type, e.g., shadow-to-highlight, sky-to-foliage, etc., and it is generally inappropriate to propagate errors across such boundaries. Standard edge detection techniques such as a Sobel filter or gradient operator are used for this purpose.

A final refinement of the invention, is to apply it to systems that can achieve more than two levels for each output color at each pixel. In either Figure 3 or 4 this would mean more than eight possible output colors. The p-norm metric and selection process, the blur calculation, and the error propagation do not change.

30 Brief Description of Drawings

Figure 1 is a block diagram of a system for carrying out the present invention;

Figure 2 is a plot that illustrates the color vector error diffusion technique of the present invention;

Figure 3 is a plot that illustrates the color vector error diffusion technique of the present invention, performed in LUV color space;

-7-

Figure 4 is a plot that illustrates the color vector error diffusion technique of the present invention with the output colors modified by the color visual blur functions at each pixel;

05 Figure 5 is a schematic block diagram of the halftoning technique of the present invention without visual blur;

Figure 6 is a diagram useful in describing the diffusion of errors in the error diffusion process;

10 Figure 7 is a schematic block diagram of the halftoning technique in an embodiment of the present invention with visual blur and edge detection; and

15 Figure 8 is a schematic block diagram illustrating the steps of edge detection in an embodiment of the present invention.

Modes of Carrying Out the Invention

A digital image processing system for implementing the halftoning method of the present invention is shown schematically in Figure 1, and includes an input means 10 such as a scanner or solid state image sensor for sampling and digitizing a continuous-tone color image 12 such as a photograph or aerial image. The three-color, continuous-tone digital image $c_{i,j}(k)$ is supplied to a computer 14 where it is processed according to the present invention to produce a three- or four-color binary digital image $b_{i,j}(k)$ for printout on a printer 16 such as an ink jet, dot matrix, thermal or laser electrophotographic printer, or a soft display device such as a CRT.

35 The input digital image $c_{i,j}(k)$ and all subsequent color signals can be represented by a series of three monochromatic pixels values i.e., $k = 1, 2, 3$, or by a three-dimensional vector $\vec{c}_{i,j}$ arranged in an array of J pixels and I lines with the last pixel on the last line having indices (I,J).

-8-

Referring to Figure 5, the method of the present invention will now be described. Input pixel values or color vectors $\vec{c}_{i,j}$ typically expressed as three 8-bit integers in the range from 0 to 255 are sequentially processed, one line at a time from left to right, and top to bottom of the image. The input color vectors are transformed into the preferred color space, e.g., RGB, XYZ, CIELUV, CIELAB, YIQ, or CMYK, to give new color vectors $\vec{v}_{i,j}$ that are vectorially added to the weighted past errors $\vec{e}_{i,j}$ to produce error diffused color vectors $\vec{u}_{i,j}$. This can be expressed as

$$\vec{u}_{i,j} = \vec{v}_{i,j} + \vec{e}_{i,j} \quad (2)$$

or equivalently $\mu_{i,j}(k) = v_{i,j}(k) + e_{i,j}(k), K=1,2,3$. (3)

The error diffused color vector is compared in a p-norm distance sense to the eight (or sixteen) output colors $\vec{w}_{i,j}^n, n=1,2,\dots,8(16)$ representing the 2^3 (2^4) binary color combinations in the preferred color space to select the closest output color $\vec{w}_{i,j}^n$. This is expressed as:

$$\vec{w}_{i,j}^1 = \underset{\vec{w}_{i,j}^n}{\text{minimum}} [D_n], n=1,2,\dots,8(16), \quad (4)$$

where D_n is the n^{th} p-norm distance given by

$$D_n = |\vec{u}_{i,j} - \vec{w}_{i,j}^n|^p = \sum_{k=1}^3 |\mu_{i,j}(k) - w_{i,j}^n(k)|^p, n=1,2,\dots,8(16). \quad (5)$$

where p is an integer representing the power to which the absolute value of the vector distance is raised, e.g. 1, 2 or higher. The larger the value of p, the more that the largest component of the vector distance is emphasized. The selected color is then translated into the corresponding three- or four-channel binary printer signals $\vec{b}_{i,j}$ for output. The output color vector $\vec{w}_{i,j}^1$ is then subtracted from the error diffused color vector $\vec{u}_{i,j}$ to produce the error vector $\vec{e}_{i,j}$ given by

$$\vec{e}_{i,j} = \vec{u}_{i,j} - \vec{w}_{i,j}^1 \quad (6)$$

SUBSTITUTE SHEET

-9-

This is stored 28 and weighted with past errors 30 to produce the weighted error vector \vec{e} for the next pixel. Figure 6 illustrates the manner in which the error will be diffused to neighboring pixels with a four pixel error matrix and reasonable choices for the weighting coefficients. This error for color k at pixel (i,j) , $e_{i,j}(k)$, will be weighted and distributed to pixels $(i,j+1)$, $(i+1,j+1)$, $(i+1,j)$, $(i+1,j-1)$.

In a further refinement, the present invention can be extended to include the color blur characteristics of the human visual system to produce color halftone output that has minimum diffused perceptual error. The estimates of the color visual blur functions will be described first, followed by a description of their use in the present invention.

There have been numerous studies on the characteristics of the human visual system. A comprehensive compilation of some of the major results is included in the text Design Handbook for Imagery Interpretation Equipment by J.M. Booth and R.J. Farrell, Boeing Aerospace Company, D180-19063-1, December 1975. The key feature of the vision system appropriate to the present invention is the two-dimensional visual blur function or its Fourier equivalent the modulation transfer function, MTF, which describes the visual response to suprathreshold signals. If the vision system is linear for small signal modulation, which has been demonstrated by many investigators to be accurate for modulations less than 20%, and shift-invariant or non-adaptive which has been shown to be the case for normal light levels, then the MTF can be modelled by the constant contrast sensitivity function or CSF or high-luminance, threshold-detection studies. The functional relationship used for this is:

$$MTF(f_v) = \begin{cases} a(b+cf_v)e^{-(cf_v)^d}, & f_v > f_{\max} \\ 1.0, & \text{else,} \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

SUBSTITUTE SHEET

-10-

where the constants a,b,c,d can be calculated by regression fits of horizontal and vertical threshold modulation data for monochrome signals to be 2.2, 0.192, 0.114, and 1.1 respectively, f_v is visual frequency in cycles/(visually subtended angle in degrees) in the horizontal or vertical direction, and the typical low frequency falloff of CSF data has been eliminated by setting the MTF to 1.0 for all frequencies below the peak f_{max} of the functional fit. To use this function for other directions, the well known decrease in visual sensitivity at angles other than horizontal and vertical is modelled by a non-linear stretching of the spatial frequency axis f_v as a function of angle. This is given by $f_v \rightarrow f_v/s(\theta)$ where

$$s(\theta) = \frac{(1-w)}{2} \cos(4\theta) + \frac{(1+w)}{2}, \quad (8)$$

and the constant w is chosen from empirical data to be 0.7 which produces an effective bandwidth reduction at 45 degrees of 70%. To use this directional MTF in the image domain, it is necessary to convert from visual cycles/degree to cycles/mm on the document. This can be accomplished by the geometrical optics

$$f_v = (\pi/180) \frac{1}{\arcsin\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+dis^2}}\right)} \sqrt{f_i^2 + f_j^2}, \quad (9)$$

where dis is the viewing distance in mm, f_i, f_j are the discrete horizontal and vertical document frequencies in cycles/mm given by:

$$f_i = \frac{i-1}{\Delta N}, f_j = \frac{j-1}{\Delta M}, i=1,2,\dots,N, j=1,2,\dots,M, N \text{ and } M$$

are the number of horizontal and vertical blur samples, e.g., $N=M=32$, and Δ is the sample spacing on the document. By implementing these equations with a viewing distance of 254 mm and the aforementioned constants for a,b,c,d,w the discrete monochrome visual

SUBSTITUTE SHEET

MTF for a sample pitch of 16 samples/mm was generated and the inverse DFT was taken to get the discrete blur function. The unnormalized causal section, e.g., the section that includes only past processed pixels in a 7 x 7 array of pixels, from left to right and top to bottom, is shown in Table I. This includes the first three rows of pixels and the first four pixels in the fourth row, in the 7 x 7 pixel array. As stated in the summary, it is well known that the visual blur function is wider for chrominance signals than for brightness signals and wider for blue-yellow, blue-black, or yellow-white chrominance signals than for green-red, green-black, or red-black chrominance signals. To take advantage of this in the present invention the green-red and blue-yellow blur functions are calculated at equivalent viewing distances that are 2x and 4x greater than for brightness signals. This scales the values of Table I to agree approximately with empirically observed results. The use of these blur function in the color halftoning technique of the present invention will be described now.

Table I: Causal Section of Human Visual Brightness Blur

	-5.8	-6.6	2.8	13.6	2.8	-6.6	-5.8
	-6.6	-12.1	4.6	33.2	4.6	-12.1	-6.6
25	-2.8	4.6	51.8	124.0	51.8	4.6	2.8
	13.6	33.2	124.0	240.4			

Referring to Figure 7, input pixel values or color vectors $\vec{c}_{i,j}$ typically expressed as three 8-bit integers in the range from 0 to 255 are sequentially processed, one line at a time from left to right, and top to bottom of the image. The input color vectors are transformed into the preferred color space e.g., RGB, XYZ, CIELUV, CIELAB, YIQ, or CMYK, to give new color vectors $\vec{v}_{i,j}$ that are vectorially added

to the weighted past errors e to produce error diffused color vectors $u_{i,j}$, as in equations (2) and (3). The error diffused color vector is compared 44 in a p-norm distance sense to the eight (or sixteen) blurred output colors $y_{i,j}, n=1,2,\dots,8(16)$ in the preferred color space to select the closest output color $w_{i,j}$. This is expressed as:

$$w_{i,j}^{\rightarrow 1} = \underset{y_{i,j}^{\rightarrow n}}{\text{minimum}} [D_n], n=1,2,\dots,8(16), \quad (10)$$

10 where D_n is the n^{th} p-norm distance given by

$$D_n = |\vec{u}_{i,j} - \vec{y}_{i,j}^n|^p = \sum_{k=1}^3 |\mu_{i,j}(k) - y_{i,j}^n(k)|^p, n=1,2,\dots,8(16). \quad (11)$$

The selected color is then translated 46 into the corresponding three- or four-channel binary printer signals $b_{i,j}$ for output. The output color vector coordinates $w_{i,j}(k), k=1,2,3$, each of which can contain a maximum of 8(16) unique values corresponding to the three color coordinates values of the 8(16) possible output colors, are then stored 48 in pixel position (i,j) of each output color plane. The presently calculated portion of a 7 x 7 array of output pixels is shown graphically in the stored data block 48, with the most recently calculated output pixel being indicated with an "x". In the simplest case, where the halftoning is done in the CMY printer color space, the eight possible output colors form a cube of points, i.e., {000,001,010,011,100,101,110,111}, and the stored values are binary. The next pixel position $(i,j+1)$ of each color plane is independently loaded with the color coordinates of the possible output colors and independently weighted 50 by the appropriate color blue matrix to produce blurred color coordinates $y_{i,j+1}(k), k=1,2,3, n=1,2,\dots,8(16)$ for the next pixel.

35 In Fig. 7, this eight (or sixteen) channel link is represented by $\parallel 8$. This blur calculation can be expressed as $y_{i,j+1}^n(k) = h_{0,0}(k)w_{i,j+1}^n(k) +$

$$\sum_{s=1}^{N(k)M(k)} \sum_{t=1}^{\overline{1}} h_{s,t}(k)w_{i-s,j+1-t}^n(k); k = 1,2,3, n, 1 = 1,2,\dots,8(16), \quad (12)$$

-13-

where $n(k)$ and $M(k)$ define the extend of the blur functions for each color k . These can also be

represented as blurred color vectors $\vec{y}_{i,j+1}^n$. The selected blurred color vector for pixel (i,j) $\vec{y}_{i,j}^1$ is
 05 subtracted 44 from the error diffused color vector $\vec{u}_{i,j}$ to produce the error vector $\vec{e}_{i,j}$ given by

$$\vec{e}_{i,j} = \vec{u}_{i,j} - \vec{y}_{i,j}^1 \quad (13)$$

This is then stored 52 and weighted with past errors
 10 54 to produce the weighted error vector \vec{e} for the next pixel.

In a further refinement, the transformed color signals $v_{i,j}(k)$ are filtered 56 to detect edge pixels and the error propagation is truncated by
 15 setting the error weights to zero at each detected color edge. This prevents diffusion of error across edge boundaries in each color plane which improves the edge sharpness of the output image. As shown in Figure 8, the edge detection can be accomplished, for
 20 example, with a 3x3 digital filter 60 having coefficient weights as shown, followed by a threshold detection step 62. A detection step of 5-10 counts out of 256 works satisfactorily. The halftoning apparatus of the present invention comprises a
 25 programmed general purpose computer such as a microprocessor or alternately a custom designed digital signal processing circuit. The output image can be printed on a binary color recording device, such as a hardcopy laser recorder or digital copier.
 30 The present invention is readily extended to non-binary printers that have more than two levels for each output color by including the multilevel vectors in the selection process for the closest blurred output color vector at each pixel. For an eight level
 35 system this would mean $8^3=512$ comparisons at each pixel.

SUBSTITUTE SHEET

-14-

Industrial Applicability and Advantages

The halftoning technique of the present invention is useful in systems that generate color binary outputs from continuous tone color input images or computer generated graphics. The invention has the advantage that it is optimal in terms of perceived noise in constant signal regions and does not have the periodic patterns of dithering or the correlated noise of error diffusion. It provides the first method for producing color-dependent halftone output for systems that screen in the color space of the output device. It also has implementation advantages over standard error diffusion because the correlated noise or "worms" can be eliminated without expanding the size of the error matrix, i.e., a four pixel matrix is acceptable, or adding a dithered threshold or random noise.

05

10

15

20

25

30

35

Claims:

1. An improved error diffusion method for producing a halftone image from a continuous tone input color digital image having input pixels which represent a plurality n of digitized color values, and including the steps of selecting for each of the continuous tone color input pixels binary output pixel(s) having a binary bit for each color value according to an error criterion, determining the error between the input pixel color values and the output pixel(s) color values and distributing the error to a neighborhood of adjacent input pixels, of use in the error criterion, wherein the improvement comprises:

representing the input pixels, output pixels, and errors as n -dimensional vectors in a color space, and selecting the output pixel that is closest in a p -norm distance sense to the input pixel plus a weighted sum of past error values.

2. The method claimed in claim 1, wherein the color space is a uniform visual color space.

3. The method claimed in claim 2, wherein the color space is CIELUV.

4. The method claimed in claim 1, further comprising:

employing a neighborhood of previously selected output pixels and the currently selected input pixel weighted by a human visual system blur filter as the output pixel employed in the error determining step.

5. The method claimed in claim 5, wherein the neighborhood is the previously calculated portion of a 7×7 pixel array, and said human visual system filter is a digital filter having the weights:

15.8	-6.6	2.8	13.6	2.8	-6.5	-5.8
-6.6	-12.1	4.6	33.2	4.6	-12.1	-6.6
2.8	4.6	51.8	124.0	51.8	4.6	2.8




FIG. 1






FIG. 5






FIG. 4

FIG. 6





FIG. 8





FIG. 7

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

PCT/US 90/05491

International Application No

I. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER (if several classification symbols apply, indicate all) ⁶ According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both National Classification and IPC Int.Cl. 5 H04N1/46		
II. FIELDS SEARCHED Minimum Documentation Searched ⁷		
Classification System	Classification Symbols	
Int.Cl. 5	H04N1/00	
Documentation Searched other than Minimum Documentation to the Extent that such Documents are Included in the Fields Searched ⁸		
III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT⁹		
Category ¹⁰	Citation of Document, ¹¹ with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages ¹²	Relevant to Claim No. ¹³
A	ICASSP 86 Proceedings, 7-11 April 1986, Tokyo (JP) (International Conference on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing) vol. 1, 1986, New York (US) pages 541 - 544; K. YAMADA et al.: "A Representation Method of Color Pictures by Arranging Fixed Size Dots of the Primary Colors" see page 542, left-hand column, paragraph 5 - page 544, left-hand column, last paragraph ---	1, 2
A	EP,A,201674 (IBM) 20 November 1986 see abstract ---	1
A	US,A,4477833 (CLARK) 16 October 1984 see abstract see column 3, line 24 - column 4, line 48 --- -/--	1-3
<p>¹⁰ Special categories of cited documents:</p> <p>"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance</p> <p>"E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date</p> <p>"I" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)</p> <p>"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means</p> <p>"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed</p> <p>"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention</p> <p>"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step</p> <p>"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.</p> <p>"&" document member of the same patent family</p>		
IV. CERTIFICATION		
Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search 12 MARCH 1991		Date of Mailing of this International Search Report 28. 03. 91
International Searching Authority EUROPEAN PATENT OFFICE		Signature of Authorized Officer DE ROECK A. F. A. 

III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT (CONTINUED FROM THE SECOND SHEET)		Relevant to Claim No.
Category °	Citation of Document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	
A	IBM TECHNICAL DISCLOSURE BULLETIN. vol. 28, no. 1, June 1985, NEW YORK US pages 438 - 439; "Digital color halftone reproduction" see page 438, paragraph 2 - page 439, paragraph 2 ----	1
A	DE,A,3842475 (CANON) 29 June 1989 see page 4, line 54 - page 5, line 21 ----	1, 2, 5
A,P	WO,A,9009075 (EASTMAN KODAK) 09 August 1990 see abstract (cited in the application) ----	4, 5

ANNEX TO THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT
ON INTERNATIONAL PATENT APPLICATION NO.

US 9005491

SA 41759

This annex lists the patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned international search report.
The members are as contained in the European Patent Office EDP file on
The European Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information. 12/03/91

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
EP-A-201674	20-11-86	US-A- 4654721	31-03-87
		CA-A- 1248878	17-01-89
		EP-A- 0361538	04-04-90
		JP-A- 61237574	22-10-86
US-A-4477833	16-10-84	None	
DE-A-3842475	29-06-89	JP-A- 1160181	23-06-89
		JP-A- 1160182	23-06-89
		JP-A- 1174167	10-07-89
		US-A- 4958218	18-09-90
WO-A-9009075	09-08-90	EP-A- 0408728	23-01-91

EPO FORM P0479

For more details about this annex : see Official Journal of the European Patent Office, No. 12/82