US 20070128844A1

(54) **NON-POLAR (Al,B,IN,GA)N QUANTUM WELLS**

(76) Inventors: **Michael D. Craven**, San Jose, CA (US); **Steven P. DenBaars**, Goleta, CA (US)

Correspondence Address:
GATES & COOPER LLP
HOWARD HUGHES CENTER
**6701 CENTER DRIVE WEST, SUITE 1050**
LOS ANGELES, CA 90045 (US)

Publication Classification

(57) **ABSTRACT**

A method of fabricating non-polar a-plane GaN/(Al,B,In,Ga)N multiple quantum wells (MQWs). The a-plane MQWs are grown on the appropriate GaN/sapphire template layers via metalorganic chemical vapor deposition (MOCVD) with well widths ranging from 20 Å to 70 Å. The room temperature photoluminescence (PL) emission energy from the a-plane MQWs followed a square well trend modeled using self-consistent Poisson-Schrodinger (SCPS) calculations. Optimal PL emission intensity is obtained at a quantum well width of 52 Å for the a-plane MQWs.

| LOAD SAPPHIRE SUBSTRATE | 100 |

| ANNEAL SAPPHIRE SUBSTRATE | 102 |

| DEPOSIT NUCLEATION LAYER | 104 |

| GROW GALLIUM NITRIDE FILM | 106 |

| COOL UNDER NITROGEN OVERPRESSURE | 108 |

| GROW NON-POLAR (Al,B,In,Ga)N LAYERS | 110 |

FIG. 1

FIG. 2

FIG. 3(a)

FIG. 3(b)

FIG. 4

FIG. 5

NON-POLAR (A1,B,IN,GA)N QUANTUM WELLS

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

[0001] This application is a continuation-in-part of the following co-pending and commonly-assigned patent applications:

[0002] International Patent Application No. PCT/US03/21918, filed Jul. 15, 2003, by Benjamin A. Haskell, Michael D. Craven, Paul T. Fini, Steven P. DenBaars, James S. Speck, and Shuji Nakamura, entitled "GROWTH OF REDUCED DISLOCATION DENSITY NON-POLAR GALLIUM NITRIDE BY HYDRIDE VAPOR PHASE EPITAXY," attorneys docket number 30794.93-WO-U1, which application claims priority to U.S. Provisional Patent Application Ser. No. 60/433,843, filed Dec. 16, 2002, by Benjamin A. Haskell, Michael D. Craven, Paul T. Fini, Steven P. DenBaars, James S. Speck, and Shuji Nakamura, entitled "GROWTH OF REDUCED DISLOCATION DENSITY NON-POLAR GALLIUM NITRIDE BY HYDRIDE VAPOR PHASE EPITAXY," attorneys docket number 30794.93-US-P1;

[0003] International Patent Application No. PCT/US03/21916, filed Jul. 15, 2003, by Benjamin A. Haskell, Paul T. Fini, Shigemasa Matsuda, Michael D. Craven, Steven P. DenBaars, James S. Speck, and Shuji Nakamura, entitled "GROWTH OF PLANAR, NON-POLAR A-PLANE GALLIUM NITRIDE BY HYDRIDE VAPOR PHASE EPITAXY," attorneys docket number 30794.94-WO-U1, which application claims priority to U.S. Provisional Patent Application Ser. No. 60/433,844, filed Dec. 16, 2002, by Benjamin A. Haskell, Paul T. Fini, Shigemasa Matsuda, Michael D. Craven, Steven P. DenBaars, James S. Speck, and Shuji Nakamura, entitled "TECHNIQUE FOR THE GROWTH OF PLANAR, NON-POLAR A-PLANE GALLIUM NITRIDE BY HYDRIDE VAPOR PHASE EPITAXY," attorneys docket number 30794.94-US-P1;

[0004] U.S. Utility patent application Ser. No. 10/413,691, filed Apr. 15, 2003, by Michael D. Craven and James S. Speck, entitled "NON-POLAR A-PLANE GALLIUM NITRIDE THIN FILMS GROWN BY METALORGANIC CHEMICAL VAPOR DEPOSITION," attorneys docket number 30794.100-US-U1, which application claims priority to U.S. Provisional Patent Application Ser. No. 60/372,909, filed Apr. 15, 2002, by Michael D. Craven, Stacia Keller, Steven P. DenBaars, Tal Margalith, James S. Speck, Shuji Nakamura, and Umesh K. Mishra, entitled "NON-POLAR GALLIUM NITRIDE BASED THIN FILMS AND HETEROSTRUCTURE MATERIALS," attorneys docket number 30794.95-US-P1;

[0005] U.S. Utility patent application Ser. No. 10/413,690, filed Apr. 15, 2003, by Michael D. Craven, Stacia Keller, Steven P. DenBaars, Tal Margalith, James S. Speck, Shuji Nakamura, and Umesh K. Mishra, entitled "NON-POLAR (Al,B,In,Ga)N QUANTUM WELL AND HETEROSTRUCTURE MATERIALS AND DEVICES, attorneys docket number 30794.101-US-U1, which application claims priority to U.S. Provisional Patent Application Ser. No. 60/372,909, filed Apr. 15, 2002, by Michael D. Craven, Stacia Keller, Steven P. DenBaars, Tal Margalith, James S. Speck, Shuji Nakamura, and Umesh K. Mishra, entitled "NON-POLAR GALLIUM NITRIDE BASED THIN FILMS AND HETEROSTRUCTURE MATERIALS," attorneys docket number 30794.95-US-P1;

[0006] U.S. Utility patent application Ser. No. 10/413,913, filed Apr. 15, 2003, by Michael D. Craven, Stacia Keller, Steven P. DenBaars, Tal Margalith, James S. Speck, Shuji Nakamura, and Umesh K. Mishra, entitled "DISLOCATION REDUCTION IN NON-POLAR GALLIUM NITRIDE THIN FILMS," attorneys docket number 30794.102-US-U1, which application claims priority to U.S. Provisional Patent Application Ser. No. 60/372,909, filed Apr. 15, 2002, by Michael D. Craven, Stacia Keller, Steven P. DenBaars, Tal Margalith, James S. Speck, Shuji Nakamura, and Umesh K. Mishra, entitled "NON-POLAR GALLIUM NITRIDE BASED THIN FILMS AND HETEROSTRUCTURE MATERIALS," attorneys docket number 30794.95-US-P1;

[0007] all of which applications are incorporated by reference herein.

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

[0008] The invention is related to semiconductor materials, methods, and devices, and more particularly, to non-polar (Al,B,In,Ga)N quantum wells.

DESCRIPTION OF RELATED ART

[0009] (Note: This application references a number of different publications as indicated throughout the specification by one or more reference numbers. A list of these different publications ordered according to these reference numbers can be found below in the section entitled "References." Each of these publications is incorporated by reference herein.)

[0010] Currently, state-of-the-art nitride-based epitaxial device structures are grown along the polar c-axis of the thermodynamically stable wurtzite (Al,Ga,In)N unit cell. Due to the strong polarization constants of the nitrides [1], interfacial polarization discontinuities within heterostructures are associated with fixed sheet charges which produce strong internal electric fields. These "built-in" polarization-induced electric fields limit the performance of optoelectronic devices which employ quantum well active regions. Specifically, the spatial separation of the electron and hole wavefunctions caused by the internal fields, i.e., the quantum confined Stark effect (QCSE), reduces the oscillator strength of transitions and ultimately restricts the recombination efficiency of the quantum well [2]. Nitride crystal growth along non-polar directions provides an efficient means of producing nitride-based quantum structures that are unaffected by these strong polarization-induced electric fields since the polar axis lies within the growth plane of the film.

[0011] (1 Ī00) m-plane GaN/AlGaN multiple quantum well (MQW) structures were first demonstrated by plasma-assisted molecular beam epitaxy (MBE) using lithium aluminate substrates [3]. Since this first demonstration, free-standing m-plane GaN substrates grown by hydride vapor phase epitaxy (HVPE) were employed for subsequent epitaxial GaN/AlGaN MQW growths by both MBE [4] and metalorganic chemical vapor deposition (MOCVD) [5]. In addition to the m-plane, research efforts have investigated a-plane GaN/AlGaN MQW structures grown on r-plane sapphire substrates by both MBE [6] and MOCVD [7].

Optical characterization of these structures has shown that non-polar quantum wells are unaffected by polarization-induced electric fields.

[0012] The present invention describes the dependence of a-plane GaN/AlGaN MQW emission on the GaN quantum well width. Moreover, an investigation of a range of GaN well widths for MOCVD-grown a-plane and c-plane MQWs provides an indication of the emission characteristics that are unique to non-polar orientations.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

[0013] The present invention describes a method of fabricating non-polar a-plane GaN/(Al,B,In,Ga)N multiple quantum wells (MQWs). In this regard, a-plane MQWs were grown on the appropriate GaN/sapphire template layers via metalorganic chemical vapor deposition (MOCVD) with well widths ranging from 20 Å to 70 Å. The room temperature photoluminescence (PL) emission energy from the a-plane MQWs followed a square well trend modeled using self-consistent Poisson-Schrodinger (SCPS) calculations. Optimal PL emission intensity is obtained at a quantum well width of 52 Å for the a-plane MQWs.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0014] Referring now to the drawings in which like reference numbers represent corresponding parts throughout:

[0015] FIG. 1 is a flowchart that illustrates the steps of a method for forming non-polar a-plane GaN/(Al,B,In,Ga)N quantum wells according to a preferred embodiment of the present invention.

[0016] FIG. 2 is a graph of high-resolution x-ray diffraction (HRXRD) scans of simultaneously regrown a-plane (69 Å GaN)/(96 Å $Al_{0.16}Ga_{0.84}N$) and c-plane (72 Å GaN)/(98 Å $Al_{0.16}Ga_{0.84}N$) MQW stacks. In addition to the quantum well dimensions, the HRXRD profiles provide a qualitative comparison of the MQW interface quality through the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the satellite peaks.

[0017] FIGS. 3(a) and (b) are graphs of room temperature PL spectra of the (a) a-plane and (b) c-plane GaN/(100 Å $Al_{0.16}Ga_{0.84}N$) MQWs with well widths ranging from 20 Å-70 Å. The vertical gray line on each plot denotes a band edge of the bulk GaN layers.

[0018] FIG. 4 is a graph of the well width dependence of the room temperature PL emission energy of the a-plane and c-plane MQWs. The dotted line is the result of self-consistent Poisson-Schrodinger (SCPS) calculations for a flat-band GaN/(100 Å $Al_{0.16}Ga_{0.84}N$) MQW. The emission energy decreases with increasing well width for both growth orientations but above a critical well width, the c-plane MQW emission energy red-shifts below the band edge of the GaN layers.

[0019] FIG. 5 is a graph of the normalized room temperature PL intensity plotted as a function of GaN quantum well width for both a-plane and c-plane growth orientations. The data for each orientation is normalized separately, hence direct comparisons between the relative intensities of a-plane and c-plane MQWs are not possible.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

[0020] In the following description of the preferred embodiment, reference is made to the accompanying draw-ings which form a part hereof, and in which is shown by way of illustration a specific embodiment in which the invention may be practiced. It is to be understood that other embodiments may be utilized and structural changes may be made without departing from the scope of the present invention.

[0021] Overview

[0022] Non-polar nitride-based semiconductor crystals do not experience the effects of polarization-induced electric fields that dominate the behavior of polar nitride-based quantum structures. Since the polarization axis of a wurtzite nitride unit cell is aligned parallel to the growth direction of polar nitride crystals, internal electric fields are present in polar nitride heterostructures. These "built-in" fields have a detrimental effect on the performance of state-of-the-art optoelectronic and electronic devices. By growing nitride crystals along non-polar directions, quantum structures not influenced by polarization-induced electric fields are realized. Since the energy band profiles of a given quantum well change depending upon the growth orientation, different scientific principles must be applied in order to design high performance non-polar quantum wells. This invention describes the design principles used to produce optimized non-polar quantum wells.

[0023] Process Steps

[0024] FIG. 1 is a flowchart that illustrates the steps of a method for forming quantum wells according to a preferred embodiment of the present invention. The steps of this method grow non-polar a-plane GaN/AlGaN MQWs on a-plane GaN/r-plane sapphire template layers.

[0025] Block 100 represents loading of a sapphire substrate into a vertical, close-spaced, showerhead MOCVD reactor. For this step, epi-ready sapphire substrates with surfaces crystallographically oriented within ±2° of the sapphire r-plane may be obtained from commercial vendors. No ex-situ preparations need be performed prior to loading the sapphire substrate into the MOCVD reactor, although ex-situ cleaning of the sapphire substrate could be used as a precautionary measure.

[0026] Block 102 represents annealing the sapphire substrate in-situ at a high temperature (>1000° C.), which improves the quality of the substrate surface on the atomic scale. After annealing, the substrate temperature is reduced for the subsequent low temperature nucleation layer deposition.

[0027] Block 104 represents depositing a thin, low temperature, low pressure, nitride-based nucleation layer as a buffer layer on the sapphire substrate. Such layers are commonly used in the heteroepitaxial growth of c-plane (0001) nitride semiconductors. In the preferred embodiment, the nucleation layer is comprised of, but is not limited to, 1-100 nanometers (nm) of GaN deposited at approximately 400-900° C. and 1 atm.

[0028] After depositing the nucleation layer, the reactor temperature is raised to a high temperature, and Block 106 represents one or more growing unintentionally doped (UID) a-plane GaN layers to a thickness of approximately 1.5 μm on the nucleation layer deposited on the substrate. The high temperature growth conditions include, but are not limited to, approximately 1100° C. growth temperature, 0.2 atm or less growth pressure, 30 μmol per minute Ga flow,

and 40,000 μmol per minute N flow, thereby providing a V/III ratio of approximately 1300). In the preferred embodiment, the precursors used as the group III and V sources are trimethylgallium, ammonia and disilane, although alternative precursors could be used as well. In addition, growth conditions may be varied to produce different growth rates, e.g., between 5 and 9 Å per second, without departing from the scope of the present invention.

[0029] Upon completion of the high temperature growth step, Block **108** represents cooling the epitaxial a-plane GaN layers down under a nitrogen overpressure.

[0030] Finally, Block **110** represents one or more (Al,B, In,Ga)N layers being grown on the a-plane GaN layers. Preferably, these grown layers comprise ~100 Å $Al_{0.16}Ga_{0.84}N$ barriers doped with an Si concentration of ~2×10^{18} cm^{-3}. Moreover, the above Blocks may be repeated as necessary. In one example, Block **110** was repeated 10 times to form UID GaN wells ranging in width from approximately 20 Å to approximately 70 Å.

[0031] Experimental Results

[0032] For non-polar nitride quantum wells, flat energy band profiles exist and the QCSE is not present. Consequently, non-polar quantum well emission is expected to follow different trends as compared to polar quantum wells. Primarily, non-polar quantum wells exhibit improved recombination efficiency, and intense emission from thicker quantum wells is possible. Moreover, the quantum well width required for optimal non-polar quantum well emission is larger than for polar quantum wells.

[0033] The following describes the room temperature PL characteristics of non-polar GaN/(~100 Å $Al_{0.16}Ga_{0.84}N$) MQWs in comparison to c-plane structures as a function of quantum well width. To accomplish this, 10-period a-plane and c-plane MQWs structures were simultaneously regrown on the appropriate GaN/sapphire template layers via MOCVD with well widths ranging from approximately 20 Å to 70 Å.

[0034] Kinematic analysis of HRXRD measurements [9] made with a Philips MRD XPERT PRO™ diffractometer using $CuK_{\alpha 1}$ radiation in triple axis mode confirmed the quantum well dimensions and barrier composition. Room temperature continuous-wave (c-w) PL spectroscopy using the 325 nm line of a He—Cd laser (excitation power density ~10 W/cm2) was used to characterize the MQW emission properties.

[0035] FIG. **2** is a graph of HRXRD scans of simultaneously regrown a-plane 69 Å GaN/96 Å $Al_{0.16}Ga_{0.84}N$ and c-plane 72 Å GaN/98 Å $Al_{0.16}Ga_{0.84}N$ MQW stacks. In addition to the quantum well dimensions, the HRXRD profiles provide a qualitative comparison of the MQW interface quality through the FWHM of the satellite peaks.

[0036] The on-axis 2θ-ω scans of the a-plane and c-plane structures were taken about the GaN (11$\bar{2}$0) and (0004) reflections, respectively. Analysis of the x-ray profiles yields both the aluminum composition x of the $Al_xGa_{1-x}N$ barriers and the quantum well dimensions (well and barrier thickness), which agree within 7% for the simultaneously grown a-plane and c-plane samples indicating a mass transport limited MOCVD growth regime. Both HRXRD profiles reveal superlattice (SL) peaks out to the second order in

addition to strong reflections from the GaN layers. The FWHMs of the SL peaks provide a qualitative metric of the quantum well interface quality [10]; therefore, from the scans shown in FIG. **2**, a conclusion can be made that the interface quality of a-plane MQWs is inferior to that of the c-plane samples. Analysis of the a-plane MQW structural quality (described in [9]) revealed sharp interfaces despite the large threading dislocation density extending through the MQW from the a-GaN template. The higher threading dislocation (TD) density and increased surface roughness of the a-plane growth in comparison to c-plane are the most likely causes for greater a-plane MQW interface roughness and SL peak broadening. Additionally, it is estimated that the a-plane TD density is approximately two orders of magnitude greater than the c-plane TD density.

[0037] FIGS. **3**(a) and (b) are graphs of room temperature PL spectra of the (a) a-plane and (b) c-plane GaN/(100 Å $Al_{0.16}Ga_{0.84}N$) MQWs with well widths ranging from ~20 Å to ~70 Å. The vertical gray line on each plot denotes the bulk GaN band edge.

[0038] Independent of crystal orientation, the MQW PL emission shifts to longer wavelengths (equivalently, the PL emission decreases) with increasing quantum well width as the quantum confinement is reduced.

[0039] In particular, the emission energies of the a-plane MQWs steadily approach but do not red-shift beyond the bulk GaN band edge as the well width increases. The resistive nature of UID a-GaN films prevents band edge emission at room temperature, resulting in emissions only from the quantum wells, as is observed in FIG. **3**(a).

[0040] Conversely, the c-plane MQW emission energy red-shifts below the GaN band edge when the GaN quantum well width is increased from 38 Å to 50 Å. For polar GaN wells wider than 50 Å, only PL emission from the underlying GaN was detected. The appearance of c-GaN buffer emission implies that the c-plane template has a lower native point defect density than the a-plane template. Furthermore, yellow band emission was observed for both the non-polar and polar MQWs; therefore, the origin of deep trap levels is most likely the growth conditions required to maintain the a-plane morphology and not a characteristic of the non-polar orientation.

[0041] The two primary features of the PL emission spectra, the emission energy and the emission intensity, are summarized in FIGS. **4** and **5**, respectively, as functions of quantum well width. The emission energy decreases with increasing well width due to quantum confinement effects.

[0042] FIG. **4** is a graph of the well width dependence of the room temperature PL emission energy of the a-plane and c-plane MQWs. For all quantum well widths studied, the a-plane MQW emission is blue-shifted with respect to the bulk GaN band edge and the blue-shift increases with decreasing well width as quantum confinement raises the quantum well's ground-state energy. The a-plane MQW emission energy trend is modeled accurately using square well SCPS calculations [11] shown as the dotted line in FIG. **4**. The agreement between theory and experiment confirms that emission from non-polar MQWs is not influenced by polarization-induced electric fields. Despite this agreement, the theoretical model increasingly over-estimates the experimental data with decreasing quantum well width by 15 to 35

meV. The deviating trend can be explained by the expected increase in exciton binding energy with decreasing well width for GaN/AlGaN MQWs [12,13], since exciton binding energies are not accounted for in the SCPS model. Conversely, FIG. 4 shows the dramatic red-shift in c-plane MQW emission with increasing well width, a widely observed trend dictated by the QCSE [14-18]. Specifically, the experimental c-plane MQW emission energy trend agrees with the model of the polar QW ground state proposed by Grandjean et al. [13]. Interpolating the experimental data, the emission from c-plane MQWs with GaN well widths greater than ~43 Å is below the bulk GaN band edge. Increasing the well thickness increases the spatial separation of charge carriers within the quantum wells and the recombination efficiency is reduced until MQW emission is no longer observed (wells wider than 50 Å). Previously reported emission from an a-plane (107 Å GaN)/(101 Å $Al_{0.25}Ga_{0.75}N$) MQW [9] provides additional evidence of the improved quantum efficiency for non-polar MQWs.

[0043] FIG. 5 is a graph of the normalized room temperature PL emission intensity plotted as a function of GaN quantum well width for both a-plane and c-plane growth orientations. The data for each orientation is normalized separately, hence direct comparisons between the relative intensities of a-plane MQWs and c-plane MQWs are not possible. Since the microstructural quality of the template layers is substantially different, a direct comparison between a-and c-plane MQW emission intensity would be inconclusive.

[0044] A maximum a-plane MQW emission intensity is associated with an optimal quantum well width of 52 Å, while the maximum c-plane emission intensity is observed for 28 Å-wide wells. As a result of the QCSE, optimal emission intensity is obtained from relatively thin polar GaN quantum wells (20 Å-35 Å) depending on the thickness and composition of the AlGaN barrier layers [13]. The balance between reduced recombination efficiency in thick wells and the reduced recombination due to increased nonradiative transitions at heterointerfaces and extension of electron wavefunctions outside of thin wells [19] determines the optimal c-plane well width. Conversely, since the non-polar MQWs do not experience the QCSE, it is expected that the optimal well width is determined by material quality, interface roughness, and the excitonic Bohr radius. Although the interface roughness of the a-plane structures is greater than the c-plane, the advantageous effects of a non-polar orientation are apparent. Also note that, with improved non-polar surface and interface quality, the optimal well width will most likely shift from the optimal width observed for these samples.

REFERENCE

[0045] The following references are incorporated by reference herein:

[0046] 1. F. Bernardini, V. Fiorentini, and D. Vanderbilt, Phys. Rev. B 56, R10024 (1997).

[0047] 2. T. Takeuchi, H. Amano, and I. Akasaki, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 39, 413 (2000).

[0048] 3. P. Waltereit, O. Brandt, A. Trampert, H. T. Grahn, J. Menniger, M. Ramsteiner, M. Reiche, and K. H. Ploog, Nature 406, 865 (2000).

[0049] 4. A. Bhattacharyya, I. Friel, S. Iyer, T. C. Chen, W. Li, J. Cabalu, Y. Fedyunin, K. F. Ludwig, T. D. Moustakas, H. P. Maruska, D. W. Hill, J. J. Gallagher, M. C. Chou, and B. Chai, J. Cryst. Growth 251, 487 (2003).

[0050] 5. E. Kuokstis, C. Q. Chen, M. E. Gaevski, W. H. Sun, J. W. Yang, G. Simin, M. A. Khan, H. P. Maruska, D. W. Hill, M. C. Chou, J. J. Gallagher, and B. Chai, Appl. Phys. Lett. 81, 4130 (2002).

[0051] 6. H. M. Ng, Appl. Phys. Lett. 80, 4369 (2002).

[0052] 7. M. D. Craven, S. H. Lim, F. Wu, J. S. Speck, and S. P. DenBaars, Appl. Phys. Lett. 81, 469 (2002).

[0053] 8. B. P. Keller, S. Keller, D. Kapolnek, W. N. Jiang, Y. F. Wu, H. Masui, X. Wu, B. Heying, J. S. Speck, U. K. Mishra, and S. P. Denbaars, J. Electron. Mater. 24, 1707 (1995).

[0054] 9. M. D. Craven, P. Waltereit, F. Wu, J. S. Speck, and S. P. DenBaars, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys., Part 2 42, L235 (2003).

[0055] 10. G. Bauer and W. Richter, Optical characterization of epitaxial semiconductor layers (Springer Verlag, Berlin, N.Y., 1996).

[0056] 11. I. H. Tan, G. L. Snider, L. D. Chang, and E. L. Hu, J. Appl. Phys. 68, 4071 (1990).

[0057] 12. P. Bigenwald, P. Lefebvre, T. Bretagnon, and B. Gil, Phys. Stat. Sol. B 216, 371 (1999).

[0058] 13. N. Grandjean, B. Damilano, S. Dalmasso, M. Leroux, M. Laugt, and J. Massies, J. Appl. Phys. 86, 3714 (1999).

[0059] 14. N. Grandjean, J. Massies, and M. Leroux, Appl. Phys. Lett. 74, 2361 (1999).

[0060] 15. I. Jin Seo, H. Kollmer, J. Off, A. Sohmer, F. Scholz, and A. Hangleiter, Phys. Rev. B 57, R9435 (1998).

[0061] 16. R. Langer, J. Simon, V. Ortiz, N. T. Pelekanos, A. Barski, R. Andre, and M. Godlewski, Appl. Phys. Lett. 74, 3827 (1999).

[0062] 17. G. Traetta, A. Passaseo, M. Longo, D. Cannoletta, R. Cingolani, M. Lomascolo, A. Bonfiglio, A. Di Carlo, F. Della Sala, P. Lugli, A. Botchkarev, and H. Morkoc, Physica E 7, 929 (2000).

[0063] 18. M. Leroux, N. Grandjean, M. Laugt, J. Massies, B. Gil, P. Lefebvre, and P. Bigenwald, Phys. Rev. B 58, R13371 (1998).

[0064] 19. A. Kinoshita, H. Hirayama, P. Riblet, M. Ainoya, A. Hirata, and Y. Aoyagi, MRS Internet J. Nitride Semicond. Res. 5, W11.32 (2000).

CONCLUSION

[0065] This concludes the description of the preferred embodiment of the present invention. The following describes some alternative embodiments for accomplishing the present invention.

[0066] For example, variations in non-polar (Al,In,Ga)N quantum wells and heterostructures design and MOCVD growth conditions may be used in alternative embodiments. Moreover, the specific thickness and composition of the layers, in addition to the number of quantum wells grown,

are variables inherent to quantum well structure design and may be used in alternative embodiments of the present invention.

[0067] Further, the specific MOCVD growth conditions determine the dimensions and compositions of the quantum well structure layers. In this regard, MOCVD growth conditions are reactor dependent and may vary between specific reactor designs. Many variations of this process are possible with the variety of reactor designs currently being using in industry and academia.

[0068] Variations in conditions such as growth temperature, growth pressure, V/III ratio, precursor flows, and source materials are possible without departing from the scope of the present invention. Control of interface quality is another important aspect of the process and is directly related to the flow switching capabilities of particular reactor designs. Continued optimization of the growth conditions will result in more accurate compositional and thickness control of the integrated quantum well layers described above.

[0069] In addition, a number of different growth methods other than MOCVD could be used in the present invention. For example, the growth method could also be molecular beam epitaxy (MBE), liquid phase epitaxy (LPE), hydride vapor phase epitaxy (HVPE), sublimation, or plasma-enhanced chemical vapor deposition (PECVD).

[0070] Finally, substrates other than sapphire could be employed. These substrates include silicon carbide, gallium nitride, silicon, zinc oxide, boron nitride, lithium aluminate, lithium niobate, germanium, aluminum nitride, and lithium gallate.

[0071] The foregoing description of one or more embodiments of the invention has been presented for the purposes of illustration and description. It is not intended to be exhaustive or to limit the invention to the precise form disclosed. Many modifications and variations are possible in light of the above teaching. It is intended that the scope of the invention be limited not by this detailed description, but rather by the claims appended hereto.

What is claimed is:

1. A method for forming a nitride semiconductor device, comprising:

(a) growing one or more gallium nitride (GaN) layers on a substrate; and

(b) growing one or more non-polar (Al,B,In,Ga)N layers on the GaN layers to form at least one quantum well ranging in width from approximately 20 Å to approximately 70 Å.

2. The method of claim 1, wherein a maximum emission intensity is associated with a quantum well width of approximately 50 Å.

3. The method of claim 1, wherein the quantum well has an optimal width of 52 Å.

4. The method of claim 1, wherein a resistive nature of the GaN layers prevents band edge emission at room temperature, resulting in emissions only from the quantum well.

5. The method of claim 1, wherein the GaN layers are non-polar a-plane GaN layers and the substrate is an r-plane substrate.

6. The method of claim 1, wherein the substrate is a sapphire substrate.

7. The method of claim 1, wherein the growing step (a) comprises:

(1) annealing the substrate;

(2) depositing a nitride-based nucleation layer on the substrate;

(3) growing the GaN layer on the nucleation layer; and

(4) cooling the GaN under a nitrogen overpressure.

8. The method of claim 1, wherein the growing steps are performed by a method selected from a group comprising metalorganic chemical vapor deposition (MOCVD), molecular beam epitaxy (MBE), liquid phase epitaxy (LPE), hydride vapor phase epitaxy (HVPE), sublimation, and plasma-enhanced chemical vapor deposition (PECVD).

9. A device manufactured using the method of claim 1.

10. A nitride semiconductor device, wherein the nitride semiconductor device is created using a process comprising:

(a) growing one or more gallium nitride (GaN) layers on a substrate; and

(b) growing one or more non-polar (Al,B,In,Ga)N layers on the GaN layers to form at least one quantum well ranging in width from approximately 20 Å to approximately 70 Å.

11. A nitride semiconductor device, comprising:

(a) one or more gallium nitride (GaN) layers grown on a substrate; and

(b) one or more quantum wells formed from one or more non-polar (Al,B,In,Ga)N layers grown on the GaN layers, wherein the quantum well has a width ranging from approximately 20 Å to approximately 70 Å.

* * * * *