



(86) Date de dépôt PCT/PCT Filing Date: 2003/07/21
(87) Date publication PCT/PCT Publication Date: 2004/01/29
(85) Entrée phase nationale/National Entry: 2005/01/19
(86) N° demande PCT/PCT Application No.: US 2003/022743
(87) N° publication PCT/PCT Publication No.: 2004/009782
(30) Priorité/Priority: 2002/07/19 (60/397,027) US

(51) Cl.Int.⁷/Int.Cl.⁷ C12P 21/00, A61K 48/00, C12N 15/87,
C12Q 1/70, C12P 21/04, C12N 15/00, C12Q 1/68,
C12N 15/63, C12N 5/06, G01N 33/53

(71) Demandeur/Applicant:
MORPHOTEK, INC., US

(72) Inventeurs/Inventors:
GRASSO, LUIGI, US;
KLINE, J. BRADFORD, US;
NICOLAIDES, NICHOLAS C., US;
SASS, PHILIP M., US

(74) Agent: GOWLING LAFLEUR HENDERSON LLP

(54) Titre : PROCEDES PERMETTANT DE GENERER DES LIGNEES CELLULAIRES PRODUCTRICES D'ANTICORPS
RENFORCEES PRESENTANT DES CARACTERISTIQUES DE CROISSANCE AMELIOREES
(54) Title: METHODS FOR GENERATING ENHANCED ANTIBODY-PRODUCING CELL LINES WITH IMPROVED
GROWTH CHARACTERISTICS

(57) **Abrégé/Abstract:**

The use of mismatch repair (MMR) defective antibody producer cells offers a method to generate subclone variants with elevated protein production such as antibodies. Using MMR defective cells and animals, new cell lines and animal varieties with novel and useful properties such as enhanced protein production can be generated more efficiently than by relying on the natural rate of mutation. These methods are useful for generating genetic diversity within host cells to alter endogenous genes that can yield increased titer levels of protein production. By employing this method, two genes were discovered whose suppressed expression is associated with enhanced antibody production. Suppressed expression of these genes by a variety of methods leads to increased antibody production for manufacturing as well as strategies for modulating antibody production in immunological disorders. Moreover, the suppression of these two genes in host cells can be useful for generating universal high titer protein production lines.



(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property
Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
29 January 2004 (29.01.2004)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 2004/009782 A3

(51) International Patent Classification⁷: **C12P 21/00**,
21/04, C12N 15/00, 15/63, 15/87, 5/06, C12Q 1/68, 1/70,
G01N 33/53, A61K 48/00

SE, SG, SK, SL, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG,
US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(21) International Application Number:
PCT/US2003/022743

(22) International Filing Date: 21 July 2003 (21.07.2003)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:
60/397,027 19 July 2002 (19.07.2002) US

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): **MOR-
PHOTEK, INC.** [US/US]; 210 Welsh Pool Road, Exton,
PA 19341 (US).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): **GRASSO, Luigi**
[IT/US]; 707 Conshohocken State Road, Bala Cynwyd, PA
19004 (US). **KLINE, J. Bradford** [US/US]; 3210 Sunset
Avenue, Norristown, PA 19403 (US). **NICOLAIDES,
Nicholas, C.** [US/US]; 4 Cider Mill Court, Boothwyn,
PA 19061 (US). **SASS, Philip, M.** [US/US]; 1903 Black
Hawk Circle, Audubon, PA 19403 (US).

(74) Agents: **CALDWELL, John W.** et al.; Woodcock Wash-
burn LLP, One Liberty Place -46th Floor, Philadelphia, PA
19103 (US).

(81) Designated States (national): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU,
AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU,
CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH,
GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC,
LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW,
MX, MZ, NO, NZ, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD,

(84) Designated States (regional): ARIPO patent (GH, GM,
KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW),
Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM),
European patent (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE,
ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, RO,
SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM,
GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Declarations under Rule 4.17:

- as to applicant's entitlement to apply for and be granted
a patent (Rule 4.17(ii)) for the following designations AE,
AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA,
CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, ES,
FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE,
KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD,
MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, OM, PG, PH, PL,
PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR,
TT, TZ, UA, UG, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW, ARIPO
patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG,
ZM, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU,
TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE,
DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT,
RO, SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM,
GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG)
- of inventorship (Rule 4.17(iv)) for US only

Published:

- with international search report
- before the expiration of the time limit for amending the
claims and to be republished in the event of receipt of
amendments

(88) Date of publication of the international search report:

23 September 2004

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guid-
ance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the begin-
ning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

(54) Title: METHODS FOR GENERATING ENHANCED ANTIBODY-PRODUCING CELL LINES WITH IMPROVED
GROWTH CHARACTERISTICS

(57) Abstract: The use of mismatch repair (MMR) defective antibody producer cells offers a method to generate subclone variants with elevated protein production such as antibodies. Using MMR defective cells and animals, new cell lines and animal varieties with novel and useful properties such as enhanced protein production can be generated more efficiently than by relying on the natural rate of mutation. These methods are useful for generating genetic diversity within host cells to alter endogenous genes that can yield increased titer levels of protein production. By employing this method, two genes were discovered whose suppressed expression is associated with enhanced antibody production. Suppressed expression of these genes by a variety of methods leads to increased antibody production for manufacturing as well as strategies for modulating antibody production in immunological disorders. Moreover, the suppression of these two genes in host cells can be useful for generating universal high titer protein production lines.



WO 2004/009782 A3

METHODS FOR GENERATING ENHANCED ANTIBODY-PRODUCING CELL LINES WITH IMPROVED GROWTH CHARACTERISTICS

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

[0001] This Application claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/397,027, filed July 19, 2002, which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety.

TECHNICAL FIELD OF THE INVENTION

[0002] The invention is related to the area of antibody and recombinant protein production. In particular, it is related to the field of mutagenesis, gene discovery and recombinant gene expression.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

[0003] The use of antibodies to block the activity of foreign and/or endogenous polypeptides provides an effective and selective strategy for treating the underlying cause of disease. In particular is the use of monoclonal antibodies (MAb) as effective therapeutics such as the FDA approved ReoPro (Glaser, V. (1996) "Can ReoPro repolish tarnished monoclonal therapeutics?" *Nat. Biotechnol.* 14:1216-1217), an anti-platelet MAb from Centocor; Herceptin (Weiner, L.M. (1999) "Monoclonal antibody therapy of cancer" *Semin. Oncol.* 26:43-51), an anti-Her2/neu MAb from Genentech; and Synagis (Saez-Llorens, X.E., *et al.* (1998) "Safety and pharmacokinetics of an intramuscular humanized monoclonal antibody to respiratory syncytial virus in premature infants and infants with bronchopulmonary dysplasia" *Pediat. Infect. Dis. J.* 17:787-791), an anti-respiratory syncytial virus MAb produced by Medimmune.

[0004] Standard methods for generating MAbs against candidate protein targets are known by those skilled in the art. Briefly, primates as well as rodents, such as mice or rats, are injected with a purified antigen in the presence of adjuvant to generate an immune response (Shield, C.F., *et al.* (1996) "A cost-effective analysis of OKT3 induction therapy in cadaveric kidney

transplantation” *Am. J. Kidney Dis.* 27:855-864). Animals with positive immune sera are sacrificed and splenocytes are isolated. Isolated splenocytes are fused to myelomas to produce immortalized cell lines that are then screened for antibody production. Positive lines are isolated and characterized for antibody production. The direct use of rodent-derived MAbs as human therapeutic agents were confounded by the fact that human anti-rodent antibody (HARA) responses occurred in a significant number of patients treated with the rodent-derived antibody (Khazaeli, M.B., *et al.*, (1994) “Human immune response to monoclonal antibodies” *J. Immunother.* 15:42-52). In order to circumvent the problem of HARA, the grafting of the complementarity determining regions (CDRs), which are the critical motifs found within the heavy and light chain variable regions of the immunoglobulin (Ig) subunits making up the antigen binding domain, onto a human antibody backbone found these chimeric molecules to retain their binding activity to antigen while lacking the HARA response (Emery, S.C., and Harris, W.J. “Strategies for humanizing antibodies” In: ANTIBODY ENGINEERING C.A.K. Borrebaeck (Ed.) Oxford University Press, N.Y. 1995. pp. 159-183. A common problem that exists during the “humanization” of rodent-derived MAbs (referred to hereon as HAb) is the loss of binding affinity due to conformational changes in the three-dimensional structure of the CDR domain upon grafting onto the human Ig backbone (U.S. Patent No. 5,530,101 to Queen *et al.*). To overcome this problem, additional HAb vectors are usually needed to be engineered whereby inserting or deleting additional amino acid residues within the framework region and/or within the CDR coding region itself in order to recreate high affinity HAb (U.S. Patent No. 5,530,101 to Queen *et al.*). This process is a very time consuming procedure that involves the use of expensive computer modeling programs to predict changes that may lead to a high affinity HAb. In some instances the affinity of the HAb is never restored to that of the MAb, rendering them of little therapeutic use.

[0005] A problem that exists in antibody engineering is the generation of stable high yielding producer cell lines that is required for manufacturing of the molecule for clinical materials. Several strategies have been adopted in standard practice by those skilled in the art to circumvent this problem. One method is the use of Chinese Hamster Ovary (CHO) cells transfected with exogenous Ig fusion genes containing the grafted human light and heavy chains to produce whole antibodies or single chain antibodies, which are a chimeric molecule containing both light and heavy chains that form an antigen-binding polypeptide (Reff, M.E. (1993) “High-level production of recombinant immunoglobulins in mammalian cells” *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 4:573-576).

[0006] Another method employs the use of human lymphocytes derived from transgenic mice containing a human grafted immune system or transgenic mice containing a human Ig gene repertoire. Yet another method employs the use of monkeys to produce primate MAbs, which have been reported to lack a human anti-monkey response (Neuberger, M., and Gruggermann, M. (1997) "Monoclonal antibodies: Mice perform a human repertoire" *Nature* 386:25-26). In all cases, the generation of a cell line that is capable of generating sufficient amounts of high affinity antibody poses a major limitation for producing sufficient materials for clinical studies. Because of these limitations, the utility of other recombinant systems such as plants are currently being explored as systems that will lead to the stable, high-level production of humanized antibodies (Fiedler, U., and Conrad, U. (1995) "High-level production and long-term storage of engineered antibodies in transgenic tobacco seeds" *Bio/Technology* 13:1090-1093).

[0007] A method for generating genetically altered host cells either surrogate mammalian cells such as but not limited to SP20, NS0, CHO, *etc.* that are capable of secreting increased amounts of antibody will provide a valuable method for creating cell hosts for product development as well as allow for the generation of reagents useful for the discovery of downstream genes whose altered structure or expression levels when altered result in enhanced MAb production. The invention described herein is directed to the creation of genetically altered cell hosts with increased antibody production via the blockade of MMR that can in turn be used to screen and identify altered gene loci for directed alteration and generation of high titer production strains.

[0008] The invention facilitates the generation of high titer production of cell lines with elevated levels of antibody production for manufacturing as well as use for target discovery of genes involved in over-production of antibodies either at the gene expression level, processing level or secretion level. Other advantages of the present invention are described in the examples and figures described herein.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

[0009] The invention provides methods for generating genetically altered antibody producing cell hosts *in vitro* and *in vivo*, whereby the cell exhibits enhanced production, processing and/or extracellular secretion of a given antibody molecule, immunoglobulin (Ig) chain or a polypeptide containing regions homologous to an Ig domain(s). The invention also provides methods of employing such high titer antibody producer cells for gene discovery to identify genes involved in regulating enhanced immunoglobulin expression, stability, processing

and/or secretion. One method for identifying cells with increased antibody production is through the screening of mismatch repair (MMR) defective cells producing antibody, Ig light and/or heavy chains or polypeptides with Ig domains.

[0010] The antibody producing cells suitable for use in the invention include, but are not limited to rodent, primate, human hybridomas or lymphoblastoids; mammalian cells transfected and expressing exogenous Ig light and/or heavy chains or chimeric single chain molecules; plant cells, yeast or bacteria transfected and expressing exogenous Ig light or heavy chains, or chimeric single chain molecules.

[0011] Thus, the invention provides methods for making a hypermutable antibody producing cells by inhibiting mismatch repair in cells that are capable of producing antibodies. The cells that are capable of producing antibodies include cells that naturally produce antibodies, and cells that are engineered to produce antibodies through the introduction of immunoglobulin heavy and/or light chain encoding sequences.

[0012] The invention also provides methods of making hypermutable antibody producing cells by introducing a dominant negative mismatch repair (MMR) gene such as *PMS2* (preferably human *PMS2*), *MLH1*, *PMS1*, *MSH2*, or *MSH2* into cells that are capable of producing antibodies as described in U.S. Patent No. 6,146,894 to Nicolaides *et al.* The dominant negative allele of a mismatch repair gene may be a truncation mutation of a mismatch repair gene (preferably a truncation mutation at codon 134, or a thymidine at nucleotide 424 of wild-type *PMS2*). The invention also provides methods in which mismatch repair gene activity is suppressed. This may be accomplished, for example, using antisense molecules directed against the mismatch repair gene or transcripts; RNA interference, polypeptide inhibitors such as catalytic antibodies, or through the use of chemical inhibitors such as those described in PCT publication No. WO 02/054856.

[0013] The invention also provides methods for making a hypermutable antibody producing cells by introducing a nucleotide (*e.g.*, antisense or targeting knock-out vector) or genes encoding for polypeptides (*e.g.*, dominant negative MMR gene allele or catalytic antibodies) into fertilized eggs of animals. These methods may also include subsequently implanting the eggs into pseudo-pregnant females whereby the fertilized eggs develop into a mature transgenic animal as described in U.S. Patent No. 6,146,894 to Nicolaides *et al.* These nucleotide or polypeptide inhibitors may be directed to any of the genes involved in mismatch repair such as, for example, *PMS2*, *MLH1*, *MLH3*, *PMS1*, *MSH2*, *MSH3*, or *MSH6*.

[0014] The invention also provides homogeneous compositions of cultured, hypermutable, mammalian cells that are capable of producing antibodies and contain a defective mismatch repair process, wherein the cells contain a mutation in at least one gene responsible for higher production of antibodies in the cells. The defects in MMR may be due to any defect within the mismatch repair genes that may include, for example, *PMS2*, *MLH1*, *MLH3*, *PMS1*, *MSH2*, *MSH3*, *MSH4* or *MSH6*. The cells of the culture may contain dominant negative MMR gene alleles such as *PMS2* or *MLH3* (Nicolaidis, N.C. *et al.* (1998) A Naturally Occurring hPMS2 Mutation Can Confer a Dominant Negative Mutator Phenotype. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 18:1635-1641. 1997; U.S. Patent No. 6146894; Lipkin SM, Wang V, Jacoby R, Banerjee-Basu S, Baxevanis AD, Lynch HT, Elliott RM, Collins FS. (2000) MLH3: a DNA mismatch repair gene associated with mammalian microsatellite instability. *Nat. Genet.* 24:27-35).

[0015] The invention also provides methods of introducing immunoglobulin genes into mismatch repair defective cells and screening for subclones that yield higher titer antibody or Ig polypeptides than observed in the pool or as compared to mismatch proficient cells.

[0016] The invention also provides methods for generating a mutation(s) in a gene(s) affecting antibody production in an antibody-producing cell by culturing the mismatch repair defective cell and testing the cell to determine whether the cell harbors mutations within the gene of interest, such that a new biochemical feature (*e.g.*, over-expression, intracellular stability, processing and/or secretion of antibody or immunoglobulin gene products) is generated. The testing may include analysis of the steady state RNA or protein levels of the immunoglobulin gene of interest, and/or analysis of the amount of secreted protein encoded by the immunoglobulin gene of interest. The invention also embraces mismatch repair defective immunoglobulin producing prokaryotic and eukaryotic transgenic cells made by this process, including cells from rodents, non-human primates and humans.

[0017] The invention also provides methods of reversibly altering the hypermutability of an antibody producing cell. In the case that MMR deficiency is due to the use of a dominant negative MMR gene allele, whereby the gene is in an inducible vector containing a dominant negative allele of a mismatch repair gene operably linked to an inducible promoter, the cell is treated with an inducing agent to express the dominant negative mismatch repair gene (such as but not limited to *PMS2* (preferably human *PMS2*), *MLH1*, *MLH3* or *PMS1*). Alternatively, the cell may be MMR defective due to inactivation of an endogenous MMR gene such as but not limited to *PMS1*, *PMS2*, *MLH1*, *MLH3*, *MSH2*, *MSH3*, *MSH4*, *MSH6*. In this instance, expression vectors capable of complementing one of the defective MMR gene subunits is

introduced and stably expressed in the cell thereby restoring the MMR defective phenotype using methods as previously described in the literature (Koi M, Umar A, Chauhan DP, Cherian SP, Carethers JM, Kunkel TA, Boland CR. (1994) "Human chromosome 3 corrects mismatch repair deficiency and microsatellite instability and reduces N-methyl-N'-nitro-N-nitrosoguanidine tolerance in colon tumor cells with homozygous hMLH1 mutation" *Cancer Res.* 15:4308-12).

[0018] In another embodiment, the cells may be rendered capable of producing antibodies by co-transfecting a preselected immunoglobulin light and/or heavy chain gene or cDNA of interest. The immunoglobulin genes of the hypermutable cells, or the proteins produced by these methods may be analyzed for desired properties, and genetic hypermutability induction may be stopped such that the genetic stability of the host cell is restored using methods described above.

[0019] The invention also provides methods for employing a mismatch repair defective cell line whereby the line is transfected with an immunoglobulin full length or partial light, heavy chain genes either individually or in combination.

[0020] The invention also provides methods for generating genetically altered cell lines that express enhanced amounts of an antigen binding polypeptide. These antigen-binding polypeptides may be, for example, Fab domains of antibodies. The methods of the invention also include methods for generating genetically altered cell lines that secrete enhanced amounts of an antigen binding polypeptide. The cell lines are rendered hypermutable by inhibition of mismatch repair that provide an enhanced rate of genetic hypermutation in a cell producing antigen-binding polypeptides such as antibodies. Such cells include, but are not limited to surrogate cell lines such as baby hamster kidney (BHK), Chinese hamster ovary (CHO), NSO, SPO/2, as well as rodent and human derived hybridomas. Expression of enhanced amounts of antigen binding polypeptides may be through enhanced transcription or translation of the polynucleotides encoding the antigen binding polypeptides, through enhanced intracellular stability or through the enhanced secretion of the antigen binding polypeptides.

[0021] The invention also provides a composition of matter and method of use of two genes discovered by the above methods whose expression when suppressed in antibody producer cells results in enhanced antibody production. Using comparative gene expression analysis between parental and hypermutable MAb over-producer cell lines, two genes (SEQ ID NO:1

and SEQ ID NO:2) were identified in an over-producer subclone to have significantly lower expression than the parental precursor line. Antisense expression constructs were prepared and antisense vectors were introduced into parental and assayed for enhanced MAb production. Blockade of expression of both genes resulted in significantly higher MAb production.

[0022] The invention also provides methods for inhibiting the expression and/or function of said genes by methods used by those skilled in the art such as but not limited to antisense technology incorporating RNA, DNA and/or modified versions thereof (*e.g.*, thioated, *etc.*); RNA interference; DNA knockout methods of somatic cells or pluripotent cells; ribozymes; intracellular and/or extracellular antibodies; dominant negative protein inhibitors that effect expression and/or function; pharmacologic saturation of substrates or ligands that may bind the gene products; molecules of biological or chemical basis that can effect the gene expression profiles of said genes.

[0023] The invention also provides methods for screening for molecules that can affect the biological effect(s) of the genes by employing biological or chemical molecules that can regulate the gene's pathway to regulate immunoglobulin production. These can be through the use of introducing pharmacological amounts of natural or synthetic substrates, or molecules that can deregulate the biological production and/or activity of the genes.

[0024] The invention also provides methods for screening for natural subclone variants that may lack expression of said genes by analyzing subclones of pools of cells producing antibody or Ig heavy and/or light chain genes. Screening methods can be carried out by monitoring for protein production in growth medium of cell clones, intracellular protein or message steady state levels or by screening genomic structure of the gene's locus.

[0025] The invention also provides methods for screening for inhibitors of expression and/or biological function of said genes to suppress immunoglobulin production in immunological disease states whereby suppressed expression of various immunoglobulin subtypes can relieve, suppress or cure such pathological disease states.

[0026] These and other aspects of the invention are provided by one or more of the embodiments described below.

[0027] One embodiment of the invention is a method for using mismatch repair defective cells to identify genes involved in enhanced antibody expression, stability, or secretion. MMR

activity of a cell is suppressed gene and the cell becomes hypermutable as a result of defective MMR. The cell is grown. The cell is tested for the expression of new phenotypes where the phenotype is enhanced expression, processing and/or secretion of an antibody or Ig heavy and/or light chain polypeptide or derivative thereof.

[0028] In another embodiment of the invention, a mismatch repair defective cell overproducing antibody, immunoglobulins, or derivatives thereof is genetically analyzed in comparison to parental cell line to identify altered genes involved in enhanced antibody or immunoglobulin expression, stability, processing, and/or secretion. Altered genetic loci or loci with altered expression are then validated by introducing altered genes or altering gene expression in parental line to confirm role in enhanced immunoglobulin and/or MAb production.

[0029] Yet another embodiment of the invention is the discovery and composition of matter of two genes (SEQ ID NO:1 and SEQ ID NO:2) whose suppressed expression results in enhanced antibody production. Expression analysis of said genes are found to be significantly lower in over-producer sublines as compared to parental lines. Said genes expression are suppressed in parental lines and lines are screened for antibody production. Lines with inhibited expression of genes have enhanced antibody production. Thus, the invention also comprises cell lines for expressing antibody molecules or fragments thereof comprising a defect in at least one of the two genes (alpha-1-antitrypsin (SEQ ID NO:1) and monocyte-activating polypeptide I (SEQ ID NO:2)) such that expression of the gene is suppressed or inhibited. The cell lines may be bacterial, yeast, plant or mammalian cells including, but not limited to rabbit cells, rodent cells (*e.g.*, mouse, rat, hamster), and primate cells (including human cells).

[0030] Yet another embodiment of the invention is the use of biological or chemical inhibitors of said gene products or natural ligands/substrates of said gene products to regulate the production of antibody, immunoglobulin or derivatives thereof for use in manufacturing.

[0031] Yet another embodiment of the invention is a method for screening the expression of said genes (SEQ ID NO:1 and SEQ ID NO:2) or homologs in subclones of cells from pools of antibody or immunoglobulin light and/or heavy chain producing cells to identify clones with reduced protein expression for development of high-titer production lines.

[0032] Yet another embodiment of the invention is the use of biological or chemical inhibitors of said gene products or natural ligands/substrates of said gene products to regulate the

production of antibody, immunoglobulin or derivatives thereof for use in regulating immunoglobulin production in disease states such as but not limited to immunological disorders.

[0033] These and other embodiments of the invention provide the art with methods that can generate enhanced mutability in prokaryotic and eukaryotic cells and animals as well as providing prokaryotic and eukaryotic cells and animals harboring potentially useful mutations for the large-scale production of antibodies, immunoglobulins and derivatives thereof. Further, the invention provides useful compositions for the production of high titers of antibodies. Finally, the invention provides the art with composition of matter of two genes and their respective homologs, whose regulation can result in the increase of antibody production for use in developing strains for manufacturing as well as devising rational screening methods to identify regulators of the said genes for the treatment of immunological disorders involving hyper or hypo immunoglobulin states.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0034] **Figure 1** shows the generation of MMR-defective clones with enhanced steady state antibody levels. An ELISA was carried out measuring antibody yields from 5 day old cultures of 10,000 cells from MMR defective H34 hybridoma clones with enhanced antibody titer yields (>500ngs/ml) within the conditioned medium as compared to the parental H6 cell line. Lane 1: fibroblast cells (negative control); Lane 2: H6 cell; Lane 3: H34 high titer line.

[0035] **Figure 2** shows expression Analysis of Immunoglobulin Enhancer Genes. RT-PCR validating the reduced expression of AAT (panel A) and EMAPI (panel B). RNAs were reverse transcribed from H6 parental and H34 enhanced producer clones and PCR amplified for AAT (panel A), EMAPI (panel B), and dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR) (panel C) which served as control. Samples were amplified for varying cycles to measure steady-state expression. The minus lane was RNA process without reverse transcriptase which served as a negative control.

[0036] **Figure 3** shows the structure of immunoglobulin enhancer genes. Nucleotide and protein sequence of the alpha-1-antitrypsin and endothelial monocyte-activating polypeptide I gene products.

[0037] **Figure 4** shows antibody production analysis of H6 and H34 cells expressing antisense or sense alpha-1-anti-trypsin and endothelial monocyte-activating polypeptide I. Panel A: MAb production of H6 cells expressing antisense anti- alpha-1-anti-trypsin and endothelial

monocyte-activating polypeptide I shows enhanced MAb production as compared to control cells; Panel B: Mab production of H34 cells expressing sense alpha-1-anti-trypsin and endothelial monocyte-activating polypeptide I shows suppressed MAb production as compared to control cells.

[0038] **Figure 5** shows the use of alpha-1-anti-trypsin antibodies to screen for high-titer antibody producer strains. Supernatant was isolated from H6 parental (lane 1); H34 over-producer strains (lane 2); or H6 high titer producer cells expressing anti-AAT and anti-EMAP and probed for anti-alpha-1-anti-trypsin. As shown by arrow, a robust extracellular production of alpha-1-anti-trypsin is observed in the low antibody producer line while very little is present in supernatants of high producer strains.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

[0039] The reference works, patents, patent applications, and scientific literature, including accession numbers to GenBank database sequences that are referred to herein establish the knowledge of those with skill in the art and are hereby incorporated by reference in their entirety to the same extent as if each was specifically and individually indicated to be incorporated by reference. Any conflict between any reference cited herein and the specific teachings of this specification shall be resolved in favor of the latter. Likewise, any conflict between an art-understood definition of a word or phrase and a definition of the word or phrase as specifically taught in this specification shall be resolved in favor of the latter.

[0040] Standard reference works setting forth the general principles of recombinant DNA technology known to those of skill in the art include Ausubel *et al.* CURRENT PROTOCOLS IN MOLECULAR BIOLOGY, John Wiley & Sons, New York (1998); Sambrook *et al.* MOLECULAR CLONING: A LABORATORY MANUAL, 2D ED., Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Plainview, New York (1989); Kaufman *et al.*, Eds., HANDBOOK OF MOLECULAR AND CELLULAR METHODS IN BIOLOGY AND MEDICINE, CRC Press, Boca Raton (1995); McPherson, Ed., DIRECTED MUTAGENESIS: A PRACTICAL APPROACH, IRL Press, Oxford (1991).

[0041] Methods have been discovered for developing high antibody-producing cells by employing the use of cells or animals with defects in their mismatch repair (MMR) process that in turn results in increased rates of spontaneous mutation by reducing the effectiveness of DNA repair. MMR defective cells or animals are utilized to develop new mutations in a gene of interest. The use of MMR defective cells for production of antibody, immunoglobulin (Ig) gene or derivatives thereof, including cells such as hybridomas; mammalian, plant, yeast or

bacterial cells transfected with genes encoding for Ig light and heavy chains or derivatives, can result in subclones that have enhanced production of antibody, immunoglobulin or derivative polypeptides. The process of MMR, also called mismatch proofreading, is carried out by protein complexes in cells ranging from bacteria to mammalian cells (Muller A, Fishel R. (2002) "Mismatch repair and the hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer syndrome (HNPCC)" *Cancer Invest.* 20:102-9). A MMR gene is a gene that encodes for one of the proteins of such a mismatch repair complex. Although not wanting to be bound by any particular theory of mechanism of action, a MMR complex is believed to detect distortions of the DNA helix resulting from non-complementary pairing of nucleotide bases. The non-complementary base on the newer DNA strand is excised, and the excised base is replaced with the appropriate base, which is complementary to the older DNA strand. In this way, cells eliminate many mutations that occur as a result of mistakes in DNA replication.

[0042] Dominant negative alleles or inactivation of both alleles by site-specific gene mutation of a given MMR gene can cause a MMR defective phenotype. An example of a dominant negative allele of a MMR gene is the human gene *hPMS2-134*, which carries a truncating mutation at codon 134. The mutation causes the product of this gene to abnormally terminate at the position of the 134th amino acid, resulting in a shortened polypeptide containing the N-terminal 133 amino acids. Such a mutation causes an increase in the rate of mutations, which accumulate in cells after DNA replication. Expression of a dominant negative allele of a mismatch repair gene results in impairment of mismatch repair activity, even in the presence of the wild-type allele. Any allele which produces such effect can be used in this invention. Dominant negative alleles of a MMR gene can be obtained from the cells of humans, animals, yeast, bacteria, or other organisms. Such alleles can be identified by screening cells for defective MMR activity. Moreover, inactivation of both copies of a given MMR gene can also lead to defective MMR. Cells from animals or humans with cancer can be screened for defective mismatch repair. Cells from colon cancer patients may be particularly useful. Genomic DNA, cDNA, or mRNA from any cell encoding a MMR protein can be analyzed for variations from the wild type sequence. Dominant negative alleles or inactivated alleles of a MMR gene can also be created artificially, for example, by producing variants of the *hPMS2-134* allele or other MMR genes. Various techniques of site-directed mutagenesis can be used. The suitability of such alleles, whether natural or artificial, for use in generating hypermutable cells or animals can be evaluated by testing the mismatch repair activity caused by the allele in the presence of one or more wild-type alleles, to determine if it is a dominant negative allele or inactivated allele.

[0043] Methods used by those skilled in the art can also be employed to suppress the endogenous activity of a MMR gene resulting in enhanced DNA hypermutability. Such methods employ the use of molecules including but not limited to RNA interference, ribozymes, antisense vectors, somatic cell knockouts, intracellular antibodies, *etc.*

[0044] A cell or an animal with defective mismatch repair will become hypermutable. This means that the spontaneous mutation rate of such cells or animals is elevated compared to cells or animals with proficient MMR. The degree of elevation of the spontaneous mutation rate can be at least 2-fold, 5-fold, 10-fold, 20-fold, 50-fold, 100-fold, 200-fold, 500-fold, 1000-fold, or 10,000-fold that of the normal cell or animal. The use of chemical mutagens such as but limited to methane sulfonate, dimethyl sulfonate, O6-methyl benzadine, MNU, ENU, *etc.* can be used in MMR defective cells to increase the rates an additional 10 to 100 fold that of the MMR deficiency itself.

[0045] According to one aspect of the invention, a MMR defective antibody producer cell can be generated by introducing a polynucleotide encoding for a dominant negative form of a MMR protein into a cell. The gene can be any dominant negative allele encoding a protein, which is part of a MMR complex, for example, *PMS2*, *PMS1*, *MLH1*, *MLH3*, *MSH2*, *MSH3*, *MSH4*, *MSH5* or *MSH6* (Bocker T, Barusevicius A, Snowden T, Rasio D, Guerrette S, Robbins D, Schmidt C, Burczak J, Croce CM, Copeland T, Kovatich AJ, Fishel R. (1999) "hMSH5: a human MutS homologue that forms a novel heterodimer with hMSH4 and is expressed during spermatogenesis" *Cancer Res.* 59:816-22). The dominant negative allele can be naturally occurring or made in the laboratory. The polynucleotide can be in the form of genomic DNA, cDNA, RNA, or a chemically synthesized polynucleotide.

[0046] According to another aspect of the invention a cell line or tissue with a genomic defect in one or a combination of MMR subunits can be used to generate high antibody, Ig or derivative proteins through transfection of genes encoding such proteins whereby a MMR defective cell line producing an antibody, Ig gene, or derivative is generated to yield producer cells. Pools of producer cells are then cloned to identify subclones with enhanced production (referred to as high-titer lines). High titer lines are then made genetically stable by the introduction of a polynucleotide containing wild type gene or DNA fragment that can correct and complement for an endogenous defective MMR gene thereby generating a genetically stable high titer producer line.

[0047] The polynucleotide can be cloned into an expression vector containing a constitutively active promoter segment (such as but not limited to CMV, SV40, Elongation Factor, ubiquitin or LTR sequences) or to inducible promoter sequences such as the steroid inducible pIND vector (Invitrogen), where the expression of the dominant negative or wild type MMR gene can be regulated. The polynucleotide can be introduced into the cell by transfection.

[0048] According to another aspect of the invention, an immunoglobulin (Ig) gene, a set of Ig genes or a chimeric gene containing whole or parts of an Ig gene can be transfected into MMR deficient cell hosts, the cell is grown and screened for clones producing elevated levels of antibody, Igs or derivatives thereof. MMR defective cells may be of human, primates, mammals, rodent, plant, yeast or of the prokaryotic kingdom. The MMR defective cell encoding the antibody, immunoglobulin or derivative protein with enhanced production may have elevated production through because of increased gene expression, stability, processing and/or secretion. High producer subclones can be genetically analyzed to identify altered gene products whose altered function results in enhanced antibody or Ig production. The method of isolating antibody/Ig enhancer genes may be accomplished using any method known in the art. Candidate genes are validated by altering the expression or function of a candidate gene by introducing via transfection the said gene(s) into the parental line to determine the ability of the altered gene to enhance the production of antibody, immunoglobulin, or derivatives thereof.

[0049] Transfection is any process whereby a polynucleotide is introduced into a cell. The process of transfection can be carried out in a living animal, *e.g.*, using a vector for gene therapy, or it can be carried out *in vitro*, *e.g.*, using a suspension of one or more isolated cells in culture. The cell can be any type of prokaryotic or eukaryotic cell, including, for example, cells isolated from humans or other primates, mammals or other vertebrates, invertebrates, and single celled organisms such as protozoa, yeast, or bacteria.

[0050] In general, transfection will be carried out using a suspension of cells, or a single cell, but other methods can also be applied as long as a sufficient fraction of the treated cells or tissue incorporates the polynucleotide so as to allow transfected cells to be grown and utilized. The protein product of the polynucleotide may be transiently or stably expressed in the cell. Techniques for transfection are well known. Available techniques for introducing polynucleotides include but are not limited to electroporation, transduction, cell fusion, microinjection, the use of calcium chloride, and packaging of the polynucleotide together with lipid for fusion with the cells of interest. Once a cell has been transfected with the candidate

gene, the cell can be grown and reproduced in culture. If the transfection is stable, such that the gene is expressed at a consistent level for many cell generations, then a cell line results.

[0051] An isolated cell is a cell obtained from a tissue of plants or animals by mechanically separating out individual cells and transferring them to a suitable cell culture medium, either with or without pretreatment of the tissue with enzymes, *e.g.*, collagenase or trypsin. Such isolated cells are typically cultured in the absence of other types of cells. Cells selected for the introduction of a candidate Antibody/Ig Enhancer Gene may be derived from a eukaryotic or prokaryotic organism in the form of a primary cell culture or an immortalized cell line, or may be derived from suspensions of single-celled organisms.

[0052] Mutant genes in antibody over-producing cells can be detected by analyzing for alterations in the genotype of the cells or animals, for example by examining the sequence of genomic DNA, cDNA, messenger RNA, or amino acids associated with the gene of interest. Mutations can also be detected by screening for the production of antibody or Ig titers. A mutant polypeptide can be detected by identifying alterations in electrophoretic mobility, spectroscopic properties, or other physical or structural characteristics of a protein encoded by a mutant gene. One can also screen for altered function of the protein *in situ*, in isolated form, or in model systems. One can screen for alteration of any property of the cell or animal associated with the function of the gene of interest, such as but not limited to Ig secretion.

[0053] Another aspect of the invention is the composition of matter and methods of use whereby two genes, alpha-1-anti-trypsin (AAT) (SEQ ID NO:1) and endothelial monocyte-activating polypeptide I (EMAP) (SEQ ID NO:2) were identified to be significantly suppressed in high titer antibody producer cells. Functional studies have demonstrated that the decreased expression of these genes in parental cell lines using antisense technology can lead to enhanced antibody production. Conversely, the over-expression of these genes in high producer lines that lack robust expression of either the AAT and/or EMAP protein or pathway can suppress antibody expression demonstrating the utility of these genes for regulating antibody production from producer cells.

[0054] Another aspect of the invention employs the use of chemical inhibitors (such as those described in WO 02/054856) that block the biological pathway of the AAT and/or EMAP gene products that leads to increased antibody production demonstrating the use of small molecules of the genes pathway as a method for enhancing antibody/Ig gene production.

[0055] Yet another aspect of the invention is the regulation of the AAT and/or EMAP protein by biological or chemical agents for the use in modulating their biological pathway for controlling immunoglobulin gene expression in immunological-associated disease states such as allergy and inflammation.

[0056] In some embodiments, the invention comprises a host cell for the expression of antibody molecules or fragments thereof comprising a defect in the monocyte-activating polypeptide I gene such that expression of monocyte-activating polypeptide I is inhibited. These cells may have a defect such as a deletion of monocyte-activating polypeptide I and/or alpha-1-antitrypsin, or a frameshift mutation in one or both of these genes. Alternatively, the host cell may comprise an expression vector comprising an antisense transcript of the monocyte-activating polypeptide I gene and/or alpha-1-antitrypsin gene whereby expression of said antisense transcript suppresses the expression of the gene. In other embodiments, the host cell may comprise a ribozyme that disrupts expression of the monocyte-activating polypeptide I gene or an intracellular neutralizing antibody or antibodies against the monocyte-activating polypeptide I protein and/or alpha-1-antitrypsin protein whereby the antibody or antibodies suppress the activity of the protein(s).

[0057] The host cells are useful for expressing antibody molecules in high titer and thus may further comprise polynucleotides encoding fully human antibodies, human antibody homologs, humanized antibody homologs, chimeric antibody homologs, Fab, Fab', F(ab')₂ and F(v) antibody fragments, single chain antibodies, and monomers or dimers of antibody heavy or light chains or mixtures thereof.

[0058] The cells of the invention may include mammalian cells, bacterial cells, plant cells, and yeast cells.

[0059] The method of the invention may also comprise restabilizing the genome of the cells of the invention that are expressing antibodies in high titers. This can be achieved by the use of inducible vectors whereby dominant negative MMR genes are cloned into such vectors, introduced into Ab producing cells and the cells are cultured in the presence of inducer molecules and/or conditions. Inducible vectors include but are not limited to chemical regulated promoters such as the steroid inducible MMTV, tetracycline regulated promoters, temperature sensitive MMR gene alleles, and temperature sensitive promoters. This may also be accomplished by procedures to remove the vectors containing the dominant negative alleles

from the selected cells. Such procedures for removing plasmids from cells are well-known in the art.

[0060] For further information on the background of the invention the following references may be consulted, each of which is incorporated herein by reference in its entirety:

1. Glaser, V. (1996) Can ReoPro repolish tarnished monoclonal therapeutics? *Nat. Biotechnol.* 14:1216-1217.
2. Weiner, L.M. (1999) Monoclonal antibody therapy of cancer. *Semin. Oncol.* 26:43-51.
3. Saez-Llorens, X.E. et al. (1998) Safety and pharmacokinetics of an intramuscular humanized monoclonal antibody to respiratory syncytial virus in premature infants and infants with bronchopulmonary dysplasia. *Pediat. Infect. Dis. J.* 17:787-791.
4. Shield, C.F. et al. (1996) A cost-effective analysis of OKT3 induction therapy in cadaveric kidney transplantation. *Am. J. Kidney Dis.* 27:855-864.
5. Khazaeli, M.B. et al. (1994) Human immune response to monoclonal antibodies. *J. Immunother.* 15:42-52.
6. Emery, S.C. and W.J. Harris "Strategies for humanizing antibodies" In: *Antibody Engineering* C.A.K. Borrebaeck (Ed.) Oxford University Press, N.Y. 1995, pp. 159-183.
7. U.S. Patent No. 5,530,101 to Queen and Selick.
8. Reff, M.E. (1993) High-level production of recombinant immunoglobulins in mammalian cells. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 4:573-576.
9. Neuberger, M. and M. Gruggermann, (1997) Monoclonal antibodies. Mice perform a human repertoire. *Nature* 386:25-26.
10. Fiedler, U. and U. Conrad (1995) High-level production and long-term storage of engineered antibodies in transgenic tobacco seeds. *Bio/Technology* 13:1090-1093.
11. Baker S.M. et al. (1995) Male defective in the DNA mismatch repair gene PMS2 exhibit abnormal chromosome synapsis in meiosis. *Cell* 82:309-319.
12. Bronner, C.E. et al. (1994) Mutation in the DNA mismatch repair gene homologue *hMLH1* is associated with hereditary non-polyposis colon cancer. *Nature* 368:258-261.
13. de Wind N. et al. (1995) Inactivation of the mouse *Msh2* gene results in mismatch repair deficiency, methylation tolerance, hyperrecombination, and predisposition to cancer. *Cell* 82:321-300.

14. Drummond, J.T. *et al.* (1995) Isolation of an hMSH2-p160 heterodimer that restores mismatch repair to tumor cells. *Science* 268:1909-1912.
15. Modrich, P. (1994) Mismatch repair, genetic stability, and cancer. *Science* 266:1959-1960.
16. Nicolaides, N.C. *et al.* (1998) A Naturally Occurring hPMS2 Mutation Can Confer a Dominant Negative Mutator Phenotype. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 18:1635-1641.
17. Prolla, T.A. *et al.* (1994) MLH1, PMS1, and MSH2 Interaction during the initiation of DNA mismatch repair in yeast. *Science* 264:1091-1093.
18. Strand, M. *et al.* (1993) Destabilization of tracts of simple repetitive DNA in yeast by mutations affecting DNA mismatch repair. *Nature* 365:274-276.
19. Su, S.S., R.S. Lahue, K.G. Au, and P. Modrich (1988) Mismatch specificity of methyl directed DNA mismatch corrections in vitro. *J. Biol. Chem.* 263:6829-6835.
20. Parsons, R. *et al.* (1993) Hypermethylability and mismatch repair deficiency in RER⁺ tumor cells. *Cell* 75:1227-1236.
21. Papadopoulos, N. *et al.* (1993) Mutation of a mutL homolog is associated with hereditary colon cancer. *Science* 263:1625-1629.
22. Perucho, M. (1996) Cancer of the microsatellite mutator phenotype. *Biol. Chem.* 377:675-684.
23. Nicolaides N.C., K.W. Kinzler, and B. Vogelstein (1995) Analysis of the 5' region of PMS2 reveals heterogenous transcripts and a novel overlapping gene. *Genomics* 29:329-334.
24. Nicolaides, N.C. *et al.* (1995) Genomic organization of the human PMS2 gene family. *Genomics* 30:195-206.
25. Palombo, F. *et al.* (1994) Mismatch repair and cancer. *Nature* 36:417.
26. Eshleman J.R. and S.D. Markowitz (1996) Mismatch repair defects in human carcinogenesis. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 5:1489-494.
27. Liu, T. *et al.* (2000) Microsatellite instability as a predictor of a mutation in a DNA mismatch repair gene in familial colorectal cancer. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer* 27:17-25.
28. Nicolaides, N.C. *et al.* (1992) The Jun family members, c-JUN and JUND, transactivate the human *c-myc* promoter via an Ap1 like element. *J. Biol. Chem.* 267:19665-19672.
29. Shields, R.L. *et al.* (1995) Anti-IgE monoclonal antibodies that inhibit allergen-specific histamine release. *Int. Arch. Allergy Immunol.* 107:412-413.

30. Frigerio L. *et al.* (2000) Assembly, secretion, and vacuolar delivery of a hybrid immunoglobulin in plants. *Plant Physiol.* 123:1483-1494.
31. Bignami M, (2000) Unmasking a killer: DNA O(6)-methylguanine and the cytotoxicity of methylating agents. *Mutat. Res.* 462:71-82.
32. Drummond, J.T. *et al.* (1996) Cisplatin and adriamycin resistance are associated with MutLa and mismatch repair deficiency in an ovarian tumor cell line. *J. Biol. Chem.* 271:9645-19648.
33. Galio, L. *et al.* (1999) ATP hydrolysis-dependent formation of a dynamic ternary nucleoprotein complex with MutS and MutL. *Nucl. Acids Res.* 27:2325-23231.

[0061] The above disclosure generally describes the present invention. A more complete understanding can be obtained by reference to the following specific examples which are provided herein for purposes of illustration only, and are not intended to limit the scope of the invention.

EXAMPLE 1: Generation of mismatch repair defective cells for generating enhanced antibody/immunoglobulin producer lines.

[0062] Expression of a dominant negative allele in an otherwise MMR proficient cell can render these host cells MMR deficient. The creation of MMR deficient cells can lead to the generation of genetic alterations throughout the entire genome of a host organism's offspring, yielding a population of genetically altered offspring or siblings that may produce biochemicals with altered properties.

[0063] It has been discovered that MMR defective cells are useful for creating high-titer antibody-producer cells, including but not limited to rodent hybridomas, human hybridomas, surrogate rodent cells producing human immunoglobulin gene products, surrogate human cells expressing immunoglobulin genes, eukaryotic cells producing single chain antibodies, and prokaryotic cells producing mammalian immunoglobulin genes and/or chimeric immunoglobulin molecules such as those contained within single-chain antibodies. The cell expression systems described above that are used to produce antibodies are well known by those skilled in the art of antibody therapeutics.

[0064] To demonstrate the ability to create MMR defective surrogate cell lines and hybridomas using dominant negative alleles of MMR genes, we first transfected a mouse hybridoma cell line (cell line referred to H6) that is known to produce and antibody directed against the IgE protein with an expression vector containing the previously published

dominant negative PMS2 mutant referred herein as PMS134 (cell line referred to as H34), or empty vector (cell line referred to as H6vec) or the rodent Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) line (parental referred to as CHO-P and the dominant negative MMR cell referred to as CHO-34). The results showed that the PMS134 mutant exerts a robust dominant negative effect, resulting in biochemical and genetic manifestations of MMR deficiency as determined by the ability to enhance microsatellite instability of a reporter gene (not shown), which is a hallmark of MMR deficiency as well as increased point mutations that lead to the accumulation of mutations in metabolic genes such as the hypoxanthine phosphoribosyltransferase (HPRT) gene leading to subclones that can grow under selective conditions using methods known by those skilled in the art (Qian Y, Yu Y, Cheng X, Luo J, Xie H, Shen B. Molecular events after antisense inhibition of hMSH2 in a HeLa cell line. *Mutat Res* 1998 418:61-71). As shown in TABLE 1, CHO cells were preselected to remove spontaneous HPRT mutants that have accumulated over the course of standard propagation and then screened for defected HPRT to determine rate of mutagenesis. Briefly, CHO-P and CHO-34 cells were then grown for 40 doublings and one hundred thousand cells were selected for mutations at the HPRT locus using 6.7ug/ml of 6-thioguanine in growth medium and scored for resistant colonies at day 10. Colony numbers are based out of one million cells screened.

TABLE 1. HPRT mutations in parental and mismatch repair defective CHO cells

CELL LINE	CELLS SCREENED	HPRT MUTANTS
CHO-P	1,000,000	1+/- 1.7
CHO-34	1,000,000	62 +/- 10

[0065] MMR defective cells are now ready to be transfected with immunoglobulin genes and screened to identify subclones with enhanced titer yields or in the case cells already containing expressed immunoglobulin light and heavy chains such as hybridomas, be expanded and screened directly for high titer production lines.

EXAMPLE 2: Screening of hybridoma clones with increased immunoglobulin production for gene discovery.

[0066] An application of the methods presented within this document is the use of MMR deficient hybridomas or MMR defective surrogate cells that can be transfected with immunoglobulin genes such as CHO (see Example 1, Table 1), BHK, NSO, SPO-2, *etc.*, to

generate high titer. An illustration of this application is demonstrated within this example whereby the H34 hybridoma, in which a murine MMR-defective cell line producing a mouse IgG monoclonal antibody was grown for 20 generations and clones were isolated in 96-well plates and screened for antibody production. The screening procedure to identify clones that produce high levels of antibody, which is presumed to be due to an alteration within the genome of the host cell line is an assay that employs the use of a plate Enzyme Linked Immunosorbant Assay (ELISA) to screen for clones that produce enhanced antibody titers. 96-well plates containing single cells from H6 parental or H34 pools were grown for 9 days in growth medium (RPMI 1640 plus 10% fetal bovine serum) plus 0.5 mg/ml G418 to ensure clones retain the dominant negative MMR gene expression vector. After 9 days, plates were screened using an anti-Ig ELISA, whereby a 96 well plate is coated with 50ul of conditioned supernatant from independent clones for 4 hours at 4°C. Plates were washed 3 times in calcium and magnesium free phosphate buffered saline solution (PBS^{-/-}) and blocked in 100ul of PBS^{-/-} containing 5% dry milk for 1 hour at room temperature. Plates were then washed 3 times with PBS^{-/-} and incubated for 1 hour at room temperature with 50 uls of a PBS^{-/-} solution containing 1:3000 dilution of a sheep anti-mouse horse radish peroxidase (HRP) conjugated secondary antibody. Plates were then washed 3 times with PBS^{-/-} and incubated with 50 uls of TMB-HRP substrate (BioRad) for 15 minutes at room temperature to detect amount of antibody produced by each clone. Reactions were stopped by adding 50 uls of 500mM sodium bicarbonate and analyzed by OD at 450nm using a BioRad plate reader. Clones exhibiting an enhanced signal over background cells (H6 control cells) were then isolated and expanded into 10 ml cultures for additional characterization and confirmation of ELISA data in triplicate experiments. Clones that produce an increased ELISA signal and have increased antibody levels were then further analyzed for variants that over-express and/or over-secrete antibodies as described in Example 4. Analysis of five 96-well plates each from H6 or H34 cells have found that a significant number of clones with a higher Optimal Density (OD) value is observed in the MMR-defective H34 cells as compared to the H6 controls. Figure 1 shows a representative example of H34 clones producing enhanced levels of antibody. Figure 1 provides primary data from the analysis of 96 wells of fibroblast conditioned medium as negative control (lane 1), H6 (lane 2) or H34 (lane 3) cultures which shows clones from the H34 plate to have a higher OD reading due to genetic alteration of a cell host that leads to over-production/secretion of the antibody molecule.

[0067] Clones that produce higher OD values due to enhanced antibody production are sequenced to confirm that mutations have not occurred within the light or heavy chain cDNA.

Briefly, 100,000 cells are harvested and extracted for RNA using the Trizol method as described above. RNAs are reverse transcribed using Superscript II as suggested by the manufacturer (Life Technology) and PCR amplified for the full-length light and heavy chains.

[0068] These data demonstrate the ability to generate hypermutable hybridomas, or other Ig producing host cells that can be grown and selected, to identify subclones with enhanced antibody/Ig production due to putative structural alterations that have occurred within genome of the host cell that are involved in enhancing antibody production through increased gene expression, protein stability, processing or secretion. Clones can also be further expanded for subsequent rounds of *in vivo* mutations and can be screened yet higher titer clones due to the accumulation of mutations within additional gene(s) involved in enhancing production. Moreover, the use of chemical mutagens to produce additional genetic mutations in cells or whole organisms can enhance the mutation spectrum in MMR defective cells as compared to “normal” cells. The use of chemical mutagens such as MNU in MMR defective organisms is much more tolerable yielding to a 10 to 100 fold increase in genetic mutation over MMR deficiency alone (Bignami M, (2000) Unmasking a killer: DNA O(6)-methylguanine and the cytotoxicity of methylating agents. *Mutat. Res.* 462:71-82). This strategy allows for the use of chemical mutagens to be used in MMR-defective antibody producing cells as a method for increasing additional mutations within the host’s genome that may yield even higher titer producer strains.

Example 3: Use of high titer antibody/immunoglobulin producer cells to identify gene involved in enhancing antibody or secreted protein production.

[0069] High titer subclones of hybridomas or surrogate antibody/immunoglobulin gene producer cells can be used as a source for gene target discovery to identify genes involved in enhancing antibody titers for use in developing universal high titer production strains for manufacturing and/or for identifying target genes and pathways involved in up or down regulating immunoglobulin production for therapeutic development of immunological disorders such as allergy and inflammation. A benefit of using MMR derived mutants as compared to chemical or ionizing mutagenesis is the observation that cells that are defective for MMR have increased mutation rates yet retain their intact chromosomal profile (Lindor NM, Jalal SM, Van DeWalker TJ, Cunningham JM, Dahl RJ, Thibodeau SN. Search for chromosome instability in lymphocytes with germ-line mutations in DNA mismatch repair genes. *Cancer Genet Cytogenet* 1998 104:48-51). This feature makes genomic analysis of variants more straightforward because of the decreased background noise that is associated

with chemical and radiomutagenesis whereby whole increases and decreases of chromosomal content are associated with the mutagenesis process.

[0070] To identify variant gene(s) in high-titer antibody/Ig or derivative producer strains, DNA, RNA and proteins are compared for altered expression or structural patterns used by those skilled in the art. Such techniques employ single polynucleotide analysis (also referred to SNP analysis) which can recognize single nucleotide changes in transcripts of genomic or reverse transcribed RNA templates; microarray or subtractive analysis which can recognize differences in RNA expression profiles; or proteomic analysis which can identify differences in protein profiles between parental and variant lines. Once candidate DNA, transcript or proteins are identified candidates are validated for their role in over-production by: 1.) steady state RNA and/or protein levels and 2.) alteration (over-expression, suppression, and/or introduction of mutant gene) of candidate gene in parental cell line to demonstrate the ability of said candidate gene(s) to recapitulate the over-expression phenotype.

[0071] One method for detection of expression patterns among various alternatives, differential expression analysis of H6 parental and H34 high-titer lines, was performed using microarray methods. Analysis of steady state transcripts identified two genes (SEQ ID NO:1 and SEQ ID NO:2) whose expression is suppressed in the high titer H34 cell line. Expression analysis of both genes was carried out using reverse transcriptase coupled polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR). The putative genes encoded for the murine alpha-1-anti-trypsin (referred to as AAT) (SEQ ID NO:1, accession number I00556; Patent US 4732973; Patent: US 4732973-A 2) and the murine endothelial monocyte-activating polypeptide I (referred to as EMAPI) (SEQ ID NO:2 accession number U41341). RNAs were reverse transcribed as described (Nicolaidis, N.C. et al. (1995) Genomic organization of the human PMS2 gene family. Genomics 30:195-206). Sense and antisense primers were generated that can specifically amplify the AAT cDNA to yield a 540 bp product and EMAPI cDNA to yield a 272bp product as listed below while the dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR) cDNA was used as a control to monitor RNA integrity and reaction performance using primers as previously described (Nicolaidis, N.C., et.al. Interleukin 9: A candidate gene for asthma. 1997 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci USA 94:13175-13180).

Primers murine AAT and EMAP expression analysis

SEQ ID NO:3 AAT sense 5'-ttgaagaagccattcgatcc-3'

SEQ ID NO:4 AAT antisense 5'-tgaaaaggaaagggtggtcg-3'

SEQ ID NO:5 EMAPI sense 5'-atgcctacagagactgagag-3'

SEQ ID NO: 6 EMAPI antisense 5'-gattcgcttctgggaagtttg-3'

PCR reactions were carried out at 95 °C for 30 sec, 58°C for 1 min, 72°C for 1 min for 18 to 33 cycles to measure expression over a linear range. Figure 2 demonstrates a representative profile of steady state expression for the AAT and EMAPI genes in the H6 parental and H34 over-producer strain. As shown, a significant loss of expression was observed in the H34 over producer line for both AAT and EMAPI as compared to the parental control. DHFR expression levels were similar for both samples indicating intact RNA and equal loadings for both samples. These data suggest a roll for AAT and EMAPI in regulating antibody production in mammalian cells.

[0072] To confirm that these proteins or lack thereof are involved in regulating antibody production, we have isolated the full-length cDNAs for each gene to be cloned into the sense and/or antisense direction of a mammalian expression vector. Figure 3 shows the isolated cDNA and predicted encoded polypeptide for the murine alpha-1-anti-trypsin (Fig 3A) and the murine endothelial monocyte-activating polypeptide I (Fig 3B). Because of their possible role in regulating antibody or immunoglobulin production in mammalian systems we performed a blast search and identified AAT homologs from hamster (SEQ ID NO:7) , human (SEQ ID NO:8), rabbit (SEQ ID NO:9), rat (SEQ ID NO:10), and sheep (SEQ ID NO:11) (Fig 3C) and EMAPI homologs from rabbit (SEQ ID NO:12), dog (SEQ ID NO:13), human (SEQ ID NO:14), rat (SEQ ID NO:15), and pig (SEQ ID NO:16) (Fig 3D) that can be of use for enhancing antibody/immunoglobulin production from cells derived from any of these respective species.

[0073] To directly confirm the involvement of AAT and/or EMAPI in regulating antibody production, we generated mammalian expression vectors to produce sense and anti-sense RNAs in parental H6 or over-producer H34 cell lines. If suppression of either or both genes are involved in antibody production, then we would expect enhanced expression in parental lines when treated with antisense vectors that can suppress the AAT and/or EMAP expression levels. Conversely, we should expect to suppress antibody production levels in over producer H34 cells upon reestablished expression of either or both genes. Expression vectors were generated in pUC-based vectors containing the constitutively active elongation factor-1 promoter followed by the SV40 polyA signal. In addition, AAT vectors had a hygromycin selectable marker while EMAP vectors had neomycin selectable markers to allow for double transfection/selection for each vector.

[0074] Combinations of antisense AAT and EMAPI vectors were transfected into the parental H6 cell using polyliposomes as suggested by the manufacturer (Gibco/BRL) and stable lines were selected for using 0.5 mg/ml of hygromycinB and the neomycin analog G418. After two weeks of selection, stable clones were derived, expanded and analyzed for sense or antisense gene expression using northern and RT-PCR analysis. Positive clones expressing each vector were then expanded and tested for antibody production using ELISA analysis as described in EXAMPLE 2. Briefly, stable lines or controls were plated at 50,000 cells in 0.2 mls of growth medium per well in triplicates in 96 well microtiter dishes. Cells were incubated at 37°C in 5%CO₂ for 5 days and 50 uls of supernatant was assayed for antibody production. Figure 4A shows that H6 cells expressing the antisense AAT and EMAPI produce enhanced levels of antibody in contrast to parental control or H6 cells expressing sense AAT and EMAP1. Conversely, H34 cells (expressing enhanced antibody levels) expressing sense AAT and EMAPI were found to have suppressed antibody production in contrast to H6 parental expressing sense AAT and EMAPI (TABLE 2). These data demonstrate the involvement of AAT and EMAPI in regulating antibody production. Moreover, these data teach us of the use of modulating the expression or function of each of these genes for enhancing or suppressing antibody production for use in developing high titer protein manufacturing strains as well as their use in treating immunological disorders involving hyper or hypo immunoglobulin production.

TABLE 2. Antisense suppression of AAT and EMAPI results in enhanced antibody production in H6 cells. Restored AAT and EMAPI expression in H34 over-producer cells results in suppressed antibody production.

Cell Line	Antibody (ug/ml)
H6	13134 +/- 992
H6 AS AAT/EMAP	29138 +/- 880
H34	38452 +/- 1045
H34 sense AAT/EMAP	14421 +/- 726

EXAMPLE 5. Use of small molecules targeted against the alpha-1-anti-tyrpsin pathway for modulating antibody production.

[0075] The finding as taught by this application that increasing protease activity via suppressing a natural inhibitor such as alpha-1-antitrypsin may lead to increased antibody production suggests that molecules that alter protease activity may be useful for generating enhanced or suppressed immunoglobulin production from producer lines for use in increasing

productivity for manufacturing and/or for use in immunoglobulin regulation of immunological disease. To test the hypothesis, we first used a small molecule protease inhibitor called 4-(2-aminoethyl)-benzenesulfonyl fluoride (AEBSF), which is a potent trypsin inhibitor (Lawson WB, Valenty VB, Wos JD, Lobo AP. Studies on the inhibition of human thrombin: effects of plasma and plasma constituents. Folia Haematol Int Mag Klin Morphol Blutforsch 1982 109:52-60). Briefly, H34 cells were incubated for 1-3 days in the presence of 4mM AEBSF in 96 well plates and supernatants were tested for antibody production by ELISA. As shown in TABLE 3, H34 cells had a significant suppression of antibody production (0.031 ug/ml) as compared to untreated H34 cells (4.3 ug/ml).

[0076] Next, we tested the ability of antiserum directed against AAT (see Example 6 for generation of antiserum) to effect antibody production from H6 lines. If increased protease activity is associated with increased production, then sequestration of a protease inhibitor may increase antibody production. As shown in TABLE 3, H6 parental cells grown in the presence of anti-AAT had increased antibody production (2.6 ug/ml) as compared to H6 cells exposed to preimmune serum (1.6 ug/ml). These data imply the use of protease activators or inhibitors to modulate antibody production for manufacturing as well as to treat immune disorders associated with hyper or hypo immunoglobulin production.

TABLE 3. Antibody production from hybridomas incubated with protease inhibitors or inhibitors of natural proteases.

CELL LINE	TREATMENT	ANTIBODY PRODUCTION UNTREATED	ANTIBODY PRODUCTION TREATED
H34 AEBSF	AEBSF	4.3 ug/ml	0.031 ug/ml
H6	PREIMMUNE	-	1.6 ug/ml
H6	ANTI-ALPHA-1- ANTITRYPSIN	-	2.6 ug/ml

EXAMPLE 6. Use of antibodies to alpha-1-antitrypsin and/or endothelial monocyte-activating polypeptide I for screening of cell clones for enhanced or suppressed immunoglobulin production.

[0077] The associated lack of AAT and EMAPI expression with enhanced antibody production from producer strains is useful for screening for high antibody production strains. To demonstrate this utility, we generated monoclonal antiserum against the murine AAT and murine EMAPI protein using polypeptides (SEQ ID NO:17-AAT:(C)QSPIFVGKVVDPTHK

and SEQ ID NO:18-EMAPI (C)IACHDSFIQTSQKRI) derived from their respective translated proteins using methods used by those skilled in the art. We next tested the ability of these antisera to detect protein in the conditioned medium of H6 and H34 cells since both proteins are secreted polypeptides. Briefly, conditioned medium from 10,000 cells were prepared for western blot analysis to assay for steady state protein levels (Figure 4). Briefly, cells were pelleted by centrifugation and 100ul of conditioned supernatant were resuspended in 300 ul of SDS lysis buffer (60 mM Tris, pH 6.8, 2% SDS, 10% glycerol, 0.1 M 2-mercaptoethanol, 0.001% bromophenol blue) and boiled for 5 minutes. Proteins were separated by electrophoresis on 4-12% NuPAGE gels (for analysis of Ig heavy chain. Gels were electroblotted onto Immobilon-P (Millipore) in 48 mM Tris base, 40 mM glycine, 0.0375% SDS, 20% methanol and blocked at room temperature for 1 hour in Tris-buffered saline (TBS) plus 0.05% Tween-20 and 5% condensed milk. Filters were probed with a 1:1000 dilution of mouse anti-AAT or mouse anti-EMAP antiserum in TBS buffer for 1 hour at room temperature. Blots were washed three times in TBS buffer alone and probed with a 1:10000 dilution of sheep anti-mouse horseradish peroxidase conjugated monoclonal antibody in TBS buffer and detected by chemilluminescence using Supersignal substrate (Pierce). Experiments were repeated in duplicates to ensure reproducibility. Figure 4 shows a representative analysis where low producer H6 parental cells (Lane 1) had robust, steady-state AAT protein levels while no expression was observed in H34 over producer cells (Lane 2). These data suggest a method for screening of cell lines for expression of AAT or EMAP to identify high-titer producer strains that can be used to manufacture high levels of antibody or recombinant polypeptides.

[0078] The results described above lead to several conclusions. First, the use of mismatch repair defective cells can be used to generate high titer antibody producer cells. Secondly, the generation of high titer producer lines using this method can be used to identify gene(s) involved in increased antibody production. Finally, the methods that can modulate the expression and/or biological activity of the alpha-1-antitrypsin and/or endothelial monocyte-activating polypeptide I can be used to up or down-regulate antibody/immunoglobulin protein production in cells for manufacturing and/or the treatment of immunological-based disorders involving hyper or hypo immunoglobulin production (Shields, R.L., *et al.* (1995) Anti-IgE monoclonal antibodies that inhibit allergen-specific histamine release. *Int. Arch Allergy Immunol.* 107:412-413).

What is claimed is:


1. A method for identifying genes responsible for high titer antibody production comprising:
 - (a) inactivating mismatch repair of said antibody-producing cells, thereby forming hypermutable cells,
 - (b) screening said hypermutable cells for cells that produce higher titers of antibody as compared to said antibody-producing cells, and
 - (c) analyzing the genomes of said antibody-producing cells and said hypermutable cells, thereby identifying genes responsible for high titer antibody production.
2. The method of claim 1 wherein said antibody-producing cell produces intact antibodies.
3. The method of claim 1 wherein said antibody-producing cell comprises endogenous immunoglobulin genes.
4. The method of claim 1 wherein said antibody-producing cell comprises exogenous immunoglobulin genes.
5. The method of claim 1 wherein said antibody-producing cell produces derivatives of immunoglobulin genes.
6. The method of claim 1 wherein said step of inactivating mismatch repair comprises introducing into said antibody-producing cells a dominant negative allele of a mismatch repair gene.
7. The method of claim 1 wherein said step of inactivating mismatch repair comprises knocking out at least one mismatch repair gene of said antibody-producing cells.
8. The method of claim 1 wherein said step of inactivating mismatch repair comprises introducing an RNA interference molecule into said antibody-producing cells.
9. The method of claim 1 wherein said step of inactivating mismatch repair comprises introducing an antisense molecule against a mismatch repair gene into said antibody-producing cells.
10. The method of claim 6 wherein said allele comprises a truncation mutation.
11. The method of claim 1 wherein the step of screening comprises analyzing a nucleotide sequence of the genome of said cells as compared to the genome of untreated cells.
12. The method of claim 1 wherein the step of screening comprises analyzing mRNA expression levels and structure from said cell as compared to untreated cells.
13. The method of claim 1 wherein the step of testing comprises analyzing protein from the said cell as compared to untreated cells.

14. The method of claim 1 wherein the step of screening comprises analyzing the phenotype of said gene.
15. The method of claim 1 wherein said antibody-producing cell is a mismatch repair defective fertilized egg of a non-human animal.
16. The method of claim 15 further comprising the step of implanting said fertilized egg into a pseudo-pregnant female, whereby said fertilized egg develops into a mature transgenic animal.
17. A homogeneous culture of high titer antibody producing cells produced by a method comprising the steps of:
 - (a) inactivating mismatch repair of said antibody-producing cells, thereby forming hypermutable cells;
 - (b) screening said hypermutable cells for cells that produce higher titers of antibody as compared to said antibody-producing cells;
 - (c) culturing said hypermutable cells producing higher titers of antibody.
18. The culture of high titer antibody producing cells of claim 17 wherein the high titer antibody-producing cell is selected from the group consisting of a bacterial cell, a yeast cell, a plant cell, a mammalian cell, a mouse cell, a rat cell, a rabbit cell, a hamster cell, and a non-human primate cell.
19. A method for producing a high titer antibody producing cell comprising the step of modulating the expression of at least one gene involved in antibody production wherein said genes comprise alpha1-anti-trypsin and endothelial monocyte-activating polypeptide I.
20. The method of claim 19 wherein the cell is a hybridoma.
21. The method of claim 19 where in the cell is an epithelial cell.
22. The method of claim 19 where in the cell is ovarian.
23. The method of claim 19 where in the cell is a kidney cell.
24. The method of claim 19 where in the cell is a myeloid cell.
25. The method of claim 19 where in the cell is a lymphoid cell.
26. The method of claim 19 whereby said step of modulating comprises suppression of the expression of said genes by introducing an antisense oligonucleotide directed against at least one of said endothelial monocyte-activating polypeptide I and alpha-1-anti-trypsin genes.
27. The method of claim 19 whereby said step of modulating comprises suppression of the expression of said genes by introducing an expression vector comprising an antisense

- transcript directed against at least one of said endothelial monocyte-activating polypeptide I and alpha-1-anti-trypsin genes.
28. The method of claim 19 whereby said step of modulating comprises suppression of the expression of said genes by introducing a knock out targeting vector to disrupt the endogenous function of at least one of said endothelial monocyte-activating polypeptide I and alpha-1-anti-trypsin genes.
 29. The method of claim 19 whereby said step of modulating comprises suppression of the expression of said genes by introducing a polynucleotide comprising a ribozyme directed against at least one of said endothelial monocyte-activating polypeptide I and alpha-1-anti-trypsin genes.
 30. The method of claim 19 whereby suppression is achieved by introducing intracellular blocking antibodies against the product of said endothelial monocyte-activating polypeptide I and/or the alpha-1-anti-trypsin gene.
 31. The method of claim 29 whereby suppression is achieved by incubating cells with neutralizing antibody or derivatives thereof directed against the product of said genes in the growth medium.
 32. A method of modulating antibody production of cells comprising contacting said cells with protease inhibitors to decrease antibody production from antibody producer cells.
 33. The method of claim 59 where the inhibitor comprises pharmacological amounts of natural protease substrates.
 34. The method of claim 59 where said inhibitor is a blocking antibody to natural protease inhibitors.
 35. The method of claim 59 where the inhibitor is a blocking antibody to alpha-1-anti-trypsin.
 36. A method for selecting cells for high titer antibody production whereby growth medium of cells is analyzed for alpha-1-antitrypsin, where low levels are associated with high antibody titers.
 37. The method of claim 36 wherein alpha-1-antitrypsin RNA, wherein low levels of RNA is associated with high antibody titers.
 38. The method of claim 36 wherein alpha-1-antitrypsin protein, wherein low levels of RNA is associated with high antibody titers.
 39. A method for selecting for cells for high titer antibody production whereby growth medium of cells is analyzed for endothelial monocyte-activating polypeptide I, where low levels are associated with high antibody titers.

40. The method of claim 39 wherein endothelial monocyte-activating polypeptide I RNA, wherein low levels of RNA is associated with high antibody titers.
41. The method of claim 39 wherein endothelial monocyte-activating polypeptide I protein, wherein low levels of RNA is associated with high antibody titers.
42. A method for suppressing antibody production associated with hyperimmunoglobulin disease production comprising contacting said cells with at least one compound that increases endothelial monocyte-activating polypeptide I expression.
43. A method for suppressing antibody production associated with hyperimmunoglobulin disease production comprising contacting said cells with at least one compound that increases alpha-1-antitrypsin expression.
44. A method for enhancing antibody production associated with hyporimmunoglobulin disease production comprising contacting said cells with at least one compound that suppresses alpha-1-anti-trypsin expression activity.
45. The method of claim 44 wherein said compound decreases the activity of alpha-1-antitrypsin protein in said cells.
46. The method of claim 44 wherein said compound decreases the level of alpha-1-antitrypsin in said cells.
47. A method for enhancing antibody production associated with hyporimmunoglobulin disease production comprising contacting said cells with at least one compound that suppresses monocyte-activating polypeptide I expression activity.
48. The method of claim 47 wherein said compound decreases the activity of monocyte-activating polypeptide I protein in said cells.
49. The method of claim 47 wherein said compound decreases the level of monocyte-activating polypeptide I in said cells.
50. A host cell for the expression of antibody molecules or fragments thereof comprising a defect in the monocyte-activating polypeptide I gene such that expression of monocyte-activating polypeptide I is inhibited.
51. The host cell of claim 50 wherein said defect comprises a deletion of the monocyte-activating polypeptide I.
52. The host cell of claim 50 wherein said defect is a frameshift mutation in the monocyte-activating polypeptide I gene.
53. The host cell of claim 50 wherein said host cell comprises an expression vector comprising an antisense transcript of the monocyte-activating polypeptide I gene

- whereby expression of said antisense transcript suppresses the expression of the monocyte-activating polypeptide I gene.
54. The host cell of claim 50 wherein said host cell comprises a ribozyme that disrupts expression of the monocyte-activating polypeptide I gene.
 55. The host cell of claim 50 wherein said host cell comprises an intracellular neutralizing antibody against the monocyte-activating polypeptide I protein whereby said antibody suppresses the activity of monocyte-activating polypeptide I.
 56. A host cell for the expression of antibody molecules or fragments thereof comprising a defect in the alpha-1-antitrypsin gene such that expression of alpha-1-antitrypsin is inhibited.
 57. The host cell of claim 56 wherein said defect comprises a deletion of the alpha-1-antitrypsin.
 58. The host cell of claim 56 wherein said defect is a frameshift mutation in the alpha-1-antitrypsin gene.
 59. The host cell of claim 56 wherein said host cell comprises an expression vector comprising an antisense transcript of the alpha-1-antitrypsin gene whereby expression of said antisense transcript suppresses the expression of the alpha-1-antitrypsin gene.
 60. The host cell of claim 56 wherein said host cell comprises a ribozyme that disrupts expression of the alpha-1-antitrypsin gene.
 61. The host cell of claim 56 wherein said host cell comprises an intracellular neutralizing antibody against the alpha-1-antitrypsin protein whereby said antibody suppresses the activity of alpha-1-antitrypsin.
 62. The host cell of claim 61 further comprising an expression vector comprising a polynucleotide sequence encoding at least a portion of an antibody molecule.
 63. The host cell of claim 61 wherein said polynucleotide encodes at least an immunoglobulin light chain or fragment thereof.
 64. The host cell of claim 61 wherein said polynucleotide encodes at least an immunoglobulin heavy chain or fragment thereof.
 65. The method of claim 1 further comprising the step of restabilizing the genome of selected high titer antibody-producing cells.
 66. A culture of stable, high titer antibody-producing cells made by the method of claim 65.

**Fig. 1**




Fig. 2

Fig. 3A

Mouse alpha1-antitrypsin mRNA and polypeptide sequence

Met	Thr	Pro	Ser	Ile	Ser	Trp	Gly	Leu	Leu	Leu	Leu	Ala	Gly	Leu	Cys	Cys	Leu	Val	Pro
ATG	ACT	CCC	TCC	ATC	TCA	TGG	GGT	CTA	CTG	CTT	CTG	GCA	GGC	CTG	TGT	TGC	CTG	GTC	CCC
TAC	TGA	GGG	AGG	TAG	AGT	ACC	CCA	GAT	GAC	GAA	GAC	CGT	CCG	GAC	ACA	ACG	GAC	CAG	GGG
Ser	Phe	Leu	Ala	Glu	Asp	Val	Gln	Glu	Thr	Asp	Thr	Ser	Gln	Lys	Asp	Gln	Ser	Pro	Ala
AGC	TTT	CTG	GCT	GAG	GAT	GTT	CAG	GAG	ACA	GAC	ACC	TCC	CAG	AAG	GAT	CAG	TCC	CCA	GCC
TCG	AAA	GAC	CGA	CTC	CTA	CAA	GTC	CTC	TGT	CTG	TGG	AGG	GTC	TTC	CTA	GTC	AGG	GGT	CGG
Ser	His	Glu	Ile	Ala	Thr	Asn	Leu	Gly	Asp	Phe	Ala	Ile	Ser	Leu	Tyr	Arg	Glu	Leu	Val
TCC	CAT	GAG	ATC	GCT	ACA	AAC	CTG	GGA	GAC	TTT	GCA	ATC	AGC	CTA	TAC	CGG	GAG	CTG	GTC
AGG	GTA	CTC	TAG	CGA	TGT	TTG	GAC	CCT	CTG	AAA	CGT	TAG	TCG	GAT	ATG	GCC	CTC	GAC	CAG
His	Gln	Ser	Asn	Thr	Ser	Asn	Ile	Phe	Phe	Ser	Pro	Val	Ser	Ile	Ala	Thr	Ala	Phe	Ala
CAT	CAG	TCC	AAC	ACT	TCC	AAC	ATC	TTC	TTC	TCC	CCA	GTG	AGC	ATT	GCC	ACA	GCC	TTT	GCT
GTA	GTC	AGG	TTG	TGA	AGG	TTG	TAG	AAG	AAG	AGG	GGT	CAC	TCG	TAA	CGG	TGT	CGG	AAA	CGA
Met	Leu	Ser	Leu	Gly	Ser	Lys	Gly	Asp	Thr	His	Thr	Gln	Ile	Leu	Glu	Gly	Leu	Gln	Phe
ATG	CTC	TCC	CTA	GGG	AGC	AAG	GGT	GAC	ACT	CAC	ACG	CAG	ATC	CTA	GAG	GGC	CTG	CAG	TTC
TAC	GAG	AGG	GAT	CCC	TCG	TTC	CCA	CTG	TGA	GTG	TGC	GTC	TAG	GAT	CTC	CCG	GAC	GTC	AAG
Asn	Leu	Thr	Gln	Thr	Ser	Glu	Ala	Asp	Ile	His	Lys	Ser	Phe	Gln	His	Leu	Leu	Gln	Thr
AAC	CTC	ACA	CAA	ACA	TCG	GAG	GCT	GAC	ATC	CAC	AAG	TCC	TTC	CAA	CAC	CTC	CTC	CAA	ACC
TTG	GAG	TGT	GTT	TGT	AGC	CTC	CGA	CTG	TAG	GTG	TTC	AGG	AAG	GTT	GTG	GAG	GAG	GTT	TGG
Leu	Asn	Arg	Pro	Asp	Ser	Glu	Leu	Gln	Leu	Ser	Thr	Gly	Asn	Gly	Leu	Phe	Val	Asn	Asn
CTC	AAC	AGA	CCA	GAC	AGT	GAG	CTG	CAG	TTG	AGC	ACA	GGC	AAT	GGC	CTC	TTT	GTC	AAC	AAT
GAG	TTG	TCT	GGT	CTG	TCA	CTC	GAC	GTC	AAC	TCG	TGT	CCG	TTA	CCG	GAG	AAA	CAG	TTG	TTA
Asp	Leu	Lys	Leu	Val	Glu	Lys	Phe	Leu	Glu	Glu	Ala	Lys	Asn	His	Tyr	Gln	Ala	Glu	Val
GAC	CTG	AAG	CTG	GTG	GAG	AAG	TTT	CTG	GAA	GAG	GCC	AAG	AAC	CAT	TAT	CAG	GCA	GAA	GTC
CTG	GAC	TTC	GAC	CAC	CTC	TTC	AAA	GAC	CTT	CTC	CGG	TTC	TTG	GTA	ATA	GTC	CGT	CTT	CAG
Phe	Ser	Val	Asn	Phe	Ala	Glu	Ser	Glu	Glu	Ala	Lys	Lys	Val	Ile	Asn	Asp	Phe	Val	Glu
TTC	TCT	GTC	AAC	TTT	GCA	GAG	TCA	GAG	GAG	GCC	AAG	AAA	GTG	ATT	AAT	GAT	TTT	GTG	GAG
AAG	AGA	CAG	TTG	AAA	CGT	CTC	AGT	CTC	CTC	CGG	TTC	TTT	CAC	TAA	TTA	CTA	AAA	CAC	CTC
Lys	Gly	Thr	Gln	Gly	Lys	Ile	Val	Glu	Ala	Val	Lys	Glu	Leu	Asp	Gln	Asp	Thr	Val	Phe
AAG	GGA	ACC	CAA	GGA	AAG	ATA	GTT	GAG	GCA	GTG	AAA	GAA	CTG	GAC	CAA	GAC	ACA	GTT	TTC
TTC	CCT	TGG	GTT	CCT	TTC	TAT	CAA	CTC	CGT	CAC	TTT	CTT	GAC	CTG	GTT	CTG	TGT	CAA	AAG
Ala	Leu	Gly	Asn	Tyr	Ile	Leu	Phe	Lys	Gly	Lys	Trp	Lys	Lys	Pro	Phe	Asp	Pro	Glu	Asn
GCC	CTG	GGC	AAT	TAC	ATT	CTT	TTT	AAA	GGC	AAA	TGG	AAG	AAG	CCA	TTC	GAT	CCT	GAG	AAC
CGG	GAC	CCG	TTA	ATG	TAA	GAA	AAA	TTT	CCG	TTT	ACC	TTC	TTC	GGT	AAG	CTA	GGA	CTC	TTG
Thr	Glu	Glu	Ala	Glu	Phe	His	Val	Asp	Lys	Ser	Thr	Thr	Val	Lys	Val	Pro	Met	Met	Thr
ACT	GAA	GAA	GCT	GAG	TTC	CAC	GTG	GAC	AAG	TCC	ACC	ACG	GTG	AAG	GTG	CCC	ATG	ATG	ACC
TGA	CTT	CTT	CGA	CTC	AAG	GTG	CAC	CTG	TTC	AGG	TGG	TGC	CAC	TTC	CAC	GGG	TAC	TAC	TGG
Leu	Ser	Gly	Met	Leu	Asp	Val	His	His	Cys	Ser	Thr	Leu	Ser	Ser	Trp	Val	Leu	Leu	Met
CTC	TCG	GGC	ATG	CTT	GAT	GTG	CAC	CAT	TGC	AGC	ACA	CTC	TCC	AGC	TGG	GTG	CTG	CTG	ATG
GAG	AGC	CCG	TAC	GAA	CTA	CAC	GTG	GTA	ACG	TCG	TGT	GAG	AGG	TCG	ACC	CAC	GAC	GAC	TAC
Asp	Tyr	Ala	Gly	Asn	Ala	Ser	Ala	Val	Phe	Leu	Leu	Pro	Glu	Asp	Gly	Lys	Met	Gln	His
GAT	TAC	GCG	GGC	AAC	GCC	AGT	GCT	GTC	TTC	CTC	CTG	CCC	GAA	GAT	GGG	AAG	ATG	CAG	CAT
CTA	ATG	CGC	CCG	TTG	CGG	TCA	CGA	CAG	AAG	GAG	GAC	GGG	CTT	CTA	CCC	TTC	TAC	GTC	GTA

```

Leu Glu Gln Thr Leu Asn Lys Glu Leu Ile Ser Lys Ile Leu Leu Asn Arg Arg Arg Arg
CTG GAG CAA ACT CTC AAC AAG GAG CTC ATC TCT AAG ATC CTG CTA AAC AGG CGC AGA AGG
GAC CTC GTT TGA GAG TTG TTC CTC GAG TAG AGA TTC TAG GAC GAT TTG TCC GCG TCT TCC

Leu Val Gln Ile His Ile Pro Arg Leu Ser Ile Ser Gly Glu Tyr Asn Leu Lys Thr Leu
TTA GTC CAG ATC CAT ATC CCC AGA CTG TCC ATC TCT GGA GAA TAT AAC TTG AAG ACA CTC
AAT CAG GTC TAG GTA TAG GGG TCT GAC AGG TAG AGA CCT CTT ATA TTG AAC TTC TGT GAG

Met Ser Pro Leu Gly Ile Thr Arg Ile Phe Asn Asn Gly Ala Asp Leu Ser Gly Ile Thr
ATG AGT CCA CTG GGC ATC ACC CGG ATC TTC AAC AAT GGG GCT GAC CTC TCC GGA ATC ACA
TAC TCA GGT GAC CCG TAG TGG GCC TAG AAG TTG TTA CCC CGA CTG GAG AGG CCT TAG TGT

Glu Glu Asn Ala Pro Leu Lys Leu Ser Lys Ala Val His Lys Ala Val Leu Thr Ile Asp
GAG GAG AAT GCT CCC CTG AAG CTC AGC AAG GCT GTG CAT AAG GCT GTG CTG ACC ATC GAT
CTC CTC TTA CGA GGG GAC TTC GAG TCG TTC CGA CAC GTA TTC CGA CAC GAC TGG TAG CTA

Glu Thr Gly Thr Glu Ala Ala Ala Ala Thr Val Phe Glu Ala Val Pro Met Ser Met Pro
GAG ACA GGA ACA GAA GCT GCA GCA GCT ACA GTC TTT GAA GCC GTT CCT ATG TCT ATG CCC
CTC TGT CCT TGT CTT CGA CGT CGT CGA TGT CAG AAA CTT CGG CAA GGA TAC AGA TAC GGG

Pro Ile Leu Arg Phe Asp His Pro Phe Leu Phe Ile Ile Phe Glu Glu His Thr Gln Ser
CCT ATC CTG CGC TTC GAC CAC CCT TTC CTT TTT ATA ATA TTT GAA GAA CAC ACT CAG AGC
GGA TAG GAC GCG AAG CTG GTG GGA AAG GAA AAA TAT TAT AAA CTT CTT GTG TGA GTC TCG

Pro Ile Phe Val Gly Lys Val Val Asp Pro Thr His Lys ***
CCC ATC TTT GTG GGA AAA GTG GTA GAT CCC ACA CAT AAA TGA
GGG TAG AAA CAC CCT TTT CAC CAT CTA GGG TGT GTA TTT ACT

```

Figure 3B

Mouse EMAP mRNA and polypeptide sequence

Met	Pro	Thr	Glu	Thr	Glu	Arg	Cys	Ile	Glu	Ser	Leu	Ile	Ala	Val	Phe	Gln	Lys	Tyr	Ser
ATG	CCT	ACA	GAG	ACT	GAG	AGA	TGC	ATT	GAG	TCC	CTG	ATT	GCT	GTT	TTC	CAA	AAG	TAC	AGC
TAC	GGA	TGT	CTC	TGA	CTC	TCT	ACG	TAA	CTC	AGG	GAC	TAA	CGA	CAA	AAG	GTT	TTC	ATG	TCG
Gly	Lys	Asp	Gly	Asn	Asn	Thr	Gln	Leu	Ser	Lys	Thr	Glu	Phe	Leu	Ser	Phe	Met	Asn	Thr
GGG	AAG	GAT	GGA	AAC	AAC	ACT	CAA	CTC	TCC	AAA	ACT	GAA	TTC	CTT	TCC	TTC	ATG	AAC	ACA
CCC	TTC	CTA	CCT	TTG	TTG	TGA	GTT	GAG	AGG	TTT	TGA	CTT	AAG	GAA	AGG	AAG	TAC	TTG	TGT
Glu	Leu	Ala	Ala	Phe	Thr	Lys	Asn	Gln	Lys	Asp	Pro	Gly	Val	Leu	Asp	Arg	Met	Met	Lys
GAG	CTG	GCT	GCC	TTC	ACA	AAG	AAC	CAG	AAG	GAT	CCT	GGT	GTC	CTT	GAC	CGC	ATG	ATG	AAG
CTC	GAC	CGA	CGG	AAG	TGT	TTC	TTG	GTC	TTC	CTA	GGA	CCA	CAG	GAA	CTG	GCG	TAC	TAC	TTC
Lys	Leu	Asp	Leu	Asn	Cys	Asp	Gly	Gln	Leu	Asp	Phe	Gln	Glu	Phe	Leu	Asn	Leu	Ile	Gly
AAG	CTG	GAC	CTC	AAC	TGT	GAC	GGG	CAG	CTA	GAT	TTC	CAA	GAG	TTT	CTC	AAC	CTC	ATT	GGT
TTC	GAC	CTG	GAG	TTG	ACA	CTG	CCC	GTC	GAT	CTA	AAG	GTT	CTC	AAA	GAG	TTG	GAG	TAA	CCA
Gly	Leu	Ala	Ile	Ala	Cys	His	Asp	Ser	Phe	Ile	Gln	Thr	Ser	Gln	Lys	Arg	Ile	***	
GGC	TTA	GCT	ATA	GCG	TGC	CAT	GAT	TCT	TTC	ATC	CAA	ACT	TCC	CAG	AAG	CGA	ATC	TAA	
CCG	AAT	CGA	TAT	CGC	ACG	GTA	CTA	AGA	AAG	TAG	GTT	TGA	AGG	GTC	TTC	GCT	TAG	ATT	

[illegible]

		361	420
hamster AAT	(314)	TTAGAGGGGCTGGGCTTCAACCTCACAGAGATAGC	GAGGCTGAGATCCACAAAGGCTTCC
human AAT	(313)	TTAGAGGGGCTGGGCTTCAACCTCACAGAGATAGC	GAGGCTGAGATCCACAAAGGCTTCC
mouse AAT	(284)	TTAGAGGGGCTGGGCTTCAACCTCACAGAGATAGC	GAGGCTGAGATCCACAAAGGCTTCC
rabbit AAT	(343)	TTAGAGGGGCTGGGCTTCAACCTCACAGAGATAGC	GAGGCTGAGATCCACAAAGGCTTCC
rat AAT	(277)	TTAGAGGGGCTGGGCTTCAACCTCACAGAGATAGC	GAGGCTGAGATCCACAAAGGCTTCC
sheep AAT	(301)	TTAGAGGGGCTGGGCTTCAACCTCACAGAGATAGC	GAGGCTGAGATCCACAAAGGCTTCC
Consensus	(361)	TTAGAGGGGCTGGGCTTCAACCTCACAGAGATAGC	GAGGCTGAGATCCACAAAGGCTTCC
		421	480
hamster AAT	(374)	TTAGAGGGGCTGGGCTTCAACCTCACAGAGATAGC	GAGGCTGAGATCCACAAAGGCTTCC
human AAT	(373)	TTAGAGGGGCTGGGCTTCAACCTCACAGAGATAGC	GAGGCTGAGATCCACAAAGGCTTCC
mouse AAT	(344)	TTAGAGGGGCTGGGCTTCAACCTCACAGAGATAGC	GAGGCTGAGATCCACAAAGGCTTCC
rabbit AAT	(403)	TTAGAGGGGCTGGGCTTCAACCTCACAGAGATAGC	GAGGCTGAGATCCACAAAGGCTTCC
rat AAT	(337)	TTAGAGGGGCTGGGCTTCAACCTCACAGAGATAGC	GAGGCTGAGATCCACAAAGGCTTCC
sheep AAT	(361)	TTAGAGGGGCTGGGCTTCAACCTCACAGAGATAGC	GAGGCTGAGATCCACAAAGGCTTCC
Consensus	(421)	TTAGAGGGGCTGGGCTTCAACCTCACAGAGATAGC	GAGGCTGAGATCCACAAAGGCTTCC
		481	540
hamster AAT	(434)	TTAGAGGGGCTGGGCTTCAACCTCACAGAGATAGC	GAGGCTGAGATCCACAAAGGCTTCC
human AAT	(433)	TTAGAGGGGCTGGGCTTCAACCTCACAGAGATAGC	GAGGCTGAGATCCACAAAGGCTTCC
mouse AAT	(404)	TTAGAGGGGCTGGGCTTCAACCTCACAGAGATAGC	GAGGCTGAGATCCACAAAGGCTTCC
rabbit AAT	(463)	TTAGAGGGGCTGGGCTTCAACCTCACAGAGATAGC	GAGGCTGAGATCCACAAAGGCTTCC
rat AAT	(397)	TTAGAGGGGCTGGGCTTCAACCTCACAGAGATAGC	GAGGCTGAGATCCACAAAGGCTTCC
sheep AAT	(421)	TTAGAGGGGCTGGGCTTCAACCTCACAGAGATAGC	GAGGCTGAGATCCACAAAGGCTTCC
Consensus	(481)	TTAGAGGGGCTGGGCTTCAACCTCACAGAGATAGC	GAGGCTGAGATCCACAAAGGCTTCC
		541	600
hamster AAT	(494)	TTAGAGGGGCTGGGCTTCAACCTCACAGAGATAGC	GAGGCTGAGATCCACAAAGGCTTCC
human AAT	(493)	TTAGAGGGGCTGGGCTTCAACCTCACAGAGATAGC	GAGGCTGAGATCCACAAAGGCTTCC
mouse AAT	(464)	TTAGAGGGGCTGGGCTTCAACCTCACAGAGATAGC	GAGGCTGAGATCCACAAAGGCTTCC
rabbit AAT	(523)	TTAGAGGGGCTGGGCTTCAACCTCACAGAGATAGC	GAGGCTGAGATCCACAAAGGCTTCC
rat AAT	(457)	TTAGAGGGGCTGGGCTTCAACCTCACAGAGATAGC	GAGGCTGAGATCCACAAAGGCTTCC
sheep AAT	(481)	TTAGAGGGGCTGGGCTTCAACCTCACAGAGATAGC	GAGGCTGAGATCCACAAAGGCTTCC
Consensus	(541)	TTAGAGGGGCTGGGCTTCAACCTCACAGAGATAGC	GAGGCTGAGATCCACAAAGGCTTCC
		601	660
hamster AAT	(554)	TTAGAGGGGCTGGGCTTCAACCTCACAGAGATAGC	GAGGCTGAGATCCACAAAGGCTTCC
human AAT	(553)	TTAGAGGGGCTGGGCTTCAACCTCACAGAGATAGC	GAGGCTGAGATCCACAAAGGCTTCC
mouse AAT	(524)	TTAGAGGGGCTGGGCTTCAACCTCACAGAGATAGC	GAGGCTGAGATCCACAAAGGCTTCC
rabbit AAT	(583)	TTAGAGGGGCTGGGCTTCAACCTCACAGAGATAGC	GAGGCTGAGATCCACAAAGGCTTCC
rat AAT	(517)	TTAGAGGGGCTGGGCTTCAACCTCACAGAGATAGC	GAGGCTGAGATCCACAAAGGCTTCC
sheep AAT	(541)	TTAGAGGGGCTGGGCTTCAACCTCACAGAGATAGC	GAGGCTGAGATCCACAAAGGCTTCC
Consensus	(601)	TTAGAGGGGCTGGGCTTCAACCTCACAGAGATAGC	GAGGCTGAGATCCACAAAGGCTTCC
		661	720
hamster AAT	(614)	TTAGAGGGGCTGGGCTTCAACCTCACAGAGATAGC	GAGGCTGAGATCCACAAAGGCTTCC
human AAT	(613)	TTAGAGGGGCTGGGCTTCAACCTCACAGAGATAGC	GAGGCTGAGATCCACAAAGGCTTCC
mouse AAT	(584)	TTAGAGGGGCTGGGCTTCAACCTCACAGAGATAGC	GAGGCTGAGATCCACAAAGGCTTCC
rabbit AAT	(643)	TTAGAGGGGCTGGGCTTCAACCTCACAGAGATAGC	GAGGCTGAGATCCACAAAGGCTTCC
rat AAT	(577)	TTAGAGGGGCTGGGCTTCAACCTCACAGAGATAGC	GAGGCTGAGATCCACAAAGGCTTCC
sheep AAT	(601)	TTAGAGGGGCTGGGCTTCAACCTCACAGAGATAGC	GAGGCTGAGATCCACAAAGGCTTCC
Consensus	(661)	TTAGAGGGGCTGGGCTTCAACCTCACAGAGATAGC	GAGGCTGAGATCCACAAAGGCTTCC

		1081	1140
hamster AAT	(1034)	ACCTTACTTCCCAATGACAGAGCA	TTTCCGCTGACGCTTGGACGCTTCCGAAATACG
human AAT	(1033)	ACCTTACTTCCCAATGACAGAGCA	TTTCCGCTGACGCTTGGACGCTTCCGAAATACG
mouse AAT	(1004)	ACCTTACTTCCCAATGACAGAGCA	TTTCCGCTGACGCTTGGACGCTTCCGAAATACG
rabbit AAT	(1063)	ACCTTACTTCCCAATGACAGAGCA	TTTCCGCTGACGCTTGGACGCTTCCGAAATACG
rat AAT	(997)	ACCTTACTTCCCAATGACAGAGCA	TTTCCGCTGACGCTTGGACGCTTCCGAAATACG
sheep AAT	(1021)	ACCTTACTTCCCAATGACAGAGCA	TTTCCGCTGACGCTTGGACGCTTCCGAAATACG
Consensus	(1081)	ACCTCTC GGGATCACAGAGGA	TGC CCCCTGAAGCT TGCAAGGCTGTGCATAAGG
		1141	1200
hamster AAT	(1091)	CTGTGCTGACCATCGATGAGAGAGGGACAGAAGCTGCAGGGGCCACATTT	TGGAAGCCG
human AAT	(1090)	CTGTGCTGACCATCGATGAGAGAGGGACAGAAGCTGCAGGGGCCACATTT	TGGAAGCCG
mouse AAT	(1064)	CTGTGCTGACCATCGATGAGAGAGGGACAGAAGCTGCAGGGGCCACATTT	TGGAAGCCG
rabbit AAT	(1120)	CTGTGCTGACCATCGATGAGAGAGGGACAGAAGCTGCAGGGGCCACATTT	TGGAAGCCG
rat AAT	(1054)	CTGTGCTGACCATCGATGAGAGAGGGACAGAAGCTGCAGGGGCCACATTT	TGGAAGCCG
sheep AAT	(1078)	CTGTGCTGACCATCGATGAGAGAGGGACAGAAGCTGCAGGGGCCACATTT	TGGAAGCCG
Consensus	(1141)	CTGTGCTGACCATCGATGAGAGAGGGACAGAAGCTGCAGGGGCCACATTT	TGGAAGCCG
		1201	1260
hamster AAT	(1151)	TCCCATGTCTATGCCCTGAGGTGAAGTTCGACAGCCCTTTCCTTTTCATAATATTG	
human AAT	(1150)	TCCCATGTCTATGCCCTGAGGTGAAGTTCGACAGCCCTTTCCTTTTCATAATATTG	
mouse AAT	(1124)	TCCCATGTCTATGCCCTGAGGTGAAGTTCGACAGCCCTTTCCTTTTCATAATATTG	
rabbit AAT	(1180)	TCCCATGTCTATGCCCTGAGGTGAAGTTCGACAGCCCTTTCCTTTTCATAATATTG	
rat AAT	(1114)	TCCCATGTCTATGCCCTGAGGTGAAGTTCGACAGCCCTTTCCTTTTCATAATATTG	
sheep AAT	(1138)	TCCCATGTCTATGCCCTGAGGTGAAGTTCGACAGCCCTTTCCTTTTCATAATATTG	
Consensus	(1201)	TCCCATGTCTATGCCCTGAGGTGAAGTTCGACAGCCCTTTCCTTTTCATAATATTG	
		1261	1320
hamster AAT	(1211)	AA AACAGA CCAAGAGTCCCCTCTTTGTGGGAAAAGTGGTGGATCCCACCCAT AAT	
human AAT	(1210)	AA AACAGA CCAAGAGTCCCCTCTTTGTGGGAAAAGTGGTGGATCCCACCCAT AAT	
mouse AAT	(1184)	AA AACAGA CCAAGAGTCCCCTCTTTGTGGGAAAAGTGGTGGATCCCACCCAT AAT	
rabbit AAT	(1240)	AA AACAGA CCAAGAGTCCCCTCTTTGTGGGAAAAGTGGTGGATCCCACCCAT AAT	
rat AAT	(1174)	AA AACAGA CCAAGAGTCCCCTCTTTGTGGGAAAAGTGGTGGATCCCACCCAT AAT	
sheep AAT	(1198)	AA AACAGA CCAAGAGTCCCCTCTTTGTGGGAAAAGTGGTGGATCCCACCCAT AAT	
Consensus	(1261)	AA AACAGA CCAAGAGTCCCCTCTTTGTGGGAAAAGTGGTGGATCCCACCCAT AAT	
		1321	1380
hamster AAT	(1271)	AACTGCCTCTCGG ACATC CATCCCTTC G CC GGTCCCCT CCCC ATGA	
human AAT	(1267)	AACTGCCTCTCGG ACATC CATCCCTTC G CC GGTCCCCT CCCC ATGA	
mouse AAT	(1241)	AACTGCCTCTCGG ACATC CATCCCTTC G CC GGTCCCCT CCCC ATGA	
rabbit AAT	(1297)	AACTGCCTCTCGG ACATC CATCCCTTC G CC GGTCCCCT CCCC ATGA	
rat AAT	(1231)	AACTGCCTCTCGG ACATC CATCCCTTC G CC GGTCCCCT CCCC ATGA	
sheep AAT	(1255)	AACTGCCTCTCGG ACATC CATCCCTTC G CC GGTCCCCT CCCC ATGA	
Consensus	(1321)	AACTGCCTCTCGG ACATC CATCCCTTC G CC GGTCCCCT CCCC ATGA	
		1381	1435
hamster AAT	(1324)	CATTAAA GGCTG CCTGG	
human AAT	(1323)	CATTAAA GGCTG CCTGG	
mouse AAT	(1243)	CATTAAA GGCTG CCTGG	
rabbit AAT	(1354)	CATTAAA GGCTG CCTGG	
rat AAT	(1282)	CATTAAA GGCTG CCTGG	
sheep AAT	(1315)	CATTAAA GGCTG CCTGG	
Consensus	(1381)	CATTAAA GGCTG CCTGG	

mouse alpha1-antitrypsin polypeptide sequence

```

1  MTPSISWGLL LLAGLCLVP SFLAEDVQET DTSQKDQSPA SHEIATNLGD FAISLYRELV
61  HQSNTSNIFF SPVSIATAFA MLSLGSKGDH HTQILEGLQF NLTQTSEADI HKSFOHLLQT
121 LNRPDSELQL STGNGLFVNN DLKLVEKFLE EAKNHYQAEV FSVNFAESEE AKKVINDFVE
181 KGTQGKIVEA VKELDQDTV FALGNYILFKG KWKKPFDPEN TEEAEFHVDK STTVKVPMMT
241 LSGMLDVHHC STLSSWVLLM DYAGNASAVF LLPEDGKMOH LEQTLNKELI SKILLNRRRR
301 LVQIHIPRLS ISGEYNLKIT MSPLGITRIF NNGADLSGIT EENAPLKLSK AVHKAVLTID
361 ETGTEAAAAT VFEAVPMSMP PILRFDHPFL FIIFEEHTQS PIFVGKVVDP THK*

```

Alignment of homologous protein sequences from other species

	1	60
mouse AAT	(1) MTPSISWGLLLLAGLCLVP SFLAEDVQ-----ETDTSQKDQS-PASHEIATNLGDFAIS	
rat AAT	(1) -APSHGGSCFWQPCAWPPASIRMPRKP-IPPSRTRVQPTVRFLQWQTLPSAYTGSYS	
human AAT	(1) -MPSVSWGILLAGLCLVPVSFAEDPQGDAQKTDTSHHDOHPTFNKITPNLAFAFS	
sheep AAT	(1) MALSIIRGLLLLAALCLAPISFAGVLQGHAVQETDDAHQEA--CHKIAPNLANFAFS	
hamster AAT	(1) MKPISWGLLLLAGLCLVP SFLAEDAQ-----ETDASKQDQHQACCKIAPNLAFEFEN	
rabbit AAT	(1) MPPISIRGLLLLAGLCLPGFADDAQ-----ETAVSSHQIRPACHSIAPSLVFALS	
Consensus	(1) M PSIS GLLLAGLCLVP SFLAED Q ETD S HDQD PACHKIAPNLADFAFS	
	61	120
mouse AAT	(55) LYRELVHQSNTSNIFFSPVSIATAFAMLSLGSKGDHTQILEGLQFNLTQTSEADIIHKSF	
rat AAT	(59) NPIHPTSISPL-ASPOSPCPWGARRVIANF-RAWSSTSHRYLRITSTRPSITSSKL	
human AAT	(60) LYROLAHQSNTSNIFFSPVSIATAFAMLSLGSKGDHTHDEILEGLNFNIEIPEAQIHEGF	
sheep AAT	(59) LYHKLAHQSNTSNIFFSPVSIATAFAMLSLGSKAGNTHTEILEGLGFNIELAEAIHKG	
hamster AAT	(56) LYRELVHQSNTTNIFFSPVSIATAFAMLSLGSKGVTHHTQILEGLGFNIEIAEAHKG	
rabbit AAT	(56) LYRELARENTTNIFFSPVSIATAFAMLSLGSKAGDHTHTQILEGLGFNIEIAEAQIHG	
Consensus	(61) LYRELAHQSNTTNIFFSPVSIATAFAMLSLGTGKGDHTQILEGL FNLTETAEAIEHKG	
	121	180
mouse AAT	(115) QHLLQTLNRPDSELQLSTGNGLFVNNDLKLVEKFLE EAKNHYQAEVFSVNFAESEEAKKV	
rat AAT	(117) STGQTVSCS-----TQPMISLSIRI-SWWSRHWKRSITTTQKPSLSTLPTQKRLK-	
human AAT	(120) QELLRTLNPDSQLQLTTGNGLFVSEGLKLVDKLEEDVKKLYHSEAFVNFQDHEEAKKQ	
sheep AAT	(119) QHLLHTLNQPNHQLQLTTGNGLFVNESAKLVDTLEEDVKNLEHSAFSENFDRDEEAKK	
hamster AAT	(116) HNLLQTFNRPDNELQLTTGNGLFVHNNLKLVDKLEEDVKNDYHSEAFSVNFTDDEEAKKV	
rabbit AAT	(116) RHLLHTNRPDSELQLAAGNLVSEENLKLQHKLEDAKNLYQSEAFVDFRDPEQAKTK	
Consensus	(121) QHLL TLNRPDSELQLTTGNGLFISE LKLVDKFLVDVKNLYHSEAFSVNF DSEEAKK	
	181	240
mouse AAT	(175) INDFVEKGTQGKIVAVKELDQDTV FALGNYILFKGKWKKPFDPENTEEAEFHVDKSTTV	
rat AAT	(168) MIM-RPEPKEE-RI--NSWTTRFPW-ITFSLSGRGHSISTLGMTT-TSPPO-	
human AAT	(180) INDFVEKGTQGKIVDLVKELDDTVFALVNYIFFGKWEKPFEPKDEEDHVDQVTTV	
sheep AAT	(179) INDFVEKGSHGKIVDLVKELDDTVFALVNYISFGKWEKPFEPHTTERDHFVNEQTTV	
hamster AAT	(176) INDFVEKGTQGKIVDLVKELDDTVFALVNYIFFGKWKPFEPADNTEEADHVDKSTTV	
rabbit AAT	(176) INSHVEKGTGRKIVDLVQELDARTFALVNYIFFGKWEKPFEPENTKEEDHVDASTTV	
Consensus	(181) INDFVEKGTQGKIVDLVKELDKDTVLALVNYIFFGKWEKPFEPENTEE DFHVD TTV	
	241	300
mouse AAT	(235) KVF----MMLSGMLDVHHCSTLSSWVLLMDYAGNASAVFLLPEDGKMOHLEQTLNKELI	
rat AAT	(221) KCF--TAWACLTCTIAAHCPAGC--WITWATPLP-SGSCPMARCSIWSKSPRISFPGS	
human AAT	(240) KVF----MMKRLGMFNDHCHCKLSSWVLLMKYLGNTAIFFLPDGKLOHLEHETLHDI	
sheep AAT	(239) KVF----MMNRLGMFDHCHCDKLSSWVLLMDYAGNVTAIFFLPDGKLOHLEHETLHDI	
hamster AAT	(236) KVF----MMRLGMFDHCHVSTLSSWVLLMDYLGNTAIFFLPDGKLOHLEHETLHDI	
rabbit AAT	(236) KVF----MMRLGMFDHCHVSTLSSWVLLMDYKGNATAIFFLPDGKLOHLEHETLHDI	
Consensus	(241) KVP MMSRLGMFDVHHCSTLSSWVLLMDYLGNTAIFILPDDGKLOHLEQTLN ELI	

```

301
mouse AAT (291) SKILLRRRLVQHLLPRSSISGEYNLKTMSPLGITRFNNGADLSGITEENAPLKLSK 360
rat AAT (276) C-TGKGQPFSTSPNCPSEIPIT-RHS-AHWSPSSSTMLISLSQRP-----SLAR
human AAT (296) TKFLENEDRRSASSHLLKNSIIGTYDLKVLGQLGITVFSNGADLSGITEE-APLKLSK
sheep AAT (295) PKFLEKRYASSANHLKNSISISETYDLKTMLGELGINVFSNGADLSGITEE-QPLMISK
hamster AAT (292) GKFLKDHTRSANVHFKNSISGTYNLKTALDPLGITQVFSNGADLSGITEE-VPLKLK
rabbit AAT (292) PKFLAKSSFRSVLVRFKNSISGTYDLKPLGKLGITQVFSNADLSGITEQ-EALKSQ
Consensus (301) AKFL NR RSASLHLPKLSISGTYDLKTLG LGITRVFSNGADLSGITEE PLKLSK

361
mouse AAT (351) AVHKAVLTIDETGTEAAATVFEAMPMSLPPIRFDHPFLFIIIEHTQS-PFVGVKVV 420
rat AAT (328) LCIRLC-P-MRGEORLQEPLEWRPSPCLCLK-SSTLSFS--LNQKLPSLAEK--LP
human AAT (355) AVHKAVLTIDEKGTEAAGAMEEAIPMSLPVKNPFVFMIEQTKS-PLFVGKVVN
sheep AAT (354) AHHKAALTIDEKGTEAAGATEEAIPMSLPVFNPFVFCIYRNTKS-PLFVGKVVN
hamster AAT (351) AVHKAVLTIDEKGTEAAGATEEIIIPMSLPVNFNSPFVFIYRNTAKSPLFVGKVV
rabbit AAT (351) AHHKVLTIDEKGTEAAGATEEYLYSLPQVTFDPFLFVIYSHEVKS-PLFVGKVV
Consensus (361) AVHKAVLTIDEKGTEAAGATELEAIPMSMPPEV FNRPFIFIYD NTKS PLFVGKVV

421
mouse AAT (410) PTHK-
rat AAT (381) HV---
human AAT (414) PTQK-
sheep AAT (413) PTQA-
hamster AAT (411) PTR--
rabbit AAT (410) PTQH-
Consensus (421) PTQ

```

[illegible]

```

                                361                                420
rabbit EMAP (184) -----
dog EMAP (329) CTTCCCAATCATCACATCCTTCTCACATCCTACACAGACCTGAGCCCACAGTGTCCACCA
human EMAP (283) -----
rat EMAP (271) -----
pig EMAP (301) -----
mouse EMAP (298) -----
Consensus (361) -----

```

```

                                421                                460
rabbit EMAP (184) -----
dog EMAP (389) CCCTGTGCAGGCCAGTCCTGCTGGTAGTGAATAAAGCAAT
human EMAP (283) -----
rat EMAP (271) -----
pig EMAP (301) -----
mouse EMAP (298) -----
Consensus (421) -----

```

Mouse EMAP protein sequence

```

1  MPTETERCIE SLIAVFQKYS GKDGNNNTQLS KTEFLSEFMT ELAAFTKNQK DPGVLDMMK
61 KDLNCDGQL DFQEFNLIG GLAIACHDSF IQTSQKRI*

```

Alignment of homologous protein sequences from other species

```


                                1                                60
dog EMAP (1) -----TRSLIAVFQKYGKDGNNNTLSKTEFLSEFMT ELAAFTKNQKDPGVLDLDR
mouse EMAP (1) ---MPTETERCIESLIAVFQKYS GKDGNNNTQLSKTEFLSEFMT ELAAFTKNQKDPGVLDLDR
rabbit EMAP (1) -----FAVFQKYAGKDGHSVTLSKTEFLSEFMT ELAAFTKNQKDPGVLDLDR
human EMAP (1) ---MLTELEKALNSIDVGHKYSLIKGNFHAYRDILKKLDELCPQIISKK----GADV
rat EMAP (1) ---MATELEKALNSIEVGHNYSGIKNHHALYEDFRKMTLCPQFVQNK----NTES
pig EMAP (1) MAKRPETERCIESLIAVFQKYGKDGNNNTLSKTEFLSEFMT ELAAFTKNQKDPGVLDLDR
Consensus (1) M TE EK I SLIAVFQKYAGKDGNN LSKTEFLSEFMNTELAFTKNQKDPGVLDLDR

```

```

                                61                                102
dog EMAP (50) MMKKLNLNSGQLDFQEFNLIGGLAIACHDSFTSPHFRK-
mouse EMAP (58) MMKKLNLNCDGQLDFQEFNLIGGLAIACHDSFIQTSQKRI-
rabbit EMAP (46) MMKKLNLNSGQLDFQ-----
human EMAP (54) WFEEDPNDGALNDFQEFLLIKMGAAHKKSHKE--
rat EMAP (54) TFEEDPVNSNAINDEEFLALVIRGMAAHKDSHKE-----
pig EMAP (61) MMKKLNLDSGQLDFQEFNLIGGLAIACHDSFIKTSQK---
Consensus (61) MMKKLNLNSDGQLDFQEFNLIGGLAIACHDSF KSS K

```

**Fig. 4**