



(51) International Patent Classification:
E21D 21/00 (2006.01)

(21) International Application Number:
PCT/ZA2018/050060

(22) International Filing Date:
28 November 2018 (28.11.2018)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:
2017/08057 28 November 2017 (28.11.2017) ZA

(71) Applicant: **SETEVOX (PTY) LTD** [ZA/ZA]; 323 Lynwood Road, Menlo Park, 0081 Pretoria (ZA).

(72) Inventors: **VENTER, Johann Adriaan**; 5 Fish Eagle Street, House 1, Three Rivers, Vereeniging 1929 (SA). **DU PLESSIS, Eckardt Rocco**; 36 Suikerbos Drive, Lydiana, Pretoria 0184 (SA). **ABREU, Rual**; 109 Adcock Ingram Avenue, Aeroton, Johannesburg 1451 (SA).

(74) Agent: **HAHN & HAHN INC.**; 222 Richard Street, 0028 Cape Town (ZA).

(81) Designated States (*unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available*): AE, AG, AL, AM, AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BN, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DJ, DK, DM, DO,

DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IR, IS, JO, JP, KE, KG, KH, KN, KP, KR, KW, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PA, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, QA, RO, RS, RU, RW, SA, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (*unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available*): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, RW, SD, SL, ST, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, RU, TJ, TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, RS, SE, SI, SK, SM, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, KM, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

- with international search report (Art. 21(3))
- in black and white; the international application as filed contained color or greyscale and is available for download from PATENTSCOPE

(54) Title: NON-METALLIC SPLIT SET ROCKBOLT




Fig 2

(57) Abstract: The invention provides a split set type composite rockbolt (10) which has a slot (22) extending from a chamfered tip (24) of a tubular body portion (26) of the rockbolt (10) and through a neck portion (28) partway to a collar portion (18). The rockbolt is made of a composite material which has a resin medium with longitudinal and circumferential fibres.

NON-METALLIC SPLIT SET ROCKBOLT

The entire contents of South African Patent Application 2017/08057 of 28 November 2017 from which this application claims priority, including the entire description, drawings, graphs, and photographs therein are incorporated in this specification by reference as if specifically reproduced herein.

Field of the Invention

The invention relates to rockbolts used in mining, construction, tunnelling, and the like. In particular the invention relates to a split set friction composite rockbolt and non-metallic components there for.

Background to the invention

Split type rock bolts have been known since the 1970's and a review of these rockbolts can be found in a paper entitled "investigation of Expanding Split Sets" by GR Davison and PG Fuller and published in "Ground Support 2013 — Y. Potvin and B. Brady (eds) © 2013 Australian Centre for Geomechanics, Perth, ISBN 978-0-9806154-7-0" which can be found at https://papers.acg.uwa.edu.au/p/1304_09_Davison/.

These split type rockbolts, often referred to as split set rockbolts, were invariably made of steel.

In the above reference the authors describe the working of these metallic split type rock bolts and their advantages as follows in the abstract to the paper:

"Expanding rockbolts anchor to the rock mass using friction and mechanical interlocking at the rockbolt interface. An internally expanding friction rockbolt is capable of generating much higher radial force along its entire length than standard friction bolts. This results in increased friction between the rock mass and the friction bolt. Tubular form expanding friction bolts have never gained a following in Australia due to perceived installation issues, relatively low tensile

strength and corrosion problems associated with the thin expansion walls. This paper shows that Jumbo installation of expanding friction rockbolts is now possible with the same ease as traditional 'Split Set' style friction bolts. The expanding friction bolt discussed in this paper has the same material properties as conventional friction bolts, but provides increased corrosion protection and increased anchorage capacity per embedded metre due to the expanding properties of its grout core."

Summary of the Invention

10

In this specification, unless explicitly stated or the context clearly indicates to the contrary, a composite material is to be understood as being a combination of resinous matrix or binder reinforced with fibres (short or continuous fibres and fillers) in varying orientations from 0 deg. (parallel to bolt longitudinal axis) to 90 deg. (circumferential orientation perpendicular to bolt longitudinal axis) or random orientated short fibres.

15

According to a first aspect of the invention, there is provided a non-metallic collar for a rockbolt.

20

The collar may be made of a polymeric or composite material.

25

The collar may be made up of three or more layers, wherein a first inner layer includes circumferential fibres in a resinous medium creating a wedge, a second layer includes longitudinal fibres in a resinous medium extending over the wedge to the driving end of the collar where the rockbolt will be driven from by a driving force such as hammering, and a third layer again includes circumferential fibres in a resinous medium creating a counter wedge or ring to enable clamping of the longitudinal fibres when pulling on the collar with a force.

30

The collar may be tubular.

The collar may be hollow.

The collar may be solid.

The first inner layer fibres may include only circumferential fibres, the second layer fibres may include from 1% to 69% by count of circumferential fibres and
5 the balance of the fibres being longitudinal fibres, and the third outer layer fibres may include only circumferential fibres.

In the specification, with reference to fibre orientation, unless the context clearly indicates the contrary, "longitudinal fibres" are fibres which extend at angles in
10 the range of -30 deg, 0 deg, to +30 deg with reference to a long axis of the rockbolt, tubular body, or neck portion, as the case may be, and "circumferential fibres" or "hoop fibres" are fibres which extend at angles in the range of -70 deg, 90 deg, to +70 deg with reference to longitudinal axis of the rockbolt, tubular body, or neck portion, as the case may be. "Helical fibres" are fibres
15 wound helically using winding angles from ± 30 deg to ± 70 deg, and angles in between.

Helical fibres are used where there is a transition between circumferential fibres and longitudinal fibres orientation or where there is a requirement for both
20 longitudinal and circumferential material properties in one layer.

The fibres may be selected from the group including E-glass based fibres, basalt fibres, carbon fibres, aramid fibres, metal fibres or strands, natural fibres, and engineered thermoplastic fibres.
25

The resinous medium may be a resin selected from the group including epoxy, polyester, vinyl ester, polyurethane, polypropylene, polyethylene, nylon, PET, cement, and ceramic resin.

30 The resinous medium may be phenolic resin known for its flame resistant properties.

According to a second aspect of the invention there is provided a non-metallic tubular body for a rockbolt wherein a portion of the tubular body is split or has a slot therein.

- 5 The tubular body may be made of a polymeric or composite material.

The tubular body may have a chamfered leading edge.

The tubular body is described further herein below.

10

According to a third aspect of the invention there is provided a non-metallic intermediate tubular neck portion for a rockbolt, said neck portion having one end zone of greater diameter than the other end zone. The neck portion may in use be interposed between the rockbolt's tubular body and the collar.

15

The neck portion may be made of a polymeric or composite material.

The neck portion may be made of two or more layers of composite material, wherein one or more layers has longitudinal fibres and one or more further
20 layers have circumferential fibres.

Helical fibres may be used where there is a transition between circumferential fibres and longitudinal fibres orientation or where there is a requirement for both longitudinal and circumferential material properties in one layer.

25

The fibres of the neck portion may be from 1% to 69% by count of circumferential fibres and the balance of the fibres being longitudinal fibres.

30

The wall thickness of the neck portion may vary along the length thereof thereby providing strength to the tubular body of the rockbolt between the collar portion and the tubular body.

The neck portion may be smaller in diameter than the tubular body portion and at least part of this portion may be smaller in diameter than the smallest rock

hole size to allow the neck portion to fit within the rock hole without need to compress as a significant part of the neck portion does not have a slot or split to allow for compression.

5 According to a further aspect of the invention there is provided a non-metallic split type friction composite rockbolt with a tubular body which includes having a collar portion, a tubular body having a split therein, and a neck portion intermediate the collar portion and the tubular body.

10 The rockbolt may thus be made of a one or more of a polymeric and a composite material.

The slot or split may extend along at least a portion of the length of the body starting at one end of the body, referred to as a rockbolt tip and which, in use, will be a leading edge as the rockbolt is driven into a hole. The slot may end close to an opposite end of the body where a collar is positioned by means of an intermediate neck portion.

15 In use, the slot in the tubular body closes and the cross section dimension decreases as the rockbolt of which the tubular body is a part gets driven into a hole in the rock or other mineral formation so that the friction resisting withdrawal of the rockbolt from the hole results in high pull resistance. In one embodiment, the rockbolt can resist a pull out force of at least 10 tons.

20 The outer diameter of the tubular body of the rockbolt may be greater than the inner diameter of a hole into which it is to be driven. The rockbolt may be designed for any diameter hole.

25 Spaced apart resiliently deformable inserts may be inserted into the tubular body.

The resiliently deformable inserts may be made of a polymer material, such as polyethylene. The inserts may be spherical, cylindrical, or any other suitable shape. The inserts may be solid or porous.

5 The tubular body of the rockbolt may be made of a composite material with a combination of resinous medium with longitudinal fibres, for tensile and compressive strength (for when an axial pulling load is placed on the rockbolt or when the rockbolt is hammered into the hole), and circumferential fibres for hoop strength and stiffness of the tube (for enabling friction).

10 The fibres of the tubular body may have from 1% to 59% by count circumferential fibres and the balance of the fibres being longitudinal fibres

The tubular body may be made of two or more layers of composite material, wherein one or more layers has longitudinal fibres and one or more further layers have circumferential fibres.

15 The longitudinal fibres may be continuous fibres in the 0-30 degree orientation relative to the longitudinal axis of the tubular body so as to accommodate high axial tensile and compressive loads along the length of the rockbolt.

20 The circumferential fibres may be continuous circumferential fibres thereby to permit high radial compressive loads which in turn provides high frictional clamping forces with the rock within the hole.

25 The fibre orientation along the length of the rockbolt may vary from layer to layer.

Helical fibres are used where there is a transition between circumferential fibres and longitudinal fibres orientation or where there is a requirement for both longitudinal and circumferential material properties in one layer.

30 The wall thickness of the tubular body may vary along the length thereof.

The composition of the composite material and wall thickness of the tubular body may vary along the length thereby to suit the loads, process, and environment.

In use, the rockbolt is driven into a hole by hammering or otherwise applying a driving force to the collar portion end of the rockbolt. The collar portion may be designed not to break off from the bolt when being pulled by a high axial load or when a hammering action is applied.

The tip of the rockbolt tubular body may be chamfered to allow the leading edge of the rockbolt to direct itself deeper into the hole even if rock strata might have moved inside the hole causing misalignment of the rock strata along the length of the hole.

A zone where the collar portion and the neck portion meet may be frangible so that the collar portion can break off during blasting so as not to leave rockbolt residue which can damage mining equipment and conveyer belts.

The rockbolt may be friable so as not to leave rockbolt residue which can damage mining equipment and conveyer belts.

The rockbolt may be manufactured using at least one of the following processes; pultrusion, filament winding, pullwinding, extrusion, press moulding, and injection moulding.

The fibres may be selected from the group including E-glass based fibres, basalt fibres, carbon fibres, aramid fibres, metal fibres or strands, natural fibres, and engineered thermoplastic fibres.

The resinous medium may be a resin selected from the group including epoxy, polyester, vinyl ester, polyurethane, polypropylene, polyethylene, nylon, PET, cement, and ceramic resin.

The resinous medium may be phenolic resin known for its flame resistant properties.

The fibres may be wound and set in resin to form the rockbolt or components thereof.

Description of Embodiments of the Invention

5

The invention will now be described, by way of non-limiting example only, with reference to the accompanying diagrammatic drawings. In the drawings,

Figure 1 shows a typical installation of a split-set friction composite rockbolt;

10

Figure 2 shows a diagram of a tubular body of a rockbolt having a slot therethrough;

Figure 3 shows the tubular body with spaced apart polymer inserts therein;

15

Figure 4 shows a tubular collar portion of a rockbolt of the invention;

Figure 5 shows an intermediate portion of a rockbolt which is located intermediate the tubular body and the collar;

20

Figure 6 shows cross section detail of the rockbolt of the invention having the tubular body, collar portion and neck portion as shown in Figures 2 to 5;

Figure 7 shows a solid collar portion of a rockbolt of the invention;

25

Figure 8 shows the results from the insertion of a 46mm outer diameter composite rockbolt into 44mm hole in 200mm granite block;

Figure 9 shows a hoop stiffness test result for the rockbolt of the invention.

30

In figure 1, a split set type composite rockbolt 10 generally of the invention is shown driven into a hole 12 in a rock wall 14 which has a fault 16. The rockbolt 10 has a collar 18 which is used to hammer on when driving the rockbolt 10 into the hole 12 and to retain plate 19 against the rock wall 14.

In figure 2, the rockbolt 10 of figure 1 has a slot 22 extending from the chamfered tip 24 of a tubular body portion 26 of the rockbolt 10 and through a neck portion 28 partway to the collar 18.

5 A split set friction type composite rockbolt 10 (for insertion into a 44mm hole 12 as example) is shown in figure 2 below. The composite bolt can be designed to work for any diameter hole, however, the split set friction type composite rock bolt of the example has a 10 ton pull out resistance.

10 Figure 3 shows an embodiment of rockbolt 10 of Figure 2 wherein resiliently deformable cylindrical polymeric inserts 32, for example made of polyurethane, are provided spaced apart within the tubular body portion 26 to increase the forces urging the tubular body against the hole 12 where it contacts the rockbolt 10.

15 Figure 4 shows a collar 18 used with rockbolt 10 of figures 1 and 2, wherein unidirectional fibres 36 are trapped between a ring shaped wedge portion 34 and a collar portion 38. The collar 18 is described further hereinbelow.

Figure 5 shows the intermediate neck portion 40 which is located on rockbolt 10 between the collar 18 and the tubular body portion 26. The wall thickness and diameter of the neck portion 40 changes from where it extends away from the tubular body 26 to the collar 18 as is described further below.

20 Figure 6 shows cross section detail of the rockbolt 10 of the invention having the tubular body portion 26 with three layers of which the inner and outer layers 42 have circumferential fibres which are continuous and a layer 44 inbetween the inner and outer layers in which the fibres are longitudinal fibres, collar 18 and neck portion 28 as shown in Figures 2 to 5;

25 Figure 7 shows another embodiment of the rockbolt 10, here labelled as 50, which is solid, as opposed to rockbolt 10 which is hollow. The collar 52 is also solid and again unidirectional fibres 54 are trapped between a wedge portion 56 and a collar portion 58.

The result of the press force needed to insert the bolt into the granite block can be seen below in figure 8. It is understood that pull-out force of a split set type rockbolt is either equal to, or greater than the insertion force of an installed friction bolt, (Tomory et al 1998). Therefore the plot in figure 8 should be interpreted as pull-out strength of the installed composite bolt for a 200mm long bond, the total height of the granite cube. Figure 8 shows the results from the insertion of a 46mm outer diameter composite rockbolt of the invention as described herein, of which the tubular body section has the maximum percentage circumferential fibres (59% by count) and the balance of the fibres being longitudinal fibres.

Figure 9 shows the results of hoop stiffness test results which show a minimum of 10 kN hoop strength on the same rockbolt.

The descriptions which follow relate to the example shown in the figures.

Tensile versus hoop fibre orientation in the rockbolt

In accordance with the invention generally, the split set type friction composite rockbolt 10 has been developed & tested that can withstand a high pull out force.

This specific rockbolt is tubular shaped and has a slot running through the length of the bolt starting at the chamfered tip (leading edge) of the rockbolt and ending close to the back end of the rockbolt where the collar is positioned. To enable the required pull out force in a typical hole diameter of 44mm (for example), typically a 46mm outer diameter tubular body rockbolt will be used.

The 46mm tubular body rockbolt will then typically have a slot width of 15-16mm wide. The tubular body compresses and the slot closes as the rockbolt gets hammered into a hole in the rock which creates friction that then results in a pull out force when the rockbolt is fully inserted into the hole.

This rockbolt has been designed with a specific optimised orientation of longitudinal and circumferential fibres to ensure that there is an optimal balance

between hoop stiffness in the tube (for enabling friction) and tensile and compressive strength (for when an axial pulling load is placed on the bolt or when the bolt is hammered into the hole). The fibres can be pultruded, pull wound or filament wound. This lay-up has been found to give the optimal tensile
5 versus hoop strength to also enable robustness for when the rockbolt is hammered into the hole. Typically the hole is then 2mm smaller than the rockbolt outer diameter.

The longitudinal fibres are continuous fibres in the 0-30 degree orientation so
10 as to accommodate high axial tensile and compressive loads along the length of the rockbolt. The axial fibres are continuous fibres in the circumferential orientation (70-110 deg relative to the longitudinal axis) thereby to permit high radial compressive loads which in turn provides high frictional clamping forces with the rock within the hole.

15 In this example. The fibres used were E-glass based and the resin is polyester resin.

The tip of the rockbolt's tubular body is chamfered to allow the rockbolt to direct
20 itself deeper into the hole even if rock strata might have moved inside the hole causing misalignment of the rock strata along the length of the hole.

Design of the collar

25 The collar design is crucial for the functioning of the split set friction composite rock bolt. A typical tubular collar generally in accordance with the invention is shown in Figure 4 and a typical solid collar is shown in Figure 7.

The collar design of figure 4 or 7 for the split set type friction composite rockbolt
30 is required to permit clamping of the fibres in the body of the rockbolt to ensure that the collar will not break off when a pulling force is applied.

The collar in Figures 4 and 7 has been designed specifically not to break off from the rockbolt when being pulled by a high axial load or when a hammering action is applied.

- 5 The collar 18 of the example is made up of three layers, the first inner layer including resin and circumferential fibres creating a wedge, the second layer including resin and fibres in the body of the rockbolt running over the wedge to the back end of the rockbolt, and the third layer again including resin and circumferential fibres creating a counter wedge or ring to enable clamping of
10 the unidirectional longitudinal fibres of the rockbolt when pulling on the collar with a force. The clamped or trapped longitudinal fibres end in the ring counter wedge or collar. The wedge would typically be from 5 degrees to 45 degrees measured from the bolt long axis.

15 Wall thickness variation where slot starts at back end of bolt

- As can be seen from figure 6, the wall thickness reduces from the back end to the front end of the rockbolt to ensure strength of the rockbolt where the slot starts. It is believed that this variation in wall thickness ensures that the rockbolt
20 is strong enough at the back end at the point where the slot starts for when the rockbolt is hammered into the hole. This is then an optimisation of strength of the bolt and minimising the amount of composite material in the bolt to minimise cost.

25 Material of construction of bolt

In the example, the fibres are E-glass based. The resin used in the example is phenolic resin for its flame resistant properties.

- 30 The rockbolt of the example is produced by filament winding.

Several advantages of the composite rockbolt of the invention include:

- All composite solution
- Contains no metallic materials

- High effectivity on grip and rock retention
 - Very low weight
 - Do not corrode
 - Fully adaptable design for different application environments
- 5 • Composite materials have better physical properties than steel because of the tailored fibre orientation within.
- A wide variation of fibre and resins can be used to suit different application conditions
 - Bolt can be cut by automated mining machines without damaging the
- 10 equipment and conveyor belts
- No broken bolt residue left behind after blasting.

Claims

1. A non-metallic split type friction composite rockbolt which includes a non-metallic collar portion, a non-metallic tubular body having a split or a slot therein, and a non-metallic neck portion intermediate the collar portion and the tubular body.
2. A non-metallic rockbolt as claimed in claim 1, which is made of one or more of a polymeric and a composite material.
3. A non-metallic rockbolt as claimed in claim 1 or claim 2, wherein the slot or split extends along at least a portion of the length of the body starting at one end of the body, referred to as a rockbolt tip and which, in use, will be a leading edge as the rockbolt is driven into a hole.
4. A non-metallic rockbolt as claimed in claim 3, wherein the slot or split ends close to the opposite end of the body where the collar is positioned by means of an intermediate neck portion.
5. A non-metallic rockbolt as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, wherein the outer diameter of the tubular body is greater than the inner diameter of a hole into which it is to be driven.
6. A non-metallic rockbolt as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, wherein the tubular body has a combination of resinous medium with longitudinal and circumferential fibres.

7. A non-metallic rockbolt as claimed in claim 6, wherein the fibres of the tubular body have from 1% to 59% by count circumferential fibres with the balance of the fibres being longitudinal fibres.

5

8. A non-metallic rockbolt as claimed in any one of claims 5 to 7, wherein the tubular body is made of two or more layers of composite material, wherein one or more of the layers has longitudinal fibres and one or more further layers have circumferential fibres.

10

9. A non-metallic rockbolt as claimed in any one of claims 6 to 8, wherein the longitudinal fibres are continuous fibres in the 0-30 degree orientation relative to the longitudinal axis of the tubular body so as to accommodate high axial tensile and compressive loads along the length of the rockbolt.

15

10. A non-metallic rockbolt as claimed in any one of claims 6 to 9, wherein the circumferential fibres are continuous circumferential fibres.

11. A non-metallic rockbolt as claimed in any one of claims 8 to 10, wherein
20 the fibre orientation along the length of the rockbolt varies from layer to layer.

12. A non-metallic rockbolt as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, wherein the wall thickness of the tubular body varies along the length of thereof.

25

13. A non-metallic rockbolt as claimed in any one of claims 2 to 12, wherein the composition of the composite material and wall thickness of the tubular body may vary along the length.

5 14. A non-metallic rockbolt as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, wherein a tip of the rockbolt tubular body is chamfered.

15. A non-metallic rockbolt as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, wherein a zone where the collar portion and the neck portion meet is frangible
10 so that the collar portion can break off during blasting.

16. A non-metallic rockbolt as claimed in any one of claims 6 to 15, wherein the fibres are selected from the group including E-glass based fibres, basalt fibres, carbon fibres, aramid fibres, metal fibres or strands, natural fibres, and
15 engineered thermoplastic fibres.

17. A non-metallic rockbolt as claimed in any one of claims 6 to 16, wherein the resinous medium is a resin selected from the group including epoxy, polyester, vinyl ester, polyurethane, polypropylene, polyethylene, nylon, PET,
20 cement, ceramic resin, and a phenolic resin.

18. A non-metallic rockbolt wherein the fibres are wound and set in resin to form the rockbolt or components thereof.

25 19. A non-metallic collar for a rockbolt which is made from a polymeric or composite material.

20. A non-metallic collar for a rockbolt, said collar being made of three or more layers, wherein a first inner layer includes circumferential fibres in a resinous medium creating a wedge, a second layer includes longitudinal fibres in a resinous medium extending over the wedge to the driving end of the collar where the rockbolt will be driven from by a driving force such as hammering, and a third layer which again includes circumferential fibres in a resinous medium creating a counter wedge or ring to enable clamping of the longitudinal fibres when pulling on the collar with a force.

21. A non-metallic collar as claimed in claim 20, wherein the first inner layer fibres include only circumferential fibres, the second layer fibres include from 1% to 69% by count of circumferential fibres and the balance of the fibres being longitudinal fibres, and the third outer layer fibres include only circumferential fibres

22. A non-metallic collar for a rockbolt as claimed in any one of claims 20 and 21, wherein the fibres are selected from the group including E-glass based fibres, basalt fibres, carbon fibres, aramid fibres, metal fibres or strands, natural fibres, and engineered thermoplastic fibres.

23. A non-metallic collar for a rockbolt as claimed in any one of claims 20 to 22, wherein the resin is selected from the group including epoxy, polyester, vinyl ester, polyurethane, polypropylene, polyethylene, nylon, PET, cement, ceramic resin, and phenolic resin.

24. A non-metallic tubular body for a rockbolt made from a polymeric or composite material, wherein a portion of the tubular body is split or has a slot therein.

25. A non-metallic tubular body for a rockbolt as claimed in claim 24, which has a chamfered leading edge.

26. A non-metallic tubular body as claimed in claim 24 or claim 25, wherein
5 the tubular body has a combination of resinous medium and fibres having a combination of orientation of longitudinal fibres and circumferential fibres.

27. A non-metallic tubular body as claimed in claim 26, wherein the fibres of the tubular body have from 1% to 59% by count circumferential fibres with the
10 balance of the fibres being longitudinal fibres.

28. A non-metallic tubular body as claimed in any one of claims 25 to 27, wherein the tubular body is made of two or more layers of composite material, wherein one or more of the layers has longitudinal fibres and one or more
15 further layers have circumferential fibres.

29. A non-metallic tubular body as claimed in any one of claims 26 to 28, wherein the longitudinal fibres are continuous fibres in the 0-30 degree orientation relative to the longitudinal axis of the tubular body so as to
20 accommodate high axial tensile and compressive loads along the length of the rockbolt.

30. A non-metallic tubular body as claimed in any one of claims 26 to 29, wherein the circumferential fibres are continuous circumferential fibres.

25

31. A non-metallic tubular body as claimed in any one of claims 25 to 30, wherein the wall thickness of the tubular body varies along the length of thereof.


32. A non-metallic intermediate tubular neck portion for a rockbolt, said neck portion having one end zone of greater diameter than another end zone.

5 33. A non-metallic neck portion as claimed in claim 32, which is made of two or more layers of composite material, wherein one or more layers has longitudinal fibres and one or more further layers have circumferential fibres.

10 34. A non-metallic neck portion for a rock bolt as claimed in claim 33, wherein the fibres of the neck portion are from 1% to 69% by count of circumferential fibres and the balance of the fibres being longitudinal fibres.

15 35. A non-metallic neck portion for a rock bolt as claimed in any one of claims 32 to 34, wherein the wall thickness of the neck portion varies along the length thereof thereby to provide strength in the rockbolt between the collar and the tubular body.

36. A combination of the tubular body of claims 24 to 31 with a neck portion of claims 32 to 35 in a rockbolt.



5 Fig 1





Fig 2



5 Fig 3




Fig 4

5




Fig 5




Fig 6





Fig 7



5

Fig 8




Fig 9

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No

PCT/ZA2018/050060

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
 INV. E21D21/00
 ADD.

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)
 E21D

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

EPO-Internal

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	WO 2014/071442 A1 (RISE MINING DEVELOPMENTS PTY LTD [AU]) 15 May 2014 (2014-05-15) page 7, line 14 - line 21 -----	1-17
Y	US 2014/072372 A1 (VOSBIKIAN THOMAS J [US]) 13 March 2014 (2014-03-13) figure 1 -----	1-17
Y	WO 2017/015677 A1 (NCM INNOVATIONS LTD [ZA]) 26 January 2017 (2017-01-26) figure 1 -----	1-17
Y	US 2012/301228 A1 (TAENZER LARS [AT] ET AL) 29 November 2012 (2012-11-29) paragraph [0050] ----- -/-	6,9,10



Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.



See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

11 March 2019

Date of mailing of the international search report

18/03/2019

Name and mailing address of the ISA/

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
 Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040,
 Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Rampelmann, Klaus

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No

PCT/ZA2018/050060

C(Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	US 2009/297278 A1 (TSUKAMOTO KENICHI [DE]) 3 December 2009 (2009-12-03) paragraph [0011] -----	8,11

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No

PCT/ZA2018/050060

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO 2014071442 A1	15-05-2014	AR 093260 A1	27-05-2015
		WO 2014071442 A1	15-05-2014

US 2014072372 A1	13-03-2014	NONE	

WO 2017015677 A1	26-01-2017	AU 2015403063 A1	04-01-2018
		BR 112017027667 A2	28-08-2018
		CA 2989944 A1	26-01-2017
		CL 2018000121 A1	11-05-2018
		EP 3325768 A1	30-05-2018
		PE 02732018 A1	06-02-2018
		US 2018230801 A1	16-08-2018
		WO 2017015677 A1	26-01-2017

US 2012301228 A1	29-11-2012	AU 2012202532 A1	13-12-2012
		DE 102011076592 A1	29-11-2012
		EP 2527589 A2	28-11-2012
		US 2012301228 A1	29-11-2012
		ZA 201203858 B	30-01-2013

US 2009297278 A1	03-12-2009	AT 445763 T	15-10-2009
		AU 2007267379 A1	06-12-2007
		CA 2659568 A1	12-06-2007
		DE 102006025248 A1	06-12-2007
		EP 2027366 A1	25-02-2009
		ES 2334850 T3	16-03-2010
		US 2009297278 A1	03-12-2009
		WO 2007137807 A1	06-12-2007
