



(22) Date de dépôt/Filing Date: 2003/05/28

(41) Mise à la disp. pub./Open to Public Insp.: 2003/12/18

(62) Demande originale/Original Application: 2 488 151

(30) Priorités/Priorities: 2002/06/05 (DE10225136.3);  
2003/02/21 (DE10307682.4)

(51) Cl.Int./Int.Cl. *F03D 1/06* (2006.01)


(71) Demandeur/Applicant:  
WOBBEN, ALOYS, DE

(72) Inventeur/Inventor:  
WOBBEN, ALOYS, DE

(74) Agent: OYEN WIGGS GREEN & MUTALA LLP


(54) Titre : PALE DE ROTOR D'UNE EOLIENNE

(54) Title: ROTOR BLADE FOR A WIND POWER PLANT



(57) Abrégé/Abstract:

The invention relates to a rotor blade for a wind power plant and a wind power plant. The aim of the invention is to create a profiled rotor blade or wind power plant that exhibits superior performance as opposed to the past. Said aim is achieved by a rotor blade,



(57) **Abrégé(suite)/Abstract(continued):**

the cross section of which has a maximum thickness at a location lying within a range of about 15 to 40 percent relative to the profile depth, preferably within a range of about 23 to 28 percent, the maximum profile thickness ranging between about 20 and 45 percent, preferably between about 32 and 36 percent.

**Abstract**

The invention relates to a rotor blade for a wind power plant and a wind power plant. The aim of the invention is to create a profiled rotor blade or wind power plant that exhibits superior performance as opposed to the past. Said aim is achieved by a rotor blade, the cross section of which has a maximum thickness at a location lying within a range of about 15 to 40 percent relative to the profile depth, preferably within a range of about 23 to 28 percent, the maximum profile thickness ranging between about 20 and 45 percent, preferably between about 32 and 36 percent.

---

## ROTOR BLADE FOR A WIND POWER PLANT

---

5           The invention concerns a rotor blade of a wind power installation,  
and a wind power installation. As state of the art in this respect attention  
should be directed generally to the book 'Windkraftanlagen', Erich Hau,  
1996. That book contains some examples of wind power installations, rotor  
10   blades of such wind power installations as well as cross-sections of such  
rotor blades from the state of the art. Page 102, Figure 5.34, illustrates the  
geometrical profile parameters of aerodynamic profiles in accordance with  
NACA. It is to be seen in that respect that the rotor blade is described by a  
profile depth which corresponds to the length of the chord, a greatest  
15   camber (or camber ratio) as the maximum rise of a median line over the  
chord, a camber reserve, that is to say the location with respect to the  
profile depth where the greatest camber is provided within the cross-  
section of the rotor blade, a greatest profile thickness as the largest  
diameter of an inscribed circle with the centre point on the median line and  
the thickness reserve, that is to say the location with respect to the profile  
20   depth where the cross-section of the rotor blade assumes its greatest  
profile thickness. In addition the leading-edge radius and the profile co-  
ordinates of the underside and the top side are brought into consideration  
to describe the cross-section of the rotor blade. The nomenclature known  
from the Erich Hau book is to be retained inter alia for the further  
25   description of the cross-section of a rotor for the present application.

        Rotor blades are to be optimised in regard to a large number of  
aspects. On the one hand they should be quiet while on the other hand  
they should also afford a maximum dynamic power so that, even with a  
quite slight wind, the wind power installation begins to run and the nominal  
30   wind speed, that is to say the speed at which the nominal power of the  
wind power installation is also reached for the first time, is already reached  
at wind strengths which are as low as possible.

If then the wind speed rises further, nowadays when considering pitch-regulated wind power installations the rotor blade is increasingly set into the wind so that the nominal power is still maintained, but the operative surface area of the rotor blade in relation to the wind decreases  
5 in order thereby to protect the entire wind power installation or parts thereof from mechanical damage. It is crucial however that great significance is attributed to the aerodynamic properties of the rotor blade profiles of the rotor blade of a wind power installation.

The object of the present invention is to provide a rotor blade having  
10 a rotor blade profile and a wind power installation, which involve better efficiency than hitherto.

In accordance with the invention that object is attained by a rotor blade having a rotor blade profile with the features as set forth in one of the independent claims. Advantageous developments are described in the  
15 appendant claims.

The specific co-ordinates of a rotor blade profile according to the invention are set forth in a Table 1.

The invention is illustrated hereinafter by a number of drawings in which:

20 Figure 1 shows a perspective view from the front of a wind power installation according to the invention,

Figure 2 shows a perspective view of a wind power installation according to the invention from the rear and the side,

25 Figure 3 shows a view of a wind power installation according to the invention from the side,

Figures 4 - 8 show views of a rotor blade according to the invention from various directions,

Figure 9 shows a view on an enlarged scale of a wind power installation according to the invention,

30 Figure 10 shows a view of a rotor blade according to the invention,

Figures 11 - 17 and 19 show various views of a wind power installation according to the invention, and

Figure 18 shows a cross-section of a rotor blade according to the invention (in the region near the hub).

The rotor blade profile described in accordance with the present application is provided in particular in the region of the rotor blade which  
5 adjoins the rotor blade connection (for connection to the hub). Preferably the profile described in the present application is provided in the first third of the rotor blade, with respect to the overall length of the rotor blade. In this respect the overall length of a rotor blade may definitely be in the range of between 10 m and 70 m, depending on the nominal power which a  
10 wind power installation is to involve. Thus for example the nominal power of a wind power installation from the corporation Enercon of type E-112 (diameter about 112 m) is 4.5 MW while the nominal power of a wind power installation from Enercon of type E-30 is 300 KW.

What is particularly characteristic in terms of the profile of the rotor  
15 blade according to the invention is that the greatest profile thickness is between about 25% and 40%, preferably between 32% and 36%, of the length of the rotor blade chord. In Figure 18 the greatest profile thickness is about 34.6% of the length of the rotor blade chord. Shown in Figure 1 is a chord 1 which extends from the centre 2 of the rotor blade trailing edge 3 to the foremost point 4 of the rotor blade leading edge 5. The thickness  
20 reserve, that is to say the location in relation to the blade length where the greatest profile thickness occurs, is between about 20% and 30% of the length of the chord, preferably between 23% and 28%, in the illustrated example being 25.9%. The greatest thickness was ascertained  
25 perpendicularly to the chord and the reserve is related to the rotor blade leading edge.

In addition Figure 18 shows what is known as the mean camber line  
7. That camber line results from half the respective thickness of the rotor blade 8 at a point. Accordingly that camber line does not extend in a  
30 straight line but always exactly between oppositely disposed points on the increased-pressure side 9 of the rotor blade 7 and the reduced-pressure side 10 of the rotor blade 7. The camber line intersects the chord at the trailing edge of the rotor blade and the leading edge of the rotor blade.

The camber reserve in the cross-section of a rotor blade according to the invention is between about 55% and 70% of the length of the chord, preferably between about 59% and 63%. In the illustrated example the camber reserve is about 61.9% of the length of the chord. In this case the  
5 greatest camber is between about 4% and 8% of the length of the chord, preferably between about 5% and 7% of the length of the chord. In the illustrated example the camber is about 5.87% of the length of the chord.

It is further particularly striking in terms of the profile of the rotor blade according to the invention that the increased-pressure side of the  
10 rotor blade 'cuts' the chord twice, that is to say in that region the increased-pressure side of the profile is of a concave configuration while in the front region of the profile the increased-pressure side is of a convex configuration. In the region where the increased-pressure side is of a convex configuration, in the corresponding, oppositely disposed region on  
15 the reduced-pressure side, the latter is delimited by an almost straight line.

It may certainly have been known for the increased-pressure side to be provided with a concave curvature or for the reduced-pressure side to be provided with a straight-line boundary. In particular the combination of those two measures is however of great significance for the profile of a  
20 rotor blade according to the invention and is characteristic in respect of the rotor blade profile according to the invention.

The rotor blade trailing edge of the illustrated profile is also noticeably thick. That however does not cause any problem in regard to the creation of sound at the trailing edge of the rotor blade because the  
25 illustrated profile is in the inner third of the rotor circle and there the orbital speed is not very high.

The x-y-co-ordinates of the profile shown in the Figure are reproduced in Table 1 and thus the profile of the rotor blade according to the invention is exactly described therewith.

30 To improve the aerodynamic shape of the rotor blade, it is of such a configuration, in the region of the rotor blade root, that there it is of its greatest width and thus the rotor blade is of a trapezoidal shape (in plan) which is more or less approximated to the optimum aerodynamic shape.

Preferably in the region of the rotor blade root the rotor blade is of such a configuration that the edge of the rotor blade root, which is towards the pod of a wind power installation, is adapted to the external contour of the pod in at least one angular position, for example it is adapted in such a way  
5 that a very small spacing, for example a spacing of between about 5 mm and 100 mm, exists between the pod and the edge of the rotor blade root which is towards the wind power installation and the external contour of the pod when the rotor blade is positioned in the nominal wind position.

A rotor blade with the above-indicated properties affords a  
10 significantly higher increase in power, in part up to 10%. By virtue of that increase in power which could not be predicted, a wind power installation according to the invention, at a given wind speed below the nominal wind speed, achieves a higher power output. In addition it reaches its nominal power output earlier than hitherto. Accordingly the rotor blades can also be  
15 rotated (pitched) earlier and this provides that the level of sound emission on the one hand and the mechanical loading on the installation on the other hand fall.

In that respect the invention is based on the realisation that the rotor blade shape which is common nowadays is investigated in a wind  
20 tunnel admittedly using different wind speeds but with an air flow which is always uniform. As in nature however it is in the rarest cases that the wind blows uniformly in terms of surface area, but rather is subject to a stochastic law, the known rotor blades, as a consequence of gusts, involve detachment of the flow precisely in the inner region of the blade near the  
25 rotor hub where the blade is in fact no longer of an aerodynamically clean and optimum configuration. That flow detachment phenomenon is propagated a distance along the rotor blade in the direction of the outer region thereof (rotor blade tip). As a result the flow can become detached from the rotor blade in a bubble-shaped region and thus result in  
30 corresponding power losses. In the case of the present invention and having regard to the above-described basic situation therefore it is possible to achieve a considerable increase in power output by virtue of a rotor

blade which is of a clean configuration also in the inner region of the rotor blade.

If now a known standard profile were to be used instead of the empirically ascertained profile which is proposed in the present application, then, to afford an aerodynamically clean configuration for the rotor blade, approximately double the profile depth (this corresponds to the length of the chord of the rotor blade) would be required in the lower rotor blade region (the region near the hub). The great profile thickness in the front region however is required for securely and reliably transmitting the loads involved and to attain a lift value  $C_A$  of greater than 2.

As is known from the state of the art, rotor blades are nowadays usually constructed, which entail a great saving in material to the greatest possible extent in the inner region. Typical examples in that respect are disclosed in the state of the art which has already been referred to above, in 'Windkraftanlagen', Erich Hau, 1996, on pages 114 and 115. It can be seen therein that the greatest profile depth is always attained at a certain distance from the rotor blade connection, that is to say in the region near the rotor blade connection, in which respect material is saved in those rotor blades in accordance with the state of the art. If however an optimum shape which approximates to a trapezoidal shape is used in plan, then the greatest width of a rotor blade is not for example at a spacing relative to the rotor blade connection but precisely in the region of the rotor blade connection itself. That structure then therefore does not save the greatest possible amount of material in the inner region of the rotor blades.

The cause of the saving in material which has been implemented hitherto lies in the static manner of considering the flow conditions (as described hereinbefore) in regard to calculating/developing the rotor blades. Added to that is the fact that current calculation programs for rotor blades divide the rotor blade into individual spacings and calculate each rotor blade portion in itself in order to derive therefrom the evaluation for the overall rotor blade.

It will be noted however that the reality looks somewhat different. On the one hand the wind does not blow uniformly and statically within a

given surface area region but markedly exhibits a stochastic behaviour, while on the other hand, by virtue of the low peripheral speed of the rotor blade in the inner region (that is to say in the region near the rotor hub) the influence of the wind speed is considerable and accordingly the angle of incidence changes in that region with a high level of dependency on the instantaneous wind speed. As a consequence thereof detachment of the flow from the rotor blade also correspondingly frequently occurs in the inner region of the rotor blade.

A hysteresis effect is operative in such a situation. When the previous wind speed occurs again, that is to say after a gust is past, the flow is not the same at the rotor blade again. Rather, the wind speed firstly has to fall further (the angle of incidence must therefore be further changed) until the flow again bears against the surface of the rotor blade. If however the wind speed does not fall further, it may certainly happen that, for a prolonged period of time, in spite of the afflux flow of the wind to the rotor blade, a relevant force is exerted on the rotor blade because the flow has not yet come to lie against the rotor blade surface again.

The risk of flow detachment is markedly reduced by virtue of the configuration according to the invention of the rotor blade. That detachment risk is also reduced by the relatively thick profile. The considerable increase in power can also be well explained by virtue of the fact that, due to the hysteresis effect, once flow detachment has occurred, the power losses are maintained over a considerable period of time (for rotor blades in accordance with the state of the art).

A further part of the increase in power can be explained by virtue of the fact that the wind follows the path of least resistance. If therefore the rotor blade is very thin in the inner region near the hub (great saving of material), that is equivalent to a 'slip hole' in the harvesting area of the rotor circle, through which hole the air preferentially flows. In this case also it is certainly possible to see a weakness in the common calculation programs which are always based on uniform distribution over the rotor circle area.

If now that 'slip hole' is 'closed' by virtue of the trapezoidal configuration of the rotor blade in the region near the hub, that will afford improved distribution of the air flow over the entire circular surface area and thus the effect on the outer region of the rotor blade is also increased somewhat. Accordingly therefore the step of 'closing' that 'slip hole' makes a contribution to the higher power output of the rotor blade according to the invention.

This is a further weak point of the current calculation programs for they also consider the rotor blade portion directly adjoining the 'slip hole' as a full-value rotor blade portion which it cannot be, because of the particular flow conditions (frequent flow breakdowns and later restoration of the intended flow conditions).

Figures 11 to 17 show a view of a wind power installation according to the invention from the front or from the side. It can be seen in that respect how the three rotor blades have an almost seamless transition into the external configuration of the pod, in the blade region near the hub. This applies however only in regard to the position of the rotor blades insofar as they are in the nominal wind position.

If the wind then rises further above the nominal wind, then as usual the rotor blades are moved slowly out of the wind by pitch control (pitch regulation), and Figure 15 shows that in that case there is indeed a larger spacing between the lower edge of the rotor blade in the inner region and the pod. Figure 4 however also shows that provided on the outside of the pod is a structure which in its cross-section very substantially corresponds to the profile of the rotor blade in the region near the hub and which, when the rotor blade is set in an angle of incidence at the nominal speed, is directly below the rotor blade so that there is only a small gap between the structure and the rotor blade in the region near the hub.

Accordingly the external contour of the pod also includes a part of the rotor blade, which is not an integral constituent part of the rotor blade.

In the case of the rotor blade profile shown in Figure 18, the leading edge radius is approximately 0.146 of the profile depth.

As can be seen from Figure 18, provided at the reduced-pressure side is a longer, almost straight region. That can be described for example as follows: in the region at between 38% and 100% of the profile depth the radius is 1.19 times the length of the profile depth. In the region of  
 5 between 40% and 85% of the profile depth (see Figure 18) the radius is about 2.44 multiplied by the profile depth. In the region of between 42% and 45% of the profile depth the radius is about 5.56 of the profile depth.

In the region of between 36% and 100% of the profile depth the maximum deviation from the ideal straight line is about 0.012 of the profile  
 10 length. That value is the crucial value as the curvature radius varies and the greatest curvature radius is already specified in the respective regions.

In the illustrated example the length of the reduced-pressure side is about 1.124 of the length of the profile depth while the length of the increased-pressure side is 1.112 of the length of the profile depth. This  
 15 means that the reduced-pressure side is only immaterially longer than the increased-pressure side. It is therefore highly advantageous if the ratio of the reduced-pressure side length to the increased-pressure side length is less than 1.2, preferably less than 1.1 or in a range of values of between 1 and 1.03.

20 It can be seen from the illustrated Figures that the rotor blade has its greatest profile depth directly at the spinner, that is to say at the outside of the pod of the wind power installation. Thus for example in the case of a wind power installation with a rotor diameter of 30 m, the profile depth at the spinner is between about 1.8 and 1.9, preferably 1.84. If then the  
 25 spinner is approximately of a diameter of 3.2 mm, the ratio of the profile depth of the rotor blade at the spinner to the spinner diameter is about 0.575. It is therefore highly advantageous if the ratio of the profile depth to the spinner diameter is greater than a value of 0.4 or in a range of values of between 0.5 and 1. In that respect each value can be assumed to be in  
 30 the above-indicated range. In the above-specified example the ratio of the profile depth to the rotor diameter is about 0.061. It is apparent that therefore the 'slip hole' is as small as possible if the ratio of the profile depth to the rotor diameter is greater than a value of between 0.05 and

0.01, in which respect the value given by way of example has proven to be extremely appropriate, as regards the efficiency of the rotor blade.

Another example would be a rotor blade with the profile cross-section shown in Figure 18, in the first third, in which respect the profile  
5 depth at the spinner is about 4.35 mm, the spinner diameter is 5.4 m and the rotor diameter is overall 71 m. Then the value of the profile depth to the spinner diameter is 0.806 and the ratio of the profile depth to the rotor diameter is again 0.061. The above-indicated values relate to a triple-blade rotor with pitch regulation.

10 As described, in the case of the rotor blade according to the invention the widest location (the location with the greatest profile depth) of the rotor blade can be directly in the region of the blade connection. The blade connection is the region in which the rotor blade is connected (joined, screwed and so forth) to the hub of the wind power installation. In addition  
15 the lower edge of the rotor blade, that is to say the edge which faces towards the pod of the wind power installation, is very substantially adapted to or matched to the external contour of the pod in the longitudinal direction. Accordingly in this case, when a rotor blade is in the feathered  
20 position (practically no longer any surface area which faces towards the wind), the rotor blade is parallel to the lower edge that is towards the pod and the spacing between the lower edge and the external contour of the pod is at a minimum, preferably being less than 50 cm or even better less than 20 cm.

When now that rotor blade is set into the wind, it involves a surface  
25 area of maximum size even in the very near region of the rotor blade (the slip hole is very small). The above-mentioned reference Erich Hau shows that the rotor blade in the state of the art decreases regularly in the region near the hub (the rotor blades are there less wide than at their widest location) and conversely in the case of the rotor blade according to the  
30 invention the widest location is precisely in the region near the hub so that there the wind potential can also be utilised to the best possible extent.

As is known, it is precisely when dealing with very large rotor blades that a very great rotor blade width is involved in the region near the hub.

So that such rotor blades can still be transported (in the case of large rotor blades, that is to say rotor blades which are longer than 30 m, the width of the rotor blade in the region near the hub can certainly be between 5 m and 8 m), the rotor blade can be of a two-part configuration, in which case  
5 the two parts are separated during transport and can be fitted together after transport. For that purpose the two parts are connected together before being installed on the wind power installation, for example by way of screw connections and non-releasable connections (adhesive). That is no problem in particular when dealing with large rotor blades as, by virtue of  
10 their size, the rotor blades are also accessible from the interior for being fitted together so that this affords a rotor blade of a unitary appearance to the exterior and separation lines at the parts when fitted together are scarcely visible or not visible at all.

As initial measurements show, the rotor blade design according to  
15 the invention can markedly increase the efficiency in comparison with previous rotor blades.

As can be seen from Figures 1 to 17, in the case of a wind power installation 1 according to the invention the rotor blades are of such a configuration that they have their greatest profile depth in the region near  
20 the hub and in addition the rotor blades, along their entire profile, are moved in the region near the hub to be very close to the pod cladding (spinner) of the machine housing of the wind power installation. Accordingly, at least for the position in which the rotor blade assumes an angle which is adopted at wind speeds up to the nominal wind range, that  
25 means that there is a very small spacing relative to the pod cladding. While, in the view as shown for example in Figures 1, 2 and 3, the rotor blades are also moved very close to the outer cladding of the pod with the rear part of their profile, an alternative embodiment as is shown for example in Figures 11 to 17 provides that the outer cladding of the pod is  
30 provided with a rotor blade portion 30 itself, which however is itself not an integral constituent part of the overall rotor blade. Thus it can be clearly seen in particular from Figures 15 and 17 that the rotor blade part which is provided on the outside of the pod is fixed there and is arranged at an

angle corresponding to the angular position of a rotor blade up to the nominal wind speed, so that, at least at wind speeds up to the nominal wind, there is a minimal gap between the lower edge of the rotor blade even in the rear region of the profile depth, and the pod.

5        It can also be clearly seen from Figure 19 that there is only a quite small 'slip hole' for the wind by virtue of the configuration according to the invention of the rotor blades at the centre of the rotor.

Figure 18 shows a cross-section through a rotor blade according to the invention as taken along line A-A in Figure 17, that is to say the profile  
10    of the rotor blade in the region near the hub.

Figure 17 also includes an indication of what is to be understood by the diameter D of the spinner.

The rotor diameter is described by the diameter of the circular area which is covered by the rotor when it rotates.

15        As can be seen from Figure 15 and other Figures the part 30 of the rotor blade which is not an integral constituent part of the rotatable rotor blade is an integral constituent part of the outside cladding of the pod. The respective part can be screwed to the pod or can also be glued or joined in one piece to the pod.

## CLAIMS

1. A wind power installation comprising at least one rotor blade which is mounted to a rotor hub, and a hub cladding, wherein a rotor blade portion is fixedly connected to an outside of the hub cladding and the rotor blade portion is not an integral constituent part of the rotor blade of the wind power installation.
2. A wind power installation according to claim 1 wherein the rotor blade has a thickness reserve in the range of between approximately 15% and 40%, and has a greatest profile thickness between about 20% and 45%.
3. A wind power installation according to claim 2 wherein the thickness reserve is in the range of between about 23% and 28%.
4. A wind power installation according to claim 2 or claim 3 wherein the greatest profile thickness is between 32% and 36%.
5. A wind power installation according to any one of claims 1 to 4 wherein a cross-section of the rotor blade is described by a mean camber line whose greatest camber is in a range of between 50° and 70°.
6. A wind power installation according to claim 5 wherein the greatest camber of the mean camber line is in the range of between approximately 60° and 65°.
7. A wind power installation according to one of claims 5 to 6 wherein the greatest camber is between about 3% and 10%.
8. A wind power installation according to one of claims 1 to 4 wherein the rotor blade has a greatest camber between about 3% and 10%.

9. A wind power installation according to claim 7 or 8 wherein the greatest camber is between about 4% and 7%.
10. A wind power installation according to any one of claims 5 to 9 wherein said cross-section is provided in a lower third of the rotor blade, adjoining the connection to the hub cladding.
11. A wind power installation according to any one of claims 1 to 10 wherein the rotor blade has an increased-pressure side and a reduced-pressure side, wherein the increased-pressure side has a part with a concave curvature and an almost straight portion is provided on the reduced-pressure side.
12. A wind power installation according to claim 11 wherein a profile of the rotor blade portion which is provided on the hub cladding substantially corresponds to the profile of the rotor blade in a region of the rotor blade near the hub.
13. A wind power installation according to claim 12 wherein the rotor blade portion which is provided on the hub cladding is stationary and is substantially so oriented that in the position of the rotor blade at nominal wind speeds below a nominal wind speed, the rotor blade portion is directly beneath the region of the rotor blade near the hub.
14. A wind power installation according to any one of claims 1 to 13 wherein the rotor blade has a greatest profile depth in the region of the rotor hub, wherein the ratio of the profile depth to the rotor diameter has a value in the range of between about 0.04 and 0.1.
15. A wind power installation according to claim 14 wherein the ratio has a value in the range between approximately 0.055 and 0.7.

16. A wind power installation according to claim 15 wherein the ratio has a value of approximately 0.061.
17. A wind power installation according to any one of claims 13 to 16 comprising a machine housing which accommodates a generator and a rotor connected to the generator, wherein the rotor includes the hub, the cladding and the at least one rotor blade, the at least one rotor blade comprising at least two rotor blades, wherein a ratio of the profile depth of one of the rotor blades to a diameter of the cladding has a value which is greater than 0.4.
18. A wind power installation according to claim 17 wherein the ratio of the profile depth to the diameter of the cladding is in a range of values between 0.5 and 1.
19. A wind power installation according to any one of claims 13 to 18 comprising a rotor which has more than one rotor blade, wherein the rotor blade is of a trapezoidal shape which approximates an optimum aerodynamic shape and the rotor blade has its greatest width in the region of the rotor blade root and the edge of the rotor blade root, which faces towards a pod of the wind power installation, is such that the configuration of the edge is substantially matched to an external contour of the pod in a longitudinal direction.
20. A wind power installation according to claim 18 wherein a lower edge of the rotor blade, which faces towards the pod, in the root region, is almost parallel to the external contour of the pod upon rotation of the rotor blade into a feathered position.
21. A wind power installation according to any one of claims 18 to 19 wherein a spacing of a lower edge of the rotor blade, which faces toward the pod, and the external contour of the pod, in the feathered position, is less than

50 cm.

22. A wind power installation according to any one of claims 13 to 21 wherein the rotor blade is tilted in the root region out of a plane of the rotor blade.
23. A wind power installation according to any one of claims 13 to 22 wherein the rotor blade is of a two-part configuration in the root region, wherein there is a separating line which is directed in the longitudinal direction of the rotor blade.
24. A wind power installation according to any one of claims 13 to 23 having at least one rotor blade which has a reduced-pressure side and an increased-pressure side, wherein a ratio of the length of the reduced-pressure side to a length of the increased-pressure side is less than 1.2.
25. A wind power installation according to claim 24 wherein the ratio of the length of the reduced-pressure side to the length of the increased-pressure side is less than 1.1.
26. A wind power installation according to claim 24 wherein the ratio of the length of the reduced-pressure side to the length of the increased-pressure side is between 1 and 1.03.

x-y-coordinates

| x        | y        | x        | y         |
|----------|----------|----------|-----------|
| 1.000000 | 0.013442 | 0.000197 | -0.007376 |
| 0.983794 | 0.020294 | 0.000703 | -0.013612 |
| 0.958357 | 0.030412 | 0.001550 | -0.019816 |
| 0.930883 | 0.040357 | 0.002704 | -0.025999 |
| 0.899462 | 0.050865 | 0.004080 | -0.032162 |
| 0.863452 | 0.062358 | 0.005649 | -0.038281 |
| 0.823890 | 0.074531 | 0.007477 | -0.044316 |
| 0.781816 | 0.086987 | 0.009639 | -0.050245 |
| 0.737837 | 0.099513 | 0.012124 | -0.056078 |
| 0.692331 | 0.111993 | 0.014883 | -0.061829 |
| 0.645363 | 0.124434 | 0.017905 | -0.067491 |
| 0.597614 | 0.136709 | 0.021204 | -0.073045 |
| 0.549483 | 0.148731 | 0.024779 | -0.078485 |
| 0.503007 | 0.160228 | 0.028618 | -0.083809 |
| 0.481036 | 0.170758 | 0.032721 | -0.089004 |
| 0.425769 | 0.179639 | 0.037087 | -0.094062 |
| 0.397598 | 0.186588 | 0.041711 | -0.098973 |
| 0.374996 | 0.191889 | 0.046594 | -0.103723 |
| 0.356186 | 0.195840 | 0.051740 | -0.108301 |
| 0.339750 | 0.198668 | 0.057150 | -0.112695 |
| 0.324740 | 0.200524 | 0.062824 | -0.116897 |
| 0.310542 | 0.201512 | 0.068769 | -0.120893 |
| 0.296731 | 0.201704 | 0.074991 | -0.124669 |
| 0.232999 | 0.201174 | 0.081500 | -0.128219 |
| 0.269154 | 0.200007 | 0.088310 | -0.131521 |
| 0.255115 | 0.198267 | 0.095450 | -0.134551 |
| 0.240876 | 0.195985 | 0.102955 | -0.137294 |
| 0.226479 | 0.193185 | 0.110872 | -0.139735 |
| 0.212006 | 0.189892 | 0.119262 | -0.141872 |
| 0.197571 | 0.186146 | 0.128192 | -0.143724 |
| 0.183315 | 0.181995 | 0.137734 | -0.145316 |
| 0.169384 | 0.177505 | 0.147962 | -0.146667 |
| 0.155924 | 0.172745 | 0.158934 | -0.147800 |
| 0.143051 | 0.167780 | 0.170663 | -0.148727 |
| 0.130850 | 0.162675 | 0.183106 | -0.149431 |
| 0.119369 | 0.157478 | 0.196155 | -0.149877 |
| 0.108625 | 0.152229 | 0.209657 | -0.150001 |
| 0.098610 | 0.146953 | 0.223475 | -0.149715 |
| 0.089297 | 0.141664 | 0.237539 | -0.148932 |
| 0.080653 | 0.136362 | 0.251855 | -0.147579 |
| 0.072636 | 0.131036 | 0.266497 | -0.145597 |
| 0.065201 | 0.125679 | 0.281578 | -0.142949 |
| 0.058312 | 0.120269 | 0.297206 | -0.139628 |
| 0.051931 | 0.114786 | 0.313400 | -0.135651 |
| 0.046015 | 0.109229 | 0.330088 | -0.131016 |
| 0.040531 | 0.103598 | 0.347173 | -0.125692 |
| 0.035457 | 0.097893 | 0.364627 | -0.119588 |
| 0.030772 | 0.092113 | 0.382602 | -0.112537 |
| 0.026461 | 0.086252 | 0.401480 | -0.104293 |
| 0.022520 | 0.080332 | 0.421912 | -0.094548 |
| 0.018937 | 0.074321 | 0.444568 | -0.083182 |
| 0.015688 | 0.068240 | 0.468376 | -0.071217 |
| 0.012771 | 0.062095 | 0.491608 | -0.060017 |
| 0.010196 | 0.055378 | 0.514034 | -0.049898 |
| 0.007926 | 0.049601 | 0.535806 | -0.040854 |
| 0.005911 | 0.043298 | 0.557225 | -0.032760 |
| 0.004164 | 0.036989 | 0.578580 | -0.025495 |
| 0.002755 | 0.030661 | 0.600131 | -0.018956 |
| 0.001709 | 0.024300 | 0.622095 | -0.013059 |
| 0.000953 | 0.017915 | 0.644620 | -0.007755 |
| 0.000415 | 0.011534 | 0.667811 | -0.003015 |
| 0.000088 | 0.005186 | 0.691690 | 0.001179  |
| 0.000000 | 0.000000 | 0.716104 | 0.004827  |
|          |          | 0.740707 | 0.007908  |
|          |          | 0.364985 | 0.010392  |
|          |          | 0.788448 | 0.012236  |
|          |          | 0.810817 | 0.013425  |
|          |          | 0.832004 | 0.013957  |
|          |          | 0.852100 | 0.013834  |
|          |          | 0.871284 | 0.013058  |
|          |          | 0.889797 | 0.011606  |
|          |          | 0.907926 | 0.009441  |
|          |          | 0.925997 | 0.006502  |
|          |          | 0.944381 | 0.002701  |
|          |          | 0.963552 | -0.002134 |
|          |          | 0.984409 | -0.008335 |
|          |          | 1.000000 | -0.013442 |

Table 1

1/17





Fig.1

2/17



**Fig.2**

3/17




Fig.3

4/17




Fig.4




Fig.5




Fig.6

5/17





Fig.7

6/17



**Fig.8**

7/17





Fig.9

8/17



**Fig.10**

9/17




Fig.11

10/17





Fig.12

11/17



**Fig.13**

12/17




Fig.14

13/17




Fig.15

14/17




Fig.16

15/17




Fig.17




Fig.18

17/17




Fig.19

